arXiv:0811.3767v1 [cond-mat.str-el] 23 Nov 2008

On Field-Induced Quantum Criticality in $YbRh_2Si_2$.

M. S. Laad

Max-Planck Institut für Physik Komplexer Systeme, Nöthnitzer Strasse 38, D01187 Dresden, Germany (Dated: November 6, 2018)

The quantum critical point (QCP) in $YbRh_2Si_2$ is an enigma for the itinerant view of QCP. In an alternative view, this QCP is intimately linked to the selective Mott localization of the heavy felectrons. Following a perusal of this unusual QCP, I study an Extended Periodic Anderson Model (EPAM) within DMFT. A quantum phase transition (FQPT), accompanied by a rapid change in the Fermi volume, is found near the quantum-critical end-point of the selective Mott transition in the f-electron sector. The theory accounts for a wide range of unusual, singular non-Fermi liquid features exhibited at this QCP in $YbRh_2Si_2$ in a natural way.

PACS numbers: PACS numbers: 71.28+d,71.30+h,72.10-d

Quantum Criticality in solids and the potential to "tune in" to new, novel phases of matter in their proximity underpins a large component of modern condensed matter physics research [1, 2]. The rare-earth compound $YbRh_2Si_2$ is a particularly enigmatic case in point, exhibiting a "strange" non-FL "phase" fanning out from a T = 0 QCP separating antiferromagnetic (AF) ordered and heavy Fermi liquid (HFL) phases. $YbRh_2Si_2$ is tuned to the QCP by minute magnetic field or chemical substitution. Experimental data indicate that the Hertz-Moriya-Millis (HMM) scenario [3] does not account for the unusual responses in $YbRh_2Si_2$. An alternative view posits that these are associated with the destruction of the Kondo effect itself, either by inter-site RKKY interactions [2, 5], or by selective localization of f electrons [6]. Given that the "standard model" of f-band systems, the Periodic Anderson Model (PAM), emphasizes the HFL aspect driven by quasilocal Kondo screening [7], these new observations call for mechanisms which destabilize Kondo singlet formation. In spite of vigorous attempts [2, 6], the problem is far from "being solved".

I begin by recapitulating salient features of the FQCP in $YbRh_2Si_2$:

(i) dc resistivity, $\rho(T) \simeq AT$ over three decades in T [8], (ii) specific heat, $C_V(T) \simeq T^{0.6}$ at very low T [2], (iii) anomalously slow (in frequency, ω) decay of optical conductivity, with linear-in ω scattering rate, $\tau^{-1}(\omega) \simeq \omega^{\alpha}$ with $\alpha \simeq 1$ [9], (iv) strongly T-dependent Hall constant, $R_H(T)$, and $\cot\theta_H(T) \simeq C_1 T^2 + C_2$, and (v) rapid change in the low-T value of R_H across the FQCP, extrapolating to a jump as $T \to 0$ [10], suggesting a rapid change in the Fermi surface (FS) across the FQCP, (vi) static magnetic susceptibility, $\chi(\mathbf{q}=0,T,B=0)\simeq T^{-0.6}$ for T > 0.3 K, along with large Korringa ratio [12], indicating very strong *ferromagnetic* correlations close to the FQCP. And $\chi(B) \simeq (B - B_c)^{-0.6}$ scales with the A-coefficient of the T^2 term in $\rho(T)$ in the HFL regime, (vii) NMR derived Knight shift, $K_s(T, B)$ and the relaxation rate, $1/T_1T$ scale with $\chi(\mathbf{q}=0, T, B=0)$.

(i),(iii) and (iv) are reminiscent of what is seen in high-

 T_c cuprates in their "normal" state. Recently, (v) has also been seen in cuprates near optimal doping [13] All these behaviors are at odds with the HMM theory [3], which predicts markedly different behavior [4]. So the elucidation of (i)-(vii) in a single theoretical picture remains a challenge.

Here, I address these issues by proposing a modified PAM with extended f-hopping and hybridisation, as well as a direct coulomb interaction between the f electrons and conduction (c) electrons, dubbed Extended-PAM (EPAM). I study this EPAM using DMFT, showing how the non-FL behavior along a curve in parameter space is understood as a selective Mott localization, and discuss how (i)-(vii) naturally follow therefrom. To the extent that this non-FL behavior is tied to f-Mott physics, single-site DMFT should capture the relevant physics. I will also show how this non-FL state is unstable to either AF, or to a heavy FL (HFL) away from this curve, at T = 0.

The Hamiltonian is $H = H_0 + H_1$, with the band part described by

$$H_0 = -t_f \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle,\sigma} f^{\dagger}_{i\sigma} f_{j\sigma} - t_p \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle,\sigma} c^{\dagger}_{i\sigma} c_{j\sigma} + V_{fc} \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle,\sigma} f^{\dagger}_{i\sigma} c_{j\sigma}$$
(1)

and the local part, by

$$H_1 = U_{ff} \sum_{i} n_{if\uparrow} n_{if\downarrow} + U_{fc} \sum_{i,\sigma,\sigma'} n_{if\sigma} n_{ic\sigma'} + \epsilon_f \sum_{i} n_{fi}$$
(2)

I take the *c*-band centered around E = 0 and consider $U_{ff} = \infty$ (valid for *f* shells), so the *f* electrons are projected fermions, $X_{if\sigma} = (1 - n_{if-\sigma})f_{i\sigma}$, satisfying $[X_{i\sigma}, X_{j\sigma'}^{\dagger}]_{+} = \delta_{ij}\delta_{\sigma\sigma'}(1 - n_{if,-\sigma})$. Using the Gutzwiller approximation, $X_{if\sigma} = q_{\sigma}f_{i\sigma}$ with $q_{\sigma} = (1 - n_f)/(1 - n_{f\sigma})$ and $n_{f\sigma} = (1/N)\sum_i \langle f_{i\sigma}^{\dagger}f_{i\sigma} \rangle$. This implies $(t_f, \epsilon_f) \to q_{\sigma}^2(t_f, \epsilon_f)$ and $V_{fc} \to q_{\sigma}V_{fc}$ in what follows, and corresponds to the slave-boson mean-field theory (SB-MFT), yielding a narrow, coherent *f* band with

a width $W \simeq k_B T_K^{mf}$ [6], the mean-field Kondo scale. Consistent with LDA calculations [14], I take $\epsilon_f \simeq E_F$. Below, I investigate the fate of this SB-MFT Kondo scale in presence of strong, quantum fluctuations of the f occupation, caused by the competition between mean-field coherence, $T_K^{mf}(V_{fc}, (t_{f,p}/U_{ff}))$ and incoherence, driven by U_{fc} .

by U_{fc} . I start by splitting the V_{fc} term as $(V_{fc} - \sqrt{t_f t_p}) \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle,\sigma} (f_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{j\sigma} + h.c) + \sqrt{t_f t_p} \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle,\sigma} (f_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{j\sigma} + h.c)$ and consider $H = H_0 + H_1$ with $V_{fc}^{(1)} = \sqrt{t_f t_p}$ to begin with. Using $a_{i\sigma} = (uf_{i\sigma} + vc_{i\sigma}), b_{i\sigma} = (vf_{i\sigma} - uc_{i\sigma})$ with $u = \sqrt{t_f/(t_f + t_p)}, v = \sqrt{t_p/(t_f + t_p)}$. It is easy to see that $H = H_0 + H_1$ is $H_0 = -t \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle,\sigma} (a_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} a_{j\sigma} + h.c)$ and $H_1 = U_{fc} \sum_{i,\sigma,\sigma'} n_{ia\sigma} n_{ib\sigma'} + \epsilon_f \sum_{i,\sigma} [n_{ia\sigma} + n_{ib\sigma} + (a_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} b_{i\sigma} + h.c)].$

This is the spin S = 1/2 Falicov-Kimball model (FKM) with a *local* hybridisation term, which is finite whenever $\epsilon_f \neq 0$. Remarkably, when $\epsilon_f = 0$, this reduces to the pure S = 1/2 FKM! Below, we show how ($\epsilon_f = 0, V_{fc} = \sqrt{t_f t_p}$) separates *two*, different metallic phases.

First, at $\epsilon_f = 0$, we see that $[n_{ib}, H] = 0$ for each *i*, implying a local U(1) invariance of H: local configurations with $n_b = 0, 1$ are rigorously degenerate. This is exactly the condition for having singular b-"number" fluctuations. As is known [15], the symmetry unbroken metallic phase is consequently not a FL, but is dominated by a superposition of one-particle $(n_b = 0)$ and two-particle $(n_b = 1)$ states at low energy. The *a*-fermion propagator is, assuming a lorentzian unperturbed DOS with half-width W for analytical clarity, very simple, showing "upper" and "lower" Hubbard bands, with a pseudogap at $E_F(=0)$:

$$\rho_a(\omega) = \frac{1 - n_b}{\omega^2 + W^2} + \frac{n_b}{(\omega - U_{fc})^2 + W^2}$$
(3)

The corresponding *b*-fermion propagator has branch cut singular behavior precisely at $E_F(= 0)$, leading to singularities in the local, one- and two-particle responses: $\rho_b(\omega) \simeq \theta(\omega) |\omega|^{-(1-\alpha_0)}$ and $\chi_{ab}^{*}(\omega) =$ $\int dt e^{i\omega t} \langle a_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} b_{i\sigma}(t); b_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} a_{i\sigma}(0) \rangle \simeq \theta(\omega) |\omega|^{-(2\alpha_0 - \alpha_0^2)}.$ Here, $\alpha = (1/\pi) \tan^{-1}(U_{fc}/W)$ is the so-called s-wave phase shift of the Anderson-Nozieres-de Dominicis (AND) X-Ray Edge (XRE) problem. Obviously, the FL quasiparticle weight, Z = 0, and the ω, T dependence of physical quantities will be governed by power-law responses. Notice that, in the (f, c) basis, divergence of χ_{ab} " (ω) corresponds to extended (cf. non-local hybridisation), singular quantum fluctuations of the f occupation: it is precisely these fluctuations which destroy FLT at $\epsilon_f = 0$ via the AND orthogonality catastrophe (OC) [16]. Given that the $4f_{7/2}$ level hybridizes with two "c" bands in reality [14], we get the OC exponent, $\alpha = 2\alpha_0$.

In our FKM with $\epsilon_f = 0$, and at T = 0, the total fermion number, $n = n_a + n_b = n_f + n_c$, jumps dis-

continuously from $n_{-} = (1/2) + (1/\pi)tan^{-1}(U_{fc}/2W)$ to $n_{+} = (3/2) - (1/\pi)tan^{-1}(U_{fc}/2W)$ for a range of densities, n, near unity. This corresponds to a sudden jump in the b-occupation, n_b , giving a first order "valence" transition as ϵ_f is tuned through $\mu (= 0)$. At finite T, this line of first-order transitions ends at a second order critical end-point (CEP), and n_b varies very rapidly over an energy scale $O(k_B T)$ around $\epsilon_f = 0$, extrapolating to a jump T = 0. Notice that this jump in n_b depends on U_{fc}/t , which we choose henceforth to be such that this jump is vanishingly small [17] at T = 0, giving a quantum critical end-point (QCEP). This explicitly shows the link between emergence of singular non-FL behavior and the selective Mott localization of the b-electrons. We emphasize that, with finite t_f, V_{fc} , both, the f- and c-fermions remain mobile: only their combination, $b_{\sigma} = (vf_{\sigma} - uc_{\sigma})$, is localized. Recent slave boson approaches have proposed this "selective Mott transition" of f-electrons in the context of the QCPs in RE systems [6]. Our work is a concrete, DMFT-based, realization of the "selective Mott" QPT, with a *non-local* hybridization. In contrast to earlier work [6], nFL behavior here arises from the AND-OC in the corresponding impurity problem as V_{fc} is varied across a critical value, $V_{fc}^{(1)} = \sqrt{t_f t_p}$. We now show how the unique observations at the

We now show how the unique observations at the FQCP in $YbRh_2Si_2$ are understood as a consequence of the AND-OC derived above. The singularity in the *b*-DOS implies that their contribution to thermodynamic responses dominates that of the "itinerant" *a*-fermions. Hence, the low-*T* specific heat is

$$C_{el}(T) \simeq T.lim_{\eta \to 0} Im[G_{bb}(\omega + i\eta)]|_{\omega = T} \simeq T^{\alpha}.$$
 (4)

giving the γ co-efficient as $\gamma(T) \simeq T^{-(1-\alpha)}$; actually, goes like $T^{-(1-\alpha)}\log(T/T_{coh})$ as the QCP is approached. The log- factor comes from seeing that the DOS is approximately a lorentzian with a maximum varying like $T^{-(1-\alpha)}$ and a full width at half-maximum equal to $\alpha \pi T$. When $E_F(=0)$ lies within this peak, we can write $E_F(T) = -\alpha \pi T$, whence the asymptotic form of $C_{el} \simeq T^{-(1-\alpha)}\log(T/T_{coh})$ follows. The entropy is then directly obtained as $S(T) = \int_0^T \gamma(T')dT' \simeq T^{\alpha}$. With $\alpha_0 = 0.3$, we thus find that both C(T), S(T) vary as T^{α} with $\alpha = 0.6$, in nice agreement with observations in the nFL regime as a function of T [2].

What about transport?. In the impurity limit (note that the lorentzian unperturbed DOS in DMFT will not modify the "impurity" result), we have $G_{a0}(\tau) = (\pi T \rho_0 / \sin(\pi T \tau))$ and $G_{b0}(\tau) = sgn(\tau)/2$, whence the respective selfenergies are $\tilde{\Sigma}_{a}(\tau) = U_{fc}^{2}G_{a0}(\tau)G_{a0}(\tau)G_{a0}(\tau)$ and $\Sigma_b(\tau) = U_{fc}^2 G_{a0}(\tau) G_{a0}(\tau) G_{b0}(\tau).$ Direct evaluation followed by Fourier transformation then gives $\Sigma_b(i\omega_n) = -i(U_{fc}\rho_0)^2[\omega_n(\ln(E_F/T) - \Psi(\omega_n/2\pi T) - \pi T)]$ and $\Sigma_a(i\omega_n) \simeq (\omega^2 + \pi^2 T^2)$. The dc resistivity within DMFT is then $\rho_{dc}(T) \simeq (m/ne^2) \text{Im}\Sigma_b(\omega)|_{\omega=T} \simeq AT$, i.e, it is linear in T. The optical scattering rate will also be linear in ω . This is exactly in accord with observations near the QCP in $YbRh_2Si_2$ [8, 9]. Interestingly, with log-singularities in Σ_b above (which already imply Z = 0), higher-order terms, which must be carefully examined, lead precisely to the *branch cut* singular structure [19] for $\rho_b(\omega)$, characteristic of the "lattice X-ray edge" problem found in DMFT.

To proceed, observe that the impurity model corresponding to H_{FKM} can be bosonized in each radial direction centered around the "impurity" site [20]. For general band-filling, $n = n_a + n_b \neq 1$ per site, the umklapp terms from U_{fc} are irrelevant and hence ignored. The bosonized Lagrangian then describes a collection of *non-interacting* charge- and spin density collective modes:

$$L_0' = \sum_{\rho,\sigma} \frac{u_{\rho,\sigma}}{2} \int [K_{\rho,\sigma} \Pi_{\rho,\sigma}^2(r) + \frac{1}{K_{\rho,\sigma}} (\partial_r \phi_{\rho,\sigma}(r))^2] dr$$
(5)

and L_0 " = $\frac{g}{\pi u_\rho} \sum_{\rho} \int \partial_r \phi_\rho(r) dr$. Here, $g, u_{\rho,\sigma}, K_{\rho,\sigma}$ are explicit functions of U_{fc}/t . Thus, interactions simply "shift" the *charge* bosonic modes relative to their free values. Introducing the usual symmetric-antisymmetric (charge-spin) combinations of $\phi_{\rho,\sigma}(r)$, we see that the antisymmetric (spin) channel completely decouples from the charge channel: a kind of high-dimensional **spincharge separation**! This has been strongly emphasized by Anderson [16] in the cuprate context, and has important consequences, detailed below.

 $\epsilon_f \neq 0$ has two effects: (i) it moves the *b*-fermion level away from E_F , and, (ii) finite *a-b* hybridisation generates a finite, but heavy *b*-fermion mass, due to recoil in the XRE problem [21], giving a small "coherence scale", $\epsilon_{rec} = k_B T_{coh}$, below which HFL behavior obtains in the lattice model. The FL quasiparticle overlap, $Z \simeq e^{-C(t=\infty)}$, with $C(t) = 2U_{fc}^2 \int \frac{\chi_{ab}{}^{"}(\omega)}{\omega^2} (1 - t) dt$ $\cos(\omega t))d\omega$. This gives $Z \simeq exp[U_{fc}^2(\ln(\kappa)/(1-\kappa^2))]$. Here, $\kappa = m_a/m_b$, with m_a the band mass of the afermion and m_b the heavy mass of the *b* fermion. Hence $T_{coh} \propto Z$ (note the difference from the SBMFT scale, T_K^{mf} increases with ϵ_f , as indeed observed in the region to the right of the FQCP. In $D = \infty$, the relevant hybridization, $\epsilon_f \sum_{i,\sigma} (a_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} b_{i\sigma} + h.c)$ implies that the one-electron DOS will show a narrow, low-energy FL resonance, with upper/lower Hubbard bands at high energies, as is known [15]. Away from $V_{fc} = \sqrt{t_f t_p}$, the term $\sum_{\langle i,j \rangle,\sigma} \delta V_{fc}(f_{i\sigma}^{\dagger}c_{j\sigma} + h.c)$ also causes one-particle *intersite* hybridisation between the a, b fermions. This again gives the *b*-fermions a finite mass and results in another HFL with $T_{coh} \propto Z \ll 1$. Low-energy responses are then those of a HFL with $Z \ll 1$: an enhanced $\gamma = C_{el}(T)/T, \chi(T) = \chi_0, \rho_{dc}(T) = \rho_0 + AT^2$, etc, followed by a *smooth* crossover to the non-FL response found for $V_{fc}^{(1)} = \sqrt{t_f t_p}, \epsilon_f = 0.$

Using the bosonized form, Eq.(7), above allows further progress in the nFL regime. Expressing the transverse spin correlation function as an average over the phase variables permits its evaluation using $L_{0,\sigma}$. The result, following [22], is

$$\chi^{+-}(\mathbf{q},\omega) = \frac{A}{T^{K_{\sigma}^{-1}-K_{\rho}}}F(\frac{\omega}{T})$$
(6)

with $K_{\rho} = \sqrt{v_F/(v_F + U_{fc})}$ and $v_F = 2t$ the Fermi velocity. F(x) is a scaling function, $\simeq x$ for x << 1 and $\simeq 1$ for x >> 1. And $K_{\sigma} = 1$ for the SU(2) invariant case, but $K_{\sigma} < 1$ including spin-orbit (s - o) coupling effects. This immediately yields the power-law *T*-dependence of the NMR relaxation rate as

$$\frac{1}{T_1} = \frac{T}{\omega} \sum_{\mathbf{q}} Im\chi^{+-}(\mathbf{q},\omega) \simeq T^{-(K_{\sigma}^{-1}-K_{\rho})}$$
(7)

The uniform spin susceptibility follows as $\chi(\mathbf{q}=0,T)\simeq$ $T^{-(K_{\sigma}^{-1}-K_{\rho})}$. In DMFT, the singular-in- ω part of $\chi(\mathbf{q},\omega)$ is independent of **q**. This explains why both $T_1^{-1}(T)$ and Knight shift, $K_s(T)$, scale like $T^{-(K_{\sigma}^{-1}-K_{\rho})}$ like $\chi(\mathbf{q}=0,T)$. With a reasonable choice of U_{fc}/t , we get $K_{\rho} = 0.4$, leading to very good agreement with the host of power-law behaviors found in the magnetic response near the FQCP in $YbRh_2Si_2$. In particular, with the choice $K_{\sigma} = 1, \ \chi(\mathbf{q} = 0, T), T_1^{-1}(T), K_s(T)$ all follow a $T^{-0.6}$ law. Further, taking $\alpha_0 = 0.3$ (see above), we find $\chi/\gamma \simeq T^{-0.2}$. Assuming b/T scaling, where b = $(B-B_c)$ is the distance from the critical field, this implies $\chi(b)/\gamma(b) \simeq b^{-0.2}$, and that $\chi(b) \simeq b^{-0.6}, \gamma(b) \simeq b^{-0.4}$ near the FQCP. Further, with $A(b) \simeq 1/b$ [2], we find that the Woods-Saxon ratio, $A/\gamma^2 \simeq b^{-0.2}$ and $A/\chi^2 \simeq b^{0.2}$, which is weakly *b*-dependent and saturates at "higher" *b*. All these are experimentally seen [12]. While $\alpha_0 = 0.3$ as found in our *model* DMFT may change somewhat in a truly "first principles" theory, the qualitative theoryexperiment agreement is compelling.

The higher-D spin-charge separation implied by the bosonized form of the impurity model also leads to consistency with the magnetotransport results: the Hall relaxation rate is now controlled by spinon-spinon scattering, leading to $\cot\theta_H(T) \simeq c_1 T^2 + c_2$ [16]. With $\rho_{dc}(T) = A_c T$, we get the Hall resistivity, $\rho_{xy}(T) \simeq T^{-1}$. Both are in good agreement with experiment.

We now turn to the evolution of the FS across the FQCP in $YbRh_2Si_2$. Hall data suggest an abrupt reconstruction of the FS across the FQCP as $T \to 0$. Thus, a large FS in the HFL regime, also seen in dHvA work [23], abruptly goes over to a small FS on the AF side. Within DMFT, in the symmetry-unbroken metallic phase(s), the shape and size of the FS is not affected by interactions, since the self-energy is purely local: $\Sigma_{a,b}(k,\omega) = \Sigma_{a,b}(\omega)$. Exactly at the FQCP, i.e., at $V_{fc} = \sqrt{t_f t_p}$, $\epsilon_f = 0$, the FS

will be a single sheet with a volume corresponding to the *a*-fermion number. To the right of the FQCP, the finite δV_{fc} , ϵ_f gives a finite a - b hybridization, giving a HFL metal, as found above. In this regime, DMFT studies on the PAM with a relevant hybridization yield a large FS containing *both*, the lighter *a*- as well as the heavy *b*-fermions. Thus, the abrupt change in the FS volume is intimately linked with the selective Mott localization of the $b_{\sigma} = (vf_{\sigma} - uc_{\sigma})$ fermions; they "decouple" from the FS at the FQCP. This occurs exactly at the point where the FL coherence scale vanishes, giving a non-FL metal with low-energy singular responses.

What about AF order? For small δV_{fc} , $\epsilon_f \ll 1$, twoparticle processes, generated to second order in $\delta V_{fc}, \epsilon_f$, are more relevant than the one-particle a - b hybridization. These processes couple two "impurities", and lead to two-particle instabilities, as in coupled D = 1 Luttinger liquids [22]. To this order, extra terms, $H_{res}^{(2)} \simeq$ $-\lambda^2 \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} a^{\dagger}_{i\sigma} b_{i\sigma} b^{\dagger}_{j\sigma'} a_{j\sigma'}, \text{ with } \lambda^2 \simeq O((\delta V_{fc})^2 / U_{fc}),$ are generated in *H*. In $D = \infty$, these are decoupled as $H^{eff}_{res} = -\lambda^2 \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle,\sigma} (M_{ab} a^{\dagger}_{i\sigma} b_{i\sigma} + M_b a^{\dagger}_{i\sigma} a_{j,-\sigma} + h.c).$ Solving $H = H_{FKM} + H_{res}^{(2)}$ within DMFT should yield an AF metallic phase [18]: it will have the same symmetry as the AF phase in an "iitinerant" view, since both have $M_a = \langle a_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} a_{j-\sigma} \rangle > 0$. However, I choose a different route. Bosonizing these terms in H_{res}^{eff} , the second term, corresponding to AF order, generates a cosine term in the bosonized Lagrangian for the spin sector: $L_{\sigma}^{int} = g_1 \cos(\beta \phi_{\sigma})$, with $\beta = \sqrt{8\pi K_{\sigma}}$. With spin-orbit interaction, $K_{\sigma} < 1$, and the Lagrangian in the spin sector,

$$L_{\sigma} = L_{0,\sigma} + g_1 \int \cos(\beta \phi_{\sigma}) dr \tag{8}$$

is a quantum sine-Gordon model with a relevant cosine term. This leads to an AF ordered state, corresponding to a finite expectation value of the ϕ_{σ} field: $\langle \phi_{\sigma} \rangle > 0$ [22]. Thus, AF order here results as a particle-hole instability of the singular, non-FL metal derived above in the spin channel, rather than from a band FS instability, as would be the case in "conventional" cases where FS nesting features in a FL metal give itinerant magnetism: the latter picture cannot account for power-law responses seen at the QCP in $YbRh_2Si_2$. Thus, in our EPAM, at the FQCP, selective *b*-fermion localization permits AF to arise simply due to "inter-impurity" (corresponding to onset of RKKY-like) b - b local moment correlations induced via "itinerant" a-fermions. Obviously, the FS now has a small volume, containing only the "itinerant" a-fermions.

At $V_{fc}^{(1)}$, the AND-OC will always occur in the symmetry-unbroken metallic phase in any $D < \infty$. Thus, we expect that our findings will survive inclusion of non-local correlations beyond DMFT. Also, the *f*-electrons

are never strictly localized: only the *b*-combination localizes at $V_{fc}^{(1)}$. An ab-inito theory for $\alpha = 0.6, K_{\rho} = 0.4$ and K_{σ} used here is hard: here, we have employed plausible $U_{fc}/t = 10$ (this is the only free parameter in our model) values. A truly first-principles correlated program (e.g, LDA+DMFT) is required to derive them. We plan to address this issue in future.

In conclusion, a *local* QCP, triggered by the AND-OC [24], is found in the DMFT solution of the EPAM as the model parameters are varied. Using high-D bosonization, non-FL responses with an uncanny resemblance to those found at the FQCP in $YbRh_2Si_2$ are uncovered. This QCP is unstable, either to a HFL, or to AF. All these findings are in very good qualitative agreement with the T - b phase diagram of $YbRh_2Si_2$, whose unconventional QCP is thence proposed to be of the local type, and associated with the selective Mott localization in the EPAM. Our analysis is potentially applicable to other, d- and f-electron based systems showing non-FL behaviors near the $T \rightarrow 0$ itinerant-localized transitions.

Acknowledgements

I thank Prof. G. Lonzarich for discussions and his suggestion to look closer at quantum critical end-point of the valence transition in *f*-band systems.

- N. D. Mathur *et al.*, Nature **394**, 39 (1998). See also G. Lonzarich, in *Electron*, Ed. M. Springford, (Cambridge Univ. Press, 1997).
- [2] P. Gegenwart et al., Nature Physics 4, 186 (2008).
- [3] T. Moriya, "Spin Fluctuations in Itinerant Electron Magnetism, Springer, Berlin, Vo.29.
- [4] Q. Si, et al., Nature(London) 413, 804 (2001).
- [5] T. Senthil *et al.*, Science, **303**, 1490 (2004).
- [6] C. Pepin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 206401 (2007).
- [7] A. Hewson, *The Kondo Problem To Heavy Fermions*, (Cambridge Univ. Press).
- [8] P. Gegenwart et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 056402 (2002).
- [9] S. Kimura *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **74**, 132408 (2006).
- [10] S. Paschen *et al.*, Nature **432**, 881, (2004).
- [11] R. Küchler et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 066405 (2003).
- [12] P. Gegenwart et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 076402 (2005).
- [13] R. Daou et al., Nature Physics, 2 Nov. online issue.
- S. Friedemann *et al.*, cond-mat/0803.4428. see also, G.
 A. Wigger *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **76**, 035106 (2007).
- [15] Q. Si *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **46**, 1261 (1992).
- [16] P. W. Anderson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 18, 1049 (1967). P. W. Anderson, The Theory of Superconductivity in the High-T_c Cuprates, Princeton Univ. Press.
- [17] K. Miyake, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **71**, 1007 (2002); *ibid* S. Watanabe *et al.*, cond-mat/0804.4734v2 (2008).
- [18] A. Georges et al., Revs. Mod. Phys. 68, 13 (1996).
- [19] G. M. Zhang and Lu Yu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 4192 (1998).
- [20] K. Schotte et al., Phys. Rev. 182, 479 (1969).
- [21] E. Müller-Hartmann et al., Phys. Rev. B 3, 1102 (1971).
- [22] A. Gogolin et al., Bosonization and Strongly Correlated Electronic Systems (Cambridge UK, 1998).

[23] P. M. C. Rourke *et al.*, cond-mat/0807.3726, where a "large" high-field FS is claimed from detailed dHvA studies. By continuity, it should remain large in the entire HFL regime.

[24] P. W. Anderson, cond-mat/0810.0279.