## Nernst effect in the two-dimensional XY model

Qing-Hu Chen

Center for Statistical and Theoretical Condensed Matter Physics, Zhejiang Normal University, Jinhua 321004, P. R. China and Department of Physics, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, P. R. China (Dated: November 4, 2018)

We calculate the Nernst signal directly in the phenomenological two-dimensional XY model. The obtained numerical results are consistent with the experimental observations in some high-Tc cuprate superconductors qualitatively, where the vortex Nernst signal has a characteristic tilt-hill profile. It is suggested that the excitations of vortex and anti-vortex in 2D is the possible origin of the anomalous Nernst effect.

PACS numbers: 74.25.Fy, 74.40.+k,74.72.-h

By a phase only model, Podolsky et al[\[1\]](#page-1-0) have studied the Nernst effect [\[2\]](#page-1-1) and diamagnetic response due to the thermal superconducting fluctuation through the transverse thermoelectric conductivity  $(\alpha_{xy})$ . They found that the Nernst effect has a much sharper temperature decay than predicted by Gaussian fluctuations. We think that for this phase model the Nernst signal,  $e_N = \alpha_{xy}/\sigma$  $(\sigma$  is the electrical conductivity), can be calculated directly and can be compared directly with the experiments. For some cuprate superconductors, such as singlelayer  $Bi_2Sr_{2-y}La_yCuO_6$ , the quasi-particle contribution to the Nernst signal is virtually negligible for  $y$  in the range  $0.4 - 0.6$ . [\[3\]](#page-1-2). The phase model can only be applied to such kind of cuprate superconductors. Note that  $\alpha_{xy} = \alpha_{xy}^S + \alpha_{xy}^N$ , which includes the contributions from both vortices and quasi-particle, and can not be measured directly in experiments. It is also difficult to separate the contributions from vortices and quasi-particles. The contribution from quasi-particles  $\alpha_{xy}^N$  can not be obtained in this model. Therefore the  $\alpha_{xy}$  in Ref. [\[1\]](#page-1-0) in principle can only describe the vortex dominated Nernst effect, and can not describe the Nernst effect in those cuprate superconductors where the quasi-particle signal is comparable with the vortex one.

The same Hamiltonian of the two dimensional (2D) XY model is given by [\[1\]](#page-1-0)  $H = -J \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \cos(\phi_i - \phi_j - A_{i,j}),$ where  $A_{ij}$  represents the magnetic vector potential of a field  $\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}$  perpendicular to xy plane. The average number of vortex lines per plaquette  $f = a^2 B/\Phi_0$ , with a grid spacing. With the model-A dynamics, the equations of motion are given by  $\hbar \frac{d\phi_i(t)}{dt} = -\Gamma \frac{\partial H}{\partial \phi_i} + \Gamma_i(t)$  where  $\Gamma$  is a dimensionless constant which determines the time scale of relaxation. The thermal noise term  $\Gamma_i(t)$  is assumed to satisfy  $\langle \Gamma_i(t) \rangle = 0$  and  $\langle \Gamma_i(t) \Gamma_j(0) \rangle = 2\hbar \Gamma k_B T \delta_{ij} \delta(t)$ . The time and the temperature are in units of  $\hbar/\Gamma J$  and  $J/k_B$ . The present simulations are performed with the system size  $L = 64$  for two directions.

The temperature gradient is set up along x direction, where a open boundary condition should be applied. Specifically, we introduces a global "twist" variable  $\Delta_{y}(t)$ to track the average phase drop per link in the y-direction [\[4,](#page-1-3) [5](#page-1-4)]. The voltage by the diffusion of free vortices thermally driven is given by  $v_y = \dot{\Delta}_y$ . Since the periodic

boundary condition for the phase variable is satisfied in the  $y$ -direction, previous pseudo-spectral algorithm [\[5](#page-1-4)] can also be employed to facilitate the simulations. The time stepping is done using a second-order Runge-Kutta scheme with  $\Delta t = 0.05$ . The measurement is performed after the steady-state is reached. It is found that the present thermally driven system is time-consuming considerably. Our runs are typically  $(4-8) \times 10^9$  time steps. The detailed procedure in the simulations will be described in a full paper [\[6](#page-1-5)].

We calculate the Nernst signal directly through  $e_N =$  $v_y$  $\frac{v_y}{|\nabla_x T|}$ . As shown in Fig. 1(a), our numerical results are consistent with those in Figs. 11 and 12 in Ref. [\[3](#page-1-2)] qualitatively, where the vortex Nernst signal has a characteristic tilt-hill profile. At each temperature, in the low magnetic field regime, the interaction between vortices is small and can be neglected, so the Nernst signal is added linearly with the addition of free vortices as the fields increase. In the high magnetic field regime, the strong interaction among the dense vortices prohibits the vortex motion driven thermally, and cause very small Nernst signal. The competition of these two mechanisms results in a maximum Nernst signal in the intermediate fields. This nonmonotonous behavior is clearly observed in the curves for the Nernst signal at various temperatures above and below  $T_{KT}$  in the present simulations. For fixed fields, as the temperature increases, the Nernst signal also displays nonmonotonous behavior, also similar to the experimental observations[\[3](#page-1-2)]. It is suggested that the excitations of vortex and anti-vortex in 2D is the possible origin of the anomalous Nernst effect observed in strongly layered high-Tc cuprate superconductors. The inter-layer Josephson coupling only renormalizes the inplane coupling strength and may play no essential role in this phase-disordering scenario.

More interestingly, our numerical results for the Nernst signal can explain the ridge fields in the Nernst experi-ments well [\[3](#page-1-2)]. In this model, the critical field  $H_{c2}$  is corresponding to  $f = 1$ , i.e. the vortices occupy the system completely. The peak position around  $f = 0.1$  shown in Fig. 1(a) is consistent with the typical ridge fields  $0.1H_{c2}$ observed in the Nernst experiments [\[3\]](#page-1-2).

In Fig. 1 of Ref. [\[1\]](#page-1-0), one can find that the transverse



FIG. 1: (a)  $e_N$  and (b)  $\alpha_{xy}$  as a function of f at various temperatures above and below  $T_{KT} = 0.89$ . The system size  $L = 64.$ 

- <span id="page-1-0"></span>[1] D. Podolsky, S. Raghu, and A. Vishwanath, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 117004(2007); see also arXiv:cond-mat/061209.
- <span id="page-1-1"></span>[2] Z. A. Xu et al., Nature(London) 406, 486(2000).
- <span id="page-1-2"></span>[3] Y. Y. Wang, L. Li, and N. P. Ong, Phys. Rev. B 73, 024510 (2006).
- <span id="page-1-3"></span>[4] B. J. Kim, P. Minnhagen and P. Olsson, Phys. Rev. B 59,

11506 (1999).

- <span id="page-1-4"></span>[5] Q. H. Chen, L. H. Tang, and P. Q. Tong, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 067001(2001); L. H. Tang and Q. H. Chen, Phys. Rev. B 67, 024508 (2003).
- <span id="page-1-5"></span>[6] Q. H. Chen (to be published).

thermoelectric conductivity  $\alpha_{xy}$  decreases monotonically with temperatures. In our simulations, we find a nonmonotonous behavior of  $\alpha_{xy}$  below  $T_{KT}$ , as shown in Fig. 1(b). This numerical prediction for the only contribution from vortices in  $\alpha_{xy}$  is clearly called for further confirmation in further advanced experiments where the vortex contribution is indeed separated from the total  $\alpha_{xy}$ . To the best of our knowledge, at the moment no one can separate the vortex contribution easily.

In short, the direct simulation for the Nernst signal in the phenomenological 2D XY model can provide a qualitatively explanation to the experimental findings in some high-Tc cuprate superconductors.

The author acknowledges useful discussions with Z. A. Xu and Y. Y. Wang. This work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant Nos. 10774128.