

Final version of the paper (GCOM-D-09-00021) accepted by Graphs and Combin.

## SYMMETRIC IDENTITIES FOR EULER POLYNOMIALS

YONG ZHANG, ZHI-WEI SUN AND HAO PAN

ABSTRACT. In this paper we establish two symmetric identities on sums of products of Euler polynomials.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The Bernoulli numbers  $B_0, B_1, B_2, \dots$  are rational numbers given by

$$B_0 = 1, \text{ and } \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n+1}{k} B_k = 0 \text{ for } n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

The Euler numbers  $E_0, E_1, E_2, \dots$  are integers determined by

$$E_0 = 1, \text{ and } \sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ 2|n-k}}^n \binom{n}{k} E_k = 0 \text{ for } n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Let  $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ . The Bernoulli polynomials  $B_n(x)$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) and the Euler polynomials  $E_n(x)$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) are defined by

$$B_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} B_k x^{n-k} \text{ and } E_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \frac{E_k}{2^k} \left(x - \frac{1}{2}\right)^{n-k}.$$

It is well known that

$$\Delta(B_n(x)) = nx^{n-1} \text{ and } \Delta^*(E_n(x)) = 2x^n$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , where we set

$$\Delta(P(x)) = P(x+1) - P(x) \text{ and } \Delta^*(P(x)) = P(x+1) + P(x)$$

for any polynomial  $P(x)$ . Bernoulli and Euler numbers and polynomials play important roles in many fields including number theory and combinatorics.

In 2006 Z. W. Sun and H. Pan [6] established the following theorem which unifies many curious identities concerning Bernoulli and Euler numbers and polynomials.

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 05A19; Secondary 11B68.

The second author is responsible for communications, and supported by the National Natural Science Foundation (grant 10871087) and the Overseas Cooperation Fund (grant 10928101) of China.

**Theorem 1.1** (Sun and Pan, 2006). *Let  $n$  be a positive integer and let  $x+y+z = 1$ .*

(i) *If  $r, s, t$  are complex numbers with  $r + s + t = n$ , then we have the symmetric relation*

$$r \begin{bmatrix} s & t \\ x & y \end{bmatrix}_n + s \begin{bmatrix} t & r \\ y & z \end{bmatrix}_n + t \begin{bmatrix} r & s \\ z & x \end{bmatrix}_n = 0$$

where

$$\begin{bmatrix} s & t \\ x & y \end{bmatrix}_n := \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \binom{s}{k} \binom{t}{n-k} B_{n-k}(x) B_k(y).$$

(ii) *If  $r + s + t = n - 1$ , then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{r}{2} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} (-1)^l \binom{s}{l} \binom{t}{n-1-l} E_l(y) E_{n-1-l}(x) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \binom{r}{k} \binom{s}{n-k} B_k(x) E_{n-k}(z) \\ & \quad - (-1)^n \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \binom{r}{k} \binom{t}{n-k} B_k(y) E_{n-k}(z). \end{aligned}$$

Recently, by a sophisticated application of the generating function method, A. M. Fu, H. Pan and F. Zhang [2] extended Theorem 1.1(i) of Sun and Pan to an identity on sums of products of  $m \geq 2$  Bernoulli polynomials.

In this paper we obtain a general identity only involving Euler polynomials and also give an extension of Theorem 1.1(ii) which involves both Bernoulli and Euler polynomials.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $m$  and  $n$  be positive integers, and let  $r_0, r_1, \dots, r_m$  be complex numbers with  $r_0 + r_1 + \dots + r_m = n - 1$ .*

(i) *If  $m$  is odd, then we have the symmetric relation*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{k_1, \dots, k_m \geq 0 \\ k_1 + \dots + k_m = n}} \prod_{j=1}^m \binom{r_j}{k_j} E_{k_j}(x_j) \\ &= - \sum_{i=1}^m (-1)^i \sum_{\substack{k_1, \dots, k_m \geq 0 \\ k_1 + \dots + k_m = n}} \binom{r_0}{k_i} E_{k_i}(1 - x_i) \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq m \\ j \neq i}} \binom{r_j}{k_j} E_{k_j}(x_j - x_i + \mathbf{1}_{j>i}), \quad (1.1) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathbf{1}_{j>i}$  takes 1 or 0 according as  $j > i$  or not.

(ii) If  $m$  is even, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{r_0}{2} \sum_{\substack{k_1, \dots, k_m \geq 0 \\ k_1 + \dots + k_m = n-1}} \prod_{j=1}^m \binom{r_j}{k_j} E_{k_j}(x_j) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m (-1)^i \sum_{\substack{k_1, \dots, k_m \geq 0 \\ k_1 + \dots + k_m = n}} \binom{r_0}{k_i} B_{k_i}(1-x_i) \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq m \\ j \neq i}} \binom{r_j}{k_j} E_{k_j}(x_j - x_i + \mathbf{1}_{j>i}). \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

*Remark 1.1.* If  $r + s + t = n - 1$ , then (1.2) in the case  $m = 2$  gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{r}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{s}{k} E_k(1-y) \binom{t}{n-1-k} E_{n-1-k}(x) \\ &= - \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{r}{k} B_k(1-(1-y)) \binom{t}{n-k} E_{n-k}(x-(1-y)+1) \\ & \quad + \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{r}{k} B_k(1-x) \binom{s}{n-k} E_{n-k}((1-y)-x) \\ &= -(-1)^n \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \binom{t}{n-k} E_{n-k}(1-x-y) \binom{r}{k} B_k(y) \\ & \quad + \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \binom{r}{k} B_k(x) \binom{s}{n-k} E_{n-k}(1-x-y), \end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to the identity of Sun and Pan in Theorem 1.1(ii) since  $E_k(1-x) = (-1)^k E_k(x)$ .

Our proof of Theorem 1.2 given in the next section involves the difference operator  $\Delta$  and its companion operator  $\Delta^*$ . We can also show Theorem 1.2 via the generating function approach.

Let  $k$  be any nonnegative integer. It is well known that  $B_k = 0$  if  $k$  is odd and greater than one. By [1, pp. 804-808],

$$B_k \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) = (2^{1-k} - 1) B_k \quad \text{and} \quad E_k(x) = \frac{2}{k+1} \left( B_{k+1}(x) - 2^{k+1} B_{k+1} \left( \frac{x}{2} \right) \right).$$

Thus

$$(-1)^k E_k(1) = E_k(0) = 2(1 - 2^{k+1}) \frac{B_{k+1}}{k+1}.$$

In view of these, Theorem 1.2 in the case  $x_1 = \dots = x_m = 1/2$  yields the following consequence involving Euler numbers and Bernoulli numbers.

**Corollary 1.1.** *Let  $m$  and  $n$  be positive integers, and let  $r_0, r_1, \dots, r_m$  be complex numbers with  $r_0 + r_1 + \dots + r_m = n - 1$ .*

(i) If  $m$  is odd, then

$$\begin{aligned} & (-1)^n \sum_{\substack{k_1, \dots, k_m \geq 0 \\ k_1 + \dots + k_m = n}} \prod_{j=1}^m \binom{r_j}{k_j} E_{k_j} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m (-1)^i \sum_{\substack{k_1, \dots, k_m \geq 0 \\ k_1 + \dots + k_m = n}} (-1)^{|\{i < j \leq m: k_j > 0\}|} \binom{r_0}{k_i} E_{k_i} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq m \\ j \neq i}} \binom{r_j}{k_j} \tilde{B}_{k_j+1}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

where  $\tilde{B}_k = 2^k(2^k - 1)B_k/k$  for  $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

(ii) If  $m$  is even, then

$$\begin{aligned} & (-1)^n r_0 \sum_{\substack{k_1, \dots, k_m \geq 0 \\ k_1 + \dots + k_m = n-1}} \prod_{j=1}^m \binom{r_j}{k_j} E_{k_j} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m (-1)^i \sum_{\substack{k_1, \dots, k_m \geq 0 \\ k_1 + \dots + k_m = n}} (-1)^{|\{i < j \leq m: k_j > 0\}|} \binom{r_0}{k_i} (2^{k_i} - 2) B_{k_i} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq m \\ j \neq i}} \binom{r_j}{k_j} \tilde{B}_{k_j+1}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

As usual we let  $\mathbb{C}$  denote the field of complex numbers. By [4, Lemma 3.1], for  $P(x), Q(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ , we have  $P(x) = Q(x)$  if  $\Delta^*(P(x)) = \Delta^*(Q(x))$ . This property will play a central role in our proof of Theorem 1.2.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $P_1(x), \dots, P_m(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & P_1(x) \sum_{1 < i \leq m} (-1)^i \Delta^*(P_i(x)) \prod_{\substack{1 < j \leq m \\ j \neq i}} P_j(x + \mathbf{1}_{j < i}) \\ &= \begin{cases} \Delta^*(P_1(x) \cdots P_m(x)) - \Delta^*(P_1(x)) P_2(x+1) \cdots P_m(x+1) & \text{if } 2 \nmid m, \\ \Delta^*(P_1(x) \cdots P_m(x)) - \Delta(P_1(x)) P_2(x+1) \cdots P_m(x+1) & \text{if } 2 \mid m. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{1 < i \leq m} (-1)^i \Delta^*(P_i(x)) \prod_{\substack{1 < j \leq m \\ j \neq i}} P_j(x + \mathbf{1}_{j < i}) \\ &= \sum_{1 < i \leq m} \left( (-1)^i \prod_{1 < j \leq m} P_j(x + \mathbf{1}_{j < i}) - (-1)^{i+1} \prod_{1 < j \leq m} P_j(x + \mathbf{1}_{j < i+1}) \right) \\ &= (-1)^2 \prod_{1 < j \leq m} P_j(x) - (-1)^{m+1} \prod_{1 < j \leq m} P_j(x+1). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 & P_1(x) \sum_{1 < i \leq m} (-1)^i \Delta^*(P_i(x)) \prod_{\substack{1 < j \leq m \\ j \neq i}} P_j(x + \mathbf{1}_{j < i}) \\
 &= P_1(x) \cdots P_m(x) + (-1)^m P_1(x) \prod_{1 < j \leq m} P_j(x) \\
 &= \Delta^*(P_1(x) \cdots P_m(x)) - (P_1(x+1) + (-1)^{m-1} P_1(x)) \prod_{1 < j \leq m} P_j(x).
 \end{aligned}$$

This proves the desired identity.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $a_0, \bar{a}_0, a_1, \bar{a}_1, \dots, a_n, \bar{a}_n$  be complex numbers, and set*

$$A_k(t) = \sum_{l=0}^k \binom{k}{l} (-1)^l a_l t^{k-l} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{A}_k(t) = \sum_{l=0}^k \binom{k}{l} (-1)^l \bar{a}_l t^{k-l}$$

for  $k = 0, \dots, n$ . Let  $r_0 + r_1 + \cdots + r_m = n - 1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{\substack{k_1, \dots, k_m \geq 0 \\ k_1 + \dots + k_m = n}} \binom{r_0}{k_1} (-x_1)^{k_1} \prod_{j=2}^m \binom{r_j}{k_j} A_{k_j}(x_j - x_1) \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{k_1, \dots, k_m \geq 0 \\ k_1 + \dots + k_m = n}} \binom{r_1}{k_1} x_1^{k_1} \prod_{j=2}^m \binom{r_j}{k_j} A_{k_j}(x_j). \tag{2.1}
 \end{aligned}$$

Also, for any  $i = 2, \dots, m$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{\substack{k_1, \dots, k_m \geq 0 \\ k_1 + \dots + k_m = n}} \binom{r_0}{k_1} A_{k_1}(-x_1) \binom{r_i}{k_i} (x_i - x_1)^{k_i} \prod_{\substack{2 \leq j \leq m \\ j \neq i}} \binom{r_j}{k_j} \bar{A}_{k_j}(x_j - x_1) \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{k_1, \dots, k_m \geq 0 \\ k_1 + \dots + k_m = n}} \binom{r_1}{k_1} (x_1 - x_i)^{k_1} \binom{r_0}{k_i} A_{k_i}(-x_i) \prod_{\substack{2 \leq j \leq m \\ j \neq i}} \binom{r_j}{k_j} \bar{A}_{k_j}(x_j - x_i). \tag{2.2}
 \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* By Remark 1.1 of Sun [5],

$$A_k(x+y) = \sum_{l=0}^k \binom{k}{l} x^{k-l} A_l(y) \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{A}_k(x+y) = \sum_{l=0}^k \binom{k}{l} x^{k-l} \bar{A}_l(y)$$

for every  $k = 0, \dots, n$ . Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\substack{k_1, \dots, k_m \geq 0 \\ k_1 + \dots + k_m = n}} \binom{r_0}{k_1} (-x_1)^{k_1} \prod_{j=2}^m \binom{r_j}{k_j} A_{k_j}(x_j - x_1) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{k_1, \dots, k_m \geq 0 \\ k_1 + \dots + k_m = n}} \binom{r_0}{k_1} (-x_1)^{k_1} \prod_{j=2}^m \binom{r_j}{k_j} \sum_{l_j=0}^{k_j} \binom{k_j}{l_j} (-x_1)^{k_j - l_j} A_{l_j}(x_j) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{l_1, \dots, l_m \geq 0 \\ l_1 + \dots + l_m = n}} (-x_1)^{l_1} \prod_{j=2}^m \binom{r_j}{l_j} A_{l_j}(x_j) \sum_{\substack{k_1 \geq 0, k_j \geq l_j \ (1 < j \leq m) \\ k_1 + \dots + k_m = n}} \binom{r_0}{k_1} \prod_{j=2}^m \binom{r_j - l_j}{k_j - l_j}.
\end{aligned}$$

Given  $l_1, \dots, l_m \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $l_1 + \dots + l_m = n$ , by the Chu-Vandermonde convolution identity (cf. [3, (5.22)]), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\substack{k_1 \geq 0, k_j \geq l_j \ (1 < j \leq m) \\ k_1 + \dots + k_m = n}} \binom{r_0}{k_1} \prod_{j=2}^m \binom{r_j - l_j}{k_j - l_j} \\
&= \binom{r_0 + (r_2 - l_2) + \dots + (r_m - l_m)}{n - l_2 - \dots - l_m} = \binom{l_1 - 1 - r_1}{l_1} = (-1)^{l_1} \binom{r_1}{l_1}.
\end{aligned}$$

So (2.1) follows.

(2.2) can be proved similarly. Let  $\Sigma$  denote the left-hand side of (2.2). Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma &= \sum_{\substack{k_1, \dots, k_m \geq 0 \\ k_1 + \dots + k_m = n}} \binom{r_0}{k_1} \sum_{l_i=0}^{k_1} \binom{k_1}{l_i} (x_i - x_1)^{k_1 - l_i} A_{l_i}(-x_i) \binom{r_i}{k_i} (x_i - x_1)^{k_i} \\
&\quad \times \prod_{\substack{1 < j \leq m \\ j \neq i}} \binom{r_j}{k_j} \sum_{l_j=0}^{k_j} \binom{k_j}{l_j} (x_i - x_1)^{k_j - l_j} \bar{A}_{l_j}(x_j - x_i) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{l_1, \dots, l_m \geq 0 \\ l_1 + \dots + l_m = n}} (x_i - x_1)^{l_1} \binom{r_0}{l_1} A_{l_1}(-x_i) \prod_{\substack{1 < j \leq m \\ j \neq i}} \binom{r_j}{l_j} \bar{A}_{l_j}(x_j - x_i) \\
&\quad \times \sum_{\substack{k_j \geq l_j \ (1 \leq j \leq m \ \& \ j \neq i) \\ k_i \geq 0, k_1 + \dots + k_m = n}} \binom{r_0 - l_i}{k_1 - l_i} \binom{r_i}{k_i} \prod_{\substack{1 < j \leq m \\ j \neq i}} \binom{r_j - l_j}{k_j - l_j} \\
&= \sum_{\substack{l_1, \dots, l_m \geq 0 \\ l_1 + \dots + l_m = n}} (x_i - x_1)^{l_1} \binom{r_0}{l_1} A_{l_1}(-x_i) \prod_{\substack{1 < j \leq m \\ j \neq i}} \binom{r_j}{l_j} \bar{A}_{l_j}(x_j - x_i) \times (-1)^{l_1} \binom{r_1}{l_1}.
\end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof.  $\square$

*Remark 2.1.* If we set  $a_l = (-1)^l B_l$  and  $\bar{a}_l = (-1)^l E_l(0)$  for  $l = 0, \dots, n$  in Lemma 2.2, then  $A_k(t) = B_k(t)$  and  $\bar{A}_k(t) = E_k(t)$  for any  $k = 0, \dots, n$ .

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* We fix  $x_2, \dots, x_m$ .

(i) Suppose that  $m$  is odd. Set

$$P(x_1) = \sum_{\substack{k_1, \dots, k_m \geq 0 \\ k_1 + \dots + k_m = n}} \binom{r_0}{k_1} E_{k_1}(1 - x_1) \prod_{j=2}^m \binom{r_j}{k_j} E_{k_j}(x_j - x_1 + 1).$$

Applying Lemma 2.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \Delta^*(P(x_1)) \\ &= \sum_{i=2}^m (-1)^i \sum_{\substack{k_1, \dots, k_m \geq 0 \\ k_1 + \dots + k_m = n}} \binom{r_0}{k_1} E_{k_1}(1 - x_1) \binom{r_i}{k_i} 2(x_i - x_1)^{k_i} \prod_{\substack{2 \leq j \leq m \\ j \neq i}} \binom{r_j}{k_j} E_{k_j}(x_j - x_1 + \mathbf{1}_{j>i}) \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{k_1, \dots, k_m \geq 0 \\ k_1 + \dots + k_m = n}} \binom{r_0}{k_1} 2(-x_1)^{k_1} \prod_{j=2}^m \binom{r_j}{k_j} E_{k_j}(x_j - x_1). \end{aligned}$$

With the help of Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \Delta^*(P(x_1)) \\ &= 2 \sum_{i=2}^m (-1)^i \sum_{\substack{k_1, \dots, k_m \geq 0 \\ k_1 + \dots + k_m = n}} \binom{r_1}{k_1} (x_1 - x_i)^{k_1} \binom{r_0}{k_i} E_{k_i}(1 - x_i) \prod_{\substack{2 \leq j \leq m \\ j \neq i}} \binom{r_j}{k_j} E_{k_j}(x_j - x_i + \mathbf{1}_{j>i}) \\ &+ 2 \sum_{\substack{k_1, \dots, k_m \geq 0 \\ k_1 + \dots + k_m = n}} \binom{r_1}{k_1} x_1^{k_1} \prod_{j=2}^m \binom{r_j}{k_j} E_{k_j}(x_j). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $\Delta^*(P(x_1)) = \Delta^*(Q(x_1))$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} Q(x_1) &= \sum_{1 < i \leq m} (-1)^i \sum_{\substack{k_1, \dots, k_m \geq 0 \\ k_1 + \dots + k_m = n}} \binom{r_0}{k_i} E_{k_i}(1 - x_i) \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq m \\ j \neq i}} \binom{r_j}{k_j} E_{k_j}(x_j - x_i + \mathbf{1}_{j>i}) \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{k_1, \dots, k_m \geq 0 \\ k_1 + \dots + k_m = n}} \prod_{j=1}^m \binom{r_j}{k_j} E_{k_j}(x_j). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $P(x_1) = Q(x_1)$  by [4, Lemma 3.1]. This proves (1.1).

(ii) Now assume that  $m$  is even. Define

$$P(x_1) = \sum_{\substack{k_1, \dots, k_m \geq 0 \\ k_1 + \dots + k_m = n}} \binom{r_0}{k_1} B_{k_1} (1 - x_1) \prod_{j=2}^m \binom{r_j}{k_j} E_{k_j} (x_j - x_1 + 1).$$

For  $k_1 = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , clearly

$$\binom{r_0}{k_1} (B_{k_1} (1 - (x_1 + 1)) - B_{k_1} (1 - x_1)) = -\binom{r_0}{k_1} k_1 (-x_1)^{k_1 - 1} = -r_0 \binom{r_0 - 1}{k_1 - 1} (-x_1)^{k_1 - 1}.$$

(As usual  $\binom{x}{-1}$  is regarded as 0.) Thus, by Lemma 2.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \Delta^*(P(x_1)) \\ = & 2 \sum_{i=2}^m (-1)^i \sum_{\substack{k_1, \dots, k_m \geq 0 \\ k_1 + \dots + k_m = n}} \binom{r_0}{k_1} B_{k_1} (1 - x_1) \binom{r_i}{k_i} (x_i - x_1)^{k_i} \prod_{\substack{1 < j \leq m \\ j \neq i}} \binom{r_j}{k_j} E_{k_j} (x_j - x_1 + \mathbf{1}_{j > i}) \\ & - r_0 \sum_{\substack{k_1, \dots, k_m \geq 0 \\ k_1 + \dots + k_m = n - 1}} \binom{r_0 - 1}{k_1} (-x_1)^{k_1} \prod_{1 < j \leq m} \binom{r_j}{k_j} E_{k_j} (x_j - x_1). \end{aligned}$$

With the help of Lemma 2.2,

$$\begin{aligned} & \Delta^*(P(x_1)) \\ = & 2 \sum_{i=2}^m (-1)^i \sum_{\substack{k_1, \dots, k_m \geq 0 \\ k_1 + \dots + k_m = n}} \binom{r_1}{k_1} (x_1 - x_i)^{k_1} \binom{r_0}{k_i} B_{k_i} (1 - x_i) \prod_{\substack{1 < j \leq m \\ j \neq i}} \binom{r_j}{k_j} E_{k_j} (x_j - x_i + \mathbf{1}_{j > i}) \\ & - r_0 \sum_{\substack{k_1, \dots, k_m \geq 0 \\ k_1 + \dots + k_m = n - 1}} \binom{r_1}{k_1} x_1^{k_1} \prod_{1 < j \leq m} \binom{r_j}{k_j} E_{k_j} (x_j). \end{aligned}$$

So we have  $\Delta^*(P(x_1)) = \Delta^*(Q(x_1))$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} Q(x_1) = & \sum_{i=2}^m (-1)^i \sum_{\substack{k_1, \dots, k_m \geq 0 \\ k_1 + \dots + k_m = n}} \binom{r_0}{k_i} B_{k_i} (1 - x_i) \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq m \\ j \neq i}} \binom{r_j}{k_j} E_{k_j} (x_j - x_i + \mathbf{1}_{j > i}) \\ & - \frac{r_0}{2} \sum_{\substack{k_1, \dots, k_m \geq 0 \\ k_1 + \dots + k_m = n - 1}} \prod_{j=1}^m \binom{r_j}{k_j} E_{k_j} (x_j). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $P(x_1)$  coincides with  $Q(x_1)$  by [4, Lemma 3.1]. So (1.2) holds. This concludes the proof.  $\square$

**Acknowledgment.** We thank the two referees for their helpful comments.



## REFERENCES

- [1] Abramowitz, M., Stegun, I.A. (eds.): Handbook of Mathematical Functions. Dover Publications, New York (1972)
- [2] Fu, A.M., Pan, H., Zhang, I.F.: Symmetric identities on Bernoulli polynomials. J. Number Theory **129**, 2696–2701 (2009)
- [3] Graham, R.L., Knuth, D.E., Patashnik, O.: Concrete Mathematics, 2nd ed., Addison-Wesley, New York (1994)
- [4] Pan, H., Sun, Z.W.: New identities involving Bernoulli and Euler polynomials. J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **113**, 156–175 (2006)
- [5] Sun, Z.W.: Combinatorial identities in dual sequences. European J. Combin. **24**, 709–718 (2003)
- [6] Sun, Z.W., Pan, H.: Identities concerning Bernoulli and Euler polynomials. Acta Arith. **125**, 21–39 (2006)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NANJING UNIVERSITY, NANJING 210093, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

*E-mail addresses:* yongzhang1982@163.com, zwsun@nju.edu.cn, haopan79@yahoo.com.cn