

Constant-Time Quantum Algorithm For The Unstructured Search Problem

Ahmed Younes*
 Alexandria University
 Alexandria, Egypt

September 20, 2018

Abstract

Given an item and a list of values of size N . It is required to decide if such item exists in the list. Classical computer can search for the item in $O(N)$. The best known quantum algorithm can do the job in $O(\sqrt{N})$. In this paper, a quantum algorithm will be proposed that can search an unstructured list in $O(1)$ to get the YES/NO answer with certainty.

1 Introduction

In 1996, Lov Grover [10] presented an algorithm that quantum mechanically searches an unstructured list assuming that a unique match exists in the list with quadratic speed-up over classical algorithms. The unstructured search problem targeted by Grover's original algorithm is deviated in the literature to the following four major problems:

- Unstructured list with a unique match.
- Unstructured list with one or more matches, where the number of matches is known
- Unstructured list with one or more matches, where the number of matches is unknown.
- Unstructured list with strictly multiple matches.

The efforts done in all the above cases, similar to Grover's original work, used quantum parallelism by preparing superposition that represents all the items in the list. The superposition could be uniform or arbitrary. The techniques used in most of the cases to amplify the amplitude(s) of the required state(s) have been generalized to an amplitude amplification technique that iterates the operation $UR_s(\phi)U^\dagger R_t(\varphi)$, on $U|s\rangle$ where U is unitary operator, $R_s(\phi) = I - (1 - e^{i\phi})|s\rangle\langle s|$,

*ayounes2@yahoo.com

$R_t(\varphi) = I - (1 - e^{i\varphi}) |t\rangle \langle t|$, $|s\rangle$ is the initial state of the system, $|t\rangle$ represents the target state(s) and I is the identity operator.

Grover's original algorithm replaces U by W , where W is the Walsh-Hadamard transform, prepares the superposition $W|0\rangle$ (uniform superposition) and iterates $WR_s(\pi)WR_t(\pi)$ for $O(\sqrt{N})$, where N is the size of the list, which was shown to be optimal to get the highest probability with the minimum number of iterations [24], such that there is only one match in the search space.

In [11, 15, 9, 17, 1], Grover's algorithm is generalized by showing that U can be replaced by almost any arbitrary superposition and the phase shifts ϕ and φ can be generalized to deal with the arbitrary superposition and/or to increase the probability of success even with a factor increase in the number of iterations to still run in $O(\sqrt{N})$. These give a larger class of algorithms for amplitude amplification using variable operators from which Grover's algorithm was shown to be a special case.

In another direction, work has been done trying to generalize Grover's algorithm with a uniform superposition for known number of multiple matches in the search space [3, 8, 7, 6], where it was shown that the required number of iterations is approximately $\pi/4\sqrt{N/M}$ for small M/N , where M is the number of matches. The required number of iterations will increase for $M > N/2$, i.e. the problem will be harder where it might be expected to be easier [19]. Another work has been done for known number of multiple matches with arbitrary superposition and phase shifts [18, 2, 4, 14, 16] where the same problem for multiple matches occurs. In [5, 18, 4], a hybrid algorithm was presented to deal with this problem by applying Grover's fixed operators algorithm for $\pi/4\sqrt{N/M}$ times then apply one more step using specific ϕ and φ according to the knowledge of the number of matches M to get the solution with probability close to certainty. Using this algorithm will increase the hardware cost since we have to build one more R_s and R_t for each particular M . For the sake of practicality, the operators should be fixed for any given M and are able to handle the problem with high probability whether or not M is known in advance. In [22, 23], Younes et al presented an algorithm that exploits entanglement and partial diffusion operator to perform the search and can perform in case of either a single match or multiple matches where the number of matches is known or not [23] covering the whole possible range, i.e. $1 \leq M \leq N$. Grover described this algorithm as the best quantum search algorithm [12]. It can be shown that we can get the same probability of success of [22] using amplitude amplification with phase shifts $\phi = \varphi = \pi/2$, although the amplitude amplification mechanism will be different. The mechanism used to manipulate the amplitudes could be useful in many applications, for example, superposition preparation and error-correction. In [20], an algorithm with fixed phase shift operators has been proposed to get a result with probability of success 99.6% over the range $1 \leq M \leq N$ in $O(\sqrt{N/M})$ whether the number of matches is known or not in advance.

For unknown number of matches, an algorithm for estimating the number of matches (*quantum counting algorithm*) was presented [5, 18]. In [3], another algorithm was presented to find a match even if the number of matches is unknown which will be able to work if M lies within the range $1 \leq M \leq 3N/4$ [23].

For strictly multiple matches, Younes et al [21] presented an algorithm which works efficiently only in case of *multiple matches* within the search space that splits the solution states over more states, inverts the sign of half of them (phase shift of -1) and keeps the other half unchanged every

iteration. This will keep the mean of the amplitudes to a minimum for multiple matches. The same result was rediscovered by Grover using amplitude amplification with phase shifts $\phi = \varphi = \pi/3$ [13], in both algorithms the behavior will be similar to the classical algorithms in the worst case.

In this paper, using fixed phase shifts, an algorithm that searches an unstructured list in constant-time will be proposed. The algorithm takes the required item x_s and a list L as inputs and return an answer with certainty of whether such item exists or not in the list with .

The plan of the paper is as follows: Section 2 introduces the unstructured search problem. Section 3 explains the basic operators used in the algorithm. Section 4 proposes the algorithm with the trace of its operations. The paper ends up with a conclusion in Section 5.

2 Unstructured Search Problem

Consider an item x_s and an unstructured list L of N items. For simplicity and without loss of generality we will assume that $N = 2^n$ for some positive integer n . Suppose the items in the list are labeled with the integers $\{0, 1, \dots, N - 1\}$, and consider a function (oracle) f which maps an item $x \in L$ to either 0 or 1 according to some properties this item should satisfy, i.e. $f : L \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$. The problem is to find if x_s exists in list assuming that at most one x_s exists in the list. In conventional computers, solving this problem needs $O(N)$ calls to the oracle (query).

3 Basic Operations

In this section, the basic operations to be used in the algorithm will be explored.

3.1 Hadamard Gate

The Hadamard gate is a pure quantum gate with special importance in setting up the superposition of a quantum register during the quantum computation process. Applying the Hadamard gate on a qubit in state $|0\rangle$ or $|1\rangle$ will produce a qubit in a perfect superposition, i.e. on measuring the qubit, we will get either $|0\rangle$ or $|1\rangle$ with equal probabilities. If H is applied twice, the original input state is restored (reversibility). Its truth table is shown in Table (1).

Input	Output
$ 0\rangle$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(0\rangle + 1\rangle)$
$ 1\rangle$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(0\rangle - 1\rangle)$

Table 1: The Hadamard gate truth table.

Unitary matrix representation,

$$H = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{1}$$

The effect of applying H gate on a single qubit can be understood as follows,

$$H|x\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{y \in \{0,1\}} (-1)^{x \cdot y} |y\rangle, \quad (2)$$

where $x \cdot y$ is the bitwise-AND of x and y . Applying H twice gives the original State. i.e.

$$H \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{y \in \{0,1\}} (-1)^{x \cdot y} |y\rangle \right) = H(H|x\rangle) = |x\rangle. \quad (3)$$

In general, the effect of applying H gate on n -qubit quantum register can be understood as follows,

$$H^{\otimes n} |x\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{y=0}^{2^n-1} (-1)^{x \cdot y} |y\rangle, \quad (4)$$

where $x \cdot y = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} x_j \cdot y_j$ is the summation of the bitwise-AND of x_j and y_j .

3.2 NOT Gate

This quantum gate performs similarly to the classical *NOT* gate. It inverts the state $|x\rangle$ to the state $|\bar{x}\rangle$, where x is any Boolean variable and \bar{x} is its negation. Its truth table is shown in Table (2).

Input	Output
$ 0\rangle$	$ 1\rangle$
$ 1\rangle$	$ 0\rangle$

Table 2: The *NOT* gate truth table.

Unitary matrix representation,

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (5)$$

3.3 Phase Shift

The phase shift operator will be used to apply a phase shift of -1 on the amplitude of the state $|1\rangle$ and leaves the amplitude of $|0\rangle$ with no change. Its truth table is shown in Table (3).

Input	Output
$ 0\rangle$	$ 0\rangle$
$ 1\rangle$	$- 1\rangle$

Table 3: The Phase gate truth table.

Unitary matrix representation,

$$Z = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (6)$$

Such operation will be used to apply a phase shift of -1 on a subspace of the system entangled with state $|1\rangle$ as follows,

$$(I^{\otimes n} \otimes Z) (\alpha_0 |\psi_0\rangle \otimes |0\rangle + \alpha_1 |\psi_1\rangle \otimes |1\rangle) = (\alpha_0 |\psi_0\rangle \otimes |0\rangle - \alpha_1 |\psi_1\rangle \otimes |1\rangle), \quad (7)$$

where I is the identity operator, $|\psi_0\rangle$ and $|\psi_1\rangle$ are sub-systems entangled with $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$ respectively.

3.4 Marking Items in a Superposition

In the literature, there are two ways used to mark certain items in a superposition. One way is to conditionally apply certain phase shifts on the marked items and the other way is to entangle the required items with certain state of an extra working qubit. An oracle U_f is used in both cases to recognize the items to be marked, where f is a Boolean function evaluates to true for the required items. To mark an item using a phase shift of α , an oracle U_{f_α} of the following effect has been used,

$$U_{f_\alpha} |x\rangle = e^{i\alpha f(x)} |x\rangle, \quad (8)$$

and to mark an item by entanglement, an oracle U_{f_x} of the following effect has been used,

$$U_{f_x} |x, y\rangle = |x, y \oplus f(x)\rangle. \quad (9)$$

In the proposed algorithm, a combination of both methods will be used where an oracle of the form $\exp(i\alpha U_f)$ is used, where U_f has the following effect,

$$U_f |x, 0\rangle = |x, f(x)\rangle. \quad (10)$$

Using Taylor's expansion, $\exp(i\alpha U_f)$ can be re-written as,

$$e^{i\alpha U_f} = \cos(\alpha).I + i \sin(\alpha).U_f. \quad (11)$$

The effect of applying the oracle $\exp(i\alpha U_f)$ on a superposition of $n + 1$ qubit register can be understood as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}
e^{i\alpha U_f} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{x=0}^{2^n-1} |x\rangle \otimes |0\rangle \right) &= (\cos(\alpha).I + i \sin(\alpha).U_f) \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{x=0}^{2^n-1} |x\rangle \otimes |0\rangle \right) \\
&= \frac{\cos(\alpha)}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{x=0}^{2^n-1} |x\rangle \otimes |0\rangle + \frac{i \sin(\alpha)}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{x=0}^{2^n-1} |x\rangle \otimes |f(x)\rangle.
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

3.5 Square Root of NOT with a Global Phase Shift

The H_i gate is a pure quantum gate. Applying the H_i gate on a qubit in state $|0\rangle$ or $|1\rangle$ will produce a qubit in a perfect superposition with some phase shift. Applying H_i gate twice produces the negation of the original input with some global phase shift. Its truth table is shown in Table (4).

Input	Output
$ 0\rangle$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(i 0\rangle + 1\rangle)$
$ 1\rangle$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(0\rangle + i 1\rangle)$

Table 4: The H_i gate truth table.

Unitary matrix representation,

$$H_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} i & 1 \\ 1 & i \end{bmatrix}. \tag{13}$$

The effect of applying H_i gate on a single qubit can be understood as follows,

$$H_i |x\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{y \in \{0,1\}} e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}(\bar{x} \oplus y)} |y\rangle, \tag{14}$$

where $x \oplus y$ is the bitwise-XOR of x and y , and $\bar{x} = x \oplus 1$. Applying H_i twice gives the following,

$$H_i \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{y \in \{0,1\}} e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}(\bar{x} \oplus y)} |y\rangle \right) = e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}} |\bar{x}\rangle. \tag{15}$$

In general, the effect of applying H_i gate on n -qubit quantum register can be understood as follows,

$$H_i^{\otimes n} |x\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{y=0}^{2^n-1} e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}(\bar{x} \oplus y)} |y\rangle, \tag{16}$$

where $x \oplus y = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} x_j \oplus y_j$ is the summation of the bitwise-XOR of x_j and y_j . Applying $H_i^{\otimes n}$ twice gives,

$$H_i^{\otimes n} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{y=0}^{2^n-1} e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}(\bar{x} \oplus y)} |y\rangle \right) = e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}n} |\bar{x}\rangle. \tag{17}$$

3.6 Phase Shifts Based on Hamming Distance

The operator $U_c^{|x_s\rangle}$ is an operator that applies specific phase shifts on the states included in the superposition based on the Hamming distance between these states and the given item x_s . The operator $U_c^{|x_s\rangle}$ applies phase shifts according to the following rule,

$$U_c^{|x_s\rangle} |x\rangle = \begin{cases} e^{i \cdot 0}, & \text{if } D(x, x_s) = 0 \text{ or } 4n - 3, \\ e^{i \frac{\pi}{2}}, & \text{if } D(x, x_s) = 4n - 2, \\ e^{i\pi}, & \text{if } D(x, x_s) = 4n - 1, \\ e^{i \frac{3\pi}{2}}, & \text{if } D(x, x_s) = 4n, \end{cases} \quad (18)$$

where $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

	$ 000\rangle$	$ 001\rangle$	$ 010\rangle$	$ 011\rangle$	$ 100\rangle$	$ 101\rangle$	$ 110\rangle$	$ 111\rangle$
$ 000\rangle$	1	1	1	i	1	i	i	-1
$ 001\rangle$	1	1	i	1	i	1	-1	i
$ 010\rangle$	1	i	1	1	i	-1	1	i
$ 011\rangle$	i	1	1	1	-1	i	i	1
$ 100\rangle$	1	i	i	-1	1	1	1	i
$ 101\rangle$	i	1	-1	i	1	1	i	1
$ 110\rangle$	i	-1	1	i	1	i	1	1
$ 111\rangle$	-1	i	i	1	i	1	1	1

Table 5: Table of phase shifts based on Hamming Distance for 3-qubit states.

To construct such operator, for a given x_s , choose the corresponding row/column for that item from Table (5) and insert these values as the diagonal of zero elements matrix. For example, if $x_s = 111$, then the corresponding matrix is,

$$U_c^{|111\rangle} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & i & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & i & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (19)$$

To simplify the construction of $U_c^{|x_s\rangle}$, instead of choosing the appropriate row/column from Table (5). The same construction can be done as follows,

$$U_c^{|x_s\rangle} = X^{\otimes \neg \langle x_s \rangle} U_c^{|1\rangle}{}^{\otimes n} X^{\otimes \langle x_s \rangle}, \quad (20)$$

where $\langle x_s \rangle$ is the bit representation of x_s , and \neg is the bitwise negation operator. For example, if $x_s = 101$, then,

$$U_c^{101} = (I \otimes X \otimes I) U_c^{111} (I \otimes X \otimes I). \quad (21)$$

4 The Algorithm

Given a list L of size $N = 2^n$ and an item x_s . It is required to decide if x_s is in the list. The operations of the algorithm is applied as follows,

$$(H_i^{\otimes n} \otimes I) (U_c^{x_s} \otimes I) e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}U_f} (I^{\otimes n} \otimes Z) e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}U_f} (H^{\otimes n} \otimes I) |0\rangle^{\otimes n+1}. \quad (22)$$

4.1 Tracing the Algorithm

The steps of the algorithm are as follows:

- 1- Prepare a quantum register of size $n + 1$ qubits all in state $|0\rangle$.

$$|\psi_0\rangle = |0\rangle^{\otimes n} \otimes |0\rangle. \quad (23)$$

- 2- Apply H gate on each of the first n qubits.

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi_1\rangle &= (H^{\otimes n} \otimes I) |\psi_0\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{x=0}^{2^n-1} |x\rangle \otimes |0\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

- 3- Apply $\exp(i\alpha U_f)$ taking $\alpha = \frac{\pi}{4}$.

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi_2\rangle &= \exp(i\frac{\pi}{4}U_f) |\psi_1\rangle \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot I + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot U_f \right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{x=0}^{2^n-1} |x\rangle \otimes |0\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{n+1}}} \left(\sum_{x=0}^{2^n-1} (|x\rangle \otimes |0\rangle) + i \sum_{x=0}^{2^n-1} (|x\rangle \otimes |f(x)\rangle) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

If x_s exists in the list, then the system can be written as,

$$|\psi_2\rangle = \frac{i+1}{\sqrt{2^{n+1}}} \sum_{\substack{x=0 \\ x \neq x_s}}^{2^n-1} (|x\rangle \otimes |0\rangle) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{n+1}}} |x_s\rangle \otimes (|0\rangle + i|1\rangle). \quad (26)$$

- 4- Apply $(I^{\otimes n} \otimes Z)$.

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi_3\rangle &= (I^{\otimes n} \otimes Z) |\psi_2\rangle \\ &= \frac{i+1}{\sqrt{2^{n+1}}} \sum_{\substack{x=0 \\ x \neq x_s}}^{2^n-1} (|x\rangle \otimes |0\rangle) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{n+1}}} |x_s\rangle \otimes (|0\rangle - i|1\rangle). \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

5- Apply $\exp(i\alpha U_f)$ taking $\alpha = \frac{\pi}{4}$.

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi_4\rangle &= \exp\left(i\frac{\pi}{4}U_f\right) |\psi_3\rangle \\ &= \frac{i}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{\substack{x=0 \\ x \neq x_s}}^{2^n-1} (|x\rangle \otimes |0\rangle) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} |x_s\rangle \otimes |0\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

6- Apply $(U_c^{|x_s\rangle} \otimes I)$.

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi_5\rangle &= \left(U_c^{|x_s\rangle} \otimes I\right) |\psi_4\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{\substack{x=0 \\ x \neq x_s}}^{2^n-1} e^{i\frac{m\pi}{2}} (|x\rangle \otimes |0\rangle) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} |x_s\rangle \otimes |0\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

where $m = x_s \oplus x = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} x_{s_j} \oplus x_j = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. The system can be re-written as,

$$|\psi_5\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{x=0}^{2^n-1} e^{i\frac{m_s\pi}{2}} (|x\rangle \otimes |0\rangle), \quad m_s = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (30)$$

7- Apply H_i gate on each of the first n qubits.

$$|\psi_6\rangle = (H_i^{\otimes n} \otimes I) |\psi_5\rangle = e^{i\frac{n\pi}{2}} |x_s\rangle \otimes |0\rangle. \quad (31)$$

8- Measure the first n qubits. If the outcome is x_s , then the required item exists in the list, otherwise, the item doesn't exist.

5 Conclusion

Using quantum superposition and fixed phase shifts, a quantum computer can search an unstructured list in a single step. The algorithm used a phase shift and a temporary entanglement to mark the item within the search space. An operator is used to adjust the phases of the items in the list according to their Hamming distance with the required item. Finally, we get an answer with certainty of whether the item exists or not in the list.

References

- [1] E. Biham and D. Dan Kenigsberg. Grover's quantum search algorithm for an arbitrary initial mixed state. *Physical Review A*, 66:062301, 2002.
- [2] D. Biron, O. Biham, E. Biham, M. Grassl, and D. A. Lidar. Generalized Grover search algorithm for arbitrary initial amplitude distribution. *arXiv e-Print quant-ph/9801066*, 1998.

- [3] M. Boyer, G. Brassard, P. Høyer, and A. Tapp. Tight bounds on quantum searching. *Fortschritte der Physik*, 46:493, 1998.
- [4] G. Brassard, P. Høyer, M. Mosca, , and A. Tapp. Quantum amplitude amplification and estimation. *arXiv e-Print quant-ph/0005055*, 2000.
- [5] G. Brassard, P. Høyer, and A. Tapp. Quantum counting. *arXiv e-Print quant-ph/9805082*, 1998.
- [6] G. Chen and S. Fulling. Generalization of Grover’s algorithm to multiobject search in quantum computing, part II: General unitary transformation. *arXiv e-Print quant-ph/0007124*, 2000.
- [7] G. Chen, S. Fulling, and J. Chen. Generalization of Grover’s algorithm to multiobject search in quantum computing, part I: Continuous time and discrete time. *arXiv e-Print quant-ph/0007123*, 2000.
- [8] G. Chen, S. Fulling, and M. Scully. Grover’s algorithm for multiobject search in quantum computing. *arXiv e-Print quant-ph/9909040*, 1999.
- [9] A. Galindo and M. A. Martin-Delgado. Family of Grover’s quantum-searching algorithms. *Physical Review A*, 62:062303, 2000.
- [10] L. Grover. A fast quantum mechanical algorithm for database search. In *Proceedings of the 28th Annual ACM Symposium on the Theory of Computing*, pages 212–219, 1996.
- [11] L. Grover. Quantum computers can search rapidly by using almost any transformation. *Physical Review Letters*, 80(19):4329–4332, 1998.
- [12] L. Grover. A different kind of quantum search. *arXiv e-Print quant-ph/0503205*, 2005.
- [13] L. Grover. Fixed-point quantum search. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 95(15):150501, 2005.
- [14] P. Høyer. Arbitrary phases in quantum amplitude amplification. *Physical Review A*, 62:052304, 2000.
- [15] R. Jozsa. Searching in Grover’s algorithm. *arXiv e-Print quant-ph/9901021*, 1999.
- [16] C. Li, C. Hwang, J. Hsieh, and K. Wang. A general phase matching condition for quantum searching algorithm. *arXiv e-Print quant-ph/0108086*, 2001.
- [17] G. L. Long. Grover algorithm with zero theoretical failure rate. *arXiv e-Print quant-ph/0106071*, 2001.
- [18] M. Mosca. Quantum searching, counting and amplitude amplification by eigenvector analysis. In *Proceedings of Randomized Algorithms, Workshop of Mathematical Foundations of Computer Science*, pages 90–100, 1998.
- [19] M. Nielsen and I. Chuang. *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom, 2000.

- [20] A. Younes. Fixed phase quantum search algorithm. *arXiv:0704.1585v2 [quant-ph]*, 2007.
- [21] A. Younes, J. Rowe, and J. Miller. A hybrid quantum search engine: A fast quantum algorithm for multiple matches. In *Proceedings of the 2nd International Computer Engineering Conference*, 2003.
- [22] A. Younes, J. Rowe, and J. Miller. Quantum search algorithm with more reliable behaviour using partial diffusion. In *Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on Quantum Communication, Measurement and Computing*, pages 171–174, 2004.
- [23] A. Younes, J. Rowe, and J. Miller. Enhanced quantum searching via entanglement and partial diffusion. *Physica D*, 237(8):1074–1078, 2007.
- [24] C. Zalka. Grover’s quantum searching algorithm is optimal. *Physical Review A*, 60(4):2746–2751, 1999.