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#### Abstract

In this paper, nonlocal symmetries for the bilinear KP and bilinear BKP equations are re-studied. Two arbitrary parameters are introduced in these nonlocal symmetries by considering gauge invariance of the bilinear KP and bilinear BKP equations under the transformation $f \longrightarrow f e^{a x+b y+c t}$. By expanding these nonlocal symmetries in powers of each of two parameters, we have derived two types of bilinear NKP hierarchies and two types of bilinear NBKP hierarchies. An impressive observation is that bilinear positive and negative KP and BKP hierarchies may be derived from the same nonlocal symmetries for the KP and BKP equations. Besides, as two concrete examples, we have deived bilinear Bäcklund transformations for $t_{-2}$-flow of the NKP hierarchy and $t_{-1}$-flow of the NBKP hierarchy. All these results have made it clear that more nice integrable properties would be found for these obtained NKP hierarchies and NBKP hierarchies. Since KP and BKP hierarchies have played an essential role in soliton theory, we believe that the bilinear NKP and NBKP hierarchies will have their right place in this field.
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## 1 Introduction

Symmetries and conservation laws for differential equations are the central themes of perpetual interest in mathematical physics [1, 2]. With the development of integrable systems and soliton theory, a variety of nonlocal symmetries have been intensely investigated in the literature, one of which is potential symmetries. In this paper we are concerned with another type of nonlocal symmetries, that is so-called eigenfunction symmetries [3]-[10]. To be concrete, let us first take the KP equation as an example to see what it means by such nonlocal symmetries. It is known that for the KP equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(4 u_{t}-6 u u_{x}-u_{x x x}\right)_{x}-3 \alpha^{2} u_{y y}=0, \quad \alpha^{2}= \pm 1 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have the following nonlocal symmetry $\sigma$ [5] given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma=\left(\psi \psi^{*}\right)_{x} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\psi$ and $\psi^{*}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha \psi_{y}+\left(\partial_{x}^{2}+u-\lambda\right) \psi=0  \tag{3}\\
& \left(-4 \psi_{t}+4 \psi_{x x x}+3 u_{x} \psi+6 u \psi_{x}-3 \alpha\left(\partial_{x}^{-1} u_{y}\right) \psi=0\right.  \tag{4}\\
& -\alpha \psi_{y}^{*}+\left(\partial_{x}^{2}+u-\lambda\right) \psi^{*}=0  \tag{5}\\
& \left(-4 \psi_{t}^{*}+4 \psi_{x x x}^{*}+3 u_{x} \psi^{*}+6 u \psi_{x}^{*}+3 \alpha\left(\partial_{x}^{-1} u_{y}\right) \psi^{*}=0\right. \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

Based on the observation that equations (3) and (4) (or (5) and (6)) constitute a Lax pair for the KP (11), it is natural to call nonlocal symmetry $\sigma$ in (2) eigenfunction symmetry.

Eigenfunction symmetries have played an important role in the following topics [3]- 7 , [9]- [16]:

- Positive and negative hierarchies
- Symmetry constraints
- Soliton equations with sources

For example, in [11], one of authors (Lou) has derived from eigenfunction symmetry in (2) a hierarchy of negative KP (NKP) equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{t-2 n-1}=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\left(P_{k} Q_{n-k}\right)_{x},  \tag{7}\\
& \left(\partial_{x}^{2}+u+\alpha \partial_{y}\right) P_{k}=P_{k-1}, k=0,1,2, \ldots, n  \tag{8}\\
& \left(\partial_{x}^{2}+u-\alpha \partial_{y}\right) Q_{k}=Q_{k-1}, k=0,1,2, \ldots, n \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

with $P_{-1}=Q_{-1}=0$ by expanding $\psi$ and $\psi^{*}$ in the following way

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\left(\partial_{x}^{2}+u+\alpha \partial_{y}\right)^{n-k} P_{n} \lambda^{k}, \\
& \psi^{*}=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\left(\partial_{x}^{2}+u-\alpha \partial_{y}\right)^{n-k} Q_{n} \lambda^{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular, if $n=0$, we have the first member of the NKP hierarchy

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{t_{-1}}=\left(P_{0} Q_{0}\right)_{x}  \tag{10}\\
& \left(\partial_{x}^{2}+u+\alpha \partial_{y}\right) P_{0}=0  \tag{11}\\
& \left(\partial_{x}^{2}+u-\alpha \partial_{y}\right) Q_{0}=0 . \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

Through a Miura transformation, (10)-(12) may be transformed into (2+1)-dimensional sinh-Gordon system [17]

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\alpha \phi_{y t}+e^{-2 \phi}\left(e^{2 \phi} \phi_{x t}\right)_{x}\right]_{y}=-\left(s_{x} e^{2 \phi}\right)_{x x}}  \tag{13}\\
& {\left[e^{2 \phi}\left(\phi_{x t}-\frac{1}{2} C e^{2 \phi}+\frac{1}{2} C e^{-2 \phi}\right)\right]_{x}+\alpha e^{2 \phi} \phi_{y t}+\alpha e^{2 \phi}\left(e^{2 \phi} s\right)_{x}=0} \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\alpha^{2}= \pm 1$ and C is an arbitrary constant. Some results have been done on (13)-(14) [18]-[20] . However, any further integrable properties have not been achieved for the whole NKP hierarchy (7)-(9). So it would be of interest to consider some integrable properies for (77)-(9), one of which is Hirota's bilinear form. A usual way to do so is to find out a suitable dependent variable transformation for the potentials in (77)- (9) and then try to transform (7)-(9) into bilinear form. For example, for the first member (10)-(12) of the NKP hierarchy, given the dependent variable transformation $u=2(\ln f)_{x x}, P_{0}=g / f, Q_{0}=h / f$, we can transform (10)-(12) into bilinear form

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{x} D_{t} f \cdot f=g h  \tag{15}\\
& \left(D_{x}^{2}+\alpha D_{y}\right) g \cdot f=0  \tag{16}\\
& \left(D_{x}^{2}+\alpha D_{y}\right) f \cdot h=0 \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

where Hirota bilinear operator $D_{y}^{m} D_{t}^{k}$ is defined by 21 ]

$$
\left.D_{x}^{n} D_{y}^{m} D_{t}^{k} a \cdot b \equiv\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}-\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\prime}}\right)^{n}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y}-\frac{\partial}{\partial y^{\prime}}\right)^{m}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}-\frac{\partial}{\partial t^{\prime}}\right)^{k} a(x, y, t) b\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right)\right|_{x^{\prime}=x, y^{\prime}=y, t^{\prime}=t}
$$

In particular, if we set $\alpha=i, h=g^{*}$ in (15)-(17), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{x} D_{t} f \cdot f=|g|^{2}  \tag{18}\\
& \left(D_{x}^{2}+i D_{y}\right) g \cdot f=0 \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

which is in the Hietarinta's list of complex bilinear equations passing Hirota's 3 -soliton condition [22]. In particular, if $t=y$, the system (18) and (19) is a bilinear form for Redekopp equations [23].

In the following, we will not follow this line to find bilinear form for (7)-(9). Instead we will first consider nonlocal symmetries for blinear equations, say bilinear KP and bilinear BKP and then deriving bilinear negative KP and negative BKP hierarchies directly by expanding such type of nonlocal symmetries. This idea can be described using the following diagram.


According to this scheme, the problem of finding bilinear form for the NKP hierarchy becomes the problem of constructing nonlocal symmetry for the bilinear KP equation. One of the purposes in this paper is to study nonlocal symmetries for bilinear equations. We will consider nonlocal symmetries for bilinear KP equation and bilinear BKP equation. The second purpose of the paper is to use such nonlocal symmetries to derive bilinear forms for positive and negative KP and BKP hierarchies. It is remarked that in 9, 10 nonlocal symmetries for the bilinear KP and BKP equation have been used to consider symmetry constraints for the KP and BKP hierarchies.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we will consider nonlocal symmetries with two different parameters for the bilinear KP equation and then use these symmetries to generate negative and positive KP hierarchy in bilinear form. Section 3 is devoted to considering nonlocal symmetries with two different parameters for the bilinear BKP equation and then use these symmetries to generate negative and positive BKP hierarchy in bilinear form. Conclusions and discussions are given in section 4.

## 2 Nonlocal symmetries for the bilinear KP equation and its application

It is known that by the dependent variable transformation $u=2(\ln f)_{x x}$, the KP equation (1) can be transformed into the bilinear form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(-4 D_{x} D_{t}+D_{x}^{4}+3 D_{y}^{2}\right) f \cdot f=0 \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we have chosen $\alpha=1$ for the sake of convenience in calculation. Concerning (20), we have two sets of bilinear Bäcklund transformations which are given as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(D_{y}+D_{x}^{2}+\mu D_{x}-\lambda\right) f \cdot g=0  \tag{21}\\
& \left(4 D_{t}+3 D_{x} D_{y}-D_{x}^{3}+3 \mu D_{y}-3 \lambda D_{x}\right) f \cdot g=0 \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(D_{y}+D_{x}^{2}+\mu D_{x}-\lambda\right) h \cdot f=0  \tag{23}\\
& \left(4 D_{t}+3 D_{x} D_{y}-D_{x}^{3}+3 \mu D_{y}-3 \lambda D_{x}\right) h \cdot f=0 \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\lambda$ and $\mu$ are arbitrary parameters. We have the following result
Proposition 1. Bilinear KP equation (20) has a nonlocal symmetry given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma=f \int^{x} \frac{g h}{f^{2}} d x^{\prime} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g$, $h$ satisfy (21)-(24). That means $\sigma$ given by (25) satisfies the following symmetry equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(-4 D_{x} D_{t}+3 D_{y}^{2}+D_{x}^{4}\right) \sigma \cdot f=0 \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By direct calculation.

Remark: This kind of nonlocal symmetry has appeared in [9] when $\mu=0$.
In the following, we would like to present two sets of negative KP hierarchies:

## Case 1:

$$
\mu=0, g=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} g_{i} \lambda^{i}, h=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} h_{i} \lambda^{i}
$$

We have one negative KP hierarchy

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{t-2 n-1}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{n!} f \int^{x} \frac{\left.\left(\frac{\partial^{n}(g h)}{\partial \lambda^{n}}\right)\right|_{\lambda=0}}{f^{2}} d x^{\prime}  \tag{27}\\
& \left(D_{y}+D_{x}^{2}-\lambda\right) f \cdot g=0  \tag{28}\\
& \left(D_{y}+D_{x}^{2}-\lambda\right) h \cdot f=0 \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

i.e.

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{x} D_{t-2 n-1} f \cdot f & =\sum_{i=0}^{n} g_{i} h_{n-i}  \tag{30}\\
\left(D_{y}+D_{x}^{2}\right) f \cdot g_{i} & =f g_{i-1}  \tag{31}\\
\left(D_{y}+D_{x}^{2}\right) h_{i} \cdot f & =h_{i-1} f \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

with $g_{-1}=h_{-1}=0$. Obviously, equations (30)-(32) constitute bilinear form for the NKP hierarchy (7)-(9) with $\alpha=1$. In consideration of the fact that there is only one $\tau$ function appeared in the bilinear form for positive KP hierarchy, it is natural to inquire whether we may also transform (30)-(32) into a set of bilinear equations with only one $\tau$ function. The answer is affirmative. In the following, through concrete examples, we will show that by introducing a sequence of additional variables $m, z_{1}, z_{2}, \cdots$, equations (30)-(32) may be transformed into a set of bilinear equations with one $\tau$-function.

Example 1: $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{0}$. In this case, we set $f=f(m), g_{0}=f(m-1), h_{0}=f(m+1)$, Then $t_{-1}$-flow of the NKP hierarchy (30)-(32) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{x} D_{t_{-1}} f \cdot f=e^{D_{m}} f \cdot f  \tag{33}\\
& \left(D_{y}+D_{x}^{2}\right) e^{\frac{1}{2} D_{m}} f \cdot f=0 \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

where Hirota's bilinear difference operator $\exp \left(\delta D_{m}\right)$ is defined by

$$
\left.\exp \left(\delta D_{m}\right) a(m) \cdot b(m) \equiv \exp \left[\delta\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial m}-\frac{\partial}{\partial m^{\prime}}\right)\right] a(m) b\left(m^{\prime}\right)\right|_{m^{\prime}=m}=a(m+\delta) b(m-\delta)
$$

Example 2: $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{1}$. In this case, we set

$$
f=f(m), g_{0}=f(m-1), h_{0}=f(m+1), g_{1}=-f_{z_{1}}(m-1), h_{1}=f_{z_{1}}(m+1)
$$

Then $t_{-3}$-flow of the NKP hierarchy (30)-(32) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{x} D_{t_{-3}} f \cdot f=D_{z_{1}} e^{D_{m}} f \cdot f  \tag{35}\\
& \left(D_{y}+D_{x}^{2}\right) e^{\frac{1}{2} D_{m}} f \cdot f=0  \tag{36}\\
& D_{z_{1}}\left(D_{y}+D_{x}^{2}\right) e^{\frac{1}{2} D_{m}} f \cdot f=2 e^{\frac{1}{2} D_{m}} f \cdot f \tag{37}
\end{align*}
$$

Example 3: $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{2}$. In this case, we set

$$
\begin{gathered}
f=f(m), g_{0}=f(m-1), h_{0}=f(m+1), g_{1}=-f_{z_{1}}(m-1), h_{1}=f_{z_{1}}(m+1), \\
g_{2}=\frac{1}{2} f_{z_{1} z_{1}}(m-1)-f_{z_{2}}(m-1), h_{2}=\frac{1}{2} f_{z_{1} z_{1}}(m+1)+f_{z_{2}}(m+1)
\end{gathered}
$$

Then $t_{-5}$-flow of the NKP hierarchy (30)-(32) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{x} D_{t_{-5}} f \cdot f=\left(\frac{1}{2} D_{z_{1}}^{2}+D_{z_{2}}\right) e^{D_{m}} f \cdot f  \tag{38}\\
& \left(D_{y}+D_{x}^{2}\right) e^{\frac{1}{2} D_{m}} f \cdot f=0  \tag{39}\\
& D_{z_{1}}\left(D_{y}+D_{x}^{2}\right) e^{\frac{1}{2} D_{m}} f \cdot f=2 e^{\frac{1}{2} D_{m}} f \cdot f  \tag{40}\\
& \left(\frac{1}{2} D_{z_{1}}^{2}+D_{z_{2}}\right)\left(D_{y}+D_{x}^{2}\right) e^{\frac{1}{2} D_{m}} f \cdot f=D_{z_{1}} e^{\frac{1}{2} D_{m}} f \cdot f \tag{41}
\end{align*}
$$

In general, along this line, we may construct bilinear equations with one $\tau$-function for the NKP hierarchy (30)-(32) step by step.

Case 2:

$$
\lambda=0, g=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} g_{i} \mu^{i}, h=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} h_{i} \mu^{i}
$$

In this case, we have another NKP hierarchy

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{t_{-n-1}}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{n!} f \int^{x} \frac{\left.\left(\frac{\partial^{n}(g h)}{\partial \mu^{n}}\right)\right|_{\mu=0}}{f^{2}} d x^{\prime}  \tag{42}\\
& \left(D_{y}+D_{x}^{2}+\mu D_{x}\right) f \cdot g=0  \tag{43}\\
& \left(D_{y}+D_{x}^{2}+\mu D_{x}\right) h \cdot f=0 \tag{44}
\end{align*}
$$

i.e.

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{x} D_{t_{-n-1}} f \cdot f=\sum_{i=0}^{n} g_{i} h_{n-i}  \tag{45}\\
& \left(D_{y}+D_{x}^{2}\right) f \cdot g_{i}=-D_{x} f \cdot g_{i-1}  \tag{46}\\
& \left(D_{y}+D_{x}^{2}\right) h_{i} \cdot f=-D_{x} h_{i-1} \cdot f \tag{47}
\end{align*}
$$

with $g_{-1}=h_{-1}=0$
Again, we may construct bilinear equations with one $\tau$-function for the NKP hierarchy (45)- (47) by introducing a sequence of additional variables $m, z_{1}, z_{2}, \cdots$. Here we just consider two simplest examples.

Example 4: $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{0}$ In this case, we set $f=f(m), g_{0}=f(m-1), h_{0}=f(m+1)$, Then $t_{-1}$-flow of the NKP hierarchy (45)-(47) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{x} D_{t_{-1}} f \cdot f=e^{D_{m}} f \cdot f  \tag{48}\\
& \left(D_{y}+D_{x}^{2}\right) e^{\frac{1}{2} D_{m}} f \cdot f=0 \tag{49}
\end{align*}
$$

which is the same as Example 1.
Example 5: $\mathbf{n}=1$ In this case, we set $f=f(m), g_{0}=f(m-1), h_{0}=f(m+1), g_{1}=f_{z_{1}}(m-1), h_{1}=$ $f_{z_{1}}(m+1)$. Then $t_{-2}$-flow of the NKP hierarchy (45)-(47) become

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{x} D_{t-2} f \cdot f=D_{z_{1}} e^{D_{m}} f \cdot f  \tag{50}\\
& \left(D_{y}+D_{x}^{2}\right) e^{\frac{1}{2} D_{m}} f \cdot f=0  \tag{51}\\
& {\left[D_{z_{1}}\left(D_{y}+D_{x}^{2}\right) e^{\frac{1}{2} D_{m}}+2 D_{x} e^{\frac{1}{2} D_{m}}\right] f \cdot f=0} \tag{52}
\end{align*}
$$

Furthermore, concerning equations (50)-(52), we have the following result:
Proposition 2. A Bäcklund transformation for (50)-(52) is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(D_{x} e^{-\frac{D_{m}}{2}}-\lambda e^{-\frac{D_{m}}{2}}-\mu e^{\frac{D_{m}}{2}}\right) f \cdot g=0  \tag{53}\\
& \left(D_{x}^{2}+D_{y}-2 \lambda D_{x}\right) f \cdot g=0  \tag{54}\\
& \left(D_{x} D_{z} e^{-\frac{D_{m}}{2}}+\mu D_{z} e^{\frac{D_{m}}{2}}-\lambda D_{z} e^{-\frac{D_{m}}{2}}+\gamma e^{\frac{D_{m}}{2}}+e^{-\frac{D_{m}}{2}}\right) f \cdot g=0 .  \tag{55}\\
& \left(D_{t}-\frac{1}{2 \mu} D_{z} e^{-D_{m}}-\frac{\gamma}{4 \mu^{2}} e^{-D_{m}}+\zeta\right) f \cdot g=0 \tag{56}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\lambda, \mu, \gamma$ and $\zeta$ are arbitrary constants, and $z \equiv z_{1}, t_{-2} \equiv t$ for short.

Proof. Let $f(n)$ be a solution of Eqs. (50)-(52). What we need to prove is that the function $g$ satisfying (53)-(56) is another solution of Eqs. (50) and (52), i.e.,

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{1} & \equiv\left[D_{x} D_{t-2}-D_{z_{1}} e^{D_{m}}\right] g \cdot g=0  \tag{57}\\
P_{2} & \equiv\left(D_{y}+D_{x}^{2}\right) e^{\frac{1}{2} D_{m}} g \cdot g=0  \tag{58}\\
P_{3} & \equiv\left[D_{z_{1}}\left(D_{y}+D_{x}^{2}\right) e^{\frac{1}{2} D_{m}}+2 D_{x} e^{\frac{1}{2} D_{m}}\right] g \cdot g=0 \tag{59}
\end{align*}
$$

In analogy with the proof already given in [18], we know that $P_{2}=0$ and $P_{3}=0$ hold. Thus it suffices to show that $P_{1}=0$. In this regard, by using (A1)-(A6), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&- P_{1} f^{2}= \\
&=2 D_{x}\left(D_{t} f \cdot g\right) \cdot f g-2 D_{z} \cosh \left(\frac{1}{2} D_{m}\right)\left(e^{\frac{1}{2} D_{m}} f \cdot g\right) \cdot\left(e^{-\frac{1}{2} D_{m}} f \cdot g\right) \\
&= 2 D_{x}\left(D_{t} f \cdot g\right) \cdot f g-\frac{2}{\mu} D_{z} \cosh \left(\frac{1}{2} D_{m}\right)\left(D_{x} e^{-\frac{1}{2} D_{m}} f \cdot g\right) \cdot\left(e^{-\frac{1}{2} D_{m}} f \cdot g\right) \\
& \quad-\frac{1}{\mu} D_{x} f g \cdot\left(D_{z} e^{-D_{m}} f\left(D_{z} f \cdot g\right)\right. \\
&=2 D_{x}\left[\left(D_{t}-\frac{1}{\mu} D_{z} e^{-D_{m}}\right) f \cdot g\right] \cdot f g \\
& \quad \quad-\frac{2}{\mu} \sinh \left(\frac{1}{2} D_{m}\right)\left[\left(D_{x} D_{z} e^{-\frac{1}{2} D_{m}} f \cdot g\right)+f g \cdot\left(D_{z} e^{-D_{m}} f \cdot g\right)\right] \\
&=2 D_{x}\left[\left(D_{t}-\frac{1}{\mu} D_{z} e^{-D_{m}}\right) f \cdot g\right] \cdot f g \\
& \quad \quad-\frac{2}{\mu} \sinh \left(\frac{1}{2} D_{m}\right)\left\{\left[\left(-\mu D_{z} e^{\frac{1}{2} D_{m}}+\lambda D_{z} e^{-\frac{1}{2} D_{m}}-\gamma e^{\frac{1}{2} D_{m}}\right) f \cdot g\right)\right] \cdot\left(e^{-\frac{1}{2} D_{m}} f \cdot g\right) \\
&\left.\quad+\left[\left(\lambda e^{-\frac{1}{2} D_{m}}+\mu e^{\frac{1}{2} D_{m}}\right) f \cdot g\right] \cdot\left(D_{z} e^{-\frac{1}{2} D_{m}} f \cdot g\right)\right\} \\
&=2 D_{x}\left[\left(D_{t}-\frac{1}{\mu} D_{z} e^{-D_{m}}\right) f \cdot g\right] \cdot f g \\
& \quad+2 \sinh \left(\frac{1}{2} D_{m}\right)\left[\left(D_{z} e^{\frac{1}{2} D_{m}} f \cdot g\right) \cdot\left(e^{-\frac{1}{2} D_{m}} f \cdot g\right)-\left(e^{\frac{1}{2} D_{m}} f \cdot g\right) \cdot\left(D_{z} e^{-\frac{1}{2} D_{m}} f \cdot g\right)\right] \\
& \quad+\frac{2 \gamma}{\mu} \sinh \left(\frac{1}{2} D_{m}\right)\left(e^{\frac{1}{2} D_{m}} f \cdot g\right) \cdot\left(e^{-\frac{1}{2} D_{m}} f \cdot g\right) \\
&=\left.\left.\quad+2 D_{z}^{-\frac{1}{2} D_{m}} f \cdot g\right)\right] \\
&=2 D_{x}\left[\left(D_{t}-\frac{1}{\mu} D_{z} e^{-D_{m}}\right) f \cdot g\right] \cdot f g \\
&=2 D_{x}\left[\left(D_{t}-\frac{1}{2 \mu} D_{z} e^{-D_{m}}\right) f \cdot g\right] \cdot f g+\frac{\gamma}{\mu^{2}} \sinh \left(\frac{1}{2} D_{m}\right)\left(D_{x} e^{-\frac{1}{2} D_{m}} f \cdot g\right) \cdot\left(e^{-\frac{1}{2} D_{m}} f \cdot g\right) \\
&=2 D_{x}\left[\left(D_{t}-\frac{1}{2 \mu} D_{z} e^{-D_{m}}-\frac{\gamma}{4 \mu^{2}} e^{-D_{m}}\right) f \cdot g\right] \cdot f g=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Next, what we want to mention is that positive KP hierarchy may be derived from the same nonlocal symmetry (25) but with a different expansion. Actually we may consider the following situation:

Case 3:

$$
\lambda=0, g=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} g_{i} \mu^{-i}, h=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} h_{i} \mu^{-i} .
$$

In this case, we have the following KP hierarchy

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{t_{n-1}}=(-1)^{n} \frac{1}{2^{n}} \frac{1}{n!} f \int^{x} \frac{\left.\left(\frac{\partial^{n}(g h)}{\partial \mu^{n}}\right)\right|_{\mu=0}}{f^{2}} d x^{\prime}  \tag{60}\\
& \left(D_{y}+D_{x}^{2}+\mu D_{x}\right) f \cdot g=0  \tag{61}\\
& \left(D_{y}+D_{x}^{2}+\mu D_{x}\right) h \cdot f=0 \tag{62}
\end{align*}
$$

i.e.

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{x} D_{t_{n-1}} f \cdot f=(-1)^{n} \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \sum_{i=0}^{n} g_{i} h_{n-i},  \tag{63}\\
& \left(D_{y}+D_{x}^{2}\right) f \cdot g_{i}=-D_{x} f \cdot g_{i+1},  \tag{64}\\
& \left(D_{y}+D_{x}^{2}\right) h_{i} \cdot f=-D_{x} h_{i+1} \cdot f \tag{65}
\end{align*}
$$

with $g_{0}=h_{0}=f$.
By direct calculations, we have,

$$
g_{1}=2 f_{x}, g_{2}=2\left(-f_{y}+f_{x x}\right), g_{3}=\frac{8}{3} f_{t_{3}}+\frac{4}{3} f_{x x x}-4 f_{x y}, \cdots
$$

and

$$
h_{1}=-2 f_{x}, h_{2}=2\left(f_{y}+f_{x x}\right), h_{3}=-\frac{8}{3} f_{t_{3}}-\frac{4}{3} f_{x x x}-4 f_{x y}, \cdots
$$

from which we have

$$
D_{x} D_{t_{1}} f \cdot f=D_{x}^{2} f \cdot f, \quad D_{x} D_{t_{2}} f \cdot f=D_{x} D_{y} f \cdot f
$$

which means we may choose $t_{1} \equiv x$ and $t_{2} \equiv y$.
Remark In Sato theory, there is a famous generating formula for deriving all the bilinear equations of the KP hierarchy [24--27]

## 3 Nonlocal symmetries for the bilinear BKP equation and its application

In this section, we will consider nonlocal symmetry for bilinear BKP equation and its application to generating negative and positive BKP hierarchies. The bilinear BKP reads [28]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(D_{x}^{6}-5 D_{x}^{3} D_{y}-5 D_{y}^{2}+9 D_{x} D_{t}\right) f \cdot f=0 . \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

Its bilinear BT is given as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(D_{x}^{3}-D_{y}\right) f \cdot g=0,  \tag{67}\\
& \left(D_{x}^{5}+5 D_{x}^{2} D_{y}-6 D_{t}\right) f \cdot g=0, \tag{68}
\end{align*}
$$

or equivalently

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(D_{x}^{3}-D_{y}\right) f \cdot h=0,  \tag{69}\\
& \left(D_{x}^{5}+5 D_{x}^{2} D_{y}-6 D_{t}\right) f \cdot h=0 . \tag{70}
\end{align*}
$$

We have the following result 10
Proposition 3. $\sigma$ given by

$$
\sigma=f \int^{x} \frac{D_{x} g \cdot h}{f^{2}} d x^{\prime}
$$

is a nonlocal symmetry for the BKP equation (66), i.e. $\sigma$ satisfies symmetry equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(D_{x}^{6}-5 D_{x}^{3} D_{y}-5 D_{y}^{2}+9 D_{x} D_{t}\right) \sigma \cdot f=0 . \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g$ and $h$ satisfy (67)-(70).
If $g \longrightarrow e^{\lambda y} g, h \longrightarrow e^{-\lambda y} h$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(D_{x}^{3}-D_{y}+\lambda\right) f \cdot g=0  \tag{72}\\
& \left(D_{x}^{5}+5 D_{x}^{2} D_{y}-6 D_{t}-5 \lambda D_{x}^{2}\right) f \cdot g=0  \tag{73}\\
& \left(D_{x}^{3}-D_{y}-\lambda\right) f \cdot h=0  \tag{74}\\
& \left(D_{x}^{5}+5 D_{x}^{2} D_{y}-6 D_{t}+5 \lambda D_{x}^{2}\right) f \cdot h=0 \tag{75}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\sigma=f \int^{x} \frac{D_{x} g \cdot h}{f^{2}} d x^{\prime}
$$

is a nonlocal symmetry. In the following, we would like to derive a hierarchy of negative BKP equations. For this purpose, by considering

$$
g=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} g_{i} \lambda^{i}, h=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} h_{i} \lambda^{i}
$$

we may write down the following negative BKP hierarchy

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{t-3 n-1}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{n!} f \int^{x} \frac{\left.\left(\frac{\partial^{n}\left(D_{x} g \cdot h\right)}{\partial \lambda^{n}}\right)\right|_{\lambda=0}}{f^{2}} d x^{\prime}  \tag{76}\\
& \left(D_{x}^{3}-D_{y}+\lambda\right) f \cdot g=0  \tag{77}\\
& \left(D_{x}^{3}-D_{y}+\lambda\right) h \cdot f=0 \tag{78}
\end{align*}
$$

i.e.

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{x} D_{t-3 n-1} f \cdot f=\sum_{i=0}^{n} D_{x} g_{i} \cdot h_{n-i}  \tag{79}\\
& \left(D_{x}^{3}-D_{y}\right) f \cdot g_{i}+f g_{i-1}=0  \tag{80}\\
& \left(D_{x}^{3}-D_{y}\right) h_{i} \cdot f+h_{i-1} f=0 \tag{81}
\end{align*}
$$

with $g_{-1}=h_{-1}=0$. Again, in consideration of the fact that there is only one $\tau$ function appeared in the bilinear form for positive BKP hierarchy, it is natural to inquire as to how to rewrite (79)-(81) into a set of bilinear equations with only one $\tau$ function. In the following, we will give some illustrative examples to show that by introducing a sequence of additional variables $m, z_{1}, z_{2}, \cdots$, (79)-(81) may be transformed into a set of bilinear equations with one dependent variable $f$.

Example 6: $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{0}$. In this case, we set $f=f(m), g_{0}=f(m-1), h_{0}=f(m+1)$. Then $t_{-1}$-flow of the NBKP hierarchy (79)-(81) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{x} D_{t-1} f \cdot f=-D_{x} e^{D_{m}} f \cdot f  \tag{82}\\
& \left(D_{x}^{3}-D_{y}\right) e^{\frac{1}{2} D_{m}} f \cdot f=0 \tag{83}
\end{align*}
$$

Example 7: $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{1}$. In this case, we set

$$
f=f(m), g_{0}=f(m-1), h_{0}=f(m+1), g_{1}=-f_{z_{1}}(m-1), h_{1}=f_{z_{1}}(m+1)
$$

Then $t_{-2}$-flow of the NBKP hierarchy (79)-(81) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{x} D_{t_{-4}} f \cdot f=-D_{x} D_{z_{1}} e^{D_{m}} f \cdot f  \tag{84}\\
& \left(D_{x}^{3}-D_{y}\right) e^{\frac{1}{2} D_{m}} f \cdot f=0  \tag{85}\\
& D_{z_{1}}\left(D_{x}^{3}-D_{y}\right) e^{\frac{1}{2} D_{m}} f \cdot f+2 e^{\frac{1}{2} D_{m}} f \cdot f=0 \tag{86}
\end{align*}
$$

In general, along this line, we may rewrite the NBKP hierarchy (79)-(81) in terms of one $\tau$ function, step by step.
On the other hand, if $g \longrightarrow e^{k x+k^{3} y+k^{5} t} g, h \longrightarrow e^{-k x-k^{3} y-k^{5} t} h$, we have from (67)-(70) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(D_{x}^{3}-D_{y}-3 k D_{x}^{2}+3 k^{2} D_{x}\right) f \cdot g=0  \tag{87}\\
& \left(D_{x}^{5}+5 D_{x}^{2} D_{y}-6 D_{t}-5 k D_{x}^{4}+5 k^{2} D_{x}^{3}+10 k^{2} D_{y}-10 k D_{x} D_{y}\right) f \cdot g=0  \tag{88}\\
& \left(D_{x}^{3}-D_{y}-3 k D_{x}^{2}+3 k^{2} D_{x}\right) h \cdot f=0  \tag{89}\\
& \left(D_{x}^{5}+5 D_{x}^{2} D_{y}-6 D_{t}-5 k D_{x}^{4}+5 k^{2} D_{x}^{3}+10 k^{2} D_{y}-10 k D_{x} D_{y}\right) h \cdot f=0 \tag{90}
\end{align*}
$$

and $\sigma$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma=f \int^{x} \frac{\left(D_{x}+2 k\right) g \cdot h}{f^{2}} d x^{\prime} \tag{91}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a nonlocal symmetry. In this case, by expanding $g$ and $h$ as follows

$$
g=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} g_{i} k^{i}, h=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} h_{i} k^{i},
$$

we may write down another negative BKP hierarchy

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{t-n-1}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{n!} f \int^{x} \frac{\left.\left(\frac{\partial^{n}\left(\left(D_{x}+2 k\right) g \cdot h\right)}{\partial k^{n}}\right)\right|_{k=0}}{f^{2}} d x^{\prime}  \tag{92}\\
& \left(D_{x}^{3}-D_{y}-3 k D_{x}^{2}+3 k^{2} D_{x}\right) f \cdot g=0  \tag{93}\\
& \left(D_{x}^{3}-D_{y}-3 k D_{x}^{2}+3 k^{2} D_{x}\right) h \cdot f=0 \tag{94}
\end{align*}
$$

i.e.

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{x} D_{t-n-1} f \cdot f=\sum_{i=0}^{n} D_{x} g_{i} \cdot h_{n-i}+2 \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} g_{i} h_{n-1-i}  \tag{95}\\
& \left(D_{x}^{3}-D_{y}\right) f \cdot g_{i}-3 D_{x}^{2} f \cdot g_{i-1}+3 D_{x} f \cdot g_{i-2}=0  \tag{96}\\
& \left(D_{x}^{3}-D_{y}\right) h_{i} \cdot f-3 D_{x}^{2} h_{i-1} \cdot f+3 D_{x} h_{i-2} \cdot f=0 \tag{97}
\end{align*}
$$

with $g_{-2}=g_{-1}=0, h_{-2}=h_{-1}=0$.
In the following, we want to show you how to rewrite (95)-97) into bilinear equations with only one $\tau$ function through some illustrative examples:

Example 8: $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{0}$. In this case, we set $f=f(m), g_{0}=f(m-1), h_{0}=f(m+1)$. Then $t_{-1}$-flow of the NBKP hierarchy (95)-(97) become

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{x} D_{t_{-1}} f \cdot f=-D_{x} e^{D_{m}} f \cdot f  \tag{98}\\
& \left(D_{x}^{3}-D_{y}\right) e^{\frac{1}{2} D_{m}} f \cdot f=0 \tag{99}
\end{align*}
$$

which coincides with (82) and (83).
Concerning (98) and (99), we have the following result:
Proposition 4. A Bäcklund transformation for (98) and (99) is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(D_{x} e^{\frac{D_{m}}{2}}-\lambda D_{x} e^{-\frac{D_{m}}{2}}-\mu e^{\frac{D_{m}}{2}}+\lambda \mu e^{-\frac{D_{m}}{2}}\right) f \cdot g=0  \tag{100}\\
& \left(D_{x}^{3}-D_{y}-3 \mu D_{x}^{2}+3 \mu^{2} D_{x}+\gamma\right) f \cdot g=0  \tag{101}\\
& \left(D_{t_{-1}}+\frac{1}{2 \lambda} e^{D_{m}}-\frac{\lambda}{2} e^{D_{m}}+\zeta\right) f \cdot g=0 \tag{102}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\lambda, \mu, \gamma$ and $\zeta$ are arbitrary constants.
Proof. Let $f(m)$ be a solution of Eqs. (98) and (99). If we can show that Eqs. (100)-(102) guarantee that the following two relations:

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{1} & \equiv\left(D_{x} D_{t_{-1}}+D_{x} e^{D_{m}}\right) g \cdot g=0  \tag{103}\\
P_{2} & \equiv\left(D_{x}^{3}-D_{y}\right) e^{\frac{1}{2} D_{m}} g \cdot g=0 \tag{104}
\end{align*}
$$

hold, then Eqs. (100)-(102) form a Bäcklund transformation.
In analogy with the proof already given in [29], we know that $P_{2}=0$ holds. Thus it suffices to show that $P_{1}=0$. In this regard, by using (A6)-(A7), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -P_{1} f^{2}=2 D_{x}\left(D_{t_{-1}} f \cdot g\right) \cdot f g+2 \sinh \left(\frac{1}{2} D_{m}\right)\left[\left(D_{x} e^{\frac{1}{2} D_{m}} f \cdot g\right) \cdot\left(e^{-\frac{1}{2} D_{m}} f \cdot g\right)-\left(e^{\frac{1}{2} D_{m}} f \cdot g\right) \cdot\left(D_{x} e^{-\frac{1}{2} D_{m}} f \cdot g\right)\right] \\
& =2 D_{x}\left(D_{t_{-1}} f \cdot g\right) \cdot f g+2 \sinh \left(\frac{1}{2} D_{m}\right)\left[\left(D_{x} e^{\frac{1}{2} D_{m}}-\lambda D_{x} e^{-\frac{1}{2} D_{m}}\right) f \cdot g\right] \cdot\left[\left(-\frac{1}{\lambda} e^{\frac{1}{2} D_{m}}+e^{-\frac{1}{2} D_{m}}\right) f \cdot g\right] \\
& \quad+2 \sinh \left(\frac{1}{2} D_{m}\right)\left[\frac{1}{\lambda}\left(D_{x} e^{\frac{1}{2} D_{m}} f \cdot g\right) \cdot\left(e^{\frac{1}{2} D_{m}} f \cdot g\right)+\lambda\left(D_{x} e^{-\frac{1}{2} D_{m}} f \cdot g\right) \cdot\left(e^{-\frac{1}{2} D_{m}} f \cdot g\right)\right] \\
& =2 D_{x}\left(D_{t_{-1}} f \cdot g\right) \cdot f g+\frac{1}{\lambda} D_{x}\left(e^{D_{m}} f \cdot g\right) \cdot f g-\lambda D_{x}\left(e^{-D_{m}} f \cdot g\right) \cdot f g=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Example 9: $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{1}$. In this case, we set

$$
f=f(m), g_{0}=f(m-1), h_{0}=f(m+1), g_{1}=-f_{z_{1}}(m-1), h_{1}=f_{z_{1}}(m+1)
$$

Then $t_{-2}$-flow of the NBKP hierarchy (30)-(32) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{x} D_{t_{-2}} f \cdot f=\left(-D_{x} D_{z_{1}} e^{D_{m}}+2 e^{D_{m}}\right) f \cdot f  \tag{105}\\
& \left(D_{x}^{3}-D_{y}\right) e^{\frac{1}{2} D_{m}} f \cdot f=0  \tag{106}\\
& D_{z_{1}}\left(D_{x}^{3}-D_{y}\right) e^{\frac{1}{2} D_{m}} f \cdot f-6 D_{x}^{2} e^{\frac{1}{2} D_{m}} f \cdot f=0 \tag{107}
\end{align*}
$$

Example 10: $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{2}$. In this case, we set

$$
\begin{gathered}
f=f(m), g_{0}=f(m-1), h_{0}=f(m+1), g_{1}=-f_{z_{1}}(m-1), h_{1}=f_{z_{1}}(m+1) \\
g_{2}=-f_{z_{2}}(m-1)+\frac{1}{2} f_{z_{1} z_{1}}(m-1), \quad h_{2}=f_{z_{2}}(m+1)+\frac{1}{2} f_{z_{1} z_{1}}(m+1)
\end{gathered}
$$

Then $t_{-3}$-flow of the NBKP hierarchy (30)-(32) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{x} D_{t-3} f \cdot f=\left(-D_{x} D_{z_{2}} e^{D_{m}}-\frac{1}{2} D_{x} D_{z_{1}}^{2} e^{D_{m}}+2 D_{z_{1}} e^{D_{m}}\right) f \cdot f  \tag{108}\\
& \left(D_{x}^{3}-D_{y}\right) e^{\frac{1}{2} D_{m}} f \cdot f=0  \tag{109}\\
& D_{z_{1}}\left(D_{x}^{3}-D_{y}\right) e^{\frac{1}{2} D_{m}} f \cdot f-6 D_{x}^{2} e^{\frac{1}{2} D_{m}} f \cdot f=0  \tag{110}\\
& {\left[D_{z_{2}}\left(D_{x}^{3}-D_{y}\right) e^{\frac{1}{2} D_{m}}+\frac{1}{2} D_{z_{1}}^{2}\left(D_{x}^{3}-D_{y}\right) e^{\frac{1}{2} D_{m}}-3 D_{x}^{2} D_{z_{1}} e^{\frac{1}{2} D_{m}}+6 D_{x} e^{\frac{1}{2} D_{m}}\right] f \cdot f=0} \tag{111}
\end{align*}
$$

In general, along this line, we may rewrite the NBKP hierarchy (79)-(81) in terms of one $\tau$ function, step by step.

Finally, similarly as in section 2, we want to mention that positive BKP hierarchy may be derived from the same nonlocal symmetry (91) but with a different expansion. Actually we may consider the following situation:

Case 3:

$$
g=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} g_{i} k^{-i}, h=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} h_{i} k^{-i}
$$

In this case, we have the following BKP hierarchy

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{t_{n-1}}=\frac{1}{4}(-1)^{n} \frac{1}{n!} f \int^{x} \frac{\left.\left(\frac{\partial^{n}\left(\left(D_{x}+2 k\right) g \cdot h\right)}{\partial k^{n}}\right)\right|_{k=0}}{f^{2}} d x^{\prime}  \tag{112}\\
& \left(D_{x}^{3}-D_{y}-3 k D_{x}^{2}+3 k^{2} D_{x}\right) f \cdot g=0  \tag{113}\\
& \left(D_{x}^{3}-D_{y}-3 k D_{x}^{2}+3 k^{2} D_{x}\right) h \cdot f=0 \tag{114}
\end{align*}
$$

i.e.

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{x} D_{t_{n-1}} f \cdot f=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{n} D_{x} g_{i} \cdot h_{n-i}+\sum_{i=0}^{n+1} g_{i} h_{n+1-i}  \tag{115}\\
& \left(D_{x}^{3}-D_{y}\right) f \cdot g_{i}-3 D_{x}^{2} f \cdot g_{i+1}+3 D_{x} f \cdot g_{i+2}=0  \tag{116}\\
& \left(D_{x}^{3}-D_{y}\right) h_{i} \cdot f-3 D_{x}^{2} h_{i+1} \cdot f+3 D_{x} h_{i+2} \cdot f=0 \tag{117}
\end{align*}
$$

with $g_{0}=h_{0}=f$.
By direct calculations, we have,

$$
g_{1}=-2 f_{x}, h_{1}=2 f_{x}, g_{2}=h_{2}=2 f_{x x}, g_{3}=-\frac{2}{3} f_{y}-\frac{4}{3} f_{x x x}, h_{3}=\frac{2}{3} f_{y}+\frac{4}{3} f_{x x x}, g_{4}=h_{4}=\frac{4}{3} f_{x y}+\frac{2}{3} f_{x x x x}, \cdots
$$

from which we have

$$
D_{x} D_{t_{1}} f \cdot f=D_{x}^{2} f \cdot f \quad D_{x} D_{t_{2}} f \cdot f=0, \quad D_{x} D_{t_{3}} f \cdot f=D_{x} D_{y} f \cdot f
$$

which means we may choose $t_{1} \equiv x$ and $t_{3} \equiv y$, and $f_{t_{2}}=0$, i.e. $f$ is $t_{2}$-independent.

## 4 Conclusion and discussions

In this paper, we have investigated nonlocal symmetries for the bilinear KP and bilinear BKP equations. By expanding these nonlocal symmetries, we have derived two types of bilinear NKP hierarchies and two types of bilinear NBKP hierarchies. Interesting thing is that bilinear positive and negative KP and BKP hierarchies may be derived from the same nonlocal symmetries for the KP and BKP equations. It still remain unclear what kind of explicit relations will exist between the obtained two NKP hierarchies or two NBKP hierarchies. Our study strongly suggests that these obtained NKP hierarchies and NBKP hierarchies should have many nice integrable properties. For example, we have given a bilinear BT for $t_{-2}$-flow of the NKP hierarchy (45)-(47) and a bilinear BT for $t_{-1}$-flow of the NBKP hierarchy (98) and (99). We can also consider bilinear BTs for other members of these NKP and NBKP hierarchies. Furthermore, using BT (53)-(55) and BT (100)-(102), we can obtain soliton solutions for $t_{-2}$-flow of the NKP hierarchy (45)-(47) and $t_{-1}$-flow (98)-(99) of the NBKP hierarchy. As for structures of $\tau$ functions for these NKP and NBKP hierarchies, further work needs to be done. Besides, from Proposition 3, we know that in paticular, if we choose $h=f$, we have $\sigma=g$ is a nonlocal symmetry for the BKP equation. Then $\Sigma=g+C x f$ is also a symmetry for the BKP equation (66). In this case, we may derive the following negative BKP equation from the symmetry $\Sigma$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{t-1}=g+C x f  \tag{118}\\
& \left(D_{x}^{3}-D_{y}\right) f \cdot g=0 \tag{119}
\end{align*}
$$

from which we have

$$
\left[D_{t_{-1}}\left(D_{y}-D_{x}^{3}\right)+6 C D_{x}^{2}\right] f \cdot f=0
$$

If $C=\frac{1}{2}$, then

$$
\left[D_{t_{-1}}\left(D_{y}-D_{x}^{3}\right)+3 D_{x}^{2}\right] f \cdot f=0
$$

which coincides with $B K P_{-1}$ given in Hirota's book 21].
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## Appendix A. Hirota bilinear operator identities.

The following bilinear operator identities hold for arbitrary functions $a, b, c$, and $d$.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(D_{x} D_{t} a \cdot a\right) b^{2}-a^{2} D_{x} D_{t} b \cdot b=2 D_{x}\left(D_{t} a \cdot b\right) \cdot a b .  \tag{A1}\\
\left(D_{z} e^{D_{m}} a \cdot a\right) b^{2}-a^{2} D_{z} e^{D_{m}} b \cdot b=2 D_{z} \cosh \left(\frac{1}{2} D_{m}\right)\left(e^{\frac{1}{2} D_{m}} a \cdot b\right) \cdot\left(e^{-\frac{1}{2} D_{m}} a \cdot b\right)  \tag{A2}\\
2 D_{z} \cosh \left(\frac{1}{2} D_{m}\right)\left(D_{x} a \cdot b\right) \cdot a b=D_{x}\left[\left(D_{z} e^{\frac{1}{2} D_{m}} a \cdot b\right) \cdot\left(e^{-\frac{1}{2} D_{m}} a \cdot b\right)-\left(e^{\frac{1}{2} D_{m}} a \cdot b\right) \cdot\left(D_{z} e^{-\frac{1}{2} D_{m}} a \cdot b\right)\right]  \tag{A3}\\
D_{x}\left[\left(D_{z} a \cdot b\right) \cdot\left(e^{-D_{m}} a \cdot b\right)+a b \cdot\left(D_{z} e^{-D_{m}} a \cdot b\right)\right] \\
=2 \sinh \left(\frac{1}{2} D_{m}\right)\left[\left(D_{x} D_{z} e^{-\frac{1}{2} D_{m}} a \cdot b\right) \cdot\left(e^{-\frac{1}{2} D_{m}} a \cdot b\right)+\left(D_{x} e^{-\frac{1}{2} D_{m}} a \cdot b\right) \cdot\left(D_{z} e^{-\frac{1}{2} D_{m}} a \cdot b\right)\right]  \tag{A4}\\
\sinh \left(\frac{1}{2} D_{m}\right)\left[\left(D_{z} e^{\frac{1}{2} D_{m}} a \cdot b\right) \cdot\left(e^{-\frac{1}{2} D_{m}} a \cdot b\right)-\left(e^{\frac{1}{2} D_{m}} a \cdot b\right) \cdot\left(D_{z} e^{-\frac{1}{2} D_{m}} a \cdot b\right)\right]=D_{z} \cosh \left(\frac{1}{2} D_{m}\right)\left(e^{\frac{1}{2} D_{m}} a \cdot b\right) \cdot\left(e^{-\frac{1}{2} D_{m}} a \cdot b\right)  \tag{A6}\\
2 \sinh \left(\frac{1}{2} D_{m}\right)\left(D_{x} a \cdot b\right) \cdot a b=D_{x}\left(e^{\frac{1}{2} D_{m}} a \cdot b\right) \cdot\left(e^{-\frac{1}{2} D_{m}} a \cdot b\right)  \tag{A5}\\
\left(D_{x} e^{D_{m}} a \cdot a\right) b^{2}-a^{2} D_{x} e^{D_{m}} b \cdot b=2 \sinh \left(\frac{1}{2} D_{m}\right)\left[\left(D_{x} e^{\frac{1}{2} D_{m}} a \cdot b\right) \cdot\left(e^{-\frac{1}{2} D_{m}} a \cdot b\right)-\left(e^{\frac{1}{2} D_{m}} a \cdot b\right) \cdot\left(D_{x} e^{-\frac{1}{2} D_{m}} a \cdot b\right)\right] \tag{A7}
\end{gather*}
$$

## References

[1] P. J. Olver, Applications of Lie groups to differential equations. Lecture Notes. Oxford University, Mathematical Institute, Oxford,1980.
[2] G.W. Bluman and S. Kumei, Symmetries and differential equations. Applied Mathematical Sciences, 81. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989.
[3] S. Y. Lou, Integrable models constructed from the symmetries of the modified KdV equation, Phys. Lett. B 302 (1993), nos. 2-3, 261-264.
[4] S. Y. Lou, Symmetries of the KdV equation and four hierarchies of the integrodifferential KdV equation, J. Math. Phys. 35 (1994), no. 5, 2390-2396.
[5] J. Matsukidaira, J. Satsuma and W. Strampp, Conserved quantities and symmetries of KP hierarchy. J. Math. Phys. 31 (1990), no. 6, 1426-1434.
[6] W. Oevel and S. Carillo, Squared eigenfunction symmetries for soliton equations. I, II. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 217 (1998), no. 1, 161-178, 179-199.
[7] W. Oevel and W. Schief, Squared eigenfunctions of the (modified) KP hierarchy and scattering problems of Loewner type. Rev. Math. Phys. 6 (1994), no. 6, 1301-1338.
[8] S.Y. Lou and X. B. Hu, Non-local symmetries via Darboux transformations. J. Phys. A 30 (1997), no. 5, L95-L100.
[9] I. Loris \& R. Willox, KP symmetry reductions and a generalized constraint, J. Phys. A30(1997), no. 19,6925-6938.
[10] I. Loris \& R. Willox, Symmetry reductions of the BKP hierarchy, J. Math.Phys. 40(1999), no. 3,14201431.
[11] S.Y. Lou, Negative Kadomtsev-Petviashvili hierarchy. Phys. Scripta 57 (1998), no. 4, 481-485.
[12] Y. Cheng and Y.S. Li, The constraint of the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation and its special solutions. Phys. Lett. A 157 (1991), no. 1, 22-26.
[13] Y. Cheng, Constraints of the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili hierarchy. J. Math. Phys. 33 (1992), no. 11, 37743782.
[14] B. Konopelchenko, J. Sidorenko and W. Strampp, (1+1)-dimensional integrable systems as symmetry constraints of $(2+1)$-dimensional systems. Phys. Lett. A 157 (1991), no. 1, 17-21.
[15] H. Aratyn, E. Nissimov and S. Pacheva, Method of squared eigenfunction potentials in integrable hierarchies of KP type. Comm. Math. Phys. 193 (1998), no. 3, 493-525.
[16] S.Y. Lou and X.B. Hu, Infinitely many Lax pairs and symmetry constraints of the KP equation. J. Math. Phys. 38 (1997), no. 12, 6401-6427.
[17] Sen-yue Lou, Negative Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation and extension of sinh-Gordon equation, 1994 Phys. Lett.A 187, no. 3, 239-242.
[18] Gegenhasi, X.B. Hu and H.Y. Wang, A $(2+1)$-dimensional sinh-Gordon equation and its Pfaffian generalization. Phys. Lett. A 360 (2007), no. 3, 439-447.
[19] J. H. Li, M. Jia and S. Y. Lou, Kac-Moody-Virasoro symmetry algebra and symmetry reductions of the bilinear sinh-Gordon equation in $(2+1)$-dimensions. J. Phys. A 40 (2007), no. 7, 1585-1595.
[20] M. Jia, J. H. Li and S. Y. Lou, The bilinear negative Kadomtsev-Petviashvili system and its Kac-Moody-Virasoro symmetry group, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 41 (2008), no. 27, 275204.
[21] R. Hirota: Direct method in soliton theory (in Japanese), (Iwanami Shoten), (1992); R. Hirota, Direct method in soliton theory (In English), (Edited and Translated by Nagai, A., Nimmo, J. and Gilson, C., Cambridge University Press, 2004.6).
[22] J. Hietarinta, A search of bilinear equations passing Hirota's three-soliton condition: IV. Complex bilinear equations, J. Math. Phys. 29 (1988), no. 3,628-635
[23] Y. C. Ma and L.G. Redekopp, Some solutions pertaining to. the resonant interaction of long and short waves, Phys. Fluids 22 (1979), no. 10, 1872.
[24] E. Date, M. Kashiwara, M. Jimbo and T. Miwa,Transformation groups for soliton equations. Nonlinear integrable systems - classical theory and quantum theory (Kyoto, 1981), 39-119, World Sci. Publishing, Singapore, 1983.
[25] Y. Ohta, J. Satsuma, D. Takahashi and T. Tokihiro, An elementary introduction to Sato theory. Recent developments in soliton theory. Progr. Theoret. Phys. Suppl. No. 94 (1988), 210-241.
[26] Y. Kodama, Kodama's lecture note, Preprint 2008
[27] R. Willox and J. Satsuma, Sato theory and transformation groups. A unified approach to integrable systems. Discrete integrable systems, 17-55, Lecture Notes in Phys., 644, Springer, Berlin, 2004.
[28] E. Date, M. Jimbo, M. Kashiwara,and T. Miwa, Transformation groups for soliton equations. IV. A new hierarchy of soliton equations of KP-type. Phys. D 4 (1981/82), no. 3, 343-365.
[29] X.B. Hu and H.W. Tam, Bäcklund transformations and Lax pairs for two differential-difference equations, J. Phys. A 34 (2001), no. 48, 10577-10584.

