

# A CHARACTERIZATION OF HILBERT $C^*$ -MODULES OVER FINITE DIMENSIONAL $C^*$ -ALGEBRAS

LJILJANA ARAMBAŠIĆ<sup>1</sup>, DAMIR BAKIĆ<sup>2</sup> AND MOHAMMAD SAL MOSLEHIAN<sup>3</sup>

ABSTRACT. We show that the unit ball of a full Hilbert  $C^*$ -module is sequentially compact in a certain weak topology if and only if the underlying  $C^*$ -algebra is finite dimensional. This provides an answer to the question posed in J. Chmieliński et al [Perturbation of the Wigner equation in inner product  $C^*$ -modules, J. Math. Phys. 49 (2008), no. 3, 033519].

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra. A linear space  $\mathcal{M}$  that is an algebraic left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module with  $\lambda(ax) = a(\lambda x) = (\lambda a)x$  for  $x \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ , is called a *pre-Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ -module* (or an *inner product  $\mathcal{A}$ -module*) if there exists an  $\mathcal{A}$ -valued inner product on  $\mathcal{M}$ , i.e., a mapping  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  satisfying

- (i)  $\langle \lambda x + y, z \rangle = \lambda \langle x, z \rangle + \langle y, z \rangle$ ;
- (ii)  $\langle ax, y \rangle = a \langle x, y \rangle$ ;
- (iii)  $\langle x, y \rangle^* = \langle y, x \rangle$ ;
- (iv)  $\langle x, x \rangle \geq 0$ ;
- (v)  $\langle x, x \rangle = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = 0$ ,

for all  $x, y, z \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . Conditions (i) and (iii) yield the fact that the inner product is conjugate-linear with respect to the second variable. It follows from the definition that  $\|x\|_{\mathcal{M}} := \sqrt{\|\langle x, x \rangle\|_{\mathcal{A}}}$  is a norm on  $\mathcal{M}$ , whence  $\mathcal{M}$  becomes a normed left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module. A pre-Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ -module  $\mathcal{M}$  is called a *Hilbert  $C^*$ -module* if it is complete with respect to this norm. We say that a Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ -module  $\mathcal{M}$  is *full* if the linear subspace  $\langle \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M} \rangle$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  generated by  $\{\langle x, y \rangle : x, y \in \mathcal{M}\}$  is dense in  $\mathcal{A}$ . The simplest examples are usual Hilbert spaces as Hilbert  $\mathbb{C}$ -modules, and  $C^*$ -algebras as Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules over themselves via  $\langle a, b \rangle = ab^*$ .

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 46L08; Secondary 46L05, 46L10.

*Key words and phrases*. Hilbert  $C^*$ -module, finite dimensional  $C^*$ -algebra,  $C^*$ -algebra of compact operators.

The concept of a Hilbert  $C^*$ -module has been introduced by Kaplansky [6] and Paschke [11]. For more information we refer the reader e.g. to monographs [7, 9].

Despite a formal similarity of definitions, it is well known that Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules may lack many properties familiar from Hilbert space theory. In fact, it turns out that properties of a  $C^*$ -module reflect (or originate from) the properties of the underlying  $C^*$ -algebra.

A particularly well behaved class is the class of Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules over  $C^*$ -algebras of compact operators. There are several nice characterizations of such modules (see e.g. [1, 4, 5, 8, 13]). In our proofs we make use of orthonormal bases which exist only in Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules over  $C^*$ -algebras of compact operators (see [1, 2]). Recall that a system of vectors  $\{\varepsilon_i : i \in I\}$  in a Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ -module  $\mathcal{M}$  is said to be an *orthonormal basis* for  $\mathcal{M}$  if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (1)  $p_i := \langle \varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_i \rangle \in \mathcal{A}$  is a projection such that  $p_i \mathcal{A} p_i = \mathbb{C} p_i$  for every  $i \in I$ ;
- (2)  $\langle \varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_j \rangle = 0$  for every  $i, j \in I, i \neq j$ ;
- (3)  $\{\varepsilon_i : i \in I\}$  generates a norm-dense submodule of  $\mathcal{M}$ .

If  $\{\varepsilon_i : i \in I\}$  is an orthonormal basis (with the above properties (1), (2), (3)) for  $\mathcal{M}$  then the reconstruction formula  $x = \sum_{i \in I} \langle x, \varepsilon_i \rangle \varepsilon_i$  holds for every  $x \in \mathcal{M}$ , with the norm convergence. Since all orthonormal bases for a Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ -module  $\mathcal{M}$  have the same cardinality (see [2]), it makes sense to define the *orthogonal dimension* of  $\mathcal{M}$ , denoted by  $\dim_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{M}$ , as the cardinal number of any of its orthonormal bases.

Various specific properties of Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules turn out to be particularly useful in applications. An interesting example of investigations of this type is a recent study of the stability of Wigner equation (see [3] and the references therein). In particular, the main result in [3] is obtained for Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules satisfying the following condition:

- [H] For each norm-bounded sequence  $(x_n)$  in  $\mathcal{M}$ , there exist a subsequence  $(x_{n_k})$  of  $(x_n)$  and an element  $x_0 \in \mathcal{M}$  such that the sequence  $(\langle x_{n_k}, y \rangle)$  converges to  $\langle x_0, y \rangle$  in norm for any  $y \in \mathcal{M}$ .

Notice that in case of a Hilbert space condition [H] is clearly satisfied: this is simply the fact that the unit (and hence each) ball in a Hilbert space is weakly sequentially compact.

It is proved in [3, Proposition 2.1] that a Hilbert  $\mathcal{A}$ -module  $\mathcal{M}$  satisfies condition [H] whenever the underlying  $C^*$ -algebra is finite dimensional. In this note we prove the converse, i.e., we show that condition [H] is an exclusive property of the class of Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules over finite dimensional  $C^*$ -algebras. In this way we obtain a new characterization of such modules and answer a question posed in [3] concerning condition [H].

## 2. THE RESULT

For a Hilbert space  $H$  we denote by  $\mathbb{B}(H)$  and  $\mathbb{K}(H)$  the  $C^*$ -algebras of all bounded, resp. compact operators acting on  $H$ . We begin with a proposition that reduces the discussion to the class of  $C^*$ -algebras of compact operators.

**Proposition 2.1.** *Suppose that  $\mathcal{M}$  is a full Hilbert  $C^*$ -module over a  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ , which satisfies condition [H]. Then  $\mathcal{A}$  is isomorphic to a  $C^*$ -algebra of (not necessarily all) compact operators acting on some Hilbert space.*

*Proof.* Let us fix  $y \in \mathcal{M}$ . Consider the map  $T_y : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  given by  $T_y(x) = \langle y, x \rangle y$ . Obviously,  $T_y$  is a bounded anti-linear operator.

Let  $(x_n)$  be a norm-bounded sequence in  $\mathcal{M}$  and let  $(x_{n_k})$  be a subsequence of  $(x_n)$  such that, for some  $x_0 \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle x_{n_k}, y \rangle = \langle x_0, y \rangle$  for all  $y \in \mathcal{M}$ . Then  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle y, x_{n_k} \rangle y = \langle y, x_0 \rangle y$  for all  $y \in \mathcal{M}$ . This can be restated in the following way: for each norm-bounded sequence  $(x_n)$  in  $\mathcal{M}$ , the sequence  $(T_y(x_n))$  has a convergent subsequence. Hence,  $T_y$  is a compact operator. Moreover, by the hypothesis, this is true for each  $y \in \mathcal{M}$ .

By [1, Proposition 1], (4)  $\Rightarrow$  (1), there is a faithful representation  $\pi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(H)$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  on some Hilbert space  $H$  such that  $\pi(\langle y, y \rangle) \in \mathbb{K}(H)$ . This holds for every  $y \in \mathcal{M}$ , so, by polarization,  $\pi(\langle x, y \rangle) \in \mathbb{K}(H)$  for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{M}$ , and therefore  $\pi(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathbb{K}(H)$ .  $\square$

By the preceding proposition, condition [H] can only be satisfied in Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules over  $C^*$ -algebras of compact operators. (Here, and in the sequel, we identify  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $\pi(\mathcal{A})$ , where  $\pi$  is the representation from the preceding proof.) However, even if the underlying algebra is a  $C^*$ -algebra of compact operators, one still cannot conclude that condition [H] is satisfied.

We demonstrate this fact in the following two examples.

**Example 2.2.** Consider a separable infinite dimensional Hilbert space  $H$  with an orthonormal basis  $(\varepsilon_n)$ . We shall regard  $\mathbb{K}(H)$  as a Hilbert  $C^*$ -module over itself via the inner product  $\langle a, b \rangle = ab^*$ . Let us show that  $\mathbb{K}(H)$  does not satisfy [H].

For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , denote by  $p_n$  the orthogonal projection to  $\text{span}\{\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n\}$ . Obviously, the sequence  $(p_n)$  is norm-bounded.

Suppose that there exist a subsequence  $(p_{n_k})$  and a compact operator  $a \in \mathbb{K}(H)$  such that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle p_{n_k}, y \rangle = \langle a, y \rangle$  for all  $y \in \mathbb{K}(H)$ . This means  $p_{n_k} y^* \rightarrow a y^*$  for all  $y \in \mathbb{K}(H)$ , which in turn gives us  $p_{n_k} y \xi \rightarrow a y \xi$  for all  $y \in \mathbb{K}(H)$  and for all  $\xi \in H$ . In particular, for every

$n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we can take  $y = p_n - p_{n-1}$  (that is the orthogonal projection to the one-dimensional subspace spanned by  $\varepsilon_n$ ) and  $\xi = \varepsilon_n$ . Then the preceding relation yields  $a\varepsilon_n = \varepsilon_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ; i.e.,  $a$  is the identity operator. Since  $\dim H = \infty$ , this is not a compact operator. Thus, the assumed property [H] leads to a contradiction.

Recall that, by [2, Example 2],  $\dim_{\mathbb{K}(H)} \mathbb{K}(H) = \dim H$ .

Our following example shows that even a Hilbert  $\mathbb{K}(H)$ -module  $\mathcal{M}$  such that  $\dim_{\mathbb{K}(H)} \mathcal{M} < \infty$  need not have property [H].

**Example 2.3.** (cf. [2, Example 1]) Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space. For  $\xi, \eta \in H$  define  $\langle \xi, \eta \rangle = e_{\xi, \eta} \in \mathbb{K}(H)$ , where  $e_{\xi, \eta}(\nu) = (\nu|\eta)\xi$ . Also, for  $a \in \mathbb{K}(H)$ , define a left action on  $\xi \in H$  in a natural way as the action of the operator  $a$  on the vector  $\xi$ .

In this way  $H$  becomes a left Hilbert  $\mathbb{K}(H)$ -module. Notice that the resulting norm coincides with the original norm on  $H$ .

We also know that  $\dim_{\mathbb{K}(H)} H = 1$ . Indeed, if  $\varepsilon$  is an arbitrary unit vector then each  $\xi \in H$  admits a representation of the form  $\xi = \langle \xi, \varepsilon \rangle \varepsilon$  (because  $\langle \xi, \varepsilon \rangle \varepsilon = e_{\xi, \varepsilon}(\varepsilon) = (\varepsilon|\varepsilon)\xi = \xi$ ). This means that  $\{\varepsilon\}$  is an orthonormal basis for  $H$ , regarded as a  $\mathbb{K}(H)$ -module.

Notice that the entire preceding discussion was independent on the (usual) dimension of the underlying space  $H$ . Suppose now that  $H$  is a separable infinite dimensional Hilbert space. We claim that then  $H$ , as a Hilbert  $\mathbb{K}(H)$ -module, does not satisfy [H].

To see this, let us fix an orthonormal basis  $(\varepsilon_n)$  for  $H$ . The sequence  $(\varepsilon_n)$  is obviously norm-bounded. Suppose that there exist a subsequence  $(\varepsilon_{n_k})$  of  $(\varepsilon_n)$  and  $\varepsilon_0 \in H$  such that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle \varepsilon_{n_k}, \xi \rangle = \langle \varepsilon_0, \xi \rangle$  for all  $\xi \in H$ . In particular, this would imply  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle \varepsilon_{n_k}, \varepsilon_1 \rangle = \langle \varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_1 \rangle$ , i.e.,  $\|e_{\varepsilon_{n_k}, \varepsilon_1} - e_{\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_1}\| \rightarrow 0$ . But,  $\|e_{\varepsilon_{n_k}, \varepsilon_1} - e_{\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_1}\| = \sup_{\|\eta\|=1} \|e_{\varepsilon_{n_k}, \varepsilon_1}(\eta) - e_{\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_1}(\eta)\| = \sup_{\|\eta\|=1} \|(\eta|\varepsilon_1)(\varepsilon_{n_k} - \varepsilon_0)\| = \|\varepsilon_{n_k} - \varepsilon_0\|$  and the last expression obviously does not converge to 0 as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .

*Remark 2.4.* Suppose that  $\mathcal{M}$  is an arbitrary Hilbert  $C^*$ -module over a  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  of compact operators. It is well known that there is a family  $(H_j), j \in J$ , of Hilbert spaces such that  $\mathcal{A} = \bigoplus_{j \in J} \mathbb{K}(H_j)$ . Furthermore, it then follows that  $\mathcal{M} = \bigoplus_{j \in J} \mathcal{M}_j$ , where  $\mathcal{M}_j = \overline{\mathbb{K}(H_j)\mathcal{M}}$  (i.e.,  $\mathcal{M}$  is an outer direct sum of  $\mathcal{M}_j$ 's, where each  $\mathcal{M}_j$  is a full Hilbert  $\mathbb{K}(H_j)$ -module).

Now, by [2, Theorem 3] and the preceding example, we conclude that if there exists  $j_0 \in J$  such that  $\dim H_{j_0} = \infty$  then  $\mathcal{M}_{j_0}$  cannot satisfy [H]. Consequently,  $\mathcal{M}$  does not satisfy [H]. Namely, if  $\dim \mathcal{M}_{j_0} = d$  (here  $d$  can be an arbitrary cardinal number), then, by Theorem 3

from [2],  $\mathcal{M}_{j_0}$  is an orthogonal sum of  $d$  copies of  ${}_{\mathbb{K}(H_{j_0})}H_{j_0}$ , and, by Example 2.3, just one copy of  ${}_{\mathbb{K}(H_{j_0})}H_{j_0}$  is enough to ruin property [H].

From the preceding discussion we conclude that if  $\mathcal{M}$  is a full Hilbert  $C^*$ -module satisfying [H], then  $\mathcal{M}$  is necessarily a Hilbert  $C^*$ -module over a  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  of compact operators. Moreover,  $\mathcal{A}$  has to be of the form  $\mathcal{A} = \bigoplus_{j \in J} \mathbb{K}(H_j)$  and each  $H_j$  must be finite dimensional. If, moreover,  $J$  is of finite cardinality, then  $\mathcal{A}$  is finite dimensional. Next we show that if  $\text{card } J = \infty$  with  $\dim H_j < \infty$  for all  $j \in J$ , then again  $\mathcal{M}$  cannot satisfy [H].

First, in this situation, since  $J$  as a set of an infinite cardinality contains a countable subset  $J'$ ,  $\mathcal{M} = \bigoplus_{j \in J} \mathcal{M}_j$  can be written as the orthogonal sum of the form  $\mathcal{M} = \left( \bigoplus_{j \in J'} \mathcal{M}_j \right) \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{j \in J \setminus J'} \mathcal{M}_j \right)$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{M}$  contains, as an orthogonal summand, a submodule of the form  $\mathcal{M}' = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{M}_n$ , where each  $\mathcal{M}_n$  is a module over  $\mathbb{K}(H_n)$  and  $\dim H_n < \infty$ . Moreover, each  $\mathcal{M}_n$  is, by [2, Theorem 3], unitarily equivalent to the orthogonal sum of  $d_n = \dim \mathcal{M}_n$  copies of  ${}_{\mathbb{K}(H_n)}H_n$ , i.e.,  $\mathcal{M}_n \simeq \bigoplus_1^{d_n} {}_{\mathbb{K}(H_n)}H_n$ .

If we take just one copy of each  ${}_{\mathbb{K}(H_n)}H_n$ , we conclude that  $\mathcal{M}'$  (and hence  $\mathcal{M}$ ) contains, as an orthogonal summand, a submodule of the form  $\mathcal{M}'' \simeq \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} {}_{\mathbb{K}(H_n)}H_n$ . It is now enough to prove that  $\mathcal{M}''$  does not satisfy [H] and this can be argued essentially in the same way as in Example 2.3.

Observe that  $\mathcal{M}''$  is also a Hilbert  $C^*$ -module over a direct sum  $\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{K}(H_n) \subset \mathbb{K}(H)$ , where  $H = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} H_n$  is an infinite dimensional Hilbert space. For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  take a unit vector  $\varepsilon_n \in H_n \subset H$ . Let  $x_n = (\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_n, 0, 0, \dots)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Notice that  $\langle x_n, x_n \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n e_{\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_i}$ . Since this is an orthogonal projection onto an  $n$ -dimensional subspace of  $H$ , we have  $\|x_n\| = 1$ ; thus,  $(x_n)$  is a norm-bounded sequence in  $\mathcal{M}''$ . Suppose now that there exists a subsequence  $(x_{n_k})$  and  $x_0 = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots) \in \mathcal{M}''$  such that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle x_{n_k}, y \rangle = \langle x_0, y \rangle$  for all  $y \in \mathcal{M}''$ . Inserting  $y = (\varepsilon_1 - \xi_1, 0, 0, \dots)$  we obtain  $\|\langle x_{n_k}, y \rangle - \langle x_0, y \rangle\| = \|\langle \varepsilon_1 - \xi_1, \varepsilon_1 - \xi_1 \rangle\| \rightarrow 0$ , which implies  $\xi_1 = \varepsilon_1$ . Similarly, for  $y = (0, \varepsilon_2 - \xi_2, 0, \dots)$  we obtain  $\xi_2 = \varepsilon_2$  and, proceeding in the same way,  $\xi_n = \varepsilon_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . This gives us  $x_0 = (\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3, \dots)$ , which is impossible since this sequence does not belong to  $\mathcal{M}''$ .

After all, combining the preceding discussion with Proposition 2.1 from [3], we get our main result.

**Theorem 2.5.** *A full Hilbert  $C^*$ -module over a  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  satisfies condition [H] if and only if  $\mathcal{A}$  is a finite dimensional  $C^*$ -algebra.*

*Remark 2.6.* We may ask ourselves if one could replace condition [H] with a weaker one:

[H'] For each norm-bounded sequence  $(x_n)$  in  $\mathcal{M}$  and for every  $y \in \mathcal{M}$  there exists a subsequence  $(x_{n_k})$  of  $(x_n)$  such that the sequence  $(\langle x_{n_k}, y \rangle)$  converges in norm.

Observe that [H'] is sufficient to prove Proposition 2.1, so full Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules with property [H'] have to be over  $C^*$ -algebras of compact operators. Also, it is obvious that [H'] is fulfilled in every Hilbert  $C^*$ -module over a finite dimensional  $C^*$ -algebra. However, our next example shows that [H'] does not characterize these Hilbert modules; in other words, [H'] is not sufficient for [H].

Consider a separable infinite dimensional Hilbert space  $H$  and the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{K}(H)$  of all diagonal (with respect to a fixed orthonormal basis) operators with diagonal entries converging to 0. Let  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{A}$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}$  is a Hilbert  $C^*$ -module whose underlying  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is infinite dimensional. By the preceding theorem, the Hilbert  $C^*$ -module  $\mathcal{A}$  cannot satisfy [H].

On the other hand, since  $\mathcal{A}$  is a Hilbert  $C^*$ -module over the (commutative)  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  of compact operators, by [1, Theorem 4] (see also its proof), all mappings  $T_y : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  given by  $T_y(x) = \langle y, x \rangle y$  are compact. But here we have  $T_y(x) = yx^*y = x^*y^2$  for all  $y \in \mathcal{A}$ . In particular, taking self-adjoint  $y$  we get that  $x \mapsto x^*y$  is compact for every positive  $y \in \mathcal{A}$ , and since positive elements of a  $C^*$ -algebra span the whole  $C^*$ -algebra, we get that the operator  $x \mapsto x^*y = \langle y, x \rangle$  is compact for every  $y \in \mathcal{A}$ . This shows that our Hilbert  $C^*$ -module  $\mathcal{A}$  satisfies [H'].

*Acknowledgement.* The authors are thankful to the referee for several valuable suggestions for improving and clarifying the original manuscript.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Lj. Arambašić, *Another characterization of Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules over compact operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **344** (2008), 735–740.
- [2] D. Bakić, B. Guljaš, *Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules over  $C^*$ -algebras of compact operators*, Acta. Sci. Math. (Szeged) **68** (2002), 249–269.
- [3] J. Chmieliński, D. Ilišević, M.S. Moslehian, Gh. Sadeghi, *Perturbation of the Wigner equation in inner product  $C^*$ -modules*, J. Math. Phys. **49** (2008), no. 3, 033519.
- [4] M. Frank, *Characterizing  $C^*$ -algebras of compact operators by generic categorical properties of Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules*, Journal of K-theory: K-theory and its Applications to Algebra, Geometry, and Topology, Volume 2, Special Issue 03, December 2008, 453–462.
- [5] M. Frank, K. Sharifi, *Adjointability of densely defined closed operators and the Magajna-Schweizer theorem*, to appear in J. Operator Theory, available on arXiv:math.OA/0705.2576v2

- [6] I. Kaplansky, *Modules over operator algebras*, Amer. J. Math. **75** (1953), 839–858.
- [7] E.C. Lance, *Hilbert  $C^*$ -Modules*, LMS Lecture Note Series 210, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1995.
- [8] B. Magajna, *Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules in which all closed submodules are complemented*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **125** (1997), no. 3, 849–852.
- [9] V.M. Manuilov, E.V. Troitsky, *Hilbert  $C^*$ -Modules*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, 226. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2005.
- [10] J.G. Murphy,  *$C^*$ -Algebras and Operator Theory*, Academic Press, Boston, 1990.
- [11] W.L. Paschke, *Inner product modules over  $B^*$ -algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **182** (1973), 443–468.
- [12] G.K. Pedersen, *Analysis Now*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 118, Springer–Verlag, New York, 1989.
- [13] J. Schweizer, *A description of Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules in which all closed submodules are orthogonally closed*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **127** (1999), no. 7, 2123–2125.

<sup>1</sup> DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ZAGREB, BIJENIČKA CESTA 30, 10000 ZAGREB, CROATIA.

*E-mail address:* arambas@math.hr

<sup>2</sup> DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ZAGREB, BIJENIČKA CESTA 30, 10000 ZAGREB, CROATIA.

*E-mail address:* bakic@math.hr

<sup>3</sup> DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS, FERDOWSI UNIVERSITY OF MASHHAD, P. O. Box 1159, MASHHAD 91775, IRAN;  
CENTER OF EXCELLENCE IN ANALYSIS ON ALGEBRAIC STRUCTURES (CEAAS), FERDOWSI UNIVERSITY OF MASHHAD, IRAN.

*E-mail address:* moslehian@ferdowsi.um.ac.ir and moslehian@ams.org