Generalized Kramers model

Vlad Bezuglyy

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, The Open University, Walton Hall, Milton Keynes, MK7 6AA, England

We study a natural generalization of the classical Kramers model for a chemical reaction process. The generalized model describes an overdamped particle in an external potential, subjected to the random noise that depends upon the position of the particle and time. The stationary solution of the Fokker-Planck equation is analyzed in two asymptotic limits: small external forcing, where it is equivalent to an increase of the potential, and large external forcing, where the solution yields a non-zero probability current for the motion in a periodic potential with a broken reflection symmetry.

PACS numbers: 05.40.-a, 05.60.Cd, 05.10.Gg, 02.50.-r

1. Introduction. This paper addresses the problem of the overdamped motion of particles in an external potential subjected to random fluctuations. It is well known that the motion of Brownian particles in an external potential can be used to model chemical reaction processes. In this case, the position of the particle represents the reaction coordinate, which undergoes a noise-activated escape process driven by thermal fluctuations [1]. The particles tend to spend most of the time around one of the minima of the potential, until a sequence of random kicks transports them to another minimum. It is usually the primary task to calculate the rate, at which such events occur. The problem is of great importance in many areas of physics, chemistry and engineering, and it has been studied intensively by many authors. Arguably, the most prominent contribution to reaction-rate theory is contained in a seminal paper by Kramers [2]. In the classical one-dimensional Kramers model, a particle of mass m at the position x experiences three types of forces: a friction force $-\gamma m \dot{x}$, where $\dot{x} = dx/dt$ and γ is a damping rate, an external force generated by the potential U(x) and a stochastic force f(t). We generalize the Kramers model by considering the random force that depends not only on time, but also on the position of the particle. If the friction is very large, then the particle executes a creeping motion according to the equation

$$\eta \dot{x} = -U'(x) + f(x, t), \tag{1}$$

where $\eta = \gamma m$ and U'(x) = dU(x)/dx. For a closely related model of motion in the absence of the external potential (the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process [3]) such a generalization leads to a number of non-trivial results: non-Maxwellian stationary distribution of the velocity, anomalous diffusion of the velocity and position and staggered ladder spectra of the Fokker-Planck operator [4, 5].

The calculation of the reaction rate often relies on the derivation of the probability density function (PDF) of the position corresponding to a constant probability current. It is the purpose of this paper to find the PDF for the particle moving according to Eq. (1) in the limit of short correlation time of the random force. We start by considering the dynamics of the particle as a Langevin process and writing the corresponding Fokker-Planck equation for the PDF. We obtain the stationary solution of the Fokker-Planck equation, that can be simplified in two asymptotic cases corresponding to very large and very small values of the external force. The generalized model was first considered in [6], where the PDF in the small forcing limit was found to be equivalent to a reduction of the potential compared with the classical Kramers model. Here, a more transparent analysis is used, giving rise to many additional results. We find that in the small forcing limit the generalization leads to an effective increase of the potential, rather than a decrease manifested in [6]. In the large forcing limit, we find the solution that corresponds to a non-zero probability current in the case of the motion in a periodic potential with a broken reflection symmetry.

2. Langevin process. The random noise f(x,t) in Eq. (1) is assumed to be a stationary and translationally invariant Gaussian process with zero mean and correlation function $\langle f(x,t)f(x',t')\rangle = C(x-x',t-t')$, where angular brackets denote average over noise realizations throughout. The noise has a typical magnitude σ , correlation length ξ and correlation time τ , and we assume that the correlation function is smooth and sufficiently differentiable. In the absence of the external potential the particle is not bounded and diffuses, so that the mean square displacement is given by $\langle [x(t) - x(0)]^2 \rangle \sim 2D_x t$ with a diffusion constant $D_x \sim \sigma^2 \tau / \eta^2$. Relaxation towards a statistically stationary state is associated with the action of the potential, and the corresponding relaxation time T can be deduced from dimensional arguments. If U_0 is a typical magnitude of the potential and L is its length scale, then $T = L^2 \eta / U_0$. It is convenient to consider the model in dimensionless units. We set t = t'T, x(t) = x'(t')L, $U(x) = V(x')U_0$, $f(x,t) = g(x',t')U_0L^{-1}$ and $C(x,t) = K(x',t')U_0^2L^{-2}$. Substituting this into Eq. (1) and dropping primes in notations of variables we obtain

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = -\frac{dV(x)}{dx} + g(x,t).$$
(2)

In the dimensionless model the time scale of relaxation

is unity, and the correlation time of the noise is $\omega = \tau/T$, whereas the correlation length is $\lambda = \xi/L$. If the correlation time is sufficiently short ($\omega \ll 1$), it is possible to write Eq. (2) as a Langevin process by integrating over the period $\omega \ll \delta t \ll 1$,

$$\delta x \equiv x(t_0 + \delta t) - x(t_0) = -V'(x)\delta t + \delta w, \qquad (3)$$

where

$$\delta w = \int_{t_0}^{t_0 + \delta t} dt_1 \ g(x(t_1), t_1). \tag{4}$$

In this description, it is also assumed that the potential varies slowly on the length scale of the distance travelled by the particle in δt due to the random noise. If we denote the dimensionless measure of the strength of the noise by $\chi = \sigma \tau / (\eta \xi)$, then the Langevin approach is valid for $\chi \lambda \ll 1$.

3. The Fokker-Planck equation. Following the standard procedure, we write the Fokker-Planck equation for the density function P(x,t) equivalent to Eq. (3),

$$\frac{\partial P(x,t)}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x} [v(x)P(x,t)] + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} [D(x)P(x,t)], \quad (5)$$

where $v(x) = \langle \delta x \rangle / \delta t$ is a drift velocity, and $D(x) = \langle \delta x^2 \rangle / (2\delta t)$ is a diffusion coefficient [7]. Using stationary and translationally invariant properties of the noise, we set $t_0 = 0$ and $x(t_0) = 0$ in Eqs. (3) and (4) for calculating statistical properties of δw and δx . We first consider the drift velocity $v(x) = -V'(x) + \langle \delta w \rangle / \delta t$. If we denote $s_x(t) = -V'(x)t$, then $\langle \delta w \rangle$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \delta w \rangle &= \int_0^{\delta t} dt \, \left\langle g \left[s_x(t) + \int_0^t dt_1 \, g(s_x(t_1), t_1), t \right] \right\rangle \\ &\approx \int_0^{\delta t} dt \, \left\langle g(s_x(t), t) \right\rangle \end{aligned} \tag{6} \\ &+ \int_0^{\delta t} dt \int_0^t dt_1 \, \left\langle \frac{\partial g}{\partial x}(s_x(t), t) \, g(s_x(t_1), t_1) \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

The first term vanishes, and for the second term we use $\langle \partial_x g(0,0)g(x,t) \rangle = -\partial_x K(x,t)$ to obtain

$$\langle \delta w \rangle = \int_0^{\delta t} dt \int_0^t dt_1 \, \frac{\partial K}{\partial x} (s_x(t-t_1), t-t_1) \quad (7)$$

$$\approx -\delta t \int_0^\infty dt \, \frac{\partial K}{\partial x} (s_x(t), t).$$

We conclude that the drift velocity is given by

$$v(x) = -V'(x) - \int_0^\infty dt \ \frac{\partial K}{\partial x}(s_x(t), t). \tag{8}$$

For the diffusion coefficient we note that $\langle \delta x^2 \rangle \approx \langle \delta w^2 \rangle$, and $\langle \delta w^2 \rangle$ is given by

$$\langle \delta w^2 \rangle = \int_0^{\delta t} dt_1 \int_0^{\delta t} dt_2 \, \langle g(s_x(t_1), t_1) \, g(s_x(t_2), t_2) \rangle$$
$$\approx \, \delta t \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \, K(s_x(t), t).$$
(9)

We conclude that $\langle \delta x^2 \rangle = \langle \delta w^2 \rangle = 2D(x)\delta t$, where

$$D(x) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \ K(s_x(t), t).$$
 (10)

We are interested in the stationary solution of Eq. (5) satisfying $\partial_t P(x,t) = 0$. It is found by solving a differential equation

$$-v(x)P_0(x) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}[D(x)P_0(x)] = -J_0, \qquad (11)$$

where the stationary current J_0 is determined by the boundary conditions. The solution of Eq. (11) can be readily written as

$$P_0(x) = Z(x) \left[N - J_0 \int_0^x dy \ D^{-1}(y) Z^{-1}(y) \right], \quad (12)$$

where

$$Z(x) = \exp\left[\int_0^x dy \frac{v(y) - D'(y)}{D(y)}\right]$$
(13)

and N is a normalization constant. The rest of the paper is concerned with simplifying the solution (12) in two asymptotic limits corresponding to very large or very small values of the external force V'(x).

It is not typical to have a non-zero current in systems that are in thermal equilibrium in the absence of systematic driving forces. The cases where the transport can be introduced by different mechanisms are of great interest. One particular example is a 'ratchet and pawl' engine in a thermal bath [8], that can be described by the Kramers model with x being an angle variable and the motion occurring in a periodic potential with a broken reflection symmetry. The second law of thermodynamics forbids a non-zero current in this case, in spite of a broken reflection symmetry of the potential. Transport in this model can be induced, for example, by adding another driving force that can be constant [9] or a stochastic function of time [10]. In section 5, we show that when the ratchet is described by Eq. (1), the steady-state solution can yield a non-zero current without any additional forces.

4. Small forcing limit. We start with the diffusion coefficient by expanding the correlation function in Eq. (10) around x = 0,

$$D(x) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \ K(0,t) + O(V'(x)), \qquad (14)$$

i.e. the diffusion coefficient can be approximated by a constant in the regions where the external force is sufficiently small. We can estimate the ratio between successive terms in the expansion. Each term adds another derivative of the correlation function with respect to x producing a factor of λ^{-1} , and another factor of t in the integral producing a factor of ω in the result. We deduce

that the ratio is of order $V'(x)\omega/\lambda$. For the drift velocity given by Eq. (8) we write

$$v(x) = -V'(x) - \int_0^\infty dt \, \frac{\partial K}{\partial x}(0,t)$$
(15)
+ $V'(x) \int_0^\infty dt \, t \frac{\partial^2 K}{\partial x^2}(0,t) + O(V'(x))^2$

with the leading term of order V'(x). The correlation function is an even function of its arguments, therefore the second term vanishes, and we obtain

$$v(x) \approx -V'(x)(1+\alpha), \tag{16}$$

where $\alpha = -\int_0^\infty dt \ t \ \partial_{xx} K(0,t)$. The solution (12) in the small forcing limit can be thus simplified to

$$P_0(x) = Y(x) \left[N - \frac{J_0}{D_0} \int_0^x dy \ Y^{-1}(y) \right], \tag{17}$$

where $Y(x) = \exp[-V(x)(1 + \alpha)/D_0]$. The sign of α can deduced as follows. If we can write the correlation function in the from of $K(x,t) = K_x(x)K_t(t)$, where $K_t(t) > 0$, then the sign of α is determined by the sign of $K''_x(0)$. If the random force de-correlates as x increases, then x = 0 is a local maximum of K(x). Providing that the second derivative exists, it follows that $K''_x(0) < 0$ and, consequently, $\alpha > 0$.

We now consider two examples of the external potential: a periodic potential satisfying V(x + 1) = V(x) and a non-periodic potential with very high walls for sufficiently large x, so that $P(\pm \infty) = 0$. For the non-periodic potential we can easily deduce that $J_0 = 0$. To see that, we note that Y(x) goes to zero for very large x, and the second term in the brackets multiplied by Y(x) approaches a non-zero constant. Thus, the boundary conditions are satisfied only when $J_0 = 0$.

For the periodic potential, if we only require that $P_0(x)$ is bounded for very large x, it follows that $P_0(x)$ is periodic [11]. We use V(x + 1) = V(x) to write

$$P_0(x+1) = P_0(x) + \frac{J_0}{D_0} \int_x^{x+1} dy \ Y(x)Y^{-1}(y).$$
(18)

The integral in the last term is non-zero, therefore we again put $J_0 = 0$ to satisfy boundary conditions. We conclude that in both examples the solution in the small external forcing limit is given by

$$P_0(x) = N \exp\left[-\frac{V(x)(1+\alpha)}{D_0}\right].$$
 (19)

In the case of the periodic potential $P_0(x)$ is normalized in the periodicity interval.

In the presence of spatial correlations the noise experienced by the particle de-correlates more rapidly than for the case of an infinite correlation length in the classical Kramers model. This means that the particle experiences more uncorrelated kicks along its trajectory decreasing the probability to travel far from the minima of the potential. Therefore, we expect to see the density function becoming sharper around the minima of the potential as the correlation length decreases. This is consistent with the solution (19), which corresponds to the increase of the potential by the factor of $1 + \alpha$ compared with the classical Kramers model (that corresponds to $\alpha = 0$). Our result differs from the one obtained in [6], where $\alpha < 0$, and the solution corresponds to the reduction of the potential, which can hardly be reconciled with the arguments given above.

5. Large forcing limit. The other asymptotic limit we consider corresponds to large values of the external force. We write the diffusion coefficient (10) by integrating over a new variable z = -V'(x)t and expanding the correlation function in series around t = 0,

$$D(x) = \frac{1}{2|V'(x)|} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz \ K(z,0) + O\left(V'(x)\right)^{-2}.$$
 (20)

Using the result obtained in the previous section, the ratio between the successive terms is $\lambda/[V'(x)\omega]$. It is small in the regions where V'(x) is sufficiently large. The diffusion coefficient in such regions is given by

$$D(x) \approx \frac{D_{\infty}}{|V'(x)|}, \quad D_{\infty} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz \ K(z,0).$$
 (21)

Similarly, we obtain the drift velocity from Eq. (8),

$$v(x) = -V'(x) + O(V'(x))^{-1}.$$
 (22)

Substituting (21) and (22) into (12) we obtain the solution in the large external forcing limit,

$$P_0(x) = |V'(x)| e^{-I(x)} \left[N - \frac{J_0}{D_\infty} \int_0^x dy \ e^{I(y)} \right], \quad (23)$$

where

$$I(x) = \frac{1}{D_{\infty}} \int_0^x dy \ |V'(y)| V'(y).$$
(24)

For the non-periodic potential, if $P_0(\pm \infty) = 0$ we can again show that $J_0 = 0$. We note that I(x) goes to ∞ for large x, whereas the integral term in the brackets multiplied by $\exp[-I(x)]$ converges to a constant for large x. The solution corresponding to $J_0 = 0$ is given by

$$P_0(x) = N |V'(x)| e^{-I(x)}.$$
(25)

For the case of a periodic potential we find J_0 by writing P(1) = P(0) as

$$|V'(1)|e^{-I(1)}\left[N - \frac{J_0}{D_{\infty}}\int_0^1 dy \ e^{I(y)}\right] = N|V'(0)|.$$
(26)

Using V'(0) = V'(1) we find

$$J_0 = \frac{ND_{\infty}[\mathrm{e}^{-I(1)} - 1]}{\int_0^1 dy \; \mathrm{e}^{I(y) - I(1)}}.$$
 (27)



FIG. 1: Probability density $P_0(x)$ in the generalized Kramers model. The results in panels \mathbf{e} and \mathbf{f} are for the motion in non-periodic potential $V(x) = x^4/4 - x^2/2$ (a) and periodic potential $V(x) = \sin 2\pi x + k \sin 4\pi x$ (b), respectively. The corresponding external force V'(x) is shown in panels **c** and d. Data from numerical simulation (circles) are compared with Eq. (19) (red line) in the region of small V'(x) and with Eq. (25) and Eq. (28) (blue solid lines) in the regions of large V'(x) for non-periodic and periodic cases, respectively. Corresponding PDFs for the classical model (blue dashed line) are given by Eq. (19) with $\alpha = 0$. PDFs from numerical simulation and for the classical model are normalized. Other theoretical curves are fitted by adjusting N to give the best agreement. Dimensional parameters are $\sigma = 2.0, \tau = 0.05$, $\xi = 0.05, \eta = 1.0, L = 1$ in both cases. For the periodic case $U_0 = 0.5$ and k = 0.3 are used.

For the periodic potential we arrive at a peculiar result: if $I(1) \neq 0$ we obtain a non-zero probability current in the stationary state. We note that I(x-1) = I(x) - I(1)for the periodic potential and rewrite the solution in a compact form,

$$P_0(x) = N |V'(x)| e^{-I(x)} \int_x^{x+1} dy \ e^{I(y)}.$$
 (28)

6. Numerical experiments. We perform a number of numerical experiments in order to illustrate our analytical results. Numerical experiments are done by integrating the original equation of motion (1) with a small time step using the correlation function $C(x,t) = \sigma^2 \exp\left[-x^2/(2\xi^2) - t^2/(2\tau^2)\right]$. We use two different types of the potential corresponding to the examples given in Sections 4 and 5: an asymmetric periodic potential $U(x) = U_0[\sin(2\pi x/L) + k\sin(4\pi x/L)]$ and a nonperiodic double-well potential $U(x) = x^4/4 - x^2/2$.

The numerical results and their comparison with the theory are presented in Fig. 1. For the double-well potential the particles are concentrated around two minima of the potential with a spread which is smaller compared with the classical Kramers model, where the correlation length is infinite. Eq. (19) gives the PDF around these two minima, as well as the maximum x = 0, where the external force is small. The tails of the PDF in the regions of sufficiently large external forcing are given by Eq. (25). For the periodic potential the particles are again concentrated densely around the single minimum of the potential, where the PDF is given by Eq. (19) with the tails given by Eq. (28). If the potential is asymmetric, the particles are expected to favour a slope with a smaller inclination to escape the minimum. That, however, cannot be realized in the original Kramers model in thermal equilibrium. The direction of the transport in the generalized model can be deduced from Eq. (24). For the periodic potential integrating V'(x) in the periodicity interval gives 0. Because the integrand in Eq. (24)is quadratic in V'(x), the sign of I(1) is determined by the sign of the steepest of two slopes of the potential. In Fig. 1, it is the slope to the right of the minimum that corresponds to V'(x) > 0 and I(L) > 0. From Eq. (27) we obtain $J_0 < 0$, implying that it is easier for particles to escape from the minimum using a left slope, as expected.

7. Acknowledgement. The author thanks Michael Wilkinson for fruitful discussions. The financial support from The Open University is gratefully acknowledged.

- P. Hänggi, P. Talkner, and M. Borkovec, Rev. Mod. Phys., 62, 251 (1990)
- [2] H. A. Kramers, Physica (Utrecht), 7, 284 (1940).
- [3] G. E. Ornstein and L. S. Uhlenbeck, Phys. Rev., 36, 823, (1930).
- [4] E. Arvedson, B. Mehlig, M. Wilkinson, and K. Nakamura, Phys. Rev. Lett., 96, 030601, (2005).
- [5] V. Bezuglyy, B. Mehlig, M. Wilkinson, K. Nakamura, and E. Arvedson, J. Math. Phys., 47, 073301, (2006).
- [6] T. Monnai, A. Sugita and K. Nakamura, Europhys. Lett., 84, 20005, (2008).
- [7] N. G. van Kampen, Stochastic processes in physics and chemistry, 2nd ed., North-Holland, Amsterdam 1981
- [8] R. P. Feynman, R. B. Leighton and M. Sands, *The Feyn*man Lectures on Physics, vol. 1, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1966
- [9] P. Reimann, Phys. Rep., **361**, 57 (2002).
- [10] M. O. Magnasco, Phys. Rev. Lett., **71**, 1477 (1993).
- [11] H. Risken, The Fokker-Planck Equation. Methods of Solutions and Applications, Springer, New York, 1999.