Blow up of smooth solutions to the barotropic compressible magnetohydrodynamic equations with finite mass and energy

Olga Rozanova

ABSTRACT. We prove that the smooth solutions to the Cauchy problem for the three-dimensional compressible barotropic magnetohydrodynamic equations with conserved total mass and finite total energy lose the initial smoothness within a finite time. Further, we show that the same result holds for the solution to the Cauchy problem for the multidimensional compressible Navier-Stokes system. Moreover, for the solution with a finite momentum of inertia we get the two-sided estimates of different components of total energy.

1. The finite time blow up result

The set of equations which describe compressible viscous magnetohydrodynamics are a combination of the compressible Navier-Stokes equations of fluid dynamics and Maxwells equations of electromagnetism. We consider the system of partial differential equations for the three-dimensional viscous compressible magnetohydrodynamic flows in the Eulerian coordinates [1] for barotropic case:

$$\partial_t \rho + \operatorname{div}_x(\rho u) = 0, \tag{1.1}$$

$$\partial_t(\rho u) + \operatorname{Div}_x(\rho u \otimes u) + \nabla_x p(\rho) = (\operatorname{curl}_x H) \times H + \operatorname{Div}_x T, \quad (1.2)$$

$$\partial_t H - \operatorname{curl}_x(u \times H) = -\operatorname{curl}_x(\nu \operatorname{curl}_x H), \quad \operatorname{div}_x H = 0, \quad (1.3)$$

where ρ , $u = (u_1, u_2, u_3)$, p, $H = (H_1, H_2, H_3)$, denote the density, velocity, pressure and magnetic field. We denote Div and div the divergency of tensor and vector, respectively. Here T is the stress tensor given by the Newton law

$$T = T_{ij} = \mu \left(\partial_i u_j + \partial_j u_i\right) + \lambda \operatorname{div} u \,\delta_{ij},\tag{1.4}$$

where the constants μ and λ are the coefficient of viscosity and the second coefficient of viscosity, $\nu \geq 0$ is the coefficient of diffusion of the magnetic field. We assume that $\mu > 0$, $\lambda + \frac{2}{3}\mu > 0$.

The state equation has the form

$$p = A\rho^{\gamma}.\tag{1.5}$$

©0000 (copyright holder)

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification. 76W05, 35Q36.

 $Key\ words\ and\ phrases.$ compressible magnetohydrodynamic equations; the Cauchy problem; loss of smoothness.

Supported by DFG 436 RUS 113/823/0-1 and RFBR 06-01-00441-a.

Here A > 0, $\gamma > 1$ are constants.

Although the electric field E does not appear in the MHD system (1.1)-(1.3), it is indeed induced according to the relation

$$E = \nu \operatorname{curl}_{x} H - u \times H$$

by the moving conductive flow in the magnetic field.

The MGD system (1.1) - (1.5) is supplemented with the initial data

$$(\rho, u, H)\Big|_{t=0} = (\rho_0(x), u_0(x), H_0(x)) \in \mathcal{H}^m(\mathbb{R}^3), \ m > 3.$$
(1.6)

The local in time existence to the above Cauchy problem for the density separated from zero follows from [3], however the results on global solvability are very scanty. For example, even for the one-dimensional case, the global existence of classical solutions to the full perfect MHD equations with large data remains unsolved (the smooth global solution near the constant state in one-dimensional case is investigated in [2]). The existence of global weak solutions was proved recently [4] (see also [5] for references).

The system (1.1)– (1.3) is the differential form of balance laws for the material volume $\Omega(t)$; it expresses conservation of mass

$$n = \int_{\Omega(t)} \rho \, dx$$

balance of momentum

$$P = \int_{\Omega(t)} \rho u \, dx,$$

and balance of total energy

$$\mathcal{E} = \int_{\Omega(t)} \left(\frac{1}{2} \rho |u|^2 + \frac{|H|^2}{2} + \frac{A\rho^{\gamma}}{\gamma - 1} \right) \, dx = E_k(t) + E_m(t) + E_i(t).$$

Here $E_k(t)$, $E_m(t)$ and $E_i(t)$ are the kinetic, magnetic and internal components of energy, respectively.

If we regard $\Omega(t) = \mathbb{R}^3$, the conservation of mass, momentum and non-increasing of total energy takes place provided the components of solution decrease at infinity sufficiently quickly.

Our main result is the following:

Theorem 1.1. Assume $\gamma \geq \frac{6}{5}$. If the momentum $P \neq 0$, then there exists no global in time classical solution to (1.1) - (1.5), (1.6) with conserved mass and finite total energy.

If $H \equiv 0$, we get the barotropic system of the compressible Navier-Stokes equations. We can consider it in any space dimensions without physical restriction n = 3. In this case the viscosity coefficients should satisfy the inequalities $\mu > 0$, $\lambda + \frac{2}{n}\mu > 0$. The following theorem is an extension of the result of [6] to the larger class of solutions.

 $\mathbf{2}$

Theorem 1.2. Assume $n \ge 3$ and $\gamma \ge \frac{2n}{n+2}$. If the momentum $P \ne 0$, then there exists no global in time classical solution to the barotropic system of the compressible Navier-Stokes equations with conserved mass and finite total energy.

The next lemma is proved in [6] for both barotropic and non-barotropic cases.

Lemma 1.3. Let $n \ge 3$, $\gamma \ge \frac{2n}{n+2}$ and $u \in \mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. If $|P| \ne 0$, then there exists a positive constant K such that for the solutions with conserved mass and finite total energy the following inequality holds:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |Du|^2 \, dx \ge K \, E_i^{-\frac{n-2}{n(\gamma-1)}}(t). \tag{1.7}$$

In the dimension n = 3 it has the form:

Lemma 1.4. Let $\gamma \geq \frac{6}{5}$, and $u \in \mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. If $|P| \neq 0$, then there exists a positive constant K such that for classical solutions to (1.1)–(1.5) with conserved mass and total finite energy the following inequality holds:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |Du|^2 \, dx \ge K \, E_i^{-\frac{1}{3(\gamma-1)}}(t). \tag{1.8}$$

Proof of Lemma 1.4 First of all we use the Hölder inequality to get

$$|P| = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho u \, dx \right| \le \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho^{\frac{6}{5}} \, dx \right)^{\frac{3}{6}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^6 \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{6}}.$$
 (1.9)

Further, using the Jensen inequality we have for $5(\gamma - 1) \ge 1$ (or $\gamma \ge \frac{6}{5}$)

$$\left(\frac{1}{m}\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho^{\frac{6}{5}}\,dx\right)^{5(\gamma-1)} \leq \frac{\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho^{\gamma}\,dx}{m} = \frac{(\gamma-1)E_i(t)}{mA}$$

Thus, the latter inequality and (1.9) give

$$|P| \le K_1 (E_i(t))^{\frac{1}{6(\gamma-1)}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^6 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{6}}, \qquad (1.10)$$

with the positive constant K_1 that depends on γ and m. Further, we take into account the inequality

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dx\right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}} \le K_2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |Du|^2 dx,$$
(1.11)

where the constant $K_2 > 0$ depends on $n, n \ge 3$. The latter inequality holds for $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ([7], p.22) and follows from the Sobolev embedding.

Thus, the lemma statement follows from (1.10), (1.11), with the constant $K = \frac{|P|^2}{K_1^2 K_2}$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let us note that the total energy \mathcal{E} satisfies the inequality

$$\mathcal{E}'(t) \le -\nu \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\operatorname{curl}_x H|^2 \, dx - \sigma \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |Du|^2 \, dx \le 0, \tag{1.12}$$

with some positive constant σ , therefore $\mathcal{E}(t) \leq \mathcal{E}(0)$.

From (1.8) we have

$$\mathcal{E}'(t) \le -\sigma K \left(E_i(t) \right)^{-\frac{1}{3(\gamma-1)}} \le -\sigma K \left(\mathcal{E}(0) \right)^{-\frac{1}{3(\gamma-1)}} = const < 0, \tag{1.13}$$

this contradicts to the non-negativity of $\mathcal{E}(t)$. Thus, Theorem 1.1 is proved.

Proof of Theorem 1.2 is similar. Estimate (1.7) holds in any dimensions greater or equal than 3, therefore we can apply Lemma 1.3 to the case $H \equiv 0$ to obtain

$$\mathcal{E}'(t) \le -\sigma K \left(E_i(t) \right)^{-\frac{n-2}{n(\gamma-1)}} \le -\sigma K \left(\mathcal{E}(0) \right)^{-\frac{n-2}{n(\gamma-1)}} = const < 0.$$

2. Estimates of energy for solutions with finite moment of inertia

In this section we are going to obtain more specified estimate for the decay rate of total energy for more restricted classes of solutions.

Let us introduce the functionals

$$G(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho(t, x) |x|^2 \, dx, \qquad F(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (u, x) \rho \, dx,$$

where the first one is the momentum of inertia, the inner product of vectors is denoted as (., .).

Taking into account the general Stokes formula one can readily calculate that for classical compactly supported solution to (1.1)-(1.5) the following identities hold:

$$G'(t) = F(t), \tag{2.1}$$

$$F'(t) = 2E_k(t) + E_m(t) + 3(\gamma - 1)E_i(t).$$
(2.2)

In fact, formulas are obtained in [8] for gas dynamics equations with finite moment of inertia (not especially compactly supported). However, evidently that (2.1), (2.2) are satisfied for solutions decaying at infinity sufficiently quickly together with their derivatives. One can impose a special rate of decay on the solution and then prove (2.1), (2.2) as a lemma (as in [6]). The alternative way is to take (2.1), (2.2) as a definition of the rate of decay.

Definition 2.1. We will call a solution (ρ, u, H) to the Cauchy problem (1.1) - (1.5), (1.6) highly decreasing at infinity, if $\rho(t, x) \ge 0$ and the identities (2.1), (2.2) hold.

Evidently, the integrals of mass, momentum and total energy are finite for highly decreasing at infinity solutions at any fixed moment of time.

We firstly get two-sided estimates of G(t).

Lemma 2.2. For classical highly decreasing at infinity solution to (1.1) - (1.5) the following estimates hold:

if $\gamma \leq \frac{5}{3}$, then

$$\frac{P^2}{2m}t^2 + F(0)t + G(0) \le G(t) \le \mathcal{E}(0)t^2 + F(0)t + G(0);$$
(2.3)

$$if \gamma > \frac{5}{3}, then \frac{P^2}{2m}t^2 + F(0)t + G(0) \le G(t) \le \frac{3(\gamma - 1)}{2}\mathcal{E}(0)t^2 + F(0)t + G(0).$$
(2.4)

Proof of Lemma 2.2 Let us note that (1.12) implies $\mathcal{E}(t) \leq \mathcal{E}(0)$. Identities (2.1) and (2.2) imply for $\gamma \leq \frac{5}{3}$

$$G''(t) = 2E_k(t) + E_m(t) + 3(\gamma - 1)E_i(t) = 2E_k(t) + E_m(t) + 3(\gamma - 1)E_i(t) =$$

= 2\mathcal{E}(t) - E_m(t) - (2 - 3(\gamma - 1))E_i(t) \le 2\mathcal{E}(0), (2.5)

and for $\gamma > \frac{5}{3}$

$$G''(t) = 2E_k(t) + E_m(t) + 3(\gamma - 1)E_i(t) = 2E_k(t) + E_m(t) + 3(\gamma - 1)E_i(t) =$$

= $3(\gamma - 1)\mathcal{E}(t) - (3\gamma - 4)E_m(t) - (3\gamma - 5)E_k(t) \le 3(\gamma - 1)\mathcal{E}(0).$ (2.6)

$$= 3(\gamma - 1)\mathcal{E}(t) - (3\gamma - 4)E_m(t) - (3\gamma - 5)E_k(t) \le 3(\gamma - 1)\mathcal{E}(0).$$
(2)
Inequality (2.5) and (2.6) result in the right-hand sides in (2.3) and (2.4).

Inequality (2.5) and (2.6) result in the right-hand sides in (2.3) and (2.4). Further, since $E_k(t) \geq \frac{P^2}{2m}$ (that follows from the Hölder inequality), $E_i(t)$ and $E_m(t)$ are nonnegative, then from (2.1), (2.2) we obtain

$$G''(t) \ge \frac{P^2}{m}.\tag{2.7}$$

Thus, the left-hand sides estimates in (2.5) and (2.6) are obtained. Lemma 2.2 is proved.

The next step is two-sides estimate of $E_i(t) + E_m(t)$.

Lemma 2.3. If $P \neq 0$, then for highly decreasing at infinity classical solutions to (1.1) - (1.5) for sufficiently large t the following estimates hold:

$$\frac{C_1}{G^{3(\gamma-1)/2}(t)} \le E_i(t) + E_m(t) \le \frac{C_2}{G^{3(\gamma-1)/2}(t)},$$
(2.8)

for $\gamma \leq \frac{4}{3}$, and

$$\frac{C_1}{G^{3(\gamma-1)/2}} \le E_i(t) + E_m(t) \le \frac{C_2}{G^{1/2}(t)},\tag{2.9}$$

for $\gamma > \frac{4}{3}$, with positive constants $C_1, C_2 (C_1 \leq C_2)$.

Proof of Lemma 2.3 The lower estimate is due to [8]. For an arbitrary dimension of space it follows from the inequality

$$\|f\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{n}; dx)} \leq C_{\gamma, n} \|f\|_{L^{\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^{n}; dx)}^{\frac{2\gamma}{(n+2)\gamma-n}} \|f\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{n}; |x|^{2} dx)}^{\frac{n(\gamma-1)}{(n+2)\gamma-n}}$$

with

$$C_{\gamma,n} = \left(\frac{2\gamma}{n(\gamma-1)}\right)^{\frac{n(\gamma-1)}{(n+2)\gamma-n}} + \left(\frac{2\gamma}{n(\gamma-1)}\right)^{\frac{-2\gamma}{(n+2)\gamma-n}}$$

Thus the constant

$$C_{1} = \frac{A}{\gamma - 1} (mC_{\gamma,n}^{-1})^{\frac{\gamma(n+2) - n}{2}}$$

The method of the upper estimate of $E_i(t) + E_m(t)$ is also similar to [8]. Namely, let us consider the function $Q(t) = 4G(t)\mathcal{E}(t) - F^2(t)$. The Hölder inequality gives $F^2 \leq 4G(t)E_k(t)$, therefore $\mathcal{E}(t) = E_k(t) + E_m(t) + E_i(t) \geq E_i(t) + \frac{F^2(t)}{4G(t)}$ and

$$E_i(t) + E_m(t) \le \frac{Q(t)}{4G(t)}.$$
 (2.10)

We notice also that Q(t) > 0 provided the pressure does not equal to zero identically. Then taking into account (2.1), (2.2) and (1.12) we have

$$Q'(t) = 4G'(t)\mathcal{E}(t) - 2G'(t)G''(t) + 4G(t)\mathcal{E}'(t) =$$

= 2 G'(t) ((5 - 3\gamma) E_i(t) + E_m(t)) + 4G(t)\mathcal{E}'(t) \le
\$\le 2 G'(t) ((5 - 3\gamma) E_i(t) + E_m(t)). (2.11)

Since $P \neq 0$, inequality (2.7) guarantees the positivity of G'(t) for sufficiently large t, then for $\gamma \leq \frac{4}{3}$

$$Q'(t) = 2 G'(t) \left((5 - 3\gamma) \left(E_i(t) + E_m(t) \right) + (3\gamma - 4) E_m(t) \right) \le \le 2 G'(t) \left(5 - 3\gamma \right) \left(E_i(t) + E_m(t) \right);$$
(2.12)

and for $\gamma > \frac{4}{3}$

$$Q'(t) = 2G'(t)\left((E_i(t) + E_m(t)) + (4 - 3\gamma)E_m(t)\right) \le 2G'(t)\left(E_i(t) + E_m(t)\right).$$
 (2.13)

Thus, for $\gamma \leq \frac{4}{3}$ inequality (2.12) implies

$$\frac{Q'(t)}{Q(t)} \le \frac{5 - 3\gamma}{2} \frac{G'(t)}{G(t)},\tag{2.14}$$

whereas for $\gamma > \frac{4}{3}$ inequality (2.13) results in

$$\frac{Q'(t)}{Q(t)} \le \frac{1}{2} \frac{G'(t)}{G(t)}.$$
(2.15)

Therefore (2.10), (2.14) and (2.15) imply the upper estimates in (2.8), (2.9), where $C_2 = \frac{1}{4}Q(0) G^{\frac{3\gamma-5}{2}}(0)$ in (2.8) and $C_2 = \frac{Q(0)}{4\sqrt{G(0)}}$ in (2.9). The proof of Lemma 2.3 is over.

Now we can estimate the rate of decay of total energy \mathcal{E} . From (1.12), (1.8) we have

$$\mathcal{E}'(t) \le -\sigma K (E_i(t))^{-\frac{1}{3(\gamma-1)}}.$$
 (2.16)

Further, nonnegativity of $E_m(t)$, Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3 and inequality (2.16) imply

$$\mathcal{E}'(t) \le -\nu \, K \, C_2^{-\frac{1}{3(\gamma-1)}} \, G^{\frac{1}{6}}(t) \le -L \, t^{\frac{1}{3}},$$

with a positive constant L, for $\gamma \leq \frac{4}{3}$, and

$$\mathcal{E}'(t) \le -\nu \, K \, C_2^{-\frac{1}{3(\gamma-1)}} \, G^{\frac{1}{6(\gamma-1)}}(t) \le -L \, t^{\frac{2}{3(\gamma-1)}},$$

for $\gamma > \frac{4}{3}$. In both cases this contradicts to the non-negativity of $\mathcal{E}(t)$. However, the decay of total energy in the case of highly decreasing solutions is greater that follows from inequality (1.13).

The author thanks Prof. Ronghua Pan for attraction of attention to this problem and helpful discussion.

References

- Laudau, L.D., Lifshitz, E.M.: Electrodynamics of Continuous Media, 2nd ed., New York: Pergamon, 1984
- [2] S. Kawashima, M. Okada, Smooth global solutions for the one-dimensional equations in magnetohydrodynamics, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. 58 (1982) 384387.
- [3] A.I.Volpert, S.I.Khudiaev, On the Cauchy problem for composite systems of nonlinear equations, Mat.Sbornik 87 (1972), N4, 504–528.
- [4] X. Hu, D. Wang, Global solutions to the three-dimensional full compressible magnetohydrodynamic flows, Comm. Math. Phys. 283, 255284 (2008)
- [5] X. Hu, D. Wang, Compactness of weak solutions to the three-dimensional compressible magnetohydrodynamic equations, J. Differential Equations 245 (2008) 21762198
- [6] O.Rozanova, Blow up of smooth solutions to the com-pressible Navier-Stokes equations with the data highly decreasing at infinity, J. Diff. Equat., V. 245, Issue 7, 2008, 1762-1774.
- [7] E.Hebey, Sobolev spaces on Riemannian manyfolds. Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer Berlin/ Heidelberg. Vol.1635, 1996.
- [8] J.-Y.Chemin, Dynamique des gaz à masse totale finie, Asymptotic Analysis 3(1990), 215-220.

Department of Differential Equations & Mechanics and Mathematics Faculty, Moscow State University, Moscow, 119992, Russia

E-mail address: rozanova@mech.math.msu.su