

Global dimensions of endomorphism algebras of generator-cogenerators over m -replicated algebras^{*}

Hongbo Lv, Shunhua Zhang

Department of Mathematics, Shandong University, Jinan 250100, P. R. China

Dedicated to Professor Shaoxue Liu on the occasion of his eightieth birthday

Abstract Let A be a hereditary artin algebra and $A^{(m)}$ be the m -replicated algebra of A . We investigate the possibilities for the global dimensions of the endomorphism algebras of generator-cogenerators over $A^{(m)}$.

Keywords: m -replicated algebra, generator-cogenerator, global dimension.

1 Introduction

Let Λ be an artin algebra. We denote by $\text{mod } \Lambda$ the category of all finitely generated right Λ -modules and by $\text{ind } \Lambda$ a full subcategory of $\text{mod } \Lambda$ containing exactly one representative of each isomorphism class of indecomposable Λ -modules. Given a class $\mathcal{C}$ of modules, we denote by $\text{add } \mathcal{C}$ the subcategory of $\text{mod } \Lambda$ whose objects are the direct summands of finite direct sums of modules in $\mathcal{C}$ and, if M is a module, we

MSC(2000): 16E10, 16G10

^{*} Supported by the NSF of China (Grant No. 10771112) and NSF of Shandong Province (Grant No. Y2008A05).

Email addresses: lvhongbo356@163.com(H.Lv), shzhang@sdu.edu.cn(S.Zhang)

abbreviate $\text{add } \{M\}$ as $\text{add } M$. The global dimension of Λ is denoted by $\text{gl.dim } \Lambda$ and the Auslander-Reiten translation of Λ by τ_Λ . For simplicity, we also write τ_Λ as τ if Λ is clear.

A Λ -module M is called a generator-cogenerator in $\text{mod } \Lambda$ if all indecomposable projective modules and indecomposable injective modules are in $\text{add } M$. Let M be a generator-cogenerator in $\text{mod } \Lambda$. If $\text{gl.dim End}_\Lambda(M) = d$, then M is also called a generator-cogenerator with global dimension d .

The endomorphism algebras of generator-cogenerators have attracted a lot of interest (see for example [T]): these are just the artin algebras of dominant dimension at least two. The smallest value of the global dimensions of the endomorphism algebras of such modules was studied by M.Auslander and it was defined to be the representation dimension of Λ provided Λ is not semisimple([A]). If Λ is semisimple, its representation dimension is defined to be one. In particular, M.Auslander proved that an artin algebra Λ is representation-finite if and only if its representation dimension is at most two.

O.Iyama [I] has shown that the representation dimension of an artin algebra is always finite, and R.Rouquier [Rou] has shown that there is no upper bound for the representation dimensions of artin algebras. These motivate the investigation on the possibilities for the global dimensions of the endomorphism algebras of generator-cogenerators. Recently, V.Dlab and C.M.Ringel described the possibilities for the global dimensions of the endomorphism algebras of generator-cogenerators in terms of the cardinalities of the Auslander-Reiten orbits of indecomposable modules for a hereditary artin algebra (see [DR]).

Let A be a hereditary artin algebra and $A^{(m)}$ be the m -replicated algebra of A . In this paper, we investigate the possibilities for the global dimensions of the endomorphism algebras of generator-cogenerators in $\text{mod } A^{(m)}$, and generalize the results in [DR] for A to $A^{(m)}$. Our main results can be stated as the following.

Theorem 1. *Let $A^{(m)}$ be the m -replicated algebra of a representation-finite hereditary artin algebra A and d be an integer with $d \geq 2$. There exists an $A^{(m)}$ -*

module M which is a generator-cogenerator with global dimension d if and only if there exists a $\tau_{A^{(m)}}$ -orbit of cardinality at least d .

Remark. Theorem 1 shows that the possible values for the global dimension of a generator-cogenerator depend on the maximal length of the τ -orbits for representation-finite m -replicated algebra $A^{(m)}$. That is, for any integer b with $2 \leq b \leq d$, where d is the maximal length of all τ -orbits, there always exists a generator-cogenerator M_b with global dimension b .

Theorem 2. *Let $A^{(m)}$ be the m -replicated algebra of a representation-infinite hereditary artin algebra A and let d be either an integer with $d \geq 3$ or else the symbol ∞ . Then there exists an $A^{(m)}$ -module M which is a generator-cogenerator with global dimension d .*

Remark. For every integer $d \geq 3$ or ∞ , we will construct a generator-cogenerator with global dimension d for a given representation-infinite m -replicated algebra $A^{(m)}$. In general, it is not easy to compute the global dimension of $\text{End}(M)$ whenever M is a generator-cogenerator, thus those constructions given in section 4 seem to have an independent interest.

This paper is arranged as follows. In section 2 we collect some definitions and basic facts needed for our research. Section 3 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1. In section 4 we construct some generator-cogenerators in $\text{mod } A^{(m)}$ with special global dimensions and prove Theorem 2.

2 Preliminaries

Let Λ be an artin algebra, $\mathcal{C}$ be a full subcategory of $\text{mod } \Lambda$ and $\varphi : C_M \rightarrow M$ with M in $\text{mod } \Lambda$ and C_M in $\mathcal{C}$. The morphism φ is a right $\mathcal{C}$ -approximation of M if the induced morphism $\text{Hom}(C, C_M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(C, M)$ is surjective for any C in $\mathcal{C}$. A minimal right $\mathcal{C}$ -approximation of M is a right $\mathcal{C}$ -approximation which is also a right minimal morphism, i.e., its restriction to any nonzero direct summand is nonzero. The subcategory $\mathcal{C}$ is called contravariantly finite if any module M in

$\text{mod } \Lambda$ admits a (minimal) right $\mathcal{C}$ -approximation. The notions of (minimal) left $\mathcal{C}$ -approximation and of covariantly finite subcategory are dually defined. It is well known that, for any module M , the subcategory $\text{add } M$ is both a contravariantly finite subcategory and a covariantly finite subcategory.

Given a generator M , for any Λ -module X , there is a minimal right $\text{add } M$ -approximation of X which is surjective: $M' \xrightarrow{f} X$. Let $\Omega_M(X)$ be the kernel of f . Define inductively $\Omega_M^i(X)$ by $\Omega_M^0(X) = X$, and $\Omega_M^{i+1}(X) = \Omega_M(\Omega_M^i(X))$. By definition, the M -dimension $M\text{-dim } X$ is the minimal value i such that $\Omega_M^i(X)$ belongs to $\text{add } M$ and is ∞ if no $\Omega_M^i(X)$ belongs to $\text{add } M$. In particular, $\Omega_\Lambda^i(X)$ is the i^{th} syzygy and $\Omega_\Lambda^{-i}(X)$ is the i^{th} cosyzygy of X respectively. We usually write $\Omega_\Lambda^i(X)$ (resp. $\Omega_\Lambda^{-i}(X)$) as $\Omega^i(X)$ (resp. $\Omega^{-i}(X)$), and denote by $\text{pd } X$ the projective dimension of X . Note that $\text{pd } X = \Lambda\text{-dim } X$.

The following lemma is due to Auslander, we refer to [EHIS] for detail.

Lemma 2.1. *Let Λ be an artin algebra. Let M be a generator-cogenerator and $d \geq 2$. The global dimension of $\text{End } (M)$ is less or equal to d if and only if $M\text{-dim } X \leq d - 2$ for any indecomposable Λ -module X .*

Let Λ be an artin algebra, and M, N be two indecomposable Λ -modules. A path from M to N in $\text{ind } \Lambda$ is a sequence of non-zero morphisms

$$M = M_0 \xrightarrow{f_1} M_1 \xrightarrow{f_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_t} M_t = N$$

with all M_i in $\text{ind } \Lambda$. Following [Rin], we denote the existence of such a path by $M \leq N$. We say that M is a predecessor of N (or that N is a successor of M).

More generally, if S_1 and S_2 are two sets of modules, we write $S_1 \leq S_2$ if every module in S_2 has a predecessor in S_1 , every module in S_1 has a successor in S_2 , no module in S_2 has a successor in S_1 and no module in S_1 has a predecessor in S_2 . The notation $S_1 < S_2$ stands for $S_1 \leq S_2$ and $S_1 \cap S_2 = \emptyset$.

From now on, let A be a hereditary artin algebra and $\mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod } A)$ be the bounded derived category of $\text{mod } A$. The repetitive algebra $\hat{A}$ of A was first defined in [HW]

and it was proved in [H] that $\mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod } A)$ is equivalent, as a triangulated category, to the stable module category $\underline{\text{mod}} \hat{A}$.

The right repetitive algebra A' of A was introduced in [ABM]. Recall from [ABM] that A' is defined as follows,

$$A' = \begin{pmatrix} A_0 & & & 0 \\ Q_1 & A_1 & & \\ & Q_2 & A_2 & \\ 0 & & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

where matrices have only finitely many non-zero coefficients, $A_i = A$ and $Q_i = DA$ for all non-negative integers i and D is the standard duality between $\text{mod } A$ and $\text{mod } A^{op}$, all the remaining coefficients are zero, multiplication is induced from the canonical isomorphisms $A \otimes_A DA \cong DA \cong DA \otimes_A A$ and the zero morphism $DA \otimes_A DA \longrightarrow 0$.

Recall from [ABST2] that the Auslander-Reiten quiver of A' can be described as follows. It starts with the Auslander-Reiten quiver of $A_0 = A$. Then projective-injective modules start to appear, such projective-injective module has its socle corresponding to a simple A_0 -module, and its top corresponding to a simple A_1 -module. Next occurs a part denoted by $\text{ind } A_{01}$ where indecomposables contain at same time simple composition factors from simple A_0 -modules, and simple composition factors from simple A_1 -modules. When all projective-injective modules whose socle corresponding to simple A_0 -modules have appeared, we reach the projective A_1 -modules and thus the Auslander-Reiten quiver of A_1 . The situation then repeats itself.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $X \in \text{ind } A$, and $\alpha \in \text{Hom}_{A'}(\Omega_{A'}^{-i} A, \Omega_{A'}^{-j} X)$. If $i < j$, then α factors through a projective-injective A' -module.*

Proof. The statement follows easily from that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \underline{\text{Hom}}_{A'}(\Omega_{A'}^{-i}A, \Omega_{A'}^{-j}X) \\
& \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod } A)}(A[i], X[j]) \\
& = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod } A)}(A, X[j-i]) \\
& = \text{Ext}_A^{j-i}(A, X) \\
& = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

□

By [AI], the m -replicated algebra $A^{(m)}$ of A is defined as the quotient of the right repetitive algebra A' , that is,

$$A^{(m)} = \begin{pmatrix} A_0 & & & & 0 \\ Q_1 & A_1 & & & \\ & Q_2 & A_2 & & \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots \\ & 0 & & & Q_m & A_m \end{pmatrix}.$$

If $m = 1$, then $A^{(1)}$ is the duplicated algebra of A (see [ABST1]). According to [AI], we know that $m + 1 \leq \text{gl.dim } A^{(m)} \leq 2m + 1$.

Let Σ_0 be the set of all non-isomorphic indecomposable projective A -modules and set $\Sigma_k = \Omega_{A'}^{-k} \Sigma_0 = \{\Omega_{A'}^{-k} X \mid X \in \Sigma_0\}$ for $k \geq 0$. The next lemma is taken from [ABST2] and will be used in our research.

Lemma 2.3. *Let A be a hereditary artin algebra. Then*

(1) *The standard embeddings $\text{ind } A_i \hookrightarrow \text{ind } A^{(m)}$ (where $0 \leq i \leq m$) and $\text{ind } A^{(m)} \hookrightarrow \text{ind } A'$ are full, exact, preserve indecomposable modules, almost split sequences and irreducible morphisms.*

(2) *Let M be an indecomposable A' -module which is not projective and $k \geq 1$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (a) $\text{pd } M = k$,
- (b) $\Sigma_{k-1} < M \leq \Sigma_k$.

(3) Let M be an indecomposable $A^{(m)}$ -module which is not in $\text{ind } A = \text{ind } A_0$. Then its projective cover in $\text{mod } A^{(m)}$ is projective-injective and coincides with its projective cover in $\text{mod } A'$.

(4) Let M be an $A^{(m)}$ -module having all projective-injective indecomposable modules as direct summands. For an $A^{(m)}$ -module X , if X has a projective cover which is also projective-injective, then a minimal right $\text{add } M$ -approximation of X is surjective.

In the sequel, we always assume that A is a hereditary artin algebra and that $A^{(m)}$ is the m -replicated algebra of A . It is well known that A and $A^{(m)}$ have the same representation type, hence $A^{(m)}$ is representation-infinite if and only if A is as well.

3 The proof of Theorem 1

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1. We assume in addition that A is also representation-finite.

The following result is proved in [DR] for hereditary artin algebras, we observe that this is also true for m -replicated algebras, and the proof is almost the same, we refer to [DR] for detail. For completeness, we sketch the proof here.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $d \geq 2$ be an integer and M be a generator-cogenerator in $\text{mod } A^{(m)}$. If any indecomposable $A^{(m)}$ -module X which does not belong to $\text{add } M$ satisfies that $\tau^{d-1}X = 0$, then $\text{gl.dim End}_{A^{(m)}}(M)$ is at most d .*

Proof. It is necessary to prove that $\Omega_M^{d-2}(X)$ is in $\text{add } M$ for every indecomposable $A^{(m)}$ -module X which does not belong to $\text{add } M$. We follow the idea used in Proposition 1 of [DR]. Let Y be an indecomposable direct summand of $\Omega_M^t(X)$. We use induction on t to show that Y is a predecessor of $\tau^t X$. For $t = d - 2$, we see that any indecomposable direct summand of $\Omega_M^{d-2}(X)$ is a predecessor of $\tau^{d-2}X$. Since X is not projective and $\tau^{d-1}X = 0$, we know that $\tau^{d-2}X$ is a projective A -module, therefore $\Omega_M^{d-2}(X)$ is also a projective A -module, and thus $\Omega_M^{d-2}(X)$ is in $\text{add } M$. This completes the proof. $\square$

Theorem 3.2. *Let $A^{(m)}$ be the m -replicated algebra of a representation-finite hereditary artin algebra A and d be an integer with $d \geq 2$. There exists an $A^{(m)}$ -module M which is a generator-cogenerator with global dimension d if and only if there exists a $\tau_{A^{(m)}}$ -orbit of cardinality at least d .*

Proof. We assume that there is a τ -orbit of cardinality at least d , thus there exists an indecomposable $A^{(m)}$ -module Z which is not injective such that $\tau^{d-2}Z$ is a projective module. Let

$$0 \longrightarrow \tau Z \longrightarrow \oplus Y_j \oplus P_Z \longrightarrow Z \longrightarrow 0$$

be the Auslander-Reiten sequence ending in Z , where all Y_j are indecomposable and non-projective-injective and P_Z is projective-injective.

Let $\mathcal{S} = \{\tau^i Z \mid 0 \leq i \leq d-3\}$ and M be the direct sum of all the indecomposables in $\text{ind } A^{(m)} \setminus \mathcal{S}$. Note that M is a finitely generated generator-cogenerator since $A^{(m)}$ is representation-finite.

We claim that $\text{gl.dim } \text{End}_{A^{(m)}}(M) = d$.

In fact, we first show that $\text{gl.dim } \text{End}_{A^{(m)}}(M) \leq d$. By Lemma 2.1, we only need to prove that, for any indecomposable module X , $M\text{-dim } X \leq d-2$. If X belongs to $\text{add } M$, then its M -dimension is zero. Thus we only have to consider indecomposable modules which do not belong to $\text{add } M$, that is, the modules $\tau^i Z$ with $0 \leq i \leq d-3$.

Let

$$0 \longrightarrow \tau^{i+1}Z \longrightarrow \oplus \tau^i Y_j \oplus P_{\tau^i Z} \xrightarrow{f_i} \tau^i Z \longrightarrow 0$$

be the Auslander-Reiten sequence ending in $\tau^i Z$, where $0 \leq i \leq d-3$ and $P_{\tau^i Z}$ is a projective-injective module. Since $A^{(m)}$ is representation-finite, any non-zero non-isomorphism from an indecomposable module to $\tau^i Z$ can be written as a sum of compositions of irreducible morphisms. It follows that f_i is a right add M -approximation and, of course, also minimal. This shows that $\Omega_M^i(Z) = \tau^i Z$ for $0 \leq i \leq d-2$. In particular, $\Omega_M^{d-2}(Z) = \tau^{d-2}Z$ is projective, thus belongs to $\text{add } M$. Therefore, $M\text{-dim } Z \leq d-2$ and consequently, $M\text{-dim } \tau^i Z \leq d-2$ for $0 \leq i \leq d-3$.

In order to prove that the global dimension of $\text{End}_{A^{(m)}}(M)$ is precisely d , we show that the M -dimension of Z is equal to $d-2$. By the construction of M ,

the modules $\Omega_M^i(Z) = \tau^i Z$ for $0 \leq i \leq d-3$ are not in $\text{add } M$ and hence $M\text{-dim } Z = d-2$.

For the necessity of Theorem 3.2, we can use Lemma 3.1 and follow the method used in [DR]. For completeness, we sketch the proof. Let M be a generator-cogenerator in $\text{mod } A^{(m)}$ with $\text{gl.dim } \text{End}_{A^{(m)}}(M) = d$ and assume that every τ -orbit is of cardinality at most $d-1$. Now let X be an indecomposable $A^{(m)}$ -module which is not in $\text{add } M$. Then $\tau^{-1}X$ is non-zero and indecomposable since X is not injective, and we deduce that $\tau^{d-2}X = 0$, since otherwise the sequence of modules in $\tau^{-1}X, X, \dots, \tau^{d-2}X$ provides d pairwise non-isomorphic indecomposable modules in a single τ -orbit. According to Lemma 3.1, we have that $\text{gl.dim } \text{End}_{A^{(m)}}(M) \leq d-1$, a contradiction. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.2. $\square$

Remark. We should mention that the proof of Theorem 3.2 heavily depends on the assumption that $A^{(m)}$ is representation-finite.

4 Some generator-cogenerators with special global dimensions and the proof of Theorem 2

Let A be a hereditary artin algebra and $A^{(m)}$ be its m -replicated algebra. In this section, we construct some generator-cogenerators in $\text{mod } A^{(m)}$ with special global dimensions and give the proof of Theorem 2.

We denote by U_k the direct sum of all the indecomposable modules in $\Sigma_k \cap \text{ind } A^{(m)}$ for $k \geq 0$ and by P the direct sum of all indecomposable projective-injective $A^{(m)}$ -modules. We assume that $\text{gl.dim } A^{(m)} = t$.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $E_i = A \oplus DA_m \oplus P \oplus \bigoplus_{k=i}^{t-1} U_k$ for $1 \leq i \leq t-1$. Then $\text{gl.dim } \text{End}_{A^{(m)}}(E_i) = i+2$.*

Proof. We first show that $\text{gl.dim } \text{End}_{A^{(m)}}(E_i) \leq i+2$, that is, for each indecomposable $A^{(m)}$ -module X , $E_i\text{-dim } X \leq i$. If X belongs to $\text{add } E_i$, then its E_i -dimension is zero. Thus we may assume that X is not in $\text{add } E_i$.

If $\Sigma_0 < X < \Sigma_1$ or $\Sigma_j < X < \Sigma_{j+1}$ with $i \leq j \leq t-2$ or $\Sigma_{t-1} < X < DA_m$, by using an argument similar to that in Theorem 3.3 in [LZ], we have that $E_i\text{-dim } X \leq 1$ and thus $E_i\text{-dim } X \leq i$ since $i \geq 1$. For completeness, we give the proof as the following.

Let $\Sigma_0 < X < \Sigma_1$, and let $0 \longrightarrow P_2 \longrightarrow P_1 \xrightarrow{f} X \longrightarrow 0$ be a minimal projective resolution of X . Since $X \in \text{ind } A$ and $\text{Hom}_{A(m)}(DA_m \oplus P \oplus \bigoplus_{k=i}^{t-1} U_k, X) = 0$, it follows that f is a minimal right add E_i -approximation of X and $E_i\text{-dim } X \leq 1$.

Assume that $\Sigma_j < X < \Sigma_{j+1}$ with $i \leq j \leq t-2$ or $\Sigma_{t-1} < X < DA_m$. It follows that X is not in $\text{ind } A$ and there exists an indecomposable A -module Y such that $X \cong \Omega_{A(m)}^{-j} Y$ with $i \leq j \leq t-1$. According to Lemma 2.3(3), X has a projective cover which is projective-injective, and by Lemma 2.3(4), a minimal right add $(U_j \oplus P)$ -approximation of X is surjective.

Consider the following short exact sequence

$$(*) \quad 0 \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow M_1 \xrightarrow{g} X \longrightarrow 0,$$

where g is a minimal right add $(U_j \oplus P)$ -approximation of X and K is the kernel of g . Let $M = A \oplus DA_m \oplus P \oplus \bigoplus_{k=1}^{t-1} U_k$. Then g is also a minimal right add M -approximation of X by Lemma 2.2 and the fact that $\text{Hom}_{A(m)}(DA_m \oplus \bigoplus_{j < s \leq t-1} U_s, X) = 0$. Since the short exact sequence $(*)$ is not split, K is not projective-injective. Clearly, $K \leq \Sigma_j$. Let N be a non-projective-injective indecomposable direct summand of K and assume that $\Sigma_l \leq N < \Sigma_{l+1}$, for some $0 \leq l \leq j-1$. Then there exists, by Lemma 2.3(2), an indecomposable A -module Z such that $N \cong \Omega_{A(m)}^{-l} Z$. It follows from Wakamatsu's Lemma that $\text{Ext}_{A(m)}^1(\Omega_{A(m)}^{-(l+1)} A, K) = 0$. Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \text{Ext}_{A(m)}^1(\Omega_{A(m)}^{-(l+1)} A, K) \\ &\cong \text{Ext}_{\hat{A}}^1(\Omega_{\hat{A}}^{-(l+1)} A, K) \\ &\cong D\text{Hom}_{\hat{A}}(\Omega_{\hat{A}}^{-(l+1)} A, \Omega_{\hat{A}}^{-1} K) \\ &\cong D\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod } A)}(A[l+1], K[1]) \\ &\cong D\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod } A)}(A, K[-l]). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod } A)}(A, N[-l]) \cong \text{Hom}_A(A, Z) = 0$, and hence $Z = 0$ which implies that $N = 0$ and $K \in \text{add}(U_j \oplus P)$, hence $K \in \text{add } E_i$ and $E_i\text{-dim } X \leq 1$.

Now, we assume that $\Sigma_l \leq X < \Sigma_{l+1}$ for $1 \leq l \leq i-1$. Let $P(X)$ be a projective cover of X . Note that X is not in $\text{ind } A$, according to Lemma 2.3(3),

$P(X)$ is projective-injective, hence we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega_{A^{(m)}}(X) \longrightarrow P(X) \xrightarrow{f_0} X \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since $\text{Hom}_{A^{(m)}}(DA_m \oplus \bigoplus_{k=i}^{t-1} U_k, X) = 0$, it follows that f_0 is a minimal right add E_i -approximation of X and $\Omega_{E_i}(X) = \Omega_{A^{(m)}}(X)$ with $\Sigma_{l-1} \leq \Omega_{E_i}(X) < \Sigma_l$. Repeating the step for $\Omega_{E_i}(X)$, we see that $\Omega_{E_i}^2(X) = \Omega_{A^{(m)}}^2(X)$ and $\Sigma_{l-2} \leq \Omega_{E_i}^2(X) < \Sigma_{l-1}$. We repeat this step l times, then $\Omega_{E_i}^l(X) = \Omega_{A^{(m)}}^l(X)$ and $\Sigma_0 \leq \Omega_{E_i}^l(X) < \Sigma_1$. Thus $\Omega_{E_i}^{l+1}(X)$ is just the kernel of a projective cover of $\Omega_{E_i}^l(X)$ in $\text{mod } A$ and consequently, $\Omega_{E_i}^{l+1}(X)$ is a projective module and in $\text{add } E_i$. Therefore, $E_i\text{-dim } X \leq l + 1 \leq i$. This completes the proof of $\text{gl.dim End}_{A^{(m)}}(E_i) \leq i + 2$ by Lemma 2.1.

In order to show that $\text{gl.dim End}_{A^{(m)}}(E_i)$ is exactly $i + 2$, we only need to prove that $E_i\text{-dim } X = i$ for some indecomposable module X with $\Sigma_{i-1} < X < \Sigma_i$. In fact, in the argument above, let $l = i - 1$, then $\Omega_{E_i}^i(X)$ belongs to $\text{add } E_i$ and the modules $\Omega_{E_i}^s(X)$ for $1 \leq s \leq i - 1$ are not in $\text{add } E_i$. It follows that $E_i\text{-dim } X = i$. This finishes the proof. $\square$

Corollary 4.2. *Let d be an integer with $3 \leq d \leq t + 1$. Then there exists a generator-cogenerator M in $\text{mod } A^{(m)}$ with global dimension d . In particular, the representation dimension of $A^{(m)}$ is at most 3.*

From now on, we will assume that A is a hereditary artin algebra which is representation-infinite. In this case, we observe that $t = 2m + 1$.

Lemma 4.3. *Let A be a hereditary artin algebra which is representation-infinite. Then $\text{gl.dim } A^{(m)} = 2m + 1$.*

Proof. Let I be an indecomposable injective $A^{(m)}$ -module which is not projective. By the Auslander-Reiten quiver of $A^{(m)}$, we know that $I > \Sigma_{2m}$. According to Lemma 2.3(2), we have that $\text{pd } I = 2m + 1$, thus $\text{gl.dim } A^{(m)} = 2m + 1$. $\square$

Remark. The assumption that A is representation-infinite cannot be omitted. For example, let Q be the quiver $1 \cdot \leftarrow \cdot 2$ and $A = kQ$ be the path algebra of Q over a field k . Then $\text{gl.dim } A^{(1)} = 2$ and $\text{gl.dim } A^{(m)} = m + 1$.

Let X be an $A^{(m)}$ -module. If every indecomposable direct summand of X does

not belong to $\text{ind } A$, we say that X is not in $\text{mod } A$.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $N = N' \oplus P$ be an $A^{(m)}$ -module with N' being an A -module and P the direct sum of all indecomposable projective-injective $A^{(m)}$ -modules. Let X be an $A^{(m)}$ -module which is not in $\text{mod } A$ and $N_X \oplus P_X \xrightarrow{f} X$ be a minimal right add N -approximation with N_X having no projective-injective direct summands and P_X being projective-injective. Then P_X is a projective cover of X .*

Proof. Let $P(X) \xrightarrow{g} X$ be a projective cover of X . According to Lemma 2.3 (3) and (4), $P(X)$ is projective-injective and g is surjective. Then there is a map $h : P(X) \rightarrow N_X \oplus P_X$ such that $g = fh$. Write $f = (f_1, f_2)$ with $f_1 : N_X \rightarrow X$ and $f_2 : P_X \rightarrow X$ and $h = \begin{pmatrix} h_1 \\ h_2 \end{pmatrix}$ with $h_1 : P(X) \rightarrow N_X$ and $h_2 : P(X) \rightarrow P_X$. Since N_X is in $\text{add } N'$ and also in $\text{mod } A$, we have that $h_1 = 0$. It follows that $g = (f_1, f_2) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ h_2 \end{pmatrix} = f_2 h_2$ and thus f_2 is surjective since g is surjective. By the minimality of f , P_X is isomorphic to $P(X)$. This completes the proof. $\square$

Lemma 4.5. *Let M be a generator-cogenerator in $\text{mod } A^{(m)}$ such that its non-injective direct summands are all in $\text{mod } A$. Let X be an indecomposable non-injective $A^{(m)}$ -module. Then $\Omega_M^{2m}(X)$ is an A -module.*

Proof. Let Σ_0 be the set of all non-isomorphic indecomposable projective A -modules, and let $\Sigma_k = \Omega_{A'}^{-k} \Sigma_0$ for $k \geq 0$. If X belongs to $\text{ind } A$, it is trivial that $\Omega_M^{2m}(X)$ is an A -module.

Let X be an indecomposable $A^{(m)}$ -module such that $\Sigma_i \leq X$ for some $i \geq 1$. Consider the following exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega_M(X) \longrightarrow N_X \oplus P_X \xrightarrow{f} X \longrightarrow 0,$$

where f is a minimal right add M -approximation and N_X has no projective-injective direct summands and P_X is projective-injective. It follows from Lemma 4.4 that P_X is a projective cover of X and thus $\Omega_M(X) < \Sigma_i$.

Then we only have to show that, for the indecomposables X with $\Sigma_{2m} \leq X < DA_m$, $\Omega_M^{2m}(X)$ is an A -module. By Lemma 4.4 again, $\Omega_M(X) < \Sigma_{2m}$. Write $\Omega_M(X)$ as $K_1 \oplus K_2$, where K_1 is an A -module and K_2 is not in $\text{mod } A$. There is

an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega_M^2(X) \longrightarrow N' \oplus P' \xrightarrow{g} K_1 \oplus K_2 \longrightarrow 0,$$

where g is a minimal right add M -approximation and N' has no projective-injective direct summands and P' is projective-injective. By an argument similar to that in the proof of Lemma 4.4, P' is a projective cover of K_2 and thus $\Omega_M^2(X) < \Sigma_{2m-1}$. Continuing this procedure, we have that $\Sigma_0 \leq \Omega_M^{2m}(X) < \Sigma_1$ which implies that $\Omega_M^{2m}(X)$ is an A -module. This completes the proof. $\square$

Lemma 4.6. *Let M be a generator-cogenerator in $\text{mod } A^{(m)}$ such that its non-injective direct summands are all in $\text{mod } A$, and let d be an integer with $d \geq 2m+3$. If $\tau^{d-(2m+2)}N = 0$ for any indecomposable non-injective module N in $\text{add } M$, then $\text{gl.dim End}_{A^{(m)}}(M) \leq d$.*

Proof. According to Lemma 2.1, we have to show that the M -dimension of any indecomposable $A^{(m)}$ -module X is at most $d-2$. If X belongs to $\text{add } M$, then its M -dimension is zero. Thus we only need to consider the indecomposable modules X which do not belong to $\text{add } M$.

Since X is not injective, by Lemma 4.5, $\Omega_M^{2m}(X)$ is an A -module. Let $Y = \Omega_M^{2m}(X)$. Then there is a non-split exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega_M(Y) \longrightarrow \oplus M_i \xrightarrow{f} Y \longrightarrow 0,$$

where f is a minimal right add M -approximation of Y and all M_i are indecomposable modules in $\text{add } M$. Since Y is an A -module, all M_i are non-injective. By our assumption, $\tau^{d-(2m+2)}M_i = 0$ and thus all M_i are preprojective A -modules. This shows that the sequence above is in $\text{mod } A$.

By using induction on $s \geq 1$, one can show that any indecomposable direct summand Y' of $\Omega_M^s(Y)$ is a predecessor of a non-zero module of the form $\tau^{s-1}M_i$ for some i . Indeed, we can do it by an argument similar to that in Proposition 2 in [DR], for completeness, we include the proof here. If X' is an indecomposable direct summand of $\Omega_M(Y)$, then X' is a predecessor of some M_i . Now, assume the assertion is true for some s . Write $\Omega_M^s(Y) = \oplus Z_j$ with indecomposable modules Z_j . Let N be an indecomposable direct summand of $\Omega_M^{s+1}(Y) = \oplus \Omega_M(Z_j)$. There is some j such that N is a direct summand of $\Omega_M(Z_j)$. Note that $\Omega_M(Z_j) \neq 0$,

thus Z_j does not belong to $\text{add } M$, therefore there is a non-split exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega_M(Z_j) \longrightarrow M \xrightarrow{g} Z_j \longrightarrow 0,$$

where g is a minimal right $\text{add } M$ -approximation, which means that N is a predecessor of τZ_j . By induction, Z_j is a predecessor of $\tau^{s-1}M_i$ for some M_i , it follows that τZ_j is a predecessor of the non-zero A -module $\tau^s M_i$, since $\tau^{s-1}M_i$ is not projective. This completes the induction step.

In particular, for $s = d - (2m + 2)$, we have that any indecomposable direct summand of $\Omega_M^{d-(2m+2)}(Y)$ is a predecessor of some $\tau^{d-(2m+3)}M_i$. By assumption, all the modules $\tau^{d-(2m+3)}M_i$ are projective A -modules, which implies that $\Omega_M^{d-(2m+2)}(Y) = \Omega_M^{d-(2m+2)}(\Omega_M^{2m}(X)) = \Omega_M^{d-2}(X)$ is also a projective A -module and consequently, belongs to $\text{add } M$. This completes the proof. $\square$

Let d be an integer with $d \geq 2m + 3$. Now we are going to use the existence of a τ -orbit of cardinality at least d to construct an $A^{(m)}$ -module M which is a generator-cogenerator with global dimension d .

Since $A^{(m)}$ is representation-infinite, there must be an indecomposable non-injective $A^{(m)}$ -module Z which is also an A -module, such that $\tau_{A^{(m)}}^{d-(2m+2)}Z = \tau_A^{d-(2m+2)}Z$ is a simple and projective A -module.

Lemma 4.7. *Let d be an integer with $d \geq 2m + 3$. Let Z be an indecomposable non-injective $A^{(m)}$ -module such that $\tau^{d-(2m+2)}Z$ is a simple and projective A -module. Let*

$$0 \longrightarrow \tau Z \longrightarrow \bigoplus Y_j \longrightarrow Z \longrightarrow 0$$

be the Auslander-Reiten sequence ending in Z , with indecomposable modules Y_j . Let P be the direct sum of all indecomposable projective-injective $A^{(m)}$ -modules. Let $M = A \oplus DA_m \oplus \bigoplus_{i=0}^{d-(2m+3)} (\bigoplus \tau^i Y_j) \oplus P$. Then $\text{gl.dim End}_{A^{(m)}}(M) = d$.

Proof. We first use Lemma 4.6 to show that $\text{gl.dim End}_{A^{(m)}}(M) \leq d$. Let N be an indecomposable non-injective module in $\text{add } M$. If N is projective, then $\tau N = 0$. The assumption of $d \geq 2m + 3$ implies that $\tau^{d-(2m+2)}N = 0$. Otherwise, assume that $N = \tau^i Y_j$ for some i . It is sufficient to show that $\tau^{d-(2m+2)}Y_j = 0$. Note that Z is actually a preprojective A -module and so all $\tau^i Y_j$ are as well. Applying $\tau^{d-(2m+2)}$

to an irreducible map $Y_j \rightarrow Z$, we have that either $\tau^{d-(2m+2)}Y_j = 0$ or $\tau^{d-(2m+2)}Y_j$ is a proper predecessor of $\tau^{d-(2m+2)}Z$. The latter is impossible since $\tau^{d-(2m+2)}Z$ is simple and projective. Then Lemma 4.6 asserts that $\text{gl.dim End}_{A^{(m)}}(M) \leq d$.

In order to show that $\text{gl.dim End}_{A^{(m)}}(M) \geq d$, we only need to find an indecomposable $A^{(m)}$ -module N such that $M\text{-dim } N \geq d - 2$.

For $0 \leq i \leq d - (2m + 3)$, the Auslander-Reiten sequence ending in $\tau^i Z$ is of the form

$$0 \rightarrow \tau^{i+1}Z \rightarrow \bigoplus \tau^i Y_j \xrightarrow{g_i} \tau^i Z \rightarrow 0.$$

Since all the modules $\tau^i Y_j$ with $0 \leq i \leq d - (2m + 3)$ belong to $\text{add } M$, we see that g_i is a minimal right M -approximation.

Note that $\Omega_M^i(Z) = \tau^i Z$ for $0 \leq i \leq d - (2m + 3)$, this forces that $M\text{-dim } Z \geq d - (2m + 2)$, since $\tau^{d-(2m+2)}Z$ belongs to $\text{add } M$ and every $\tau^i Z$ does not belong to $\text{add } M$ with $0 \leq i < d - (2m + 2)$.

Let $N = \Omega_{A^{(m)}}^{-2m} Z$. Note that Z is a non-projective preprojective A -module, thus N is an indecomposable $A^{(m)}$ -module such that $\Sigma_{2m} < N < DA_m$, since $\text{gl.dim } A^{(m)} = 2m + 1$. It follows from the construction of M that $\Omega_M^j(N) = \Omega_{A^{(m)}}^j(N)$ for $1 \leq j \leq 2m$, hence $\Omega_M^{2m}(N) = \Omega_{A^{(m)}}^{2m}(N) = Z$. Therefore $M\text{-dim } N = 2m + M\text{-dim } Z \geq d - 2$, and hence $\text{gl.dim End}_{A^{(m)}}(M) \geq d$. This completes the proof. $\square$

Lemma 4.8. *Let N be an indecomposable A -module whose endomorphism algebra is a division ring and such that there is a non-split sequence $0 \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{u} N' \xrightarrow{v} N \rightarrow 0$. Let P be the direct sum of all indecomposable projective-injective $A^{(m)}$ -modules and $M = A \oplus DA_m \oplus P \oplus N'$. Then M is a generator-cogenerator in $\text{mod } A^{(m)}$ with $\text{gl.dim End}_{A^{(m)}}(M) = \infty$.*

Proof. One can follow the method used in the proof of Proposition 3 in [DR] to show that the M -dimension of N is infinite, which implies that $\text{gl.dim End}_{A^{(m)}}(M) = \infty$. For the convenience of readers, we streamline the proof.

Let $p : P(N) \rightarrow N$ be a projective cover of N . Then $[v, p] : N' \oplus P(N) \rightarrow N$ is a right M -approximation (may-be not minimal) since any map $f : N' \rightarrow N$ factors through v , and any map $P \rightarrow N$ with P projective factors through p , and $\text{Hom}_{A^{(m)}}(I, N) = 0$ for any injective module I .

Note that p itself is not a right M -approximation, since the map v cannot be

factored through a projective module. Thus, a minimal right add M -approximation of N is of the form $[v, p'] : N' \oplus P' \rightarrow N$ with P' projective.

The kernel of $[v, p']$ is isomorphic to $N \oplus P''$ with P'' projective, thus $\Omega_M(N) = N \oplus P''$. Inductively, we see that N is a direct summand of $\Omega_M^t(N)$ for all $t \geq 0$, this shows that the M -dimension of N is not finite. The proof is completed. $\square$

Theorem 4.9. *Let $A^{(m)}$ be the m -replicated algebra of a representation-infinite hereditary artin algebra A and let d be either an integer with $d \geq 3$ or else the symbol ∞ . Then there exists an $A^{(m)}$ -module M which is a generator-cogenerator with global dimension d .*

Proof. If d is an integer with $3 \leq d \leq 2m + 2$, according to Corollary 4.2, there exists a generator-cogenerator M in $\text{mod } A^{(m)}$ with $\text{gl.dim } \text{End}_{A^{(m)}}(M) = d$.

If d is an integer with $d \geq 2m + 3$, then the consequence follows from Lemma 4.7.

Finally, let $d = \infty$. Since $A^{(m)}$ is representation-infinite, it follows that A is representation-infinite, and thus there must exist an indecomposable A -module N whose endomorphism algebra is a division ring and such that $\text{Ext}_A^1(N, N) = \text{Ext}_{A^{(m)}}^1(N, N) \neq 0$. Then according to Lemma 4.8, there exists a generator-cogenerator M in $\text{mod } A^{(m)}$ such that $\text{gl.dim } \text{End}_{A^{(m)}}(M) = \infty$. This completes the proof. $\square$

Acknowledgements. We thank the referee for his or her valuable suggestions and comments.

References

- [A] M.Auslander. Representation Dimension of Artin Algebras. Queen Mary College Mathematics Notes, Queen Mary College, London, 1971.
- [ABM] I.Assem, A.Beliannis, N.Marmaridis, Right triangulated categories with right semi-equivalences. *CMS Conference Proceedings* 24(1998), 17-37.
- [ABST1] I.Assem, T.Brüstle, R.Schiffer, G.Todorov, Cluster categories and duplicated algebras. *J. Algebra* 305(2006), 548-561.

- [ABST2] I.Assem, T.Brüstle, R.Schiffer, G.Todorov, m -cluster categories and m -replicated algebras. *Journal of pure and applied Algebra* 212(2008), 884-901.
- [AI] I.Assem, Y.Iwanaga, On a class of representation-finite QF-3 algebras. *Tsukuba J. Math.* 11(1987), 199-210.
- [DR] V.Dlab, C.M.Ringel, The global dimension of the endomorphism ring of a generator-cogenerator for a hereditary artin algebra. *Mathematical Reports of the Academy of Science of the Royal Society of Canada.* 30(3)(2008), 89-96.
- [EHIS] K.Erdmann, T.Holm, O.Iyama, J.Schroer, Radical embedding and representation dimension, *Adv.Math.* 185(2004), 159-177.
- [H] D.Happel, Triangulated categories in the representation theory of finite dimensional algebras. *Lecture Notes series 119.* Cambridge Univ. Press, 1988.
- [HW] D.Hughes, J.Waschbüsch, Trivial extensions of tilted algebras. *Proc.London. Math.Soc.* 46(1983), 347-364.
- [LZ] H.Lv, S.Zhang, Representation dimension of m -replicated algebras. Preprint, arXiv:math.RT/0810.5185, 2008.
- [I] O.Iyama, Finiteness of representation dimension. *Proc.Amer.Math.Soc.*, 131(2003), 1011-1014.
- [Rin] C.M.Ringel, Tame algebras and integral quadratic forms. *Lecture Notes in Math.* 1099. Springer Verlag, 1984.
- [Rou] R. Rouquier, Dimensions of triangulated categories. *J. K-theory: K-theory and its Applications to Algebra, Geometry, and Topology.* 1(2008), 193-256.
- [T] H.Tachikawa, *Lectures on Quasi-Frobenius Rings.* Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics 351 (1973).