

# Massless scalar free Field in 1+1 dimensions II: Net Cohomology and Completeness of Superselection Sectors

Fabio Ciolli

Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Roma “Tor Vergata”

Via della Ricerca Scientifica I-00133, Roma, Italy

ciolli@mat.uniroma2.it

February 6, 2020

## Abstract

As an application of Roberts’ net cohomology theory, we positively answer about the completeness of the known set of DHR sectors of the observables of the model in the title, detailed in [7]. This result is achieved via the triviality of the 1-cohomology of the underlying causal index poset with values in the field net, enhancing this tool for the case of Weyl nets not satisfying the split property and with anyonic commutation rules. We also take advantage of different causal index posets for the nets involved, and obtain the description of the twisted and untwisted sectors of the model as two symmetric subcategories of a  $W^*$ -braided category, whose objects are the same as the dual category of the compact Abelian group of the gauge symmetry. After that, we furnish guidelines for the analysis of the sector structure of generic models on different spacetimes.

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Properties of Nets on Causal Index sets</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1	Nets on index sets . . . . .	4
2.2	Index sets for the real line . . . . .	8
2.3	Nets with a grading group . . . . .	9
2.4	Graded locality and graded duality . . . . .	14
<b>3</b>	<b>Net cohomology and Superselection Sectors</b>	<b>17</b>
3.1	Computing net cohomologies . . . . .	19
3.2	Net cohomology results for a net of Weyl algebras . . . . .	22

<b>4</b>	<b>Sectors for the Streater and Wilde model</b>	<b>30</b>
4.1	More properties for the Streater and Wilde model . . . . .	31
4.2	Net 1–cohomology for the Streater and Wilde model . . . . .	38
4.3	The set of sectors of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}$ associated to $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{I}}$ . . . . .	39
<b>5</b>	<b>Conclusions and Outlook</b>	<b>41</b>

## 1 Introduction

The purpose of this paper is twofold: complete the superselection sectors analysis of the massless scalar free field in  $1 + 1$  dimensions begun in [7], and give a concrete example of Roberts’ net cohomology at work on a low dimensional spacetime.

The physical motivation for studying this conformal model can be traced back to the original paper of Streater and Wilde [40] and to the solution of its simpler counterpart in  $1 + 3$  dimensions given in [6].

The results obtained in [7] shown that the model fits the main lines of the celebrated higher dimensional DHR paradigm [12, 13]. In fact, from a twisted crossed product underlying the Weyl algebras, we proved that given the observables net of the model  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}$  on the index set  $\mathcal{I}$  of the bounded open intervals of the time zero real line, in a regular vacuum representation on a separable (physical) Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_a$ , there exist a (putative) fields net  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{I}}$  and a compact Abelian group  $\mathcal{G}$  such that  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}} = \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{I}}^{\mathcal{G}} \upharpoonright \mathcal{H}_a$ , the global gauge symmetry, being isomorphic to the Bohr compactification of the additive group  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

The non-regular representation of the fields net turns out to be given on a (unphysical) non-separable Hilbert space that carries two families of uncountably many charged sectors of the observables, well distinguished, in the time zero formulation, as twisted (those of solitonic origin) and untwisted.

The above results also focus the relations between the model and the more recent achievements of the Conformal Algebraic Quantum Field Theory, both for the rational (i.e. finite number of sectors) and irrational (infinite sectors) case, see for example the papers of Kawahigashi, Longo, Müger and Xu [25, 31, 26, 30].

The present article addresses the question of the completeness of DHR sectors of the observables net, that is if they are *all* implemented by the Weyl operators in  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{I}}$ . A positive answer is given in terms of the triviality of  $Z^1(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{I}})$ , the category of the 1-cohomology of  $\mathcal{I}$  with values in the net  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{I}}$ . The proof bases on a major outcome of the Roberts’ net cohomology: premising that the category of the DHR representations of the net  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}$  is equivalent to (a full subcategory of)  $Z^1(\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}})$ , then, roughly speaking, if  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}} = \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{I}}^{\mathcal{G}}$  and  $Z^1(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{I}})$  is trivial, no nontrivial sectors exist for the net  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}$  but the ones carried by the net  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{I}}$ , see [35, 36] for details. This cohomological procedure, albeit with model specific peculiarities, is a well established tool in the theory of DHR sectors; for example in [10, 9] it turns out to be of central relevance for the description of the superselection of subsystems.

It is useful to recall that the net cohomology dates back to the pioneering paper by Roberts [33]. From the early beginnings as in recent advances (see for example Brunetti, Roberts and Ruzzi in [36, 38, 37, 4] and the announced [3]) it responds to the challenge of extending the operator algebraic approach to superselection rules in different physical circumstances: curved spacetimes with nontrivial topologies; topological or electromagnetic charges.

The main results and other byproducts of this paper are presented as follow. In Section 2 we review the abstract definition and the properties of a net on a causal index set. In particular, we focus on the problem of extending a net to a larger index set. Then, to deal with the anyonic local commutativity rules of nets on the 1 + 1-dimensional spacetime, we generalize the standard notion of  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -twisted net and the properties of twisted locality and (Haag) twisted duality: we introduce the notion of a net with a grading group  $K$  and the related notions of graded locality and graded duality. The group  $K$  is defined by the given net and have some relation of covariance with respect to the spatial inversion map.

In Section 3 we approach the question of the triviality of net 1-cohomology. We start from the standard method established by Roberts, that requires:

- 1) the (quasi-)split property for the net, ensuring the independence of the algebras of spacelike separated regions and the existence of a useful conditional expectation; and
- 2) a proper cohomological condition, asking that the intersection of the algebras of all paths of regions having the same two end regions equals the algebra generated by the two end regions, see Subsection 2.1 for details.

As a first step we generalize the triviality result for a net (bosonic,  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded or with  $K$ -grading) when the (quasi-)split property is missing but the conditional expectation exists; then, for nets defined from a Weyl algebras even if non-separably represented, we show the existence of such a conditional expectation without the help of the Tomiyama slice map of the split case.

In the first part of Section 4 we discuss the structural properties of the nets of the model, both for the index set  $\mathcal{I}$  and for its enlargement  $\mathcal{D} := \mathcal{I} \cup \mathcal{I}_2$ , where  $\mathcal{I}_2$  is the set of couples of open bounded intervals with disjoint closures. We obtain the strong condition that all the superselection data of the observables are just encoded in the nets  $\mathcal{A}$  on  $\mathcal{I}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$ . For the net  $\mathcal{F}$ , we easily show  $\mathbb{R}$ -graded locality and  $\mathbb{R}$ -graded duality on  $\mathcal{I}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$ , and the cited cohomological condition on  $\mathcal{D}$ . Finally, we show the triviality of  $Z^1(\mathcal{F})$  on  $\mathcal{D}$  and, by Roberts' general theorems about the change of 1-cohomology when the enlarging or restricting the index set (see [36]), also on  $\mathcal{I}$ .

We may hence answer the completeness question and describe the category of the sectors of  $\mathcal{A}$  as a braided tensor  $W^*$ -category, equivalent as a tensor category (i.e. disregarding the symmetry) to the dual of the gauge group  $\mathcal{G}$ .

## 2 Properties of Nets on Causal Index sets

In this section we review the standard definition of nets in Algebraic Quantum Field Theory (AQFT), discussing in detail their main properties and their

general dependence on their index set.

In particular, we focus on the procedure to obtain nets of von Neumann algebras from nets of symplectic spaces, in order to grasp the relevant features for the discussion of superselection sector theory of the concrete models.

Then we specialize to 1+1 dimensional Minkowski spacetime and to the formulation of a field theory on the Cauchy surface of its time zero real line.

## 2.1 Nets on index sets

We recall some basic notions associated to partially ordered set  $\mathcal{P} := (\mathcal{P}, \subset)$ , a poset for short, used to formulate a cohomological and a net theory on  $\mathcal{P}$ .

For given a poset  $\mathcal{P}$ , its *simplicial set*  $\Sigma_*(\mathcal{P})$  is defined by the collection of all the sets of *standard  $n$ -simplices*  $\Sigma_n(\mathcal{P})$  on  $\mathcal{P}$ . Recall that the *standard  $n$ -simplex* is defined by

$$\Delta_n := \{(\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : \lambda_0 + \dots + \lambda_n = 1, \lambda_i \in [0, 1]\}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The standard  $n$ -simplex  $\Delta_n$  itself is a poset respect to the inclusion map of subsimplices and the set of regular  $n$ -simplices  $\Sigma_n(\mathcal{P})$  of  $\mathcal{P}$  is formed by the inclusion preserving maps  $f : \Delta_n \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ .

To be explicit,  $\Sigma_0(\mathcal{P}) := \{a \in \mathcal{P}\}$ , i.e. any 0-simplex is just an element in  $\mathcal{P}$ ;  $\Sigma_1(\mathcal{P}) := \{b := (|b|, \partial_0 b, \partial_1 b) \in \mathcal{P} \times \Sigma_0 \times \Sigma_0 : \partial_0 b, \partial_1 b \subset |b|\}$ , a 1-simplex  $b$  is thus a triple of element in  $\mathcal{P}$ , where  $|b| \in \mathcal{P}$  is called the *support* of  $b$ .

Recall that a poset  $\mathcal{P}$  is *directed* if for given  $P_1, P_2 \in \mathcal{P}$  there exists a  $P \in \mathcal{P}$  such that  $P_1, P_2 \subset P$ . *Connectedness* of  $\mathcal{P}$  means, for given  $P_0, P_1 \in \Sigma_0(\mathcal{P})$ , the existence of a path of elements  $b_0, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n$  in  $\Sigma_1(\mathcal{P})$  such that  $\partial_1 b_0 = P_0$ ,  $\partial_0 b_n = P_1$  and  $\partial_1 b_i = \partial_0 b_{i-1}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . A directed poset  $\mathcal{P}$  is a trivial example of a connected poset.

For two given posets  $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{P}_1$ , the set  $\mathcal{P}$  is said to be *cofinal* in  $\mathcal{P}_1$  if for every  $P_1 \in \mathcal{P}_1$  there exists a  $P \in \mathcal{P}$  such that  $P_1 \subset P$ . The following result can be proved easily

**Lemma 2.1** *Let  $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{P}_1$  an inclusion of posets. If  $\mathcal{P}$  is directed and cofinal in  $\mathcal{P}_1$  then  $\mathcal{P}_1$  is directed and connected.*

The poset  $\mathcal{P}_1$  is said to be *generated* by the poset  $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{P}_1$  if for every  $P_1 \in \mathcal{P}_1$  there exist  $P_i \in \mathcal{P}$  such that  $P_1 = \bigvee_i P_i$ , with  $\bigvee$  the supremum on the index set  $\mathcal{P}_1$ .

If  $\mathcal{P}$  is a base of neighborhoods for a topological space  $M$ , by definition it generates  $\text{Open}(M)$ , the set of non-void, open subsets of  $M$  ordered by inclusion.

A poset with *disjointness relation* is a triple  $(\mathcal{P}, \subset, \perp)$  where  $(\mathcal{P}, \subset)$  is a partially poset and  $\perp$  is a binary relation satisfying for  $P_1, P_2, P_3 \in \mathcal{P}$  the following relations:

- $P_1 \perp P_2 \Rightarrow P_2 \perp P_1$ , symmetry;
- $P_1 \subset P_2$  and  $P_2 \perp P_3 \Rightarrow P_1 \perp P_3$ , transitivity;

- given  $P_1 \in \mathcal{P}$  there exists  $P_2 \in \mathcal{P}$  s.t.  $P_1 \perp P_2$ , existence of a disjoint element.

We briefly denote such a triple by  $\mathcal{P}$  and call it a *causal index set* as the disjointness relation  $\perp$  assumes a causal interpretation.

A *net  $\mathbf{N}$  on index set  $\mathcal{P}$*  is defined by an inclusion preserving, i.e. isotonic, map

$$\text{a) } \quad \mathbf{N} : P \longmapsto \mathbf{N}(P), \quad P \in \mathcal{P}.$$

If necessary, when different index sets are in use, we shall denote the net  $\mathbf{N}$  on index set  $\mathcal{P}$  by  $\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$ , and  $\mathcal{P}$  is referred to as *the index set* of the net  $\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$ . Moreover, an index set is always assumed to be directed, if not otherwise stated. The image of a net  $\mathbf{N}$  is in a generic category, also furnished with inclusion order and (naturally defined) disjointness relation. The reference examples are the following, where the arrows are the inclusion map of the objects sets:

- $(\text{Sub}(V), \subset, \perp_{\sigma_V})$  where  $(V, \sigma_V)$  is a symplectic space, and  $\text{Sub}(V)$  is the set of its symplectic subspaces ordered by inclusion.  $\perp_{\sigma_V}$  is the disjointness relation on  $\text{Sub}(V)$ , defined by  $V_1 \perp_{\sigma_V} V_2$  iff  $\sigma_V(v_1, v_2) = 0$ , for all  $v_1 \in V_1, v_2 \in V_2$ .
- $(\text{Sub}(\mathcal{W}(V, \sigma_V)), \subset, \perp_{\sigma_V})$  the functorially defined category of Weyl subalgebras of  $\mathcal{W}(V, \sigma_V)$  from preceding example, where  $\perp_{\sigma_V}$  means commuting Weyl subalgebras.
- $(\text{Sub}(\mathcal{R}), \subset, \perp)$  for given a von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{R}$  on the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ , the set of its von Neumann subalgebras, ordered by inclusion and disjointness defined by commutant, i.e.  $\mathcal{M} \perp \mathcal{N}$  iff  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{N}'$ , for  $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} \in \text{Sub}(\mathcal{R})$ . In particular we may take  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . An equivalent definition for a  $C^*$ -algebras and its subalgebras is possible.

To give classical examples of nets and fix the notation, we recall the standard construction of Weyl algebras models in AQFT. A linear space  $V$  of sufficiently regular test functions on the spacetime  $M$  (or one of its Cauchy surfaces) is given together with a symplectic form  $\sigma_V$ , referring to  $M$  and to the dual space of  $V$ , defines the disjoint relation  $\perp_{\sigma_V}$  for elements of  $\text{Sub}(V)$ . For fixed an index set  $\mathcal{P} \subset \text{Open}(M)$  with the causal disjointness relation deriving from the metric of  $M$ , an opportune notion of *localization* of the elements of  $V$  on elements of an index set  $\mathcal{P}$  is given, also differently from the natural one of the support of the functions. From these ingredients, a net  $V_{\mathcal{P}}$  with range category a subcategory of  $(\text{Sub}(V), \subset, \perp_{\sigma_V})$  is hence defined by injectivity<sup>1</sup>. The Weyl functor, recalled in [7, Subsection 2.1], preserves the net structure on  $\mathcal{P}$ , so that a net of Weyl algebras  $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{P}} : P \longmapsto \mathcal{W}(V(P), \sigma_V)$  is functorially defined. Moreover, once a reference or defining representation  $\pi_n$  has been fixed, for

<sup>1</sup>Note that the symplectic space net  $V_{\mathcal{P}}$  itself can also be used as index set, with (causal) disjointness relation  $\perp_{\sigma_V}$ , see [36, Sec. 23].

instance the vacuum representation, there is a canonically associated net of von Neumann algebras

$$\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}} : P \longmapsto \mathcal{N}(P) := \pi_n(\mathcal{W}(V(P), \sigma))'', \quad P \in \mathcal{P}. \quad (2.1)$$

Further features about Weyl algebras nets, for example isomorphisms and twisted crossed products, are defined in Section 2 of [7].

We now list the basic properties of nets. The second piece of general structure associated to a net is the existence of the upper bound for the set of all elements in the image category:

- b) for  $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \text{Open}(M)$  a directed index set, the existence of the *maximal element*  $\mathbf{N}^{\mathcal{P}}(M) := \bigvee_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(P)$ .

For a net of symplectic subspace  $V_{\mathcal{P}}$ , the symbol  $\bigvee$  has to be understood as the generated symplectic space and the maximal element is  $(V^{\mathcal{P}}(M), \sigma_V) := \bigvee_{P \in \mathcal{P}} (V(P), \sigma_V) \subseteq (V, \sigma_V)$ . For a net of von Neumann subalgebras  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$  in the representation  $\pi_n$ , with  $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \text{Open}(M)$  the von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{N}^{\mathcal{P}}(M)$  equals the weak closure in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_n)$  of the *quasilocal  $C^*$ -algebra*  $\mathcal{N}$  of the net, indicated with the same symbol of the net as usual, i.e. it coincides with the commutant of the self-intertwiners of  $\pi_n$ . In symbols  $\mathcal{R} = (\pi_n, \pi_n)' \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_n)$  and  $\mathcal{N}^{\mathcal{P}}(M) = \mathcal{R}$ .

By far the most relevant property of a net  $\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$  is the property of disjointness preserving, called *locality* for physical reasons, and written as

- c)  $\mathbf{N}(P_1) \perp \mathbf{N}(P_2)$ , for  $P_1, P_2 \in \mathcal{P}$  and  $P_1 \perp P_2$ .

For example, the net of von Neumann algebras  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$  defined in equation (2.1) turns out to be local if the symplectic subspace net  $V_{\mathcal{P}}$  is.

The property of *additivity* for the net  $\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$  is satisfied if for any element  $P \in \mathcal{P}$  and any cover of it by  $P_i$  elements in  $\mathcal{P}$ , i.e. such that  $\bigvee_i P_i = P \in \mathcal{P}$ , we have

- d)  $\mathbf{N}(P) = \bigvee_i \mathbf{N}(P_i)$ .

Actually we are interested in *extensions of nets on larger index sets*. For given an index set  $\mathcal{P}_1$  such that  $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathcal{P}_1$  and with with compatible causal disjointness relation  $\perp$ , such an extension of a net  $\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$  is a net  $\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}_1}$  having the same range category, so that the following elementary restriction requirement is fulfilled

$$\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}_1}(P) = \mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(P), \quad P \in \mathcal{P}.$$

The extension mainly used is the one *by additivity*, defined as follow

$$\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}_1}^{\mathcal{P}} : P_1 \longmapsto \mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}_1}^{\mathcal{P}}(P_1) := \bigvee \{ \mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(P_i), P_i \subset P_1, P_i \in \mathcal{P} \}, \quad P_1 \in \mathcal{P}_1. \quad (2.2)$$

Here the superscript  $\mathcal{P}$  means *definition by additivity on the index set  $\mathcal{P}$* . It is to observe, see [36, Section 7], that if  $\mathcal{P}$  generates  $\mathcal{P}_1$ , the set  $\mathcal{P}$  is cofinal in  $\mathcal{P}_1$  and the net  $\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$  is additive, then  $\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}_1}^{\mathcal{P}}$  is a canonical extension of the net

$\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$ , in the sense that it turns out to be the minimal definable one and is the unique additive extension of  $\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$  to a net on  $\mathcal{P}_1$ , i.e. we have

$$\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}_1}^{\mathcal{P}}(P_1) = \bigvee_i \mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(P_i), \quad P_1 = \bigvee_i P_i, \quad P_i \in \mathcal{P}, \quad P_1 \in \mathcal{P}_1. \quad (2.3)$$

Moreover, if  $\mathcal{P}$  is cofinal in  $\mathcal{P}_1$  then  $\mathbf{N}^{\mathcal{P}}(M) = \mathbf{N}_1^{\mathcal{P}_1}(M)$  always holds for the maximal elements, if  $\mathbf{N}_1$  is any extension of the net  $\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$  to the index set  $\mathcal{P}_1$ .

We introduce now a slight generalization of the well known Haag duality property for a von Neumann algebra net on  $\mathcal{P}$ . By the  $\mathcal{P}$ -dual net of a local net  $\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$  we define the (possibly larger) net  $\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}}^d$ , on the same index set  $\mathcal{P}$  and the same image category, by

$$P \longmapsto \mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}}^d(P) := \bigcap \{ \mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(P_i)', P_i \perp P, P_i \in \mathcal{P} \} \cap \mathbf{N}^{\mathcal{P}}(M), \quad P \in \mathcal{P}. \quad (2.4)$$

Locality of the net  $\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$  can also be expressed by the net relation as  $\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}} \subset \mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}}^d$  so that the net  $\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$  is said to satisfies  $\mathcal{P}$ -duality iff

e)  $\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}} = \mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}}^d$ .

Observe that for nets of von Neumann or Weyl algebras we give the definition of  $\mathcal{P}$ -duality in terms of commutants of algebras. A similar definition is also possible for nets of symplectic subspaces.

In general, given a local net  $\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$ , the dual net of the additively extended net is noted by  $\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}_1}^{\mathcal{P}d}$ . It is a (possibly non-trivial) enlargement of  $\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$ , both in the sense that  $\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$  sits as a subnet in  $\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}_1}^{\mathcal{P}d} \upharpoonright \mathcal{P}$ , if it satisfies locality, and that it is defined on a larger index set  $\mathcal{P}_1$ . Explicitly, an object of  $\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}_1}^{\mathcal{P}d}$  for  $P_1 \in \mathcal{P}_1$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}_1}^{\mathcal{P}d}(P_1) &:= \bigcap \{ \mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}_1}^{\mathcal{P}}(P_i)', P_i \perp P_1, P_i \in \mathcal{P}_1 \} \cap (\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}_1}^{\mathcal{P}})^{P_1}(M) \\ &= \bigcap \{ \mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(P_j)', P_j \perp P_1, P_j \in \mathcal{P} \} \cap \mathbf{N}^{\mathcal{P}}(M). \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

Note that such an enlargement is not an extension, since the restriction requirement of an extension is not fulfilled in general.

A similar enlargement of the net  $\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$  is given reversing the order in the above definition: the net  $\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}_1}^{d\mathcal{P}}$  is called the additively extended net of the dual net  $\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}}^d$ . It is defined on the generic element,  $P_1 \in \mathcal{P}_1$ , by

$$\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}_1}^{d\mathcal{P}}(P_1) := \bigvee \{ \mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}}^d(P_i), P_i \subset P_1, P_i \in \mathcal{P} \}. \quad (2.6)$$

We have  $\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}_1}^{d\mathcal{P}} \subseteq \mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}_1}^{\mathcal{P}d}$  and the dual net  $\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}_1}^{\mathcal{P}d}$  turns out to be more interesting from the point of view of superselection theory. However, the following holds

**Lemma 2.2** *If  $\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$  is a local net on an index set  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathcal{P}_1$  we have:*

i) *the extended dual and the dual extended nets coincide on  $\mathcal{P}$  with the dual net, i.e.  $\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}_1}^{d\mathcal{P}} \upharpoonright \mathcal{P} = \mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}_1}^{\mathcal{P}d} \upharpoonright \mathcal{P} = \mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}}^d$ ;*

- ii) if the net  $\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$  is  $\mathcal{P}$ -dual then the nets  $\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}_1}^{d\mathcal{P}}$  and  $\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}_1}^{\mathcal{P}}$  equal; if also the  $\mathcal{P}_1$ -duality for net  $\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}_1}^{\mathcal{P}}$  holds, the three above defined nets coincide, i.e.

$$\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}_1}^{\mathcal{P}} = \mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}_1}^{d\mathcal{P}} = \mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}_1}^{\mathcal{P}d}; \quad (2.7)$$

*Proof.* Trivial from the definitions.  $\square$

Observe that it is not possible to derive in general the  $\mathcal{P}_1$ -duality of the net  $\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}_1}^{\mathcal{P}}$  from the  $\mathcal{P}$ -duality of the net  $\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$ , i.e the heritability of duality to an extended net, see also [36, Section 30]. We shall however obtain such a result for a specific model in Section 4.1.

Finally we recall two useful widely used notations

- for an element  $P \in \mathcal{P}$ , the *causally disjoint set*  $P^\perp$  is the sieve<sup>2</sup> of elements in  $\mathcal{P}$  disjoint from  $P$ , i.e.

$$P^\perp := \{P_1 \in \mathcal{P} : P_1 \perp P\} \subset \mathcal{P}; \quad (2.8)$$

- for a topological space  $M$ , and an element  $P$  in a causal index set  $\mathcal{P} \subset \text{Open}(M)$ , a subspace  $P' \in \text{Open}(M)$  called *the causal complement of  $P$* , is defined by

$$P' := \cup\{P_1 \in \mathcal{P} : P_1 \perp P\} = \cup\{P_i \in P^\perp\}. \quad (2.9)$$

Observe that in general  $P'$  is not an element of  $\mathcal{P}$  and that both  $P^\perp$  and  $P'$  may be used to rewrite in a simpler way the properties of nets listed above. As an example, if  $\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$  is a net of (von Neumann) algebras over the index set  $\mathcal{P}$  in the spacetime  $M$ , then the duality property may be expressed in a shorthand form as

$$\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(P) = \mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(P')' \cap \mathbf{N}^{\mathcal{P}}(M), \quad P \in \mathcal{P}. \quad (2.10)$$

## 2.2 Index sets for the real line

In this subsection we fix the notation and the main results about the index sets of the real line that will be used in the sequel. Let  $M$  be the 1+1-dimensional Minkowski spacetime and denote by  $\mathbb{R}$  its time zero axes (or similarly a chiral left/right line of the light cone). Let  $\text{Open}(\mathbb{R})$  denotes the set of the open non-void subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ , partially ordered under inclusion. The causal disjointness relation  $\perp$  of  $M$  restricted to  $\mathbb{R}$  coincides with the set theoretic disjointness relation, i.e. for  $A, B \in \text{Open}(\mathbb{R})$   $A \perp B \iff A \cap B = \emptyset$ . Moreover, for  $P_1, P_2 \in \text{Open}(\mathbb{R})$ , we write  $P_1 < P_2$  iff  $x < y$  for any  $x \in P_1$  and  $y \in P_2$ ; if  $P_1, P_2 \in \text{Open}(M)$  then  $P_1 < P_2$  means that  $P_1$  is in the left causal spacelike complement of  $P_2$ . In fact the relation  $<$  is a disjointness relation on  $\text{Open}(M)$ , implying the relation  $\perp$ , that we call the *oriented* causal disjointness relation, derived from the usual orientation on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

<sup>2</sup>A *sieve* in  $\mathcal{P}$  is a subset of elements  $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{P}$  such that if  $P \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $P_1 \subset P$  then  $P_1 \in \mathcal{S}$ .



To recall standard notation about subsets of  $\text{Open}(\mathbb{R})$ , we consider at first non-empty, bounded, *open intervals*, the generic one is denoted  $I$ . The causal complement of  $I$  is denoted by  $I'$  and is the union of two open connected components; we may write  $I' = J_l \cup J_r$  where  $J_l := \cup_{I_i < I} I_i$  and  $J_r := \cup_{I < I_i} I_i$ . We call them *the left* and *the right complement* of  $I$  respectively, and we have  $J_l < J_r$ . Observe that if we denote by  $J_{ll} := \mathbb{R} \setminus \overline{J_r}$  and by  $J_{rr} := \mathbb{R} \setminus \overline{J_l}$ , then  $I$  equals  $J_{ll} \cap J_{rr}$ . In general, the elements of *the left* and *the right open halflines* in  $\text{Open}(\mathbb{R})$  are introduced, usually denoted by  $J_l$  and  $J_r$  respectively and defined as above. Given two non-empty, bounded, open intervals  $I_1, I_2$  with  $I_1 \cap I_2 = \emptyset$ , we call a non-empty bounded *double interval* the union  $E := I_1 \cup I_2 \in \text{Open}(\mathbb{R})$  and usually suppose  $I_1 < I_2$ . It is hence useful to introduce the following notation for the above families of subsets of  $\text{Open}(\mathbb{R})$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I} &:= \{\text{non-empty bounded open intervals of } \mathbb{R}\}, \\
\mathcal{I}_2 &:= \{\text{non-empty bounded open double-intervals of } \mathbb{R}\}, \\
\mathcal{D} &:= \mathcal{I} \cup \mathcal{I}_2, \\
\mathcal{J} &:= \mathcal{J}_l \cup \mathcal{J}_r = \{\text{left or right open half-lines of } \mathbb{R}\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

Furnished with the inclusion as partial order and with the causally disjointness relation inherited from  $\text{Open}(M)$ , all these sets may be used as index sets for nets, in the sense of Subsection 2.1. The set  $\mathcal{I}$  is a base of neighborhoods for the topology on the time zero line  $\mathbb{R}$  of open (non-empty) path connected elements, so that it generates the other three.

Also to fix notation, if  $J_r \in \mathcal{J}$  is the generic right half line, we have  $J_r^\perp := \{J \in \mathcal{J}_l : J \perp J_r\}$  and we put  $J_r' = \bigcup_{J \in J_r^\perp} J = \mathbb{R} \setminus \overline{J_r} := J_l$ . Vice versa  $J_l' = J_r$ . The causal complement of  $E$  is  $E' = J_l \cup I_3 \cup J_r$ , with  $J_l < I_1 < I_3 < I_2 < J_r$ , the subset  $I_3 \in \mathcal{I}$  being the largest bounded open interval between  $I_1$  and  $I_2$ . Moreover  $J_l \in \mathcal{J}_l$  and  $J_r \in \mathcal{J}_r$ , and we have  $J_l \cup J_r = I'$  for  $I := I_1 \cup I_3 \cup I_2 \in \mathcal{I}$ . Hence the choice of any double interval gives a relevant five piece decomposition of  $\mathbb{R}$ , corresponding to the four piece one of the circle (discussed for example in [25]), the one-point compactification of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Note that the elements in  $\mathcal{I}$  and  $\mathcal{J}$  are linearly path connected and the elements in  $\mathcal{I}_2$  are not. Some properties of the above index sets as posets are summarized in the following easily proved result

**Lemma 2.3** *The index sets  $\mathcal{I}$ ,  $\mathcal{I}_2$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  are directed and connected. The index set  $\mathcal{J}$  is neither directed nor connected: it is the union of the two directed and connected components  $\mathcal{J}_l$  and  $\mathcal{J}_r$ , the sets of the left and the right half-lines respectively. The set  $\mathcal{I}$  is cofinal in  $\mathcal{I}_2$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  but not in  $\mathcal{J}$ ; the set  $\mathcal{I}_2$  is cofinal in  $\mathcal{D}$  but not in  $\mathcal{J}$ .*

### 2.3 Nets with a grading group

In the following two sections we present a generalization of the locality and duality properties for nets defined on low dimensional spacetimes.

The Bose-Fermi alternative accounts for the normal commutation rules of a field net defined on a 1+3-dimensional spacetime and for field elements localized

on disjoint elements of  $\mathcal{P}$ . It is formalized in AQFT using  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded, non local nets of von Neumann algebras. In particular, it is possible to associate to any  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded net  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$ , another net  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}^t$  called *the twisted net*.<sup>3</sup> This procedure replaces the Bose-Fermi alternative and allows one to introduce, similarly to the local case, the notions of *twisted locality*

$$\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(P_1) \perp \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}^t(P_2), \quad \text{if } P_1, P_2 \in \mathcal{P} \text{ with } P_1 \perp P_2, \quad (2.12)$$

and of *twisted duality* for nets

$$\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(P) = \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}^{td}(P) := \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}^t(P')' \cap \mathcal{N}^{\mathcal{P}}(M), \quad \text{for } P \in \mathcal{P}. \quad (2.13)$$

We are interested in the generalization of these properties to a 1+1-dimensional spacetime and begin recalling that given a group  $K$ , a von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{N}$  is said to be  $K$ -graded iff for any  $k \in K$ , a linear weakly closed subspace  $\mathcal{N}_k$  is defined and called the  $k$ -grade homogeneous subspace of  $\mathcal{N}$ , such that

- a)  $\mathcal{N} = \bigvee_{k \in K} \mathcal{N}_k$ ;
- b)  $\mathcal{N}_k \cap \mathcal{N}_h = 0$ ,  $k \neq h$ ,  $h, k \in K$ ;
- c)  $\mathcal{N}_h \mathcal{N}_k \subseteq \mathcal{N}_{hk}$ ,  $k, h \in K$ .

Observe that an homogeneous subspace  $\mathcal{N}_k$  is a subalgebras of  $\mathcal{N}$  iff  $k = e$ , for  $e$  the identity element. We hence give the following general

**Definition 2.4** *The net  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$  is said to be graded by a group  $K$ , or for short a  $K$ -graded net if*

- a) *for every  $P \in \mathcal{P}$  the von Neumann algebras  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}(P)$  is  $K$ -graded; and*
- b) *for every  $P, P_1 \in \mathcal{P}$  with  $P \subseteq P_1$  the inclusion  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}(P) \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}(P_1)$  is grade preserving, i.e. denoting for any  $k \in K$  by  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}(P)_k$  the  $k$ -homogeneous component of the algebras  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}(P)$ , it holds  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}(P)_k \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}(P_1)_k$ .*

*If a net  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$  is generated by two distinguished  $K$ -graded subnets  $\mathcal{F}_{2\mathcal{P}}$  and  $\mathcal{F}_{1\mathcal{P}}$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{F}(P) = \mathcal{F}_1(P) \vee \mathcal{F}_2(P)$  for every  $P \in \mathcal{P}$ , and there exist a unitary representation  $V$  of the group  $K$  in  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$ , such that it holds*

$$\mathcal{F}_1(P)_k V(k^{-1}) = V(k^{-1}) \mathcal{F}_1(P)_k = \mathcal{F}_2(P)_{k^{-1}}, \quad P \in \mathcal{P}, k \in K, \quad (2.14)$$

*we say that  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$  is a compatible  $K \times K$ -graded net.*

---

<sup>3</sup>Remember that the twisted net of a net  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$  is defined locally for any  $P \in \mathcal{P}$  by  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}^t(P) := \{\alpha_V(F), F \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}(P)\}$  where  $\alpha_V$  is a global Klein automorphism implemented by an operator  $V$ , for example  $V = (I + ik)/\sqrt{2}$ , where  $k$  is the operator giving a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -grading of the Hilbert representation space of  $\mathcal{F}$ , in the sense that  $k\pi(F) = \pi(\alpha_k(F))k$  for  $F \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}(P)$  and  $\alpha_k$  is the automorphism acting locally and giving the Bose-Fermi alternative, i.e. such that  $\alpha_k(F) = \pm F_{\pm}$  being  $F = F_+ + F_-$  the decomposition of any  $F \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}(P)$  in its Bose and Fermi parts, see for example [35, Section 4.1.1] for details.

Observe that in the above definition, a  $K \times K$ -grading of  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$  is easily defined, for example by  $\mathcal{F}(P)_{h,k} = \mathcal{F}_1(P)_h \vee \mathcal{F}_2(P)_k$ ,  $(h,k) \in K \times K$ .

Recalling that cross products are examples of graded algebras, useful graded-compatible nets are described in the following

**Lemma 2.5** *Let  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$  a net generated by two distinguished subnets, denoted by  $\mathcal{F}_{2\mathcal{P}}$  and  $\mathcal{F}_{1\mathcal{P}}$ , both graded by a group  $K$  and satisfying*

- a) *there exists a unitary representation  $V$  in  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$  of the group  $K$  implementing a  $\mathcal{P}$ -local automorphic action  $\alpha$  of the group  $K$  on  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$  (i.e.  $\alpha_k = \text{ad } V(k)$  and  $\alpha_k(\mathcal{F}(P)) = \mathcal{F}(P)$ , for any  $k \in K$  and  $P \in \mathcal{P}$ ), such that the net  $\mathcal{F}$  is the cross product of the subnets  $\mathcal{F}_1$  and  $\mathcal{F}_2$  by the action  $\alpha$  of  $K$ , i.e.*

$$\mathcal{F}(P) = \mathcal{F}_1(P) \rtimes_{\alpha} V(K) = \mathcal{F}_2(P) \rtimes_{\alpha} V(K) \quad \text{for any } P \in \mathcal{P}; \text{ and}$$

- b) *for every  $P \in \mathcal{P}$  and  $s = 1, 2$  there exists an invertible element  $Y_s \in \mathcal{F}_s(P)'$  and a faithful character  $\chi$  of  $K$  such that  $\alpha_k(Y_s) = \chi(k)Y_s$ ;*

*then the net  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$  has a  $K \times K$ -compatible grading, the action  $\alpha$  of the group  $K$  on the net  $\mathcal{F}$  is grade preserving.*

*Proof.* The two cross product hypothesis is no trivial, because the algebras  $\mathcal{F}_1(P)$  and  $\mathcal{F}_2(P)$  are in general only isomorphic; hence we may define the  $K \times K$ -homogeneous subspaces of  $\mathcal{F}$  by

$$\mathcal{F}(P)_{h,k} := \mathcal{F}_1(P)_h V(k) = \mathcal{F}_2(P)_{h k^{-1}} V(hk), \quad \text{for } (h,k) \in K \times K, P \in \mathcal{P}.$$

Being  $\mathcal{F}(P)_{k,e} = \mathcal{F}_1(P)_k V(e) = \mathcal{F}_1(P)_k$ , a single  $K$ -grading of  $\mathcal{F}$  is given by the one of  $\mathcal{F}_1$ . Moreover, the compatible condition (2.14) is easily verified, being  $\mathcal{F}(P)_{k,k^{-1}} = \mathcal{F}_1(P)_k V(k^{-1}) = \mathcal{F}_2(P)_{k^{-1}} V(e) = \mathcal{F}_2(P)_{k^{-1}}$ . It is possible to check the second grading of  $\mathcal{F}$  using the condition b). In fact, consider any  $X \in \mathcal{F}_1(P)'$  and  $k \in K$ . Then  $B \in \mathcal{F}(P)_{e,k} = \mathcal{F}_1(P)_e V(k)$  iff  $BX = \alpha_k(X)B$ ; hence  $B \in \mathcal{F}(P)_{e,e} \cap \mathcal{F}(P)_{e,k} = \mathcal{F}_1(P)_e \cap \mathcal{F}_1(P)V(k)$ , for  $k \neq 0$ , if choosing  $X = Y_1$ , we have  $BY_1 = \alpha_k(Y_1)B = \chi(k)Y_1B = \chi(k)BY_1$  and being  $Y_1$  invertible the result follows. The action  $\alpha$  of  $K$  conserves the grade because of the definition of the spaces  $\mathcal{F}(P)_{h,k}$ .  $\square$

Observe that in the above Lemma, because of the cross product definition, for every  $P \in \mathcal{P}$  the algebras  $\mathcal{F}_1(P)$  and  $\mathcal{F}_2(P)$  are isomorphic.

Consider now an index set  $\mathcal{P}$  on the 1+1-dimensional Minkowski spacetime or its time zero line  $\mathbb{R}$  as listed in (2.11). For every element  $P$  in such an index set, the sieve  $P^{\perp}$  may have more than one connected component. We observe that the number of connected components of  $P^{\perp}$  equals the ones of the graph of the relation  $\perp$  on  $\mathcal{P}$  with partial order inherited from the one of the Cartesian product  $M \times M$  (see [36, Sec. 23] for details), defined by

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\perp} := \{(P_1, P_2) \in \mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{P} : P_1 \perp P_2\}. \quad (2.15)$$

If  $\mathcal{P}$  is a base of open, path connected elements for  $\text{Open}(M)$  or  $\text{Open}(\mathbb{R})$ , for example the set of the open intervals  $\mathcal{I}$ , then the number of these connected components is two. We refer to them as the *left* and the *right* component,  $l$  and  $r$  in symbols, these being the components connected with left or right infinity respectively. In this case, it is possible to introduce the *oriented* version of the graph of the relation  $\perp$  on  $\mathcal{P}$ , i.e. the graph of the above defined oriented disjointness relation  $<$ , by

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}}^< := \{(P_1, P_2) \in \mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{P} : P_1 < P_2\}. \quad (2.16)$$

Here the two copies of  $\mathcal{P}$  in the Cartesian product represent the left and the right disjoint component respectively. If we denote by  $S = S^{-1}$  the space inversion map on  $M$ , thus  $S : (x_0, x_1) \mapsto (x_0, -x_1) \in M$ , and the index set  $\mathcal{P}$  is closed under the action of  $S$ , i.e.  $S(P) \in \mathcal{P}$  for every  $P \in \mathcal{P}$ , the action of  $S$  on  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}}^<$  realizes the *flip* of the two oriented components. In fact  $S(P_2) < S(P_1)$  for every  $P_1 < P_2$  in  $\mathcal{P}$ .

Concerning the index sets in the list (2.11), some considerations are however in order:  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{I}}^<$  and  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{D}}^<$  have just to connected components, the second one because  $\mathcal{I}$  is cofinal in  $\mathcal{D}$ . For the index set  $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}_l \cup \mathcal{J}_r$ , the connected component of  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{J}}^{\perp}$  and  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{J}}^<$  is only one, but we may equally well define on  $\mathcal{J}_l$  and  $\mathcal{J}_r$ , that are connected to the left and the right infinity respectively, a left subnets  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{J}_l}$  and a right one,  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{J}_r}$ .

Let now  $U$  be a unitary representation of  $S$  on  $\mathcal{H}_f$ , i.e. such that  $U(S) = U(S)^*$ , then we can give the following

**Definition 2.6** *Let  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$  be a net generated by two distinguished subnets  $\mathcal{F}_{l\mathcal{P}}$  and  $\mathcal{F}_{r\mathcal{P}}$ , each indexed by one of the two connected component of  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}}^<$ , and compatible under the  $K \times K$ -grading. If the representation  $U$  of the inversion map  $S$  acts on the graded subnets preserving the grade and covariantly, both on the localization regions and the connected components index, i.e. if it holds*

$$U(S) \mathcal{F}_r(P)_k U(S) = \mathcal{F}_l(SP)_k, \quad P \in \mathcal{P}, k \in K, \quad (2.17)$$

then we say that the net  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$  is an inversion covariant graded net.

The action of the space inversion map on a net with compatible  $K \times K$ -grading is described by the following

**Lemma 2.7** *Let  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$  be a compatible  $K \times K$ -graded net, such that  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\perp}$  has two oriented connected components, indexing the graded subnets of  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$ . If the representation  $U$  of  $S$  and  $V$  of  $K$  commute, then  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$  is an inversion covariant graded net.*

*Proof.* From the compatibility condition (2.14) of  $\mathcal{F}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} U(S)\mathcal{F}_r(P)_k U(S) &= U(S)\mathcal{F}_l(P)_{k^{-1}} V(k)U(S) = U(S)\mathcal{F}_l(P)_{k^{-1}} U(S)V(k) \\ &= \mathcal{F}_r(SP)_{k^{-1}} V(k) = \mathcal{F}_l(SP)_k \end{aligned}$$

and the result follows.  $\square$

If  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$  is an inversion covariant graded net and the element  $P \in \mathcal{P}$  is invariant under the action of  $S$ , i.e.  $SP = P$ , then

$$\text{ad}U(S)\left(\mathcal{F}_r(P)\right) = \text{ad}U(S)\left(\bigvee_{k \in K} \mathcal{F}_r(P)_k\right) = \bigvee_{k \in K} \text{ad}U(S)\left(\mathcal{F}_r(P)_k\right) = \bigvee_{k \in K} \mathcal{F}_l(P)_k = \mathcal{F}_l(P).$$

In general, the following result holds

**Lemma 2.8** *Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be an index set in the list (2.11) and  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$  an inversion covariant net with grading group  $K$  and with geometrical covariance group  $\Gamma$  containing the space inversion  $S$  and whose action on  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$  preserves the grading. If  $U$  denotes a unitary representation of  $\Gamma$  on the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_f$  of the net  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$ , then there exist a family of implemented isomorphisms  $\{\Xi_P\}_{\mathcal{P}}$  such that*

$$\Xi_P(\mathcal{F}_r(P)) = \mathcal{F}_l(P), \quad \text{and} \quad \Xi_P(\mathcal{F}_l(P)) = \mathcal{F}_r(P), \quad P \in \mathcal{P}, \quad (2.18)$$

hence  $\Xi_P = \Xi_P^{-1}$ , i.e. it is an involution, for any  $P \in \mathcal{P}$ , and

- i) if  $\Gamma$  contains the translations, for any open interval  $I \in \mathcal{I}$  there exists a translation  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\Xi_I = \text{ad}U(t)U(S)$ ;
- ii) if  $\Gamma$  is the conformal group, for any open double  $E = I_1 \cup I_2 \in \mathcal{I}_2$  there exists a Möbius transformation  $g$  such that  $\Xi_E = \text{ad}U(g)$ ;
- iii) if  $\Gamma$  is the conformal group, for every half line  $J \in \mathcal{J}$  there exists a Möbius transformation  $g$  such that  $\Xi_J = \text{ad}U(g)$ ; and
- iv) if  $P_1 \subseteq P$  with  $P_1, P$  elements in  $\mathcal{I}$ ,  $\mathcal{I}_2$  or  $\mathcal{J}$ , then  $\Xi_P(\mathcal{F}_l(P_1)) \neq \Xi_{P_1}(\mathcal{F}_l(P_1))$  in general, and  $\Xi_P(\mathcal{F}_l(P_1)) \subseteq \Xi_P(\mathcal{F}_l(P)) = \mathcal{F}_r(P)$ .

*Proof.* The proof is similar to the above case with  $SP = P$ . In general,  $\Xi_P$  is implemented by the space inversion  $S$  and an element  $g$  in the geometrical group such that  $g(SP) = P$ , in fact

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi_P\left(\mathcal{F}_r(P)\right) &= \Xi_P\left(\bigvee_{k \in K} \mathcal{F}_r(P)_k\right) = \text{ad}U(g)\left(\bigvee_{k \in K} \text{ad}U(S)\left(\mathcal{F}_r(P)_k\right)\right) \\ &= \bigvee_{k \in K} \text{ad}U(g)\left(\mathcal{F}_l(SP)_k\right) = \bigvee_{k \in K} \mathcal{F}_l(P)_k = \mathcal{F}_l(P). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly  $\Xi_P(\mathcal{F}_l(P)) = \mathcal{F}_r(P)$  is obtained. We compute the proper elements of the geometrical group in the various cases for completeness.

- i) just observe that if  $I = (\alpha, \beta)$  and  $t = \alpha + \beta$  then  $SI = I - t$  for  $I - t := \{y = x - t \in \mathbb{R}, x \in I\}$ . The required isomorphism is  $\Xi_I = \text{ad}U(t)U(S) = \text{ad}U(g)$ , for  $g : x \mapsto -x + \alpha + \beta$ .
- ii) consider  $I_1 < I_2$ ,  $I_1 = (\alpha, \beta)$  and  $I_2 = (\gamma, \delta)$ . Then the element  $g$  that implements  $\Xi_E$  may be obtained as the product  $g = g_1 g_2$ , where  $g_2$  is a combination of  $S$  and a translation, as in i), with  $t = \alpha + \delta$  so that  $g_2(\alpha) = \delta$

and  $g_2(\delta) = \alpha$ ;  $g_1$  is a Möbius transformation such that  $g_1(\alpha) = \alpha$ ,  $g_1(\delta) = \delta$ ,  $g_1(g_2(\beta)) = g_1(-\beta + \alpha + \delta) = \gamma$  and  $g_1(g_2(\gamma)) = g_1(-\gamma + \alpha + \delta) = \beta$ . Such a  $g_1 : x \mapsto \frac{ax+b}{x+c}$  (where  $a = c + \alpha + \delta$ ,  $b = -\alpha\delta$  and  $c = \frac{\alpha\delta - \beta\gamma}{(\delta - \gamma) - (\beta - \alpha)} - (\alpha + \delta)$ ), is uniquely determined and it equals the identity of the group  $\Gamma$  if the lengths of  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  are equal.

iii) without loss of generality, consider for example  $J = (-\infty, \alpha) \in \mathcal{J}_I$ . Then for any real  $b > -\alpha^2$ , the Möbius map  $g : x \mapsto \frac{\alpha x + b}{x - \alpha}$  implements  $\Xi_J$ .  
iv) from the unitary implementation of  $\Xi_P$ .  $\square$

For an inversion covariant graded net of symplectic subspaces (or abstract Weyl algebras), with a geometric symmetry group as above, a similar family of automorphisms exists. These are denoted by  $\{\xi_P\}_{\mathcal{P}}$  and are trivially obtained by the action of the geometrical covariance group on the elements of  $V_l$  or  $V_r$ , the left or right symplectic space. For example, if  $P \in \mathcal{P}$  is invariant under the action of  $S$ , for every  $F = f_0 \oplus f_1 \in V_l(P)$  we have that  $\xi_P(F)(x) = F(-x) = f_0(-x) \oplus f_1(-x)$ ; if  $I = (\alpha, \beta)$  is an open interval, we have  $\xi_I(F)(x) = F(-x + \alpha + \beta)$  for the translation covariance.

It is to note the following motivating results, whose easy proofs comes from the above cross product hypothesis for  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$

**Lemma 2.9** *Let  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$  be a net as in Lemma 2.5, with generating subnets  $\mathcal{F}_{1\mathcal{P}}$  and  $\mathcal{F}_{2\mathcal{P}}$  and grading group  $K$ . If  $G$  is the internal symmetry (gauge) group acting on  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$  such that  $K \subseteq Z(G)$ , the center of  $G$ , and  $\mathcal{F}^G$  is the fixed point net of  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$  under the action of  $G$ , defined by  $\mathcal{F}^G(P) := \mathcal{F}(P)^G$ , then*

- i) if  $\mathcal{K}$  denotes the (Abelian) von Neumann algebras generated by  $V(K)$ , for both  $s = 1, 2$  it holds  $\mathcal{F}^G(P) = \mathcal{F}_s^G(P) \rtimes V(K) \cong \mathcal{F}_s^G(P) \vee \mathcal{K}$ ; and
- ii) if  $\mathcal{F}$  is a net with full Hilbert spectrum for the group  $G$  (see [36, Definition 7.3]) and denoting by  $\mathcal{A} \cong \mathcal{F}_s^G$  the fixed point net of  $\mathcal{F}_s$ , for  $s = 1, 2$  it holds  $\mathcal{F}_1 \cong \mathcal{A} \rtimes \widehat{G} \cong \mathcal{F}_2$ , and because of i), we have

$$\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_s \rtimes V(K) = \mathcal{A} \rtimes \widehat{G} \rtimes V(K), \quad s = 1, 2.$$

Observe that if the net  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$  has full Hilbert spectrum and we are interested in its *charge contents* as a fields net, of the net  $\mathcal{A}$  with gauge group  $G$ , we may equally well use the subnet  $\mathcal{F}_1$  or  $\mathcal{F}_2$ .

We end this subsection mentioning the example of an inversion covariant net, with grading group with  $K = \mathbb{R}$ : the Buchholz, Mack and Todorov field net of the  $U(1)$ -current on the light-line, before its compactification to the circle, see [5] and [7, Subsection 4.2] for details.

## 2.4 Graded locality and graded duality

A first application of the notion of inversion covariant graded net is the following generalization of the locality property for nets

e') let  $\mathcal{P}$  be an index set of  $\text{Open}(M)$ , where  $M$  is the 1+1 dimensional Minkowski spacetime or its time zero real line, and let  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$  be an inversion covariant net, with grading group  $K$ . We say that it satisfies *K-graded locality* if for every  $P_1, P_2 \in \mathcal{P}$  with  $P_1 < P_2$ , we have

$$\mathcal{F}_l(P_1) \perp \mathcal{F}_r(P_2). \quad (2.19)$$

Mnemonicly, we may think that the algebras  $\mathcal{F}_l(P_1)$  are graded on the left complement of  $P_1$  and commutes with Bosonic elements localized on the right complement, where they have the identity grade, i.e. where they behave as Bosonic algebras. Respectively, the algebras  $\mathcal{F}_r(P_2)$  are graded on the right complement of  $P_2$  and are Bosonic on the left one.

Some attention is needed introducing a notion of duality for an inversion covariant graded net. As seen in equations (2.4) and (2.10), in the local case the dual net is an extension of the original net  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$ , defined for any  $P \in \mathcal{P}$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}^d(P)$  by the intersection of the commutants of the algebras supported in the causal complement  $P'$ . If  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$  is  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded, a dual net is associated to its  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -twisted net.

If  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$  is an inversion covariant graded net, and  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\perp}$  has two connected components, because of the graded locality condition (2.19) we may define a *dual-graded algebra on P* by commutants as above only for the left and the right generating subnets  $\mathcal{F}_l$  and  $\mathcal{F}_r$  of  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$ .

Hence, if  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$  has a geometrical covariant group  $\mathcal{G}$ , so that the isomorphisms  $\Xi_P$  is implemented as in Lemma 2.8, and if  $P \in \mathcal{P}$  is a path connected element, for the left  $\mathcal{F}_l$  and the right subnet  $\mathcal{F}_r$  subnets, we define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{l\mathcal{P}}^{gd}(P) &:= \bigcap_{P_i < P} \Xi_P(\mathcal{F}_{l\mathcal{P}}(P_i))' \bigcap_{P < P_i} \mathcal{F}_{r\mathcal{P}}(P_i)' \bigcap \mathcal{F}_l^P(M), \quad \text{and} \\ \mathcal{F}_{r\mathcal{P}}^{gd}(P) &:= \bigcap_{P_i < P} \mathcal{F}_{l\mathcal{P}}(P_i)' \bigcap_{P < P_i} \Xi_P(\mathcal{F}_{r\mathcal{P}}(P_i))' \bigcap \mathcal{F}_r^P(M). \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

Observe that, again because of Lemma 2.8, for such a net  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$  it holds

$$\Xi_P(\mathcal{F}_{l\mathcal{P}}^{gd}(P)) = \mathcal{F}_{r\mathcal{P}}^{gd}(P) \quad \text{and} \quad \Xi_P(\mathcal{F}_{r\mathcal{P}}^{gd}(P)) = \mathcal{F}_{l\mathcal{P}}^{gd}(P). \quad (2.21)$$

Then we can generalize the property of duality of a net by the one of *graded duality* as follows

e') an inversion covariant graded net  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$  is said to satisfy the *graded duality* if for any  $P \in \mathcal{P}$  path connected, we have  $\mathcal{F}_{l\mathcal{P}}(P) = \mathcal{F}_{l\mathcal{P}}^{gd}(P)$ , or equivalently by the equation (2.21), if  $\mathcal{F}_{r\mathcal{P}}(P) = \mathcal{F}_{r\mathcal{P}}^{gd}(P)$ .

As an example, consider an inversion covariant net  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{I}}$  on the index set  $\mathcal{I}$  that satisfies additivity with respect to the half lines. Under the notation fixed in Subsection 2.2 we write  $I' = J_l \cup J_r$  and if  $g \in \Gamma$  is the geometrical transformation that gives  $\Xi_I$  for  $I \in \mathcal{I}$  as in Lemma 2.8, then  $g(I) = I$ ,  $g(J_l) = J_r$

and  $g(J_r) = J_l$ . Hence we have  $\Xi_I(\mathcal{F}_l(J_l)) = \mathcal{F}_r(J_r)$  and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}_l^{gd}(I) &= \left( \bigcap_{I_i < I} \Xi_I(\mathcal{F}_l(I_i))' \right) \cap \left( \bigcap_{I < I_i} \mathcal{F}_r(I_i)' \right) \cap \mathcal{F}_l^{\mathcal{P}}(M) \\
&= \left( \bigvee_{I_i < I} \Xi_I(\mathcal{F}_l(I_i)) \right)' \cap \left( \bigvee_{I < I_i} \mathcal{F}_r(I_i) \right)' \cap \mathcal{F}_l^{\mathcal{I}}(\mathbb{R}) \\
&= \Xi_I(\mathcal{F}_l(J_l))' \cap \mathcal{F}_r(J_r)' \cap \mathcal{F}_l^{\mathcal{I}}(\mathbb{R}) \\
&= \mathcal{F}_r(J_r)' \cap \mathcal{F}_r(J_r)' \cap \mathcal{F}_l^{\mathcal{I}}(\mathbb{R}) = \mathcal{F}_r(J_r)' \cap \mathcal{F}_l^{\mathcal{I}}(\mathbb{R}). \quad (2.22)
\end{aligned}$$

For the half lines index set  $\mathcal{J}$ , similarly to the equations (2.20), we may define the *half-line graded dual nets*, by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}_{l\mathcal{J}}^{gd}(J) &:= \left( \bigcap_{J < J_i} \mathcal{F}_{r\mathcal{J}}(J_i)' \right) \cap \mathcal{F}_l^{\mathcal{J}}(\mathbb{R}), \quad J \in \mathcal{J}_l \text{ and } J_i \in \mathcal{J}_r, \text{ and} \\
\mathcal{F}_{r\mathcal{J}}^{gd}(J) &:= \left( \bigcap_{J_i < J} \mathcal{F}_{l\mathcal{J}}(J_i)' \right) \cap \mathcal{F}_r^{\mathcal{J}}(\mathbb{R}), \quad J \in \mathcal{J}_r \text{ and } J_i \in \mathcal{J}_l. \quad (2.23)
\end{aligned}$$

An inversion covariant graded net on the index set  $\mathcal{J}$  is said to satisfy the *half-line graded duality* if  $\mathcal{F}_{l\mathcal{J}}(J) = \mathcal{F}_{l\mathcal{J}}^{gd}(J)$  for any  $J \in \mathcal{J}_l$ , or equivalently by the equation (2.21), if  $\mathcal{F}_{r\mathcal{J}}(J) = \mathcal{F}_{r\mathcal{J}}^{gd}(J)$  for any  $J \in \mathcal{J}_r$ . If  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{J}}$  satisfies the additivity on  $\mathcal{J}$ , this means that the half-lines graded duality for  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{J}}$  holds iff

$$\mathcal{F}_{l\mathcal{J}}(J) = \mathcal{F}_{r\mathcal{J}}(J')' \cap \mathcal{F}_l^{\mathcal{J}}(\mathbb{R}), \quad J \in \mathcal{J}.$$

For an inversion covariant graded net it is possible to discuss the properties of graded locality and grade duality using another net, as in the case of the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -grading it is used the twisted net  $\mathcal{F}^t$ , see footnote 3. This is useful for extending the definition (2.20) of graded duality to path-connected and non path-connected indices in the list (2.11), and to their causal complements.

Assuming the additivity of the net for simplicity, we hence give the following

**Definition 2.10** *Let  $\mathcal{F}_{l\mathcal{P}}$  be the left subnet of an additive inversion covariant net  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$  with grading group  $K$ , on the index set  $\mathcal{P}$ . For  $P \in \mathcal{P}$ , the  $K$ -twisted algebras  $\mathcal{F}_l^g(P)$  associated to  $\mathcal{F}_l(P)$ , is defined by*

i) if  $J_l \in \mathcal{J}_l$  and  $J'_l = J_r \in \mathcal{J}_r$  are left and right half lines, then

$$\mathcal{F}_l^g(J_l) := \Xi_{J_r}(\mathcal{F}_l(J_l)) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{F}_l^g(J_r) := \Xi_{J_r}(\mathcal{F}_l(J_r)) = \mathcal{F}_r(J_r);$$

ii) if  $I \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $I' = J_l \cup J_r$ ,  $J'_l = J_{rr}$  and  $J'_r = J_{ll}$ , where  $J_l, J_{ll} \in \mathcal{J}_l$  and  $J_r, J_{rr} \in \mathcal{J}_r$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}_l^g(I) &:= \Xi_{J_r}(\mathcal{F}_l(I)) \bigwedge \mathcal{F}_r(I) \quad \text{and} \\
\mathcal{F}_l^g(I') &:= \mathcal{F}_l^g(J_l) \bigvee \mathcal{F}_l^g(J_r) = \Xi_{J_{rr}}(\mathcal{F}_l(J_l)) \bigvee \mathcal{F}_r(J_r);
\end{aligned}$$



iii) if  $E = I_1 \cup I_2 \in \mathcal{I}_2$ ,  $E' = J_l \cup I_3 \cup J_r$  and  $J_{ir} = (I_1)'_r \in \mathcal{J}_r$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$  and  $J'_l = J_{rr}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_l^g(E) &:= \mathcal{F}_l^g(I_1) \vee \mathcal{F}_l^g(I_2) \\ &= (\Xi_{J_{1r}}(\mathcal{F}_l(I_1)) \wedge \mathcal{F}_r(I_1)) \vee (\Xi_{J_{2r}}(\mathcal{F}_l(I_2)) \wedge \mathcal{F}_r(I_2)), \quad \text{and} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_l^g(E') &:= \mathcal{F}_l^g(J_l) \vee \mathcal{F}_l^g(I_3) \vee \mathcal{F}_l^g(J_r) \\ &= \Xi_{J_{rr}}(\mathcal{F}_l(J_l)) \vee (\Xi_{J_{3r}}(\mathcal{F}_l(I_3)) \wedge \mathcal{F}_r(I_3)) \vee \mathcal{F}_r(J_r). \end{aligned}$$

As a direct generalization of a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -twisted net, the main property of a  $K$ -twisted net is summarized in the following

**Proposition 2.11** *If the net  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$  is graded local, then for  $P \in \mathcal{J}, \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}_2$ , it holds*

$$\mathcal{F}_l^g(P) \perp \mathcal{F}_l(P') \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{F}_l^g(P') \perp \mathcal{F}_l(P).$$

*Proof.* We just prove the more troublesome case of a double interval  $E \in \mathcal{I}_2$ . If  $E = I_1 \cup I_2$  then  $\mathcal{F}_l^g(E) = \mathcal{F}_l^g(I_1) \vee \mathcal{F}_l^g(I_2) \perp \mathcal{F}_l(E')$  iff  $\mathcal{F}_l^g(I_1) \perp \mathcal{F}_l(E')$  and  $\mathcal{F}_l^g(I_2) \perp \mathcal{F}_l(E')$ . For the first of these two relations, we have to show that  $\mathcal{F}_l^g(I_i) \perp \mathcal{F}_l(E')$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Hence, since  $\mathcal{F}_l^g(I_1) = \Xi_{J_{1r}}(\mathcal{F}_l(I_1)) \wedge \mathcal{F}_r(I_1)$  and  $\mathcal{F}_l(E') := \mathcal{F}_l(J_l) \vee \mathcal{F}_l(I_3) \vee \mathcal{F}_l(J_r)$ , we have

$$\Xi_{J_{1r}}(\mathcal{F}_l(I_1)) \perp \mathcal{F}_l(I_3) \vee \mathcal{F}_l(J_r) \iff \mathcal{F}_l(I_1) \perp \Xi_{J_{1r}}(\mathcal{F}_l(I_3) \vee \mathcal{F}_l(J_r)).$$

This holds since the last two algebras are contained in  $\Xi_{J_{1r}}(\mathcal{F}_l(J_{1r}))$  because  $I_3 \cup J_r \subset J_{1r}$  and iv) of Lemma 2.8. Moreover  $\mathcal{F}_r(I_1) \perp \mathcal{F}_l(J_l)$  so that the intersection of the two, that equals  $\mathcal{F}_l^g(I_1)$ , commutes with  $\mathcal{F}_l(E')$ . The proof for  $\mathcal{F}_l^g(I_2)$  is equal. With the same methods  $\mathcal{F}_l^g(E') \perp \mathcal{F}_l(E)$  can be proved.  $\square$

The notion of an inversion covariant net, as a tool for describing the commutation rules of fields, defined on an index set having a number of connected components of the causal complements larger than one, may also prove useful in other spacetime cases. We reserve returning to this in a subsequent paper.

### 3 Net cohomology and Superselection Sectors

In this section we review the Roberts' theory of net cohomology presented in [35, 36]. This is the cohomological theory of an index set with coefficients in a net of von Neumann algebras and one of its applications is the characterization of the set of the DHR superselection sectors for a net of observables. In particular we are interested in the problem of the completeness of a set of sectors and present some generalizations in this direction.

By the definition of a simplicial set  $\Sigma_*(\mathcal{P})$  associated to a poset  $\mathcal{P}$  and other notations recalled in Subsection 2.1, it is possible to give the following fundamental <sup>4</sup>

**Definition 3.1** ([35]) *A 0-cocycle in the net of von Neumann algebras  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$  over the index set  $\mathcal{P}$  is a map  $z : \Sigma_0(\mathcal{P}) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$  satisfying the cocycle identity*

$$z(\partial_0 b) = z(\partial_1 b), \quad b \in \Sigma_1(\mathcal{P})$$

and the locality condition

$$z(a) \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(|a|), \quad a \in \Sigma_0(\mathcal{P}).$$

A 1-cocycle in the net  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$  is a map  $z : \Sigma_0(\mathcal{P}) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}})$ , the unitary group of  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$ , satisfying the cocycle identity

$$z(\partial_0 c) z(\partial_2 c) = z(\partial_1 c), \quad c \in \Sigma_2(\mathcal{P})$$

and the locality condition

$$z(b) \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(|b|), \quad b \in \Sigma_1(\mathcal{P}).$$

The 1-cocycles in the net  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$  are objects of a  $W^*$ -category denoted by  $Z^1(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}})$ , where an arrow from the cocycle  $z'$  to the cocycle  $z$  is a map  $w : \Sigma_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$  satisfying

$$z(b) w(\partial_1 b) = w(\partial_0 b) z'(b), \quad b \in \Sigma_1(\mathcal{P})$$

and the locality condition

$$w(a) \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(|a|), \quad a \in \Sigma_0(\mathcal{P}).$$

We denote by  $\text{Rep}^\perp \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$  the category of the representations of the net  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$  satisfying the DHR superselection criterion, i.e. such that for  $\pi \in \text{Rep}^\perp \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$  it holds  $\pi|_{P^\perp} \cong \pi_0|_{P^\perp}$ , where  $\pi_0$  is the defining (vacuum) representation and  $\cong$  means unitary equivalence. Let  $Z_t^1(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}^d)$  denotes the full subcategory in  $Z^1(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}^d)$  of the 1-cocycles of  $\mathcal{P}$  in  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}^d$  defined by the two further properties:

- any object  $z \in Z_t^1(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}^d)$  is trivial in  $\mathcal{N}^{\mathcal{P}}$ , i.e. for every  $a \in \Sigma_0(\mathcal{P})$  there exists a unitary  $V_a \in \mathcal{N}^{\mathcal{P}}$  such that  $z(b) = V_{\partial_0 b} V_{\partial_1 b}^*$ , for  $b \in \Sigma_1(\mathcal{P})$ ; and
- for any path  $p$  in  $\mathcal{P}$  we have  $z(p) A z(p)^* = A$ , if  $A \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(P)$  with  $\partial_0 p, \partial_1 p \in |P|^\perp$ .

A first relevant result of net cohomology is the cohomological interpretation of the superselection sectors given in [36, Theorem 26.2]: if  $\mathcal{P}$  is connected, then the  $W^*$ -categories  $\text{Rep}^\perp \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$  and  $Z_t^1(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}^d)$  are equivalent.

In the sequel we also use the result [36, Theorem 30.1] about superselection sectors and the change of the index set: if  $\mathcal{P}_1 \subset \mathcal{P}$  be an inclusion of connected

---

<sup>4</sup>Actually we consider here only 0, 1–order net cohomology. For higher-order cohomologies with non Abelian coefficients see [34].

posets with a common causal disjointness relation  $\perp$ , and  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}_1} \subset \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$  is an inclusion of nets of von Neumann algebras obtained by restriction from  $\mathcal{P}$  to  $\mathcal{P}_1$ , in the same reference representation, then if the two generated von Neumann algebras associated to the nets are equal, i.e.  $\mathcal{N}^{\mathcal{P}_1} = \mathcal{N}^{\mathcal{P}}$ , restricting representations from  $\mathcal{P}$  to  $\mathcal{P}_1$  and acting identically on the intertwiners defines a faithful functor from  $\text{Rep}^\perp \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$  to  $\text{Rep}^\perp \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}_1}$ . Moreover, if  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$  is additive and  $\mathcal{P}_1$  generate  $\mathcal{P}$ , then this functor is an equivalence.

An analogous theorem for the corresponding net 1-cohomology holds, see [36, Theorem 30.2].

### 3.1 Computing net cohomologies

The computation of the net 0-cohomology is based on the connectedness of the index set and on the locality and irreducibility of  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$ , see [35, Section 3.4.4].

Computing the net 1-cohomology is usually based on a general *referring model* presented in [35, Section 3.4.5]: here a net of von Neumann algebras on the (finite) index set associated to the poset of subsimplices of the standard 2-simplex  $\Delta_2$  is constructed. In this model, the subalgebras of each sub-simplex is supposed to be canonically isomorphic to the tensor product of the algebras associated with its faces. For a (local) net of von Neumann algebras  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$ , the above condition for disjoint localized vertices of the 2-simplex, coincides with the so called *quasi-split property*, see [16] and references therein, i.e. for any  $P_1 \perp P_2$  there exists a canonical isomorphism such that  $\mathcal{N}(P_1) \vee \mathcal{N}(P_2) \cong \mathcal{N}(P_1) \otimes \mathcal{N}(P_2)$ .

If this strong structural property do not hold in a specific model, we cannot proceed to discuss the local 1-cohomology along these lines. Remember that the validity of the (quasi-)split property for a net, once we know its validity for its fixed point net under the action of a global gauge group, has been proved only if the group is *finite* and Abelian, see for example [16, Corollary 9.9].

As a first step we generalize the toy model on  $\Delta_2$  when the split property is lacking. Using an obvious notation, denote by  $\Delta_2 = c$  the standard 2-simplex, by  $\partial_0 c, \partial_1 c, \partial_2 c$  its 1-subsimplices and by  $\partial_{01} c, \partial_{02} c, \partial_{12} c$  its 0-subsimplices. We define a net  $N = N_{\Delta_2}$  of von Neumann algebras on  $c$  letting  $N = N(|c|) = N^{\Delta_2}$  denote the (quasilocal) von Neumann algebra associated to the 2-simplex  $c$ . We also write  $N_i$  and  $N_{ij}$  for the von Neumann algebras  $N(|\partial_i c|)$  and  $N(|\partial_{ij} c|)$  respectively. Moreover, for any 1-cocycle  $z$  with values in  $N$  we denote by  $z_i := z_{\partial_i c} \in N_i$ , for  $c$  the standard 2-simplex.

We also recall that an *Hilbert space inside a von Neumann algebra* is a norm closed subspace  $H$  with the property that if  $\psi, \psi' \in H$  then  $\psi^* \psi' \in \mathbb{C}$ . This is a scalar product where the norm of  $H$  is induced by the von Neumann algebra. If  $\psi^\alpha$  is an orthonormal bases of  $H$ , the *support of  $H$*  is the projection  $\sum_a \psi^\alpha \psi^{\alpha*}$  and turns out to be independent of the chosen basis.

We may hence give the following

**Lemma 3.2** *Let  $N, N_i, N_{ij}$  and  $z \in Z^1(N)$  as above. If*

- a)  $N_0 = N_{01} \vee N_{02}$ ,  $N_1 = N_{01} \vee N_{12}$ ,  $N_2 = N_{02} \vee N_{12}$  and  $N = N_{01} \vee N_{02} \vee N_{12}$ ,  
i.e. *additivity on subsimplices*;
- b)  $N_0 \wedge N_1 = N_{01}$ ,  $N_0 \wedge N_2 = N_{02}$ ,  $N_1 \wedge N_2 = N_{12}$  and  $N_{01} \wedge N_{02} = N_{01} \wedge N_{12} =$   
 $N_{02} \wedge N_{12} = \mathbb{C}$ , i.e. *minimality of the intersections on subsimplices*; and
- c) for any  $0 \neq z_2 \in N_2$  there exists a conditional expectation  $\Phi : N \rightarrow N_0$  such  
that  $\Phi(z_2) \neq 0$ ,  $\Phi(N_2) \subset N_{02}$  and  $\Phi(N_1) \subset N_{01}$ ,

then there are unique Hilbert spaces  $H_{ij} \subset N_{ij}$  with support  $I$  such that

$$z_2 H_{12} = H_{02}, \quad z_1 H_{12} = H_{01} \quad \text{and} \quad z_0 H_{02} = H_{01}.$$

*Proof.* The proof is very close to the one in [35, Section 3.4.5, Lemma 1], to which we refer for details. Because of points a) and b), the net  $N$  is a lattice homomorphism from the lattice of the subsimplices of  $\Delta_2$  into the lattices of the subalgebras of  $N$ . Setting  $H_{12} := \{n \in N_{12} : z_2 n \in N_{02}\}$ ,  $H_{02} := \{n \in N_{02} : z_0 n \in N_{01}\}$  and  $H_{01} := \{n \in N_{01} : z_1^* n \in N_{12}\}$  we define the norm-closed linear subspaces, mapped in the right way by the unitary operators of the 1-cocycle. The existence of the conditional expectation given by c) and the minimality of the intersection on subsimplices, assure that there are scalar products on them and that their supports equal  $I$ . The uniqueness of these Hilbert spaces follow from the construction.  $\square$

It follows that, as in the Roberts' original formulation, that there exist isometries  $\psi_{ij}^\alpha \in N_{ij}$  such that  $\sum_\alpha \psi_{ij}^\alpha \psi_{ij}^{\alpha*} = I$  and 1-cocycle  $z$  such that  $z_2 \psi_{12}^\alpha = \psi_{02}^\alpha$ ,  $z_1 \psi_{12}^\alpha = \psi_{01}^\alpha$  and  $z_0 \psi_{02}^\alpha = \psi_{01}^\alpha$ . Hence, any  $z \in Z^1(N)$  is a direct sum of trivial 1-cocycles and the 1-cohomology is said to be *quasitrivial* in this case.

We want to generalize the following result of Roberts, when the (quasi-) split property is lacking and we are dealing with a net defined from a Weyl algebra, even if in a non-regular representation, i.e. on a non-separable Hilbert space.

**Theorem 3.3** ([35, Theorem 2, Section 3.4.5]) *Let  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$  a local (bosonic) net of von Neumann algebras over the connected index set  $\mathcal{P}$  such that  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}}^\perp$  has only one connected component. If*

- a) for every  $b \in \Sigma_1(\mathcal{P})$  and every path  $p = \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n\}$  such that  
 $\partial p := \{\partial_0 b_1, \partial_1 b_n\} = \{\partial_0 b, \partial_1 b\} =: \partial b$  we have

$$\bigcap_{\partial p = \partial b} \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(|p|) = \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(|\partial_0 b|) \vee \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(|\partial_1 b|), \quad \text{and} \quad (3.1)$$

- b) for  $P_1, P_2 \in \mathcal{P}$  and  $P_1 \perp P_2$  it holds

$$\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(P_1) \vee \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(P_2) \cong \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(P_1) \otimes \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(P_2), \quad (3.2)$$

then  $Z^0(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}) = \mathbb{C}$  and for given any object  $z \in Z^1(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}})$  there are unique Hilbert spaces with support  $I$ ,  $H(a) \subset \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(|a|)$  such that  $z(b)H(\partial_0 b) = H(\partial_1 b)$ ,  $b \in \Sigma_1(\mathcal{P})$ , i.e.  $Z^1(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}})$  is *quasitrivial*.

The result of quasi-triviality on  $\Delta_2$  without quasi-split property is hence used to give the following

**Proposition 3.4** *Let  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$  a local (Bosonic) net of von Neumann algebras over the connected index set  $\mathcal{P}$  such that  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\perp}$  has only one connected component. If*

- a) *condition (3.1) holds;*
- b) *for every  $c \in \Sigma_2(\mathcal{P})$  we have  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(\partial_0\partial_1c) = \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(\partial_0c) \wedge \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(\partial_1c)$ , and if for  $P_1 \perp P_2$  we have  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(\partial_0c) \wedge \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(\partial_1c) = \mathbb{C}$ ; and*
- c) *picked  $c \in \Sigma_2(\mathcal{P})$  such that  $|\partial_{01}c| \perp |\partial_{02}c|$ ,  $|\partial_{01}c| \perp |\partial_{12}c|$  and  $|\partial_{02}c| \perp |\partial_{12}c|$  and setting  $N_{ij} := \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(|\partial_{ij}c|)$  there exists a conditional expectation  $\Phi$  as in c) of Lemma 3.2 above,*

*then  $Z^0(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}) = \mathbb{C}$  and  $Z^1(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}})$  is quasitrivial.*

*Proof.* The proof is obtained rephrasing the one in Roberts' Theorem 3.3 and is based on Lemma 3.2. We give a sketch of it for completeness. The triviality of  $Z^0(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}})$  is directly obtained from the connectedness of the index set and condition b): chosen  $b \in \Sigma_1(\mathcal{P})$  such that  $|\partial_0b| \perp |\partial_1b|$ , for any  $w \in Z^0(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}})$  we have  $w(\partial_0b) = w(\partial_1b) \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(|\partial_0b|) \cap \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(|\partial_1b|) = \mathbb{C}$ . Because of the 1-cocycle identity we have  $z(p) = z(b)$  if  $\partial p = \partial b$ , for every  $z \in Z^1(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}})$ . Thus  $z(b) \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(|\partial_0b|) \vee \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(|\partial_1b|)$  because of a). For given  $a \in \Sigma_0(\mathcal{P})$ , pick  $b \in \Sigma_1(\mathcal{P})$  with  $|a| = |\partial_0b| \perp |\partial_1b|$  and define

$$H(a) := \{F \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(|a|) : z(b)^*F \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(|\partial_1b|)\}. \quad (3.3)$$

Choosing  $c \in \Sigma_2(\mathcal{P})$  with  $\partial_0c = b$  and  $|b| = |\partial_0c| \perp |\partial_1\partial_2c|$  and using the Lemma 3.2 with  $N_{ij} = \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(|\partial_i\partial_jc|)$ , it is possible to show that  $H(a)$  is a Hilbert space in  $\mathcal{N}(|a|)$ , independently of  $b$ . This because of b) and c) above. Finally, for any vertex in a 2-simplex with the above choice of  $b \in \Sigma_1(\mathcal{P})$  and  $c \in \Sigma_2(\mathcal{P})$ , the definition as in equation (3.3) gives an Hilbert space  $H(\partial_i\partial_jc) \subset N_{ij}$ , i.e.  $H(a) \subset \mathcal{N}(|a|)$  for  $a \in \Sigma_0(\mathcal{P})$ , with  $z(b)H(\partial_1b) = H(\partial_0b)$ .  $\square$

As observed in [35, Section 3.4.5], the hypothesis (3.1) is the true model dependent cohomological condition giving the triviality of  $Z^1(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}})$ .

The technical (quasi-)split property required for the net  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$  in the original Roberts' formulation is replaced by b) and c). The trivial intersection requirement point in b) is widely satisfied, for example if the net  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$  satisfies the Schlieder condition, see for example [11], or if the stronger Borchers or type III factor property holds. Such a condition is tailored for a local net. A generalization to the case of a inversion covariant graded net that will be useful in the sequel, is given by the following

**Corollary 3.5** *Let  $K$  a (discrete Abelian) group and  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$  be a net on the connected index set  $\mathcal{P}$ , with a compatible  $K \times K$  grading in the sense of Definition 2.4. Denoted by  $\mathcal{N}_s$ ,  $s = l, r$ , the two components of  $\mathcal{N}$  and by  $V$  a unitary representation of  $K$  on the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_n$  of the net  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$ , if*

- a) condition (3.1) holds for  $\mathcal{N}_s$ ,  $s = l, r$ ;
- b) for every  $c \in \Sigma_2(\mathcal{P})$  we have  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(\partial_0 \partial_1 c) = \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(\partial_0 c) \wedge \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(\partial_1 c)$ , and for  $P_1 \perp P_2$  it holds  $\mathcal{N}(P_1 \cap P_2) = \mathcal{N}(P_1) \wedge \mathcal{N}(P_2) = \mathcal{K}$ , where  $\mathcal{K} := V(K)''$  is the group von Neumann algebra of  $K$  in the representation  $V$  and for  $P_1 \perp P_2$  and  $s = r, l$  it holds  $\mathcal{N}_s(P_1) \wedge \mathcal{N}_s(P_2) = \mathbb{C}$ ;
- c) condition c) of Proposition 3.4 holds for the net  $\mathcal{N}_s$ , for  $s = r, l$ ,

then  $Z^0(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}) = \mathcal{K}$ ,  $Z^0(\mathcal{N}_{l\mathcal{P}}) = Z^0(\mathcal{N}_{r\mathcal{P}}) = \mathbb{C}$ , and  $Z^1(\mathcal{N}_l)$  and  $Z^1(\mathcal{N}_r)$  are quasitrivial.

*Proof.* The result for  $Z^0(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}})$  is obtained from the condition b) as in Proposition 3.4; the same holds for  $Z^0(\mathcal{N}_{s\mathcal{P}})$ ,  $s = l, r$ . Observe that if  $\mathcal{N}_s$ ,  $s = r, l$  satisfy condition (3.1), also for  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$  does. Then it is possible to use Proposition 3.4 for the nets  $\mathcal{N}_{s\mathcal{P}}$  giving the result.  $\square$

**Remark 3.6** Observe that if the requirement  $N_{01} \wedge N_{02} = \mathbb{C}$  and similar in b) of the previous Lemma 3.2 is replaced by  $N_{01} \wedge N_{02} = \mathcal{Z}$  and similar, where  $\mathcal{Z}$  is a common (Abelian) von Neumann subalgebra of the  $N_{ij}$ , then the 1-cocycles result to be associated to Hilbert right- $\mathcal{Z}$ -modules, instead of Hilbert spaces, i.e.  $\psi^* \psi' \in \mathcal{Z}$  for  $\psi, \psi' \in H$ . In particular this holds if  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$  is a net with grading group  $K$  and  $\mathcal{Z}$  is the von Neumann algebras generated by the representation of  $K$ . Because this is not relevant for the model we are treating in detail, we refrain from further comments.

## 3.2 Net cohomology results for a net of Weyl algebras

In this subsection we show that a net of von Neumann algebras derived from a Weyl algebras (local, graded local and in the general case of a non-regular representation), satisfies the hypothesis b) and c) of Proposition 3.4 and Corollary 3.5. The stronger hypothesis a) has instead to be verified for any specific model.

We refer to the notation of Sections 2.1 of [7], recalling some general requirements and results derived there about the twisted crossed product of Weyl algebras and their representations. Note that the nets of the Streater and Wilde model fulfill the following requirements, and that they may be easily adapted to the case of finite or denumerable sectors for a net derived from a Weyl algebra. Hence we consider and suppose, giving detailed references to [7]:

- a net of von Neumann algebras  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$  on index set  $\mathcal{P}$ , defined from a non-regular representation  $\pi_f$  of a Weyl algebra over a symplectic space  $V_f = V_a \oplus L$  such that  $L = C \oplus N$  with  $C \cong N \cong \mathbb{R}_d^n$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $C$  is the non-regular symplectic subspace of charges, see [7, Subsections 2.3, 3.2 and 4.1];

- the local symplectic space decomposition of  $V_f(P)$  in  $V_a \oplus L$  depends only on  $V_a$ , i.e.  $V_f(P) \subset V_a(P) \oplus L$ , for  $P \in \mathcal{P}$ . This means that the local algebras  $\mathcal{F}(P) := \pi_f(\mathcal{W}(V_f(P), \sigma_f))''$  can be written as a cross product

$$\mathcal{F}(P) = \mathcal{B}(P) \rtimes_{\sigma_L} \mathcal{U}(C), \quad (3.4)$$

where  $\mathcal{B}(P) := \pi_b(\mathcal{W}(V_a(P) \oplus N, \sigma_f))''$  for  $\pi_b = \pi_f|_{\mathcal{W}(V_a \oplus N)}$  and the action is obtained by the symplectic form  $\sigma_L$  as a (twisted) cross product of Weyl algebras, see [7, Subsections 2.1 and 4.1];

- denoting the GNS vector of  $\pi_f$  by  $\Omega_f \cong \Omega_a \otimes \Omega_L = \Omega_a \otimes |0\rangle$ , we suppose that it is cyclic and separating for every local algebras  $\mathcal{F}(P) := \pi_f(\mathcal{W}(V_f(P)))''$ . We assume that  $\Omega_a$  is cyclic and separating for the local algebras of observables  $\mathcal{A}(P)$  and that  $\Omega_L$  is cyclic for  $\pi_L(\mathcal{W}(L))''$ , see [7, Subsections 2.3 and 3.2]. The separating property of  $\Omega_f$  for  $\mathcal{F}(P)$  do not implies the corresponding property of  $\Omega_L$  for  $\pi_L(\mathcal{W}(L))''$ ;
- there exist two maps,  $c : F \mapsto c(F) \in C$  giving the charges of  $F \in V_f$ , such that if  $c(F) = 0$  then  $F \in V_a \oplus 0 \oplus N \cong V_a \oplus N$ , and  $n : F \mapsto n(F) \in N$ , so that we have  $F = F_a \oplus c(F) \oplus n(F) \in V_a \oplus C \oplus N$  and both such maps result to be additive; and
- finally we suppose that the non-separable Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_f$  of the representation  $\pi_f$  admits a decomposition in *charge subspaces* for the subnet  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{P}}$ , defined by the local algebras  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{P}}(P) := \pi_a(\mathcal{W}(V_a(P), \sigma_a))''$ . If  $\mathcal{H}_a$  is the separable Hilbert space the of representation for the net  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{H}_c \cong \mathcal{H}_a$ , this means that  $\mathcal{H}_f = \oplus_{c \in C} \mathcal{H}_c \cong \mathcal{H}_a \otimes \mathcal{H}_L$ . Here  $\mathcal{H}_L \cong \ell^2(C)$ , and we are actually identifying  $\mathcal{H}_c \cong \mathcal{H}_a \otimes |c\rangle$ , for every  $c \in C$ , see [7, Subsections 3.2, 4.1 and 4.3];<sup>5</sup>

Under these assumptions, we first aim to show the existence of a conditional expectation as in c) of Proposition 3.4 and Corollary 3.5, that is simply obtained in the case of the (quasi-)split property of the net by the Tomiyama slide map. For every charge  $c \in C$  we define the linear weakly closed local subspace, of weakly convergent series

$$F_c(P) := \{\sum_{i \in I} a_i \pi_f(W(F_i)), a_i \in \mathbb{C}, F_i \in V_f(P), c(F_i) = c \in C, P \in \mathcal{P}\}^-.$$

Observe that, because of the definition of  $F_c(P)$ , any element  $A \in \mathcal{F}(P)$  is a weak-convergent net

$$A = w - \lim_{\Lambda \subset C} \sum_{c \in \Lambda} A_c = w - \lim_{\Lambda \subset C} \sum_{c \in \Lambda} \sum_{i \in I} a_i^c \pi_f(W(F_i^c)) \quad (3.5)$$

over finite subsets  $\Lambda \subset C$ , where  $A_c \in F_c(P)$ , for  $c(F_i^c) = c$ . We will use this shorthand sum notation to mean the convergence on finite subsets of  $C$ . For the spaces  $F_c(P)$  the following holds

<sup>5</sup>As in [7], by  $\pi_f \cong \pi_a \otimes \pi_L$  we mean the representation  $\pi_f$  for the decomposition of the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_f$  as above and not a tensor product of representations of  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$ .

**Lemma 3.7** *With the assumptions and notations as above we have:*

- i)  $F_c(P)$  is a weakly closed linear space and a von Neumann algebra iff  $c = 0 \in C$ . We call  $F_0(P)$  the zero charge local von Neumann algebra on  $P$ , it coincides with  $\mathcal{B}(P)$  and  $\mathcal{A}(P) = F_0(P) \upharpoonright \mathcal{H}_a$ ;
- ii) the local von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{F}(P)$  is generated by the disjoint sum of the subspaces  $F_c(P)$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{F}(P) = \vee_{c \in C} F_c(P) = \Sigma_{c \in C} F_c(P)$ ;
- iii) if  $\eta \in \mathcal{H}_{c'}$  then  $(F_c(P)\eta)^- = \mathcal{H}_{c+c'}$ . In particular, choosing  $\eta = \Omega_f$ ,  $(F_c(P)\Omega_f)^- = \mathcal{H}_c$  and we have

$$F_c(P) = \{A \in \mathcal{F}(P) : A\Omega_f \in \mathcal{H}_c\};$$

- iv) the restriction of the representation  $\pi_f$  to the subspace  $F_c(P)$  is regular, more precisely for every  $F \in V_f(P)$  and  $F = F_a \oplus c(F) \oplus n(F) \in V_a \oplus C \oplus N$ , the maps

$$\mathbb{R} \ni \lambda \rightarrow \pi_f(W(\lambda F_a \oplus c \oplus n(F))) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{R} \ni \mu \rightarrow \pi_f(W(F_a \oplus c \oplus \mu n(F)))$$

are weakly (and strongly) continuous;

- v) the von Neumann algebra  $F_0(P)$  is contained in any subspace  $F_c(P)$  for every  $c \in C$  and  $P \in \mathcal{P}$  so that  $F_0(P)\Omega_f \subset \cap_{c \in C} \mathcal{H}_c$ ; moreover for any chosen  $F \in V_f(P)$  with  $c(F) = c$  we have  $F_c(P) = F_0(P)\pi_f(W(F))$ .

*Proof.* The proof of all the statements is trivial. It follows from the theory of non-regular representations in Sections 2.3 of [7]. In particular, the disjoint decomposition of local algebras  $\mathcal{F}(P)$  in ii) follows from the non-regularity of the representation  $\pi_f$  that does not permit taking weak limits along the subspace  $C$ . The same is true of the representation  $\pi_L$ .  $\square$

Define now  $\mathcal{N} := \pi_f(\mathcal{W}(N))'' \subset \mathcal{F}(P)$  for every  $P \in \mathcal{P}$ , suppose that it is Abelian and that  $\mathcal{N} \upharpoonright \mathcal{H}_0 = \mathbb{C}I$ . Assume that  $\mathcal{N}$  commutes with  $F_0(P)$ , for any  $P \in \mathcal{P}$ , and that from the above list of assumptions, it is possible to write

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_f) \supset F_0(P) = \mathcal{A}(P) \vee \mathcal{N} \cong \mathcal{A}(P) \otimes \mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_a) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_L), \quad P \in \mathcal{P}. \quad (3.6)$$

Here we identified  $\mathcal{N}$  with  $I_a \otimes \mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_a) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_L)$ .<sup>6</sup>

If the observable net  $\mathcal{A}$  satisfies the split property, see [36, Section 9] for a review, given  $P_1, P_2 \in \mathcal{P}$  there exists  $\widetilde{P}_1 \in \mathcal{P}$  such that  $P_1 \subset \widetilde{P}_1 \perp P_2$  and an intermediate type I factor  $\mathcal{M}$  such that  $\mathcal{A}(P_1) \subset \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{A}(P_2)'$ . This entails that  $\mathcal{A}(P_2) \subset \mathcal{M}'$  and we can even choose  $\widetilde{P}_1 \supset P_1$  such that  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{A}(\widetilde{P}_1)$ .

<sup>6</sup>Referring to the Streater and Wilde model, the net  $\mathcal{F}$  defined from the symplectic space  $V_f := \mathcal{S} \oplus \partial^{-1}\mathcal{S}$  is not contemplated in this simplified situation; instead the net  $\mathcal{C}$ , defined from the symplectic space  $V_c := \mathcal{S} \oplus \partial_0^{-1}\mathcal{S}$ , well suits the requirements above, see [7, Subsection 3.1]. In the following Section 4, discussing the local 1-cohomology of the model we shall return to these conditions, see also the note at page 38.



From the split property we deduce the quasi-split property, i.e. the existence of an isomorphism of von Neumann algebras  $\Psi_a$  such that

$$\Psi_a(\mathcal{A}(P_1) \vee \mathcal{A}(P_2)) = \mathcal{A}(P_1) \otimes \mathcal{A}(P_2). \quad (3.7)$$

We want to extend the isomorphism  $\Psi_a$  to the tensor product of the algebras  $F_0(P)$ , and some preliminary observations on the role of  $\mathcal{N}$  are now in order. By the decomposition in equation (3.6), the isomorphism  $\Psi_a$  easily extends to an isomorphism of von Neumann algebras from  $F_0(P_1) \vee F_0(P_2)$  to a *central  $\mathcal{N}$ -relative tensor product*, see [39] for basic definitions, as

$$\Psi_0(F_0(P_1) \vee F_0(P_2)) = F_0(P_1) \otimes_{\mathcal{N}} F_0(P_2). \quad (3.8)$$

Here we mean that the von Neumann algebra generated by the two commuting von Neumann algebras  $F_0(P_1)$  and  $F_0(P_2)$  is isomorphic to the von Neumann algebra tensor product  $F_0(P_1) \otimes F_0(P_2)$  provided with the following property

$$A_1 N \otimes A_2 = A_1 \otimes N A_2 \quad (3.9)$$

for all  $A_i \in F_0(P_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  and  $N \in \mathcal{N}$ . This relative tensor product is central, i.e.  $\mathcal{N} \subset Z(F_0(P))$  for every  $P \in \mathcal{P}$ , and we have

$$N A_1 \otimes A_2 = A_1 N \otimes A_2 = A_1 \otimes N A_2 = A_1 \otimes A_2 N. \quad (3.10)$$

For  $P_1, P_2$  in  $P$ , denoted by  $F_c(P_1) \vee F_{-c}(P_2) := \{F_c(P_1) \cup F_{-c}(P_2)\}^-$ , we have that  $F_c(P_1) \vee F_{-c}(P_2) \subset F_0(P)$  and it is isomorphic to a  $\mathcal{N}$ -relative tensor product but not central, i.e. the property described by the equation (3.10) does not hold in this case.

We prefer however not to follow this approach using relative tensor products and switch to a more physical description, distinguishing two cases:

- the local algebras  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}(P)$  commute with  $\mathcal{N}$ , for every  $P \in \mathcal{P}$  (for instance if the net  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$  is purely Bosonic); or
- the net  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$  is an  $N$ -graded local net, according to the definition in equation (2.19).

The first case is trivial. To treat the second case, we have just to define the left  $\mathcal{F}_l(P)$  and right  $\mathcal{F}_r(P)$  homogeneous subalgebras. Hence we require that there exist two different symplectic subspaces  $V_{fl}, V_{fr} \subsetneq V_f$  such that  $V_f = V_{fl} \oplus N = V_{fr} \oplus N$ , giving the local algebras  $\mathcal{F}_s(P) := \pi_f(\mathcal{W}(V_{fs}(P), \sigma_f))'' \subsetneq \mathcal{F}(P)$  of the algebras  $\mathcal{F}(P) = \mathcal{F}_s(P) \rtimes_{\sigma_L} V(N)$ , for  $s = l, r$ . Here  $V$  is a representation of the group  $N$  on  $\mathcal{H}_f$  and its action on  $\mathcal{F}_s$  is defined by the symplectic form  $\sigma_L$ , similarly to equation (3.4).

We may hence define the left/right fixed charge, weakly closed linear spaces

$$F_{c,s}(P) := F_c(P) \cap \mathcal{F}_s(P), \quad P \in \mathcal{P}, \quad c \in C, \quad s = l, r.$$

Observe that because  $N \not\subseteq V_{fs}$  then  $\mathcal{N} \not\subseteq \mathcal{F}_s(P)$  and  $\mathcal{N} \not\subseteq \mathbf{F}_{c,s}(P)$  for all  $P \in \mathcal{P}$  and  $c \in C$ , and that for  $P_1 < P_2$  being  $\mathcal{F}_l(P_1) \perp \mathcal{F}_r(P_2)$ , it holds

$$\mathbf{F}_{c,l}(P_1) \perp \mathbf{F}_{q,r}(P_2) \quad \text{for all } c, q \in C. \quad (3.11)$$

Hence we state the following Lemma and Proposition

**Lemma 3.8** *Suppose that  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$  is a local (Bosonic) net, additive on  $\mathcal{P}$ , i.e. such that  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}(P) = \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}(P_1) \vee \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}(P_2)$  for  $P, P_1, P_2 \in \mathcal{P}$  and  $P = P_1 \cup P_2$ , that satisfies the above assumptions, where the net  $\mathbf{F}_0$  is quasi-split and*

$$\mathbf{F}_0(P) = \Sigma_{c \in C} \mathbf{F}_c(P_1) \vee \mathbf{F}_{-c}(P_2), \quad (3.12)$$

where  $\vee$  means the weakly continuously generated subspace, then

- i)  $\mathbf{F}_0(P) \cong \Sigma_{c \in C} \mathbf{F}_c(P_1) \vee \mathbf{F}_{-c}(P_2)$ ; and
- ii)  $\mathbf{F}_c(P) \cong \Sigma_{q \in C} \mathbf{F}_q(P_1) \vee \mathbf{F}_{c-q}(P_2)$ .

*Proof.* *Proof.* For  $P = P_1 \cup P_2 \in \mathcal{P}$  with  $P_1 \perp P_2$  we choose for every  $c \in C$  two elements  $F_c \in V_f(P_1)$  and  $G_c \in V_f(P_2)$  with  $c(F_c) = c$  and  $c(G_c) = -c$  and define  $W_c(1) := \pi_f(W(F_c))$  and  $W_{-c}(2) := \pi_f(W(G_c))$ . Hence, from the result in point v) of Lemma 3.7 and the decomposition of equation (3.12), any element  $A \in \mathbf{F}_0(P)$  is obtained as a weak-convergent net

$$A = w - \lim_{\Lambda \subset C} \Sigma_{c \in \Lambda} (\Sigma_j A_c^j(1) A_{-c}^j(2)) W_c(1) W_{-c}(2), \quad (3.13)$$

The finite subsets  $\Lambda \subset C$  is such that  $c \in \Lambda$  iff  $-c \in \Lambda$ . Choosing  $\Lambda \subset C$  closed under charge conjugation, i.e. under the transformation  $c \mapsto -c$ , allows weak convergence to the element  $A$ , always remaining in  $\mathbf{F}_0(P)$ . Here  $\Sigma_c \Sigma_j A_c^j(1) A_{-c}^j(2)$  is a weakly convergent series in  $\mathbf{F}_0(P)$  with  $A_c^j(i) \in \mathcal{A}(P_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ .

i) we have to extend the isomorphism  $\Psi_0$  in equation (3.8) from the algebra  $\mathbf{F}_0(P_1) \vee \mathbf{F}_0(P_2) \subset \mathbf{F}_0(P)$  to an isomorphism  $\Psi$  from all the algebra  $\mathbf{F}_0(P)$ . We do it using the decomposition in fixed charge linear spaces and the split property for the local observable net, extended to the net  $\mathbf{F}_{0\mathcal{P}}$ . From v) in Lemma 3.7, we can write the fixed charge linear spaces on the single  $P_1$  or  $P_2 \in \mathcal{P}$  as  $\mathbf{F}_c(P_1) = \mathbf{F}_0(P_1)W_c(1)$  and  $\mathbf{F}_{-c}(P_2) = \mathbf{F}_0(P_2)W_{-c}(2)$ .

Given  $T \in V_f$  with charge  $c(T) \neq 0$ , we recall the construction of a useful symplectic space isomorphism  $\psi_T$  that exponentiates to a Weyl and von Neumann algebras isomorphism  $\Psi_T$  called a *regularizing isomorphism* and defined in [7, Propositions 3.2 and 4.1], to which we refer for details. We define  $\psi_T(V_f(P)) = \psi_T(V_a(P)) \oplus L = \psi_T(V_a(P)) \oplus (C \oplus N)$  and obtain the algebras isomorphism  $\Psi_T$  applying the Weyl functor as in [7, Remark 3.3]. Hence, for every  $P \in \mathcal{P}$  it holds

$$\Psi_T(\mathcal{F}(P)) \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_a) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_L) \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi_T(\mathcal{A}(P)) = \mathcal{A}(P) \otimes I_L.$$

The split inclusion for the net  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{P}}$  is written as  $\mathcal{A}(P_1) \subset \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{A}(P_2)'$  where  $\mathcal{M}$  a type I factor. Because  $\mathcal{M}' \subset \mathcal{A}(P_1)'$  and  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{A}(\widetilde{P_1})$ , for  $P_1 \subset \widetilde{P_1}$  and

$\widetilde{P}_1 \perp P_2$ , and because the vector  $\Omega_a$  is cyclic and separating for the local algebras  $\mathcal{A}(P)$ , we may extend such an isomorphism  $\Psi_T$  to  $\mathcal{M}'$ : identifying the factor  $\mathcal{M}$  with  $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathbb{C}I_L$  and defining  $\Psi_T(\mathcal{M}') \cong \mathcal{M}'_a := \mathcal{M}' \otimes \mathbb{C}I_L \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_a) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_L)$ . This means that  $\mathcal{M}'_a$  is isomorphic, under  $\Psi_T$ , to the subalgebra of the elements in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_f)$  acting as the commutant of  $\mathcal{M}$  on  $\mathcal{H}_0$  and as the scalars on  $\mathcal{H}_c$ , for every  $0 \neq c \in C$ .

Being also  $\mathcal{A}(P_2) \cong \mathcal{A}(P_2) \otimes \mathbb{C}I_L \subset \mathcal{M}'_a$ , using the identification  $\mathcal{N} \cong I_a \otimes \mathcal{N} \cong \Psi_T(\mathcal{N})$ , we have the following inclusions

$$F_c(P_1) = F_0(P_1) W_c(1) = \mathcal{A}(P_1) \vee \mathcal{N} W_c(1) \subset \mathcal{M} \vee \mathcal{N} W_c(1), \quad \text{and} \quad (3.14)$$

$$F_{-c}(P_2) = F_0(P_2) W_{-c}(2) = \mathcal{A}(P_2) \vee \mathcal{N} W_{-c}(2) \subset \mathcal{M}'_a \vee \mathcal{N} W_{-c}(2) \quad (3.15)$$

respectively.

The last terms of the above inclusions become under the isomorphism  $\Psi_T$ ,

$$\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N} \Psi_T(W_c(1)) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{M}' \otimes \mathcal{N} \Psi_T(W_{-c}(2)). \quad (3.16)$$

We now generalize a quite simple argument that allows to show the quasi-split property from the split property of a net, see for example [36, Section 9]. Let hence  $E \in \mathcal{M}$  be a minimal projection such that  $E\Omega_f = \Omega_f$  and so that we have  $E = [\mathcal{M}'_a \Omega_f]$  and we may set  $E' = [\mathcal{M} \Omega_f] \in \mathcal{M}'_a$ . Observe that  $\mathcal{M} \vee \mathcal{N} W_c(1) \Omega_f = \mathcal{H}_c$  and  $\mathcal{M}'_a W_{-c}(2) \Omega_f = \mathcal{H}_{-c}$ ,  $\Omega_f$  being cyclic for  $\mathcal{M} \vee \mathcal{N}$  and  $\mathcal{M}' \vee \mathcal{N}$  and  $\Omega_a$  cyclic and separating for  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $\mathcal{H}_0 \cong \mathcal{H}_a$ .

We now check that the weakly closed linear spaces in equation (3.16) commute elementwise. If the net  $\mathcal{F}$  is Bosonic, from the tensor product form of equation (3.16), really the only thing to prove is that  $W_c(1)$  commutes with  $\mathcal{M}'_a$ . Choose for this  $T \in V_f(P_1)$  so that  $W_f(T) = W_c(1)$  and  $\Psi_T(W_c(1)) = W_a(1) \otimes W_L(c)$ , where  $W_a(1) \in \mathcal{A}(P_1)$ , that clearly commutes with  $M' \otimes \lambda I_L$ , for every  $M' \in \mathcal{M}'$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  because  $\mathcal{A}(P_1)' \supset \mathcal{M}'$ .<sup>7</sup>

Note now that the closed linear space spanned by the vectors of the form  $MN_1M'N_2W_c(1)W_{-c}(2)\Omega_f$  with  $M \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $M' \in \mathcal{M}'_a$  and  $N_1, N_2 \in \mathcal{N}$  contains  $\mathcal{M} \vee \mathcal{M}'_a \vee \mathcal{N} W_c(1)W_{-c}(2)\Omega_f = \mathcal{H}_0$  and deduce that the map of dense subspaces

$$MN_1W_c(1)\Omega_f \otimes M'N_2W_{-c}(2)\Omega_f \mapsto MN_1M'N_2W_c(1)W_{-c}(2)\Omega_f,$$

extends to an isomorphism identifying  $\mathcal{H}_c \otimes \mathcal{H}_{-c}$  with  $\mathcal{H}_0$ . The above results are sufficient to prove that

$$F_c(P_1) \subset \mathcal{M} \vee \mathcal{N} W_c(1) \cong \Sigma_{q \in C} \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_q, \mathcal{H}_{q+c}) \otimes I, \quad \text{and} \quad (3.17)$$

$$F_{-c}(P_2) \subset \mathcal{M}'_a \vee \mathcal{N} W_{-c}(2) \cong I \otimes \Sigma_{q \in C} \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_q, \mathcal{H}_{q-c}), \quad (3.18)$$

so that in any concrete representation of the net  $\mathcal{F}$  and because of the linearity of the spaces  $F_c(P_i)$ , we should say that point i) holds, summing up on finite subsets of  $C$ .

<sup>7</sup> If the net  $\mathcal{F}$  is inversion covariant and graded by  $N$ , it suffices to choose  $P_1 < P_2$  and  $W_f(T) = W_c(1) \in \mathcal{F}_i(P_1)$  and  $W_{-c}(2) \in \mathcal{F}_r(P_2)$ , see the following Proposition 3.9.

ii) Picking an element  $F \in V_f(P_2)$  such that  $c(F) = c$  and writing  $\pi_f(W(F)) \cong I \otimes \pi_f(W(F)) =: W_c$ , we have from i) that

$$F_c(P) = F_0(P)W_c \cong \Sigma_{q \in C} F_q(P_1) \otimes F_{-q}(P_2) (I \otimes W_c) = \Sigma_{q \in C} F_q(P_1) \otimes F_{c-q}(P_2).$$

□

Denoting by  $\Psi$  the isomorphism in point ii) of the above Lemma, we have

**Proposition 3.9** *Under the hypothesis of Lemma 3.8, we also have*

i) *for every  $0 \neq A \in \mathcal{F}(P) = \mathcal{F}(P_1) \vee \mathcal{F}(P_2)$  there exists a conditional expectation  $\Phi : \mathcal{F}(P) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(P_1)$  such that  $\Phi(A) \neq 0$ ; and*

ii) *the same result hold if  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$  is a  $N$ -graded local net on  $\mathcal{P}$ , substituting for  $P_1 < P_2$  and  $c \in C$ ,  $F_c(P_1)$  by  $F_{c,l}(P_1)$  and  $F_{-c}(P_2)$  by  $\Xi_{P_2}(F_{-c,l}(P_2)) = F_{-c,r}(P_2)$ , and where  $\Xi_{P_2}$  is the isomorphism defined in Lemma 2.8.*

*Proof.* i) from equation (3.5), any element  $A \in \mathcal{F}(P)$  can be written as  $A = w - \lim_{\Lambda \subset C} \Sigma_{c \in \Lambda} A_c$ . Here  $A_c$  is an element in  $F_c(P)$ , and for  $P = P_1 \cup P_2$  and  $P_1 \perp P_2$ , the isomorphism  $\Psi$  in ii) of the above Lemma 3.8, maps  $A_c$  as

$$A_c \mapsto \Psi(A_c) = w - \lim_{\Lambda \subset C} \Sigma_{q \in \Lambda} \Sigma_j A_q^{c,j}(1) W_q(1) \otimes A_{c-q}^{c,j}(2) W_{c-q}(2). \quad (3.19)$$

Hence, for the element  $A$ , decomposed with  $A_q^{c,j}(i) \in F_q(P_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , we have

$$A \mapsto \Psi(A) = w - \lim_{\Lambda \subset C} \Sigma_{c,q \in \Lambda} \Sigma_j A_q^{c,j}(1) W_q(1) \otimes A_{c-q}^{c,j}(2) W_{c-q}(2). \quad (3.20)$$

For any such an element  $A$  as in equation (3.20) and for any state  $\omega \in \mathcal{F}(P_2)_*$  the map  $\Phi : \mathcal{F}(P) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(P_1)$  defined by

$$\Phi(\Psi(A)) := w - \lim_{\Lambda \subset C} \Sigma_{c,q \in \Lambda} \Sigma_j A_q^{c,j}(1) W_q(1) \otimes \omega(A_{c-q}^{c,j}(2) W_{c-q}(2)) \quad (3.21)$$

is a normal conditional expectation on  $\mathcal{F}(P)$ , relative to the charge decomposition, that turns out *not* to be weakly continuous along  $C$ .

The definition of  $\Phi$  is consistent because the set of product states on the tensor product of the local algebras  $\mathcal{F}(P_1) \otimes \mathcal{F}(P_2)$  is total on the normal functional on any  $F_q(P_1) \otimes F_{c-q}(P_2)$ . Hence, as in the case of the Tomiyama slice map for spitting von Neumann algebras, it is always possible to choose the state  $\omega$  above, such that  $\Phi(A) \neq 0$  if  $A \neq 0$ , because of the assumed cyclicity of  $\Omega_f \in \mathcal{H}_f$  for any  $\mathcal{F}(P)$ .

We can easily verify this last claim on the dense set of the vector states and for a simple non vanishing element  $A \in \mathcal{F}(P)$  with only  $A_c(2) \neq 0$  for one  $c \in C$ . We means here that

$$A = w - \left( \lim_{\Lambda \subset C} \Sigma_{q \in \Lambda} \Sigma_j A_q^j(1) W_q(1) \right) \otimes \Sigma_i A_c^i(2) W_c(2).$$

Referring to notation in [7, Subsection 2.3], we can choose a vectors  $x \otimes |0\rangle + y \otimes |c\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_a \otimes \mathcal{H}_L$  with  $c \neq 0$  and call  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}_f$  the vector they give under the

isomorphism from  $\mathcal{H}_a \otimes \mathcal{H}_L$  to  $\mathcal{H}_f$  obtained from the regularization by  $W_c(2)$ . We can use now the vector state  $\omega_\xi$  of  $\xi$  to define a conditional expectation as in equation (3.21) and, evaluating it on the element  $A \cong \Psi(A)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi(\Psi(A)) &= w - \left( \lim_{\Lambda \subset C} \sum_{q \in \Lambda} \Sigma_j A_q^j(1) W_q(1) \right) \otimes \Sigma_i \omega_\xi(A_c^i(2) W_c(2)) \\
&= w - \left( \lim_{\Lambda \subset C} \sum_{q \in \Lambda} \Sigma_j A_q^j(1) W_q(1) \right) \otimes \Sigma_i (x, A_c^i(2)x) \langle 0|c \rangle + \\
&\quad + (x, A_c^i(2)y) \langle 0|2c \rangle + (y, A_c^i(2)x) \langle c|c \rangle + (y, A_c^i(2)y) \langle c|2c \rangle \\
&= w - \left( \lim_{\Lambda \subset C} \sum_{q \in \Lambda} \Sigma_j A_q^j(1) W_q(1) \right) \otimes \Sigma_i (y, A_c^i(2)x).
\end{aligned}$$

As  $x, y \in \mathcal{H}_a$  can be freely chosen the result follows.

ii) As seen in note 7, we can choose the regularizing element that define  $\Psi$ , such that the proof for the  $N$ -graded case is easily obtained using the graded commutativity of the local charged subspaces, seen in equation (3.11).  $\square$

From these preliminary results on the existence of conditional expectations for a net defined from Weyl algebras, we obtain the following consequences about the minor hypothesis b) and c) of the Proposition 3.4 and Corollary 3.5:

**Proposition 3.10** *Let  $P \mapsto \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}(P) =: \pi(\mathcal{W}(V(P)))''$  be a net of von Neumann algebras defined over the connected index set  $\mathcal{P}$ , from the Weyl algebra of the symplectic space  $(V_f, \sigma_f)$  that decomposes with  $\pi_f = \pi_a \otimes \pi_L$  in the sense recalled above. Then*

- i) *if the symplectic space is not degenerate and the net  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$  is a local net, then the conditions b) and c) of the Proposition 3.4 hold; and*
- ii) *if  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}} = \mathcal{F}_{s\mathcal{P}} \rtimes_{\alpha} V(K)$  is a  $K$ -local net, then for the subnets  $\mathcal{F}_{s\mathcal{P}}$  for  $s = l, r$  obtained from the non degenerate symplectic subspaces  $(V_s, \sigma_f) \subset (V_f, \sigma_f)$ , the conditions b) and c) of Corollary 3.5 hold.*

*Proof.* i) the first part of the cited condition b) holds because for a Weyl algebra net  $\mathcal{F}$  in a Fock regular representation  $\pi$ ,  $\mathcal{F}(\wedge_j V_j) = \wedge_j \mathcal{F}(V_j)$  for  $V_j$  any closed real subspaces of the symplectic space  $V$ , see for example [28]. If the net is given in a (non trivial) non-regular representation  $\pi_f$  with the stated properties, the same result holds because of the normality of the representation on the local (regular) part. The non-degeneracy (i.e., given  $v, v' \in V$ , if  $\sigma(v, v') = 0 \ \forall v' \in V$  then  $v = 0$ ) of the symplectic space assures that the intersection of the local algebras with disjoint supports trivialize. The existence of a conditional expectation required in the cited condition c), follows from i) in Proposition 3.9, choosing  $c \in \Sigma_2(\mathcal{P})$  such that  $|\partial_{01}c| \perp |\partial_{02}c|$ ,  $|\partial_{01}c| \perp |\partial_{12}c|$  and  $|\partial_{02}c| \perp |\partial_{12}c|$  where, for  $P_1 = (|\partial_{01}c| \cup |\partial_{02}c|) \perp |\partial_{12}c| = P_2$ , we choose the algebras  $\mathcal{F}_f(P_1) = \mathcal{F}_f(|\partial_{01}c|) \vee \mathcal{F}_f(|\partial_{02}c|)$  and  $\mathcal{F}_f(P_2) = \mathcal{F}_f(|\partial_{12}c|)$ .

ii) The proof is similar to point i). We use ii) of Proposition 3.9, choosing the disjoint supports such that  $|\partial_{01}c| < |\partial_{02}c| < |\partial_{12}c|$ . The algebras are hence defined, for  $P_1 = (|\partial_{01}c| \cup |\partial_{02}c|) < |\partial_{12}c| = P_2$ , by  $\mathcal{F}_{lf}(P_1) = \mathcal{F}_{lf}(|\partial_{01}c|) \vee \mathcal{F}_{lf}(|\partial_{02}c|)$  and  $\mathcal{F}_{rf}(P_2) = \Xi_{P_2}(\mathcal{F}_{lf}(P_2))$  so that  $\mathcal{F}_{lf}(P_1) \perp \mathcal{F}_{rf}(P_2)$ .  $\square$

## 4 Sectors for the Streater and Wilde model

To discuss the question of the completeness of the superselection theory of the Streater and Wilde model we use notation and results of the previous sections or those established in [7]. In this paper, we denote by  $\mathcal{S}$  the Schwartz space of real-valued rapidly decreasing test functions on  $\mathbb{R}$ ; by  $\partial\mathcal{S} := \{f \in \mathcal{S} : f = \partial g, g \in \mathcal{S}\}$  its subspace of functions with vanishing Fourier transforms at zero momentum, i.e. with vanishing integrals on  $\mathbb{R}$ ; and by  $\partial^{-1}\mathcal{S} := \{f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}) : \partial f \in \mathcal{S}\}$  the space of functions having derivatives in  $\mathcal{S}$ . By these functions spaces we defined in [7, Subsection 3.1] the time-zero data, for example  $F = f_0 \oplus f_1 \in \mathcal{S} \oplus \partial^{-1}\mathcal{S} =: V_f$ , and using the symplectic form  $\sigma_f(F, G) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (f_0 g_1 - f_1 g_0) dx$  we obtain the symplectic spaces of the model

$$\begin{aligned} V_a &:= \partial\mathcal{S} \oplus \mathcal{S} & \subset & & V_b &:= \partial\mathcal{S} \oplus \partial_0^{-1}\mathcal{S} & \subset & & V_c &:= \mathcal{S} \oplus \partial_0^{-1}\mathcal{S} \\ & \cap & & & \cap & & & & \cap & & (4.1) \\ V_q &:= \partial\mathcal{S} \oplus \partial_q^{-1}\mathcal{S} & \subset & & V_e &:= \partial\mathcal{S} \oplus \partial^{-1}\mathcal{S} & \subset & & V_f &:= \mathcal{S} \oplus \partial^{-1}\mathcal{S} \end{aligned}$$

The local structure of these spaces comes from the definition of the localization:

$$F = f_0 \oplus f_1 \in V_f(I) \quad \text{iff} \quad \text{loc } F := \text{supp } f_0 \cup \text{supp } \partial f_1 \subset I \in \mathcal{I}. \quad (4.2)$$

A full description of the known superselection sectors of the local net of observable algebras  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}$  has been given in terms of some auxiliary nets  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{I}}$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{I}}$ ,  $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{I}}$  and  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{I}}$  and of a larger (putative) field net  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{I}}$ . These nets of von Neumann algebras are obtained from the respective symplectic spaces in (4.1), in the standard way of equation (2.1) and on the index set  $\mathcal{I}$ . We only recall from [7, Subsections 3.1 and 3.2] that the quasi-free state that defines the Fock (vacuum) representation  $\pi_a$  of the algebras  $\mathcal{W}(V_a, s_a)$  is given, for  $F = f_0 \oplus f_1 \in V_a$  and  $\omega = |p|$ , the module of the energy-momentum, by

$$(\Omega_a, W_a(F)\Omega_a) = \omega_a(W_a(F)) := \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{4} \int (\omega^{-1}|\tilde{f}_0|^2 + \omega|\tilde{f}_1|^2) dp\right\},$$

and the non regular representation  $\pi_f$  of  $\mathcal{W}(V_f, \sigma_f)$  is obtained as the GNS representation associated to the non regular state

$$(\Omega_f, W_f(F)\Omega_f) = \omega(W_f(F)) := \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{4} q(F)\right\}, \quad F \in V_f,$$

where  $q(F)$  is a so called *generalized quadratic form*, defined by

$$q(F) = \begin{cases} \int (\omega^{-1}|\tilde{f}_0|^2 + \omega|\tilde{f}_1|^2) dp & \text{if } F = f_0 \oplus f_1 \in V_a, \\ +\infty & \text{if } F \notin V_a. \end{cases}$$

The following diagram presents the nets of the model with the actions of the gauge and charge groups, see [7, Subsection 4.4] for details

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
& & \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{Z}_b & & \mathcal{B} \rtimes \mathcal{U}(C) \\
& & \parallel & & \parallel \\
\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{Q}^{\mathcal{G}_q} & \subset & \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{G}_q} = \mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{G}_c} = \mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{G}} & \subset & \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{G}_q} \\
& \cap & & \cap & \\
& & \mathcal{Q} & \subset & \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{G}_c} & \subset & \mathcal{F} \\
& & \parallel & & \parallel & & \parallel \\
& & \mathcal{A} \rtimes \mathcal{U}(Q) & & \mathcal{B} \rtimes \mathcal{U}(Q) & & \mathcal{B} \rtimes \mathcal{U}(Q) \rtimes \mathcal{U}(C)
\end{array} \tag{4.3}$$

The net  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{I}}$  is the fixed point subnet of  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{I}}$  under the action of a global compact group of gauge symmetries  $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_c \times \mathcal{G}_q$ , isomorphic to the Bohr compactification of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , and whose dual additive group  $\widehat{\mathcal{G}} = C \oplus Q \cong \mathbb{R}^d \oplus \mathbb{R}^d$ , in the discrete topology, is the charge group of the sectors of  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}$ . The Abelian algebra  $\mathcal{Z}_b := \pi_f(\mathcal{W}(N))''$  is also the center of  $\mathcal{B}(I)$ , for every  $I \in \mathcal{I}$ . All the Hilbert representation spaces of the nets (i.e.  $\mathcal{H}_a, \mathcal{H}_b, \dots, \mathcal{H}_f$ ) but  $\mathcal{H}_a$  of  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}$ , are non-separable and carry a non-regular representation of the defining Weyl algebras.

#### 4.1 More properties for the Streater and Wilde model

In order to discuss the triviality of the net 1-cohomology along the lines previously indicated, we have to understand better the structural properties of all the nets on  $\mathcal{I}$  recalled in diagram (4.3), and their extensions on  $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{I} \cup \mathcal{I}_2$ . The definition of the nets on  $\mathcal{D}$  need some attention: in fact, as an example of great relevance, the net  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}}$  is given in the representation  $\pi_a$  by

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}} : D \mapsto \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}}(D) := \pi_a(\mathcal{W}(V_a(D), \sigma_a))'', \quad D \in \mathcal{D}, \tag{4.4}$$

but it is easy to show that for any proper double interval  $E \in \mathcal{D}$  we have

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}}^{\mathcal{I}}(E) := \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}(I_1) \vee \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}(I_2) \subsetneq \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}}(E), \tag{4.5}$$

where the algebras  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}}^{\mathcal{I}}(E)$  are defined by additivity as in equation (2.2). This simple result, is based on the following observation: given  $f^1 \in \mathcal{S}(I_1)$  and  $f^2 \in \mathcal{S}(I_2)$  such that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} f^1 dx = -\int_{\mathbb{R}} f^2 dx \neq 0$ , then  $f = f^1 + f^2 \in \partial\mathcal{S}(E)$ , i.e.  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} f dx = 0$ , but  $f^1 \notin \partial\mathcal{S}(I_1)$  and  $f^2 \notin \partial\mathcal{S}(I_2)$ .

As another example, and to fix notation, the net  $\mathcal{F}$  is easily shown to be inversion covariant and graded-local, with graded group  $N$ . In fact, the symplectic subspace net  $P \mapsto V_{f\mathcal{P}}(P)$ , has left subnet defined by

$$V_{f\mathcal{I}}(P) := \{F = f_0 \oplus f_1 \in V_f(P) : F_{+\infty} = \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} f_1(x) = 0\}, \tag{4.6}$$

with  $n$ -homogeneous left subspaces defined at  $-\infty$  by

$$V_{f\mathcal{I}}(P)_n := \{F = f_0 \oplus f_1 \in V_{f\mathcal{I}}(P) : F_{-\infty} = \lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} f_1(x) = n \in N\}. \tag{4.7}$$

Such subsets are linear subsets iff  $n = 0$  and moreover  $V_{fl}(P) = \cup_{n \in N} V_{fl}(P)_n$ ,  $V_{fl}(P)_n \cap V_{fl}(P)_m = \emptyset$  if  $n \neq m$  and  $V_{fl}(P)_n \cup V_{fl}(P)_m = V_{fl}(P)_{n+m}$ , where the last subspace is defined as in equation (4.7), for  $n+m \in N$ . This suffices to show that  $V_f$  is a symplectic spaces net graded by  $N$ . Changing  $+\infty$  by  $-\infty$ , we define the symplectic spaces net  $V_r$ , with  $N$ -grading at  $+\infty$ , and this two subnet generate  $V_f$  and are compatible with a  $N \times N$  grading, see Definition 2.4. Moreover,  $V_f$  results covariant with respect to the space inversion map  $S$ , see Definition 2.6, so it is an inversion covariant graded net of symplectic subspaces. From the symplectic spaces, the homogeneous left subset of the net  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{I}}$  are defined: for example, if  $V$  is a representation of the group  $N$ , we have

$$\mathcal{F}_l(I)_n := \pi_f(\mathcal{W}(V_{fl}(I)_n))'' = \pi_f(\mathcal{W}(V_{fl}(I)_0))''V(n), \quad (4.8)$$

Hence it holds  $\mathcal{F}_l(I) = \bigvee_{n \in N} \mathcal{F}_l(I)_n$  and, similarly,  $\mathcal{F}_r(I) = \bigvee_{n \in N} \mathcal{F}_r(I)_n$ . This two nets graded by  $N$ , generate  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{I}}$  as a compatible  $N \times N$  graded net, and because the representations  $V$  of  $K$  and  $U$  of the space inversion  $S$  commute,  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{I}}$  is an inversion covariant graded net, see Lemma 2.7.

Easily proved properties of the nets of symplectic spaces imply corresponding properties of nets of von Neumann algebras, listed below

**Proposition 4.1** *Referring to diagram (4.3), we have*

- i) *all the nets  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{I}}, \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{I}}, \mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{I}}, \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{I}}, \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{I}}$  are additive;*
- ii) *in their defining (vacuum) representation, the nets  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{I}}, \mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{I}}, \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{I}}$  are local and satisfy Haag duality; moreover the nets  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{I}}, \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{I}}$  are  $N$ -graded local and satisfy  $N$ -graded duality;*
- iii) *Reeh-Schlieder Property: for any  $I \in \mathcal{I}$ , the observable vacuum vector  $\Omega_a$  is cyclic and separating for any local algebra  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}(I)$ ,  $I \in \mathcal{I}$ ; the field vacuum vector  $\Omega_f$  is cyclic for any local algebra  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{I}}(I)$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_{l\mathcal{I}}(I)$  and  $\mathcal{F}_{r\mathcal{I}}(I)$ , and separating for the algebras  $\mathcal{F}_{l\mathcal{I}}(I)$  and  $\mathcal{F}_{r\mathcal{I}}(I)$ , but  $\mathcal{F}(I)$ .*

*Proof.* i) Additivity of these nets is generally given because they are derived from Weyl algebras, see [36, Section 7].

ii) Locality or  $N$ -graded locality easily result from calculation on the symplectic spaces, by the definition of the symplectic form  $\sigma_f$  above. The duality of the net  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}$  in its vacuum representation  $\pi_a$ , recalled in [7, Subsection 4.2], is well established in [23].

To show the duality of for other nets, we may use directly the result in [13, Proposition 6.2]: we have just to observe that for every couple of charges  $(c, q) \in \widehat{\mathcal{G}}$  and any arbitrary small non-void interval  $I$ , there exists an element  $F = f_0 \oplus f_1 \in V_f(I)$  such that  $\rho_F^I = \text{ad } \pi_f(W(F))$  furnishes a sector automorphism (or solitonic automorphism of the net  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{I}}$ ) carrying these charges. This has been established in [7, Proposition 4.5] and for a solitonic automorphism of  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{I}}$  the charge  $q$  has to be understood as the difference of the limit values of the function  $f_1$  at  $+\infty$  and  $-\infty$ .



The net  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}$  is an Haag dual net in its vacuum representation and also with respect in the representations  $\pi_a \circ \rho_F^I$  for any automorphism  $\rho_F^I$  because of [14, Lemma 2.2], so  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{I}}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{I}}$  are Haag dual by [13, Proposition 6.2]. Moreover, the nets  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{I}}$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{I}}$  and  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{I}}$ , are the fixed point nets of  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{I}}$  under the action of the compact group  $\mathcal{G}$ ,  $\mathcal{G}_q$  and  $\mathcal{G}_c$  respectively. Hence, because of the just cited result, we can use the Haar integral mean over these groups and, performing a little *diagram chase* in the diagram of equation (4.3), we obtain Haag ( $N$ -graded) duality for all nets on index set  $\mathcal{I}$ . As an example, consider  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{I}}^{\mathcal{G}_c} = \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{I}}$ . Here  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{I}} = \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}} \otimes \mathcal{Z}_b$  so that being  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}$  Haag dual so are  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{I}}$  and  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{I}}$ , because of [14, Lemma 2.2], the last net in the  $N$ -graded sense.

iii) The result for the observable net  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}$  is classical: passing to its corresponding 2-dimensional local net  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ , it follows from additivity and positivity of energy. For the nets with grading group, we adapt the classical argument for a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -grading. Consider for example the subnet  $\mathcal{F}_l := \mathcal{F}_{l\mathcal{I}}$ . For any  $I \in \mathcal{I}$  we have  $\mathcal{F}_l(I) \subset \mathcal{F}(I)$  and  $\mathcal{F}_l(I)$  contains fields of any charge  $(c, q) \in \hat{\mathcal{G}}$ . This suffices to have cyclicity of  $\Omega_f$  for  $\mathcal{F}_l(I)$  and  $\mathcal{F}_r(I)$ , and a fortiori for  $\mathcal{F}(I)$ . If we take now  $I, I_1 \in \mathcal{I}$  with  $I < I_1$ , we have  $\mathcal{F}_r(I_1) \subset \mathcal{F}_l(I)'$ . So the vector  $\Omega_f$ , being cyclic for  $\mathcal{F}_r(I_1)$ , is also separating for any  $\mathcal{F}_l(I)$ , and vice versa. Because the local algebras  $\mathcal{F}(I)$  equates  $\mathcal{F}_l(I) \vee \mathcal{Z}_b$ , where  $\mathcal{Z}_b$  fixes the vector  $\Omega_f$ , see [7, Subsection 4.4], so that for  $X \in \mathcal{Z}_b$  we have  $X\Omega_f = \Omega_f$  and  $\Omega_f$  is not separating for the net  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{I}}$ .  $\square$

The Reeh-Schlieder Property assures that for any  $I_1, I_2 \in \mathcal{I}$  such that  $\bar{I}_1 \subset I_2$ , the triple  $(\mathcal{F}_{s\mathcal{I}}(I_1), \mathcal{F}_{s\mathcal{I}}(I_2), \Omega_f)$  for  $s = l, r$  is a *standard  $W^*$ -inclusion* so that the *split property* and the *quasi-split property* for the nets  $\mathcal{F}_{s\mathcal{I}}$  are equivalent, see [16] for details. From this observation it follows

**Proposition 4.2** *The net  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$  and its subnets  $\mathcal{F}_{l\mathcal{P}}$  and  $\mathcal{F}_{r\mathcal{P}}$ , for any  $\mathcal{P} \subset \text{Open}(\mathbb{R})$ , do not satisfy neither the split property nor the quasi-split property.*

*Proof.* We know from [16, Proposition 1.6] that the split property for  $\mathcal{F}_{s\mathcal{I}}$ , for  $s = l, r$ , and the standardness for the inclusion  $\mathcal{F}_{s\mathcal{I}}(I_1) \subset \mathcal{F}_{s\mathcal{I}}(I_2)$ , for  $I_1, I_2$  as above, assured by Reeh-Schlieder property of  $\mathcal{F}_{s\mathcal{I}}$ , are sufficient conditions for the separability of the representation Hilbert space. The result hence follows from the non-regularity of the representation  $\pi_f$  of  $\mathcal{F}$ .  $\square$

Another easy consequence of diagram (4.3) for the structural properties of  $\mathcal{F}$  is the following:  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{I}}(I)$  being the crossed product of the type III<sub>1</sub> factor  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}(I)$  by the discrete group of charges  $\hat{\mathcal{G}}$ , is itself a factor of type III, and the weaker *Property B* of Borchers also holds for the net  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{I}}$ . Actually this result is also a consequence of the positivity of the energy, see [7] for details.

We discuss now the properties of the nets on the index set  $\mathcal{D}$ , by the

**Proposition 4.3** *It holds*

i) *the net  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}}$  is a non-additive extension of the net  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}$ . Moreover, it equals*

the dual of the additively extended canonical net  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}}^{\mathcal{I}d}$ , i.e.

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}}(D) = \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}}^{\mathcal{I}d}(D), \quad \text{for every } D \in \mathcal{D}. \quad (4.9)$$

This implies that the double interval duality, for the additively extended net  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}}^{\mathcal{I}}$  is not satisfied, i.e.

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}}^{\mathcal{I}} \subsetneq \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}}^{\mathcal{I}d} = \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}}; \quad (4.10)$$

- ii) the net  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{D}}$  equals the net  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{D}}^{\mathcal{I}}$  extended by additivity and satisfies  $N$ -graded locality on the index set  $\mathcal{D}$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{F}_l(D_1) \perp \mathcal{F}_r(D_2)$  for  $D_1, D_2 \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $D_1 < D_2$ ;
- iii) for the  $N$ -twisted algebras  $\mathcal{F}_l^g(I)$  with  $I \in \mathcal{I}$ , we have  $\mathcal{F}_l^g(I) = \mathcal{F}_l(I)'$ ;
- iv) the net  $\mathcal{F}$  satisfies  $N$ -graded duality on  $\mathcal{J}$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_{s\mathcal{J}}(J) = \mathcal{F}_{l\mathcal{J}}^{gd}(J)$ , for  $J \in \mathcal{J}$  and on  $\mathcal{D}$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_{l\mathcal{D}}(D) = \mathcal{F}_{l\mathcal{D}}^{gd}(D)$ , for  $D \in \mathcal{D}$ ;
- v) the results of i) hold for the nets  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{D}}$ ,  $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{D}}$  and  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{D}}$  and of ii), iii) and iv) for the net  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{D}}$ .

*Proof.* i) We just have to show the equality (4.9) for a generic double interval  $E = I_1 \cup I_2 \in \mathcal{I}_2$ . In the fixed notations and omitting to write the intersection with the quasi-local algebras  $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{I}}(\mathbb{R}) \equiv \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}}^{\mathcal{I}}(\mathbb{R})$  of the additive nets, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}}^{\mathcal{I}d}(E) &:= \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}}^{\mathcal{I}}(E^{\perp})' = (\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}(I_3) \vee \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}}^{\mathcal{I}}(I'))' = \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}(I_3)' \wedge \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}}^{\mathcal{I}}(I)' \\ &= \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}(I_3)' \wedge \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}(I) = \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}}^{\mathcal{I}}(I_3^{\perp}) \wedge \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}}^{\mathcal{I}}(I)' \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

where we used  $\mathcal{I}$ -duality (Haag duality) and the additivity of  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}$ . From these equalities and by a direct calculation on the generators of the algebras involved, i.e. on the elements in the local symplectic subspaces, we verify (4.9). The inclusion  $\subseteq$  is proved from the first equality in the second line of (4.11). The generators of  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}}^{\mathcal{I}d}(E)$  are given by functions  $G = g_0 \oplus g_1 \in V_a(I)$  such that

$$\sigma_a(F, G) = \int (f_0 g_1 - f_1 g_0) dx = 0, \quad \text{for } F = f_0 \oplus f_1 \in V_a(I_3),$$

i.e. such that  $\int f_0 g_1 dx = 0$  and  $\int f_1 g_0 dx = 0$ . These give  $g_0|_{I_3} = 0$  and  $g_1|_{I_3} = \text{const}$ , so that  $g_0 \in \partial\mathcal{S}(E)$  and  $g_1 \in \mathcal{S}(I) : g_1|_{I_3} = \text{const}$ . Such a set of functions contains  $V_a(E)$ , and the inclusion  $\subseteq$  of (4.9) hence holds by definition of  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}}(E)$ . The inclusion  $\supseteq$  of (4.9) follows from the second equality in the second line of (4.11).  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}}^{\mathcal{I}}(I_3^{\perp})$  being defined by additivity, the generators of  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}}^{\mathcal{I}d}(E)$  are obtained from elements  $G = g_0 \oplus g_1 \in V_a(I^3)$ , with  $I^3 \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $I^3 \subset I_3^{\perp}$ , such that

$$\sigma_a(F, G) = 0, \quad F = f_0 \oplus f_1 \in V_a(I^4) \quad \text{for every } I^4 \subset I', \quad I^4 \in \mathcal{I}.$$

Hence we have:  $g_0 = 0$  on  $I_3$  and on every  $I^4$  as above, i.e.  $g_0 \in \partial\mathcal{S}(E)$ ;  $g_1 = \text{const}$  on  $I'$ , vanishing on  $I_3$ . As  $g_1 \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $I'$  is not bounded, such

constants on  $I'$  have to vanish, so we have  $g_1 \in \mathcal{S}(E)$  and  $G \in V_a(E)$ .

ii) Obtained by simple a calculation on the Weyl generators of the algebras.

iii) The result may be be proved similarly to i). Because of the general result of Proposition 2.11, we just have to show that  $\mathcal{F}_l(I')' \subseteq \mathcal{F}_l^g(I)$ . The proof is based on a calculation using the Weyl generators of the algebras  $\mathcal{F}_l(I')'$ . Because of the additivity and duality on half-lines, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_l(I')' &= \left( \mathcal{F}_l(J_l) \vee \mathcal{F}_l(J_r) \right)' = \mathcal{F}_l(J_l)' \wedge \mathcal{F}_l(J_r)' \\ &= \mathcal{F}_r(J_{rr}) \wedge \mathcal{F}_l(J_r)' = \mathcal{F}_l(J_l)' \wedge \Xi_{J_r}(\mathcal{F}_l(J_l)) \end{aligned}$$

Now from the first equation of the last line we obtain that a Weyl generator of  $\mathcal{F}_l(I')'$  has symplectic element  $g_0 \oplus g_1 = G \in V_{fr}(I^0) = \mathcal{S}(I^0) \oplus \partial^{-1}\mathcal{S}_r(I^0)$  with  $I^0 \subset J_{rr}$  such that  $\sigma(G, F) = 0$  for every  $f_0 \oplus f_1 = F \in V_{fl}(J_r) = \mathcal{S}(J_r) \oplus \partial^{-1}\mathcal{S}_l(J_r)$ . From  $\sigma(G, F) = 0$  we have  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} g_0 f_1 dx = 0$  and  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} g_1 f_0 dx = 0$  that gives  $g_0 \upharpoonright_{J_r} = 0 = g_1 \upharpoonright_{J_r}$  respectively. Hence  $G \in V_{fr}(I)$ . Similarly, from the second equality of the last line we obtain  $G \in V_{fl}(I)$  so that  $G \in \xi_{J_r}(V_{fl}(I)) \cap V_{fr}(I)$  and the result follows from the equivalent of ii) the Definition 2.10 for to the symplectic spaces.

iv) Duality on  $\mathcal{J}$  is easily established observing that

$$V_{fl}(J_l)' := (\mathcal{S}(J_l) \oplus \partial^{-1}\mathcal{S}_l(J_l))' = \mathcal{S}(J_r) \oplus \partial^{-1}\mathcal{S}_r(J_r) =: V_{fr}(J_r), \quad J_l \in \mathcal{J}_l.$$

Duality on  $\mathcal{D}$  may also be proved similarly to i). Because of Proposition 2.11, we have just to prove that  $\mathcal{F}_l^{gd}(E) \subseteq \mathcal{F}_l(E)$ , for any  $E \in \mathcal{I}_2$ . In the standard notation, we may write

$$\mathcal{F}_l^{gd}(E) = (\mathcal{F}_l^{gd}(I_3) \vee \mathcal{F}_l^{gd}(I'))' = \mathcal{F}_l^{gd}(I_3)' \wedge \mathcal{F}_l^{gd}(I')', = \mathcal{F}_l(I_3) \wedge \mathcal{F}_l^{gd}(I')'$$

where the additivity of ii) and the result of iii) have been used. From the the last equality, we know that the generic symplectic element  $G = g_0 \oplus g_1 \in V_{fl}(I^3)$  with  $I^3 \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $I^3 \perp I_3$ , generates  $\mathcal{F}_l^{gd}(E)$  if  $\sigma(G, F) = 0$  for every  $F \in V_{fl}^g(I')$  with  $I' = J_l \cup J_r$ . We may hence write  $F = F^1 + F^2$  with  $F^1 = f_0^1 \oplus f_1^1 \in \xi_{J_{rr}}(V_{fl}(J_l))$  and  $F^2 = f_0^2 \oplus f_1^2 \in V_{fr}(J_r) = \mathcal{S}(J_r) \oplus \partial^{-1}\mathcal{S}_r(J_r)$ , so that  $\sigma(G, F) = \sigma(G, F^1 + F^2) = \sigma(G, F^1) + \sigma(G, F^2)$ . Hence we have to distinguish the cases:

- if  $I_3 < I^3 < J_r$ , i.e.  $I^3 \subseteq I_2$ , then  $\sigma(G, F) = \sigma(G, F^2) = 0$  that implies  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} g_0 f_1^2 dx = 0$  and  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} g_1 f_0^2 dx = 0$ , giving  $g_0 \in \mathcal{S}(I_2)$  and  $g_1 \in \partial^{-1}\mathcal{S}_l(I_2)$  respectively, i.e.  $G \in V_{fl}(I_2)$ ;
- if  $I_2 < I^3$ , i.e.  $I^3 \subset J_r$ , then it is easy to conclude that  $G = 0 \oplus 0$ ;
- if  $J_l < I^3 < I_3$ , i.e.  $I_3 \subseteq I_1$ , we observe that  $F^1 = \xi_{J_{rr}}(F^0)$  with  $F^0 \in V_{fl}(J_l)$  and that  $\sigma(G, F) = \sigma(G, F^1) = \sigma(G, \xi_{J_{rr}}(F^0)) = 0$  iff  $\sigma(\xi_{J_{rr}}(G), F^0) = 0$ . Then if  $\gamma$  is the Möbius transformation that implements  $\xi_{J_{rr}}$ , it is easy to see that  $\gamma(I_3) \subset J_{rr}$ , see Lemma 2.8 for details. Hence  $\sigma(\xi_{J_{rr}}(G), F^0) = 0$  implies that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \xi_{J_{rr}}(g_0) f_1^0 dx = 0$  and  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \xi_{J_{rr}}(g_1) f_0^0 dx = 0$ . These give  $g_0 \in \mathcal{S}(I_1)$  and  $g_1 \in \partial^{-1}\mathcal{S}_l(I_1)$  respectively, i.e.  $G \in V_{fl}(I_1)$ ; and

- if  $I^3 < I_1$ , i.e.  $I^3 \subset J_l$ , then  $\gamma(I_3) \subset J_l$  given  $G = 0 \oplus 0$  is obtained.

Thus  $G \in V_{fl}(I_1) \cup V_{fl}(I_2) = V_{fl}(E)$  yielding the result.

v) Follows from the previous results and the definitions of the symplectic spaces.  $\square$

The above results may provide insights for more general theories, or at least for Weyl models, so we make the following remarks:

- The non-triviality of the inclusion in equation (4.10), i.e. the absence of the duality on double intervals for the net  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}}^{\mathcal{I}}$ , implies that there are non-trivial DHR sectors for the net  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}$ , according to a classical argument: the larger algebras  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}}^{\mathcal{I}d}(E)$  contains non-trivial charge carrying observable operators, relative to localized sector endomorphisms supported on  $I_1$  and  $I_2$ , that are not present in  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}(I_1) \vee \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}(I_2) =: \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}}^{\mathcal{I}}(E)$ .
- Observe that the above argument directly justifies condition (3.1) as implying the triviality of superselection sectors. In fact, intersection of the algebras associated with the path  $p \in \Sigma_1(\mathcal{P})$  is as small as possible.
- The equality in equation (4.9) means that for given two sectors automorphisms of the net  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}$ , indicated by  $\rho_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$  and localized in the interval  $\mathcal{I}_i$ , the bilocal intertwining operator  $U \in (\rho_1, \rho_2)$ , i.e.  $U \in \{\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}(I'_1) \cap \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}(I'_2)\}'$  is actually contained in  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}}(I_1 \cup I_2) = \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}}(E)$ . This gives a characterization of a general condition on the sector intertwiner formulated in [14], that allows to predict that all the observable data defining the superselection sectors of the net  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}$  are just encoded in the nets  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}$  and  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}}$ .
- In other words, recalling the definitions in diagram (4.1), if  $V_b(E)|_{I_1}$  denotes the restriction of  $V_b(E)$  to the subspace of elements localized in the interval  $I_1$ , where for an element  $F = f_0 \oplus f_1$  the function  $f_1$  is prolonged continuously by constants out of  $I_1$ , we have  $V_b(E)|_{I_1} = V_f(I_1)$ . This implies that:  $\pi_f(\mathcal{W}_f(V_a(E)|_{I_1}))'' = \mathcal{F}(V_f(I_1)) =: \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{I}}(I_1)$ , i.e. the complete von Neumann algebras of fields localized in  $I_1$  is associated to  $V_b(E)|_{I_1}$ .
- We recall that the study of double interval duality for a net  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}}^{\mathcal{I}d}$  plays a prime role when investigating the superselection sectors of the net  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}$ . See also [18], and [25] for the study of low dimensional conformal, *completely rational models* using the subfactor theory of von Neumann algebras.
- Finally, observe that the implication of iv) of the above Proposition 4.3, i.e. duality for  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{I}}$  implies duality for  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{D}}$ , is a specific feature of the model and not a general result, see also [36, Section 30]. The existence of general conditions giving this implication is an interesting topic for further research.

We end this section commenting about the non-trivial centers, or relative commutants, of the quasi-local algebras of observables in the model at hand. We remember that this structural topic was discussed at the beginning of AQFT, and has been proposed again in a series of paper by Baumgaertel and Lledó, culminating in [2]. there are also some interesting mathematical counterparts, see for example Müger in [32] and Vasselli in [42]. We recall that the presence of such a center is also a feature of the general theory of constrains by Baumgaertel, Grunling and Lledó, see for example [21, 1] and [20], that also accounts for electromagnetic and QED models.

In the Streater and Wilde model, we refer to diagram (4.3) and to [7, Sections 3 and 4]. As  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{I}}(I) = \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}(I) \otimes \mathcal{Z}_b$  for any  $I \in \mathcal{I}$ , the relative commutant of the quasilocal algebra  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{I}}$  in all other quasilocal algebras but  $\mathcal{Q}$  is non-trivial and equals  $\mathcal{Z}_b$ , i.e.

$$\mathcal{A}^c := \mathcal{A}' \cap \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{A}' \cap \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}' \cap \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{A}' \cap \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{Z}_b. \quad (4.12)$$

Moreover, the center of  $\mathcal{A}$  is trivial, as  $\mathcal{A}$  is irreducible in  $\mathcal{H}_a$ , i.e.  $Z(\mathcal{A}) := \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{A}' = (\pi_a, \pi_a) = \mathbb{C}$  so, from the physical point of view, we are in the usual situation of DHR charge. The centers of  $\mathcal{Q}$ ,  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  are also trivial. On the other hand, the action of the group  $\mathcal{G}$  gives  $\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{G}} = \mathcal{B}$ , and  $\mathcal{B}$  is not irreducibly represented on  $\mathcal{H}_b \cong \mathcal{H}_a \otimes \mathcal{H}_L$ , since  $Z(\mathcal{B}) := \mathcal{B}' \cap \mathcal{B} = (\pi_b, \pi_b) = \mathcal{Z}_b$ . Similarly  $Z(\mathcal{E}) = \mathcal{Z}_b$ .

However observe that although the elements of  $\mathcal{Z}_b$  appearing fixed points for the action of the gauge group  $\mathcal{G}$ , it is not proper to think of them as local observables for various reasons:

- they are trivially represented in the vacuum representation space of the observables  $\mathcal{H}_a$ , where they are multiples of the identity;
- they have void localization region, according to the definition of localization in equation (4.2); and
- they do not satisfy the DHR superselection criterion, since for any  $F \in V_f(I)$  with charge  $F_c \in C$  and for a generator  $\pi_b(W(0, n))$  of  $\mathcal{Z}_b$ , we have

$$\rho_F^I(\pi_b(W(0, n))) = e^{-iF_c n} \pi_b(W(0, n)).$$

Actually the algebra  $\mathcal{Z}_b$  is the Abelian von Neumann algebra generated by the elements of the subgroup  $N \subset \mathcal{G}_c \subset \mathcal{G}$ , represented on the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_b \subset \mathcal{H}_f$ . We could have not contemplated these elements as part of the auxiliary nets  $\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E}$  or  $\mathcal{F}$ .<sup>8</sup>

However, a further meaning of  $\mathcal{Z}_b$  is presented in the following Remark 4.5.

---

<sup>8</sup>In fact, we could have considered from the beginning, instead of the six terms diagram of symplectic space in equation (3.4) of [7], giving rise to the diagram (4.3), the following four

## 4.2 Net 1–cohomology for the Streater and Wilde model

After these results, we turn back to the problem of the superselection theory of the model, carrying out the analysis in two steps:

- 1) show the (quasi-)triviality of the 1-cohomology for the  $N$ -graded net  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{D}}$ , where the index set  $\mathcal{D}$  is chosen to simplify the calculations for verifying the condition (3.1) in Corollary 3.5. Then, derive the same result for the net  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{I}}$ ; and
- 2) use the action of the gauge symmetry (sub-)group on  $\mathcal{F}_{s\mathcal{I}}$ , for  $s = l, r$ , to investigate the 1-cohomology of the fixed point subnets and the nature of their superselection sectors. In particular for the observable net  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}$ .

We begin by computing the 0-cohomology for all the nets of the model, defined in diagram (4.3), both on the index sets  $\mathcal{I}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$ , as stated in the following

**Lemma 4.4** *For index sets  $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{D}$  we have*

- i)  $Z^0(\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{P}}) = Z^0(\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{P}}) = Z^0(\mathcal{F}_{l\mathcal{P}}) = Z^0(\mathcal{F}_{r\mathcal{P}}) = \mathbb{C};$
- ii)  $Z^0(\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}}) = Z^0(\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{P}}) = Z^0(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{P}}) = Z^0(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}) = \mathcal{Z}_b.$

*Proof.* If  $\mathcal{P}$  is connected, it is a standard result of [35] that for a net  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$  we have  $Z^0(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}) = \cap_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(P)$ .

i) Locality and the triviality of the center give the result for  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{P}}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{P}}$ . For  $\mathcal{F}_{s\mathcal{D}}$ ,  $s = l, r$ , the result follows from the triviality of the intersection, for example  $\mathcal{F}_{l\mathcal{I}}(D_1) \cap \mathcal{F}_{l\mathcal{I}}(D_2) = \mathbb{C}$  if  $D_1 \perp D_2$ ,  $D_1, D_2 \in \mathcal{D}$ .

ii) Locality but equality  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}}) = \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{P}}) = \mathcal{Z}_b$  gives the results for  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}}$  and  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{P}}$ .  $N$ -graded locality and condition  $\mathcal{Z}_b \subset \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{P}}(D)$  for any  $D \in \mathcal{D}$ , give the result for  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{P}}$  and for  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$ .  $\square$

**Remark 4.5** *Along the lines of the Remark 3.6, observe that the item ii) in the above Lemma indicates a slight difference from the usual case of trivial 0-cohomology of a net  $\mathcal{N}$ , where the set of arrows from the trivial 1-cocycle  $\mathbf{1}$  to itself, i.e. for the 1-cocycle  $\mathbf{1}(b) = I$  for every  $b \in \Sigma_1(\mathcal{P})$ , coincides with  $Z^0(\mathcal{N}) = \mathbb{C}$ . For the net  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$  instead such a set of arrows is identified with  $\mathcal{Z}_b$ , giving a further cohomological meaning to the Abelian algebra  $\mathcal{Z}_b$ .*

We pass now to consider the 1–cohomology on the net  $\mathcal{F}$  of the Streater and Wilde model, stating the following

terms one

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V_a := \partial\mathcal{S} \oplus \mathcal{S} & \subset & \mathcal{S} \oplus \mathcal{S} \\ \cap & & \cap \end{array} \quad (4.13)$$

$$V_q := \partial\mathcal{S} \oplus \partial_q^{-1}\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{S} \oplus \partial_q^{-1}\mathcal{S}.$$

But in this way the role played by the Weyl elements associated to the subspace  $N$  would not be clarified at all.

**Proposition 4.6** *The net 1-cohomology  $Z^1(\mathcal{F}_{s\mathcal{P}})$  is quasitrivial, for both  $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{D}$  and for  $s = l, r$ . A fortiori,  $Z_t^1(\mathcal{F}_{s\mathcal{P}})$  is also quasitrivial. Moreover, the representation categories  $\text{Rep}^\perp \mathcal{F}_{s\mathcal{P}}$  have no nontrivial irreducible objects. The same hold for the net  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$ .*

*Proof.* Observe that for the net  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{D}}$  with grading group  $N$ , all the conditions of the Corollary 3.5 are satisfied. The condition (3.1) is fulfilled just because of the choice of the index set  $\mathcal{D}$ , by the net property. In fact it suffices to show that it is true for  $\partial_0 b, \partial_1 b \in \mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{D}$  because of the additivity on  $\mathcal{F}_{s\mathcal{D}}$ . This gives the triviality of  $Z^1(\mathcal{F}_{s\mathcal{D}})$ . The net  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{D}}$  is  $N$ -graded dual, so the quasitriviality of  $Z^1(\mathcal{F}_{s\mathcal{D}})$  is equivalent, up to direct sums, to that of  $\text{Rep}^\perp(\mathcal{F}_{s\mathcal{D}})$ , see the Roberts equivalence theorem [36, Theorem 26.2]. For this observe that  $\mathcal{D}$  is connected because  $\mathcal{I}$  is cofinal in  $\mathcal{D}$  and connected, Lemma 2.1.

The results on the index set  $\mathcal{I}$  holds because all the conditions of Roberts' result on the change of index set, see [36, Theorem 30.1], are fulfilled. In fact  $\mathcal{I}$  being cofinal in  $\mathcal{D}$ , the nets  $\mathcal{F}_{c\mathcal{I}}$  and  $\mathcal{F}_{c\mathcal{D}}$  generate the same quasilocal von Neumann algebras, the net  $\mathcal{F}_{c\mathcal{D}}$  is additive by iii) of Proposition 4.3 and  $\mathcal{I}$  generates  $\mathcal{D}$ .

The results for the net  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$  follow as it is a represented discrete crossed product of  $\mathcal{F}_{c\mathcal{P}}$  by the Abelian discrete group  $N$ .  $\square$

The second step enounced above is discussed in the following subsection.

### 4.3 The set of sectors of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}$ associated to $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{I}}$

Here we answer the question about the completeness problem for the DHR superselection sectors of the Streater and Wilde model. We show that the set of sectors described in Subsection 4.3 of [7] are *all* the DHR sectors of the model, so that the net  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{I}}$  may be called *the* field net of the observable net  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}$ .

For given a net of von Neumann algebras  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(P)$  with gauge automorphisms group  $G$ , we denote the by  $P \mapsto \widehat{G}(P)$  the net of the classes of the representations realized in Hilbert spaces with support  $I$  in  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(P)$ . Then, it holds the classification result [35, Theorem 4, Section 3.4.5]: if  $Z^0(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}})$  is trivial and  $Z^1(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}})$  is quasitrivial and if  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}^G$  is the net of fixed point of  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$  under the action of a group  $G$  of gauge automorphisms, then the unitary equivalence classes of  $Z^1(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}^G)$  are in 1-1 correspondence with  $Z^0(\widehat{G})$ .

For the net  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{I}}$ , its subnets and the group  $\mathcal{G}$  described in diagram (4.3), we have the following

**Proposition 4.7** *Let the net  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{I}}$  and the symmetry group  $\mathcal{G} := \mathcal{G}_c \times \mathcal{G}_q$  be defined as above. Then*

- i) *for  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{I}} = \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{I}}^{\mathcal{G}_q}$  the objects of  $Z_t^1(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{I}})$  are in 1-1 correspondence with those of  $Z^0(\widehat{\mathcal{G}}_q)$ . The net  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{I}}$  has no non-trivial DHR sectors but only  $N$ -solitonic sectors labeled by the charges  $q \in Q$ , given by  $N$ -solitonic automorphisms;*

- ii) for  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{I}} = \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{I}}^{\widehat{\mathcal{G}}_c}$  the objects of  $Z_t^1(\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{I}})$  are in 1-1 correspondence with those of  $Z^0(\widehat{\mathcal{G}}_c)$ . The net  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{I}}$  has only DHR sectors labeled by charges  $c \in C$ . The net  $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{I}}$  has the same sectors as the net  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{I}}$ ;
- iii) for  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{I}} = \mathcal{F}^{\widehat{\mathcal{G}}}$  the objects of  $Z_t^1(\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{I}})$  are in 1-1 correspondence with those of  $Z^0(\widehat{\mathcal{G}})$ . The net  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{I}}$  has only DHR sectors labeled by charges  $(c, q) \in \widehat{\mathcal{G}} \cong C \oplus Q$ , and the net  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}$  has the same sectors as the net  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{I}}$ .

*Proof.* Observe that any of the above listed subnet  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{I}}$  is ( $N$ -graded-) dual on  $\mathcal{I}$  in its defining representation, see Proposition 4.1. Hence  $Z_t^1(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{I}}^d) = Z_t^1(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{I}})$  and because of this,  $\text{Rep}^{\perp} \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{I}}$  and  $Z_t^1(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{I}})$  are equivalent, see [36, Theorem 30.1]. The superselection sectors is obtained as in the above recalled [35, Theorem 4, Section 3.4.5]. The results on the nature of the sector automorphisms are given in Proposition 4.5 of [7], using the fact that  $\mathcal{Z}_b$  is Abelian.  $\square$

We note that the obtained classification of  $\text{Rep}^{\perp} \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}$ , through a net cohomological computation as above, excludes the existence of sectors of infinite statistical dimension, because the result is acquired in terms of  $Z^0(\widehat{\mathcal{G}})$ , the net 0-cohomology of the charge group  $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}$ , i.e. of the dual of a compact gauge group. For this reason, the described representations are *all* the (finite statistical dimension) DHR representations of net  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}$  and, by the discussion in Subsection 4.2 of [7], all the positive energy, Möbius covariant DHR representations of the 1+1 dimensional net  $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{K}}$ , for  $\mathcal{K}$  the causal index set of the double cones.

Further consideration about the category  $\text{Rep}^{\perp} \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}$  are now in order.  $\mathcal{I}$  being a directed index set, we may describe  $\text{Rep}^{\perp} \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}$  by the equivalent tensor,  $W^*$ -category of the localized, transportable endomorphisms  $\mathcal{T}_t$  of the net  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}$  where the vacuum representation corresponds to the identity morphism, the tensor unit  $\iota$  of  $\mathcal{T}_t$ , and the set of the arrows between  $\rho, \tau \in \mathcal{T}$  is denoted by  $(\rho, \tau)$ . Moreover, as a general feature, because  $\mathcal{G}$  is Abelian, the irreducible elements in  $\mathcal{T}_t$  have statistical dimension 1, and any irreducible object in  $\mathcal{T}_t$  is the composition of two objects from the following two full subcategories, faithfully embedded in  $\mathcal{T}_t$

- $\mathcal{T}_{t,C}$ , whose objects are the automorphisms implemented by Weyl operators in  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{I}}$ , with zero  $Q$ -charge and the arrows are given by elements in  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{I}}$ ;
- $\mathcal{T}_{t,Q}$ , whose objects are automorphisms implemented by Weyl operators in  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{I}}$ , with zero  $C$ -charge and the arrows are given by elements in  $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{I}}$ .

Other properties of these categories are proposed in the following

**Proposition 4.8** *Let  $\rho$  and  $\tau$  be two objects in the tensor  $W^*$ -category  $\mathcal{T}_t$ , localized in  $I_{\rho}$  and  $I_{\tau}$  respectively, with  $I_{\rho}, I_{\tau} \in \mathcal{I}$  and  $I_{\rho} < I_{\tau}$ . Then*

- i) a statistical operator  $\varepsilon(\rho, \tau) \in (\rho\tau, \tau\rho)$  is defined such that

$$\varepsilon(\rho, \tau) = e^{-i(C_{\rho}Q_{\tau} + Q_{\rho}C_{\tau})} \pi_f(W_f(F_{\tau})W_f(F_{\rho})W_f(F_{\tau})^*W_f(F_{\rho})^*), \quad (4.14)$$



where  $\pi_f(W_f(F_\rho))$  and  $\pi_f(W_f(F_\tau))$  are the represented Weyl operator implementing the automorphisms  $\rho$  and  $\tau$  respectively, for  $F_\rho, F_\tau \in V_f$ , with any localization;

- ii) if  $\rho \cong \tau$  then  $\varepsilon(\rho, \tau) = e^{-2iC_\rho Q_\rho} \pi_f(W_f(F_\tau)W_f(F_\rho)W_f(F_\tau)^*W_f(F_\rho)^*)$ , where the Weyl operators are as in point i);
- iii)  $\mathcal{T}_t$  is a braided tensor  $W^*$ -category with  $(\iota, \iota)_{\mathcal{A}_t} = \mathbb{C}$ . The subcategories  $\mathcal{T}_{t,C}$  and  $\mathcal{T}_{t,Q}$  are symmetric tensor  $W^*$ -categories, i.e. if  $\rho, \tau \in \mathcal{T}_{t,C}$  or  $\rho, \tau \in \mathcal{T}_{t,Q}$  then the phase  $e^{-i(C_\rho Q_\tau + Q_\rho C_\tau)} = 1$ . A fortiori  $(\iota, \iota)_{\mathcal{A}_t} = \mathbb{C}$  for both of them.

*Proof.* Equality (4.14) follows from a routine calculation, see e.g. [19], as

$$\varepsilon(\rho, \tau) = \tau(U_1^*)U_2^*U_1\rho(U_2)$$

where  $U_1 \in (\rho, \tilde{\rho})$  and  $U_2 \in (\tau, \tilde{\tau})$  are unitary (Weyl) intertwiners. The equivalent auxiliary automorphisms  $\tilde{\rho}$  and  $\tilde{\tau}$  are also localized in  $I_\rho$  and  $I_\tau$  respectively, and implemented by the Weyl elements with symplectic coordinates  $F_{\tilde{\rho}} \in V_f(I_1)$  and  $F_{\tilde{\tau}} \in V_f(I_2)$  such that  $F_{\tilde{\rho}+\infty} = F_{\tilde{\tau}-\infty} = 0$ . In fact this choice may be freely done, up to the an element in  $N$ .  $\square$

It is to observe that we may obtain the results of the above Proposition using the chiral approach. This may also be done passing to the corresponding sectors and charges by D’Alambert formula, as see in Subsection 4.2 of [7].

The category  $\mathcal{T}_t$  hence turns out to be equivalent as a tensor  $W^*$ -category to the category of the representations of the Bohr compactification of the Abelian group  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , in symbols  $\text{Rep } b\mathbb{R}^2$ . However, as described in equation (4.14), the category  $\mathcal{T}_t$  is braided whereas  $\text{Rep } b\mathbb{R}^2$  is symmetric.

This occurrence is similar to the one in [24] where two different groups are shown to possess the same representation abstract tensor category. By the Doplicher-Roberts duality in [17], this means that this last category reveals at least two different symmetries on its objects, as a manifestation of the *isocategoricity problem* in a physical model. New results on the mathematical side of this topic, have recently been announced by Müger.

## 5 Conclusions and Outlook

To demonstrate the completeness of a known set of DHR superselection sectors of the observable net of the Streater and Wilde model, we improve a strong result of Roberts on the triviality of the 1-cohomology of a causal poset with values in a net of von Neumann algebras.

Namely, for a large class of Weyl algebra models, we exploited condition (3.1) as the main net 1-cohomology triviality condition, i.e. as a condition for trivial sectors, that may be more easily established by a proper choice of the causal poset. Moreover we showed that the absence of the rather technical (quasi-)split property for a (field) net, may be overcome in Weyl algebra models, once

it holds for a fixed point (observable) subnet under the action of a compact group, even in the unfavorable case of the non-separable Hilbert space of a non-regular representation for the larger (field) net.

The formalism of a net with a grading group has also been introduced to describe the commutation rules of algebras localized on disjoint elements of the index set and to generalize (Haag) duality on low dimensional spacetimes.

We expect that these hints from the Streater and Wilde case, may help to describe the superselection structure of models, at least in the presence of an underlying crossed product of Weyl algebras, see [7, Subsection 2.1]. Some first results in this direction have been carried out by the author for the Stückelberg-Kibble  $QED_2$  interacting model, in a non-regular representation, where the *charge* symplectic subspace and its symplectic complement are spaces of test functions, see [8]. In this case, non sharply localized sectors are expected.

In view of [22] and [4, 3], the analysis of models on different spacetimes may be of interest, both for the DHR or topological sectors.

The methods developed in this series of two papers, may also be useful in other (simple) currents (extension) cases, such as orbifold, coset, loop groups and vertex algebras models. Actually, the twisted Weyl algebras model we investigated, seems to be a symplectic space version of a more general theory of superselection sectors of models, based on Galois Theory and Group Extension.

**Acknowledgements.** I'm grateful to John Roberts for drawing my attention on the problem, deep discussions and his constant interest on the aim of this work. I also like to thank László Zsidó for hints about conditional expectations and Sebastiano Carpi and Giuseppe Ruzzi for many conversations about the argument of this paper.

## References

- [1] Baumgaertel, H., Grundling, H.: Superselection in the presence of constraints. J. Math. Phys. **46**, no. 8, 34 pp. (2005).
- [2] Baumgaertel, H., Lledó, F.: Duality of compact groups and Hilbert  $C^*$ -systems for  $C^*$ -algebras with a nontrivial center. Internat. J. Math. **15**, 759-812 (2004).
- [3] Brunetti, R., Franceschini, L., Moretti, V.: Topological features of massive bosons on two dimensional Einstein space-time. I: Spatial approach. arXiv:0812.0533v1
- [4] Brunetti, R., Ruzzi, G.: Quantum charges and spacetime topology: The emergence of new superselection sectors. Commun. Math. Phys. (DOI) 10.1007/s00220-008-0671-6. arXiv:0801.3365v2.
- [5] Buchholz, D., Mack, G., Todorov, I.: The current algebra on the circle as a germ of local field theories. Nucl. Phys. B. (Proc. Suppl.) **5B**, 20-56 (1988).
- [6] Buchholz, D., Doplicher, S., Longo, R., Roberts, J.E.: A new look at Goldstone's theorem. Rev. Math. Phys. **Special Issue**, 49-83 (1992).
- [7] Ciolli, F.: Massless scalar free Field in 1+1 dimensions I: Weyl algebras Extensions and Superselection Sectors. ArXiv math-ph/0511064.
- [8] Ciolli, F.: The Stückelberg-Kibble QED2 model in a net cohomology approach. (In preparation).

- [9] Conti, R., Carpi, S.: Classification of subsystems for graded-local nets with trivial superselection structure. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **253**, 423-449 (2005).
- [10] Conti, R., Doplicher, S., Roberts, J.E.: Superselection theory for Subsystems. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **218**, 263-281 (2001).
- [11] D'Antoni, C.: Technical properties of the quasi-local algebra. In: [27].
- [12] Doplicher, S., Haag, R., Roberts, J. E.: Fields, observables and gauge transformation I. *Commun. math. Phys.* **13**, 1-23 (1969).
- [13] Doplicher, S., Haag, R., Roberts, J. E.: Fields, observables and gauge transformation II. *Commun. math. Phys.* **15**, 173-200 (1969).
- [14] Doplicher, S., Haag, R., Roberts, J. E.: Local observables and particle statistics I. *Commun. math. Phys.* **23**, 199-230 (1971).
- [15] Doplicher, S. (Editor), Longo, R. (Editor): *Noncommutative Geometry*. Martina Franca, Italy 2000. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* **1831**. Springer-Verlag, 2004.
- [16] Doplicher, S., Longo, R.: Standard and split inclusions of von Neumann algebras, *Invent. Math.* **73**, 493-536 (1984).
- [17] Doplicher, S., Roberts, J.E.: A new duality theory for compact groups. *Invent. Math.* **98**, 157-218 (1989).
- [18] Driessler, W.: Duality and Absence of Locally Generated Superselection Sectors for CCR-Type Algebras. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **70**, 213-220 (1979).
- [19] Fredenhagen, K., Rehren, K.-H., Schroer, B.: Superselection sectors with braid group statistics and exchange algebras I. General theory. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **125**, 201-226 (1989).
- [20] Grundling, H.: Quantum constraints. *Rep. Math. Phys.* **57**, 97-120 (2006).
- [21] Grundling, H., Lledó, F.: Local quantum constraints. *Rev. Math. Phys.* **12**, 1159-1218 (2000).
- [22] Guido, D., Longo, R., Roberts, J. E., Verch, R.: Charged sectors, spin and statistics in quantum field theory on curved spacetimes. *Rev. Math. Phys.* **13**, 125-198 (2001).
- [23] Hislop, P. D., Longo, R.: Modular Structure of the Local Algebras Associated with the Free Massless Scalar Field Theory. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **84**, 71-85 (1982).
- [24] Izumi, M., Kosaki, H.: On a subfactor analogue of the second cohomology, *Rev. Math. Phys.* **14**, 733-757 (2002).
- [25] Kawahigashi, Y., Longo, R., Müger, M.: Multi-interval Subfactors and Modularity of Representations in Conformal Field Theory. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **219**, 631-669 (2001).
- [26] Kawahigashi, Y., Longo: Classification of Two-dimensional Local Conformal Nets with  $c < 1$  and 2-cohomology Vanishing for Tensor Categories. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **244**, 63-97 (2004).
- [27] Kastler, D. (ed.): *The algebraic theory of superselection sectors: introduction and recent results*. World Scientific, 1990.
- [28] Leyland, P., Roberts, J.E., Testard, D.: Duality for Quantum Free Fields. Preprint 78/P.1016, C.N.R.S. Marseille 1978.
- [29] Longo, R. (ed.): *Mathematical Physics in Mathematics and Physics. Quantum and Operator Algebraic Aspects*. Fields Institute Communications, Volume **20**. American Mathematical Society, 2001.
- [30] Longo, R., Xu, F.: Topological sectors and a dichotomy in conformal field theory. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **251** (2004), 321-364 .
- [31] Müger, M.: Conformal Field Theory and Doplicher-Roberts Reconstruction. In: [29].
- [32] Müger, M.: On the center of a compact group. *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **51**, 2751-2756 (2004).

- [33] Roberts, J. E.: Local cohomology and superselection rules. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **51**, 107-119 (1976).
- [34] Roberts, J. E.: *Mathematical Aspects of Local Cohomology*. Proceedings of the Colloquium on Operator Algebras and their Applications to Mathematical Physics, 321-332, CNRS, Marseille, 1977.
- [35] Roberts, J.E.: Lectures on algebraic quantum field theory. In: [27].
- [36] Roberts, J.E.: More lecture on Algebraic Quantum Field Theory. In [15].
- [37] Roberts, J. E., Ruzzi, G.: A cohomological description of connections and curvature over posets. *Theory Appl. Categ.* **16**, 855-895 (2006).
- [38] Ruzzi, G.: Homotopy of posets, net-cohomology and superselection sectors in globally hyperbolic space-times. *Rev. Math. Phys.* **17**, 1021-1070 (2005).
- [39] Sauvageot, J. L.: Produits tensoriels de  $\mathcal{Z}$ -modules. *Publ. Univ. P & M. Curie* **23**, 468-485 (1980).
- [40] Streater, R. F., Wilde, I. F.: Fermion states of a Boson field. *Nucl. Phys.* **B24**, 561-575 (1970).
- [41] Stratila, S.: *Modular theory in operator algebras*. Abacus Press, 1981.
- [42] Vasselli, E.: Some remarks on group bundles and  $C^*$ -dynamical systems. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **274**, 253-276 (2007).