

Entanglement of bosonic modes of nonplanar molecules

S. Sivakumar
Materials Science Division
Indira Gandhi Centre for Atomic Research
Kalpakkam 603 102 INDIA
Email: siva@igcar.gov.in
Phone: 91-044-27480500-(Extension)22503

January 5, 2019

Abstract

Entanglement of bosonic modes of material oscillators is studied in the context of two bilinearly coupled, nonlinear oscillators. These oscillators are realizable in the vibrational-cum-bending motions of C-H bonds in dihalomethanes. The bilinear coupling gives rise to invariant subspaces in the Hilbert space of the two oscillators. The number of separable states in any invariant subspace is one more than the dimension of the space. The dynamics of the oscillators when the initial state belongs to an invariant subspace is studied. In particular, the dynamics of the system when the initial state is such that the total energy is concentrated in one of the modes is studied and compared with the evolution of the system when the initial state is such wherein the modes share the total energy. The dynamics of quantities such as entropy, mean of number of quanta in the two modes and variances in the quadratures of the two modes are studied. Possibility of generating maximally entangled states is indicated.

PACS: 03.67.Bg, 03.65.Ca

Keywords: Entanglement, Kerr couplers, local modes

1 Introduction

Systems with more than one degree of freedom have the potential to get entangled, a feature that is essentially quantal. No classical process has all the features exhibited by the correlations in the entangled quantum states. Such nonclassical correlations are essential for quantum teleportation, quantum computation, etc. Hence it is important to identify physical systems wherein quantum entanglement is easily generated, controlled and measured. A host of proposals and demonstrations based on NMR, ion traps, SQUID, photon polarization are known to generate entangled states. These systems can be used as gadgets to perform quantum computation [1, 2]. Recently, the entangling capabilities of molecules and the possibility of engineering their evolution to make quantum gates have been investigated[3, 4]. Molecules have vibrational, rotational and electronic degrees of freedom. Often these degrees of freedom are coupled. It is precisely the coupling among the various degrees of freedom that is exploited to generate suitable molecular states. Study of such systems is important as the vibrational modes perform better as controllable qubits[4]. In addition to the aforementioned degrees of freedom, a nonlinear molecule like the water or dihalomethane has bending motion. Dihalomethanes are obtained by replacing two of the hydrogen atoms in CH_4 with halogen atoms; CH_2Cl_2 being an example. These molecules have nonplanar geometrical arrangement of atoms. A classical model to understand the vibrational spectra of these molecules takes the two C-H bonds to be two coupled quartic oscillators. The coefficient of the quartic term is negative to account for the dissociation of sufficiently excited molecule. The low energy vibrational states of the molecule are correctly described by the corresponding quantum Hamiltonian[5],

$$\hat{H} = \left[(\omega - \frac{\gamma}{2})(\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} + \hat{b}^\dagger \hat{b}) - \frac{\gamma}{2}(\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} + \hat{b}^\dagger \hat{b} \hat{b}^\dagger \hat{b}) - \epsilon(\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{b} + \hat{a} \hat{b}^\dagger) \right], \quad (1)$$

$$= \hat{H}_0 + \hat{H}_n + \hat{H}_c. \quad (2)$$

The convention of setting $\hbar = 1$ in the expressions is followed in this work. In calculations the actual value of the Plank's constant is used. The Hamiltonian \hat{H} describes a bipartite system of two coupled, bosonic oscillators. The operators \hat{a}^\dagger and \hat{a} are the creation and annihilation operators for the vibrational mode corresponding to one of the C-H oscillators. These operators satisfy the bosonic commutation relation $[\hat{a}, \hat{a}^\dagger] = I$. The corresponding operators for the other C-H oscillator are \hat{b}^\dagger and \hat{b} . The bending motion is modelled by the term nonlinear in $\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a}$ and $\hat{b}^\dagger \hat{b}$. The term with coefficient

$\omega - \gamma/2$ is H_0 , the free Hamiltonian. The nonlinear part is denoted by \hat{H}_n whose coefficient is $-\gamma/2$ and the coupling term is \hat{H}_i which has the coupling strength ϵ as the coefficient. This bilinear coupling has been used to understand the dynamics of nonlinear couplers for electromagnetic fields[6, 7]. The Hamiltonian has been used in the study of local modes (which are the states of molecules where energy is concentrated in one of the C-H bonds in the molecule) and quantum lattice solitons[5]. In the present work, the Hamiltonian \hat{H} is analyzed to study entanglement in the coupled bosonic system. Analysis of bosonic systems in the context of quantum information theory is becoming important[8], especially after the demonstration of teleportation of coherent states of electromagnetic fields[9]. Theoretical studies have indicated the possibility of generating gates with bosonic modes and the robustness of these gates against thermal dissipation [10].

The Hamiltonian in Eq.1 is similar to that used in the analysis of propagation of two-mode electromagnetic fields in a medium with χ^2 nonlinearity[11, 12]. The nonlinear medium couples the two modes of the electromagnetic field propagating in it [13]. An important difference between the Hamiltonian for a nonlinear coupler and \hat{H} is that the coefficient of the nonlinear term is positive in the former case while it is negative in the later. The Hamiltonian has been investigated in the study of systems for generating maximally entangled states [14, 15], entanglement dynamics [16], control of switching modes [17], generation of bright entangled continuous variable states [18], wavepacket dynamics [19], nonlinear quantum scissors to generate finite dimensional states in systems with infinite dimensional Hilbert space [20]and many more interesting physical applications.

In the case of dihalomethanes, the Hamiltonian describes mechanical oscillators, that is, oscillating masses. Further, there are no external agents, like the Kerr medium in the case of nonlinear couplers, responsible for the coupling between the two oscillators. The coupling is internal and it is not possible to switch off the coupling. The presence of coupling, $\epsilon \neq 0$, introduces interesting dynamical behaviour for the two modes corresponding to the two C-H oscillators. In the present work, the physical properties such as quadrature fluctuations and entanglement are studied. The studies pertain to the situation when dissipation and external fields are absent. The effect of nonlinearity on the dynamics is explored. The results presented here correspond to typical values of γ, ω and ϵ for a class of dihalomethanes. The relevant values are tabulated in Table. 1[5]. The values of the parameters are nearly equal for the three species. For the purpose of presenting the results, the representative values used for the parameters γ, ϵ and ω are 125, 30 and

Table 1: Values of γ, ϵ and ω expressed in cm^{-1} units

Molecule	γ	ϵ	ω
CCl_2H_2	127.44	29.54	3020.1
CBr_2H_2	125.45	32.80	3026.8
CI_2H_2	124.25	33.69	3068.7

3050 respectively, all expressed in units of cm^{-1} . The organization of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 the special features of the Hamiltonian are presented. In Section 3 the dynamical evolution of the system starting from a class of separable states is discussed. The dynamics of entanglement between the modes is studied in Section 4. Results on the fluctuations of single-mode and two-mode quadratures are presented in Section 5. In Section 6, it is shown that maximally entangled states are generated at specific instants during evolution from a separable state. Results are summarized in Section 7.

2 Special features of \hat{H}

The presence of the bilinear coupling term \hat{H}_i endows the Hamiltonian \hat{H} with interesting features. A suitable basis to expand an arbitrary state of the oscillators is the set $\{|n, m\rangle\}$. The quantum numbers n and m range over all the non-negative integers. The two quantum numbers label the states of the oscillators corresponding to the two modes. In the absence of coupling, *i.e.*, $\epsilon = 0$, any state of the form $|n, m\rangle$ is an eigenstate of \hat{H} and the states $|n, m\rangle$ and $|m, n\rangle$ are degenerate. This degeneracy is removed when there is nonzero coupling; none of the states of the form $|n, m\rangle$ is an eigenstate of \hat{H} except the state $|0, 0\rangle$. The free Hamiltonian \hat{H}_0 , which is the total number operator, commutes with the total Hamiltonian \hat{H} and the coupling term \hat{H}_i . Consequently, the Hilbert space of the coupled system splits into disjoint, irreducible, invariant subspaces. Each invariant subspace is characterized by total the quantum number $N = n + m$ and the symbol S_N is used to represent the corresponding invariant subspace. The subspace S_N is the span of the vectors $\{|N - m, m\rangle\}_{m=0}^N$ and its dimension is $N + 1$. When both n and m are zero, only one state $|0, 0\rangle$ is present and it spans the corresponding one dimensional invariant subspace. When $n + m = 1$, there are two possible states, namely, $|0, 1\rangle$ and $|1, 0\rangle$. The span of these

two canonical basis states forms the relevant invariant subspace S_1 . If coupling is absent the invariant subspaces are of dimension one or reducible. The nonlinear and the coupling terms in the Hamiltonian do not commute. Consequently, the factorization of the time-evolution operator is difficult. Nevertheless, including a nonlinear coupling term, namely, $\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} \hat{b}^\dagger \hat{b}$, allows to write the Hamiltonian as a sum of three mutually commuting terms[16]. In the present work the discussions are limited to the bilinear coupling model.

Another remarkable feature of the invariant spaces is that *the states of the form $|n, m\rangle$ are the only product states in the respective invariant subspaces. Every other state in the invariant subspaces is entangled.* For instance, consider the invariant subspace S_1 spanned by $|0, 1\rangle$ and $|1, 0\rangle$. To get a product state involving $|0, 1\rangle$, the state is to be superposed with states of the form $|0, k\rangle$ or $|k - 1, 1\rangle$, with $k > 1$. However, such states do not belong to the subspace S_1 . A similar argument implies that states of the form $|k, 0\rangle$ or $|1, k\rangle$, with $k > 1$, are to be superposed with $|1, 0\rangle$ if the superposition has to be a product state. The required states are not in the invariant subspace S_1 . Thus, every pure state other than $|0, 1\rangle$ and $|1, 0\rangle$ in the invariant subspace S_1 are entangled. This argument can be extended to the other invariant subspaces to establish that all states other than the canonical basis states are entangled in each invariant subspace. The complete Hilbert space of the two oscillators is the direct sum of the invariant subspaces S_N for all N . Hence, in the total Hilbert space separable states there are separable states other than the states of the form $|n, m\rangle$.

In the absence of coupling, the states $|n, m\rangle$ are the eigenstates of \hat{H} . If $n + m = N$, then there are $N + 1$ eigenstates of the form $|N - m, m\rangle$, with m ranging from 0 to N . The corresponding eigenvalues are $(\omega - \frac{\gamma}{2})N - \frac{\gamma}{2} [(N - m)^2 + m^2]$. For odd N , there are $(N + 1)/2$ distinct eigenvalues and the states are doubly degenerate. When N is even, there are $(N + 2)/2$ distinct eigenvalues; there is one nondegenerate state and the rest are doubly degenerate. When $\epsilon \neq 0$, these states cease to be eigenstates; except the state $|0, 0\rangle$. Treating the coupling as a perturbation, approximate eigenstates and eigenvalues are obtained by first order perturbation theory. Since $\langle N - m, m | \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{b} + \hat{a} \hat{b}^\dagger | N - m, m \rangle$ is zero, the eigenvalues do not change to first order in perturbation. Apart from an overall nonnormalization factor, the perturbed eigenstates, denoted with a suffix p , are

$$|N - m, m\rangle_p \propto |N - m, m\rangle + f_1 |N - m + 1, m - 1\rangle + f_2 |N - m - 1, m + 1\rangle \quad (3)$$

where

$$f_1 = \frac{\epsilon \sqrt{(N-m+1)m}}{\gamma (1+N-2m)}, \quad (4)$$

$$f_2 = \frac{\epsilon \sqrt{(N-m)(m+1)}}{\gamma (1-N+2m)}. \quad (5)$$

If $|N-2m| = 1$, either f_1 or f_2 becomes infinity and the perturbation theory is not applicable. Though the eigenstates are doubly degenerate, the results of nondegenerate perturbation theory are applicable if $|N-2m| \neq 1$. This holds for all for states except those states of the form $|m, m+1\rangle$ and $|m+1, m\rangle$. The transition matrix element $\langle m+1, m | \hat{a}\hat{b}^\dagger + \hat{a}^\dagger\hat{b} | m, m+1 \rangle$ is nonzero and hence the nondegenerate perturbation expansion is invalid. The matrix element of $\hat{a}\hat{b}^\dagger + \hat{a}^\dagger\hat{b}$ among the other states vanish and the Eqn.3 holds for such states. Further it is required that $\gamma \gg \epsilon$ for the perturbation expansion to be valid. Two important special cases, $|N, 0\rangle$ and its degenerate counterpart $|0, N\rangle$, are analyzed. Physically, these states correspond to the situation in which the total energy is concentrated in one of the modes and are referred as "local modes". From the expression for perturbed states in Eqn. 3,

$$|N, 0\rangle_p \propto |N, 0\rangle + \frac{\epsilon \sqrt{N}}{\gamma (N+1)} |N-1, 1\rangle, \quad (6)$$

$$|0, N\rangle_p \propto |0, N\rangle + \frac{\epsilon \sqrt{N}}{\gamma (N-1)} |1, N-1\rangle, \quad (7)$$

where $N > 1$ to ensure that the perturbation results are valid. For large N , the second term of the perturbed state is negligible for the states $|N, 0\rangle_p$ and $|0, N\rangle_p$. Consequently, the unperturbed states $|N, 0\rangle$ and $|0, N\rangle$ qualify as approximate eigenstates of the perturbed Hamiltonian \hat{H} . The validity of the result is ascertained by studying the overlap between the initial state and the corresponding time-evolved state. Fidelity is defined as the absolute value of overlap of the initial state with the time-evolved state. This definition means that the fidelity is given by the absolute value of the expectation value of the evolution operator $\exp(-it\hat{H})$ evaluated in the initial state. If a state is an eigenstate of \hat{H} then its fidelity is unity at all times. The fidelity for the states $|2, 0\rangle$ and $|1, 1\rangle$ are given in given in Fig. 1a. The fidelity of the state $|2, 0\rangle$ becomes very small, an indication that the state is not an eigenstate. In the same figure the fidelity of the state $|1, 1\rangle$ is given. Though the fidelity does not become zero, its deviation from unity is significant as the state is not an eigenstate. In Fig. 1b fidelity of the states $|0, 4\rangle$ and

$|2, 2\rangle$ are given. It is seen that the fidelity of the former remains close to unity for all times. Thus the state $|4, 0\rangle$ is an approximate eigenstate. This is expected based on the perturbation theory result that for large N the local modes are approximate eigenstates. The fidelity of the state $|2, 2\rangle$ is appreciably different from unity since the state is not a good approximation to an eigenstate. Though the state $|1, 3\rangle$ has significant amount of energy concentrated in one of the modes, the total quantum number (equal to 4 in this case) is not sufficient to make it an approximate eigenstate.

The role of nonlinear term in the Hamiltonian deserves to be stressed. For the local modes to be approximate eigenstates the nonlinearity parameter γ should be large in comparison to the coupling strength ϵ . In addition, the eigenstates of \hat{H} are all entangled, except the ground state, if nonlinearity is absent or weak. Nonlinearity makes it possible for the system to have approximate eigenstates which are separable, despite the presence of coupling. If γ vanishes, first order perturbation theory fails and the states of the form $|n, m\rangle$ do not approximate the eigenstates.

3 Evolution of the system

In the absence of coupling, the Hamiltonian is a function of the two number operators, namely, $\hat{a}^\dagger\hat{a}$ and $\hat{b}^\dagger\hat{b}$. The states $|N - m, m\rangle$ are eigenstates of the coupling-free Hamiltonian. From the expressions for the perturbed eigenstates given in Eq. 3, it is clear that if the nonlinearity dominates over the coupling, $\gamma \gg \epsilon$, the separable states $|N - m, m\rangle$ become the approximate eigenstates of the total Hamiltonian. The other extreme case corresponds to the absence of the nonlinear term while the coupling is retained. Perturbation theory results are not valid in this case. However, in this limit the Hamiltonian \hat{H} is the sum two operators, namely, $\hat{a}^\dagger\hat{a} + \hat{b}^\dagger\hat{b}$ and $\hat{a}^\dagger\hat{b} + \hat{a}\hat{b}^\dagger$, which commute. By the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula[24], the unitary time evolution operator $\exp(-it\hat{H})$ factorises to

$$\hat{U} = \exp(-it\hat{H}) = \exp(i\epsilon t(\hat{a}^\dagger\hat{b} + \hat{a}\hat{b}^\dagger)) \exp(-i\omega t(\hat{a}^\dagger\hat{a} + \hat{b}^\dagger\hat{b})). \quad (8)$$

The time-evolved states are obtained by the action of \hat{U} on the initial states. The expectation value of $\hat{a}^\dagger\hat{a}$ when the system starts with $|N - m, m\rangle$ as the initial state is

$$\langle \hat{a}^\dagger\hat{a} \rangle_t = (N - m) \cos^2(\epsilon t) - m \sin^2(\epsilon t). \quad (9)$$

The suffix t is to indicate the expectation value in the time-evolved state. For an initial condition that is an arbitrary superposition of states in S_N ,




Figure 1: Fidelity $\langle \exp(-it\hat{H}) \rangle$ of states corresponding to total quantum numbers $N = 2$ and $N = 4$. The expectation value is evaluated in the states for which fidelity is calculated. Fidelity of $|0, 2\rangle$ (dashed) and $|1, 1\rangle$ (continuous) are shown in (a). Fidelity of $|0, 4\rangle$ (dashed), $|2, 2\rangle$ (continuous) and $|1, 3\rangle$ (dotted) are shown in (b). Values used for the parameters are $\gamma = 125 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $\epsilon = 30 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and $\omega = 3050 \text{ cm}^{-1}$.

say, $\sum_{m=0}^N c_m |N - m, m\rangle$, the expectation value of the number operator of the a -mode is

$$\langle \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} \rangle_t = N \cos^2(\epsilon t) \langle \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} \rangle - \sum_{n=0}^N |c_n|^2 n - \sin(2\epsilon t) \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} \sqrt{m(N - m + 1)} \Im(c_{m+1} c_m^*). \quad (10)$$

The symbol \Im stands for the imaginary part of its argument. The energy of any of the modes changes in a periodic fashion.

For dihalomethanes, the parameters γ and ϵ satisfy $\gamma \approx 4\epsilon$ (see Table 1). Hence, the advantages of the limiting cases, namely, $\gamma = 0$ or $\epsilon = 0$, in solving for the dynamics are not available. However, the availability of invariant subspaces simplifies the study of dynamics if the initial state is in one of the invariant subspaces. If the initial state is $\sum_{r=0}^N c_r |N - r, r\rangle$, the evolved state has no overlap with states in other invariant spaces. Hence, the dynamics of such states is dictated by a truncated Hamiltonian of dimension $N + 1$, where N is the total quantum number characterizing the invariant space containing the initial state. The Hamiltonian, expressed in the canonical basis $|n, m\rangle$, is the sum of a diagonal matrix and a non-diagonal matrix. The diagonal matrix is the sum of the free Hamiltonian \hat{H}_0 and the nonlinear term \hat{H}_n . The corresponding matrix elements are

$$(\hat{H}_0 + \hat{H}_n)_{j,k} = \left[\omega N - \frac{\gamma}{2} (N^2 + N - 2Nj + 2j^2) \right] \delta_{j,k} \quad j, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N. \quad (11)$$

The interaction \hat{H}_c is nondiagonal whose elements are

$$(\hat{H}_c)_{j,j+1} = \sqrt{(j+1)(N-j)} \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, N-1, \quad (12)$$

and the other elements vanish. This truncated Hamiltonian matrix is sufficient to study the evolution of states belonging to the particular invariant subspace S_N . For an initial condition involving states from two or more invariant subspaces, the Hamiltonian matrix has to be enlarged.

As noted earlier, states of the form $|N - m, m\rangle$ are the only separable states in the invariant subspace S_N . Hence, studying the dynamics of such states is important. Let the state of the system be $|N - j, j\rangle$, where the nonnegative integers N and j satisfy $N \geq j \geq 0$. In Fig. 2 the dynamics of the expectation value of the respective number operators of the two modes are shown. The periodic exchange of energy among the modes is shown in Fig. 2a when the initial state is $|0, 1\rangle$. Increasing the total quantum number increases the period of exchange as seen from Figs. 2b and 2c corresponding

to $N = 2$ and 3 respectively. In Fig. 2d the variation of $\langle \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} \rangle$ and $\langle \hat{b}^\dagger \hat{b} \rangle$ are shown when the system evolves from the state $|0, 4\rangle$. It is seen that the mean number of quanta of the individual modes practically remain the same during the evolution. The reason is that the state $|0, 4\rangle$ is an approximate eigenstate of \hat{H} , a consequence that is immediate from Eq. 3. This is an interesting result as there is no significant energy exchange in spite of the interaction and the localization of energy in one of the oscillators. This feature is notably absent for other separable initial conditions. To make it explicit, the dynamics of the expectation values of the number operators are given Fig. 3 for some other initial conditions. The evolution of the mean number of quanta of the individual modes are identical for the states $|0, 1\rangle$ (refer Fig. 2a) and the state $|1, 0\rangle$ (refer Fig. 3a). This is true for any pair of states $|0, N\rangle$ and $|N, 0\rangle$ as a consequence of the symmetry of the Hamiltonian with respect to the exchange of the mode operators. The curves shown in Fig. 3b are those of the state $|1, 1\rangle$. The strictly constant mean quantum number is typical of states of the form $|\frac{N}{2}, \frac{N}{2}\rangle$, for which $|1, 1\rangle$ is an example. The state $|\frac{N}{2}, \frac{N}{2}\rangle$ is symmetrical under the exchange of their quantum numbers, *i.e.*, the energies of the oscillators are equal. The Hamiltonian itself is symmetric under the changes, $\hat{a}^\dagger \leftrightarrow \hat{b}^\dagger$ and $\hat{a} \leftrightarrow \hat{b}$. These symmetries of the Hamiltonian and the initial state imply that the energy of the time-evolved oscillators cannot be different from each other. This argument is applicable to states of the form $\sum_{n=0}^{2N} c_n |2N - n, n\rangle$ provided the coefficients have the symmetry $c_n = c_{2N-n}$. These type of states will yield time-independent expectation values of those dynamical variables, like the coupling operator $\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{b} + \hat{a} \hat{b}^\dagger$, which remain the same on swapping the operators of the two modes.

4 Dynamics of Entanglement

An important quantity, especially in the quantum information context, is the entanglement associated with the two oscillators. Quantification of entanglement between the oscillators is easily done using density operators. If the initial state of the system is in one of the invariant subspaces, say, S_N , then the density operator for the bipartite system is

$$\hat{\rho} = \sum_{m=0}^N \sum_{n=0}^N c_n c_m^* |N - n, n\rangle \langle N - m, m|. \quad (13)$$




Figure 2: Mean of number operators $\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a}$ and $\hat{b}^\dagger \hat{b}$ of the two modes. The continuous curve represents $\langle \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} \rangle$ and the dashed curve corresponds to $\langle \hat{b}^\dagger \hat{b} \rangle$. Figures (a)-(d) show the variation of mean quanta for the two modes as the system evolves from the initial conditions $|1, 0\rangle$, $|2, 0\rangle$, $|3, 0\rangle$ and $|4, 0\rangle$ respectively. Values of γ , ϵ and ω are as indicated in Fig. 1.




Figure 3: Mean of number operators $\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a}$ and $\hat{b}^\dagger \hat{b}$ of the two modes. The continuous curve represents $\langle \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} \rangle$ and the dashed curve corresponds to $\langle \hat{b}^\dagger \hat{b} \rangle$. Figures (a)-(d) show the variation of mean quanta for the two modes as the system evolves from the initial conditions $|0, 1\rangle$, $|1, 1\rangle$, $|2, 1\rangle$ and $|3, 1\rangle$ respectively. In Fig. b the dashed and the continuous curves coincide and hence do not appear as two curves. Values of γ , ϵ and ω are as indicated in Fig. 1.

The coefficients are time-dependent and satisfy suitable initial conditions. The reduced density operators for the two oscillators are

$$\hat{\rho}_a = \sum_{n=0}^N |c_n|^2 |N-n\rangle\langle N-n|, \quad (14)$$

and

$$\hat{\rho}_b = \sum_{n=0}^N |c_n|^2 |n\rangle\langle n| \quad (15)$$

The suffixes a and b indicate the two modes respectively. The reduced density operators are diagonal in their respective number state basis. Further, the diagonal elements of the reduced density operator are the same except for a reversal of ordering: the coefficient $|c_n|^2$ appears as the probability for the state $|n\rangle$ for the a -mode while it appears as that of the state $|N-n\rangle$ for the other mode. This restriction is only because the state of the bipartite system belongs to the subspace S_N .

Being a bipartite system and the states considered are pure, a measure of entanglement is the linear entropy L defined as follows[21]:

$$L = 1 - Tr [\hat{\rho}_a \hat{\rho}_a]. \quad (16)$$

The numerical value is independent of whether $\hat{\rho}_a$ or $\hat{\rho}_b$ is used in the expression. Using the reduced density matrix expression given in Eq. 14, the linear entropy is $1 - \sum_{n=0}^N |c_n|^4$. Another measure of entanglement[21] is von Neuman entropy S defined as

$$S = Tr [\hat{\rho}_a \log \hat{\rho}_a]. \quad (17)$$

Its value is zero for separable states and reaches the maximum value of $\log_2 M$ for a system whose Hilbert space is of dimension M . The explicit expression for S is $2 \sum_{n=0}^N |c_n|^2 \log_2 |c_n|$. Nonzero values of entropy (S or L) imply that the system is entangled. In Fig. 4 the dynamics of S is shown as the system evolves from initial conditions of the form $|n, m\rangle$ which are separable states and hence the entropy is zero. Instead of plotting S , the ratio of S and $\log_2(N+1)$ is plotted. The ratio varies from zero to a maximum of unity for any state. This makes it easier to compare the evolution of S for initial states from different invariant subspaces. For $N = 1, 2$ or 3 the entropy increases substantially approaching the maximum attainable entanglement and subsequently oscillates. However, the state $|0, 4\rangle$, whose entropy evolution is shown in Fig. 4d, does not evolve to states of high entropy. The entropy of the local mode $|0, 4\rangle$ is zero as it is a separable

state. Being an approximate eigenstate, the evolved states do not differ significantly from the initial state. As a consequence, the entropy remains low during evolution. In practical terms, this implies that local modes are not good candidates for generating states of high entanglement using bilinear coupling. The situation changes drastically if other initial conditions are chosen. When the system evolves from initial conditions other than the local modes, the entropy S attains values closer to the maximum allowed value of $\log_2 N$. In particular, comparison of Fig. 4d for the state $|0, 4\rangle$ and Fig. 5(d) for the state $|1, 3\rangle$ of same total quantum number, shows the clear distinction between the evolution of a local and a nonlocal mode for $N = 4$. The attainment of maximum entropy depends on whether the reduced density operator becomes $\frac{1}{\sqrt{N+1}} \sum_{n=0}^N |n\rangle\langle n|$ during evolution.

It is important to note that if the nonlinear term is absent, the bilinear coupling cannot lead to entangled states from separable, initial states unless the initial states are nonclassical[22, 23]. Here "nonclassical" implies that the Glauber-Sudarshan P -function for the state in the diagonal coherent state representation does not qualify as a probability density[24, 25]. The states in S_N for $N > 0$ are non-classical and hence the evolved states are entangled. The presence of the nonlinearity along with the bilinear coupling can entangle states which are classical. In essence, bilinear cross coupling, without the presence of nonlinear term in the Hamiltonian, cannot generate entanglement if the initial states are classical.

5 Quadrature variances

The coupled C-H oscillators modelled by \hat{H} are oscillating masses. The quadratures of these oscillators are naturally identified with their positions and momenta. For the individual oscillators described by \hat{H} , the position and momentum quadratures are given by

$$\hat{Q}_a = \frac{\hat{a} + \hat{a}^\dagger}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad (18)$$

$$\hat{P}_a = \frac{\hat{a} - \hat{a}^\dagger}{i\sqrt{2}}, \quad (19)$$

respectively and they satisfy $[\hat{Q}_a, \hat{P}_a] = i$, where \hbar is set equal to unity. The corresponding variances satisfy $2\Delta Q_a \Delta P_a \geq 1$. If the variance of one of the quadratures is less than $1/2$, the corresponding quadrature is said to exhibit squeezing. Evaluation of the variances in the present case is




Figure 4: Temporal evolution of von Neuman entropy S and linear entropy L . The quantities plotted are $\frac{Tr[\rho_1 \log \rho_1]}{\log_2(N+1)}$ (continuous curve) and L (dashed curve) for various initial conditions. Figures (a)-(d) show the evolution as the bipartite system evolves from the initial conditions $|0, 1\rangle$, $|0, 2\rangle$, $|0, 3\rangle$ and $|0, 4\rangle$ respectively. Values of γ, ϵ and ω are as indicated in Fig. 1.




Figure 5: Temporal evolution of von Neumann entropy S and linear entropy L . The quantities plotted are $\frac{\text{Tr}[\rho_1 \log \rho_1]}{\log_2(N+1)}$ (continuous curve) and L (dashed curve) for various initial conditions. Figures (a)-(d) show the evolution as the bipartite system evolves from the initial conditions $|1, 0\rangle$, $|1, 1\rangle$, $|1, 2\rangle$ and $|1, 3\rangle$ respectively. For the state $|1, 3\rangle$, the ratio attains values close to 0.8, indicating the entanglement is nearly 3 ebits. Higher energy states can generate more entanglement. Values of γ, ϵ and ω are as indicated in Fig. 1.

simplified since the expectation values of operators involving creation and annihilation operators with unequal exponents, vanish in the states within an invariant subspace. For instance, the expectation value of $\hat{a}\hat{a}^{\dagger 2}$ is zero as creation and annihilation operators occur with different exponents; similarly the expectation values of $\hat{a}\hat{b}, \hat{a}^{\dagger}\hat{b}^{\dagger}$, etc all vanish for the states in S_N . Hence, for the states belonging to S_N ,

$$\Delta Q_a = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}[1 + N]}, \quad (20)$$

and

$$\Delta P_a = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}[1 + N]}. \quad (21)$$

The suffix a labels the mode. Similar expressions can be written for the other mode and the results on variances are identical to those of the a -mode. If $N > 0$ the quadratures of the individual oscillators do not exhibit any squeezing for the states in the invariant subspaces. Further, the numerical values of the variances in the two quadratures are equal and increases with the total quantum number N . The state $|0, 0\rangle$ does not exhibit squeezing and it corresponds to minimum uncertainty state. The notion of quadratures associated with the individual modes has been generalized to multi-mode cases. For the bipartite system, the two-mode quadratures are defined as[26]

$$\hat{d}_1 = \frac{\hat{a} + \hat{b} + \hat{a}^{\dagger} + \hat{b}^{\dagger}}{2^{\frac{3}{2}}}, \quad (22)$$

$$\hat{d}_2 = \frac{\hat{a} + \hat{b} - \hat{a}^{\dagger} - \hat{b}^{\dagger}}{i2^{\frac{3}{2}}}. \quad (23)$$

$$(24)$$

Based on these expressions for the two-mode quadratures, the respective variances of the quadratures in any state belonging to S_N are given by

$$(\Delta d_1)^2 = \frac{1}{4} [1 + N + \langle \hat{a}\hat{b}^{\dagger} \rangle + \langle \hat{a}^{\dagger}\hat{b} \rangle], \quad (25)$$

$$(\Delta d_2)^2 = \frac{1}{4} [1 + N + \langle \hat{a}\hat{b}^{\dagger} \rangle + \langle \hat{a}^{\dagger}\hat{b} \rangle]. \quad (26)$$

The states belong to the invariant subspace S_N possess equal two-mode quadrature variances. The two-mode quadratures satisfy the commutation relation $[d_1, d_2] = i/2$ and the corresponding uncertainty relation is $\Delta d_1 \Delta d_2 \geq 1/4$. If any of the quadrature has uncertainty lower than $\frac{1}{2}$, the quadrature is said to be squeezed. The fact that the two-mode variances are

equal implies that one of the variances cannot be reduced to values less than $1/2$, the condition for squeezing, without violating the uncertainty relation. In short, squeezed fluctuations in the single-mode or two-mode quadratures are not possible for the states in any of the invariant subspaces. Though there is no squeezing, the states in the invariant subspaces are very much nonclassical in the sense that their respective P -distributions are highly singular.

6 Generation of maximally entangled states

Bell states enjoy a special status in quantum information theory as they are maximally entangled bipartite states[1]. The canonical Bell states are the linear superpositions $|0, 0\rangle \pm |1, 1\rangle$ and $|0, 1\rangle \pm |1, 0\rangle$. Bell-like states are similar combinations allowing for a relative phase between the superposed states. Though the C-H oscillators are not two level systems, the presence of invariant subspaces allows for the creation of Bell-like states for the two coupled oscillators. This special feature is available in the invariant subspace S_1 spanned by $|0, 1\rangle$ and $|1, 0\rangle$. The Hamiltonian \hat{H}_2 required to describe the dynamics of the states in the subspace S_2 is

$$\hat{H}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \omega - \gamma & -\epsilon \\ -\epsilon & \omega - \gamma \end{bmatrix}.$$

The eigenvalues of the \hat{H}_2 are $\omega - \gamma \pm \epsilon$ and the corresponding eigenstates are the Bell states $|\pm\rangle = [|0, 1\rangle \pm |1, 0\rangle] / \sqrt{2}$.

If the initial state is $|0, 1\rangle = [|+\rangle + |-\rangle] / \sqrt{2}$ then

$$\exp(-it\hat{H}_2)|0, 1\rangle \propto (1 + \exp(i\epsilon t))|0, 1\rangle + (1 - \exp(i\epsilon t))|1, 0\rangle, \quad (27)$$

apart from an overall multiplicative normalization factor. If the time t is chosen to be $\epsilon t = \pi/2$, then the time-evolved state is the Bell-like state $|0, 1\rangle - i|1, 0\rangle$, apart from an overall phase factor. If $\epsilon t = 3\pi/2$, the initial state evolves to become another Bell-like state $|0, 1\rangle + i|1, 0\rangle$, but for an overall phase factor. The overlap between the time-evolved state of the system and the Bell-like states are given in Fig. 5. At specific instants, the initial state evolves to have unit overlap with $|0, 1\rangle + i|1, 0\rangle$; there is an overall phase factor to the actual state achieved and the overlap is insensitive to such factors. Since the subspace S_1 is invariant under the unitary evolution, the other two Bell-like states, $|0, 0\rangle \pm |1, 1\rangle$ are not attainable with any initial

condition contained in S_1 . These states belong to the direct sum of S_0 and S_2 . Without external control fields it is not feasible to generate all the Bell-like states using the inherent coupling in the system.




Figure 6: Overlap between the state $\exp(-it\hat{H}_2)|0,1\rangle$ and the Bell-like states $|0,1\rangle + i|1,0\rangle$ (continuous) and $|0,1\rangle - i|1,0\rangle$ (dashed). The instants when the overlap becomes unity, the initial state has evolved into the corresponding Bell-like state. Values of γ, ϵ and ω are the same as for Fig. 1

7 Summary

The Hamiltonian for the coupled, nonlinear C-H oscillators of dihalomethanes is similar to that of the nonlinear couplers with negative χ^2 . Thus the C-H oscillators are the microscopic nonlinear couplers for bosonic fields of vibrational motion in molecules. Like the Kerr nonlinear couplers, the dihalomethane can serve as a system wherein entanglement is easily generated with the advantage that there is no need to have external fields for the purpose of entangling the modes. The coupling due to the bending motion of the molecule creates entanglement between the modes and allows for exchange of energy. The structure of the Hamiltonian with commuting terms allows to split the Hilbert space into irreducible, invariant subspaces. Each of the

invariant subspace is the span of the product states of the form $|n, m\rangle$ with $n + m$ fixed for the individual subspaces. Apart from these canonical basis states, the remaining states in the invariant subspaces are entangled. The local modes of sufficient energy are approximate eigenstates of the complete Hamiltonian. All initial conditions other than the local modes evolve to generate nontrivial dynamics for the physical observables. Further, the entanglement in the evolved state is much larger if the initial state is different from a local mode. Though there is a change of quadrature variance during evolution, the states in the invariant subspaces do not exhibit single-mode or two-mode quadrature squeezing. The variances are always above the minimum allowed limit. The two eigenstates of the total Hamiltonian, belonging to the subspace S_1 correspond to two of the Bell states. Though states of high entanglement cannot be generated from the local modes of high energy, the Hamiltonian generates maximally entangled (one ebit) states during the evolution of the local modes $|0, 1\rangle$ or $|1, 0\rangle$.

References

- [1] M. A. Nielsen and I. L. Chuang *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press) (2000)
- [2] G. Chen *et al Quantum Devices Principles, Design, and Analysis* (USA: Chapman & Hall)(2007)
- [3] C. M. Tesch, L. Kurtz and R. de Vivie-Riedle *Chemical Physics Letters* **343**(2001) 633
- [4] D. Babikov *Journal of Chemical Physics* **121** (2004) 7577
- [5] A. S. Scott *Nonlinear Science: Emergence and Dynamics of Coherent Structures*, (London: Oxford University Press)(2003)
- [6] A. Chefles and S. M. Barnett *Journal of Modern Optics* **43** (1996) 709
- [7] L. J. Bernstein *Physica D* **68** (1993) 174
- [8] S L Braunstein and P van Loock *Reviews of Modern Physics* **77** (2005) 513
- [9] A. Furusawa *et al Science* **282** (1998) 706
- [10] E. Ciancio and P. Zanardi *Physics Letters A* **360** (2006) 49

- [11] J. Perina *Quantum Statistics of linear and nonlinear optical phenomena* (The Netherlands: Kluwer Academic Publishers)(1991)
- [12] G. S. Agarwal and R. R. Puri *Physical Review A* **39** (1989) 2969
- [13] S. M. Jensen *IEEE J. Quantum Electron.* **QE-18** (1982) 1580
- [14] A. Miranowicz and W. Leonski *Journal of Physics B: Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics* **39** (2006) 1683
- [15] W. Leonski and A. Miranowicz *Journal of Optics B* **6** (2004) S37.
- [16] L. Sanz, R. M. Angelo and K. Furuya *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General* **36** (2003) 9737
- [17] G. Ariunbold and J. Perina *Journal of Modern Optics* **48** (2001) 1005
- [18] M. K. Olsen *Physical Review A* **73** (2006) 053806
- [19] C. Sudheesh, S. Lakshmibala and V. Balakrishnan *Journal of Physics B: Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics* **39** (2006) 3345
- [20] A. Kowalewska-Kudlaszyk and W. Leonski *Physical Review A* **73** (2006) 042318
- [21] C. H. Bennett, H. J. Bernstein, S. Popescu and B. Schumacher *Physical Review A* **53** (1996) 2046
- [22] M. S. Kim, W. Son, V. Buzek and P. L. Knight *Physical Review A* **65** (2002) 032323
- [23] Wang Xiang-bin *Physical Review A* **66** (2002) 024303
- [24] L. Mandel and E. Wolf *Optical Coherence and Quantum Optics* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)(1998)
- [25] G. C. Gerry and P. L. Knight *Introductory Quantum Optics* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press) (2004)
- [26] C. M. Caves *Physical Review D* **26** (1985) 1817