The Uniqueness Problem of Sequence Product on Operator Effect Algebra $\mathcal{E}(H)^*$

Liu Weihua, Wu Junde

Department of Mathematics, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, P. R. China

Abstract. A quantum effect is an operator on a complex Hilbert space H that satisfies $0 \le A \le I$. We denote the set of all quantum effects by $\mathcal{E}(H)$. In this paper we prove, Theorem 4.3, on the theory of sequential product on $\mathcal{E}(H)$ which shows, in fact, that there are sequential products on $\mathcal{E}(H)$ which are not of the generalized Lüders form. This result answers a Gudder's open problem negatively.

PACS numbers: 02.10-v, 02.30.Tb, 03.65.Ta.

1. Introduction

If a quantum-mechanical system S is represented in the usual way by a complex Hilbert space H, then a self-adjoint operator A on H such that $0 \leq A \leq I$ is called the *quantum* effect on H([1, 2]). Quantum effects represent yes-no measurements that may be unsharp. The set of quantum effects on H is denoted by $\mathcal{E}(H)$. The subset $\mathcal{P}(H)$ of $\mathcal{E}(H)$ consisting of orthogonal projections represents sharp yes-no measurements. Let $\mathcal{T}(H)$ be the set of trace class operators on H and $\mathcal{S}(H)$ the set of density operators, i.e., the trace class positive operators on H of unit trace, which represent the states of quantum system. An *operation* is a positive linear mapping $\Phi : \mathcal{T}(H) \to \mathcal{T}(H)$ such that for each $T \in \mathcal{S}(H)$, $0 \leq tr[\Phi(T)] \leq 1$ ([3-5]). Each operation Φ can define a unique quantum effect B such that for each $T \in \mathcal{T}(H)$, $tr[\Phi(T)] = tr[TB]$.

Let $\mathcal{B}(H)$ be the set of bounded linear operators on H, the dual mapping $\Phi^* : \mathcal{B}(H) \to \mathcal{B}(H)$ of an operation Φ is defined by the relation $tr[T\Phi^*(A)] = tr[\Phi(T)A], A \in \mathcal{B}(H), T \in \mathcal{T}(H)$ ([4]). The effect B defined by an operation Φ satisfies that $B = \Phi^*(I)$ ([5]).

For each $P \in \mathcal{P}(H)$ is associated a so-called Lüders operation $\Phi_L^P: T \to PTP$, its dual is $(\Phi_L^P)^*(A) = PAP$ and the corresponding quantum effect is $(\Phi_L^P)^*(I) = P$. These

^{*}E-mail: wjd@zju.edu.cn

operations arise in the context of ideal measurements. Moreover, each quantum effect $B \in \mathcal{E}(H)$ gives to a general Lüders operation $\Phi_L^B : T \to B^{\frac{1}{2}}TB^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and B is recovered as $(\Phi_L^B)^*(I) = B$ as well.

Let Φ_1, Φ_2 be two operations. The composition $\Phi_2 \circ \Phi_1$ is a new operation, called a sequential operation as it is obtained by performing first Φ_1 and then Φ_2 . In general, $\Phi_2 \circ \Phi_1 \neq \Phi_1 \circ \Phi_2$. Note that for any two quantum effects $B, C \in \mathcal{E}(H)$ we have $(\Phi_L^C \circ \Phi_L^B)^*(I) = B^{\frac{1}{2}}CB^{\frac{1}{2}}$ ([5, P_{26-27}]). It shows that the new quantum effect $B^{\frac{1}{2}}CB^{\frac{1}{2}}$ yielded by B and C has important physics meaning. Professor Gudder called it the sequential product of B and C, and denoted it by $B \circ C$. It represents the quantum effect produced by fist measuring A then measuring B ([6-8]). This sequential product has also been generalized to an algebraic structure called a *sequential effect algebra* ([7]).

Now, we introduce the abstract sequential product on $\mathcal{E}(H)$ as following:

- Let \circ be a binary operation on $\mathcal{E}(H)$, i.e., $\circ: \mathcal{E}(H) \times \mathcal{E}(H) \to \mathcal{E}(H)$, if it satisfies:
- (S1). The map $B \to A \circ B$ is additive for each $A \in \mathcal{E}(H)$, that is, if $B + C \leq I$, then $(A \circ B) + (A \circ C) \leq I$ and $(A \circ B) + (A \circ C) = A \circ (B + C)$.
- (S2). $I \circ A = A$ for all $A \in \mathcal{E}(H)$.
- (S3). If $A \circ B = 0$, then $A \circ B = B \circ A$.
- (S4). If $A \circ B = B \circ A$, then $A \circ (I B) = (I B) \circ A$ and $A \circ (B \circ C) = (A \circ B) \circ C$ for all $C \in \mathcal{E}(H)$.
- (S5). If $C \circ A = A \circ C$, $C \circ B = B \circ C$, then $C \circ (A \circ B) = (A \circ B) \circ C$ and
 - $C \circ (A + B) = (A + B) \circ C$ whenever $A + B \leq I$.

If $\mathcal{E}(H)$ has a binary operation \circ satisfying conditions (S1)-(S5), then $(\mathcal{E}(H), 0, I, \circ)$ is called a sequential operator effect algebra. Professor Gudder showed that for any two quantum effects B and C, the operation \circ defined by $B \circ C = B^{\frac{1}{2}}CB^{\frac{1}{2}}$ satisfies conditions (S1)-(S5), and so is a sequential product of $\mathcal{E}(H)$, which we call the generalized Lüders form. In 2005, Professor Gudder presented 25 open problems about the general sequential effect algebras. The second problem is:

Problem 1.1 ([9]). Is $B \circ C = B^{\frac{1}{2}}CB^{\frac{1}{2}}$ the only sequential product on $\mathcal{E}(H)$?

As we see the five properties are base on the measurement logics and the the uniqueness property has been asked many times in Gudder's paper. In this paper, we construct a new sequential product on $\mathcal{E}(H)$ which differs from the generalized Lüders form, thus, we answer the open problem negatively.

2. Sequential Product on $\mathcal{E}(H)$

In this section, we study some abstract properties of sequential product \circ on $\mathcal{E}(H)$. For convenience, we introduce the following notations: If $A, B \in \mathcal{E}(H)$, we say that $A \oplus B$ is defined if and only if $A + B \leq I$ and define $A \oplus B = A + B$; if $A \circ B = B \circ A$, we denote A|B.

Lemma 2.1. If $A, B \in \mathcal{E}(H), a \in [0, 1]$, then

$$A \circ (aB) = a(A \circ B).$$

Proof. It is clear that for a = 1, the conclusion is true. If a > 0 is a rational number, i.e., $a = \frac{m}{n}$, where n, m are positive integer, it follows from $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} (A \circ \frac{1}{n}B) = A \circ B$ that $A \circ (\frac{1}{n}B) = \frac{1}{n}(A \circ B)$, thus, $A \circ (\frac{m}{n}B) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} A \circ (\frac{1}{n}B) = \frac{m}{n}(A \circ B)$. If $a \in [0,1]$ is not a rational number, then for each $q = \frac{m}{n} > a$ we have $q(A \circ B) = A \circ (qB) = A \circ [(q-a)B] + A \circ (aB) \ge A \circ (aB)$, so $q(A \circ B) \ge A \circ (aB)$. Let $q \to a$ we have $a(A \circ B) \ge A \circ (aB)$. Similarly, we can get that $A \circ (aB) \ge a(A \circ B)$ by taking $q = \frac{m}{n} < a$. So $A \circ (aB) = a(A \circ B)$. Moreover, it follows from the proof process that for a = 0 the conclusion is also true.

Lemma 2.2 ([9], Theorem 3.4 (i)). Let $A \in \mathcal{E}(H)$ and $E \in \mathcal{P}(H)$. If $A \leq E$, then A|E and $E \circ A = A$.

Lemma 2.3. If $a \in [0,1]$, $E \in \mathcal{P}(H)$, then aI|E and $(aI) \circ E = E \circ (aI) = aE$.

Proof. Since $aE \leq E$, so aE|E and $E \circ E = E$ by Lemma 2.2, it follows from $E = E \circ I = (E \circ E) \oplus (E \circ (I - E)) = E \oplus (E \circ (I - E))$ that $E \circ (I - E) = 0$, note that $E \circ (a(I - E)) \leq E \circ (I - E) = 0$, so $E \circ (a(I - E)) = 0$, thus, it follows from (S3) that E|a(I - E), moreover, by (S5) we have $E|a(I - E) \oplus aE = aI$, so, it follows from Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2 that $(aI) \circ E = E \circ (aI) = a(E \circ I) = aE$.

Lemma 2.4. If $E, F \in \mathcal{P}(H), E \leq F$ and $0 \leq a \leq 1$, then E | aF and $E \circ (aF) = aE$.

Proof. It follows from $E \leq F$ that $I - E \geq I - F \geq a(I - F)$, by Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3, we have I - E|a(I - F) and I - E|(1 - a)I, thus, $I - E|a(I - F) \oplus (1 - a)I = I - aF$, it follows from (S4) that E|I - aF and so by (S4) again that E|aF, moreover, by Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2, we have $(aF) \circ E = E \circ (aF) = a(E \circ F) = aE$.

Lemma 2.5. If $E \in \mathcal{P}(H)$, $A \in \mathcal{E}(H)$, $0 \le a \le 1$ and $A \le E$, then aE|A, and $(aE) \circ A = A \circ (aE) = aA$.

Proof. It follows from Lemma 2.2 that A|E, so by (S4) we have A|I - E. Since $A \circ E = A = A \circ I = A \circ E \oplus A \circ (I - E)$, so $A \circ (I - E) = 0$. Note that $A \circ (a(I - E)) \leq A \circ (I - E)$, we have $A \circ (a(I - E)) = 0$, so A|a(I - E).

Let $\{E_{\lambda}\}$ be the identity resolution of A and denote

$$A_n = \sum_{i=0}^{2^n - 1} \frac{i}{2^n} \left(E_{\frac{i+1}{2^n}} - E_{\frac{i}{2^n}} \right),$$
$$B_n = \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} \frac{i}{2^n} \left(E_{\frac{i}{2^n}} - E_{\frac{i-1}{2^n}} \right).$$

Note that $A \in \varepsilon(H)$, so $E_{\lambda} = 0$ when $\lambda < 0$ and $E_{\lambda} = I$ when $1 \le \lambda$. Moreover, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $A_n \le A_{n+1}$, $B_{n+1} \le B_n$, and when $n \to \infty$, $||A_n - A|| \to 0$, $||B_n - A|| \to 0$ ([10]).

Let $0 \le b \le 1$. Then it follows from Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.3 that

$$(bI) \circ A_n = \sum_{i=1}^{2^n - 1} (bI) \circ (\frac{i}{2^n}) (E_{\frac{i+1}{2^n}} - E_{\frac{i}{2^n}})$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{2^n - 1} (\frac{ib}{2^n}) (E_{\frac{i+1}{2^n}} - E_{\frac{i}{2^n}}) = bA_n$$

and

$$(bI) \circ B_n = bB_n.$$

Note that $A \ge A_n$, so $(bI) \circ A \ge (bI) \circ A_n = bA_n$. Let $n \to \infty$. Then $(bI) \circ A \ge bA$, do the same with $\{B_n\}$, we get $(bI) \circ A \le bA$, so $(bI) \circ A = bA = A \circ (bI)$. That is A|bI for each $0 \le b \le 1$, in particular, A|(1-a)I. Thus, it follows from A|(1-a)I + a(I-E)that A|I - aE, by (S4) we have A|aE, Hence, $(aE) \circ A = A \circ (aE) = a(A \circ E) = aA$.

Lemma 2.6. Let $0 \le a \le 1$ and $A, B \in \mathcal{E}(H)$. Then

$$(aA) \circ B = A \circ (aB) = a(A \circ B).$$

Proof. It follows from Lemma 2.5 that $(aA) \circ B = (A \circ (aI)) \circ B = A \circ ((aI) \circ B) = A \circ (aB) = a(A \circ B).$

Lemma 2.6 showed that we can write $a(A \circ B)$ for $(aA) \circ B$ and $A \circ (aB)$.

In order to obtain our main result in this section, we need to extent $\circ : \mathcal{E}(H) \times \mathcal{E}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(H)$ to $\mathcal{E}(H) \times \mathcal{S}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(H)$, where $\mathcal{S}(H)$ is the set of bounded linear self-adjoint operators on H.

Let $B \in \mathcal{E}(H)$, $A \in \mathcal{S}^+(H)$. Then there exists a number M > 0 such that $\frac{A}{M} \in \mathcal{E}(H)$. Now we define

$$B \circ A = M(B \circ \frac{A}{M}).$$

If there is another positive number M' such that $\frac{A}{M'} \in \mathcal{E}(H)$, without losing generality, we assume that $M \leq M'$, then $M'(B \circ \frac{A}{M'}) = M'(B \circ (\frac{M}{M'} \frac{A}{M})) = M'(\frac{M}{M'}(B \circ \frac{A}{M})) = M(B \circ \frac{A}{M})$, this showed that $B \circ A$ is well defined for each bounded linear positive operator A on H. In general, if $A \in \mathcal{S}(H)$, we can express A as $A_1 - A_2$, where A_1, A_2 are two bounded linear positive operators on H ([10]). Now we define

$$B \circ A = B \circ A_1 - B \circ A_2.$$

If $A'_1 - A'_2$ is another expression of A with the above properties, then $A_1 + A'_2 = A'_1 + A_2 = K$ is a bounded linear positive operator on H. If take positive real number M such that $\frac{K}{M} \in \mathcal{E}(H)$, then $B \circ (A_1 + A'_2) = M(B \circ (\frac{A_1}{M} + \frac{A'_2}{M})) = M(B \circ \frac{A_1}{M}) + M(B \circ \frac{A'_2}{M}) = B \circ A_1 + B \circ A'_2$. Similarly, $B \circ (A'_1 + A_2) = B \circ A'_1 + B \circ A_2$. Thus, it follows from $B \circ A'_1 + B \circ A_2 = B \circ A_1 + B \circ A'_2$, $B \circ A_1 - B \circ A_2 = B \circ A'_1 - B \circ A'_2$. This showed that \circ is well defined on $\mathcal{E}(H) \times S(H)$.

From the above discussion we can easily prove the following important result:

Theorem 2.7. If $B \in \mathcal{E}(H)$, $A_1, A_2 \in S(H)$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}$, then we have

$$B \circ (A_1 + A_2) = B \circ A_1 + B \circ A_2, \ B \circ (aA_1) = a(B \circ A_1).$$

3. Sequential Product on $\mathcal{E}(H)$ with $\dim(H) = 2$

In this section, we suppose that $\dim(H) = 2$. Now, we explore the key idea of constructing our sequential product.

Lemma 3.1. If $E \in \mathcal{P}(H), B \in \mathcal{E}(H)$, then $E \circ B = EBE$.

Proof. Since E is a orthogonal projection on $\mathcal{E}(H)$ with $\dim(H) = 2$, so there exists a normal basis $\{e_1, e_2\}$ of H such that $E(e_i) = \lambda_i e_i$, where $\lambda_i \in \{0, 1\}$, i = 1, 2. If $\lambda_i = 0, i = 1, 2$, then E = 0, if $\lambda_i = 1, i = 1, 2$, then E = I. It is clear that for E = 0or E = I, the conclusion is true. Without losing generality, we now suppose that $\lambda_1 = 1$ and $\lambda_2 = 0$, i.e., $(E(e_1), E(e_2)) = (e_1, e_2) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Let $B \in S(H)$. Then we have $(B(e_1), B(e_2)) = (e_1, e_2) \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ \overline{y} & z \end{pmatrix}$, where $x, z \in \mathbb{R}$ ([10]). Now we define two linear operators X and Z on H satisfy that

 $(X(e_1), X(e_2)) = (e_1, e_2) \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

$$(Z(e_1), Z(e_2)) = (e_1, e_2) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & z \end{pmatrix}$$

Then $X = xE, Z = z(I - E) \in \mathcal{E}(H)$ and it follows from (S1) and Lemma 2.2 that $E \circ X = X$ and $E \circ Z = 0$. Denote

$$(E \circ B(e_1), E \circ B(e_2)) = (e_1, e_2) \left(\begin{array}{cc} f(x, y, z) & g(x, y, z) \\ \overline{g(x, y, z)} & h(x, y, z) \end{array} \right).$$

Since S(H) is a real linear space and by Theorem 2.7 that $B \to E \circ B$ is a real linear map of $S(H) \to S(H)$, so f, g and h are real linear maps of vector (x, y, z) and f and gare real-valued functions of (x, y, z), thus, function f(x, y, z) must have the form ([10]): $f(x,y,z) = kx + lz + n(y + \overline{y}) + im(y - \overline{y})$, where $k, l, m, n \in \mathbb{R}$. Let B = X and B = Z, respectively, it follows from $E \circ X = X$ and $E \circ Z = 0$ that l = 0, k = 1, so $f(x, y, z) = x + n(y + \bar{y}) + mi(y - \bar{y})$. Note that when $B \in \mathcal{S}^+(H), E \circ B$ should be a positive operator, so when $x, z \ge 0$ and $xz - |y|^2 \ge 0$, we have $f(x, y, z) \ge 0$. Take $y \in R$, then f(x, y, z) = x + 2ny. Thus, when $x, z \ge 0, y \in R$ and $xz - y^2 \ge 0$, $f(x, y, z) = x + 2ny \ge 0$. If $n \ne 0$, take $y = -\frac{1}{n}$, x = 1, $z = \frac{1}{n^2}$, then we have f < 0, this is a contradiction and so n = 0. Similarly, if $m \neq 0$, take $y = -\frac{i}{m}$, x = 1, $z = \frac{1}{m^2}$, we will get f < 0, this is also a contradiction and so m = 0. Thus, we have f(x, y, z) = x. Moreover, note that $E \circ ((I-E) \circ B) = (E \circ (I-E)) \circ B = 0 \circ B = 0 = ((I-E) \circ E)) \circ B = 0$ $(I-E)\circ(E\circ B)$, as above, we may prove that $((I-E)\circ(E\circ B)(e_1), (I-E)\circ(E\circ B)(e_2)) = (I-E)\circ(E\circ B)(e_2)$ $(e_1, e_2) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & h(x, y, z) \end{pmatrix} = (e_1, e_2) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ thus } h(x, y, z) = 0. \text{ For each } y \in \mathbb{C}, \text{ take}$ $x = 1, z = |y|^2$, then B is a positive operator, so $E \circ B$ is also a positive operator, thus we have $fh - |g|^2 \ge 0$. It follows from h = 0 that g = 0, so $E \circ B = X = EBE$.

Corollary 3.2. Let $E \in \mathcal{P}(H), a \in [0, 1]$ and A = aE. Then for each $B \in \mathcal{E}(H)$,

$$A \circ B = (aE) \circ B = a(E \circ B) = a(EBE) = a^{\frac{1}{2}}EBa^{\frac{1}{2}}E = A^{\frac{1}{2}}BA^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Now, we prove the following important result:

Theorem 3.2. Let H be a complex Hilbert space with $\dim(H) = 2$, $A, B \in \mathcal{E}(H)$. If $\{e_1, e_2\}$ is a normal basis of H such that $(A(e_1), A(e_2)) = (e_1, e_2) \begin{pmatrix} a^2 & 0 \\ 0 & b^2 \end{pmatrix}$ and

$$(B(e_1), B(e_2)) = (e_1, e_2) \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ \bar{y} & z \end{pmatrix}, \text{ then there exists a } \theta \in \mathbb{R} \text{ such that}$$
$$(A \circ B(e_1), A \circ B(e_2)) = (e_1, e_2) \begin{pmatrix} a^2 x & abe^{i\theta} y \\ abe^{-i\theta} \bar{y} & b^2 z \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. Let $\{e_1, e_2\}$ be a normal basis of H such that $(A(e_1), A(e_2)) = (e_1, e_2) \begin{pmatrix} a^2 & 0 \\ 0 & b^2 \end{pmatrix}$

and
$$(B(e_1), B(e_2)) = (e_1, e_2) \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ \bar{y} & z \end{pmatrix}$$
, where $0 \le a, b \le 1, 0 \le x, 0 \le z, 0 \le xz - |y|^2$.

Now we define a linear operator E on H such that $(E(e_1), E(e_2)) = (e_1, e_2) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, then $E \in \mathcal{P}(H)$. By Corollary 3.2, we can suppose $a, b \in (0, 1]$ and $a \neq b$. Thus, $A = a^2E + b^2(I - E)$. Denote $(A \circ B(e_1), A \circ B(e_2)) = (e_1, e_2) \begin{pmatrix} f(x, y, z) & g(x, y, z) \\ g(x, y, z) & h(x, y, z) \end{pmatrix}$, where f, g, h are real linear functions with respect to $(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}$ and f, h take values in \mathbb{R} . Since $E \circ (A \circ B) = (E \circ A) \circ B) = (E \circ (a^2E + b^2(I - E))) \circ B = a^2(E \circ B)$, we have $f(x, y, z) = a^2x$. Similarly, we have also $h(x, y, z) = b^2z$. Moreover, since E|E, E|(I - E), by (S5), we have E|A, so by (S4), we have (I - E)|A, thus, $A \circ (xE) = xa^2E$, $A \circ z(I - E) = zb^2(I - E)$, this showed that g is independent of x and z, so $g(x, y, z) = \alpha y$, where $\alpha \in C$. On the other hand, if $B \in \mathcal{S}(H)$ is a positive operator, then $A \circ B$ is also a positive operator, so for each positive number x and z, and each complex number y, when $xz - |y|^2 \ge 0$, we have $a^2b^2xz - |\alpha y|^2 \ge 0$. Let $x = 1, z = |y|^2$.

$$a^2 b^2 - |\alpha|^2 \ge 0. \tag{1}.$$

Let B, C be two positive operators. We show that if both $B \leq C$ and $C \leq B$ are not true, then both $A \circ B \leq A \circ C$ and $A \circ C \leq A \circ B$ are also not true. In fact, let $D = b^2 E + a^2 (I - E)$. Then $A|b^2 E + a^2 (I - E) = D$ and $A \circ D = A \circ (b^2 E + a^2 (I - E)) = a^2 b^2 I$. So if $A \circ B \leq A \circ C$, then $D \circ (A \circ B) \leq D \circ (A \circ C)$. But $D \circ (A \circ B) = (D \circ A) \circ B = a^2 b^2 I \circ B = a^2 b^2 B \leq D \circ (A \circ C) = a^2 b^2 C$, thus we will have $B \leq C$, this is a contradiction. So $A \circ B \leq A \circ C$ is not true. Similarly, we have $A \circ C \leq A \circ B$ is also not true.

Let $y \in \mathbb{C}$, $y \neq 0$, ϵ be a positive number satisfy that $a^2|y| - \epsilon > 0$. If we define $(B(e_1), B(e_2)) = (e_1, e_2) \begin{pmatrix} |y| & y \\ \overline{y} & |y| \end{pmatrix}$ and $(C(e_1, C(e_2)) = (e_1, e_2) \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, then $B, C \in \mathcal{E}(H), B \leq C$ and $C \leq B$ are both not true. Thus we have both $A \circ B \leq A \circ C$ and $A \circ B \leq A \circ C$ are also not true, i.e., the self-adjoint operator $A \circ B - A \circ C$ is not positive operator. Note that $((A \circ B - A \circ C)(e_1), (A \circ B - A \circ C)(e_2)) = (e_1, e_2) \begin{pmatrix} a^2|y| - \epsilon & \alpha y \\ \overline{\alpha y} & b^2|y| \end{pmatrix}$, and $a^2|y| - \epsilon > 0, \ b^2|y| > 0$, so we have $b^2(a^2|y| - \epsilon)|y| - |\alpha y|^2 < 0$. Let $\epsilon \to 0$, we get that $|\alpha y|^2 \geq b^2 a^2|y|^2$. Thus, we have

$$|\alpha|^2 \ge b^2 a^2. \tag{2}$$

It follows from (1) and (2) that $|\alpha|^2 = a^2 b^2$. So $|\alpha| = ab$ and $\alpha = abe^{i\theta}$.

4. A New Sequential Product on $\mathcal{E}(H)$

Theorem 3.2 motivated us to construct the new sequential product on $\mathcal{E}(H)$. First, we need the following:

For each $A \in \mathcal{E}(H)$, denote $R(A) = \{Ax, x \in H\}$, $N(A) = \{x, x \in H, Ax = 0\}$, P_0 and P_1 be the orthogonal projections on $\overline{R(A)}$ and N(A), respectively. It follows from $A \in \mathcal{E}(H)$ that $N(A) = N(A^{1/2})$, so $R(A) = R(A^{1/2})$. Moreover, $P_0(H) \perp P_1(H)$ and $H = P_0(H) \oplus P_1(H)$ ([10]).

Denote $f_z(u)$ be the complex-valued Borel function defined on [0,1], where $f_z(u) = \exp z(\ln u)$ if $u \in (0,1]$ and $f_z(0) = 0$. Now, we define

$$A^{i} = f_{i}(A), \ A^{-i} = f_{-i}(A).$$

It is easily to show that $||A^i|| \le 1$, $||A^{-i}|| \le 1$ and

$$(A^{i})^{*} = A^{-i}, A^{i}A^{-i} = A^{-i}A^{i} = P_{0}.$$

Theorem 4.1. Let H be a complex Hilbert space and $A, B \in \mathcal{E}(H)$. If we define $A \circ B = A^{1/2} A^i B A^{-i} A^{1/2}$, then \circ satisfies the conditions (S1)-(S3).

Proof. If $A, B \in \mathcal{E}(H)$, note that $||A^i|| \le 1$ and $||A^{-i}|| \le 1$, we have

$$||A \circ B|| = ||A^{1/2}A^{i}BA^{-i}A^{1/2}|| \le ||A^{1/2}|| ||A^{i}|| ||B|| ||A^{-i}|| ||A^{1/2}|| \le 1$$

and

$$< A^{1/2} A^i B A^{-i} A^{1/2} x, x > = \|B^{1/2} A^{-i} A^{1/2} x\| \ge 0$$

for all $x \in H$, so $A \circ B = A^{1/2}A^iBA^{-i}A^{1/2}$ is a binary operation on $\mathcal{E}(H)$. Moreover, it is clear that the map $B \to A \circ B$ is additive for each $A \in \mathcal{E}(H)$, so the operation \circ satisfies (S1).

It follows from $I \circ A = I^{1/2} I^i A I^{-i} I^{1/2} = A$ that \circ satisfies (S2).

If
$$A \circ B = A^{1/2} A^i B A^{-i} A^{1/2} = 0$$
, now, we represent A and B on $H = P_0(H) \oplus P_1(H)$ by
 $\begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} B_1 & B_2 \\ B_3 & B_4 \end{pmatrix}$, then
 $A \circ B = \begin{pmatrix} A_1^{1/2} A_1^i B_1 A_1^{-i} A_1^{1/2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 0,$

so we have $A_1^{1/2}A_1^iB_1A_1^{-i}A_1^{1/2} = 0$ on $P_0(H)$, i.e., $(A_1^{1/2}A_1^iB_1A_1^{-i}A_1^{1/2}x, x) = 0$ for each $x \in P_0(H)$. Note that $R(A) = R(A^{1/2})$ and A^i is a unitary operator on $P_0(H)$, so $R(A^{1/2})$ is dense in $P_0(H)$, thus for each $y \in P_0(H)$, there is a sequence $\{z_n\} \subseteq R(A^{1/2})$ such that $z_n \to A^i y$, so there is a sequence $\{x_n\} \subseteq H$ such that $A^{1/2}x_n = z_n \to A^i y$. Let $x_n = y_n + u_n$, where $y_n \in P_0(H), u_n \in P_1(H)$. Then $A^{1/2}x_n = A^{1/2}y_n$. Thus, there is a sequence $\{y_n\}$ in $P_0(H)$ such that $A^{1/2}y_n = z_n \to A^i y$. Note that A^i is a unitary operator on $P_0(H)$, so we have $A^{-i}A^{1/2}y_n \to y$. But,

$$\|B_1^{1/2}A_1^{-i}A_1^{1/2}y_n\| = (A_1^{1/2}A_1^{i}B_1A_1^{-i}A_1^{1/2}y_n, y_n) = 0,$$

so $B_1^{1/2}y = 0$ for each $y \in P_0(H)$, that is, $B_1^{1/2} = 0$. Since $B \in \mathcal{E}(H)$, so $B_2 = 0, B_3 = 0$, thus we have $B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & B_4 \end{pmatrix}$, so $B \circ A = B^{1/2}B^iAB^{-i}B^{1/2} = 0 = A \circ B$. This showed that \circ satisfies (S3).

Theorem 4.2. Let H be a complex Hilbert space with $\dim(H) < \infty$, $A, B \in \mathcal{E}(H)$. If we define $A \circ B = A^{1/2}A^iBA^{-i}A^{1/2}$, then $A \circ B = A^{1/2}A^iBA^{-i}A^{1/2} = B \circ A = B^{1/2}B^iAB^{-i}B^{1/2}$ if and only if AB = BA.

Proof. Firstly, it is obvious that if AB = BA, then $A \circ B = A^{1/2}A^iBA^{-i}A^{1/2} = B \circ A = B^{1/2}B^iAB^{-i}B^{1/2}$. Now, if $A \circ B = A^{1/2}A^iBA^{-i}A^{1/2} = B \circ A = B^{1/2}B^iAB^{-i}B^{1/2}$, we show that AB = BA. Note that $A \in \mathcal{E}(H)$ and dim $(H) < \infty$, so A has the form $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i E_i$, where $\sum_{k=1}^{n} E_k = I$, $a_k \ge 0$, $E_k \in \mathcal{P}(H)$, $a_k \ne a_l$, $E_k E_l = 0$ for all $k, l = 1, 2, \cdots, n, k \ne l$. Without losing generality, we suppose that $0 \le a_1 < \cdots < a_n$,

then $0 \leq |a_1^{1/2} f_i(a_1)| < \dots < |a_n^{1/2} f_i(a_n)|$ since $a_k^{1/2} = |a_k^{1/2} f_i(a_k)|$. It follows from the operator theory that $A^{1/2} = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^{1/2} E_k$ and $f_i(A) = A^i = \sum_{k=1}^n f_i(a_k) E_k$, $f_{-i}(A) = A^{-i} = \sum_{k=1}^n f_{-i}(a_k) E_k$ ([10]). Note that $A^{1/2} A^i B A^{-i} A^{1/2} = B^{1/2} B^i A B^{-i} B^{1/2}$, so for each $x \in H$, $(A^{1/2} A^i B A^{-i} A^{1/2} x, x) = (B^{1/2} B^i A B^{-i} B^{1/2} x, x)$, thus we have

$$\|B^{1/2}A^{-i}A^{1/2}x\| = \|A^{1/2}B^{-i}B^{1/2}x\|.$$
(3)

Take $x \in E_n(H)$, then $A^{1/2}A^{-i}x = A^{-i}A^{1/2}x = a_n^{1/2}f_{-i}(a_n)x$, note that $|a_n f_{-i}(a_n)| = |a_n f_i(a_n)| = |a_n|$, $\overline{R(B)} = \overline{R(B^{1/2})}$ and B^{-i} is a unitary operator on $\overline{R(B)}$ and $B^{-i}B^{1/2} = B^{1/2}B^{-i}$, we have

$$\|A^{1/2}B^{1/2}B^{-i}x\|^{2} = \|\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k}^{1/2}E_{k}B^{1/2}B^{-i}x\|^{2} =$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k}\|E_{k}B^{1/2}B^{-i}x\|^{2} \le \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{n}\|E_{k}B^{1/2}B^{-i}x\|^{2} =$$

$$a_{n}\|B^{1/2}B^{-i}x\|^{2} = \|a_{n}^{1/2}B^{-i}B^{1/2}x\|^{2} =$$

$$\|a_{n}^{1/2}B^{1/2}x\|^{2} = \|B^{1/2}A^{1/2}A^{-i}x\|^{2}.$$

Thus, it follows from equation (3), $B^{-i}B^{1/2} = B^{1/2}B^{-i}$, $A^{-i}A^{1/2} = A^{1/2}A^{-i}$ and $0 \leq a_1 < \cdots < a_n$ that for each k < n, we have $E_k B^{1/2} B^{-i} x = 0$, so $B^{1/2} B^{-i} x \in E_n(H)$. Thus we have $E_n B^{1/2} B^{-i} E_n = B^{1/2-i} E_n$. This showed that $B^{1/2} B^{-i}$ has the matrix form $\begin{pmatrix} C & D \\ 0 & K \end{pmatrix}$ on $H = E_n(H) \oplus (I - E_n)(H)$, where $C \in \mathcal{B}(E_n(H), E_n(H))$, $D \in \mathcal{B}((I - E_n)(H), E_n(H))$, $K \in \mathcal{B}((I - E_n)(H), (I - E_n)(H))$. Note that $B \in \mathcal{E}(H)$, B has the form $\sum_{k=1}^m b_k F_k$, and $B^{1/2} B^{-i} = \sum_{k=1}^m b^{1/2} f_{-i}(b_k) F_k$, where $\sum_{k=1}^m F_k = I$, $b_k \ge 0$, $F_k \in \mathcal{P}(H)$, $b_k \neq b_l$, $F_k F_l = 0$ for all $k, l = 1, 2, \cdots, m, k \neq l$. Now we define a polynomial

$$G_k(z) = \prod_{j \neq k} (z - b_j^{1/2} f_{-i}(b_j)) / \prod_{j \neq k} (b_k^{1/2} f_{-i}(b_j) - b_j^{1/2} f_{-i}(b_j))$$

on \mathbb{C} . It is easily to show that for each $1 \leq k \leq m$, $G_k(B^{1/2}B^{-i}) = F_k$. Note that $B^{1/2}B^{-i}$ has the up-triangulate form, so $G_k(B^{1/2}B^{-i})$ has also the up-triangulate form. But F_k is a self-adjoint operator, so F_k has the diagonal matrix form on $E_n(H) \oplus (I - E_n)(H)$. This implies that F_k commutes with E_n for each k, so B commutes with E_n . Denote $A_0 = A - a_n E_n$, then we still have $A_0 \circ B = B \circ A_0$ as discussed before, thus we get that B commutes with E_{n-1} . Continuously, we will have that B commutes with all E_k and so with A. In this case we have $A \circ B = AB$.

Our main result is:

Theorem 4.3. Let H be a complex Hilbert space with $dim(H) < \infty$ and $A, B \in \mathcal{E}(H)$. If we define $A \circ B = A^{1/2}A^iBA^{-i}A^{1/2}$, then \circ is a sequential product on $\mathcal{E}(H)$.

Proof. By Theorem 4.1, we only need to prove that \circ satisfies (S4) and (S5). In fact, if A|B, i.e., $A \circ B = A^{1/2}A^iBA^{-i}A^{1/2} = B \circ A = B^{1/2}B^iAB^{-i}B^{1/2}$, then it follows from Theorem 4.2 that A commutes with B and of course I - B, so A|I - B. If $C \in \mathcal{E}(H)$, we have

$$A \circ (B \circ C) = A^{\frac{1}{2}} A^{i} B^{\frac{1}{2}} B^{i} C B^{-i} B^{\frac{1}{2}} A^{-i} A^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
$$= A^{\frac{1}{2}} B^{\frac{1}{2}} A^{i} B^{i} C A^{-i} B^{-i} A^{\frac{1}{2}} B^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
$$= (AB)^{\frac{1}{2}} (AB)^{i} C (AB)^{-i} (AB)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
$$= (AB) \circ C = (A \circ B) \circ C.$$

So (S4) is satisfied.

Moreover, if C|B and C|A, then C(AB) = ACB = (AB)C, $C(A \oplus B) = (B + A)C$, so it is easily to prove that $C(A \circ B) = (A \circ B)C$, thus, by Theorem 4.2, we have $C|A \circ B$ and $C|(A \oplus B)$ whenever $A \oplus B$ is defined, this showed that (S5) is hold.

By using Theorem 4.3 we can prove the following corollary:

Corollary 4.4. Let H be a complex Hilbert space with $\dim(H) = 2$, $A, B \in \mathcal{E}(H)$. Take a normal basis $\{e_1, e_2\}$ of H such that $(A(e_1), A(e_2)) = (e_1, e_2) \begin{pmatrix} a^2 & 0 \\ 0 & b^2 \end{pmatrix}$ and

$$(B(e_1), B(e_2)) = (e_1, e_2) \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ \bar{y} & z \end{pmatrix}.$$
 If when $a, b > 0$, define
$$((A \circ B)(e_1), (A \circ B)(e_2)) = (e_1, e_2) \begin{pmatrix} a^2 x & abe^{i\theta} y \\ abe^{-i\theta} \bar{y} & b^2 z \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\theta = \ln a^2 - \ln b^2$; when a > 0, b = 0, define

$$((A \circ B)(e_1), (A \circ B)(e_2)) = (e_1, e_2) \begin{pmatrix} a^2 x & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

when a = 0, b > 0, define

$$((A \circ B)(e_1), (A \circ B)(e_2)) = (e_1, e_2) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b^2 z \end{pmatrix},$$

then \circ is a sequential product of $\mathcal{E}(H)$.

Remark 1. In conclusion, we construct a new sequential product $A \circ B = A^{\frac{1}{2}}A^{i}BA^{-i}A^{\frac{1}{2}}$ on $\varepsilon(H)$ with dim $(H) < \infty$, which is different from the generalized Lüders form $A^{\frac{1}{2}}BA^{\frac{1}{2}}$. In this proof we can also get a more general one $A \circ B = A^{\frac{1}{2}}A^{ti}BA^{-ti}A^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for $t \in R$. It indicates that with the measurement rule (S1)-(S5), there can be a time parameter tto describe the phase change. In particular, if dim $(H) = 2, A \in \mathcal{E}(H)$ and $\{e_1, e_2\}$ is a normal basis of H such that $(A(e_1), A(e_2)) = (e_1, e_2) \begin{pmatrix} a^2 & 0 \\ 0 & b^2 \end{pmatrix}$, then when a > 0, b > 0and $a \neq b$, Corollary 4.4 showed that $\theta = (\ln a^2 - \ln b^2)t$ can be used to describe the phase-changed phenomena of quantum effect $A \circ B$. As the proof showed, it is the only form that the sequential product can be. This is much more important in physics.

Remark 2. As we knew, in the quantum computation and quantum information theory, if $(A_i)_{i=1}^n \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$ satisfying $\sum_{i=1}^n A_i A_i^* = I$, then the operators $(A_i)_{i=1}^n$ are called the operational elements of the quantum operation $U: \mathcal{T}(H) \to \mathcal{T}(H)$ defined by

$$U(\rho) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_i \rho A_i^*,$$

where $\mathcal{T}(H)$ is the set of trace class operators. Any trace preserving, normal, completely positive map has the above form. This is very important in describing dynamics, measurements, quantum channels, quantum interactions, and quantum error, correcting codes, etc. If $(A_i)_{i=1}^n$ is a set of quantum effects with $\sum_{i=1}^n A_i = I$, then the transformation $U'(\rho) = \sum_{j=1}^n A_j^{\frac{1}{2}} A_j^{ti} \rho A_j^{-ti} A_j^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is a well defined quantum operation since $\sum_{j=1}^n A_j^{\frac{1}{2}} A_j^{ti} A_j^{-ti} A_j^{\frac{1}{2}} = \sum_{i=1}^n A_i = I$. So this new sequential product yields a natural and interesting quantum operation.

Remark 3. Theorem 4.3 indicates that the conditions (S1)-(S5) of sequential product of $\mathcal{E}(H)$ are not sufficient to characterize the generalized Lüders form $A^{\frac{1}{2}}BA^{\frac{1}{2}}$ of A and B. Recently, Professor Gudder presented a characterization of the sequential product of $\mathcal{E}(H)$ is the generalized Lüders form ([11]). Acknowledgement. The authors wish to express their thanks to the referees for their valuable comments and suggestions. In particular, their comments motivated the authors to prove Theorem 4.3 for any finite dimensional Hilbert spaces. This project is supported by Natural Science Foundations of China (10771191 and 10471124).

References

- [1] Ludwig G 1983 Foundations of Quantum Mechanics (I-II) (Springer, New York)
- [2] Ludwig G 1986 An Axiomatic Basis for Quantum Mechanics (II) (Springer, New York)
- [3] Kraus K 1983 Effects and Operations (Springer-Verlag, Beilin)
- [4] Davies E B 1976 Quantum Theory of Open Systems (Academic Press, London)
- [5] Busch P, Grabowski M and Lahti P J 1999 Operational Quantum Physics (Springer-Verlag, Beijing Word Publishing Corporation)
- [6] Gudder S, Nagy G 2001 J. Math. Phys. 42 5212
- [7] Gudder S, Greechie R 2002 Rep Math. Phys. 49 87
- [8] Gheondea A, Gudder S 2004 Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 132 503
- [9] Gudder S 2005 Inter. J. Theory. Physi. 44 2199

[10] Kadison R V, Ringrose J R 1983 Fundamentals of the Theory of Operator algebra (Springer, New York)

[11] Gudder S, Latremoliere F 2008 J. Math. Phys. 49 052106