

Massless scalar free Field in 1+1 dimensions II: Net Cohomology and Completeness of Superselection Sectors

Fabio Ciolli

Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Roma “Tor Vergata”
Via della Ricerca Scientifica I-00133, Roma, Italy
ciolli@mat.uniroma2.it

June 26, 2019

Abstract

As an application of Roberts’ net cohomology theory, we positively answer about the completeness of the known set of DHR sectors of the observables of the model in the title, detailed in [7]. This result is achieved via the triviality of the 1-cohomology of the underlying causal index poset valued in the fields net, enhancing this tool for the case of a Weyl net not satisfying the split property and with anyonic commutation rules. We also take the advantages of choosing different causal index posets for the nets involved, and obtain the description of the twisted and untwisted sectors of the model as two symmetric subcategories of a W^* -braided category, whose objects set equals the one of the dual category of the compact Abelian group of the gauge symmetry. That by, we furnish guidelines for the sectors structure analysis of generic models, on different spacetimes.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Properties of Nets on Causal Index sets	3
2.1	Nets on index sets	4
2.2	Index sets for the real line	8
2.3	Nets with a grading group	9
2.4	Graded locality and graded duality	15
3	Net cohomology and Superselection Sectors	17
3.1	Computing net cohomologies	19
3.2	Net cohomology results for a net of Weyl algebras	22

4	Sectors for the Streater and Wilde model	30
4.1	More properties for the Streater and Wilde model	31
4.2	Net 1–cohomology for the Streater and Wilde model	37
4.3	The set of sectors of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}$ associated to $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{I}}$	38
5	Conclusions and Outlook	41

1 Introduction

The purpose of this paper is twofold: complete the superselection sectors analysis of the massless scalar free field in $1 + 1$ dimensions begun in [7], and give a concrete example of Roberts’ net cohomology at work on a low dimensional spacetime.

The physical motivation for studying this conformal model can be traced back to the original paper of Streater and Wilde [40] and to the solution of its simpler counterpart in $1 + 3$ dimensions given in [6].

The results obtained in [7] shown that the model fits the main lines of the celebrated higher dimensional DHR paradigm [12, 13]. In fact, from a twisted crossed product underlying the Weyl algebras, we proved that given the observables net of the model $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}$ on the index set \mathcal{I} of the bounded open intervals of the time zero real line, in a regular vacuum representation on a separable (physical) Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_a , there exist a (putative) fields net $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{I}}$ and a compact Abelian group \mathcal{G} such that $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}} = \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{I}}^{\mathcal{G}} \upharpoonright \mathcal{H}_a$, the global gauge symmetry, being isomorphic to the Bohr compactification of the additive group \mathbb{R}^2 .

The non-regular representation of the fields net turns out to be given on a (unphysical) non-separable Hilbert space that carries two families of uncountably many charged sectors of the observables, well distinguished, in the time zero formulation, as twisted (those of solitonic origin) and untwisted.

The above results also focus the relations between the model and the more recent achievements of the Conformal Algebraic Quantum Field Theory, both for the rational (i.e. finite number of sectors) and irrational (infinite sectors) case, see for example the papers of Kawahigashi, Longo, Müger and Xu [25, 31, 26, 30].

The present article addresses the question of the completeness of DHR sectors of the observables net, that is if they are *all* implemented by the Weyl operators in $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{I}}$. A positive answer is given in terms of the triviality of $Z^1(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{I}})$, the category of the 1-cohomology of \mathcal{I} with values in the net $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{I}}$. The proof bases on a major outcome of the Roberts’ net cohomology: premising that the category of the DHR representations of the net $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}$ is equivalent to (a full subcategory of) $Z^1(\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}})$, then, roughly speaking, if $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}} = \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{I}}^{\mathcal{G}}$ and $Z^1(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{I}})$ is trivial, no nontrivial sectors exist for the net $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}$ but the ones carried by the net $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{I}}$, see [35, 36] for details. This cohomological procedure, albeit with model specific peculiarities, is a well established tool in the theory of DHR sectors; for example in [10, 9] it turns out to be of central relevance for the description of the superselection of subsystems.

It is useful to recall that the net cohomology dates back to the pioneering paper by Roberts [33]. From the early beginnings as in recent advances (see for example Brunetti, Roberts and Ruzzi in [36, 38, 37, 4] and the announced [3]) it responds to the challenge of extending the operator algebraic approach to superselection rules in different physical circumstances: curved spacetimes with nontrivial topologies; topological or electromagnetic charges.

The main results and other byproducts of this paper are presented as follow. In Section 2 we review the abstract definition and the properties of a net on a causal index set. In particular, we focus on the problem of extending a net to a larger index set. Then, to deal with the anyonic local commutativity rules of nets on the $1 + 1$ -dimensional spacetime, we generalize the standard notion of \mathbb{Z}_2 -twisted net and the properties of twisted locality and (Haag) twisted duality: we introduce the notion of a net with a grading group K and the related notions of graded locality and graded duality. The group K is defined by the given net and the spatial inversion map.

In Section 3 we approach the question of the triviality of net 1-cohomology. We start from the standard method established by Roberts, that requires:

- 1) the (quasi-)split property for the net, ensuring the independence of the algebras of spacelike separated regions and the existence of a useful conditional expectation; and
- 2) a proper cohomological condition, asking that the intersection of the algebras of all paths of regions having the same two end regions equals the algebra generated by the two end regions, see Subsection 2.1 for details.

As a first step we generalize the triviality result for a net (bosonic, \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded or with K -grading) when the (quasi-)split property is missing but the conditional expectation exists; then, for nets defined from a Weyl algebras even if non-separably represented, we show the existence of such a conditional expectation without the help of the Tomiyama slice map of the split case.

In the first part of Section 4 we discuss the structural properties of the nets of the model, both for the index set \mathcal{I} and for its enlargement $\mathcal{D} := \mathcal{I} \cup \mathcal{I}_2$, where \mathcal{I}_2 is the set of couples of open bounded intervals with disjoint closures. We obtain the strong condition that all the superselection data of the observables are just encoded in the nets \mathcal{A} on \mathcal{I} and \mathcal{D} . For the net \mathcal{F} , we easily show \mathbb{R} -graded locality and \mathbb{R} -graded duality on \mathcal{I} and \mathcal{D} , and the cited cohomological condition on \mathcal{D} . Finally, we show the triviality of $Z^1(\mathcal{F})$ on \mathcal{D} and, by Roberts' general theorems about the change of 1-cohomology when the enlarging or restricting the index set (see [36]), also on \mathcal{I} .

We may hence answer the completeness question and describe the category of the sectors of \mathcal{A} as a braided tensor W^* -category, equivalent as a tensor category (i.e. disregarding the symmetry) to the dual of the gauge group \mathcal{G} .

2 Properties of Nets on Causal Index sets

In this section we review the standard definition of nets in Algebraic Quantum Field Theory (AQFT), discussing in detail their main properties and their

general dependence on their index set.

In particular, we focus on the procedure to obtain nets of von Neumann algebras from nets of symplectic spaces, in order to grasp the relevant features for the discussion of superselection sector theory of the concrete models.

Then we specialize to 1+1 dimensional Minkowski spacetime and to the formulation of a field theory on the Cauchy surface of its time zero real line.

2.1 Nets on index sets

We recall some basic notions associated to partially ordered set $\mathcal{P} := (\mathcal{P}, \subset)$, a poset for short, used to formulate a cohomological and a net theory on \mathcal{P} .

For given a poset \mathcal{P} , its *simplicial set* $\Sigma_*(\mathcal{P})$ is defined by the collection of all the sets of *standard n -simplices* $\Sigma_n(\mathcal{P})$ on \mathcal{P} . Recall that the *standard n -simplex* is defined by

$$\Delta_n := \{(\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : \lambda_0 + \dots + \lambda_n = 1, \lambda_i \in [0, 1]\}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The standard n -simplex Δ_n itself is a poset respect to the inclusion map of subsimplices and the set of regular n -simplices $\Sigma_n(\mathcal{P})$ of \mathcal{P} is formed by the inclusion preserving maps $f : \Delta_n \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$.

To be explicit, $\Sigma_0(\mathcal{P}) := \{a \in \mathcal{P}\}$, i.e. any 0-simplex is just an element in \mathcal{P} ; $\Sigma_1(\mathcal{P}) := \{b := (|b|, \partial_0 b, \partial_1 b) \in \mathcal{P} \times \Sigma_0 \times \Sigma_0 : \partial_0 b, \partial_1 b \subset |b|\}$, a 1-simplex b is thus a triple of element in \mathcal{P} , where $|b| \in \mathcal{P}$ is called the *support* of b .

Recall that a poset \mathcal{P} is *directed* if for given $P_1, P_2 \in \mathcal{P}$ there exists a $P \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $P_1, P_2 \subset P$. *Connectedness* of \mathcal{P} means, for given $P_0, P_1 \in \Sigma_0(\mathcal{P})$, the existence of a path of elements $b_0, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n$ in $\Sigma_1(\mathcal{P})$ such that $\partial_1 b_0 = P_0$, $\partial_0 b_n = P_1$ and $\partial_1 b_i = \partial_0 b_{i-1}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. A directed poset \mathcal{P} is a trivial example of a connected poset.

For two given posets $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{P}_1$, the set \mathcal{P} is said to be *cofinal* in \mathcal{P}_1 if for every $P_1 \in \mathcal{P}_1$ there exists a $P \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $P_1 \subset P$. The following result can be proved easily

Lemma 2.1 *Let $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{P}_1$ an inclusion of posets. If \mathcal{P} is directed and cofinal in \mathcal{P}_1 then \mathcal{P}_1 is directed and connected.*

The poset \mathcal{P}_1 is said to be *generated* by the poset $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{P}_1$ if for every $P_1 \in \mathcal{P}_1$ there exist $P_i \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $P_1 = \bigvee_i P_i$, with \bigvee the supremum on the index set \mathcal{P}_1 .

If \mathcal{P} is a base of neighborhoods for a topological space M , by definition it generates $\text{Open}(M)$, the set of non-void, open subsets of M ordered by inclusion.

A poset with *disjointness relation* is a triple $(\mathcal{P}, \subset, \perp)$ where (\mathcal{P}, \subset) is a partially poset and \perp is a binary relation satisfying for $P_1, P_2, P_3 \in \mathcal{P}$ the following relations:

- $P_1 \perp P_2 \Rightarrow P_2 \perp P_1$, symmetry;
- $P_1 \subset P_2$ and $P_2 \perp P_3 \Rightarrow P_1 \perp P_3$, transitivity;

- given $P_1 \in \mathcal{P}$ there exists $P_2 \in \mathcal{P}$ s.t. $P_1 \perp P_2$, existence of a disjoint element.

We briefly denote such a triple by \mathcal{P} and call it a *causal index set* as the disjointness relation \perp assumes a causal interpretation.

A *net* \mathbf{N} on index set \mathcal{P} is defined by an inclusion preserving, i.e. isotonic, map

$$\text{a) } \quad \mathbf{N} : P \longmapsto \mathbf{N}(P), \quad P \in \mathcal{P}.$$

If necessary, when different index sets are in use, we shall denote the net \mathbf{N} on index set \mathcal{P} by $\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$, and \mathcal{P} is referred to as *the index set* of the net $\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$. Moreover, an index set is always assumed to be directed, if not otherwise stated. The image of a net \mathbf{N} is in a generic category, also furnished with inclusion order and (naturally defined) disjointness relation. The reference examples are the following, where the arrows are the inclusion map of the objects sets:

- $(\text{Sub}(V), \subset, \perp_{\sigma_V})$ where (V, σ_V) is a symplectic space, and $\text{Sub}(V)$ is the set of its symplectic subspaces ordered by inclusion. \perp_{σ_V} is the disjointness relation on $\text{Sub}(V)$, defined by $V_1 \perp_{\sigma_V} V_2$ iff $\sigma_V(v_1, v_2) = 0$, for all $v_1 \in V_1, v_2 \in V_2$.
- $(\text{Sub}(\mathcal{W}(V, \sigma_V)), \subset, \perp_{\sigma_V})$ the functorially defined category of Weyl subalgebras of $\mathcal{W}(V, \sigma_V)$ from preceding example, where \perp_{σ_V} means commuting Weyl subalgebras.
- $(\text{Sub}(\mathcal{R}), \subset, \perp)$ for given a von Neumann algebra \mathcal{R} on the Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , the set of its von Neumann subalgebras, ordered by inclusion and disjointness defined by commutant, i.e. $\mathcal{M} \perp \mathcal{N}$ iff $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{N}'$, for $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} \in \text{Sub}(\mathcal{R})$. In particular we may take $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. An equivalent definition for a C^* -algebras and its subalgebras is possible.

To give classical examples of nets and fix the notation, we recall the standard construction of Weyl algebras models in AQFT. A linear space V of sufficiently regular test functions on the spacetime M (or one of its Cauchy surfaces) is given together with a symplectic form σ_V , referring to M and to the dual space of V , defines the disjoint relation \perp_{σ_V} for elements of $\text{Sub}(V)$. For fixed an index set $\mathcal{P} \subset \text{Open}(M)$ with the causal disjointness relation deriving from the metric of M , an opportune notion of *localization* of the elements of V on elements of an index set \mathcal{P} is given, also differently from the natural one of the support of the functions. From these ingredients, a net $V_{\mathcal{P}}$ with range category a subcategory of $(\text{Sub}(V), \subset, \perp_{\sigma_V})$ is hence defined by injectivity¹. The Weyl functor, recalled in [7, Subsection 2.1], preserves the net structure on \mathcal{P} , so that a net of Weyl algebras $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{P}} : P \longmapsto \mathcal{W}(V(P), \sigma_V)$ is functorially defined. Moreover, once a reference or defining representation π_n has been fixed, for

¹Note that the symplectic space net $V_{\mathcal{P}}$ itself can also be used as index set, with (causal) disjointness relation \perp_{σ_V} , see [36, Sec. 23].

instance the vacuum representation, there is a canonically associated net of von Neumann algebras

$$\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}} : P \longmapsto \mathcal{N}(P) := \pi_n(\mathcal{W}(V(P), \sigma))'', \quad P \in \mathcal{P}. \quad (2.1)$$

Further features about Weyl algebras nets, for example isomorphisms and twisted crossed products, are defined in Section 2 of [7].

We now list the basic properties of nets. The second piece of general structure associated to a net is the existence of the upper bound for the set of all elements in the image category:

- b) for $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \text{Open}(M)$ a directed index set, the existence of the *maximal element* $\mathbf{N}^{\mathcal{P}}(M) := \bigvee_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(P)$.

For a net of symplectic subspace $V_{\mathcal{P}}$, the symbol \bigvee has to be understood as the generated symplectic space and the maximal element is $(V^{\mathcal{P}}(M), \sigma_V) := \bigvee_{P \in \mathcal{P}} (V(P), \sigma_V) \subseteq (V, \sigma_V)$. For a net of von Neumann subalgebras $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$ in the representation π_n , with $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \text{Open}(M)$ the von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{N}^{\mathcal{P}}(M)$ equals the weak closure in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_n)$ of the *quasiloca* C^* -algebra \mathcal{N} of the net, indicated with the same symbol of the net as usual, i.e. it coincides with the commutant of the self-intertwiners of π_n . In symbols $\mathcal{R} = (\pi_n, \pi_n)' \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_n)$ and $\mathcal{N}^{\mathcal{P}}(M) = \mathcal{R}$.

By far the most relevant property of a net $\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$ is the property of disjointness preserving, called *locality* for physical reasons, and written as

- c) $\mathbf{N}(P_1) \perp \mathbf{N}(P_2)$, for $P_1, P_2 \in \mathcal{P}$ and $P_1 \perp P_2$.

For example, the net of von Neumann algebras $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$ defined in equation (2.1) turns out to be local if the symplectic subspace net $V_{\mathcal{P}}$ is.

The property of *additivity* for the net $\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$ is satisfied if for any element $P \in \mathcal{P}$ and any cover of it by P_i elements in \mathcal{P} , i.e. such that $\bigvee_i P_i = P \in \mathcal{P}$, we have

- d) $\mathbf{N}(P) = \bigvee_i \mathbf{N}(P_i)$.

Actually we are interested in *extensions of nets on larger index sets*. For given an index set \mathcal{P}_1 such that $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathcal{P}_1$ and with with compatible causal disjointness relation \perp , such an extension of a net $\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$ is a net $\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}_1}$ having the same range category, so that the following elementary restriction requirement is fulfilled

$$\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}_1}(P) = \mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(P), \quad P \in \mathcal{P}.$$

The extension mainly used is the one *by additivity*, defined as follow

$$\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}_1}^{\mathcal{P}} : P_1 \longmapsto \mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}_1}^{\mathcal{P}}(P_1) := \bigvee \{ \mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(P_i), P_i \subset P_1, P_i \in \mathcal{P} \}, \quad P_1 \in \mathcal{P}_1. \quad (2.2)$$

Here the superscript \mathcal{P} means *definition by additivity on the index set \mathcal{P}* . It is to observe, see [36, Section 7], that if \mathcal{P} generates \mathcal{P}_1 , the set \mathcal{P} is cofinal in \mathcal{P}_1 and the net $\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$ is additive, then $\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}_1}^{\mathcal{P}}$ is a canonical extension of the net

$\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$, in the sense that it turns out to be the minimal definable one and is the unique additive extension of $\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$ to a net on \mathcal{P}_1 , i.e. we have

$$\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}_1}^{\mathcal{P}}(P_1) = \bigvee_i \mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(P_i), \quad P_1 = \bigvee_i P_i, \quad P_i \in \mathcal{P}, \quad P_1 \in \mathcal{P}_1. \quad (2.3)$$

Moreover, if \mathcal{P} is cofinal in \mathcal{P}_1 then $\mathbf{N}^{\mathcal{P}}(M) = \mathbf{N}_1^{\mathcal{P}_1}(M)$ always holds for the maximal elements, if \mathbf{N}_1 is any extension of the net $\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$ to the index set \mathcal{P}_1 .

We introduce now a slight generalization of the well known Haag duality property for a von Neumann algebra net on \mathcal{P} . By the \mathcal{P} -dual net of a local net $\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$ we define the (possibly larger) net $\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}}^d$, on the same index set \mathcal{P} and the same image category, by

$$P \longmapsto \mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}}^d(P) := \bigcap \{ \mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(P_i)', P_i \perp P, P_i \in \mathcal{P} \} \cap \mathbf{N}^{\mathcal{P}}(M), \quad P \in \mathcal{P}. \quad (2.4)$$

Locality of the net $\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$ can also be expressed by the net relation as $\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}} \subset \mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}}^d$ so that the net $\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$ is said to satisfies \mathcal{P} -duality iff

e) $\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}} = \mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}}^d$.

Observe that for nets of von Neumann or Weyl algebras we give the definition of \mathcal{P} -duality in terms of commutants of algebras. A similar definition is also possible for nets of symplectic subspaces.

In general, given a local net $\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$, the dual net of the additively extended net is noted by $\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}_1}^{\mathcal{P}d}$. It is a (possibly non trivial) enlargement of $\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$, both in the sense that $\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$ sits as a subnet in $\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}_1}^{\mathcal{P}d} \upharpoonright \mathcal{P}$, if it satisfies locality, and that it is defined on a larger index set \mathcal{P}_1 . Explicitly, an object of $\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}_1}^{\mathcal{P}d}$ for $P_1 \in \mathcal{P}_1$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}_1}^{\mathcal{P}d}(P_1) &:= \bigcap \{ \mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}_1}^{\mathcal{P}}(P_i)', P_i \perp P_1, P_i \in \mathcal{P}_1 \} \cap (\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}_1}^{\mathcal{P}})^{P_1}(M) \\ &= \bigcap \{ \mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(P_j)', P_j \perp P_1, P_j \in \mathcal{P} \} \cap \mathbf{N}^{\mathcal{P}}(M). \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

Note that such an enlargement is not an extension, since the restriction requirement of an extension is not fulfilled in general.

A similar enlargement of the net $\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$ is given reversing the order in the above definition: the net $\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}_1}^{d\mathcal{P}}$ is called the additively extended net of the dual net $\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}}^d$. It is defined on the generic element, $P_1 \in \mathcal{P}_1$, by

$$\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}_1}^{d\mathcal{P}}(P_1) := \bigvee \{ \mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}}^d(P_i), P_i \subset P_1, P_i \in \mathcal{P} \}. \quad (2.6)$$

We have $\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}_1}^{d\mathcal{P}} \subseteq \mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}_1}^{\mathcal{P}d}$ and the dual net $\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}_1}^{\mathcal{P}d}$ turns out to be more interesting from the point of view of superselection theory. However, the following holds

Lemma 2.2 *If $\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$ is a local net on an index set \mathcal{P} and $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathcal{P}_1$ we have:*

i) *the extended dual and the dual extended nets coincide on \mathcal{P} with the dual net, i.e. $\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}_1}^{d\mathcal{P}} \upharpoonright \mathcal{P} = \mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}_1}^{\mathcal{P}d} \upharpoonright \mathcal{P} = \mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}}^d$;*

- ii) if the net $\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$ is \mathcal{P} -dual then the nets $\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}_1}^{d\mathcal{P}}$ and $\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}_1}^{\mathcal{P}}$ equal; if also the \mathcal{P}_1 -duality for net $\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}_1}^{\mathcal{P}}$ holds, the three above defined nets coincide, i.e.

$$\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}_1}^{\mathcal{P}} = \mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}_1}^{d\mathcal{P}} = \mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}_1}^{\mathcal{P}d}; \quad (2.7)$$

Proof. Trivial from the definitions. \square

Observe that it is not possible to derive in general the \mathcal{P}_1 -duality of the net $\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}_1}^{\mathcal{P}}$ from the \mathcal{P} -duality of the net $\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$, i.e the heritability of duality to an extended net, see also [36, Section 30]. We shall however obtain such a result for a specific model in Section 4.1.

Finally we recall two useful widely used notations

- for an element $P \in \mathcal{P}$, the *causally disjoint set* P^\perp is the sieve² of elements in \mathcal{P} disjoint from P , i.e.

$$P^\perp := \{P_1 \in \mathcal{P} : P_1 \perp P\} \subset \mathcal{P}; \quad (2.8)$$

- for a topological space M , and an element P in a causal index set $\mathcal{P} \subset \text{Open}(M)$, a subspace $P' \in \text{Open}(M)$ called *the causal complement of P* , is defined by

$$P' := \cup\{P_1 \in \mathcal{P} : P_1 \perp P\} = \cup\{P_i \in P^\perp\}. \quad (2.9)$$

Observe that in general P' is not an element of \mathcal{P} and that both P^\perp and P' may be used to rewrite in a simpler way the properties of nets listed above. As an example, if $\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$ is a net of (von Neumann) algebras over the index set \mathcal{P} in the spacetime M , then the duality property may be expressed in a shorthand form as

$$\mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(P) = \mathbf{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(P')' \cap \mathbf{N}^{\mathcal{P}}(M), \quad P \in \mathcal{P}. \quad (2.10)$$

2.2 Index sets for the real line

In this subsection we fix the notation and the main results about the index sets of the real line that will be used in the sequel. Let M be the 1+1-dimensional Minkowski spacetime and denote by \mathbb{R} its time zero axes (or similarly a chiral left/right line of the light cone). Let $\text{Open}(\mathbb{R})$ denotes the set of the open non-void subsets of \mathbb{R} , partially ordered under inclusion. The causal disjointness relation \perp of M restricted to \mathbb{R} coincides with the set theoretic disjointness relation, i.e. for $A, B \in \text{Open}(\mathbb{R})$ $A \perp B \iff A \cap B = \emptyset$. Moreover, for $P_1, P_2 \in \text{Open}(\mathbb{R})$, we write $P_1 < P_2$ iff $x < y$ for any $x \in P_1$ and $y \in P_2$; if $P_1, P_2 \in \text{Open}(M)$ then $P_1 < P_2$ means that P_1 is in the left causal spacelike complement of P_2 . In fact the relation $<$ is a disjointness relation on $\text{Open}(M)$, implying the relation \perp , that we call the *oriented* causal disjointness relation, derived from the usual orientation on \mathbb{R} .

²A *sieve* in \mathcal{P} is a subset of elements $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{P}$ such that if $P \in \mathcal{S}$ and $P_1 \subset P$ then $P_1 \in \mathcal{S}$.

To recall standard notation about subsets of $\text{Open}(\mathbb{R})$, we consider at first non-empty, bounded, *open intervals*, the generic one is denoted I . The causal complement of I is denoted by I' and is the union of two open connected components; we may write $I' = J_l \cup J_r$ where $J_l := \cup_{I_i < I} I_i$ and $J_r := \cup_{I < I_i} I_i$. We call them *the left* and *the right complement* of I respectively, and we have $J_l < J_r$. Observe that if we denote by $J_{ll} := \mathbb{R} \setminus \overline{J_r}$ and by $J_{rr} := \mathbb{R} \setminus \overline{J_l}$, then I equals $J_{ll} \cap J_{rr}$. In general, the elements of *the left* and *the right open halflines* in $\text{Open}(\mathbb{R})$ are introduced, usually denoted by J_l and J_r respectively and defined as above. Given two non-empty, bounded, open intervals I_1, I_2 with $I_1 \cap I_2 = \emptyset$, we call a non-empty bounded *double interval* the union $E := I_1 \cup I_2 \in \text{Open}(\mathbb{R})$ and usually suppose $I_1 < I_2$. It is hence useful to introduce the following notation for the above families of subsets of $\text{Open}(\mathbb{R})$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I} &:= \{\text{non-empty bounded open intervals of } \mathbb{R}\}, \\
\mathcal{I}_2 &:= \{\text{non-empty bounded open double-intervals of } \mathbb{R}\}, \\
\mathcal{D} &:= \mathcal{I} \cup \mathcal{I}_2, \\
\mathcal{J} &:= \mathcal{J}_l \cup \mathcal{J}_r = \{\text{left or right open half-lines of } \mathbb{R}\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

Furnished with the inclusion as partial order and with the causally disjointness relation inherited from $\text{Open}(M)$, all these sets may be used as index sets for nets, in the sense of Subsection 2.1. The set \mathcal{I} is a base of neighborhoods for the topology on the time zero line \mathbb{R} of open (non-empty) path connected elements, so that it generates the other three.

Also to fix notation, if $J_r \in \mathcal{J}$ is the generic right half line, we have $J_r^\perp := \{J \in \mathcal{J}_l : J \perp J_r\}$ and we put $J_r' = \bigcup_{J \in J_r^\perp} J = \mathbb{R} \setminus \overline{J_r} := J_l$. Vice versa $J_l' = J_r$. The causal complement of E is $E' = J_l \cup I_3 \cup J_r$, with $J_l < I_1 < I_3 < I_2 < J_r$, the subset $I_3 \in \mathcal{I}$ being the largest bounded open interval between I_1 and I_2 . Moreover $J_l \in \mathcal{J}_l$ and $J_r \in \mathcal{J}_r$, and we have $J_l \cup J_r = I'$ for $I := I_1 \cup I_3 \cup I_2 \in \mathcal{I}$. Hence the choice of any double interval gives a relevant five piece decomposition of \mathbb{R} , corresponding to the four piece one of the circle (discussed for example in [25]), the one-point compactification of \mathbb{R} .

Note that the elements in \mathcal{I} and \mathcal{J} are linearly path connected and the elements in \mathcal{I}_2 are not. Some properties of the above index sets as posets are summarized in the following easily proved result

Lemma 2.3 *The index sets \mathcal{I} , \mathcal{I}_2 and \mathcal{D} are directed and connected. The index set \mathcal{J} is neither directed nor connected: it is the union of the two directed and connected components \mathcal{J}_l and \mathcal{J}_r , the sets of the left and the right half-lines respectively. The set \mathcal{I} is cofinal in \mathcal{I}_2 and \mathcal{D} but not in \mathcal{J} ; the set \mathcal{I}_2 is cofinal in \mathcal{D} but not in \mathcal{J} .*

2.3 Nets with a grading group

In the following two sections we present a generalization of the locality and duality properties for nets defined on low dimensional spacetimes.

The Bose-Fermi alternative accounts for the normal commutation rules of a field net defined on a 1+3-dimensional spacetime and for field elements localized

on disjoint elements of \mathcal{P} . It is formalized in AQFT using \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded, non local nets of von Neumann algebras. In particular, it is possible to associate to any \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded net $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$, another net $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}^t$ called *the twisted net*.³ This procedure replaces the Bose-Fermi alternative and allows one to introduce, similarly to the local case, the notions of *twisted locality*

$$\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(P_1) \perp \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}^t(P_2), \quad \text{if } P_1, P_2 \in \mathcal{P} \text{ with } P_1 \perp P_2, \quad (2.12)$$

and of *twisted duality* for nets

$$\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(P) = \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}^{td}(P) := \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}^t(P')' \cap \mathcal{N}^{\mathcal{P}}(M), \quad \text{for } P \in \mathcal{P}. \quad (2.13)$$

We are interested in the generalization of these properties to a 1+1-dimensional spacetime and begin recalling that given a group K , a von Neumann algebra \mathcal{N} is said to be K -graded iff for any $k \in K$, a linear weakly closed subspace \mathcal{N}_k is defined and called the k -grade homogeneous subspace of \mathcal{N} , such that

- a) $\mathcal{N} = \bigvee_{k \in K} \mathcal{N}_k$;
- b) $\mathcal{N}_k \cap \mathcal{N}_h = 0$, $k \neq h$, $h, k \in K$;
- c) $\mathcal{N}_h \mathcal{N}_k \subseteq \mathcal{N}_{hk}$, $k, h \in K$.

Observe that an homogeneous subspace \mathcal{N}_k is a subalgebras of \mathcal{N} iff $k = e$, for e the identity element. We hence give the following general

Definition 2.4 *The net $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$ is said to be graded by a group K , or for short a K -graded net, if it holds*

- a) *for every $P \in \mathcal{P}$ the von Neumann algebras $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}(P)$ is K -graded; and*
- b) *for every $P, P_1 \in \mathcal{P}$ with $P \subseteq P_1$ the inclusion $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}(P) \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}(P_1)$ is grade preserving, i.e. denoting for any $k \in K$ by $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}(P)_k$ the k -homogeneous component of the algebras $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}(P)$, it holds $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}(P)_k \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}(P_1)_k$.*

If a net $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$ is generated by two distinguished K -graded subnets $\mathcal{F}_{2\mathcal{P}}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{1\mathcal{P}}$, i.e. $\mathcal{F}(P) = \mathcal{F}_1(P) \vee \mathcal{F}_2(P)$ for every $P \in \mathcal{P}$, and there exist a unitary representation V of the group K in $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$, such that it holds

$$\mathcal{F}_1(P)_k V(k^{-1}) = V(k^{-1}) \mathcal{F}_1(P)_k = \mathcal{F}_2(P)_{k^{-1}}, \quad P \in \mathcal{P}, k \in K, \quad (2.14)$$

we say that $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$ is a compatible $K \times K$ -graded net.

³Remember that the twisted net of a net $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$ is defined locally for any $P \in \mathcal{P}$ by $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}^t(P) := \{\alpha_V(F), F \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}(P)\}$ where α_V is a global Klein automorphism implemented by an operator V , for example $V = (I + ik)/\sqrt{2}$, where k is the operator giving a \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading of the Hilbert representation space of \mathcal{F} , in the sense that $k\pi(F) = \pi(\alpha_k(F))k$ for $F \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}(P)$ and α_k is the automorphism acting locally and giving the Bose-Fermi alternative, i.e. such that $\alpha_k(F) = \pm F_{\pm}$ being $F = F_+ + F_-$ the decomposition of any $F \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}(P)$ in its Bose and Fermi parts, see for example [35, Section 4.1.1] for details.

Observe that in the above definition, a $K \times K$ -grading of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$ is easily defined, for example by $\mathcal{F}(P)_{h,k} = \mathcal{F}_1(P)_h \vee \mathcal{F}_2(P)_k$, $(h, k) \in K \times K$.

Recalling that cross products are examples of graded algebras, useful graded-compatible nets are described in the following

Lemma 2.5 *Let $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$ a net generated by two distinguished subnets, denoted by $\mathcal{F}_{2\mathcal{P}}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{1\mathcal{P}}$, both graded by a group K and satisfying*

- a) *there exists a unitary representation V in $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$ of the group K implementing a \mathcal{P} -local automorphic action α of the group K on $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$ (i.e. $\alpha_k = \text{ad } V(k)$ and $\alpha_k(\mathcal{F}(P)) = \mathcal{F}(P)$, for any $k \in K$ and $P \in \mathcal{P}$), such that the net \mathcal{F} is the cross product of the subnets \mathcal{F}_1 and \mathcal{F}_2 by the action α of K , i.e.*

$$\mathcal{F}(P) = \mathcal{F}_1(P) \rtimes_{\alpha} V(K) = \mathcal{F}_2(P) \rtimes_{\alpha} V(K) \quad \text{for any } P \in \mathcal{P}; \text{ and}$$

- b) *for every $P \in \mathcal{P}$ and $s = 1, 2$ there exists an invertible element $Y_s \in \mathcal{F}_s(P)'$ and a faithful character χ of K such that $\alpha_k(Y_s) = \chi(k)Y_s$;*

then the net $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$ has a $K \times K$ -compatible grading, the action α of the group K on the net \mathcal{F} is grade preserving.

Proof. The two cross product hypothesis is no trivial, because the algebras $\mathcal{F}_1(P)$ and $\mathcal{F}_2(P)$ are in general only isomorphic; hence we may define the $K \times K$ -homogeneous subspaces of \mathcal{F} by

$$\mathcal{F}(P)_{h,k} := \mathcal{F}_1(P)_h V(k) = \mathcal{F}_2(P)_{h k^{-1}} V(hk), \quad \text{for } (h, k) \in K \times K, P \in \mathcal{P}.$$

Being $\mathcal{F}(P)_{k,e} = \mathcal{F}_1(P)_k V(e) = \mathcal{F}_1(P)_k$, a single K -grading of \mathcal{F} is given by the one of \mathcal{F}_1 . Moreover, the compatible condition (2.14) is easily verified, being $\mathcal{F}(P)_{k,k^{-1}} = \mathcal{F}_1(P)_k V(k^{-1}) = \mathcal{F}_2(P)_{k^{-1}} V(e) = \mathcal{F}_2(P)_{k^{-1}}$. It is possible to check the second grading of \mathcal{F} using the condition b). In fact, consider any $X \in \mathcal{F}_1(P)'$ and $k \in K$. Then $B \in \mathcal{F}(P)_{e,k} = \mathcal{F}_1(P)_e V(k)$ iff $BX = \alpha_k(X)B$; hence $B \in \mathcal{F}(P)_{e,e} \cap \mathcal{F}(P)_{e,k} = \mathcal{F}_1(P)_e \cap \mathcal{F}_1(P)V(k)$, for $k \neq 0$, if choosing $X = Y_1$, we have $BY_1 = \alpha_k(Y_1)B = \chi(k)Y_1B = \chi(k)BY_1$ and being Y_1 invertible the result follows. The action α of K conserves the grade because of the definition of the spaces $\mathcal{F}(P)_{h,k}$. \square

Observe that in the above Lemma, because of the cross product definition, for every $P \in \mathcal{P}$ the algebras $\mathcal{F}_1(P)$ and $\mathcal{F}_2(P)$ are isomorphic.

Consider now an index set \mathcal{P} on the 1+1-dimensional Minkowski spacetime or its time zero line \mathbb{R} as listed in (2.11). For every element P in such an index set, the sieve P^{\perp} may have more than one connected component. We observe that the number of connected components of P^{\perp} equals the ones of the graph of the relation \perp on \mathcal{P} with partial order inherited from the one of the Cartesian product $M \times M$ (see [36, Sec. 23] for details), defined by

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\perp} := \{(P_1, P_2) \in \mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{P} : P_1 \perp P_2\}. \quad (2.15)$$

If \mathcal{P} is a base of open, path connected elements for $\text{Open}(M)$ or $\text{Open}(\mathbb{R})$, for example the set of the open intervals \mathcal{I} , then the number of these connected components is two. We refer to them as the *left* and the *right* component, l and r in symbols, these being the components connected with left or right infinity respectively. In this case, it is possible to introduce the *oriented* version of the graph of the relation \perp on \mathcal{P} , i.e. the graph of the above defined oriented disjointness relation $<$, by

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}}^< := \{(P_1, P_2) \in \mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{P} : P_1 < P_2\}. \quad (2.16)$$

Here the two copies of \mathcal{P} in the Cartesian product represent the left and the right disjoint component respectively. If we denote by $S = S^{-1}$ the space inversion map on M , thus $S : (x_0, x_1) \mapsto (x_0, -x_1) \in M$, and the index set \mathcal{P} is closed under the action of S , i.e. $S(P) \in \mathcal{P}$ for every $P \in \mathcal{P}$, the action of S on $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}}^<$ realizes the *flip* of the two oriented components. In fact $S(P_2) < S(P_1)$ for every $P_1 < P_2$ in \mathcal{P} .

Concerning the index sets in the list (2.11), some considerations are however in order: $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{I}}^<$ and $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{D}}^<$ have just to connected components, the second one because \mathcal{I} is cofinal in \mathcal{D} . For the index set $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}_l \cup \mathcal{J}_r$, the connected component of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{J}}^{\perp}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{J}}^<$ is only one, but we may equally well define on \mathcal{J}_l and \mathcal{J}_r , that are connected to the left and the right infinity respectively, a left subnets $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{J}_l}$ and a right one, $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{J}_r}$.

Let now U be a unitary representation of S on \mathcal{H}_f , i.e. such that $U(S) = U(S)^*$, then we can give the following

Definition 2.6 *Let $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$ be a net generated by two distinguished subnets $\mathcal{F}_{l\mathcal{P}}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{r\mathcal{P}}$, indexed by the two connected component of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}}^<$ and compatible under the $K \times K$ -grading. If the representation U of the inversion map S acts on the graded subnets preserving the grade and covariantly, both on the localization regions and the connected components index, i.e. if it holds*

$$U(S) \mathcal{F}_r(P)_k U(S) = \mathcal{F}_l(SP)_k, \quad P \in \mathcal{P}, k \in K, \quad (2.17)$$

then we say that the net $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$ is an inversion covariant graded net.

The action of the space inversion map on a net with compatible $K \times K$ -grading is described by the following

Lemma 2.7 *Let $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$ be a compatible $K \times K$ -graded net, such that $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\perp}$ has two oriented connected components, indexing the graded subnets of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$. If the representation U of S and V of K commute, then $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$ is an inversion covariant graded net.*

Proof. From the compatibility condition (2.14) of \mathcal{F} , we have

$$\begin{aligned} U(S) \mathcal{F}_r(P)_k U(S) &= U(S) \mathcal{F}_l(P)_{k-1} V(k) U(S) = U(S) \mathcal{F}_l(P)_{k-1} U(S) V(k) \\ &= \mathcal{F}_r(SP)_{k-1} V(k) = \mathcal{F}_l(SP)_k \end{aligned}$$

and the result follows. \square

If $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$ is an inversion covariant graded net and the element $P \in \mathcal{P}$ is invariant under the action of S , i.e. $SP = P$, then for $\text{ad}U(S)$ it holds

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ad}U(S)\left(\mathcal{F}_r(P)\right) &= \text{ad}U(S)\left(\bigvee_{k \in K} \mathcal{F}_r(P)_k\right) = \bigvee_{k \in K} \text{ad}U(S)\left(\mathcal{F}_r(P)_k\right) \\ &= \bigvee_{k \in K} \mathcal{F}_l(P)_k = \mathcal{F}_l(P). \end{aligned}$$

In general, the following result holds

Lemma 2.8 *Let \mathcal{P} be an index set in the list (2.11) and $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$ an inversion covariant net with grading group K and with geometrical covariance group Γ containing the space inversion S and whose action on $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$ preserves the grading. If U denotes a unitary representation of Γ on the Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_f of the net $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$, then there exist a family of implemented isomorphisms $\{\Xi_P\}_{\mathcal{P}}$ such that*

$$\Xi_P(\mathcal{F}_r(P)) = \mathcal{F}_l(P), \quad \text{and} \quad \Xi_P(\mathcal{F}_l(P)) = \mathcal{F}_r(P), \quad P \in \mathcal{P}, \quad (2.18)$$

hence $\Xi_P = \Xi_P^{-1}$, i.e. it is an involution, for any $P \in \mathcal{P}$, and

- i) if Γ contains the translations, for any open interval $I \in \mathcal{I}$ there exists a translation $t \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\Xi_I = \text{ad}U(t)U(S)$;
- ii) if Γ is the conformal group, for any open double $E = I_1 \cup I_2 \in \mathcal{I}_2$ there exists a Möbius transformation g such that $\Xi_E = \text{ad}U(g)$;
- iii) if Γ is the conformal group, for every half line $J \in \mathcal{J}$ there exists a Möbius transformation g such that $\Xi_J = \text{ad}U(g)$; and
- iv) if $P_1 \subseteq P$ with P_1, P elements in \mathcal{I} , \mathcal{I}_2 or \mathcal{J} , then $\Xi_P(\mathcal{F}_l(P_1)) \neq \Xi_{P_1}(\mathcal{F}_l(P_1))$ in general, and $\Xi_P(\mathcal{F}_l(P_1)) \subseteq \Xi_P(\mathcal{F}_l(P)) = \mathcal{F}_r(P)$.

Proof. The proof is similar to the above case with $SP = P$. In general, Ξ_P is implemented by the space inversion S and an element g in the geometrical group such that $g(SP) = P$, in fact

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi_P\left(\mathcal{F}_r(P)\right) &= \Xi_P\left(\bigvee_{k \in K} \mathcal{F}_r(P)_k\right) = \text{ad}U(g)\left(\bigvee_{k \in K} \text{ad}U(S)\left(\mathcal{F}_r(P)_k\right)\right) \\ &= \bigvee_{k \in K} \text{ad}U(g)\left(\mathcal{F}_l(SP)_k\right) = \bigvee_{k \in K} \mathcal{F}_l(P)_k = \mathcal{F}_l(P). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly $\Xi_P(\mathcal{F}_l(P)) = \mathcal{F}_r(P)$ is obtained. We compute the proper elements of the geometrical group in the various cases for completeness.

- i) just observe that if $I = (\alpha, \beta)$ and $t = \alpha + \beta$ then $SI = I - t$ for $I - t := \{y = x - t \in \mathbb{R}, x \in I\}$. The required isomorphism is $\Xi_I = \text{ad}U(t)U(S) = \text{ad}U(g)$, for $g : x \mapsto -x + \alpha + \beta$.

ii) consider $I_1 < I_2$, $I_1 = (\alpha, \beta)$ and $I_2 = (\gamma, \delta)$. Then the element g that implements Ξ_E may be obtained as the product $g = g_1 g_2$, where g_2 is a combination of S and a translation, as in i), with $t = \alpha + \delta$ so that $g_2(\alpha) = \delta$ and $g_2(\delta) = \alpha$; g_1 is a Möbius transformation such that $g_1(\alpha) = \alpha$, $g_1(\delta) = \delta$, $g_1(g_2(\beta)) = g_1(-\beta + \alpha + \delta) = \gamma$ and $g_1(g_2(\gamma)) = g_1(-\gamma + \alpha + \delta) = \beta$. Such a $g_1 : x \mapsto \frac{ax+b}{x+c}$ (where $a = c + \alpha + \delta$, $b = -\alpha\delta$ and $c = \frac{\alpha\delta - \beta\gamma}{(\delta - \gamma) - (\beta - \alpha)} - (\alpha + \delta)$), is uniquely determined and it equals the identity of the group Γ if the lengths of I_1 and I_2 are equal.

iii) without loss of generality, consider for example $J = (-\infty, \alpha) \in \mathcal{J}_l$. Then for any real $b > -\alpha^2$, the Möbius map $g : x \mapsto \frac{\alpha x + b}{x - \alpha}$ implements Ξ_J .

iv) from the unitary implementation of Ξ_P . \square

For an inversion covariant graded net of symplectic subspaces (or abstract Weyl algebras), with a geometric symmetry group as above, a similar family of automorphisms exists. These are denoted by $\{\xi_P\}_{\mathcal{P}}$ and are trivially obtained by the action of the geometrical covariance group on the elements of V_l or V_r , the left or right symplectic space. For example, if $P \in \mathcal{P}$ is invariant under the action of S , we have that $\xi_P(F)(x) = F(-x)$, for every $F \in V_l(P)$; if $I = (\alpha, \beta)$ is an open interval, we have $\xi_I(F)(x) = F(-x + \alpha + \beta)$ for the translation covariance.

It is to note the following motivating results, whose easy proofs comes from the above cross product hypothesis for $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$

Lemma 2.9 *Let $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$ be a net as in Lemma 2.5, with generating subnets $\mathcal{F}_{1\mathcal{P}}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{2\mathcal{P}}$ and grading group K . If G is the internal symmetry (gauge) group acting on $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$ such that $K \subseteq Z(G)$, the center of G , and \mathcal{F}^G is the fixed point net of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$ under the action of G , defined by $\mathcal{F}^G(P) := \mathcal{F}(P)^G$, then*

- i) *if \mathcal{K} denotes the (Abelian) von Neumann algebras generated by $V(K)$, for both $s = 1, 2$ it holds $\mathcal{F}^G(P) = \mathcal{F}_s^G(P) \rtimes V(K) \cong \mathcal{F}_s^G(P) \vee \mathcal{K}$; and*
- ii) *if \mathcal{F} is a net with full Hilbert spectrum for the group G (see [36, Definition 7.3]) and denoting by $\mathcal{A} \cong \mathcal{F}_s^G$ the fixed point net of \mathcal{F}_s , for $s = 1, 2$ it holds $\mathcal{F}_1 \cong \mathcal{A} \rtimes \widehat{G} \cong \mathcal{F}_2$, and because of i), we have*

$$\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_s \rtimes V(K) = \mathcal{A} \rtimes \widehat{G} \rtimes V(K), \quad s = 1, 2.$$

Observe that if the net $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$ has full Hilbert spectrum and we are interested in its *charge contents* as a fields net, of the net \mathcal{A} with gauge group G , we may equally well use the subnet \mathcal{F}_1 or \mathcal{F}_2 .

We end this subsection mentioning the example of an inversion covariant net, with grading group with $K = \mathbb{R}$: the Buchholz, Mack and Todorov field net of the $U(1)$ -current on the light-line, before its compactification to the circle, see [5] and [7, Subsection 4.2] for details.

2.4 Graded locality and graded duality

A first application of the notion of inversion covariant graded net is the following generalization of the locality property for nets

- c') let \mathcal{P} be is an index set of $\text{Open}(M)$, where M is the 1+1 dimensional Minkowski spacetime or its time zero real line, and let $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$ be an inversion covariant net, with grading group K . We say that it satisfies *K-graded locality* if for every $P_1, P_2 \in \mathcal{P}$ with $P_1 < P_2$, we have

$$\mathcal{F}_l(P_1) \perp \mathcal{F}_r(P_2). \quad (2.19)$$

Mnemonicly, we may think that the algebras $\mathcal{F}_l(P_1)$ are graded on the left complement of P_1 and commutes with Bosonic elements localized on the right complement, where they have the identity grade, i.e. where they behave as Bosonic algebras. Respectively, the algebras $\mathcal{F}_r(P_2)$ are graded on the right complement of P_2 and are Bosonic on the left one.

Some attention is needed introducing a notion of duality for an inversion covariant graded net. As seen in equations (2.4) and (2.10), in the local case the dual net is an extension of the original net $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$, defined for any $P \in \mathcal{P}$, $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}^d(P)$ by the intersection of the commutants of the algebras supported in the causal complement P' . If $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$ is \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded, a dual net is associated to its \mathbb{Z}_2 -twisted net.

If $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$ is an inversion covariant graded net, and $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\perp}$ has two connected components, because of the graded locality condition (2.19) we may define a *dual-graded algebra on P* by commutants as above only for the left and the right generating subnets \mathcal{F}_l and \mathcal{F}_r of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$.

Hence, if $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$ has a geometrical covariant group \mathcal{G} , so that the isomorphisms Ξ_P is implemented as in Lemma 2.8, and if $P \in \mathcal{P}$ is a path connected element, for the left \mathcal{F}_l and the right subnet \mathcal{F}_r subnets, we define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{l\mathcal{P}}^{gd}(P) &:= \bigcap_{P_i < P} \Xi_P(\mathcal{F}_{l\mathcal{P}}(P_i))' \bigcap_{P < P_i} \mathcal{F}_{r\mathcal{P}}(P_i)' \bigcap \mathcal{F}_l^{\mathcal{P}}(M), \quad \text{and} \\ \mathcal{F}_{r\mathcal{P}}^{gd}(P) &:= \bigcap_{P_i < P} \mathcal{F}_{l\mathcal{P}}(P_i)' \bigcap_{P < P_i} \Xi_P(\mathcal{F}_{r\mathcal{P}}(P_i))' \bigcap \mathcal{F}_r^{\mathcal{P}}(M). \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

Observe that, again because of Lemma 2.8, for such a net $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$ it holds

$$\Xi_P(\mathcal{F}_{l\mathcal{P}}^{gd}(P)) = \mathcal{F}_{r\mathcal{P}}^{gd}(P) \quad \text{and} \quad \Xi_P(\mathcal{F}_{r\mathcal{P}}^{gd}(P)) = \mathcal{F}_{l\mathcal{P}}^{gd}(P). \quad (2.21)$$

Then we can generalize the property of duality of a net by the one of *graded duality* as follows

- e') an inversion covariant graded net $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$ is said to satisfy the *graded duality* if for any $P \in \mathcal{P}$ path connected, we have $\mathcal{F}_{l\mathcal{P}}(P) = \mathcal{F}_{l\mathcal{P}}^{gd}(P)$, or equivalently by the equation (2.21), if $\mathcal{F}_{r\mathcal{P}}(P) = \mathcal{F}_{r\mathcal{P}}^{gd}(P)$.

As an example, consider an inversion covariant net $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{I}}$ on the index set \mathcal{I} that satisfies additivity with respect to the half lines. Under the notation fixed in Subsection 2.2 we write $I' = J_l \cup J_r$ and if $g \in \Gamma$ is the geometrical transformation that gives Ξ_I for $I \in \mathcal{I}$ as in Lemma 2.8, then $g(I) = I$, $g(J_l) = J_r$ and $g(J_r) = J_l$. Hence we have $\Xi_I(\mathcal{F}_l(J_l)) = \mathcal{F}_r(J_r)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}_l^{gd}(I) &= \bigcap_{I_i < I} \Xi_I(\mathcal{F}_l(I_i))' \bigcap_{I < I_i} \mathcal{F}_r(I_i)' \bigcap \mathcal{F}_l^{\mathcal{P}}(M) \\
&= \left(\bigvee_{I_i < I} \Xi_I(\mathcal{F}_l(I_i)) \right)' \bigcap \left(\bigvee_{I < I_i} \mathcal{F}_r(I_i) \right)' \bigcap \mathcal{F}_l^{\mathcal{I}}(\mathbb{R}) \\
&= \Xi_I(\mathcal{F}_l(J_l))' \bigcap \mathcal{F}_r(J_r)' \bigcap \mathcal{F}_l^{\mathcal{I}}(\mathbb{R}) \\
&= \mathcal{F}_r(J_r)' \bigcap \mathcal{F}_r(J_r)' \bigcap \mathcal{F}_l^{\mathcal{I}}(\mathbb{R}) = \mathcal{F}_r(J_r)' \bigcap \mathcal{F}_l^{\mathcal{I}}(\mathbb{R}). \quad (2.22)
\end{aligned}$$

For the half lines index set \mathcal{J} , similarly to the equations (2.20), we may define the *half-line graded dual nets*, by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}_{l\mathcal{J}}^{gd}(J) &:= \bigcap_{J < J_i} \mathcal{F}_{r\mathcal{J}}(J_i)' \bigcap \mathcal{F}_l^{\mathcal{J}}(\mathbb{R}), \quad J \in \mathcal{J}_l \quad \text{and} \quad J_i \in \mathcal{J}_r, \quad \text{and} \\
\mathcal{F}_{r\mathcal{J}}^{gd}(J) &:= \bigcap_{J_i < J} \mathcal{F}_{l\mathcal{J}}(J_i)' \bigcap \mathcal{F}_r^{\mathcal{J}}(\mathbb{R}), \quad J \in \mathcal{J}_r \quad \text{and} \quad J_i \in \mathcal{J}_l. \quad (2.23)
\end{aligned}$$

An inversion covariant graded net on the index set \mathcal{J} is said to satisfy the *half-line graded duality* if $\mathcal{F}_{l\mathcal{J}}(J) = \mathcal{F}_{l\mathcal{J}}^{gd}(J)$ for any $J \in \mathcal{J}_l$, or equivalently by the equation (2.21), if $\mathcal{F}_{r\mathcal{J}}(J) = \mathcal{F}_{r\mathcal{J}}^{gd}(J)$ for any $J \in \mathcal{J}_r$. If $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{J}}$ satisfies the additivity on \mathcal{J} , this means that the half-lines graded duality for $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{J}}$ holds iff

$$\mathcal{F}_{l\mathcal{J}}(J) = \mathcal{F}_{r\mathcal{J}}(J')' \bigcap \mathcal{F}_l^{\mathcal{J}}(\mathbb{R}), \quad J \in \mathcal{J}.$$

Despite the definitions of graded locality and graded duality, it is possible to discuss the properties of an inversion covariant graded net using another net, as in the case of a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded net it is used the associated twisted net \mathcal{F}^t , see footnote 3. This may also results useful for the difficulties that derive from extending the definition (2.20) to non path-connected indices. For the index set of the list (2.11), i.e. for half lines, open intervals, double intervals, and for their causal complements.

To simplify the notations, we assume the additivity of the original net that is preserved also for the graded dual nets because they are defined using the isomorphisms Ξ that have unitary implementation as seen in Lemma 2.8.

Definition 2.10 *Let $\mathcal{F}_{l\mathcal{P}}$ be the left subnet of an additive net $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$ with grading group K on the index set \mathcal{P} . The K -twisted algebras $\mathcal{F}_l^g(P)$ associated to $\mathcal{F}_l(P)$, for $P \in \mathcal{P}$, is defined by*

$$\begin{aligned}
&i) \text{ if } J_l \in \mathcal{J}_l \text{ and } J'_l = J_r \in \mathcal{J}_r \text{ are left and right half lines, then} \\
&\mathcal{F}_l^g(J_l) := \Xi_{J_r}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_l(J_l)) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{F}_l^g(J_r) := \Xi_{J_r}(\mathcal{F}_l(J_r)) = \mathcal{F}_r(J_r);
\end{aligned}$$

ii) if $I \in \mathcal{I}$ and $I' = J_l \cup J_r$ where $J_l \in \mathcal{J}_l$ and $J_r \in \mathcal{J}_r$, then

$$\mathcal{F}_l^g(I) := \Xi_{J_r}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_l(I)) \bigwedge \mathcal{F}_r(I) \quad \text{and}$$

$$\mathcal{F}_l^g(I') := \mathcal{F}_l^g(J_l) \vee \mathcal{F}_l^g(J_r) = \Xi_{J_l}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_l(J_l)) \vee \mathcal{F}_r(J_r);$$

iii) if $E = I_1 \cup I_2 \in \mathcal{I}_2$, $E' = J_l \cup I_3 \cup J_r$ and $J_{ri} = (I_1)'_r \in \mathcal{J}_r$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_l^g(E) &:= \mathcal{F}_l^g(I_1) \vee \mathcal{F}_l^g(I_2) \\ &= [\Xi_{J_{r1}}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_l(I_1)) \wedge \mathcal{F}_r(I_1)] \vee [\Xi_{J_{r2}}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_l(I_2)) \wedge \mathcal{F}_r(I_2)], \quad \text{and} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_l^g(E') &:= \mathcal{F}_l^g(J_l) \vee \mathcal{F}_l^g(I_3) \vee \mathcal{F}_l^g(J_r) \\ &= \Xi_{J_l}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_l(J_l)) \vee [\Xi_{J_{r3}}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_l(I_3)) \wedge \mathcal{F}_r(I_3)] \vee \mathcal{F}_r(J_r). \end{aligned}$$

As a generalization of a \mathbb{Z}_2 -twisted net, the main property of a K -twisted net is summarized in the following

Proposition 2.11 For $P \in \mathcal{J}, \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}_2$ it holds

$$\mathcal{F}_l^g(P) \perp \mathcal{F}_l(P') \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{F}_l^g(P') \perp \mathcal{F}_l(P).$$

Proof. We just prove the more troublesome case of a double interval $E \in \mathcal{I}_2$. If $E = I_1 \cup I_2$ then $\mathcal{F}_l^g(E) = \mathcal{F}_l^g(I_1) \vee \mathcal{F}_l^g(I_2) \perp \mathcal{F}_l(E')$ iff $\mathcal{F}_l^g(I_1) \perp \mathcal{F}_l(E')$ and $\mathcal{F}_l^g(I_2) \perp \mathcal{F}_l(E')$. For the first of these two relations, we have to show that $\mathcal{F}_l^g(I_i) \perp \mathcal{F}_l(E')$, $i = 1, 2$. Hence, being $\mathcal{F}_l^g(I_1) = \Xi_{J_{r1}}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_l(I_1)) \wedge \mathcal{F}_r(I_1)$ and $\mathcal{F}_l(E') := \mathcal{F}_l(J_l) \vee \mathcal{F}_l(I_3) \vee \mathcal{F}_l(J_r)$, we have

$$\Xi_{J_{r1}}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_l(I_1)) \perp \mathcal{F}_l(I_3) \vee \mathcal{F}_l(J_r) \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{F}_l(I_1) \perp \Xi_{J_{r1}}(\mathcal{F}_l(I_3) \vee \mathcal{F}_l(J_r)).$$

This holds being the last algebra is contained in $\Xi_{J_{r1}}(\mathcal{F}_l(J_{r1}))$ because $I_3 \cup J_r \subset J_{r1}$ and point iv) of Lemma 2.8. Moreover $\mathcal{F}_r(I_1) \perp \mathcal{F}_l(J_l)$ so that the intersection of the two, that equals $\mathcal{F}_l^g(I_1)$, commutes with $\mathcal{F}_l(E')$. The proof for $\mathcal{F}_l^g(I_2)$ is equal. With the same methods also $\mathcal{F}_l^g(E') \perp \mathcal{F}_l(E)$ is proved. \square

The notion of a net with grading group, as a tool for describing the commutation rules of fields, defined on an index set having a number of connected components of the causal complements larger than one, may also result useful in other spacetime cases. We reserve to return on this in a subsequent paper.

3 Net cohomology and Superselection Sectors

In this section we review the Roberts' theory of net cohomology presented in [35, 36]. This is the cohomological theory of an index set with coefficients in a net

of von Neumann algebras and one of its applications is the characterization of the set of the DHR superselection sectors for a net of observables. In particular we are interested in the problem of the completeness of a set of sectors and present some generalizations in this direction.

By the definition of a simplicial set $\Sigma_*(\mathcal{P})$ associated to a poset \mathcal{P} , recalled in Subsection 2.1, it is possible to give the following fundamental ⁴

Definition 3.1 ([35]) *A 0-cocycle in the net of von Neumann algebras $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$ over the index set \mathcal{P} is a map $z : \Sigma_0(\mathcal{P}) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$ satisfying the cocycle identity*

$$z(\partial_0 b) = z(\partial_1 b), \quad b \in \Sigma_1(\mathcal{P})$$

and the locality condition

$$z(a) \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(|a|), \quad a \in \Sigma_0(\mathcal{P}).$$

A 1-cocycle in the net $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$ is a map $z : \Sigma_0(\mathcal{P}) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}})$, the unitary group of $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$, satisfying the cocycle identity

$$z(\partial_0 c) z(\partial_2 c) = z(\partial_1 c), \quad c \in \Sigma_2(\mathcal{P})$$

and the locality condition

$$z(b) \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(|b|), \quad b \in \Sigma_1(\mathcal{P}).$$

The 1-cocycles in the net $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$ are objects of a W^* -category denoted by $Z^1(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}})$, where an arrow from the cocycle z' to the cocycle z is a map $w : \Sigma_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$ satisfying

$$z(b) w(\partial_1 b) = w(\partial_0 b) z'(b), \quad b \in \Sigma_1(\mathcal{P})$$

and the locality condition

$$w(a) \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(|a|), \quad a \in \Sigma_0(\mathcal{P}).$$

We denote by $\text{Rep}^{\perp} \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$ the category of the representations of the net $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$ satisfying the DHR superselection criterion, i.e. such that for $\pi \in \text{Rep}^{\perp} \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$ it holds $\pi|_{P^{\perp}} \cong \pi_0|_{P^{\perp}}$, where π_0 is the defining (vacuum) representation and \cong means unitary equivalence. Let $Z_t^1(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}^d)$ denotes the full subcategory in $Z^1(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}^d)$ of the 1-cocycles of \mathcal{P} in $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}^d$ defined by the two further properties:

- any object $z \in Z_t^1(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}^d)$ is trivial in $\mathcal{N}^{\mathcal{P}}$, i.e. for every $a \in \Sigma_0(\mathcal{P})$ there exists a unitary $V_a \in \mathcal{N}^{\mathcal{P}}$ such that $z(b) = V_{\partial_0 b} V_{\partial_1 b}^*$, for $b \in \Sigma_1(\mathcal{P})$; and
- for any path p in \mathcal{P} we have $z(p) A z(p)^* = A$, if $A \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(P)$ with $\partial_0 p, \partial_1 p \in |P|^{\perp}$.

⁴Actually we consider here only 0, 1–order net cohomology. For higher-order cohomologies with non Abelian coefficients see [34].

A first relevant result of net cohomology is the cohomological interpretation of the superselection sectors given in [36, Theorem 26.2]: if \mathcal{P} is connected, then the W^* -categories $\text{Rep}^\perp \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$ and $Z_t^1(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}^d)$ are equivalent.

In the sequel we also use a result about the change of the index set and superselection sectors of a net given in [36, Theorem 30.1]: if $\mathcal{P}_1 \subset \mathcal{P}$ be an inclusion of connected posets with a common causal disjointness relation \perp , and $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}_1} \subset \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$ is an inclusion of nets of von Neumann algebras obtained by restriction from \mathcal{P} to \mathcal{P}_1 , in the same reference representation, then if the two generated von Neumann algebras associated to the nets are equal, i.e. $\mathcal{N}^{\mathcal{P}_1} = \mathcal{N}^{\mathcal{P}}$, restricting representations from \mathcal{P} to \mathcal{P}_1 and acting identically on the intertwiners defines a faithful functor from $\text{Rep}^\perp \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$ to $\text{Rep}^\perp \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}_1}$. Moreover, if $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$ is additive and \mathcal{P}_1 generate \mathcal{P} , then this functor is an equivalence.

The analogous theorem for the corresponding nets 1-cohomology theory holds, see [36, Theorem 30.2].

3.1 Computing net cohomologies

The computation of the net 0-cohomology is based on the connectedness of the index set and on the locality and irreducibility of $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$, see [35, Section 3.4.4].

Computing the net 1-cohomology is usually based on a general *referring model* presented in [35, Section 3.4.5]: here a net of von Neumann algebras on the (finite) index set associated to the poset of subsimplices of the standard 2-simplex Δ_2 is constructed. In this model, the subalgebras of each sub-simplex is supposed to be canonically isomorphic to the tensor product of the algebras associated with its faces. For a (local) net of von Neumann algebras $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$, the above condition for disjoint localized vertices of the 2-simplex, coincides with the so called *quasi-split property*, see [16] and references therein, i.e. for any $P_1 \perp P_2$ we have $\mathcal{N}(P_1) \vee \mathcal{N}(P_2) \cong \mathcal{N}(P_1) \otimes \mathcal{N}(P_2)$.

If this strong structural property do not hold in a specific model, we cannot proceed to discuss the local 1-cohomology along these lines. Remember that the validity of the (quasi-)split property for a net, once we know its validity for its fixed point net under the action of a global gauge group, has been proved only if the group is *finite* and Abelian, see for example [16, Corollary 9.9].

As a first step we generalize the toy model on Δ_2 when the split property is lacking. Using an obvious notation, denote by $\Delta_2 = c$ the standard 2-simplex, by $\partial_0 c, \partial_1 c, \partial_2 c$ its 1-subsimplices and by $\partial_{01} c, \partial_{02} c, \partial_{12} c$ its 0-subsimplices. We define a net $N = N_{\Delta_2}$ of von Neumann algebras on c letting $N = N(|c|) = N^{\Delta_2}$ denote the (quasilocal) von Neumann algebra associated to the 2-simplex c . We also write N_i and N_{ij} for the von Neumann algebras $N(|\partial_i c|)$ and $N(|\partial_{ij} c|)$ respectively. Moreover, for any 1-cocycle z with values in N we denote by $z_i := z_{\partial_i c} \in N_i$, for c the standard 2-simplex.

We also recall that an *Hilbert space inside a von Neumann algebra* is a norm closed subspace H with the property that if $\psi, \psi' \in H$ then $\psi^* \psi' \in \mathbb{C}$. This is a scalar product where the norm of H is induced by the von Neumann algebra. If ψ^α is an orthonormal bases of H , the *support of H* is the projection $\sum_a \psi^\alpha \psi^{\alpha*}$ and turns out to be independent of the chosen basis.

We may hence give the following

Lemma 3.2 *Let N, N_i, N_{ij} and $z \in Z^1(N)$ as above. If*

- a) $N_0 = N_{01} \vee N_{02}, N_1 = N_{01} \vee N_{12}, N_2 = N_{02} \vee N_{12}$ and $N = N_{01} \vee N_{02} \vee N_{12}$,
i.e. *additivity on subsimplices*;
- b) $N_0 \wedge N_1 = N_{01}, N_0 \wedge N_2 = N_{02}, N_1 \wedge N_2 = N_{12}$ and $N_{01} \wedge N_{02} = N_{01} \wedge N_{12} =$
 $N_{02} \wedge N_{12} = \mathbb{C}$, i.e. *minimality of the intersections on subsimplices*;
- c) *for any $0 \neq z_2 \in N_2$ there exists a conditional expectation $\Phi : N \rightarrow N_0$ such that $\Phi(z_2) \neq 0, \Phi(N_2) \subset N_{02}$ and $\Phi(N_1) \subset N_{01}$;*

then there are unique Hilbert spaces $H_{ij} \subset N_{ij}$ with support I such that

$$z_2 H_{12} = H_{02}, \quad z_1 H_{12} = H_{01} \quad \text{and} \quad z_0 H_{02} = H_{01}.$$

Proof. The proof is very close to the one in [35, Section 3.4.5, Lemma 1], to which we refer for details. Because of points a) and b), the net N is a lattice homomorphism from the lattice of the subsimplices of Δ_2 into the lattices of the subalgebras of N . Setting $H_{12} := \{n \in N_{12} : z_2 n \in N_{02}\}$, $H_{02} := \{n \in N_{02} : z_0 n \in N_{01}\}$ and $H_{01} := \{n \in N_{01} : z_1^* n \in N_{12}\}$ we define the norm-closed linear subspaces, mapped in the right way by the unitary operators of the 1-cocycle. The existence of the conditional expectation given by c) and the minimality of the intersection on subsimplices, assure that there are scalar products on them and that their supports equal I . The uniqueness of these Hilbert spaces follows from the construction. \square

It follows that, as in the Roberts' original formulation, that there exist isometries $\psi_{ij}^\alpha \in N_{ij}$ such that $\sum_\alpha \psi_{ij}^\alpha \psi_{ij}^{\alpha*} = I$ and 1-cocycle z such that $z_2 \psi_{12}^\alpha = \psi_{02}^\alpha$, $z_1 \psi_{12}^\alpha = \psi_{01}^\alpha$ and $z_0 \psi_{02}^\alpha = \psi_{01}^\alpha$. Hence, any $z \in Z^1(N)$ is a direct sum of trivial 1-cocycles and the 1-cohomology is said to be *quasitrivial* in this case.

We want to generalize the following result of Roberts, when the (quasi-) split property is lacking and dealing with a net defined from a Weyl algebra, even if in a non-regular representation, i.e. on a non-separable Hilbert space.

Theorem 3.3 ([35, Theorem 2, Section 3.4.5]) *Let $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$ a local (bosonic) net of von Neumann algebras over the connected index set \mathcal{P} such that $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}}^\perp$ has only one connected component. If*

- a) *for every $b \in \Sigma_1(\mathcal{P})$ and every path $p = \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n\}$ such that $\partial p := \{\partial_0 b_1, \partial_1 b_n\} = \{\partial_0 b, \partial_1 b\} =: \partial b$ we have*

$$\bigcap_{\partial p = \partial b} \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(|p|) = \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(|\partial_0 b|) \vee \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(|\partial_1 b|), \quad \text{and} \quad (3.1)$$

- b) *for $P_1, P_2 \in \mathcal{P}$ and $P_1 \perp P_2$ it holds*

$$\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(P_1) \vee \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(P_2) \cong \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(P_1) \otimes \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(P_2), \quad (3.2)$$

then $Z^0(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}) = \mathbb{C}$ and for given any object $z \in Z^1(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}})$ there are unique Hilbert spaces with support I , $H(a) \subset \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(|a|)$ such that $z(b)H(\partial_0 b) = H(\partial_1 b)$, $b \in \Sigma_1(\mathcal{P})$, i.e. $Z^1(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}})$ is quasitrivial.

The result of quasi-triviality on Δ_2 without quasi-split property is hence used to give the following

Proposition 3.4 *Let $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$ a local (Bosonic) net of von Neumann algebras over the connected index set \mathcal{P} such that $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\perp}$ has only one connected component. If*

- a) *condition (3.1) holds;*
- b) *for every $c \in \Sigma_2(\mathcal{P})$ we have $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(\partial_0 \partial_1 c) = \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(\partial_0 c) \wedge \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(\partial_1 c)$, and if for $P_1 \perp P_2$ we have $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(\partial_0 c) \wedge \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(\partial_1 c) = \mathbb{C}$; and*
- c) *picked $c \in \Sigma_2(\mathcal{P})$ such that $|\partial_0 c| \perp |\partial_1 c|$, $|\partial_0 c| \perp |\partial_1 c|$ and $|\partial_0 c| \perp |\partial_1 c|$ and setting $N_{ij} := \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(|\partial_i \partial_j c|)$ there exists a conditional expectation Φ as in c) of Lemma 3.2 above,*

then $Z^0(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}) = \mathbb{C}$ and $Z^1(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}})$ is quasitrivial.

Proof. The proof is obtained rephrasing the one in Roberts' Theorem 3.3 and is based on Lemma 3.2. We give a sketch of it for completeness.

The triviality of $Z^0(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}})$ is directly obtained from the connectedness of the index set and condition b): chosen $b \in \Sigma_1(\mathcal{P})$ such that $|\partial_0 b| \perp |\partial_1 b|$, for any $w \in Z^0(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}})$ we have $w(\partial_0 b) = w(\partial_1 b) \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(|\partial_0 b|) \cap \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(|\partial_1 b|) = \mathbb{C}$.

Because of the 1-cocycle identity we have $z(p) = z(b)$ if $\partial p = \partial b$, for every $z \in Z^1(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}})$. Thus $z(b) \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(|\partial_0 b|) \vee \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(|\partial_1 b|)$ because of a). For given $a \in \Sigma_0(\mathcal{P})$, pick $b \in \Sigma_1(\mathcal{P})$ with $|a| = |\partial_0 b| \perp |\partial_1 b|$ and define

$$H(a) := \{F \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(|a|) : z(b)^* F \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(|\partial_1 b|)\}. \quad (3.3)$$

Choosing $c \in \Sigma_2(\mathcal{P})$ with $\partial_0 c = b$ and $|b| = |\partial_0 c| \perp |\partial_1 c|$ and using the Lemma 3.2 with $N_{ij} = \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(|\partial_i \partial_j c|)$, it is possible to show that $H(a)$ is a Hilbert space in $\mathcal{N}(|a|)$, independently of b . This because of b) and c) above.

Finally, for any vertex in a 2-simplex with the above choice of $b \in \Sigma_1(\mathcal{P})$ and $c \in \Sigma_2(\mathcal{P})$, the definition as in equation (3.3) gives an Hilbert space $H(\partial_i \partial_j c) \subset N_{ij}$, i.e. $H(a) \subset \mathcal{N}(|a|)$ for $a \in \Sigma_0(\mathcal{P})$, and with $z(b)H(\partial_1 b) = H(\partial_0 b)$. \square

As observed in [35, Section 3.4.5], the hypothesis (3.1) is the true model dependent cohomological condition giving the triviality of $Z^1(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}})$.

The technical (quasi-)split property required for the net $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$ in the original Roberts' formulation is replaced by b) and c). The trivial intersection requirement point in b) is widely satisfied, for example if the net $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$ satisfies the Schlieder condition, see for example [11], or if the stronger Borchers or type III factor property holds. Such a condition is tailored for a local net. A generalization to the case of a inversion covariant graded net that will be useful in the sequel, is given by the following

Corollary 3.5 *Let K a discrete Abelian group and $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$ be a net with grading group K , on the connected index set \mathcal{P} , in the sense of Definition 2.6. If $\mathcal{N}(P) = \mathcal{N}_s(P) \rtimes_{\alpha} V(K)$ for $P \in \mathcal{P}$, for the component \mathcal{N}_s , $s = l, r$, and V a unitary representation of K on the Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_n of the net $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$, and if*

- a) *condition (3.1) holds;*
- b) *for every $P_1, P_2 \in \mathcal{P}$ it holds $\mathcal{N}(P_1 \cap P_2) = \mathcal{N}(P_1) \wedge \mathcal{N}(P_2)$ and if for $P_1 \perp P_2$ it holds $\mathcal{N}(P_1 \cap P_2) = \mathcal{N}(P_1) \wedge \mathcal{N}(P_2) = \mathcal{K}$, where $\mathcal{K} := V(K)''$ is the group von Neumann algebra of K in the representation V and $\mathcal{N}_s(P_1) \cap \mathcal{N}_s(P_2) = \mathbb{C}$ for $P_1 \perp P_2$ and $s = r, l$;*
- c) *condition c) of Proposition 3.4 holds for the net \mathcal{N}_s , for $s = r, l$,*

then $Z^0(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}) = \mathcal{K}$, $Z^0(\mathcal{N}_{l\mathcal{P}}) = Z^0(\mathcal{N}_{r\mathcal{P}}) = \mathbb{C}$, and $Z^1(\mathcal{N}_l)$ and $Z^1(\mathcal{N}_r)$ are quasitrivial.

Proof. The result for $Z^0(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}})$ is obtained from the condition b) as in Proposition 3.4, and so is for $Z^0(\mathcal{N}_{s\mathcal{P}})$ for $s = l, r$. Observe that the cross product hypothesis gives condition a) also for $\mathcal{N}_{s\mathcal{P}}$, for both $s = l, r$, so that it is possible to use the Proposition 3.4 for the nets $\mathcal{N}_{s\mathcal{P}}$. With the same definition of the Hilbert spaces in the von Neumann algebras of the vertices, the result for $Z^1(\mathcal{N}_{l\mathcal{P}})$ follows, and so is for $\mathcal{N}_{r\mathcal{P}}$, by the net isomorphism $\{\Xi_P\}_{\mathcal{P}}$ of Lemma 2.8. \square

Remark 3.6 *Observe that if the requirement $N_{01} \wedge N_{02} = \mathbb{C}$ and similar in b) of the previous Lemma 3.2 is replaced by $N_{01} \wedge N_{02} = \mathcal{Z}$ and similar, where \mathcal{Z} is a common (Abelian) von Neumann subalgebra of the N_{ij} , then the 1-cocycles result to be associated to the Hilbert W^* - \mathcal{Z} -modules, instead that to the Hilbert spaces, i.e. $\psi^* \psi' \in \mathcal{Z}$ for $\psi, \psi' \in H$. In particular this holds if $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$ is a net with grading group K and \mathcal{Z} is the von Neumann algebras generated by the representation of K . Because this is not relevant for the model are treating in details, we refrain from further investigation in this direction.*

3.2 Net cohomology results for a net of Weyl algebras

In this subsection we show that a net of von Neumann algebras derived from a Weyl algebras (local, graded local and in the general case of a non-regular representation) satisfies the hypothesis b) and c) of Proposition 3.4 and Corollary 3.5. The stronger hypothesis a) has instead to be verified for any specific model. We refer to the notations of Sections 2.1 of [7], recalling some general results about the twisted crossed product of Weyl algebras and their representations. Note that the nets of the Streater and Wilde model fulfill the following requirements, that are however derived for a general Weyl model and may be adapted to the one of finite or denumerable sectors for \mathcal{A} . Hence we consider and suppose:

- a net of von Neumann algebras $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$ on index set \mathcal{P} , defined in a non-regular representation π_f from a symplectic space $V_f = V_a \oplus L$ such that $L = C \oplus N$ with $C \cong N \cong \mathbb{R}_d^n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where C is the non-regular symplectic subspace of charges;
- the local symplectic space decomposition of $V_f(P)$ in $V_a \oplus L$ depends only on V_a , i.e. $V_f(P) \subset V_a(P) \oplus L$, for $P \in \mathcal{P}$. This means that the local algebras $\mathcal{F}(P) := \pi_f(\mathcal{W}(V_f(P), \sigma_f))''$ can be written as

$$\mathcal{F}(P) = \mathcal{B}(P) \rtimes_{\sigma_L} \mathcal{U}(C),$$

where $\mathcal{B}(P) := \pi_b(\mathcal{W}(V_a(P) \oplus N, \sigma_f))''$ for $\pi_b = \pi_f \upharpoonright \mathcal{W}(V_a \oplus N)$;

- the non-separable Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_f of the representation π_f admits a decomposition in *charge subspaces* for the subnet $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{P}}$, defined by the local algebras $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{P}}(P) := \pi_a(\mathcal{W}(V_a(P), \sigma_a))''$. If \mathcal{H}_a is the separable Hilbert space the of representation for the net \mathcal{A} and $\mathcal{H}_c \cong \mathcal{H}_a$, this means that $\mathcal{H}_f = \bigoplus_{c \in C} \mathcal{H}_c \cong \mathcal{H}_a \otimes \mathcal{H}_L$. Here $\mathcal{H}_L \cong \ell^2(C)$, and we are actually using the identification $\mathcal{H}_c \cong \mathcal{H}_a \otimes |c\rangle$, for every $c \in C$; ⁵
- denoting by $\Omega_f \cong \Omega_a \otimes \Omega_L = \Omega_a \otimes |0\rangle$ the GNS vector of π_f , we suppose that it is cyclic and separating for every local algebras $\pi_f(\mathcal{W}(V_f(P)))''$. We assume that Ω_a is cyclic and separating for the local algebras of observables $\mathcal{A}(P)$ and that Ω_L is cyclic for $\pi_L(\mathcal{W}(L))''$. The separability requirement of Ω_f for $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{O})$ do not implies the one of Ω_L for $\pi_L(\mathcal{W}(L))''$;
- finally, we suppose that there exists a map $c : F \mapsto c(F) \in C$ giving the charges of $F \in V_f$. Assume this map to be additive on V_f , i.e. $c(F + G) = c(F) + c(G)$, for every $F, G \in V_f$ and also that a similar additive map $n : F \mapsto n(F) \in N$ exists.

Under these assumptions, our first scope it to show the existence of a conditional expectation as the one in point c) of Proposition 3.4 and Corollary 3.5, that is simply obtained in the case of the (quasi-)split property of the net, by the Tomiyama slide map.

For every charge $c \in C$ it is well defined the linear weakly closed local subspace, as weakly convergent series

$$\mathbb{F}_c(P) := \{\sum_{i \in I} a_i \pi_f(W(F_i)), a_i \in \mathbb{C}, F_i \in V_f(P), c(F_i) = c \in C, P \in \mathcal{P}\}^-.$$

It is to observe that, because of the definition of $\mathbb{F}_c(P)$, any element $A \in \mathcal{F}(P)$ is obtained as a weak-convergent net

$$A = w - \lim_{\Lambda \subset C} \sum_{c \in \Lambda} A_c = w - \lim_{\Lambda \subset C} \sum_{c \in \Lambda} \sum_{i \in I} a_i^c \pi_f(W(F_i^c)) \quad (3.4)$$

for finite subsets $\Lambda \subset C$, and where $A_c \in \mathbb{F}_c(P)$, for $c(F_i^c) = c$. We will use this shorthand sum notation to mean the convergence on finite subsets of C . For the spaces $\mathbb{F}_c(P)$ the following holds

⁵Remember that, as in [7], by $\pi_f \cong \pi_a \otimes \pi_L$ we mean here the representation π_f for the the decomposition of the Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_f as above and not a tensor product of representations of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$.

Lemma 3.7 *From the assumptions and notations as above we have:*

- i) $F_c(P)$ is a weakly closed linear space on \mathbb{C} and is a von Neumann algebra iff $c = 0 \in C$. We call $F_0(P)$ the zero charge local von Neumann algebra on P , it coincides with $\mathcal{B}(P)$ and it holds $\mathcal{A}(P) = F_0(P) \upharpoonright \mathcal{H}_a$;
- ii) the local von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{F}(P)$ is generated by the disjoint sum of the subspaces $F_c(P)$, i.e. $\mathcal{F}(P) = \vee_{c \in C} F_c(P) = \Sigma_{c \in C} F_c(P)$;
- iii) if $\eta \in \mathcal{H}_{c'}$ then $(F_c(P)\eta)^{\perp} = \mathcal{H}_{c+c'}$. In particular, choosing $\eta = \Omega_f$ it holds $(F_c(P)\Omega_f)^{\perp} = \mathcal{H}_c$ and, for $0 \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_f)$ the null operator in \mathcal{H}_f

$$F_c(P) = \{A \in \mathcal{F}(P) : A\Omega_f \in \mathcal{H}_c\} \cup \{0 \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_f)\};$$

- iv) the restriction of the representation π_f to the subspace $F_c(P)$ turns out to be regular, i.e. for every $F \in V_f(P)$ with $c(F) = c$ and for the decomposition $F = F_a \oplus c(F) \oplus n(F) \in V_a \oplus C \oplus N$, the maps

$$\mathbb{R} \ni \lambda \rightarrow \pi_f(W(\lambda F_a \oplus c \oplus n(F))) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{R} \ni \mu \rightarrow \pi_f(W(F_a \oplus c \oplus \mu n(F)))$$

are weakly (and strongly) continuous;

- v) the von Neumann algebra $F_0(P)$ is contained in any subspace $F_c(P)$ for every $c \in C$ and $P \in \mathcal{P}$; moreover for any chosen $F \in V_f(P)$ with $c(F) = c$ it holds $F_c(P) = F_0(P)\pi_f(W(F))$.

Proof. The proof of all the statements is trivial. It derives from the theory of non-regular representation seen in Sections 2.3 of [7]. In particular, the disjoint decomposition of local algebras $\mathcal{F}(P)$ in ii) is obtained from the non-regularity of the representation π_f that do not allows to take weak limits along the subspace C , or equivalently for the representation π_L on C . \square

Define now $\mathcal{N} := \pi_f(W(N))'' \subset \mathcal{F}(P)$ for every $P \in \mathcal{P}$ and suppose that it is Abelian and that $\mathcal{N} \upharpoonright \mathcal{H}_0 = \mathbb{C}I$. Assume that \mathcal{N} commutes with $F_0(P)$, for any $P \in \mathcal{P}$, and that it is possible to write, from the above decomposition of the local symplectic space and for the properties of the representation π_f , that

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_f) \supset F_0(P) = \mathcal{A}(P) \vee \mathcal{N} \cong \mathcal{A}(P) \otimes \mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_a) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_L), \quad P \in \mathcal{P}. \quad (3.5)$$

Here we identified \mathcal{N} with $\mathcal{N} \otimes I_L \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_a) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_L)$.⁶

If the observables net \mathcal{A} satisfies the split property, see [36, Section 9] for a review, an intermediate type I factor \mathcal{M} exists such that for $P_1 \perp P_2$ it holds $\mathcal{A}(\widetilde{P}_1) \subset \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{A}(\widetilde{P}_2)'$. This entails that $\mathcal{A}(P_2) \subset \mathcal{M}'$ and we can even choose a $\widetilde{P}_1 \in \mathcal{P}$ with $\widetilde{P}_1 \supset P_1$ and $\widetilde{P}_1 \perp P_2$ such that $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{A}(\widetilde{P}_1)$. From the split

⁶Referring to the Streater and Wilde model, the net \mathcal{F} defined from the symplectic space $V_f := \mathcal{S} \oplus \partial^{-1}\mathcal{S}$ is not contemplated in this simplified situation; instead the net \mathcal{C} , defined from the symplectic space $V_c := \mathcal{S} \oplus \partial_0^{-1}\mathcal{S}$, well suits the requirements above, see [7, Subsection 3.1]. In the following Section 4, discussing the local 1-cohomology of the model we shall return on these condition, see also the note at page 37.

property it deduces the quasi-split property, i.e. the existence of a von Neumann algebras isomorphism Ψ_a such that

$$\Psi_a(\mathcal{A}(P_1) \vee \mathcal{A}(P_2)) = \mathcal{A}(P_1) \otimes \mathcal{A}(P_2). \quad (3.6)$$

We want now to extend the isomorphism Ψ_a to the tensor product of the algebras $F_0(P)$, and some preliminary observations about the role of \mathcal{N} are now in order. Because of the decomposition in equation (3.5), the isomorphism Ψ_a easily extends to a von Neumann algebras isomorphism from $F_0(P_1) \vee F_0(P_2)$ to a *central \mathcal{N} -relative tensor product*, see [39] for basic definitions, as

$$\Psi_0(F_0(P_1) \vee F_0(P_2)) = F_0(P_1) \otimes_{\mathcal{N}} F_0(P_2). \quad (3.7)$$

Here we mean that the von Neumann algebra generated by the two commuting von Neumann algebras $F_0(P_1)$ and $F_0(P_2)$ is isomorphic to the von Neumann algebras tensor product $F_0(P_1) \otimes F_0(P_2)$ provided with the following properties

$$NA_1 \otimes A_2 = A_1 \otimes A_2N \quad \text{and} \quad A_1N \otimes A_2 = A_1 \otimes NA_2 \quad (3.8)$$

for all $A_i \in F_0(P_i)$, $i = 1, 2$ and $N \in \mathcal{N}$. This tensor product is central in the sense that $\mathcal{N} \subset Z(F_0(P))$, for every $P \in \mathcal{P}$, hence we have

$$NA_1 \otimes A_2 = A_1N \otimes A_2 = A_1 \otimes NA_2 = A_1 \otimes A_2N. \quad (3.9)$$

Observe that $F_c(P_1) \vee F_{-c}(P_2) \subset F_0(P)$ for P_1 and P_2 in P , so that also $F_c(P_1) \vee F_{-c}(P_2)$ is isomorphic to a \mathcal{N} -relative tensor product but not central, i.e. the property described by the equation (3.9) do not holds in this case.

We prefer however to not follow this approach of the relative tensor products switching to a more physical description, distinguishing two possibilities:

- the local algebras $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}(P)$ commute with \mathcal{N} , for every $P \in \mathcal{P}$ (for instance if the net $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$ is purely Bosonic); or
- the net $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$ is an N -graded local net, according to the definition in equation (2.19).

The first case is trivial. To treat the second case, we have just to use the introduced left and right homogeneous subalgebras $\mathcal{F}_s(P)$ of the algebras $\mathcal{F}(P) = \mathcal{F}_s(P) \rtimes_{\alpha} V(N)$, for $s = l, r$, where V is a representation of the group N on \mathcal{H}_f and α its adjoint action on \mathcal{F}_s . Hence we define the left/right fixed charge, weakly closed linear spaces

$$F_{c,s}(P) := F_c(P) \cap \mathcal{F}_s(P), \quad P \in \mathcal{P}, \quad c \in C, \quad s = l, r.$$

Observe that $\mathcal{N} \not\subset F_{c,s}(P)$ for all $P \in \mathcal{P}$ and that for $P_1 < P_2$, it holds

$$F_{c,l}(P_1) \perp F_{q,r}(P_2) \quad \text{for all } c, q \in C \quad (3.10)$$

because $\mathcal{F}_l(P_1) \perp \mathcal{F}_r(P_2)$. Hence we state the following

Lemma 3.8 *Suppose that $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$ is a local (Bosonic) net, additive on \mathcal{P} , i.e. such that $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}(P) = \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}(P_1) \vee \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}(P_2)$ for $P, P_1, P_2 \in \mathcal{P}$ and $P = P_1 \cup P_2$, that it satisfies the above assumptions, that the net F_0 is quasi-split and that*

$$F_0(P) = \Sigma_{c \in C} F_c(P_1) \vee F_{-c}(P_2); \quad (3.11)$$

then it holds

- i) $F_0(P) \cong \Sigma_{c \in C} F_c(P_1) \otimes F_{-c}(P_2)$;
- ii) $F_c(P) \cong \Sigma_{q \in C} F_q(P_1) \otimes F_{c-q}(P_2)$;
- iii) for every $0 \neq A \in \mathcal{F}(P) = \mathcal{F}(P_1) \vee \mathcal{F}(P_2)$ there exists a conditional expectation $\Phi : \mathcal{F}(P) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(P_1)$ (in general not weak continuous) such that $\Phi(A) \neq 0$.

The same results hold if \mathcal{F} is a N -graded local net on the index set \mathcal{P} , substituting $F_c(P_1)$ with $F_{c,l}(P_1)$ and $F_{-c}(P_2)$ with $\Xi_{P_2}(F_{-c,l}(P_2)) = F_{-c,r}(P_2)$, for $P_1 < P_2$ and $c \in C$, where Ξ_{P_2} is the isomorphism defined in point iii) of Lemma 2.8.

Proof. For $P = P_1 \cup P_2 \in \mathcal{P}$ with $P_1 \perp P_2$ we choose for every $c \in C$ two elements $F_c \in V_f(P_1)$ and $G_c \in V_f(P_2)$ with $c(F_c) = c$ and $c(G_c) = -c$ and define $W_c(1) := \pi_f(W(F_c))$ and $W_{-c}(2) := \pi_f(W(G_c))$. Hence, from the result in point v) of Lemma 3.7 and because of the decomposition of the equation (3.11), any element $A \in F_0(P)$ is obtained as a weak-convergent net

$$A = \text{w-} \lim_{\Lambda \subset C} \Sigma_{c \in \Lambda} (\Sigma_j A_c^j(1) A_{-c}^j(2)) W_c(1) W_{-c}(2), \quad (3.12)$$

The finite subsets $\Lambda \subset C$ is such that $c \in \Lambda$ iff $-c \in \Lambda$. This choice of closeness of $\Lambda \subset C$ under the conjugation of charge, i.e. under the transformation $c \mapsto -c$, make it possible the weak convergence to the element A , always remaining in $F_0(P)$. Here $\Sigma_j A_c^j(1) A_{-c}^j(2)$ is a weak convergent series in $F_0(P)$ with $A_c^j(i) \in \mathcal{A}(P_i)$, $i = 1, 2$.

i) we have to extend the isomorphism Ψ_0 in equation (3.7) from the algebra $F_0(P_1) \vee F_0(P_2) \subset F_0(P)$ to an isomorphism Ψ from all the algebra $F_0(P)$. We do it using the decomposition in fixed charge linear spaces and the split property for the local observables net, extended to the net $F_{0\mathcal{P}}$.

From point v) in Lemma 3.7 we can write the fixed charge linear spaces as $F_c(P_1) = F_0(P_1)W_c(1)$ and $F_{-c}(P_2) = F_0(P_2)W_c(2)$.

We hence denote Ψ_T for any chosen $T \in V_f$, the isomorphism of von Neumann algebras constructed from a symplectic isomorphism as in Subsection 2.2 of [7], such that for every $P \in \mathcal{P}$

$$\Psi_T(\mathcal{F}(P)) \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_a) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_L) \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi_T(\mathcal{A}(P)) = \mathcal{A}(P) \otimes I_L.$$

If we write the split inclusion for the net $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{P}}$ as $\mathcal{A}(P_1) \subset \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{A}(P_2)'$ where \mathcal{M} a type I factor, because $\mathcal{M}' \subset \mathcal{A}(P_1)'$ and $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{A}(\widetilde{P_1})$, for $P_1 \subset \widetilde{P_1}$

and $P_1 \perp P_2$, and because the vector Ω_a is cyclic and separating for the local algebras $\mathcal{A}(P)$, we may extend such an isomorphism Ψ_T , identifying the factor \mathcal{M} with $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathbb{C}I_L$ and defining $\mathcal{M}'_a := \mathcal{M}' \otimes \mathbb{C}I_L \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_a) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_L)$. This means that \mathcal{M}'_a is isomorphic, under Ψ_T , to the subalgebra of the elements in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_f)$ acting as the commutant of \mathcal{M} on \mathcal{H}_0 and as the scalars on \mathcal{H}_c , for every $0 \neq c \in C$.

Hence we obtain that $\mathcal{A}(P_2) \cong \mathcal{A}(P_2) \otimes \mathbb{C}I_L \subset \mathcal{M}'_a$ also holds, and that for the identification $\mathcal{N} \cong \mathcal{N} \otimes I_L$ we have the following inclusions

$$F_c(P_1) = F_0(P_1)W_c(1) = \mathcal{A}(P_1) \vee \mathcal{N} W_c(1) \subset \mathcal{M} \vee \mathcal{N} W_c(1) \quad (3.13)$$

and

$$F_{-c}(P_2) = F_0(P_2)W_{-c}(2) = \mathcal{A}(P_2) \vee \mathcal{N} W_{-c}(2) \subset \mathcal{M}'_a \vee \mathcal{N} W_{-c}(2). \quad (3.14)$$

The last terms of the above inclusions, under the isomorphism Ψ_T , respectively equate

$$\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N} \Psi_T(W_c(1)) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{M}' \otimes \mathcal{N} \Psi_T(W_{-c}(2)). \quad (3.15)$$

We now generalize a quite simple argument that allows to show the quasi-split property from the split property of a net, see for example [36, Section 9]. Let hence $E \in \mathcal{M}$ be a minimal projection such that $E\Omega_f = \Omega_f$ and so that we have $E = [\mathcal{M}'_a \Omega_f]$ and we may set $E' = [\mathcal{M} \Omega_f] \in \mathcal{M}'_a$.

Observe that $\mathcal{M} \vee \mathcal{N} W_c(1)\Omega_f = \mathcal{H}_c$ and $\mathcal{M}'_a W_{-c}(2)\Omega_f = \mathcal{H}_{-c}$ being Ω_f cyclic for \mathcal{M} and $\Psi_T^{-1}(\mathcal{M}'_a)$ in $\mathcal{H}_0 \cong \mathcal{H}_a$.

We hence check out that the weakly closed linear spaces in equation (3.15) commute elementwise. This is easy to obtain because the net \mathcal{F} is Bosonic and the tensor product form of equation (3.15). The only thing really to prove is the commuting of $W_c(1)$ with \mathcal{M}'_a . Choose for this $T = F_c \in V_f(P_1)$ so that $W_f(T) = W_c(1)$ and $\Psi_T(W_c(1)) = W_a(1) \otimes W_L(c)$, where $W_a(1) \in \mathcal{A}(P_1)$, that clearly commutes with $M' \otimes \lambda I_L$, for every $M' \in \mathcal{M}'$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ because $\mathcal{A}(P_1)' \supset \mathcal{M}'$.

We may now note that the closed linear space spanned by the vectors of the form $MN_1M'N_2W_c(1)W_{-c}(2)\Omega_f$ with $M \in \mathcal{M}$, $M' \in \mathcal{M}'_a$ and $N_1, N_2 \in \mathcal{N}$ contains $\mathcal{M} \vee \mathcal{M}'_a \vee \mathcal{N} W_c(1)W_{-c}(2)\Omega_f = \mathcal{H}_0$ and deduce that the map

$$MN_1W_c(1)\Omega_f \otimes M'N_2W_{-c}(2)\Omega_f \mapsto MN_1M'N_2W_c(1)W_{-c}(2)\Omega_f,$$

extends to a unitary operator identifying $\mathcal{H}_c \otimes \mathcal{H}_{-c}$ with \mathcal{H}_0 .

The above results are sufficient to prove

$$F_c(P_1) \subset \mathcal{M} \vee \mathcal{N} W_c(1) \cong \Sigma_{q \in C} \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_q, \mathcal{H}_{q+c}) \otimes I \quad (3.16)$$

and

$$F_{-c}(P_2) \subset \mathcal{M}'_a \vee \mathcal{N} W_{-c}(2) \cong I \otimes \Sigma_{q \in C} \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_q, \mathcal{H}_{q-c}) \quad (3.17)$$

so that in any concrete representation of the net \mathcal{F} and because of the linearity property of the tensor product of von Neumann algebras for the linear spaces

$F_c(P_i)$, we should say that point i) holds, summing up on finite subsets of C .
ii) from point i) it is enough to use an element $F \in V_f(P_2)$ such that $c(F) = c$ and the respective operator $\pi_f(W(F)) \cong I \otimes \pi_f(W(F)) =: W_c$, so that
 $F_c(P) = F_0(P)W_c \cong \sum_{q \in C} F_q(P_1) \otimes F_{-q}(P_2) (I \otimes W_c) = \sum_{q \in C} F_q(P_1) \otimes F_{c-q}(P_2)$.

We write Ψ for this isomorphism too.

iii) because point ii) in Lemma 3.7, any element $A \in \mathcal{F}(P)$ writes as

$$A = w - \lim_{\Lambda \subset C} \sum_{c \in \Lambda} A_c,$$

where A_c is an element in $F_c(P)$ that, for $P = P_1 \cup P_2$ with $P_1 \perp P_2$ and under the isomorphism in above point ii), maps to

$$A_c \mapsto \Psi(A_c) = w - \lim_{\Lambda \subset C} \sum_{q \in \Lambda} \sum_j A_q^j(1) W_q(1) \otimes A_{c-q}^j(2) W_{c-q}(2). \quad (3.18)$$

Hence, for the element $A_q^j(i) \in F_q(P_i)$, $i = 1, 2$ we have

$$A \mapsto \Psi(A) = w - \lim_{\Lambda \subset C} \sum_{c, q \in \Lambda} \sum_j A_q^j(1) W_q(1) \otimes A_{c-q}^j(2) W_{c-q}(2). \quad (3.19)$$

For any such an element A as in equation (3.19) and for any state $\omega \in \mathcal{F}(P_2)_*$ the map $\Phi : \mathcal{F}(P) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(P_1)$ defined by

$$\Phi(\Psi(A)) := w - \lim_{\Lambda \subset C} \sum_{c, q \in \Lambda} \sum_j A_q^j(1) W_q(1) \otimes \omega(A_{c-q}^j(2) W_{c-q}(2)) \quad (3.20)$$

is a conditional expectation on $\mathcal{F}(P)$, relative to the charge decomposition, that turns out to be *not* weak continuous along C in general.

This definition is consistent because the set $\mathcal{F}(P_1)_* \otimes \mathcal{F}(P_2)_*$ of product states on the tensor product of the local algebras $\mathcal{F}(P_1) \otimes \mathcal{F}(P_2)$ is total on any linear subspaces $(F_q(P_1) \otimes F_{c-q}(P_2))_*$. Hence, as in the case of the Tomiyama slice map for spitting von Neumann algebras, it is always possible to choose the state ω such that $\Phi(A) \neq 0$ if $A \neq 0$, because of the assumed cyclicity of $\Omega_f \in \mathcal{H}_f$ for any $\mathcal{F}(P)$.

We can easily verify this last claim on the dense set of the vector states and for a simple non vanishing element $A \in \mathcal{F}(P)$ with only $A_c(2) \neq 0$ for one $c \in C$. We means here that

$$A = w - \left(\lim_{\Lambda \subset C} \sum_{q \in \Lambda} \sum_j A_q^j(1) W_q(1) \right) \otimes \sum_i A_c^i(2) W_c(2).$$

Referring to notations in [7, Subsection 2.3], we can chose a vector $x \otimes |0\rangle + y \otimes |c\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_a \otimes \mathcal{H}_L$ with $c \neq 0$ and call $\xi \in \mathcal{H}_f$ the vector it gives under the isomorphism from $\mathcal{H}_a \otimes \mathcal{H}_L$ to \mathcal{H}_f obtained from the regularization by $W_c(2)$. We can use now the vector state ω_ξ of ξ to define a conditional expectation as in equation (3.20) and, evaluating it on the element $A \cong \Psi(A)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(\Psi(A)) &= w - \left(\lim_{\Lambda \subset C} \sum_{q \in \Lambda} \sum_j A_q^j(1) W_q(1) \right) \otimes \sum_i \omega_\xi(A_c^i(2) W_c(2)) \\ &= w - \left(\lim_{\Lambda \subset C} \sum_{q \in \Lambda} \sum_j A_q^j(1) W_q(1) \right) \otimes \sum_i (x, A_c^i(2)x) \langle 0|c \rangle + \\ &\quad + (x, A_c^i(2)y) \langle 0|2c \rangle + (y, A_c^i(2)x) \langle c|c \rangle + (y, A_c^i(2)y) \langle c|2c \rangle \\ &= w - \left(\lim_{\Lambda \subset C} \sum_{q \in \Lambda} \sum_j A_q^j(1) W_q(1) \right) \otimes \sum_i (y, A_c^i(2)x) \end{aligned}$$

and being $x, y \in \mathcal{H}_a$ freely chosen the result follows.

The proof for the N -graded case is easily obtained using the property of commutativity of the local algebras from equation (3.10), that is in the definition of the conditional expectation on the right side. \square

Remark 3.9 *Observe that there exists a characterization of a the tensor product of two commuting von Neumann algebras in terms of a family of separating weak continuous conditional expectation, see for example [41, Theorem 9.12]. The expectation Φ constructed in point iii) above result to be separating, but not normal, so that we have not obtained the (quasi-)split property for the net $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$, that in fact is not possible from the non separability of \mathcal{H}_f , see [16, Proposition 1.6].*

From these preliminary results about the existence of a useful conditional expectation for the case of a net defined from Weyl algebras, we obtain the following consequences about the minor hypothesis b) and c) of the Proposition 3.4 and Corollary 3.5:

Proposition 3.10 *Let $P \mapsto \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}(P) =: \pi(\mathcal{W}(V(P)))''$ be a net of von Neumann algebras defined over the connected index set \mathcal{P} , from the Weyl algebra of the symplectic space (V_f, σ_f) that decomposes and represents by $\pi_f = \pi_a \otimes \pi_L$ in the sense recalled above. Then*

- i) *if the symplectic space is not degenerate and the net $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$ is a local net, then the conditions b) and c) of the Proposition 3.4 hold; and*
- ii) *if $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}} = \mathcal{F}_{s\mathcal{P}} \rtimes_{\alpha} V(K)$ is a K -local net, then for the subnets $\mathcal{F}_{s\mathcal{P}}$ for $s = l, r$ obtained from the non degenerate symplectic subspaces $(V_s, \sigma_f) \subset (V_f, \sigma_f)$, the conditions b) and c) of Corollary 3.5 hold.*

Proof. i) the first part of the cited condition b) is obtained because for a Weyl algebra net \mathcal{N} in a Fock regular representation π it holds $\mathcal{N}(\wedge_j V_j) = \wedge_j \mathcal{N}(V_j)$ for V_j any closed real subspaces of the symplectic space V , see for example [28]. If the net is given in a (non trivial) non-regular representation π_f with the stated properties, the same result holds because of the normality of the representation on the local (regular) part. The non degeneracy of the symplectic space assures that the intersection of the local algebras with disjoint supports trivialize. The existence of a conditional expectation required in the cited condition c), is obtained from the point iii) in Lemma 3.8, choosing $c \in \Sigma_2(\mathcal{P})$ such that $|\partial_{01}c| \perp |\partial_{02}c|$, $|\partial_{01}c| \perp |\partial_{12}c|$ and $|\partial_{02}c| \perp |\partial_{12}c|$ where, for $P_1 = (|\partial_{01}c| \cup |\partial_{02}c|) \perp |\partial_{12}c| = P_2$, we choose the algebras $\mathcal{F}_f(P_1) = \mathcal{F}_f(|\partial_{01}c|) \vee \mathcal{F}_f(|\partial_{02}c|)$ and $\mathcal{F}_f(P_2) = \mathcal{F}_f(|\partial_{12}c|)$.

ii) The proof is similar to point i). We use the inversion covariant graded net results of Lemma 3.8, choosing the disjoint supports such that $|\partial_{01}c| < |\partial_{02}c| < |\partial_{12}c|$. The algebras are hence defined, for $P_1 = (|\partial_{01}c| \cup |\partial_{02}c|) < |\partial_{12}c| = P_2$, by $\mathcal{F}_{lf}(P_1) = \mathcal{F}_{lf}(|\partial_{01}c|) \vee \mathcal{F}_{lf}(|\partial_{02}c|)$ and $\mathcal{F}_{rf}(P_2) = \Xi_{P_2}(\mathcal{F}_{lf}(P_2))$ so that

$\mathcal{F}_{lf}(P_1) \perp \mathcal{F}_{rf}(P_2)$. □

4 Sectors for the Streater and Wilde model

To discuss the question of the completeness of the superselection theory of the Streater and Wilde model we use notations and results of the previous sections or established in [7]. In this previous paper, a full description of the known superselection sectors of the local net of observables algebras $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}$ has been given in terms of some auxiliary nets $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{I}}, \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{I}}, \mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{I}}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{I}}$ and of a larger (putative) field net $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{I}}$. The net $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{I}}$ is the fixed points subnet of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{I}}$ under the action of a global compact group of gauge symmetry $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_c \times \mathcal{G}_q$, isomorph to the Bohr compactification of \mathbb{R}^2 , and whose dual additive group $\widehat{\mathcal{G}} = C \oplus Q \cong \mathbb{R}^d \oplus \mathbb{R}^d$, in the discrete topology, is the charge group of the described sectors of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}$. All the Hilbert representation spaces of the nets, but the one of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}$, are non-separable and carry a non-regular representations of the defining Weyl algebras. These results are summarized in the following diagram, where the definition of the various nets, their inclusion and the action of the gauge and charges groups are also presented.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{Z}_b & & \mathcal{B} \rtimes \mathcal{U}(C) \\
 & & \parallel & & \parallel \\
 \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{Q}^{\mathcal{G}_q} & \subset & \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{G}_q} = \mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{G}_c} = \mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{G}} & \subset & \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{G}_q} \\
 \cap & & \cap & & \cap \\
 \mathcal{Q} & \subset & \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{G}_c} & \subset & \mathcal{F} \\
 \parallel & & \parallel & & \parallel \\
 \mathcal{A} \rtimes \mathcal{U}(Q) & & \mathcal{B} \rtimes \mathcal{U}(Q) & & \mathcal{B} \rtimes \mathcal{U}(Q) \rtimes \mathcal{U}(C)
 \end{array} \tag{4.1}$$

We denoted in [7] by \mathcal{S} the Schwartz space of real valued rapidly decreasing test functions on \mathbb{R} ; by $\partial\mathcal{S} := \{f \in \mathcal{S} : f = \partial g, g \in \mathcal{S}\}$ its subspace of functions with vanishing Fourier transform at zero momentum, i.e. with vanishing integral on \mathbb{R} ; and by $\partial^{-1}\mathcal{S} := \{f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}) : \partial f \in \mathcal{S}\}$ the space of functions having derivative in \mathcal{S} . These functions spaces have been used to define the symplectic spaces of the model. For example, an element of the symplectic space of the net \mathcal{F} is denoted by $F = f_0 \oplus f_1 \in V_f := \mathcal{S} \oplus \partial^{-1}\mathcal{S}$, and the definition of its localization is as follows

$$\text{loc } F := \text{supp } f_0 \cup \text{supp } \partial f_1, \quad f_0 \in \mathcal{S}, f_1 \in \partial^{-1}\mathcal{S}. \tag{4.2}$$

The nets of von Neumann algebras of the model are defined in the standard way recalled in equation (2.1), on the index sets $\mathcal{P} \subset \text{Open}(\mathbb{R})$ of the list (2.11), albeit the definitions on \mathcal{D} need some attention discussed in the following.

4.1 More properties for the Streater and Wilde model

We have to better understand the structural properties of all the nets recalled in diagram (4.1) in order to discuss the triviality of the net 1-cohomology, along the lines previously defined. Moreover, these properties have to be mainly studied both on the index sets \mathcal{I} and $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{I} \cup \mathcal{I}_2$. In fact, as an example of great relevance, the net $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}}$ is properly defined in the representation π_a by

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}} : D \longmapsto \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}}(D) := \pi_a(\mathcal{W}(V_a(D), \sigma_a))'', \quad D \in \mathcal{D}, \quad (4.3)$$

but it is easy to show that for any proper double interval $E \in \mathcal{D}$ it holds

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}}^{\mathcal{I}}(E) := \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}(I_1) \vee \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}(I_2) \subsetneq \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}}(E) \quad (4.4)$$

where the algebras $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}}^{\mathcal{I}}(E)$ is defined by additivity as in the equation (2.2). This simple result, bases on the observation that given $f^1 \in \mathcal{S}(I_1)$ and $f^2 \in \mathcal{S}(I_2)$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}} f^1 dx = -\int_{\mathbb{R}} f^2 dx \neq 0$, then $f = f^1 + f^2 \in \partial\mathcal{S}(E)$, i.e. $\int_{\mathbb{R}} f dx = 0$, but $f^1 \notin \partial\mathcal{S}(I_1)$ and $f^2 \notin \partial\mathcal{S}(I_2)$.

As another example, and to fix notations, the net \mathcal{F} is easily shown to have the N -locality properties. In fact, the symplectic space net $P \mapsto V_{f\mathcal{P}}(P)$, has n -homogeneous left subnets defined by

$$V_{fl}(P)_n := \{F = f_0 \oplus f_1 \in V_{fl}(P) : F_{-\infty} = \lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} f_1(x) = n\}.$$

Such subsets are linear subsets iff $n = 0$ and moreover $V_f(P) = \cup_{n \in N} V_{fl}(P)_n$, $V_{fl}(P)_n \cap V_{fl}(P)_m = \emptyset$ if $n \neq m$ and $V_{fl}(P)_n \cup V_{fl}(P)_m = V_{fl}(P)_{n+m}$. This suffices to show that V_f is an N -graded symplectic spaces net, see Lemma 2.8, and that the N -graded locality relation condition of the equation (2.19) is fulfilled for the net of von Neumann algebras it generates.

For example, the n -homogeneous left subset of the net $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{I}}$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{F}_l(I)_n := \pi_f(\mathcal{W}(V_{fl}(I)_n))'' = \pi_f(\mathcal{W}(V_{fl}(I)_0))''V(n).$$

Because of the N -grading of \mathcal{F} it holds $\mathcal{F}(I) = \bigvee_{n \in N} \mathcal{F}_l(I)_n = \bigvee_{n \in N} \mathcal{F}_r(I)_n$, and the left or right subnets used to define N -locality are

$$I \mapsto \mathcal{F}_l(I) := \mathcal{F}_r(I)_0, \quad I \mapsto \mathcal{F}_r(I) := \mathcal{F}_l(I)_0, \quad \text{for } I \in \mathcal{I}.$$

The easy proof properties of the nets of symplectic spaces give necessary conditions for the nets of von Neumann algebras, that we list from the following

Proposition 4.1 *Referring to the diagram (4.1), we have*

- i) *all the nets $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{I}}, \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{I}}, \mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{I}}, \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{I}}, \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{I}}$ are additive nets on the index set \mathcal{I} ;*
- ii) *in their defining (vacuum) representation and on index set \mathcal{I} , the nets $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{I}}, \mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{I}}, \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{I}}$ are local and satisfy the Haag duality; moreover the nets $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{I}}, \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{I}}$ are N -graded local and satisfy the N -graded duality;*

- iii) Reeh-Schlieder Property: for any $I \in \mathcal{I}$, the observables vacuum vector Ω_a is cyclic and separating for any local algebra $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}(I)$, $I \in \mathcal{I}$; the field vacuum vector Ω_f is cyclic for any local algebra $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{I}}(I)$, $\mathcal{F}_{l\mathcal{I}}(I)$ and $\mathcal{F}_{r\mathcal{I}}(I)$, but separating only for the algebras $\mathcal{F}_{l\mathcal{I}}(I)$ and $\mathcal{F}_{r\mathcal{I}}(I)$.

Proof. i) Additivity of these nets is generally given because they are derived from Weyl algebras on a path connected index set, see [36, Section 7].

ii) Locality or N -graded locality result from the calculations above. The duality of the net $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}$ in its vacuum defining representation π_a , as recalled in Subsection 4.2 of [7], is well established in [23].

To show the duality of the other nets, we may use directly the result in [13, Proposition 6.2]: we have just to observe that for every couple of charges $(c, q) \in \widehat{\mathcal{G}}$ localized in an arbitrary small non-void interval exists, there exist an element $F = f_0 \oplus f_1 \in V_f(I)$ such that $\rho_F^I = \text{ad } \pi_f(W(F))$ furnishes a sector automorphism (or solitonic automorphism of the net $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{I}}$) carrying this charge. This has been established in Proposition 4.5 of [7] and for a solitonic automorphism of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{I}}$ the charge q has to be understood as the difference of the limit values of the function f_1 at $+\infty$ and $-\infty$.

The net $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}$ is an Haag dual net in its vacuum representation and also with respect in the representations $\pi_a \circ \rho_F^I$ for any automorphism ρ_F^I because of [14, Lemma 2.2]. Moreover, the nets $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{I}}$, $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{I}}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{I}}$, are the fixed point nets of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{I}}$ under the action of the compact group \mathcal{G} , \mathcal{G}_q and \mathcal{G}_c respectively. Hence, because of the just cited result, we can use the Haar integral mean over these groups and, performing a little *diagram chase* in the diagram of equation (4.1), we obtain Haag (N -graded) duality for all nets on index set \mathcal{I} . As an example, consider $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{I}}^{\mathcal{G}_c} = \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{I}}$. Here $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{I}} = \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}} \otimes \mathcal{Z}_b$ so that if $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}$ is Haag dual so is $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{I}}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{I}}$, because of [14, Lemma 2.2], the last net in N -graded sense.

iii) The result for the observable net $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}$ is classical: passing to its corresponding 2-dimensional local net $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}$, it derives from additivity and positivity of energy. For the nets with grading group, we adapt the classical argument for a \mathbb{Z}_2 .

Consider for example the subnet $\mathcal{F}_l := \mathcal{F}_{l\mathcal{I}}$. For any $I \in \mathcal{I}$ we have $\mathcal{F}_l(I) \subset \mathcal{F}(I)$ and $\mathcal{F}_l(I)$ contains fields of any charge $(c, q) \in \widehat{\mathcal{G}}$. This suffices to have cyclicity of Ω_f for $\mathcal{F}_l(I)$ and a fortiori for $\mathcal{F}(I)$. If we take now $I_1 < I$ for $I_1, I \in \mathcal{I}$, we have $\mathcal{F}_r(I_1) \subset \mathcal{F}_l(I)'$. So the vector Ω_f , being cyclic for $\mathcal{F}_r(I_1)$, see [7], is also separating for any $\mathcal{F}_l(I)$. Because the local algebras $\mathcal{F}(I)$ equates $\mathcal{F}_l(I) \vee \mathcal{Z}_b$ and \mathcal{Z}_b fix the vector Ω_f , for generic elements $A \in \mathcal{F}_l(I)$ and $X, Y \in \mathcal{Z}_b$, with $X \neq Y$, we have that $(AX - AY)\Omega_f = (A - A)\Omega_f = 0$, so that Ω_f may not be separating for the net $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{I}}$. \square

The Reeh-Schlieder Property assures that for any $I_1, I_2 \in \mathcal{I}$ such that $\overline{I_1} \subset I_2$, the triple $(\mathcal{F}_{s\mathcal{I}}(I_1), \mathcal{F}_{s\mathcal{I}}(I_2), \Omega_f)$ for $s = l, r$ is a *standard W^* -inclusion* so that the *split property* and the *quasi-split property* condition for the nets $\mathcal{F}_{s\mathcal{I}}$ are equivalent, see [16] for details. From this observation it follows

Proposition 4.2 *The net $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$ and its subnets $\mathcal{F}_{l\mathcal{P}}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{r\mathcal{P}}$, for any $\mathcal{P} \subset \text{Open}(\mathbb{R})$, do not satisfy the split property nor the quasi-split property.*

Proof. We know from [16, Proposition 1.6], that the split property of $\mathcal{F}_{s\mathcal{I}}$ and, for $s = l, r$ with I_1, I_2 as above, the standardness for the inclusion $\mathcal{F}_{s\mathcal{I}}(I_1) \subset \mathcal{F}_{s\mathcal{I}}(I_2)$ assured by Reeh-Schlieder property, are sufficient conditions for the separability of the representation Hilbert space. The result hence follows from the non regularity of the representation of \mathcal{F} . \square

Another easy consequence of diagram (4.1) about the structural properties of \mathcal{F} , is the following: being $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{I}}(I)$ the crossed product of the type III₁ factor $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}(I)$ by the discrete group of charges $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}$, it result to be a factor of type III, and the weaker *Property B* of Borchers also holds for the net $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{I}}$. Actually this result is also a consequence of the positivity of the energy, see [7] for details.

We discuss now the properties of the nets on the index set \mathcal{D} , by the

Proposition 4.3 *In the introduced notation we have*

- i) *the net $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}}$ is a non additive extension of the net $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}$. Moreover, it equals the dual of the additively extended canonical net $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}}^{\mathcal{I}d}$, i.e.*

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}}(D) = \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}}^{\mathcal{I}d}(D), \quad \text{for every } D \in \mathcal{D}. \quad (4.5)$$

This implies that the double interval duality, for the additively extended net $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}}^{\mathcal{I}}$ is not satisfied, i.e.

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}}^{\mathcal{I}} \subsetneq \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}}^{\mathcal{I}d} = \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}}; \quad (4.6)$$

- ii) *the net $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{D}}$ equals the additively extended net $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{D}}^{\mathcal{I}}$ and satisfies the N -graded locality on the index set \mathcal{D} , i.e. $\mathcal{F}_l(D_1) \perp \mathcal{F}_r(D_2)$ for $D_1, D_2 \in \mathcal{D}$ and $D_1 < D_2$;*
- iii) *for the N -twisted algebras $\mathcal{F}_l^g(I)$ with $I \in \mathcal{I}$, it holds $\mathcal{F}_l^g(I) = \mathcal{F}_l(I)'$;*
- iv) *the net \mathcal{F} satisfies the N -graded duality on \mathcal{J} , i.e. for example $\mathcal{F}_{l\mathcal{J}}(J) = \mathcal{F}_{l\mathcal{J}}^{gd}(J)$, for $J \in \mathcal{J}_l$ and on \mathcal{D} , i.e. $\mathcal{F}_{l\mathcal{D}}(D) = \mathcal{F}_{l\mathcal{D}}^{gd}(D)$ for $D \in \mathcal{D}$; and*
- v) *results as in i) for the nets $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{D}}$, $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{D}}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{D}}$ and as in ii), iii) and iv) for the net $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{D}}$ hold respectively.*

Proof. i) We just have to show the equality (4.5) for a generic double interval $E = I_1 \cup I_2 \in \mathcal{I}_2$. In the fixed notations and omitting to write the intersection with the quasi-local algebras $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{I}}(\mathbb{R}) \equiv \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}}^{\mathcal{I}}(\mathbb{R})$ of the additive nets, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}}^{\mathcal{I}d}(E) &:= \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}}^{\mathcal{I}}(E^\perp)' = (\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}(I_3) \vee \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}}^{\mathcal{I}}(I'))' = \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}(I_3)' \wedge \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}}^{\mathcal{I}}(I')' \\ &= \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}(I_3)' \wedge \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}(I) = \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}}^{\mathcal{I}}(I_3) \wedge \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}}^{\mathcal{I}}(I)' \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

where we used \mathcal{I} -duality (Haag duality), and the additivity of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}$. From these equalities and by a direct calculation on the generators of the involved algebras, i.e. on the elements in the local symplectic subspaces, we verify (4.5). Its

inclusion \subseteq is proved from the first equality in the second line of (4.7). The generators of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}}^{\mathcal{I}^d}(E)$ are given from functions $G = g_0 \oplus g_1 \in V_a(I)$ such that

$$\sigma_a(F, G) = \int (f_0 g_1 - f_1 g_0) dx = 0, \quad \text{for } F = f_0 \oplus f_1 \in V_a(I_3),$$

i.e. such that $\int f_0 g_1 dx = 0$ and $\int f_1 g_0 dx = 0$. These give $g_0|_{I_3} = 0$ and $g_1|_{I_3} = \text{const}$, so that $g_0 \in \partial\mathcal{S}(E)$ and $g_1 \in \mathcal{S}(I) : g_1|_{I_3} = \text{const}$. Such a set of functions contains $V_a(E)$, and the inclusion \subseteq of (4.5) hence holds by definition of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}}(E)$. The inclusion \supseteq of (4.5) follows from the second equality in the second line of (4.7). $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}}^{\mathcal{I}}(I_3^{\perp})$ being defined by additivity, the generators of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}}^{\mathcal{I}^d}(E)$ are obtained from elements $G = g_0 \oplus g_1 \in V_a(I^3)$, with $I^3 \in \mathcal{I}$ and $I^3 \subset I_3^{\perp}$, such that

$$\sigma_a(F, G) = 0, \quad F = f_0 \oplus f_1 \in V_a(I^4) \quad \text{for every } I^4 \subset I', \quad I^4 \in \mathcal{I}.$$

Hence we have: $g_0 = 0$ on I_3 and on every I^4 as above, i.e. $g_0 \in \partial\mathcal{S}(E)$; $g_1 = \text{const}$ on I' , vanishing on I_3 . As $g_1 \in \mathcal{S}$ and I' is not bounded, such constants on I' have to vanish, so we have $g_1 \in \mathcal{S}(E)$ and $G \in V_a(E)$.

ii) obtained by simple calculation on the Weyl generator of the algebras.

iii) The result may be proved similarly to point i). Because of the general result of Proposition 2.11, we just have to show that $\mathcal{F}_l(I')' \subseteq \mathcal{F}_l^g(I)$. The proof bases on a calculation on the Weyl generators of the algebras $\mathcal{F}_l(I')'$. Because of the additivity and of the cited half-lines duality, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_l(I')' &= [\mathcal{F}_l(J_l) \vee \mathcal{F}_l(J_r)]' = \mathcal{F}_l(J_l)' \wedge \mathcal{F}_l(J_r)' \\ &= \mathcal{F}_r(J_{rr}) \wedge \mathcal{F}_l(J_r)' = \mathcal{F}_l(J_l)' \wedge \Xi_{J_r}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_l(J_{ll})) \end{aligned}$$

Now from the first equation of the last line we obtain that a Weyl generator of $\mathcal{F}_l(I')'$ has symplectic element $g_0 \oplus g_1 = G \in V_{f_r}(I^0) = \mathcal{S}(I^0) \oplus \partial^{-1}\mathcal{S}_r(I^0)$ with $I^0 \subset J_{rr}$ such that $\sigma(G, F) = 0$ for every $f_0 \oplus f_1 = F \in V_{f_l}(J_r) = \mathcal{S}(J_r) \oplus \partial^{-1}\mathcal{S}_l(J_r)$. From $\sigma(G, F) = 0$ we have $\int_{\mathbb{R}} g_0 f_1 dx = 0$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}} g_1 f_0 dx = 0$ that gives $g_0|_{J_r} = 0 = g_1|_{J_r}$ respectively. Hence $G \in V_{f_r}(I)$. Similarly, from the second equality of the last line we obtain $G \in V_{f_l}(I)$ so that $G \in \xi_{J_r}^{-1}(V_{f_l}(I)) \cap V_{f_r}(I)$ and the result follows from the equivalent of point ii) of the Definition 2.10 for to the symplectic spaces.

iv) The duality on \mathcal{J} is easily established observing that

$$V_{f_l}(J_l)' := (\mathcal{S}(J_l) \oplus \partial^{-1}\mathcal{S}_l(J_l))' = \mathcal{S}(J_r) \oplus \partial^{-1}\mathcal{S}_r(J_r) =: V_{f_r}(J_r), \quad J_l \in \mathcal{J}_l.$$

The duality on \mathcal{D} may also be proved similarly to point i). Because of the Proposition 2.11, we have just to prove that $\mathcal{F}_l^{gd}(E) \subseteq \mathcal{F}_l(E)$, for any $E \in \mathcal{I}_2$. In the standard notation, we may write

$$\mathcal{F}_l^{gd}(E) = [\mathcal{F}_l^{gd}(I_3) \vee \mathcal{F}_l^{gd}(I')]' = \mathcal{F}_l^{gd}(I_3)' \wedge \mathcal{F}_l^{gd}(I')', = \mathcal{F}_l(I_3) \wedge \mathcal{F}_l^{gd}(I')'$$

where the additivity of point ii) and the result of point iii) have been used. From the the last equality, we knows that the generic symplectic element $G =$

$g_0 \oplus g_1 \in V_{fl}(I^3)$ with $I^3 \in \mathcal{I}$ and $I^3 \perp I_3$, generates $\mathcal{F}_l^{gd}(E)$ if $\sigma(G, F) = 0$ for every $F \in V_{fl}^g(I')$ with $I' = J_l \cup J_r$. We may hence write $F = F^1 + F^2$ with $F^1 = f_0^1 \oplus f_1^1 \in \xi_{J_{rr}}^{-1}(V_{fl}(J_l))$ and $F^2 = f_0^2 \oplus f_1^2 \in V_{fr}(J_r) = \mathcal{S}(J_r) \oplus \partial^{-1}\mathcal{S}_r(J_r)$, so that $\sigma(G, F) = \sigma(G, F^1 + F^2) = \sigma(G, F^1) + \sigma(G, F^2)$. Hence we have to distinguish the cases:

- if $I_3 < I^3 < J_r$, i.e. $I^3 \subseteq I_2$, then $\sigma(G, F) = \sigma(G, F^2) = 0$ that implies $\int_{\mathbb{R}} g_0 f_1^2 dx = 0$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}} g_1 f_0^2 dx = 0$, giving $g_0 \in \mathcal{S}(I_2)$ and $g_1 \in \partial^{-1}\mathcal{S}_l(I_2)$ respectively, i.e. $G \in V_{fl}(I_2)$;
- if $I_2 < I^3$, i.e. $I^3 \subset J_r$, then it is easy to obtain $G = 0 \oplus 0$;
- if $J_l < I^3 < I_3$, i.e. $I_3 \subseteq I_1$, we observe that $F^1 = \xi_{J_{rr}}^{-1}(F^0)$ with $F^0 \in V_{fl}(J_l)$ and that $\sigma(G, F) = \sigma(G, F^1) = \sigma(G, \xi_{J_{rr}}^{-1}(F^0)) = 0$ iff $\sigma(\xi_{J_{rr}}(G), F^0) = 0$. Then for γ the Möbius transformation that implements $\xi_{J_{rr}}$, it is easy to see that $\gamma(I_3) \subset J_{rr}$, see the Lemma 2.8 for details. Hence $\sigma(\xi_{J_{rr}}(G), F^0) = 0$ implies that $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \xi_{J_{rr}}(g_0) f_1^0 dx = 0$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \xi_{J_{rr}}(g_1) f_0^0 dx = 0$. These give $g_0 \in \mathcal{S}(I_1)$ and $g_1 \in \partial^{-1}\mathcal{S}_l(I_1)$ respectively, i.e. $G \in V_{fl}(I_1)$; and
- if $I^3 < I_1$, i.e. $I^3 \subset J_l$, it hold $\gamma(I_3) \subset J_l$ and $G = 0 \oplus 0$ is obtained.

Definitely, we have that $G \in V_{fl}(I_1) \cup V_{fl}(I_2) = V_{fl}(E)$. Hence the result.

v) from the ones above and the definitions of the symplectic spaces. \square

To fix the above model depending results as insights for general theories, or at least for Weyl models, we point out the following remarks:

- The non triviality of the inclusion in equation (4.6), i.e. the absence of the duality on double intervals for the net $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}}^{\mathcal{I}}$, has the meaning of non triviality of the DHR sectors for the net $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}$, according to a classical argument: the larger algebras $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}}^{\mathcal{I}d}(E)$ contains non trivial charge carrying observable operators, relative to localized sector endomorphisms supported on I_1 and I_2 , that are not present in $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}(I_1) \vee \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}(I_2) =: \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}^{\mathcal{I}}(E)$.
- Observe that the the above argument directly justifies the condition (3.1) as the one for the triviality of superselection sectors. In fact, the non existence of a larger algebras defined on $P_1 \cup P_2$, is read in the equivalent cohomological interpretation on the path from I_1 to I_2 , as the absence of non (quasi-)trivial 1-cocycles with values in the net.
- The equality in equation (4.5) means that for given two sectors automorphisms of the net $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}$, indicated by ρ_i for $i = 1, 2$ and localized in the interval \mathcal{I}_i , the bilocal intertwining operator $U \in (\rho_1, \rho_2)$, i.e. $U \in \{\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}(I'_1) \cap \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}(I'_2)\}'$ is actually contained in $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}}(I_1 \cup I_2) = \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}}(E)$. This gives a strong localizability characterization of sectors that, for a general condition on intertwiner operators formulated in [14], allows to predict that all the observable data defining the superselection sectors of the net $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}$ are just encoded in the nets $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}}$.

- In other words, if $V_b(E)|_{I_1}$ denotes the restriction of $V_b(E)$ to the subspace of elements localized on the interval I_1 , where for an element $F = f_0 \oplus f_1$ the function f_1 is prolonged continuously by constants out of I_1 , we have $V_b(E)|_{I_1} = V_f(I_1)$. This implies that: $\pi_f(\mathcal{W}_f(V_a(E)|_{I_1}))'' = \mathcal{F}(V_f(I_1)) =: \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{I}}(I_1)$, i.e. the complete von Neumann algebras of fields localized in I_1 is associated to $V_b(E)|_{I_1}$.
- Remember that the study of double interval duality for a net $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}}^{\mathcal{I}d}$ has a prime role in the investigation of the superselection sectors of the net $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}$. See also [18], and [25] for the study of low dimensional conformal, *completely rational models*, using the subfactor theory of von Neumann algebras.
- Finally, observe that the implication of point iv) of the above Proposition 4.3, i.e. duality for $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{I}}$ gives duality for $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{D}}$, is only a specific feature of the model and not a general result, see also [36, Section 30]. The existence of general conditions giving this implication is an interesting further topic.

We end this section commenting about the non trivial centers, or relative commutants, of the quasi-local algebras of observables on the model at hand. We recall that this structural topic of the early beginning of the AQFT, has been proposed again in a series of paper by Baumgaertel and Lledó, culminating with [2], presents also for some mathematical interesting counterparts, see for example Müger in [32] and Vasselli in [42]. It is to recall that the presence of such a center is also described in the general theory of constrains by Baumgaertel, Grunling and Lledó, see for example [21, 1] and [20], that also accounts for electromagnetic and QED models.

In the Streater and Wilde model, we refer to the diagram (4.1) and to [7, Sections 3 and 4]. Being $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{I}}(I) = \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}(I) \otimes \mathcal{Z}_b$ for any $I \in \mathcal{I}$, the relative commutant of the quasilocal algebra $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{I}}$ in all other quasilocal algebras but \mathcal{Q} , is non trivial and equals \mathcal{Z}_b , i.e.

$$\mathcal{A}^c := \mathcal{A}' \cap \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{A}' \cap \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}' \cap \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{A}' \cap \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{Z}_b. \quad (4.8)$$

Moreover, the center of \mathcal{A} is trivial, \mathcal{A} being irreducible in \mathcal{H}_a , i.e. $Z(\mathcal{A}) := \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{A}' = (\pi_a, \pi_a) = \mathbb{C}$ so, from the physical point of view, we are in the usual situation of DHR charges theory. The center of \mathcal{Q} is also trivial, and so are the ones of \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{F} . On the other hand, the action of the group \mathcal{G} gives $\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{G}} = \mathcal{B}$, and \mathcal{B} is not irreducibly represented on $\mathcal{H}_b \cong \mathcal{H}_a \otimes \mathcal{H}_L$, since $Z(\mathcal{B}) := \mathcal{B}' \cap \mathcal{B} = (\pi_b, \pi_b) = \mathcal{Z}_b$. Similarly $Z(\mathcal{E}) = \mathcal{Z}_b$.

However observe that although the elements of \mathcal{Z}_b appears in the fixed points for the action of the gauge group \mathcal{G} , it is improper to think at them as local observables for different reasons:

- they are trivially represented in the observable vacuum representation space \mathcal{H}_a , where they restrict to the identity;
- they have void localization region, according to definition of localization in equation (4.2); and

- they do not satisfy the DHR superselection criterion, since for any $F \in V_f(I)$ with charge $F_c \in C$ and for a generator $\pi_b(W(0, n))$ of \mathcal{Z}_b , we have

$$\rho_F^I(\pi_b(W(0, n))) = e^{-iF_c n} \pi_b(W(0, n)).$$

Actually the algebra \mathcal{Z}_b is the Abelian von Neumann algebras generated by the elements of the subgroup $N \subset \mathcal{G}_c \subset \mathcal{G}$, represented on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_b \subset \mathcal{H}_f$. We could have not contemplated these elements as part of the auxiliary nets $\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E}$ or \mathcal{F} .⁷ However, a further meaning of \mathcal{Z}_b is presented in the following Remark 4.5.

4.2 Net 1–cohomology for the Streater and Wilde model

After these results, we turn back to the problem of the superselection theory of the model in study, carrying out the analysis in two steps:

- 1) show the (quasi-)triviality of the 1-cohomology for the N -graded net $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{D}}$, where the index set \mathcal{D} is chosen to simplify the calculations for verifying the condition (3.1) of the Corollary 3.5. Then, derive the same result for the net $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{I}}$; and
- 2) use the action of the gauge symmetry (sub-)group on $\mathcal{F}_{s\mathcal{I}}$, for $s = l, r$, to investigate the 1-cohomology of the fixed point subnets and the nature of their superselection sectors. In particular for the observables net $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}$.

We begin by computing the 0-cohomology for all the nets of the model, defined in diagram (4.1), both on the index sets \mathcal{I} and \mathcal{D} , as stated in the following

Lemma 4.4 *For index sets $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{D}$ we have*

- $Z^0(\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{P}}) = Z^0(\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{P}}) = Z^0(\mathcal{F}_{l\mathcal{P}}) = Z^0(\mathcal{F}_{r\mathcal{P}}) = \mathbb{C};$
- $Z^0(\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}}) = Z^0(\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{P}}) = Z^0(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{P}}) = Z^0(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}) = \mathcal{Z}_b.$

Proof. If \mathcal{P} is connected, it is a standard result of [35] that for a net $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$ we have $Z^0(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}) = \cap_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(P)$.

- Local commutativity and the triviality of the center give the result for $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{P}}$ and $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{P}}$. For $\mathcal{F}_{s\mathcal{D}}$, $s = l, r$, the result follows from the triviality of the intersection, for example $\mathcal{F}_{l\mathcal{I}}(D_1) \cap \mathcal{F}_{l\mathcal{I}}(D_2) = \mathbb{C}$ if $D_1 \perp D_2$, $D_1, D_2 \in \mathcal{D}$.
- Local commutativity but equality $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}}) = \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{P}}) = \mathcal{Z}_b$ gives the results

⁷In fact, we could have considered from the beginning, instead of the six terms diagram of symplectic space in equation (3.4) of [7], giving rise to the diagram (4.1), the following four terms one

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V_a := \partial\mathcal{S} \oplus \mathcal{S} & \subset & \mathcal{S} \oplus \mathcal{S} \\ \cap & & \cap \\ V_q := \partial\mathcal{S} \oplus \partial_q^{-1}\mathcal{S} & \subset & \mathcal{S} \oplus \partial_q^{-1}\mathcal{S}. \end{array} \quad (4.9)$$

But in this way the role played by the Weyl elements associated to the subspace N would not be clarified at all.

for $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{P}}$. N -graded commutativity and condition $\mathcal{Z}_b \subset \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{P}}(D)$ for any $D \in \mathcal{D}$ gives the result for $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{P}}$ and for $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$. \square

Remark 4.5 *Along the lines of the Remarks 3.6, observe that the item ii) in the above Lemma indicates a slight difference from the usual case of trivial 0-cohomology of a net \mathcal{N} , where the set of arrows from the trivial 1-cocycle $\mathbf{1}$ to itself, i.e. for the 1-cocycle $\mathbf{1}(b) = I$ for every $b \in \Sigma_1(\mathcal{P})$, coincides with $Z^0(\mathcal{N}) = \mathbb{C}$. For the net $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$ instead such a set of arrows is identified with \mathcal{Z}_b , giving a cohomological further mining to the Abelian algebras \mathcal{Z}_b .*

We pass now to consider the 1-cohomology on the net \mathcal{F} of the Streater and Wilde model, stating the following

Proposition 4.6 *The net 1-cohomology $Z^1(\mathcal{F}_{s\mathcal{P}})$ is quasitrivial, for both $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{D}$ and for $s = l, r$. A fortiori, $Z_t^1(\mathcal{F}_{s\mathcal{P}})$ is also quasitrivial. Moreover, the representation categories $\text{Rep}^\perp \mathcal{F}_{s\mathcal{P}}$ have no nontrivial irreducible objects. The same hold for the net $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$.*

Proof. Observe that for the net $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{D}}$ with grading group N , all the conditions of the Corollary 3.5 are satisfied. The condition (3.1) is fulfilled just because of the choice of the index set \mathcal{D} , by the net property. In fact it suffices to show that it is true for $\partial_0 b, \partial_1 b \in \mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{D}$ because of the additivity on $\mathcal{F}_{s\mathcal{D}}$. This gives the triviality of $Z^1(\mathcal{F}_{s\mathcal{D}})$. The net $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{D}}$ is N -graded dual, so the quasitriviality of $Z^1(\mathcal{F}_{s\mathcal{D}})$ is equivalent, up to direct sums, to the one of $\text{Rep}^\perp(\mathcal{F}_{s\mathcal{D}})$, see the Roberts equivalence theorem [36, Theorem 26.2]. For this observe that \mathcal{D} is connected because \mathcal{I} is cofinal in \mathcal{D} and connected, Lemma 2.1.

The results on the index set \mathcal{I} holds because all the conditions of Roberts' theorem [36, Theorem 30.1] on the change of index set are fulfilled. In fact being \mathcal{I} cofinal in \mathcal{D} , the nets $\mathcal{F}_{c\mathcal{I}}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{c\mathcal{D}}$ generates the same quasilocal von Neumann algebras, the net $\mathcal{F}_{c\mathcal{D}}$ is additive for point iii) of Proposition 4.3 and \mathcal{I} generates \mathcal{D} .

The results for the net $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$ follow for it is a represented discrete crossed product of $\mathcal{F}_{c\mathcal{P}}$ by the Abelian discrete group N . \square

The above declared second step is discussed in the following Subsection.

4.3 The set of sectors of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}$ associated to $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{I}}$

In this subsection we answer the question about the completeness problem for the DHR superselection sectors of the Streater and Wilde model. We obtain that the set of sectors described in Subsection 4.3 of [7] are *all* the DHR sectors of the model, so that the net $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{I}}$ may be called *the* field net of the observable net $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}$.

For given a net of von Neumann algebras $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(P)$ with gauge automorphisms group G , we denote the by $P \mapsto \widehat{G}(P)$ the net of the classes of the representa-

tions realized in Hilbert spaces with support I in $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}(P)$. Then, it holds the following classification result

Theorem 4.7 ([35, Theorem 4, Section 3.4.5]) *Let $Z^0(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}})$ trivial and $Z^1(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}})$ quasitrivial. If $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}^G$ is the net of fixed point of $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}$ under the action of a group G of gauge automorphisms, then the unitary equivalence classes of $Z^1(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{P}}^G)$ are in 1-1 correspondence with $Z^0(\widehat{G})$.*

Hence, for the net $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{I}}$, its subnets, and for the group \mathcal{G} described in diagram (4.1), we have the following

Proposition 4.8 *Let the net $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{I}}$ and the symmetries group $\mathcal{G} := \mathcal{G}_c \times \mathcal{G}_q$ be defined as above. Then*

- i) *for $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{I}} = \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{I}}^{\mathcal{G}_q}$ the objects of $Z_t^1(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{I}})$ are in 1-1 correspondence with the ones of $Z^0(\widehat{\mathcal{G}}_q)$. The net $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{I}}$ has no non trivial DHR sectors but only N -solitonic sectors labeled by the charges $q \in Q$, given by N -solitonic automorphisms;*
- ii) *for $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{I}} = \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{I}}^{\mathcal{G}_c}$ the objects of $Z_t^1(\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{I}})$ are in 1-1 correspondence with the ones of $Z^0(\widehat{\mathcal{G}}_c)$. The net $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{I}}$ has only DHR sectors labeled by charges $c \in C$. The net $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{I}}$ has the same sectors of the net $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{I}}$;*
- iii) *for $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{I}} = \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{I}}^{\mathcal{G}}$ the objects of $Z_t^1(\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{I}})$ are in 1-1 correspondence with the ones of $Z^0(\widehat{\mathcal{G}})$. The net $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{I}}$ has only DHR sectors labeled by charges $(c, q) \in \widehat{\mathcal{G}} \cong C \oplus Q$, and the net $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}$ has the same sectors of the net $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{I}}$.*

Proof. Observe that any above of the listed subnet $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{I}}$ is (N -graded-) dual on \mathcal{I} in its defining representation, as see in Proposition 4.1. Hence $Z_t^1(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{I}}^d) = Z_t^1(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{I}})$ and, because of this, $\text{Rep}^{\perp} \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{I}}$ and $Z_t^1(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{I}})$ are equivalent, see the Roberts result recalled [36, Theorem 30.1], and the counting of the superselection sectors is obtained as in Theorem 4.7. The results on the nature of the sector automorphisms are given in Proposition 4.5 of [7], also using the fact that \mathcal{Z}_b is Abelian. \square

We note that the obtained classification of $\text{Rep}^{\perp} \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}$, through a net cohomological computation as above, exclude the existence of sectors of infinite statistical dimension, because the result is acquired in terms of $Z^0(\widehat{\mathcal{G}})$, the net 0-cohomology of the charge group $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}$, i.e. of the dual of a compact gauge group. For this reason, the described representations are *all* the finite dimensional, DHR representations of net $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}$ and, by the discussion in Subsection 4.2 of [7], all the positive energy, Möbius covariant DHR representations of the 1+1 dimensional net $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{K}}$, for \mathcal{K} the causal index set of the double cones.

Moreover, recall that using the (quasi-)triviality of the 1-cohomology of the field net for the problem of completeness of the set of sectors, was well established as a first application of the theory on model, see for example [6], and in the general theoretical setting as in [9, 10].

Further consideration about the category $\text{Rep}^\perp \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}$ are now in order. Being \mathcal{I} a directed index set, we may describe $\text{Rep}^\perp \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}$ by the equivalent tensor, W^* -category of the localized, transportable endomorphisms \mathcal{T}_t of the net $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}$, where the vacuum representation corresponds to the identity morphism, the tensor unit ι of \mathcal{T}_t and for $\rho, \tau \in \mathcal{T}$, the set of the arrows between them is denoted by (ρ, τ) . Moreover, as a general feature, because \mathcal{G} is Abelian, the irreducible elements in \mathcal{T}_t have statistical dimension 1, and any irreducible object in \mathcal{T}_t is the composition of two objects from the following two full subcategories, faithfully embedded in \mathcal{T}_t

- $\mathcal{T}_{t,C}$, whose objects are the automorphisms implemented by the represented Weyl elements in $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{I}}$, with zero Q -charge and the arrows are given by elements in $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{I}}$;
- $\mathcal{T}_{t,Q}$, whose objects are automorphisms implemented by represented Weyl elements in $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{I}}$, with zero C -charge and the arrows are given by elements in $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{I}}$.

Other properties of these categories are proposed in the following

Proposition 4.9 *Let ρ and τ be two objects in the tensor W^* -category \mathcal{T}_t , localized in I_ρ and I_τ respectively, with $I_\rho, I_\tau \in \mathcal{I}$ and $I_\rho < I_\tau$. Then*

i) *a statistical operator $\varepsilon(\rho, \tau) \in (\rho\tau, \tau\rho)$ is defined such that*

$$\varepsilon(\rho, \tau) = e^{-i(C_\rho Q_\tau + Q_\rho C_\tau)} \pi_f(W_f(F_\tau)W_f(F_\rho)W_f(F_\tau)^*W_f(F_\rho)^*), \quad (4.10)$$

where $\pi_f(W_f(F_\rho))$ and $\pi_f(W_f(F_\tau))$ are the represented Weyl operator implementing the automorphisms ρ and τ respectively, for $F_\rho, F_\tau \in V_f$, with any localization;

ii) *if $\rho \cong \tau$ then $\varepsilon(\rho, \tau) = e^{-2iC_\rho Q_\rho}$;*

iii) *\mathcal{T}_t is a braided tensor W^* -category with $(\iota, \iota)_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}} = \mathbb{C}$. The subcategories $\mathcal{T}_{t,C}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{t,Q}$ are symmetric tensor W^* -categories, i.e. if $\rho, \tau \in \mathcal{T}_{t,C}$ or $\rho, \tau \in \mathcal{T}_{t,Q}$ then $\varepsilon(\rho, \tau) = +1$. A fortiori $(\iota, \iota)_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}} = \mathbb{C}$ for both of them.*

Proof. The equality (4.10) is obtained by a routine calculation, see e.g. [19], as

$$\varepsilon(\rho, \tau) = \tau(U_1^*)U_2^*U_1\rho(U_2)$$

where $U_1 \in (\rho, \tilde{\rho})$ and $U_2 \in (\tau, \tilde{\tau})$ are unitary (Weyl) intertwiners. The equivalent auxiliary automorphisms $\tilde{\rho}$ and $\tilde{\tau}$ are also localized in I_ρ and I_τ respectively, and implemented by the Weyl elements with symplectic coordinates $F_{\tilde{\rho}} \in V_f(I_1)$ and $F_{\tilde{\tau}} \in V_f(I_2)$ such that $F_{\tilde{\rho} + \infty} = F_{\tilde{\tau} - \infty} = 0$. In fact this choice may be freely done, up to the an element in N . \square

It is to observe that we may obtain the results of the above Proposition using the chiral approach. This may also be done passing to the corresponding sectors and charges by D’Alambert formula, as see in Subsection 4.2 of [7].

The category \mathcal{T}_t hence turns out to be equivalent as a tensor W^* -category to the category of the representations of the Bohr compactification of the Abelian group \mathbb{R}^2 , in symbols $\text{Rep } b\mathbb{R}^2$. However, as described in equation (4.10), the category \mathcal{T}_t is braided whereas $\text{Rep } b\mathbb{R}^2$ is symmetric.

This occurrence is similar to the one in [24] where two different groups are shown to possess the same representation abstract tensor category. By the Doplicher-Roberts duality in [17], this means that this last category reveals at least two different permutation symmetries on its objects, as a manifestation of the *isocategoricity problem* in a physical model. New results on the mathematical side of this topic, have recently been announced by Müger.

5 Conclusions and Outlook

Aiming to the completeness of a known set of DHR superselection sectors of the net of observables of the Streater and Wilde model, we improve a strong result of Roberts about the triviality of the 1-cohomology of a causal poset valued in a net of von Neumann algebras. Namely, for a large class of Weyl algebras model we exploited the relevance of condition (3.1) as the main net 1-cohomology triviality condition, i.e. as a trivial sectors condition, that may be more easily satisfied for a proper choice of the causal poset.

Moreover, the absence of the rather technical (quasi-)split property for a (fields) net, may be overcome in the Weyl algebras models once it holds for a fixed point (observables) subnet, under the action of a compact group, also in the unfavorable case of the non-separable Hilbert space of a non-regular representation for the larger net.

The formalism of (fields) net with a grading group has also been introduced, for the description of commutation rules of algebras at disjoint elements of the index set and to generalize (Haag) duality on low dimensional spacetimes.

We expect that such hints from the Streater and Wilde case, may help for the description of the superselection structure of models, at least when an underlying crossed product of Weyl algebras is present, see [7, Subsection 2.1]. Some first results in this direction are carried out by the author on the Stückelberg-Kibble QED_2 interacting model, where the *charge* symplectic subspace and its symplectic complement in the non-regular representation, are spaces of test functions, see [8]. In this case, non sharply localized sectors are expected.

Basing on [22] and [4, 3], the analysis of models on different spacetimes may be of interest, both for the presence of DHR or topological non trivial sectors.

We think that the methods developed in these series of two papers, may also be useful in other (simple) currents (extension) cases, such as orbifold, coset, loop groups and vertex algebras models. Actually, the twisted Weyl algebras model we investigated, seems to be a simple linear space example for a general theory of superselection sectors of models based on Galois Theory and Group Extension.

Acknowledgements. I'm grateful to John Roberts for drawing my attention

on the problem, deep discussions and his constant interest on the aim of this work. I also like to thank László Zsidó for hints about conditional expectations and Sebastiano Carpi and Giuseppe Ruzzi for many conversations about the argument of this paper.

References

- [1] Baumgaertel, H., Grundling, H.: Superselection in the presence of constraints. *J. Math. Phys.* **46**, no. 8, 34 pp. (2005).
- [2] Baumgaertel, H., Lledó, F.: Duality of compact groups and Hilbert C^* -systems for C^* -algebras with a nontrivial center. *Internat. J. Math.* **15**, 759-812 (2004).
- [3] Brunetti, R., Franceschini, L., Moretti, V.: Topological cocycles in two dimensional Einstein cylinder for massive bosons. To appear.
- [4] Brunetti, R., Ruzzi, G.: Quantum charges and spacetime topology: The emergence of new superselection sectors. arXiv:0801.3365v2.
- [5] Buchholz, D., Mack, G., Todorov, I.: The current algebra on the circle as a germ of local field theories. *Nucl. Phys. B. (Proc. Suppl.)* **5B**, 20-56 (1988).
- [6] Buchholz, D., Doplicher, S., Longo, R., Roberts, J.E.: A new look at Goldstone's theorem. *Rev. Math. Phys. Special Issue*, 49-83 (1992).
- [7] Ciolli, F.: Massless scalar free Field in 1+1 dimensions I: Weyl algebras Extensions and Superselection Sectors. ArXiv math-ph/0511064.
- [8] Ciolli, F.: The Stckelberg-Kibble QED2 model in a net cohomology approach. (In preparation).
- [9] Conti, R., Carpi, S.: Classification of subsystems for graded-local nets with trivial superselection structure. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **253**, 423-449 (2005).
- [10] Conti, R., Doplicher, S., Roberts, J.E.: Superselection theory for Subsystems. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **218**, 263-281 (2001).
- [11] D'Antoni, C.: Technical properties of the quasi-local algebra. In: [27].
- [12] Doplicher, S., Haag, R., Roberts, J. E.: Fields, observables and gauge transformation I. *Commun. math. Phys.* **13**, 1-23 (1969).
- [13] Doplicher, S., Haag, R., Roberts, J. E.: Fields, observables and gauge transformation II. *Commun. math. Phys.* **15**, 173-200 (1969).
- [14] Doplicher, S., Haag, R., Roberts, J. E.: Local observables and particle statistics I. *Commun. math. Phys.* **23**, 199-230 (1971).
- [15] Doplicher, S. (Editor), Longo, R. (Editor): *Noncommutative Geometry*. Martina Franca, Italy 2000. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* **1831**. Springer-Verlag, 2004.
- [16] Doplicher, S., Longo, R.: Standard and split inclusions of von Neumann algebras, *Invent. Math.* **73**, 493-536 (1984).
- [17] Doplicher, S., Roberts, J.E.: A new duality theory for compact groups. *Invent. Math.* **98**, 157-218 (1989).
- [18] Driessler, W.: Duality and Absence of Locally Generated Superselection Sectors for CCR-Type Algebras. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **70**, 213-220 (1979).
- [19] Fredenhagen, K., Rehren, K.-H., Schroer, B.: Superselection sectors with braid group statistics and exchange algebras I. General theory. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **125**, 201-226 (1989).
- [20] Grundling, H.: Quantum constraints. *Rep. Math. Phys.* **57**, 97-120 (2006).
- [21] Grundling, H., Lledó, F.: Local quantum constraints. *Rev. Math. Phys.* **12**, 1159-1218 (2000).

- [22] Guido, D., Longo, R., Roberts, J. E., Verch, R.: Charged sectors, spin and statistics in quantum field theory on curved spacetimes. *Rev. Math. Phys.* **13**, 125-198 (2001).
- [23] Hislop, P. D., Longo, R.: Modular Structure of the Local Algebras Associated with the Free Massless Scalar Field Theory. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **84**, 71-85 (1982).
- [24] Izumi, M., Kosaki, H.: On a subfactor analogue of the second cohomology, *Rev. Math. Phys.* **14**, 733-757 (2002).
- [25] Kawahigashi, Y., Longo, R., Müger, M.: Multi-interval Subfactors and Modularity of Representations in Conformal Field Theory. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **219**, 631-669 (2001).
- [26] Kawahigashi, Y., Longo: Classification of Two-dimensional Local Conformal Nets with $c < 1$ and 2-cohomology Vanishing for Tensor Categories. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **244**, 63-97 (2004).
- [27] Kastler, D. (ed.): *The algebraic theory of superselection sectors: introduction and recent results*. World Scientific, 1990.
- [28] Leyland, P., Roberts, J.E., Testard, D.: Duality for Quantum Free Fields. Preprint 78/P.1016, C.N.R.S. Marseille 1978.
- [29] Longo, R. (ed.): *Mathematical Physics in Mathematics and Physics. Quantum and Operator Algebraic Aspects*. Fields Institute Communications, Volume **20**. American Mathematical Society, 2001.
- [30] Longo, R., Xu, F.: Topological sectors and a dichotomy in conformal field theory. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **251** (2004), 321-364 .
- [31] Müger, M.: Conformal Field Theory and Doplicher-Roberts Reconstruction. In: [29].
- [32] Müger, M.: On the center of a compact group. *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **51**, 2751-2756 (2004).
- [33] Roberts, J. E.: Local cohomology and superselection rules. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **51**, 107-119 (1976).
- [34] Roberts, J. E.: Mathematical Aspects of Local Cohomology. Proceedings of the Colloquium on Operator Algebras and their Applications to Mathematical Physics, 321-332, CNRS, Marseille, 1977.
- [35] Roberts, J.E.: Lectures on algebraic quantum field theory. In: [27].
- [36] Roberts, J.E.: More lecture on Algebraic Quantum Field Theory. In [15].
- [37] Roberts, J. E., Ruzzi, G.: A cohomological description of connections and curvature over posets. *Theory Appl. Categ.* **16**, 855-895 (2006).
- [38] Ruzzi, G.: Homotopy of posets, net-cohomology and superselection sectors in globally hyperbolic space-times. *Rev. Math. Phys.* **17**, 1021-1070 (2005).
- [39] Sauvageot, J. L.: Produits tensoriels de \mathcal{Z} -modules. *Publ. Univ. P & M. Curie* **23**, 468-485 (1980).
- [40] Streater, R. F., Wilde, I. F.: Fermion states of a Boson field. *Nucl. Phys.* **B24** 561-575 (1970).
- [41] Stratila, S.: *Modular theory in operator algebras*. Abacus Press, 1981.
- [42] Vasselli, E.: Some remarks on group bundles and C^* -dynamical systems. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **274**, 253-276 (2007).