

Polaron Properties of an Impurity in Bose-Einstein-Condensation

Beibing Huang and Shaolong Wan*

Institute for Theoretical Physics and Department of Modern Physics
University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, 230026, **P. R. China**

November 4, 2018

Abstract

In this paper we study an impurity in Bose-Einstein-Condensate system at $T = 0K$ and suppose the contact forms for boson-boson and boson-impurity interactions. Using Bogoliubov theory and a further approximation corresponding to only think over the forward scattering of impurity by bosons, we derive a reduced Hamiltonian whose form is the same as the Fröhlich Hamiltonian for large polaron. By using Lee-Low-Pines (LLP) theory for large polaron, we obtain the effective mass of impurity, the phonon number carried by impurity and the energy related to the existence of impurity. In addition, we also discuss the valid range for forward-scattering approximation.

PACS number(s): 03.75.Kk, 03.75.Ss, 74.20.Mn

1 Introduction

Since the impressive experimental achievement of Bose-Einstein-Condensation (BEC) [1, 2, 3] quantum degenerate atomic gases have become one of the hottest domains. Temperature in such systems can be thought to approach zero so that the thermal fluctuations and classical phase transitions associated with thermal fluctuations are fully suppressed. But

*Corresponding author. Electronic address: slwan@ustc.edu.cn

quantum fluctuations due to Heisenberg uncertainty principle still exist and may be strong enough to lead to a quantum phase transition. So these systems are good playground to realization of quantum phase transitions [4, 5, 6]. Except probing for fundamental physics in the realm of dilute atomic quantum gas, BEC system is also an auxiliary but effective tool to sympathetically cool some systems, which can not be cooled by traditional cooling technique, to degenerate temperature [7, 8, 9]. So a quantum degenerate mixing system could be obtained. Extending such mixing system to a extreme case that there is only one atom belonging to sympathetically cooled system, we will expect a BEC system with an impurity. Fortunately, such system has been able to create in experiment by many ways [9, 10, 11, 12]. An impurity in BEC is very similar to polaron, an electron in ionic crystal. When the electron moves, it polarizes the lattice around it and inversely experiences an effective potential from the lattice. And in the BEC system, the existence of an impurity would modify the distribution of atoms in BEC and also produce an effective potential to act on itself [13]. In [13, 14, 15], their authors made good use of the product wave function similar to Landau-Pekar description to polaron [16, 17] to deal with the self-localization of impurity. The product wave function, the essence of which is the separation of freedoms, is a good approximation for polaron owing to large mass ratio of ion to the electron to make the Born-Oppenheimer approximation be valid. But the validity of product wave function is questionable in the BEC system with an impurity, owing to similar masses between the bosons and the impurity, and some deviations from it can be expected [15].

In this article, we start from the bosonic system Hamiltonian with an impurity, and by using Bogoliubov theory, derive an equivalent Hamiltonian which is the same as the Fröhlich Hamiltonian [18, 19] for large polaron in section 2. In this equivalent Hamiltonian, Bogoliubov phonon plays a role of the phonon which comes from the lattice oscillation. Then we use intermediate coupling theory [20] of Lee-Low-Pines (LLP) for polaron to calculate the effective mass of impurity, the phonon number carried by impurity and the impurity energy in section 3. Finally, some discussions about validity of our approximate decision on condensate fraction and conclusions are given in section 4.

2 Equivalent Hamiltonian for the Bosonic System with an Impurity

We consider a single impurity immersed in a homogeneous BEC in three dimension space. Assuming that the boson-boson and boson-impurity interactions can be described by contact interactions, the many-body Hamiltonian [14] reads

$$\begin{aligned}
 H = & -\sum_i \frac{\hbar^2 \nabla_i^2}{2m_B} + \frac{g}{2} \sum_{i,j} \delta(\vec{r}_i - \vec{r}_j) \\
 & + \lambda \sum_i \delta(\vec{r} - \vec{r}_i) - \frac{\hbar^2 \nabla^2}{2m_I}
 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where m_B , m_I are the masses of boson and impurity respectively. $g = 4\pi\hbar^2 a_{BB}/m_B$, $\lambda = 2\pi\hbar^2 a_{IB}/m_{red}$ are the interaction strength of contact potentials for boson-boson and boson-impurity interaction with the reduced mass $m_{red} = m_I m_B / (m_I + m_B)$. \vec{r}_i , \vec{r} represent the coordinates of bosons and impurity, respectively. In the second quantization

representation, we can expand the bosonic field operator on a set of plane wave basis and change Hamiltonian (1) into

$$\begin{aligned}
H = & \sum_k (\varepsilon_k - \mu) a_k^\dagger a_k + \frac{g}{2} \sum_{k,p,q} a_k^\dagger a_p^\dagger a_{p-q} a_{k+q} \\
& + \lambda \sum_{k,q} a_k^\dagger a_q \exp \left[-i(\vec{k} - \vec{q}) \cdot \vec{r} \right] + \frac{P^2}{2m_I}
\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where $\varepsilon_k = \hbar^2 k^2 / (2m_B)$ and \vec{P} is the momentum of impurity. The chemical potential μ is introduced to keep the average bosonic number to be a constant. a_k, a_k^\dagger are the bosonic annihilation and creation operators conforming to the canonical commutation relation $[a_k, a_q^\dagger] = \delta_{kq}$. Owing to only one impurity, the statistical property of impurity is unimportant and the same results are obtained for the fermionic and bosonic impurity. For the convenience, we suppose our system to be unit volume.

At $T = 0K$, the bosons macroscopically occupy the lowest energy state with wave vector $\vec{k} = 0$, which makes it possible to neglect quantum property of a_0, a_0^\dagger and consider them to be classical number [21]. Following the general procedure, we decompose a_k as follows

$$a_k \rightarrow \sqrt{n_0} \delta_{k0} + a_k \tag{3}$$

n_0 is the number density of atom on the lowest energy state with $n_0 = a_0^\dagger a_0$. Substituting (3) into (2) and only keeping terms until the second order, the Hamiltonian reads

$$\begin{aligned}
H = & \frac{P^2}{2m_I} - \mu n_0 + \frac{g}{2} n_0^2 + \lambda n_0 + \sqrt{n_0} (-\mu + gn_0 + \lambda) (a_0 + a_0^\dagger) \\
& + \lambda \sqrt{n_0} \sum_k' \left[a_k \exp(i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r}) + a_k^\dagger \exp(-i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r}) \right] + \lambda \sum_{k,q} a_k^\dagger a_q \exp[-i(\vec{k} - \vec{q}) \cdot \vec{r}] \\
& + \sum_k (\varepsilon_k - \mu) a_k^\dagger a_k + \frac{gn_0}{2} \sum_k (a_k a_{-k} + 4a_k^\dagger a_k + a_k^\dagger a_{-k}^\dagger)
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where the sum with character \sum_k' represents exclusion for $\vec{k} = 0$ state. Note that both interactions of boson-boson and boson-impurity make the BEC deplete. We take into consideration contributions of such two kinds of interaction to the condensate depletion at the same time by asking for the coefficient of a_0, a_0^\dagger to vanish [21] and find

$$\mu = gn_0 + \lambda \tag{5}$$

When BEC happens, the gauge symmetry of the system is broken and a long-wavelength gapless mode exists which is imposed by Goldstone theorem [22]. In order to satisfy above condition, we find that if we make below approximation for boson-impurity scattering term

$$\lambda \sum_{k,q} a_k^\dagger a_q \exp[-i(\vec{k} - \vec{q}) \cdot \vec{r}] \approx \lambda \sum_k a_k^\dagger a_k \tag{6}$$

the gapless property of low energy excitations is met as we could see below. Physically this approximation implies that when the impurity is scattered by bosons, the momentum

of bosons and impurity will not alter. In other words, impurity only experiences forward scattering. So the Hamiltonian is further reduced to

$$\begin{aligned}
H &= \frac{P^2}{2m_I} - \frac{g}{2}n_0^2 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_k (\varepsilon_k + gn_0) \\
&\quad + \lambda\sqrt{n_0} \sum_k' \left[a_k \exp(i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r}) + a_k^\dagger \exp(-i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r}) \right] \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_k (\varepsilon_k + gn_0) (a_k^\dagger a_k + a_{-k} a_{-k}^\dagger) \\
&\quad + gn_0 (a_k a_{-k} + a_k^\dagger a_{-k}^\dagger)
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Making the Bogoliubov transformation

$$\begin{aligned}
a_k &= u_k b_k - v_k b_{-k}^\dagger \\
a_{-k}^\dagger &= -v_k b_k + u_k b_{-k}^\dagger
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

and imposing the conditions

$$\begin{aligned}
u_k^2 - v_k^2 &= 1 \\
(u_k^2 + v_k^2)gn_0 - 2u_k v_k (gn_0 + \varepsilon_k) &= 0 \\
\xi_k &= (u_k^2 + v_k^2)(\varepsilon_k + gn_0) - 2u_k v_k gn_0
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
H &= E_B + \frac{P^2}{2m_I} + \sum_k \xi_k b_k^\dagger b_k \\
&\quad + \sum_k' \left[V_k b_k \exp(i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r}) + V_k^* b_k^\dagger \exp(-i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r}) \right]
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

where $V_k = \lambda\sqrt{n_0}(u_k - v_k)$ and $E_B = -\frac{g}{2}n_0^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_k (\xi_k - \varepsilon_k - gn_0)$. $\xi_k = \sqrt{\varepsilon_k^2 + 2gn_0\varepsilon_k}$ with $v_k^2 = u_k^2 - 1 = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\varepsilon_k + gn_0}{\xi_k} - 1 \right)$, $u_k v_k = \frac{gn_0}{2\xi_k}$. It is very clear that low energy excitation ξ_k is gapless and completely same as that in the situation without the impurity. n_0 is decided by atomic number conservation

$$\begin{aligned}
n &= n_0 + \sum_k \langle a_k^\dagger a_k \rangle \\
&= n_0 + \sum_k v_k^2 + \sum_k (u_k^2 + v_k^2) \langle b_k^\dagger b_k \rangle - \sum_k u_k v_k \langle b_k^\dagger b_{-k}^\dagger + b_k b_{-k} \rangle
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Where $\langle \dots \rangle$ represents the ensemble average. In the pure bosonic system, Bogoliubov transformation makes the Hamiltonian of bosonic system diagonal about phonon operators, so the last two terms on the right hand side disappear and n_0 is decided by equation

$$n = n_0 + \sum_k v_k^2 \tag{12}$$

While for the bosonic system with an impurity the last two terms are nonzero, leading to that the particle number equation and bosonic condensate fraction are modified in contrast to pure bosonic system. In fact, the last two terms in (11) illustrate the contribution of impurity to condensate depletion. But as we will see below, the last two terms are much smaller than other terms in (11) and are negligible due to small ratio of impurity number to boson number. Thus, we determine condensate fraction according to (12), in other words, we do not consider the contribution of boson-impurity interaction to condensate depletion. When n_0 is determined, the Hamiltonian (10) is completely decided.

Owing to nonexistence of zero-momentum phonon, exclusion for $\vec{k} = 0$ state in (10) is not important and we can neglect this exclusion. In addition to a constant term E_B , the form of Hamiltonian (10) is the same as that of Fröhlich large polaron theory. Bogoliubov phonon is akin to phonon coming from lattice oscillations. When an electron moves in ionic crystal, it will be influenced more strongly by optical phonon, which represents relative movement of positive and negative ions and is accompanied by polarized electric field, than acoustic one which represents the movement of mass center and can not produce polarized electric field. So in usual Fröhlich large polaron theory, the phonon is considered to be optical mode one and its frequency is chosen to be independent of the wavevector and be a nonzero constant due to finite energy gap for optical phonon. But in BEC system with an impurity phonon is gapless, moreover we must consider the dependence of dispersion relation on wavevector. As a summarization of this section, we get an effective Hamiltonian similar to Fröhlich large polaron by making Bogoliubov and forward-scattering approximations.

3 The Effective Mass, Phonon Number and Impurity Energy

Below, we follow the LLP approach [20] to calculate some properties of such system. The essence of the LLP theory consists in combining the canonical transformation with the variational principle to get approximate ground state of the system. Supposing $|\Phi\rangle$ is the ground state $H|\Phi\rangle = E|\Phi\rangle$, we make the canonical transformation $|\Phi\rangle = U_1|\Psi\rangle$ with $U_1 = \exp[-i\sum_k b_k^\dagger b_k \vec{k} \cdot \vec{r}]$ and the Hamiltonian is transformed into $H' = U_1^{-1} H U_1$

$$H' = E_B + \frac{(\vec{P} - \sum_k \hbar \vec{k} b_k^\dagger b_k)^2}{2m_I} + \sum_k \xi_k b_k^\dagger b_k + \sum_k (V_k b_k + V_k^* b_k^\dagger) \quad (13)$$

In (13), the coordinate of impurity is expunged and its momentum becomes a good quantum number. In fact, the momentum \vec{P} in (13) represents the whole momentum of the system. Introducing the variational function $f(k)$ and making another canonical transformation $|\Psi\rangle = U_2|0\rangle$ with $U_2 = \exp[\sum_k (b_k^\dagger f(k) - b_k f^*(k))]$ and $|0\rangle$ representing the vacuum of the phonon, the Hamiltonian (13) can be rewritten as $\hat{H} = U_2^{-1} H' U_2$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H} = & E_B + \frac{(\vec{P} - \sum_k \hbar \vec{k} b_k^\dagger b_k)^2}{2m_I} + \sum_k [V_k f(k) + V_k^* f^*(k)] + \frac{\hbar^2}{2m_I} \sum_{k,q} \vec{k} \cdot \vec{q} |f(k)|^2 |f(q)|^2 \\ & + \sum_k |f(k)|^2 \left[\epsilon_k - \frac{\hbar}{m_I} \vec{P} \cdot \vec{k} + \xi_k \right] + \sum_k \xi_k b_k^\dagger b_k + \sum_k b_k^\dagger b_k \sum_q \frac{\hbar^2}{m_I} \vec{k} \cdot \vec{q} |f(q)|^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_k \left\{ b_k^\dagger \left[V_k^* + f(k) \left(\xi_k + \epsilon_k - \frac{\hbar}{m_I} \vec{P} \cdot \vec{k} + \frac{\hbar^2}{m_I} \sum_q \vec{k} \cdot \vec{q} |f(q)|^2 \right) \right] + h.c. \right\} \\
& + \frac{\hbar^2}{2m_I} \sum_{k,q} \vec{k} \cdot \vec{q} \left[b_k^\dagger b_q^\dagger f(k) f(q) + 2b_k^\dagger b_q f(k) f^*(q) + b_k b_q f^*(k) f^*(q) \right] \\
& + \frac{\hbar^2}{m_I} \sum_{k,q} \vec{k} \cdot \vec{q} \left[f^*(q) b_k^\dagger b_k b_q + f(q) b_q^\dagger b_k^\dagger b_k \right]
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

where $\epsilon_k = \hbar^2 k^2 / (2m_I)$. The ground state energy of the system $E_g(P) = \langle 0 | \hat{H} | 0 \rangle = E_B + E(P)$, where

$$\begin{aligned}
E(P) & = \frac{P^2}{2m_I} + \sum_k [V_k f(k) + V_k^* f^*(k)] + \sum_k |f(k)|^2 \left[\epsilon_k - \frac{\hbar}{m_I} \vec{P} \cdot \vec{k} + \xi_k \right] \\
& + \frac{\hbar^2}{2m_I} \left[\sum_k \vec{k} |f(k)|^2 \right]^2
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

and $f(k)$ is decided by $\frac{\delta E(P)}{\delta f(k)} = \frac{\delta E(P)}{\delta f^*(k)} = 0$

$$V_k + f^*(k) \left[\xi_k + \epsilon_k - \frac{\hbar}{m_I} \vec{P} \cdot \vec{k} + \frac{\hbar^2}{m_I} \sum_q |f(q)|^2 \vec{k} \cdot \vec{q} \right] = 0 \tag{16}$$

According to the LLP approach, letting $\sum_k \hbar \vec{k} |f(k)|^2 = \eta \vec{P}$, we have

$$f^*(k) = - \frac{V_k}{\xi_k + \epsilon_k - \frac{\hbar}{m_I} (1 - \eta) \vec{P} \cdot \vec{k}} \tag{17}$$

After introducing the parameter η , the behavior of the system completely depends on the value of η . We further have

$$\eta \vec{P} = \sum_k \frac{\hbar \vec{k} |V_k|^2}{\left[\xi_k + \epsilon_k - \frac{\hbar}{m_I} (1 - \eta) \vec{P} \cdot \vec{k} \right]^2} \tag{18}$$

The parameter η is self-consistently decided in (18), which is the main equation in LLP theory. Substituting (17) into the expression of $E(P)$

$$E(P) = \frac{P^2}{2m_I} (1 - \eta^2) - \sum_k \frac{|V_k|^2}{\xi_k + \epsilon_k - \frac{\hbar}{m_I} (1 - \eta) \vec{P} \cdot \vec{k}} \tag{19}$$

Below we make a small quantity expansion about P to (18) till the second order to get

$$\eta \vec{P} = \sum_k \frac{\hbar \vec{k} |V_k|^2}{(\xi_k + \epsilon_k)^3} \frac{2\hbar}{m_I} (1 - \eta) \vec{P} \cdot \vec{k} \tag{20}$$

Choosing the orientation of \vec{P} to be along the z axis, we have

$$\frac{\eta}{1-\eta} = \sum_k \frac{|V_k|^2}{(\xi_k + \epsilon_k)^3} \frac{2\hbar^2}{m_I} k^2 \cos^2 \theta \quad (21)$$

In order to calculating the effective mass of impurity, we also expand the $E(P)$ to second order of P and find

$$\begin{aligned} E(P) = & - \sum_k \frac{|V_k|^2}{\xi_k + \epsilon_k} + \frac{P^2}{2m_I} (1 - \eta^2) \\ & - \frac{P^2}{2m_I} (1 - \eta)^2 \sum_k \frac{|V_k|^2}{(\xi_k + \epsilon_k)^3} \frac{2\hbar^2}{m_I} k^2 \cos^2 \theta \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

By means of (21), the effective mass of the impurity m_I^*

$$m_I^* = \frac{m_I}{1 - \eta} \quad (23)$$

Introducing another parameter $W = \eta/(1 - \eta)$ which, in fact, can reflect the effective strength of coupling in contrast to the situation for polaron, the effective mass can be expressed into $m_I^*/m_I = 1 + W$. Integrating the angular variable, W is reduced as

$$W = \frac{8\rho}{3\sqrt{3}\pi^{3/2}} \frac{m_B}{m_I} \left(1 + \frac{m_B}{m_I}\right)^2 \frac{(k_B a_{IB})^2}{(k_B a_{BB})^{1/2}} \Pi^{(0)} \quad (24)$$

where

$$\Pi^{(0)} = \int_0^\infty dy \frac{y^2}{(y^2 + 2\rho)^{1/2} [(y^2 + 2\rho)^{1/2} + (m_B/m_I)y]^3} \quad (25)$$

Where in order to scale the expression we define a parameter k_B like the Fermi wavevector $n = k_B^3/(6\pi^2)$. In addition, we also introduce another notation $\rho = n_0/n$ for condensate fraction. From the expression of W and under the approximation (12) which signifies the condensate fraction ρ is independent of a_{IB} , we easily find that W is proportional to the square of the a_{IB} for definite the a_{BB} and m_B/m_I . Fig.1(a) apparently respects this kind of behavior. The dependence of W on m_B/m_I for definite a_{BB} and a_{IB} appears to be indirect due to the dependence of $\Pi^{(0)}$ on m_B/m_I . Fig.1(b) show the corresponding change of the effective coupling strength as function of m_B/m_I .

At zero temperature, the number of phonon is zero for purely BEC while there exists some phonon excitations in BEC with an impurity. Below we calculate the phonon number carried by the impurity $n_{ph} = \sum_k \langle \Phi | b_k^\dagger b_k | \Phi \rangle = \sum_k |f(k)|^2$

$$n_{ph} = \frac{2\rho}{\sqrt{3}\pi^{3/2}} \frac{(k_B a_{IB})^2}{(k_B a_{BB})^{1/2}} \left(1 + \frac{m_B}{m_I}\right)^2 \Pi^{(1)} \quad (26)$$

where

$$\Pi^{(1)} = \int_0^\infty dy \frac{y}{(y^2 + 2\rho)^{1/2} [(y^2 + 2\rho)^{1/2} + (m_B/m_I)y]^2 - 4(m_B/m_I)^2 (1 - \eta)^2 \tilde{P}^2} \quad (27)$$




Figure 1: The behavior of the effective mass $m_I^*/m_I = 1 + W$ as a function of a_{IB} for different mass ratios m_B/m_I of atom to impurity (a); as a function of mass ratio m_B/m_I for different boson-impurity scattering length a_{IB} (b). We have chosen $a_{BB}k_B = 0.87$.

and $\tilde{P} = P/(2m_B n g)^{1/2}$. When an impurity moves in BEC, the state of this impurity is sensitively dependent on its velocity according to superfluid Landau theory [23]. Landau used simple kinematic arguments to derive an expression for the critical velocity $V_L = \min[\zeta(k)/k]$ where $\zeta(k)$ is the energy of an elementary excitation with momentum k . If the velocity of impurity is larger than V_L , the impurity will dissipate energy by colliding with BEC, but if not, the impurity will move without dissipation. Such behavior has been predicted theoretically [24] and observed experimentally [10]: as the impurity velocity is diminished below Landau critical velocity, there exists a dramatic reduction in the probability of collisions. Recalling that in the process of deriving effective Hamiltonian (10) we have made forward-scattering approximation. Only forward scattering corresponds to no scattering and vanishing collision probability. Thus we think that the reduction of collision probability for low impurity speed smaller than Landau critical velocity can guarantee the validity of forward-scattering approximation. In order to keep this approximation valid, we calculate phonon number n_{ph} at $P = 0$. In ionic crystals, the phonon carried by electron is virtual when the energy of moving electron is lower than that of optical phonon which has a finite energy gap. Superficially for BEC system phonon is gapless, no matter how small the velocity of the impurity is, phonon is able to create. But it can also not be created for arbitrary small impurity velocity due to above stated BEC mechanics. So phonon number we calculate is also virtual. Corresponding behavior for phonon number is showed in Fig.2 and is very similar to the behavior of effective mass of impurity. This observation is consistent with our intuition about polaron: the more number of the phonon encompassing the impurity, the larger the force dragging the impurity and so the larger the impurity effective mass.

Below we calculate energy related to an impurity with zero velocity. The whole energy of system is

$$E_g(0) = E_B + E(0) + \mu n$$




Figure 2: The behavior of the phonon number n_{ph} as a function of boson-impurity scattering length a_{IB} for different mass ratios m_B/m_I of boson to impurity (a); as a function of boson-impurity mass ratio m_B/m_I for different boson-impurity scattering length a_{IB} . We have chosen $a_{BB}k_B = 0.87$.

$$\approx (gn_0^2 + E_B) + (\lambda n_0 + E(0)) \quad (28)$$

Where we have used (5) and make another approximation $\mu n \approx \mu n_0$ which is valid for dilute BEC. The first term on the right hand side in (28) is the energy of pure bosonic system, so the second term gives impurity energy in BEC system. The calculation about energy must be careful. In Hamiltonian (1), we have used the contact interaction to represent the true potential for which its Fourier transformation should fall off at large momentum. This substitution leads to the ultraviolet divergence of the ground state energy, so that a regularization must be forced. In fact, this divergence is not fundamental and can be regularized by way of absorbing more high order scattering contribution into scattering length [25]

$$\frac{2\pi a_{IB}\hbar^2}{m_{red}} = \lambda - \frac{2m_{red}\lambda^2}{\hbar^2} \sum_k \frac{1}{k^2} \quad (29)$$

After taking these measures, the impurity energy E_I after scaled by $2\pi\hbar^2 a_{BB}n/m_B$ is

$$E_I = \rho \left(1 + \frac{m_B}{m_I}\right) \frac{k_B a_{IB}}{k_B a_{BB}} + \frac{4\rho}{\sqrt{3}\pi^{3/2}} \left(1 + \frac{m_B}{m_I}\right)^2 \frac{(k_B a_{IB})^2}{(k_B a_{BB})^{1/2}} \Pi^{(2)} \quad (30)$$

where

$$\Pi^{(2)} = \int_0^\infty dy y^2 \left[\frac{1}{[1 + (m_B/m_I)]y^2} - \frac{1}{(y^2 + 2\rho)^{1/2} [(m_B/m_I)y + (y^2 + 2\rho)^{1/2}]} \right] \quad (31)$$

The corresponding behavior of E_I is plotted in Fig.3.




Figure 3: The behavior of impurity energy E_I as a function of boson-impurity scattering length a_{IB} for different mass ratio m_B/m_I of boson to impurity (a); as a function of boson-impurity mass ratio m_B/m_I for different boson-impurity scattering length a_{IB} . We have chosen $a_{BB}k_B = 0.87$.

4 Discussion and Conclusion

At last, we discuss the validity of our approximation (12) in the frame of LLP theory. Following the method calculating n_{ph} , (11) equals to

$$n = n_0 + \sum_k v_k^2 + \sum_k (u_k^2 + v_k^2) |f(k)|^2 - 2 \sum_k u_k v_k f(k) f(-k) \quad (32)$$

After some predigestion and dividing both sides of (32) by n

$$1 = \rho + \frac{8}{3\sqrt{6}\pi^{3/2}} \rho^{3/2} (a_{BB}k_B)^{3/2} + \frac{2\rho}{\sqrt{3}\pi^{3/2}n} \left(1 + \frac{m_B}{m_I}\right)^2 \frac{(k_B a_{IB})^2}{(k_B a_{BB})^{1/2}} \Pi^{(3)} \\ - \frac{\rho^2}{2\sqrt{3}\pi^{3/2}n} \frac{m_I}{m_B} \left(1 + \frac{m_B}{m_I}\right)^2 \frac{(k_B a_{IB})^2}{(k_B a_{BB})^{1/2}} \frac{1}{\tilde{P}(1-\eta)} \Pi^{(4)} \quad (33)$$

with

$$\Pi^{(3)} = \int_0^\infty dy \frac{y^2 + \rho}{y^2 + 2\rho} \frac{1}{[(y^2 + 2\rho)^{1/2} + (m_B/m_I)y]^2 - 4(m_B/m_I)^2 \tilde{P}^2(1-\eta)^2} \\ \Pi^{(4)} = \int_0^\infty dy \frac{1}{(y^2 + 2\rho)[\sqrt{y^2 + 2\rho} + \frac{m_B}{m_I}y]} \ln \left| \frac{\frac{m_B}{m_I}y + \sqrt{y^2 + 2\rho} + 2\frac{m_B}{m_I}\tilde{P}(1-\eta)}{\frac{m_B}{m_I}y + \sqrt{y^2 + 2\rho} - 2\frac{m_B}{m_I}\tilde{P}(1-\eta)} \right| \quad (34)$$

Equation (32) is the most basic equation from which we can study the effect of single impurity on condensate fraction. For dilute bosonic system, the condensate fraction ρ approaches unit. The density of a typical BEC system in experiments is $10^{13} - 10^{15} \text{cm}^{-3}$. Although it is small in contrast to typical density of gas, liquid and solid, it remains a large

number. From (33) there is a factor n in the denominators of last two terms on the right hand side. If there are lots of impurities, the last two terms would also include a factor proportional to impurity density. So the last two terms are small and negligible in contrast to the first and second terms in the light of the existence of single impurity. In this way the approximation (12) is proven to be valid.

In conclusion, by making Bogoliubov and forward-scattering approximations we have obtained an equivalent Hamiltonian which is similar to the Fröhlich large polaron Hamiltonian and calculate effective mass of impurity, the phonon number carried by the impurity and the impurity energy on a basis of LLP theory. In addition, we also point out the valid range of forward-scattering approximation that the velocity of the impurity must be smaller than Landau critical velocity of BEC system.

Acknowledgement

The work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 10675108.

- [22] J. Goldstone, *Nuovo Cimento*, 19, 154(1961).
- [23] L. D. Landau, *J. Phys. (Moscow)* 5, 71(1941).
- [24] E. Timmermans and R. Côté, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 80, 3419(1998).
- [25] A. L. Fetter and J. D. Walecka, *Quantum Theory of Many-Particle System* (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1971).