

# CRYSTALS OF FOCK SPACES AND CYCLOTOMIC RATIONAL DOUBLE AFFINE HECKE ALGEBRAS

PENG SHAN

ABSTRACT. We define the  $i$ -restriction and  $i$ -induction functors on the category  $\mathcal{O}$  of the cyclotomic rational double affine Hecke algebras. This yields a crystal on the set of isomorphism classes of simple modules, which is isomorphic to the crystal of a Fock space.

## INTRODUCTION

In [A1], S. Ariki defined the  $i$ -restriction and  $i$ -induction functors for cyclotomic Hecke algebras. He showed that the Grothendieck group of the category of finitely generated projective modules of these algebras can be given the structure of a module over the affine Lie algebra of type  $A^{(1)}$ , with the action of Chevalley generators given by the  $i$ -restriction and  $i$ -induction functors.

The restriction and induction functors of rational DAHA's(=double affine Hecke algebras) are recently defined by R. Bezrukavnikov and P. Etingof. With these functors, we generalize Ariki's construction to the category  $\mathcal{O}$  of cyclotomic rational DAHA's. We show that, as a module over the type  $A^{(1)}$  affine Lie algebra, the Grothendieck group of this category is isomorphic to a Fock space. We also construct a crystal on the set of isomorphism classes of simple modules in the category  $\mathcal{O}$ . It is isomorphic to the crystal of the Fock space.

## 1 NOTATIONS AND REMINDERS

**1.1. Notations.** For  $A$  an algebra, we'll write  $A\text{-mod}$  for the category of finitely generated  $A$ -modules. For  $M$  an  $A$ -module, the socle of  $M$  is the sum of all the simple submodules of  $M$ , the radical of  $M$  is the intersection of all the maximal submodules of  $M$ , the head of  $M$  is the quotient of  $M$  by its radical. We'll denote the socle of  $M$  by  $\text{soc}(M)$  and the head by  $\text{head}(M)$ .

A  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear category is called artinian if the Hom sets are finite dimensional  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector spaces and every object has a finite length.

The Grothendieck group of an abelian category  $\mathcal{C}$  is the quotient of the free abelian group generated by objects in  $\mathcal{C}$  modulo the relations  $M = M' + M''$  for all objects  $M, M', M''$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  such that there is an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow 0$ . Let  $K(\mathcal{C})$  denote the complexified Grothendieck group, a  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space. For each object  $M$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ , let  $[M]$  be its class in  $K(\mathcal{C})$ . Any exact functor  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'$  between two abelian categories induces a vector spaces homomorphism  $K(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow K(\mathcal{C}')$ , which we'll denote by  $F$  again. Given an algebra  $A$  we'll abbreviate  $K(A) = K(A\text{-mod})$ .

Denote by  $\text{Fct}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}')$  the category of functors from a category  $\mathcal{C}$  to  $\mathcal{C}'$ . For  $F \in \text{Fct}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}')$  write  $\text{End}(F)$  for the ring of endomorphisms of the functor  $F$ . We denote by  $1_F : F \rightarrow F$  the identity element in  $\text{End}(F)$ . Let  $G \in \text{Fct}(\mathcal{C}', \mathcal{C}'')$  be a functor from  $\mathcal{C}'$  to another category  $\mathcal{C}''$ . For any  $X \in \text{End}(F)$  and any  $X' \in \text{End}(G)$  we write  $X'X : G \circ F \rightarrow G \circ F$  the morphism of functors given by  $X'X(M) = X'(F(M)) \circ G(X(M))$  for all  $M \in \mathcal{C}$ .

Let  $e \geq 2$  be an integer and  $z$  be a formal parameter. Denote by  $\mathfrak{sl}_e$  the Lie algebra of traceless  $e \times e$  complex matrix. Write  $E_{ij}$  for the elementary matrix with 1 in the position  $(i, j)$  and 0 elsewhere. The type  $A^{(1)}$  affine Lie algebra  $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_e$  is  $\mathfrak{sl}_e \otimes \mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}c$  with  $c$  a central element. The

bracket is the usual one. We'll denote Chevalley generators of  $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_e$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} e_i &= E_{i,i+1} \otimes 1, & f_i &= F_{i+1,i} \otimes 1, & h_i &= (E_{ii} - E_{i+1,i+1}) \otimes 1, & 1 \leq i \leq e-1, \\ e_0 &= E_{e1} \otimes z, & f_0 &= E_{1e} \otimes z^{-1}, & h_0 &= (E_{ee} - E_{11}) \otimes 1 + c. \end{aligned}$$

**1.2. Rational DAHA's and the functor KZ.** Let  $W$  be a complex reflection group. Let  $\mathfrak{h}$  be its reflection representation. Write  $\mathcal{S}$  for the set of reflections in  $W$ . Let  $c$  be a map from  $\mathcal{S}$  to  $\mathbb{C}$  which is constant on the  $W$ -conjugacy classes. The rational DAHA is the quotient  $H_c(W, \mathfrak{h})$  of the smash product of  $\mathbb{C}W$  and the tensor algebra of  $\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}^*$  by the relations

$$[x, x'] = 0, \quad [y, y'] = 0, \quad [y, x] = \langle x, y \rangle - \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}} c_s \langle \alpha_s, y \rangle \langle x, \alpha_s^\vee \rangle s,$$

for all  $x, x' \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ ,  $y, y' \in \mathfrak{h}$ . Here  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is the natural pairing between  $\mathfrak{h}^*$  and  $\mathfrak{h}$ , the element  $\alpha_s$  is a generator of  $\text{Im}(s|_{\mathfrak{h}^*} - 1)$  and  $\alpha_s^\vee$  is the generator of  $\text{Im}(s|_{\mathfrak{h}} - 1)$  such that  $\langle \alpha_s, \alpha_s^\vee \rangle = 2$ .

The category  $\mathcal{O}$  of  $H_c(W, \mathfrak{h})$ -modules consists of the  $H_c(W, \mathfrak{h})$ -modules which are finitely generated as  $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^*]$ -modules and  $\mathfrak{h}$ -locally nilpotent. We denote it by  $\mathcal{O}_c(W, \mathfrak{h})$ . It is an artinian category with enough projective objects. The homological dimension of  $\mathcal{O}_c(W, \mathfrak{h})$  is finite (cf. [GGOR, Section 4.3.1]), so any module in  $\mathcal{O}_c(W, \mathfrak{h})$  has a finite projective resolution. Write  $\text{Irr}(W)$  for the set of isomorphism classes of irreducible representations of  $W$ . For any  $\xi \in \text{Irr}(W)$  the standard module  $\Delta(\xi)$  is an object of  $\mathcal{O}_c(W, \mathfrak{h})$  defined by inducing the  $\mathbb{C}W \times \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^*]$ -module  $\xi$  to a  $H_c(W, \mathfrak{h})$ -module. Here the  $\mathbb{C}W \times \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^*]$ -module structure on  $\xi$  is given by letting all the polynomials in  $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^*]$  act by their constant term. We denote by  $L(\xi)$  the head of  $\Delta(\xi)$ , a simple module. The set  $\{L(\xi) : \xi \in \text{Irr}(W)\}$  is a complete set of non isomorphic simple modules in  $\mathcal{O}_c(W, \mathfrak{h})$ . In [GGOR], a partial order  $\prec$  on  $\text{Irr}(W)$  is introduced. The category  $\mathcal{O}_c(W, \mathfrak{h})$  is a highest weight category with respect to  $\prec$ , cf. [GGOR, Theorem 2.19]. In particular, for each  $\xi$ , a simple module  $L(\eta)$  is a composition factor of the radical of  $\Delta(\xi)$  only if  $\eta \prec \xi$ .

Let  $q$  be a map from  $\mathcal{S}$  to  $\mathbb{C}^*$  which is constant on the  $W$ -conjugacy classes. The Hecke algebra of  $W$  is defined as a quotient of the group algebra of the Artin braid group associated to  $W$ , cf. [BMR, Definition 4.21]. We denote it by  $\mathcal{H}_q(W)$ .

We'll always assume that the complex reflection group  $W$  has no irreducible components of type  $G_{24}, G_{27}, G_{29}, G_{31}, G_{33}, G_{34}$  (cf. [BMR] for notations). This is necessary for the properties of the functor KZ recalled in the next paragraph to hold.

The Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov functor, denoted by KZ, is an exact functor from the category  $\mathcal{O}_c(W, \mathfrak{h})$  to the category  $\mathcal{H}_q(W)$ -mod, cf. [GGOR, Theorem 5.13]. It is represented by a projective object  $P_{\text{KZ}}$  of  $\mathcal{O}_c(W, \mathfrak{h})$ , i.e., it is isomorphic to the functor  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_c(W, \mathfrak{h})}(P_{\text{KZ}}, -)$ . The module  $\text{End}_{\mathcal{O}_c(W, \mathfrak{h})}(P_{\text{KZ}}) = \text{KZ}(P_{\text{KZ}})$  is a left  $\mathcal{H}_q(W)$ -module and a right  $\text{End}_{\mathcal{O}_c(W, \mathfrak{h})}(P_{\text{KZ}})^{op}$ -module. So we have an algebra homomorphism

$$\varphi : \mathcal{H}_q(W) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathcal{O}_c(W, \mathfrak{h})}(P_{\text{KZ}})^{op}, \quad (1.1)$$

defined by  $av = v\varphi(a)$  for  $a \in \mathcal{H}_q(W)$ ,  $v \in \text{KZ}(P_{\text{KZ}})$ . It is an isomorphism by [GGOR, Theorem 5.15]. We have the following proposition by [GGOR, Theorem 5.16].

**PROPOSITION 1.1.** *The functor KZ is fully faithful over the full subcategory of  $\mathcal{O}_c(W, \mathfrak{h})$  consisting of projective objects.*

**1.3. Restriction and induction functors.** Let  $W'$  be a parabolic subgroup of  $W$ . By definition  $W'$  is the stabilizer of a point  $b \in \mathfrak{h}$ . We'll denote such a point by  $b(W, W')$ . By a theorem of Steinberg, the group  $W'$  is generated by reflections. Write  $c'$  (resp.  $q'$ ) for the restriction of  $c$  (resp.  $q$ ) to the subset of  $\mathcal{S}$  consisting of reflections in  $W'$ . Let  $\mathfrak{h}^{W'}$  be the subspace of  $\mathfrak{h}$  fixed by  $W'$ . In [BE], the restriction and induction functors of rational DAHA's are defined

$$\text{Res}_{b(W, W')} : \mathcal{O}_c(W, \mathfrak{h}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{c'}(W', \mathfrak{h}/\mathfrak{h}^{W'}), \quad \text{Ind}_{b(W, W')} : \mathcal{O}_{c'}(W', \mathfrak{h}/\mathfrak{h}^{W'}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_c(W, \mathfrak{h}).$$

We'll abbreviate them by  $\text{Res}_b$  and  $\text{Ind}_b$  when the corresponding groups are clear from the text.

We refer to [BE, Section 3.5] for the precise definition of these functors. Here we only recall some of their properties that will be needed later on.

**PROPOSITION 1.2.** (1) *Both functors are exact. The functor  $\text{Res}_b$  is a left adjoint of  $\text{Ind}_b$ . Hence  $\text{Res}_b$  preserves projective objects and  $\text{Ind}_b$  preserves injective objects.*

(2) *If  $W' = 1$  then for each  $M \in \mathcal{O}_c(W, \mathfrak{h})$  the vector space  $\text{Res}_b(M)$  is the fiber of the  $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}]$ -module  $M$  at  $b$ , and  $\text{Ind}_b(\mathbb{C}) = P_{\text{KZ}}$ .*

(3) *Identify the Grothendieck groups  $K(\mathbb{C}W)$  and  $K(\mathcal{O}_c(W, \mathfrak{h}))$  by mapping  $[\xi]$  to  $[\Delta(\xi)]$  for  $\xi \in \text{Irr}(W)$ . Let  $\text{Res}_{W'}^W$  and  $\text{Ind}_{W'}^W$  be respectively the restriction and induction functors of groups. We have the following commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K(\mathbb{C}W) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & K(\mathcal{O}_c(W, \mathfrak{h})) \\ \text{Ind}_{W'}^W \uparrow & & \downarrow \text{Res}_{W'}^W \\ & & K(\mathbb{C}W') \\ & \xrightarrow{\cong} & K(\mathcal{O}_{c'}(W', \mathfrak{h}/\mathfrak{h}^{W'})) \\ & & \uparrow \text{Ind}_b \\ & & \downarrow \text{Res}_b \end{array} \quad (1.2)$$

Part (1) follows from [BE, Proposition 3.9, Theorem 3.10]. See [BE, Example 3.15] for (2) and [BE, Proposition 3.14] for (3).

We'll also need a dual version of restriction and induction functors. In [GGOR, Section 4.2.1] was introduced a duality functor

$$\dagger : \mathcal{O}_c(W, \mathfrak{h}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\bar{c}}(W, \mathfrak{h}^*)^{op},$$

where  $\bar{c} : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is defined by  $\bar{c}(s) = c(s^{-1})$ . This functor is an involutive equivalence of categories. Moreover, by [GGOR, Section 5.4.2] there is an equivalence  $\Phi$  making the following diagram commute

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}_c(W, \mathfrak{h}) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\dagger} & \mathcal{O}_{\bar{c}}(W, \mathfrak{h}^*)^{op} \\ \text{KZ} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{KZ} \\ \mathcal{H}_q(W)\text{-mod} & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\Phi} & \mathcal{H}_{\bar{q}}(W)\text{-mod}^{op} \end{array} \quad (1.3)$$

Fix  $b(W, W')$  in  $\mathfrak{h}^*$ . We'll view  $\text{Res}_{b(W, W')}$  and  $\text{Ind}_{b(W, W')}$  as functors between  $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{c}}(W, \mathfrak{h}^*)^{op}$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{c}}(W', \mathfrak{h}^*/(\mathfrak{h}^*)^{W'})^{op}$ . The following exact functors are also considered in [BE, Section 3.5]

$$\text{res}_{b(W, W')} = \dagger \circ \text{Res}_{b(W, W')} \circ \dagger, \quad \text{ind}_{b(W, W')} = \dagger \circ \text{Ind}_{b(W, W')} \circ \dagger.$$

Note that  $\text{ind}_b$  is a left adjoint of  $\text{res}_b$ . So  $\text{ind}_b$  preserves projectives and  $\text{res}_b$  preserves injectives. The part (2) and part (3) of Proposition 1.2 hold for  $\text{res}_b$  and  $\text{ind}_b$ . (see [BE]).

The Hecke algebra has a presentation by generators and relations, see [BMR, Proposition 4.22]. In this presentation the generators of  $\mathcal{H}_{q'}(W')$  form a subset of the generators of  $\mathcal{H}_q(W)$  and satisfy the same defining relations, i.e., there is an obvious embedding of  $\mathcal{H}_{q'}(W')$  as a subalgebra of  $\mathcal{H}_q(W)$ . Let  ${}^{\mathcal{H}}\text{Res}_{W'}^W$  be the restriction functor. The induction functor  ${}^{\mathcal{H}}\text{Ind}_{W'}^W$  is  $\mathcal{H}_q(W) \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_{q'}(W')} -$ . It is isomorphic to the co-induction functor  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}_{q'}(W')}(\mathcal{H}_q(W), -)$ , because  $\mathcal{H}_q(W)$  is a free module of finite rank over  $\mathcal{H}_{q'}(W')$ . Hence  ${}^{\mathcal{H}}\text{Ind}_{W'}^W$  is left and right adjoint to  ${}^{\mathcal{H}}\text{Res}_{W'}^W$ .

**1.4. Cyclotomic case.** Let  $l, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $B_n(l)$  be the semi-direct product  $\mathfrak{S}_n \ltimes (\mu_l)^n$  where  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  is the symmetric group on  $n$  elements and  $(\mu_l)^n$  is  $n$  copies of the cyclic group  $\mu_l$  which is generated by  $\varepsilon = \exp(\frac{2\pi i}{l})$ . The group  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  acts on  $(\mu_l)^n$  by permutations. Let  $\varepsilon_i \in (\mu_l)^n$  be the

element with  $\varepsilon$  at the  $i$ -th place and 1 at the other ones. The group  $B_n(l)$  is a complex reflection group with the set of reflections

$$\mathcal{S} = \{\varepsilon_i^p : 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq p \leq l\} \sqcup \{s_{ij}^{(p)} = s_{ij}\varepsilon_i^p\varepsilon_j^{-p} : 1 \leq i < j \leq n, 1 \leq p \leq l\}.$$

Let  $\mathfrak{h} = \mathbb{C}^n$ . Let  $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$  and  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  be dual basis of  $\mathfrak{h}$  and  $\mathfrak{h}^*$ . The group  $B_n(l)$  acts on  $\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}^*$  as follows, for distinct  $i, j, k$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_i(x_i) &= \varepsilon^{-1}x_i, & \varepsilon_i(x_j) &= x_j, & \varepsilon_i(y_i) &= \varepsilon y_i, & \varepsilon_i(y_j) &= y_j, \\ s_{ij}(x_i) &= x_j, & s_{ij}(y_i) &= y_j, & s_{ij}(x_k) &= x_k, & s_{ij}y_k &= y_k. \end{aligned}$$

The cyclotomic rational DAHA is the algebra  $H_c(B_n(l), \mathfrak{h})$ . We'll use another presentation in which we replace the parameter  $c$  by a  $l$ -tuple  $\mathbf{h} = (h, h_1, \dots, h_{l-1})$  such that

$$c_{s_{ij}^{(p)}} = \frac{-1}{2}h, \quad c_{\varepsilon_p} = \frac{-1}{2} \sum_{p'=1}^{l-1} (\varepsilon^{-pp'} - 1)h_{p'}.$$

We write  $H_{\mathbf{h},n} = H_c(B_n(l), \mathfrak{h})$ . The corresponding category  $\mathcal{O}$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{h},n}$ .

The Hecke algebra associated with  $B_n(l)$  is the cyclotomic Hecke algebra with the parameter  $\mathbf{q} = (q, q_1, \dots, q_l)$  where

$$q = \exp(2\pi i h), \quad q_p = \exp(2\pi i (h_1 + h_2 + \dots + h_{p-1} + (p-1)/l)), \quad 1 \leq p \leq l. \quad (1.4)$$

We'll denote it by  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{q},n}$ . This algebra has a presentation with generators  $T_0, T_1, \dots, T_{n-1}$  and relations:

$$\begin{aligned} (T_0 - q_1) \cdots (T_0 - q_l) &= (T_i + 1)(T_i - q) = 0, & 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \\ T_0 T_1 T_0 T_1 &= T_1 T_0 T_1 T_0, \\ T_i T_j &= T_j T_i, & \text{if } |i-j| > 1, \\ T_i T_{i+1} T_i &= T_{i+1} T_i T_{i+1}, & 1 \leq i \leq n-2. \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

The algebra  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{q},n}$  embeds as a subalgebra of  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{q},n+1}$  in the obvious way.

**1.5.** Fix an integer  $l > 0$  and a parameter  $\mathbf{h}$ . We'll be mainly interested in the category

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{h},\mathbb{N}} = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{h},n}.$$

The functor KZ maps it to

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{q},\mathbb{N}}\text{-mod} = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{q},n}\text{-mod}.$$

To simplify the notation we write

$$\begin{aligned} E(n) &= \text{Res}_{b(B_n(l), B_{n-1}(l))}, & F(n) &= \text{Ind}_{b(B_n(l), B_{n-1}(l))}, \\ E(n)^\dagger &= \text{res}_{b(B_n(l), B_{n-1}(l))}, & F(n)^\dagger &= \text{ind}_{b(B_n(l), B_{n-1}(l))}, \\ E(n)^\mathcal{H} &= \mathcal{H} \text{Res}_{B_{n-1}(l)}^{B_n(l)}, & F(n)^\mathcal{H} &= \mathcal{H} \text{Ind}_{B_{n-1}(l)}^{B_n(l)}. \end{aligned}$$

We also write  $E = \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} E(n)$  and  $F = \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} F(n)$ . We'll use the same notation for  $E^\dagger, F^\dagger, E^\mathcal{H}$  and  $F^\mathcal{H}$ . Note that  $(E, F), (F^\dagger, E^\dagger)$  are pairs of adjoint endo-functors of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{h},\mathbb{N}}$ , while  $(E^\mathcal{H}, F^\mathcal{H}), (F^\mathcal{H}, E^\mathcal{H})$  are pairs of adjoint endo-functors of  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{q},\mathbb{N}}\text{-mod}$ .

**1.6. Fock spaces.** Let  $l \geq 1$  be an integer. Let  $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_l)$  be a  $l$ -tuple of integers. A  $l$ -partition is a  $l$ -tuple  $\lambda = (\lambda^1, \dots, \lambda^l)$  with each  $\lambda^j$  a partition, that is a sequence of integers

$(\lambda^j)_1 \geq \dots \geq (\lambda^j)_k > 0$ . We call  $\lambda$  a  $l$ -partition of  $n$  if the integer  $|\lambda| = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z}, k} |(\lambda^j)_k|$  is equal to  $n$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{P}_{n,l}$  the set of  $l$ -partitions of  $n$ .

The Fock space  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{s}}$  with multi-charge  $\mathbf{s}$  is the  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{s}} = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{n,l}} \mathbb{C}\lambda.$$

We define a representation of  $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_e$  on  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{s}}$  as follows:

$$e_i(\lambda) = \sum_{\text{res}(\lambda/\mu)=i} \mu, \quad f_i(\lambda) = \sum_{\text{res}(\mu/\lambda)=i} \mu, \quad i \in \mathbb{Z}/e\mathbb{Z}. \quad (1.6)$$

Here  $e_i, f_i$  are the Chevalley generators of  $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_e$  as defined in Section 1.1. The symbol  $\text{res}$  is as follows. To any  $l$ -partition  $\lambda = (\lambda^1, \dots, \lambda^l)$  we associate the set

$$\Upsilon_\lambda = \{(a, b, i) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times (\mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z}) : 0 < b \leq (\lambda^i)_a\}. \quad (1.7)$$

For each  $(a, b, i) \in \Upsilon_\lambda$  we put  $\text{res}(a, b, i) = b - a + s_i$  modulo  $e$ . For any  $l$ -partition  $\mu$  such that  $\Upsilon_\mu$  contains  $\Upsilon_\lambda$ , we write  $\mu/\lambda$  for the complement of  $\Upsilon_\lambda$  in  $\Upsilon_\mu$ . Let  $|\mu/\lambda|$  be the number of elements in this set.

We identify the set  $\text{Irr}(B_n(l))$  with  $\mathcal{P}_{n,l}$  by the explicit bijection given in [R, Section 6.1.1]. This yields an isomorphism of  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector spaces

$$\theta : K(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{h},\mathbb{N}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{s}}, \quad \Delta(\lambda) \mapsto \lambda. \quad (1.8)$$

**1.7.** Given a  $l$ -partition  $\lambda$  of  $n$ , let  $S_\lambda \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{q},n}$ -mod be the Specht module associated to  $\lambda$ , see [A2, Definition 13.22]. Recall that the functor  $\text{KZ}$  gives a map  $K(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{h},n}) \rightarrow K(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{q},n})$ . The following lemma is standard.

LEMMA 1.3. *For any  $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{n,l}$  we have  $\text{KZ}([\Delta(\lambda)]) = [S_\lambda]$  in  $K(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{q},n})$ .*

PROOF. For any Noetherian commutative  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra  $A$ , we define in the same way as before the rational DAHA over  $A$  associated with the parameters  $h, h_1, \dots, h_{l-1} \in A$ . Let us denote it by  $H_{A,\mathbf{h},n}$ , and let  $\mathcal{H}_{A,\mathbf{h},n}$  be the corresponding Hecke algebra over  $A$ . The category  $\mathcal{O}_{A,\mathbf{h},n}$ , the standard modules  $\Delta_A(\lambda)$ , and the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov functor  $\text{KZ}_A$  are the obvious ones, see [GGOR] for details. The Specht modules  $S_{A,\lambda}$  are also well-defined, see [A2].

Now, let  $\mathbf{h}$  and  $\mathbf{q}$  be as before, and let  $R = \mathbb{C}[[z - h, z_1 - h_1, \dots, z_{l-1} - h_{l-1}]]$  be the ring of formal series. It is a local ring with residue field isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}$ . Let  $K$  be the fraction field of  $R$ . Write  $\mathbf{z} = (z - h, z_1 - h_1, \dots, z_{l-1} - h_{l-1})$ . Both the category  $\mathcal{O}_{K,\mathbf{z},n}$  and the Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H}_{K,\mathbf{z},n}$  are semi-simple. So the standard module  $\Delta_K(\lambda)$  and the Specht module  $S_{K,\lambda}$  are simple for all  $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{n,l}$ . We have  $\text{KZ}_K(\Delta_K(\lambda)) \simeq S_{K,\lambda}$ , see the remark before Theorem 5.13 in [GGOR, Section 5.3]. Recall that the decomposition map

$$d_{K,R} : K(\mathcal{H}_{K,\mathbf{z},n}) \rightarrow K(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{q},n})$$

is defined by  $d_{K,R}([V]) = [L \otimes_R \mathbb{C}]$  for  $V \in \mathcal{H}_{K,\mathbf{z},n}$ -mod. Here  $L \subset V$  is any free  $R$ -module such that  $L \otimes_R K \simeq V$ . Since both  $\text{KZ}_R(\Delta_R(\lambda))$  and  $S_{R,\lambda}$  are free  $R$ -modules, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{KZ}([\Delta(\lambda)]) &= [\text{KZ}_R(\Delta_R(\lambda)) \otimes_R \mathbb{C}] \\ &= d_{K,R}([\text{KZ}_K(\Delta_K(\lambda))]) \\ &= d_{K,R}([S_{K,\lambda}]) \\ &= [S_{R,\lambda} \otimes_R \mathbb{C}] \\ &= [S_\lambda]. \end{aligned}$$

## 2 KZ COMMUTE WITH INDUCTION AND RESTRICTION FUNCTORS

We return to the setting of Section 1.2 and Section 1.3. Let  $W'$  be a parabolic subgroup of  $W$ . Denote by  $\text{KZ}' : \mathcal{O}_{c'}(W', \mathfrak{h}/\mathfrak{h}^{W'}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{q'}(W')$ -mod the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov functor for  $W'$ . Our objective in this section is to prove the following theorem.

**THEOREM 2.1.** *We have isomorphisms of functors:*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{KZ}' \circ \text{Res}_{b(W, W')} &\simeq \mathcal{H} \text{Res}_{W'}^W \circ \text{KZ}, & \text{KZ} \circ \text{Ind}_{b(W, W')} &\simeq \mathcal{H} \text{Ind}_{W'}^W \circ \text{KZ}', \\ \text{KZ}' \circ \text{res}_{b(W, W')} &\simeq \mathcal{H} \text{Res}_{W'}^W \circ \text{KZ}, & \text{KZ} \circ \text{ind}_{b(W, W')} &\simeq \mathcal{H} \text{Ind}_{W'}^W \circ \text{KZ}'. \end{aligned}$$

**2.1.** First, we give some general properties of the restriction and induction functors.

**LEMMA 2.2.** *There are isomorphisms of functors*

$$\text{Ind}_{b(W, W')} \circ \text{Ind}_{b(W', 1)} \simeq \text{Ind}_{b(W, 1)}, \quad \text{Res}_{b(W', 1)} \circ \text{Res}_{b(W, W')} \simeq \text{Res}_{b(W, 1)}.$$

*Similar statements are true for the functors  $\text{ind}_{b(W, W')}$  and  $\text{res}_{b(W, W')}$ .*

**PROOF.** The functors  $\text{Res}_{b(W', 1)} \circ \text{Res}_{b(W, W')}$  and  $\text{Res}_{b(W, 1)}$  are exact and map into the category of  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector spaces. To show that the two functors are isomorphic it's enough to show that they coincide at the level of  $K$ -theory. This is true by Proposition 1.2(3). The first isomorphism follows by the uniqueness of right adjoint functor. The same argument works for  $\text{res}_b$  and  $\text{ind}_b$ .  $\square$

**2.2.** By Proposition 1.2(2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ind}_{b(W, 1)}(\mathbb{C}) &= \text{ind}_{b(W, 1)}(\mathbb{C}) = P_{KZ}, \\ \text{Ind}_{b(W', 1)}(\mathbb{C}) &= \text{ind}_{b(W', 1)}(\mathbb{C}) = P_{KZ'}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.2 yields

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ind}_{b(W, W')}(P_{KZ'}) &\simeq \text{ind}_{b(W, W')}(P_{KZ'}) \\ &\simeq P_{KZ} \end{aligned}$$

So we have a ring homomorphism

$$\tau : \text{End}(P_{KZ'})^{op} \rightarrow \text{End}(P_{KZ})^{op}, \quad f \mapsto \text{Ind}_{b(W, W')}(f). \quad (2.1)$$

**LEMMA 2.3.** *If  $M \in \mathcal{O}_{c'}(W', \mathfrak{h}/\mathfrak{h}^{W'})$  is nonzero then  $\text{Ind}_{b(W, W')}(M)$  is also nonzero. In particular, the map  $\tau$  is injective.*

**PROOF.** It's enough to show that the class of  $\text{Ind}_{b(W, W')}(M)$  in  $K(\mathcal{O}_c(W, \mathfrak{h}))$  is nonzero. By Proposition 1.2(3) for any standard module  $\Delta(\lambda)$  we have  $[\text{Ind}_{b(W, W')}(\Delta(\lambda))] = [\Delta(\text{Ind}_{W'}^W(\lambda))]$ . The Mackey's decomposition formula yields

$$\text{Res}_{W'}^W \circ \text{Ind}_{W'}^W(\lambda) = \bigoplus_{W'gW'} \text{Ind}_{W' \cap gW'g^{-1}}^{W'} \circ \text{Res}_{W' \cap gW'g^{-1}}^{gW'g^{-1}}(g \otimes \lambda).$$

Since the classes of standard modules form a basis of the vector space  $K(\mathcal{O}_{c'}(W', \mathfrak{h}/\mathfrak{h}^{W'}))$ , for any  $M \in \mathcal{O}_{c'}(W', \mathfrak{h}/\mathfrak{h}^{W'})$  we have

$$[\text{Res}_{b(W, W')} \circ \text{Ind}_{b(W, W')}(M)] = \sum_{W'gW'} [\text{Ind}_{b(W', W' \cap gW'g^{-1})} \circ \text{Res}_{b(gW'g^{-1}, W' \cap gW'g^{-1})}(g \otimes M)].$$

Take  $g = 1$ . We see that the class  $[M]$  appears on the r.h.s. with nonzero multiplicity. So if  $M \neq 0$  the sum do not vanish. Hence  $\text{Ind}_{b(W, W')}(M)$  is nonzero.

Now if  $f \in \text{End}(P_{KZ'})^{op}$  is nonzero then  $f(P_{KZ'})$  is nonzero. Since  $\text{Ind}_{b(W, W')}$  is exact, the morphism  $\tau(f) : \text{Ind}_{b(W, W')}(P_{KZ'}) \rightarrow \text{Ind}_{b(W, W')}(f(P_{KZ'}))$  is surjective. Since  $\text{Ind}_{b(W, W')}(f(P_{KZ'}))$  is nonzero, the morphism  $\tau(f)$  is nonzero. So  $\tau$  is injective.  $\square$

Recall that we have identified the algebras  $\mathcal{H}_q(W) \simeq \text{End}(P_{\text{KZ}})^{op}$  via the isomorphism  $\varphi$  in (1.1). For  $W'$  we have also an isomorphism  $\varphi' : \mathcal{H}_{q'}(W') \simeq \text{End}(P_{\text{KZ}'})^{op}$ . Hence  $\tau$  identifies  $\mathcal{H}_{q'}(W')$  with a subalgebra of  $\mathcal{H}_q(W)$ . Note that using  $\text{ind}_{b(W,W')}$  we get another embedding

$$\tau^\dagger : \text{End}(P_{\text{KZ}'})^{op} \rightarrow \text{End}(P_{\text{KZ}})^{op}, \quad f \mapsto \text{ind}_{b(W,W')}(f). \quad (2.2)$$

PROOF OF THEOREM 2.1. We abbreviate  $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_c(W, \mathfrak{h})$ ,  $\mathcal{O}' = \mathcal{O}_{c'}(W', \mathfrak{h}/\mathfrak{h}^{W'})$ ,  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_q(W)$  and  $\mathcal{H}' = \mathcal{H}_{q'}(W')$ . Let us first prove the isomorphism for the functor  $\text{res}_b$ . Recall that it is right adjoint to  $\text{ind}_b$ , let  $\eta : \text{ind}_b \circ \text{res}_b \rightarrow \text{Id}_{\mathcal{O}}$  be the counit. For any  $M \in \mathcal{O}$  the adjunction map

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}'}(P_{\text{KZ}'}, \text{res}_b M) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(\text{ind}_b P_{\text{KZ}'}, M) \quad (2.3)$$

is given by  $f \mapsto \eta(M) \circ \text{ind}_b(f)$ . Each element  $a \in \mathcal{H}'$  acts on  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}'}(P_{\text{KZ}'}, \text{res}_b M)$  by  $a \cdot f = f \circ \varphi'(a)$  for all  $f$ . Identify  $\mathcal{H}'$  as a subalgebra of  $\mathcal{H}$  by  $\tau^\dagger$ . Then each element  $a \in \mathcal{H}'$  acts on  ${}^{\mathcal{H}}\text{Res}_{W'}^W(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(\text{ind}_b P_{\text{KZ}'}, M))$  by  $\tau^\dagger(\varphi'(a))$ , i.e., we have

$$a \cdot g = g \circ \tau^\dagger(\varphi'(a)) = g \circ \text{ind}_b(\varphi'(a))$$

for all  $g$ . So the adjunction isomorphism (2.3) maps  $a \cdot f$  to  $a \cdot (\eta(M) \circ \text{ind}_b(f))$ . It yields an isomorphism of  $\mathcal{H}'$ -modules from  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}'}(P_{\text{KZ}'}, \text{res}_b M)$  to  ${}^{\mathcal{H}}\text{Res}_{W'}^W(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(\text{ind}_b P_{\text{KZ}'}, M))$ . Thus for any  $M \in \mathcal{O}$  we have isomorphisms of  $\mathcal{H}'$ -modules

$$\begin{aligned} \text{KZ}' \circ \text{res}_b(M) &\simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}'}(P_{\text{KZ}'}, \text{res}_b(M)) \\ &\simeq {}^{\mathcal{H}}\text{Res}_{W'}^W(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(\text{ind}_b P_{\text{KZ}'}, M)) \\ &\simeq {}^{\mathcal{H}}\text{Res}_{W'}^W(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(P_{\text{KZ}}, M)) \\ &\simeq {}^{\mathcal{H}}\text{Res}_{W'}^W \circ \text{KZ}(M). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover these isomorphisms are functorial with respect to  $M$ , yielding an isomorphism of functors

$$\text{KZ}' \circ \text{res}_b \simeq {}^{\mathcal{H}}\text{Res}_{W'}^W \circ \text{KZ}.$$

Recall that  $\text{Res}_b \simeq \dagger \text{res}_b \dagger$ . The diagram (1.3) yields an isomorphism

$$\text{KZ}' \circ \text{Res}_b \simeq (\Phi^{-1} \circ {}^{\mathcal{H}_q}\text{Res}_{W'}^W \circ \Phi) \circ \text{KZ}.$$

Here  ${}^{\mathcal{H}_q}\text{Res}_{W'}^W$  denotes the restriction functor from  $\mathcal{H}_q(W)$ -mod<sup>op</sup> to  $\mathcal{H}_{q'}(W')$ -mod<sup>op</sup>. Since the functor  $\Phi^{-1} \circ {}^{\mathcal{H}_q}\text{Res}_{W'}^W \circ \Phi$  is isomorphic to  ${}^{\mathcal{H}}\text{Res}_{W'}^W$ , we have

$$\text{KZ}' \circ \text{Res}_b \simeq {}^{\mathcal{H}}\text{Res}_{W'}^W \circ \text{KZ}. \quad (2.4)$$

Now let's consider the induction functor  $\text{Ind}_b$ . For any  $N \in \mathcal{O}'$  we have a morphism of  $\mathcal{H}$ -modules

$$\zeta(N) : \text{KZ} \circ \text{Ind}_b(N) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}'}(\text{Res}_b P_{\text{KZ}}, N) \quad (2.5)$$

$$\rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}'}(\text{KZ}'(\text{Res}_b P_{\text{KZ}}), \text{KZ}'(N)) \quad (2.6)$$

$$\simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}'}(\mathcal{H}, \text{KZ}'(N)). \quad (2.7)$$

The isomorphism (2.7) follows from the isomorphism  $\text{KZ}' \circ \text{Res}_b(P_{\text{KZ}}) \simeq {}^{\mathcal{H}}\text{Res}_{W'}^W \circ \text{KZ}(P_{\text{KZ}})$  given by (2.4) and the isomorphism  $\text{KZ}(P_{\text{KZ}}) \simeq \mathcal{H}$ . Recall that the induction functor  ${}^{\mathcal{H}}\text{Ind}_{W'}^W$  is equal to the co-induction functor. Thus we have a morphism of functors

$$\zeta : \text{KZ} \circ \text{Ind}_b \rightarrow {}^{\mathcal{H}}\text{Ind}_{W'}^W \circ \text{KZ}'.$$

Since the module  $P_{\text{KZ}}$  is projective, by Proposition 1.2(1) the module  $\text{Res}_b(P_{\text{KZ}})$  is also projective. Hence by Proposition 1.1 for any projective module  $N$  the morphism (2.6) is an isomorphism. This implies that for any module  $N$  the morphism  $\zeta(N)$  is an isomorphism, i.e.,  $\zeta$  is an isomorphism of functors, because any module in the category  $\mathcal{O}$  has a finite projective resolution and both functors  $\text{KZ} \circ \text{Ind}_b$  and  ${}^{\mathcal{H}}\text{Ind}_{W'}^W \circ \text{KZ}'$  are exact. Finally we deduce the isomorphism for the functor  $\text{ind}_b$  by applying the duality functor in the same manner as in the proof of (2.4).  $\square$

**2.3.** A corollary of Theorem 2.1 is that the restriction and induction functors on the category  $\mathcal{O}$  behave well with respect to composition.

**COROLLARY 2.4.** *Let  $W'' \subset W' \subset W$  be parabolic subgroups. We have isomorphisms of functors*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Res}_{b(W', W'')} \circ \text{Res}_{b(W, W')} &\simeq \text{Res}_{b(W, W'')}, \\ \text{Ind}_{b(W, W')} \circ \text{Ind}_{b(W', W'')} &\simeq \text{Ind}_{b(W, W'')}. \end{aligned}$$

*Similar statements are true for the functors  $\text{res}_b$  and  $\text{ind}_b$ .*

**PROOF.** Let's prove the first isomorphism. Since both functors  $\text{Res}_{b(W', W'')} \circ \text{Res}_{b(W, W')}$  and  $\text{Res}_{b(W, W'')}$  are exact and any module in  $\mathcal{O}_c(W, \mathfrak{h})$  has a finite projective resolution, it's enough to prove the isomorphism for projective modules. Note that both functors preserve projective objects and that the functor  $\text{KZ}$  is fully faithful over projective objects. So for any projective module  $M \in \mathcal{O}_c(W, \mathfrak{h})$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_c(W'', \mathfrak{h}/\mathfrak{h}W'')}(\text{Res}_{b(W', W'')} \circ \text{Res}_{b(W, W')}(M), \text{Res}_{b(W, W'')}(M)) \\ &= \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}_q(W'')}(\text{KZ}'' \circ \text{Res}_{b(W', W'')} \circ \text{Res}_{b(W, W')}(M), \text{KZ}'' \circ \text{Res}_{b(W, W'')}(M)). \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 2.1 the latter set is

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}_q(W'')}({}^{\mathcal{H}}\text{Res}_{W''}^W \circ \text{KZ}(M), {}^{\mathcal{H}}\text{Res}_{W''}^W \circ \text{KZ}(M)).$$

The identity element in this set maps to an isomorphism

$$\text{Res}_{b(W', W'')} \circ \text{Res}_{b(W, W')}(M) \simeq \text{Res}_{b(W, W'')}(M).$$

So the two functors are isomorphic. The result for the functor  $\text{Ind}_b$  follows from the uniqueness of right adjoint functor. For  $\text{res}_b$  and  $\text{ind}_b$  it follows from duality.  $\square$

### 3 $i$ -RESTRICTION AND $i$ -INDUCTION

**3.1.** Let  $e > 1$  be an integer. We fix a tuple of integers  $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_l) \in \mathbb{Z}^l$  and we choose the parameter  $\mathbf{h}$  as follows:

$$h = \frac{-1}{e}, \quad h_p = (s_{p+1} - s_p)/e - 1/l, \quad 1 \leq p \leq l-1.$$

We may assume that  $\mathbf{q}$  is given by

$$q = \exp\left(-\frac{2\pi i}{e}\right), \quad q_p = \exp\left(2\pi i \frac{s_p}{e}\right), \quad 1 \leq p \leq l.$$

In fact, since each  $q_p$  here is the  $q_p$  in (1.4) multiplied by the scalar  $q^{s_1/e}$ , it's clear from the presentation (1.5) that this modification of  $\mathbf{q}$  does not affect the algebra  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{q}, n}$ .

Let us recall the definition of the  $i$ -restriction and  $i$ -induction functors for  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{q}, n}$ . First define the Jucy-Murphy elements  $J_0, \dots, J_{n-1}$  in  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{q}, n}$  by  $J_0 = T_0$  and  $J_i = q^{-1}T_i J_{i-1} T_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ . For any symmetric polynomial  $\sigma$  of  $n$  variables the element  $\sigma(J_0, \dots, J_{n-1})$  belongs to  $Z(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{q}, n})$ ,



the center of  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{q},n}$ . See [A2, Section 13.1]. In particular, if  $z$  is a formal variable the polynomial  $C_n(z) = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (z - J_i)$  in  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{q},n}[z]$  has coefficients in  $Z(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{q},n})$ . For any  $a(z) \in \mathbb{C}(z)$  let  $P_{n,a(z)}$  be the exact endo-functor of the category  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{q},n}$ -mod which maps each module  $M$  to the generalized eigenspace of  $C_n(z)$  in  $M$  with eigenvalue  $a(z)$ . Recall the functors  $E(n)^{\mathcal{H}}$ ,  $F(n)^{\mathcal{H}}$  from Section 1.5. For any  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/e\mathbb{Z}$  the  $i$ -restriction functor  $E_i(n)^{\mathcal{H}}$  and the  $i$ -induction functor  $F_i(n)^{\mathcal{H}}$  are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} E_i(n)^{\mathcal{H}} &= \bigoplus_{a(z) \in \mathbb{C}(z)} P_{n-1,a(z)/(z-q^i)} \circ E(n)^{\mathcal{H}} \circ P_{n,a(z)}, \\ F_i(n)^{\mathcal{H}} &= \bigoplus_{a(z) \in \mathbb{C}(z)} P_{n,a(z)(z-q^i)} \circ F(n)^{\mathcal{H}} \circ P_{n-1,a(z)}. \end{aligned}$$

For each  $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{n,l}$  we set  $a_\lambda(z) = \prod_{v \in \Upsilon_\lambda} (z - q^{\text{res}(v)})$ .

**PROPOSITION 3.1.** (1) *The functors  $E_i(n)^{\mathcal{H}}$ ,  $F_i(n)^{\mathcal{H}}$  are exact. The functor  $E_i(n)^{\mathcal{H}}$  is left and right adjoint to  $F_i(n)^{\mathcal{H}}$ .*

(2) *For any  $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{n,l}$  the element  $C_n(z)$  has a unique eigenvalue on the Specht module  $S_\lambda$ . It is equal to  $a_\lambda(z)$ .*

(3) *We have*

$$E_i(n)^{\mathcal{H}}([S_\lambda]) = \sum_{\text{res}(\lambda/\mu)=i} [S_\mu], \quad F_i(n)^{\mathcal{H}}([S_\lambda]) = \sum_{\text{res}(\mu/\lambda)=i} [S_\mu]. \quad (3.1)$$

Part (1) is obvious. See [A2, Theorem 13.21(2)] for (2) and [A2, Lemma 13.37] for (3).

**3.2.** Recall that the center of a category  $\mathcal{C}$  is the algebra  $Z(\mathcal{C})$  of endomorphisms of the identity functor  $\text{Id}_{\mathcal{C}}$ . By [GGOR, Corollary 5.18], the canonical morphism  $Z(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{h},n}) \rightarrow \text{End}(P_{\text{KZ}})$  induces an isomorphism  $\kappa : Z(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{h},n}) \xrightarrow{\sim} Z(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{q},n})$ . Hence there are unique elements  $K_1, \dots, K_n \in Z(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{h},n})$  such that the polynomial  $D_n(z) = z^n + K_1 z^{n-1} + \dots + K_n$  maps to  $C_n(z)$  by  $\kappa$ . The elements  $K_1, \dots, K_n$  act on simple modules by scalars and the category  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{h},n}$  is artinian. Thus every module  $M$  in  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{h},n}$  is a direct sum of generalized eigenspaces of  $D_n(z)$ . For  $a(z) \in \mathbb{C}(z)$  let  $Q_{n,a(z)}$  be the exact endo-functor of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{h},n}$  which maps each module  $M$  to the generalized eigenspace of  $D_n(z)$  in  $M$  with eigenvalue  $a(z)$ .

**DEFINITION 3.2.** The  $i$ -restriction functor  $E_i(n)$  and the  $i$ -induction functor  $F_i(n)$  for  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{h},n}$  are

$$\begin{aligned} E_i(n) &= \bigoplus_{a(z) \in \mathbb{C}(z)} Q_{n-1,a(z)/(z-q^i)} \circ E(n) \circ Q_{n,a(z)}, \\ F_i(n) &= \bigoplus_{a(z) \in \mathbb{C}(z)} Q_{n,a(z)(z-q^i)} \circ F(n) \circ Q_{n-1,a(z)}. \end{aligned}$$

The functors  $E_i^\dagger(n)$ ,  $F_i^\dagger(n)$  are defined by the same formulas with the functors  $E(n)$ ,  $F(n)$  replaced respectively by  $E^\dagger(n)$ ,  $F^\dagger(n)$ .

For any  $M \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{h},n}$  and any  $f \in Z(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{h},n})$  the morphism  $\text{KZ}(f(M)) : \text{KZ}(M) \rightarrow \text{KZ}(M)$  is the multiplication by  $\kappa(f)$ . Since  $\kappa(D_n(z)) = C_n(z)$  for any  $a(z) \in \mathbb{C}(z)$  we have  $\text{KZ} \circ Q_{n,a(z)} \simeq P_{n,a(z)} \circ \text{KZ}$ . So by Theorem 2.1 the following proposition holds.

**PROPOSITION 3.3.** *For any  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/e\mathbb{Z}$  and any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we have isomorphisms of functors*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{KZ} \circ E_i(n) &\simeq E_i^{\mathcal{H}}(n) \circ \text{KZ}, & \text{KZ} \circ F_i(n) &\simeq F_i^{\mathcal{H}}(n) \circ \text{KZ}, \\ \text{KZ} \circ E_i^\dagger(n) &\simeq E_i^{\mathcal{H}\dagger}(n) \circ \text{KZ}, & \text{KZ} \circ F_i^\dagger(n) &\simeq F_i^{\mathcal{H}\dagger}(n) \circ \text{KZ}. \end{aligned}$$

The next proposition is the DAHA version of Proposition 3.1.

PROPOSITION 3.4. (1) The functors  $E_i(n)$ ,  $F_i(n)$ ,  $E_i^\dagger(n)$ ,  $F_i^\dagger(n)$  are exact. The functors  $E_i(n)$ ,  $F_i^\dagger(n)$  are left adjoint to  $F_i(n)$ ,  $E_i^\dagger(n)$  respectively.

(2) For any  $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{n,l}$  the unique eigenvalue of  $D_n(z)$  on the standard module  $\Delta(\lambda)$  is  $a_\lambda(z)$ .

(3) We have the following equalities

$$E_i(n)([\Delta(\lambda)]) = \sum_{\text{res}(\lambda/\mu)=i} [\Delta(\mu)], \quad F_i(n)([\Delta(\lambda)]) = \sum_{\text{res}(\mu/\lambda)=i} [\Delta(\mu)]. \quad (3.2)$$

Similar equalities hold for  $E_i^\dagger$  and  $F_i^\dagger$ .

PROOF. Part (1) follows by construction and by Proposition 1.2(1). For (2), since a standard module is indecomposable, the element  $D_n(z)$  has a unique eigenvalue on  $\Delta(\lambda)$ . By Lemma 1.3 this eigenvalue is the same as the eigenvalue of  $C_n(z)$  on  $S_\lambda$ . Let us prove the equality for  $E_i(n)$  in (3). The Pieri rule for the group  $B_n(l)$  together with Proposition 1.2(3) yields

$$E(n)([\Delta(\lambda)]) = \sum_{|\lambda/\mu|=1} [\Delta(\mu)], \quad F(n)([\Delta(\lambda)]) = \sum_{|\mu/\lambda|=1} [\Delta(\mu)]. \quad (3.3)$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_i(n)([\Delta(\lambda)]) &= \bigoplus_{a(z) \in \mathbb{C}[z]} Q_{n-1, a(z)/(z-q^i)}(E(n)(Q_{n, a(z)}([\Delta(\lambda)]))) \\ &= Q_{n-1, a_\lambda(z)/(z-q^i)}(E(n)(Q_{n, a_\lambda(z)}([\Delta(\lambda)]))) \\ &= Q_{n-1, a_\lambda(z)/(z-q^i)}(E(n)([\Delta(\lambda)])) \\ &= Q_{n-1, a_\lambda(z)/(z-q^i)}\left(\sum_{|\lambda/\mu|=1} [\Delta(\mu)]\right) \\ &= \sum_{\text{res}(\lambda/\mu)=i} [\Delta(\mu)]. \end{aligned}$$

To prove the last equality note that for any  $l$ -partition  $\mu$  such that  $|\lambda/\mu| = 1$  we have  $a_\lambda(z) = a_\mu(z)(z - q^{\text{res}(\lambda/\mu)})$  by (2). The proof for  $F_i(n)$  is similar.  $\square$

COROLLARY 3.5. Under the isomorphism  $\theta$  in (1.8) the operators  $E_i$  and  $F_i$  on  $K(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbb{N}})$  go respectively to the operators  $e_i$  and  $f_i$  on  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{s}}$ . When  $i$  runs over  $\mathbb{Z}/e\mathbb{Z}$  they yield a representation of  $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_e$  on  $K(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbb{N}})$  such that  $\theta$  is an isomorphism of  $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_e$ -modules.

PROOF. This is clear from Proposition 3.4(3) and from (1.6).  $\square$

## 4 $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -CATEGORIFICATION

4.1. Following [CR] we call a *weak  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -categorification* the data  $(U, V)$  of an adjoint pair of exact endo-functors of an artinian category  $\mathcal{C}$  such that

- the action of the operators  $U$  and  $V$  on the Grothendieck group  $K(\mathcal{C})$  gives a locally finite  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -representation,
- the classes of the simple objects in  $\mathcal{C}$  are weight vectors.

Note that we removed the condition that  $V$  is isomorphic to a left adjoint of  $U$  from the definition of [CR].

An  *$\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -categorification* on the category  $\mathcal{C}$  is a weak  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -categorification on  $\mathcal{C}$  with the extra data of  $q \in \mathbb{C}^*$  with  $q \neq 1$  and  $a \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $a \neq 0$  and of  $X \in \text{End}(U)$ ,  $T \in \text{End}(U^2)$  such that

- $(1_U T) \circ (T 1_U) \circ (1_U T) = (T 1_U) \circ (1_U T) \circ (T 1_U)$ ,
- $(T + 1_{U^2}) \circ (T - q 1_{U^2}) = 0$ ,
- $T \circ (1_U X) \circ T = q X 1_U$ ,
- $X - a$  is locally nilpotent.

For each  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/e\mathbb{Z}$  write  $E_i^{\mathcal{H}} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} E_i(n)^{\mathcal{H}}$  and  $F_i^{\mathcal{H}} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} F_i(n)^{\mathcal{H}}$ . We'll use the same notation for  $E_i$ ,  $F_i$ ,  $E_i^\dagger$  and  $F_i^\dagger$ . In [CR, Section 7.2.2] the elements  $X_i^{\mathcal{H}} \in \text{End}(E_i^{\mathcal{H}})$  and  $T_i^{\mathcal{H}} \in \text{End}((E_i^{\mathcal{H}})^2)$  are defined such that  $(E_i^{\mathcal{H}}, F_i^{\mathcal{H}}, X_i^{\mathcal{H}}, T_i^{\mathcal{H}})$  is a  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -categorification on the category  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbb{N}}\text{-mod}$  with  $q = \exp(-\frac{2\pi i}{e})$  and  $a = q^i$ . Adjunction yields  $\text{End}((E_i^{\mathcal{H}})^k) \simeq \text{End}((F_i^{\mathcal{H}})^k)$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $F_i^{\mathcal{H}}$  is also a left adjoint of  $E_i^{\mathcal{H}}$ , by identifying  $X_i^{\mathcal{H}}$  (resp.  $T_i^{\mathcal{H}}$ ) with its image in  $\text{End}(F_i^{\mathcal{H}})$  (resp. in  $\text{End}((F_i^{\mathcal{H}})^2)$ ) we get a new  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -categorification  $(F_i^{\mathcal{H}}, E_i^{\mathcal{H}}, X_i^{\mathcal{H}}, T_i^{\mathcal{H}})$  on  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbb{N}}\text{-mod}$ .

**4.2.** In the following two subsections we'll fix an  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/e\mathbb{Z}$  and we define some  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -categorification on the category  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbb{N}}$ .

LEMMA 4.1. *The adjoint pairs  $(E_i, F_i)$ ,  $(F_i^\dagger, E_i^\dagger)$  are weak  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -categorifications on  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbb{N}}$ .*

PROOF. The first property follows from Corollary 3.5. By (1.8), the class  $[\Delta(\lambda)]$  is identified with a weight vector of  $K(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbb{N}})$  for each  $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{n, l}$ . Since the classes of the simple modules form a basis of  $K(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbb{N}})$  and

$$[\Delta(\lambda)] = [L(\lambda)] + \sum_{\mu < \lambda} a_\mu [L(\mu)]$$

with  $a_\mu \in \mathbb{N}$ , the class  $[L(\lambda)]$  is also a weight vector. So the second property is satisfied.  $\square$

We'll use the following lemma to define the morphisms  $X_i \in \text{End}(E_i)$  and  $T_i \in \text{End}(E_i^2)$ .

LEMMA 4.2. *For any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  the ring homomorphism*

$$\text{End}(E_i^k) \rightarrow \text{End}(\text{KZ} \circ E_i^k), \quad f \mapsto 1_{\text{KZ}} f \tag{4.1}$$

*is an isomorphism.*

PROOF. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the full subcategory of projective objects in  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbb{N}}$ . Following Proposition 1.2(1) the functor  $E_i : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbb{N}}$  restrict to an endo-functor  $\tilde{E}_i$  of  $\mathcal{A}$ . By Proposition 1.1, the functor  $\text{KZ}$  is fully faithful over  $\mathcal{A}$ . So the functor

$$\text{Fct}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{Fct}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbb{N}}\text{-mod}), \quad G \mapsto \text{KZ} \circ G$$

is also fully faithful. This yields a ring isomorphism

$$\text{End}(\tilde{E}_i^k) \simeq \text{End}(\text{KZ} \circ \tilde{E}_i^k), \quad f \mapsto 1_{\text{KZ}} f, \quad 1 \leq k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Since every module in  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbb{N}}$  has a finite projective resolution, the natural ring homomorphisms  $\text{End}(E_i^k) \rightarrow \text{End}(\tilde{E}_i^k)$  and  $\text{End}(\text{KZ} \circ E_i^k) \rightarrow \text{End}(\text{KZ} \circ \tilde{E}_i^k)$  are invertible. The lemma follows.  $\square$

By Proposition 3.3, we have ring isomorphisms  $\text{End}(\text{KZ} \circ E_i) \simeq \text{End}(E_i^{\mathcal{H}} \circ \text{KZ})$  and  $\text{End}(\text{KZ} \circ E_i^2) \simeq \text{End}((E_i^{\mathcal{H}})^2 \circ \text{KZ})$ . So the following proposition holds.

PROPOSITION 4.3. *There exist a unique morphism  $X_i \in \text{End}(E_i)$  such that  $1_{\text{KZ}} X_i \simeq X_i^{\mathcal{H}} 1_{\text{KZ}}$  and a unique  $T_i \in \text{End}(E_i^2)$  such that  $1_{\text{KZ}} T_i \simeq T_i^{\mathcal{H}} 1_{\text{KZ}}$ . Moreover the data  $(E_i, F_i, X_i, T_i)$  is a  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -categorification on  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbb{N}}$ .*

PROOF. The existence and uniqueness of  $X_i$  and  $T_i$  are clear by Lemma 4.2. These morphisms satisfy the relations in the definition of the  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -categorification because  $X_i^{\mathcal{H}}, T_i^{\mathcal{H}}$  satisfy them and these relations are preserved by ring homomorphisms.  $\square$

By considering the adjoint pair  $(F_i^\dagger, E_i^\dagger)$  instead of  $(E_i, F_i)$  we define in the same way the morphism  $X_i^\dagger \in \text{End}(F_i^\dagger)$  and  $T_i^\dagger \in \text{End}((F_i^\dagger)^2)$  such that  $(F_i^\dagger, E_i^\dagger, X_i^\dagger, T_i^\dagger)$  is a  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -categorification on  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbb{N}}$ .

**4.3.** Now we prove Theorem 4.6. It is a version of [CR, Proposition 5.20]. We'll follow closely the proof of [CR] but we'll not use the fact that  $F_i$  is isomorphic to a left adjoint of  $E_i$  (which we have not checked). This theorem is essential for the construction of the crystal in the next section.

Let us first introduce some notation. We abbreviate  $E = E_i, F = F_i, E^\dagger = E_i^\dagger, F^\dagger = F_i^\dagger$ . Recall that the action of  $E, F$  on  $K(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbb{N}})$  makes it a locally finite  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -module. For any module  $M$  in  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $[M]$  is a weight vector for the  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -action we write

$$h_+(M) = \max\{k \in \mathbb{N} : E^k[M] \neq 0\}, \quad h_-(M) = \max\{k \in \mathbb{N} : F^k[M] \neq 0\}.$$

We set also  $d(M) = h_+(M) + h_-(M) + 1$ . Notice that  $d(M)$  is the dimension of the  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -submodule of  $K(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbb{N}})$  generated by  $[M]$ . In particular we have  $d(E(M)) = d(M)$  if  $E(M)$  is nonzero, and  $d(F(M)) = d(M)$  if  $F(M)$  is nonzero.

Next we recall some important facts from [CR]. By the definition of a  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -categorification there is a canonical representation of the affine Hecke algebra of type  $A_{n-1}$  on  $\text{End}(E^n)$  for each  $n$ . Using this representation one proves the following lemma. It is independent of the fact that  $F$  is isomorphic to a left adjoint of  $E$ .

LEMMA 4.4. (1) For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there exist an exact endo-functor  $E^{(n)}$  of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbb{N}}$  such that it is isomorphic to a direct summand of  $E^n$  and we have  $E^n \simeq (n!)E^{(n)}$ .

(2) For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and any simple module  $L$ , if the module  $E^{(n)}(L)$  is simple then the module  $E^{n-k}(E^{(k)}L)$  has a simple socle and a simple head for any  $k \leq n$ .

The lemma also holds with  $E$  replaced by  $F$ . See [CR, Lemma 5.13] for (1), the beginning of the proof of [CR, Proposition 5.20] for (2).

Given a simple module  $L$  in  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbb{N}}$ . By Lemma 4.1 the classe  $[L]$  is a weight vector, we abbreviate  $n_+ = h_+(L), n_- = h_-(L)$ .

LEMMA 4.5. (1) We have

$$F^{(j)}E^{(j)}[L] = \binom{n_- + j}{j} \binom{n_+}{j} [L], \quad \text{for } 0 \leq j \leq n_+,$$

$$E^{(j)}F^{(j)}[L] = \binom{n_+ + j}{j} \binom{n_-}{j} [L], \quad \text{for } 0 \leq j \leq n_-.$$

(2) If  $EL = 0$  then there exist a simple module  $S$  and a positive integer  $r$  such that  $F^{(n_-)}[L] = r[S]$ . The same result holds with  $E, F$  exchanged and  $n_-$  replaced by  $n_+$ .

(3) Fix  $r \geq 1$ . Let  $M$  be a module in  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $[M]$  is a weight vector for the  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -action on  $K(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbb{N}})$  with  $d(M) \leq r$ .

(a) If every simple submodule  $L$  of  $M$  satisfies  $d(L) = r$ , then for any  $k \leq h_-(M)$  and any simple submodule  $S$  of  $F^k M$  we have  $d(S) = r$ .

(b) If every simple quotient  $L$  of  $M$  satisfies  $d(L) = r$ , then for any  $k \leq h_+(M)$  and any simple quotient  $S$  of  $E^k M$  we have  $d(S) = r$ .

PROOF. See [CR, Lemma 4.1] for (1), [CR, Lemma 4.3(3)] for (2). The proof only uses properties of locally finite  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -representations. Part (3) is part of [CR, Lemma 5.12]. Since the proof of [CR, Lemma 5.12] uses that  $F$  is a left adjoint of  $E$ , we prove (3) here. For (a), it is trivial if  $h_-(M) = 0$ . For  $h_-(M) \geq 1$ , by recurrence it's enough to prove it for  $k = 1$ . Let  $S$  be a simple submodule of  $FM$ . The adjunction isomorphism  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{h},\mathbb{N}}}(ES, M) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{h},\mathbb{N}}}(S, FM) \neq 0$  implies that there is a simple submodule  $T$  of  $M$  which is isomorphic to a composition factor of  $ES$ . So we have  $d(S) \geq d(ES) \geq d(T) = r$ . On the other hand  $d(S) \leq d(FM) \leq d(M) \leq r$ . So we have  $d(S) = r$ . Part (b) is proved in the same way.  $\square$

THEOREM 4.6. *Let  $L$  be a simple module in  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{h},\mathbb{N}}$ .*

- (1) *The modules  $E^{(n_+)}L$  and  $F^{(n_-)}L$  are simple.*
- (2) *For any  $k \leq n_+$  the head of  $E^{(k)}L$  is simple. For any  $k \leq n_-$  the socle of  $F^{(k)}L$  is simple.*

In particular if  $\text{head}(EL)$  and  $\text{soc}(FL)$  are nonzero then they are simple. Similar results hold with the pair  $(E, n_+)$  replaced by  $(F^\dagger, n_-)$ , and with  $(F, n_-)$  replaced by  $(E^\dagger, n_+)$ .

PROOF. First we suppose (1) and we prove (2). Let's show that for  $k \leq n_-$  the module  $\text{soc}(F^{(k)}L)$  is simple. By Lemma 4.4(2) the module  $F^{n-k}(F^{(k)}L)$  has a simple socle. So the module  $F^{n-k}(\text{soc}(F^{(k)}L)) \subset F^{n-k}(F^{(k)}L)$  is indecomposable. In particular there is at most one summand  $S$  of  $\text{soc}(F^{(k)}L)$  such that  $F^{n-k}S \neq 0$ . We claim that for any nonzero summand  $T$  of  $\text{soc}(F^{(k)}L)$  the module  $F^{n-k}T$  is nonzero. This implies that  $\text{soc}(F^{(k)}L)$  is simple. In fact by Lemma 4.4(1) the module  $T$  is isomorphic to a submodule of  $F^kL$ . Apply Lemma 4.5(3)(a) to  $M = L$  and  $r = d(L)$ . We have  $d(T) = d(L) = d(F^kL)$ . Hence  $h_-(T) = h_-(F^kL)$  because  $[T]$  and  $[F^kL]$  have the same weight. So  $F^{n-k}T$  is nonzero. The claim is proved. The proof for  $\text{head}(E^{(k)}L)$  is similar.

Now we prove (1) for  $L$  such that  $EL = 0$ . By Lemma 4.5(2) there is a simple object  $S$  and a positive integer  $r$  such that  $F^{(n_-)}[L] = r[S]$ . Since  $[E^{(n_-)}F^{(n_-)}L] = [L]$  by Lemma 4.5(1), we have  $r = 1$ . So the module  $F^{(n_-)}L$  is simple. Similarly if  $FL = 0$  then the module  $E^{(n_+)}L$  is simple.

Finally we prove (1). Let  $L$  be an arbitrary simple module. For any simple quotient  $T$  of  $E^{(n_+)}L$  we have  $\text{Hom}(L, F^{(n_+)}T) \simeq \text{Hom}(E^{(n_+)}L, T) \neq 0$ . So the module  $L$  is isomorphic to a submodule of  $F^{(n_+)}T$ . By Lemma 4.5(3)(b) we have  $d(T) = d(L)$ . Since  $ET = 0$ , we have  $h_-(T) = n_- + n_+$ . So the module  $S = F^{(n_-+n_+)}T$  is simple by the previous paragraph. By Lemma 4.4 we have isomorphism of functors  $F^{(n_-)} \circ F^{(n_+)} \simeq \binom{n_+ + n_-}{n_+} F^{(n_-+n_+)}$ . So the module  $F^{(n_-)}(F^{(n_+)}T)$  is isomorphic to the direct sum of  $\binom{n_+ + n_-}{n_+}$  copies of  $S$ . Hence there is a positive integer  $m$  such that  $F^{(n_-)}L \simeq mS$ . By duality we have  $(F^\dagger)^{(n_-)}L^\dagger \simeq mS^\dagger$ . So

$$\begin{aligned} m &= \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Hom}((F^\dagger)^{(n_-)}L^\dagger, S^\dagger) \\ &= \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Hom}(L^\dagger, (E^\dagger)^{(n_-)}S^\dagger). \end{aligned}$$

By applying the arguments in the previous paragraph to the adjoint pair  $(F^\dagger, E^\dagger)$ , we see that  $F^\dagger S^\dagger = 0$  yields that the module  $(E^\dagger)^{(n_-+n_+)}S^\dagger$  is simple. So by the first paragraph the module  $\text{soc}((E^\dagger)^{(n_-)}S^\dagger)$  is simple. By consequence  $\dim \text{Hom}(L^\dagger, (E^\dagger)^{(n_-)}S^\dagger) \leq 1$ . We have  $m = 1$ . So the module  $F^{(n_-)}L$  is simple. By exchanging the role of  $(E, F)$  and  $(F^\dagger, E^\dagger)$  in the proof, we show that  $(E^\dagger)^{(n_+)}L^\dagger$  is simple. Hence  $E^{(n_+)}L$  is simple by duality.  $\square$

## 5 CRYSTALS

**5.1.** Let  $\Pi = \{\alpha_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/e\mathbb{Z}}$  be the set of roots of  $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_e$ . Let  $\Pi^\vee = \{\alpha_i^\vee\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/e\mathbb{Z}}$  be the set of coroots. Let  $P$  be the weight lattice. A  $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_e$ -crystal is a set  $B$  together with maps

$$\text{wt} : B \rightarrow P, \quad \tilde{e}_i, \tilde{f}_i : B \rightarrow B \sqcup \{0\}, \quad \epsilon_i, \varphi_i : B \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \sqcup \{-\infty\},$$

such that

- $\varphi_i(b) = \epsilon_i(b) + \langle \alpha_i^\vee, \text{wt}(b) \rangle$ ,
- if  $\tilde{e}_i b \in B$ , then  $\text{wt}(\tilde{e}_i b) = \text{wt}(b) + \alpha_i$ ,  $\epsilon_i(\tilde{e}_i b) = \epsilon_i(b) - 1$ ,  $\varphi_i(\tilde{e}_i b) = \varphi_i(b) + 1$ ,
- if  $\tilde{f}_i b \in B$ , then  $\text{wt}(\tilde{f}_i b) = \text{wt}(b) - \alpha_i$ ,  $\epsilon_i(\tilde{f}_i b) = \epsilon_i(b) + 1$ ,  $\varphi_i(\tilde{f}_i b) = \varphi_i(b) - 1$ ,
- let  $b, b' \in B$ , then  $\tilde{f}_i b = b'$  if and only if  $\tilde{e}_i b' = b$ ,
- if  $\varphi_i(b) = -\infty$ , then  $\tilde{e}_i b = 0$  and  $\tilde{f}_i b = 0$ .

Let  $V$  be an integrable highest weight  $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_e$ -module over which the action of  $e_i$  is locally nilpotent for all  $i$ . For any nonzero  $v \in V$  and any  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/e\mathbb{Z}$  we set  $l_i(v) = \max\{l \in \mathbb{N} : e_i^l v \neq 0\}$ . Write  $l_i(0) = -\infty$ . For  $l \geq 0$  let  $V_i^{<l} = \{v \in V : l_i(v) < l\}$ . We call  $B \subset V$  a *weight basis* if it is a basis of  $V$  such that each element of  $B$  is a weight vector. Following A. Berenstein and D. Kazhdan (cf. [BK, Definition 5.30]), a *perfect basis* of  $V$  is a weight basis  $B$  together with maps  $\tilde{e}_i, \tilde{f}_i : B \rightarrow B \sqcup \{0\}$  for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/e\mathbb{Z}$  such that

- for  $b, b' \in B$  we have  $\tilde{f}_i b = b'$  if and only if  $\tilde{e}_i b' = b$ ,
- we have  $\tilde{e}_i(b) \neq 0$  if and only if  $e_i(b) \neq 0$ ,
- if  $e_i(b) \neq 0$  then we have  $e_i(b) \in \mathbb{C}^* \tilde{e}_i(b) + V_i^{<l_i(b)-1}$ .

We denote it by  $(B, \tilde{e}_i, \tilde{f}_i)$ . For such a basis let  $\text{wt}(b)$  be the weight of  $b$ , let  $\epsilon_i(b) = l_i(b)$  and let  $\varphi_i(b) = \epsilon_i(b) + \langle \alpha_i^\vee, \text{wt}(b) \rangle$  for all  $b \in B$ . The data  $(B, \text{wt}, \tilde{e}_i, \tilde{f}_i, \epsilon_i, \varphi_i)$  is a crystal. We'll always associate this crystal structure to  $(B, \tilde{e}_i, \tilde{f}_i)$ . We call  $b \in B$  a *primitive element* if  $e_i(b) = 0$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/e\mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $B^+$  be the set of primitive elements in  $B$ . Let  $V^+$  be the vector space spanned by all the primitive vectors in  $V$ . The following lemma is [BK, Claim 5.32].

LEMMA 5.1. *For any perfect basis  $(B, \tilde{e}_i, \tilde{f}_i)$  the set  $B^+$  is a basis of  $V^+$ .*

PROOF. By definition we have  $B^+ \subset V^+$ . Given a vector  $v \in V^+$ , there exist  $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_r \in \mathbb{C}^*$  and  $b_1, \dots, b_r \in B$  such that  $v = \sum_{j=1}^r \zeta_j b_j$ . For any  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/e\mathbb{Z}$  let  $l_i = \max\{l_i(b_j) : 1 \leq j \leq r\}$  and  $J = \{j : l_i(b_j) = l_i, 1 \leq j \leq r\}$ . Then by the third property of perfect basis there exist  $\eta_j \in \mathbb{C}^*$  for all  $j \in J$  and a vector  $w \in V^{<l_i-1}$  such that  $0 = e_i(v) = \sum_{j \in J} \zeta_j \eta_j \tilde{e}_i(b_j) + w$ . Since for distinct  $j, j' \in J$  the elements  $\tilde{e}_i(b_j), \tilde{e}_i(b_{j'})$  of  $B$  are distinct, the equality yields that  $\tilde{e}_i(b_j) = 0$  for all  $j \in J$ . So  $l_i = 0$ . Hence  $b_j \in B^+$  for  $j = 1, \dots, r$ .  $\square$

5.2. Let

$$B_{\mathcal{O}} = \{[L(\lambda)] \in K(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbb{N}}) : \lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{n,l}, n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

By Lemma 4.1 it is a weight basis of  $K(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbb{N}})$ . Following Theorem 4.6 we define the maps  $\tilde{e}_i, \tilde{f}_i : B_{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow B_{\mathcal{O}} \sqcup \{0\}$  by  $\tilde{e}_i[L] = [\text{head}(E_i L)]$ ,  $\tilde{f}_i[L] = [\text{soc}(F_i L)]$ .

PROPOSITION 5.2. *The data  $(B_{\mathcal{O}}, \tilde{e}_i, \tilde{f}_i)$  is a perfect basis of  $K(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{h}, \mathbb{N}})$ .*

PROOF. Fix  $i \in \mathbb{Z}/e\mathbb{Z}$ . The first property follows by adjunction. The second follows from the fact that any non trivial module has a non trivial head. For the last property notice first that for any  $b = [L] \in B_{\mathcal{O}}$  we have  $l_i(\tilde{e}_i(b)) = l_i(b) - 1$ . Indeed we have  $E_i^{l_i(b)}(\text{head}(E_i L)) = 0$  and the first paragraph of the proof of Theorem 4.6 implies that  $E_i^{l_i(b)-1}(\text{head}(E_i L)) \neq 0$ . Now, suppose that  $l_i(b) \geq 1$ . Let  $J \subset B_{\mathcal{O}}$  be the set of the classes of the composition factors of  $E_i L$  which are non isomorphic to  $\text{head}(E_i L)$ . Then there exist positive integers  $\eta_i, \eta_{b''}$  for  $b'' \in J$  such that

$$e_i(b) = \eta_i \tilde{e}_i(b) + \sum_{b'' \in J} \eta_{b''} b''. \quad (5.1)$$

Notice that for any  $b'' = [L''] \in J$  we have  $d(L'') \leq d(E_i L) = d(L)$  (see Section 4.3). So we have  $l_i(b'') \leq l_i(b) - 1$ . Now apply  $e_i^{l_i(b)-1}$  to both sides of (5.1) and use the isomorphism  $E_i^n = (n!)E_i^{(n)}$  in Lemma 4.4(1). We deduce the following equality

$$l_i(b)e_i^{(l_i(b))}(b) = \eta_i e_i^{(l_i(b)-1)}(\tilde{e}_i(b)) + \sum \eta_{b''} e_i^{(l_i(b)-1)}(b''), \quad (5.2)$$

where the sum on the r.h.s. is over  $b'' \in J$  such that  $l_i(b'') = l_i(b) - 1$ . By Theorem 4.6(1) the elements  $e_i^{(l_i(b))}(b)$ ,  $e_i^{(l_i(b)-1)}(\tilde{e}_i(b))$  and all the  $e_i^{(l_i(b)-1)}(b'')$  appearing in the sum belong to  $B_{\mathcal{O}}$ . So they are all equal. However by Lemma 4.5(1) if  $e_i^{(l_i(b)-1)}(b'') = e_i^{(l_i(b)-1)}(\tilde{e}_i(b))$  then  $b'' = \tilde{e}_i(b)$ . So for any  $b'' \in J$  we have  $l_i(b'') < l_i(b) - 1$ . The proposition follows.  $\square$

Let  $B_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{s}}}$  be the set of  $l$ -partitions. In [JMMO] this set is given a crystal structure. We call it the crystal of the Fock space  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{s}}$ .

**THEOREM 5.3.** *The crystal  $B_{\mathcal{O}}$  is isomorphic to the crystal  $B_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{s}}}$ .*

**PROOF.** It is known that  $B_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{s}}}$  is a perfect basis of  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{s}}$ . Since by Lemma 5.1 the set  $B_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{s}}}^+$  and  $B_{\mathcal{O}}^+$  are two weight basis of  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{s}}^+$ , there is a bijection  $\psi : B_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{s}}}^+ \rightarrow B_{\mathcal{O}}^+$  such that  $\text{wt}(b) = \text{wt}(\psi(b))$ . This bijection extends to an automorphism of the  $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_e$ -module  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{s}}$  because  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{s}}$  is an integrable highest weight  $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_e$ -module. By [BK, Main Theorem 5.37] there is an isomorphism of crystals  $B_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{s}}} \simeq B_{\mathcal{O}}$ .  $\square$

**REMARK 5.4.** One can prove that if  $n \leq e$  then a simple module  $L \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{h},n}$  has finite dimension over  $\mathbb{C}$  if and only if the class  $[L]$  is a primitive element in  $B_{\mathcal{O}}$ . In the case  $n = 1$ , we have  $B_n(l) = \mu_l$ , the cyclic group, and the primitive elements in the crystal  $B_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{s}}}$  have explicit combinatorial descriptions. This yields another proof of the classification of finite dimensional simple modules of  $H_{\mathfrak{h}}(\mu_l)$ , which was first given by W. Crawley-Boevey and M. P. Holland. See type  $A$  case of [CH, Theorem 7.4].

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank my advisor E. Vasserot for suggesting me this problem, for his guidance and his patience. I would also like to thank I. Gordon and M. Martino for enlightening discussions. Independently, they also obtained the result on crystals in their recent joint work.

#### REFERENCES

- [A1] S. Ariki, *On the decomposition numbers of the Hecke algebra of  $G(m, 1, n)$* , J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **36** (1996), no. 4, 789-808.
- [A2] S. Ariki, *Representations of quantum algebras and combinatorics of Young tableaux*, Univ. Lecture Ser., Vol. 26, Amer. Math. Soc., 2002.
- [BE] R. Bezrukavnikov, P. Etingof, *Parabolic induction and restriction functors for rational Cherednik algebras*, preprint, arXiv: 0803.3639v1.
- [BK] A. Berenstein, D. Kazhdan, *Geometric and unipotent crystals II: From unipotent bicrystals to crystal bases*, in “Quantum groups”, 13–88, Contemp. Math. **433**, Amer. Math. Soc., 2007.
- [BMR] M. Broué, G. Malle, R. Rouquier, *Complex reflection groups, braid groups, Hecke algebras*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **500** (1998), 127–190.
- [CH] W. Crawley-Boevey, M. P. Holland, *Noncommutative deformations of Kleinian singularities*, Duke Math. J. **92** (1998), no.3, 605-635.
- [CR] J. Chuang, R. Rouquier, *Derived equivalences for symmetric groups and  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -categorification*, Ann. of Math. (2) **167** (2008), no.1, 245–298.

- [GGOR] V. Ginzburg, N. Guay, E. Opdam, R. Rouquier, *On the category  $\mathcal{O}$  for rational Cherednik algebras*, Invent. Math. **154** (2003), no.3, 617–651.
- [JMMO] M. Jimbo, K. Misra, T. Miwa, M. Okado, *Combinatorics of representations of  $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$  at  $q = 0$* , Comm. Math. Phys. **136** (1991) 543-566.
- [R] R. Rouquier,  *$q$ -Schur algebras and complex reflection groups*, Mosc. Math. J. **8** (2008), no. 1, 119–158.

DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES, UNIVERSITÉ PARIS 7, 175 RUE DU CHEVALERET, 75013, PARIS, FRANCE.

*E-mail address:* shan@math.jussieu.fr