

NONCOMMUTATIVE KOSZUL ALGEBRAS FROM COMBINATORIAL TOPOLOGY

Thomas Cassidy[†], Christopher Phan[‡] and Brad Shelton[‡]

[†]Department of Mathematics
Bucknell University
Lewisburg, Pennsylvania 17837

[‡]Department of Mathematics
University of Oregon
Eugene, Oregon 97403-1222

ABSTRACT. Associated to any uniform finite layered graph Γ there is a noncommutative graded quadratic algebra $A(\Gamma)$ given by a construction due to Gelfand, Retakh, Serconek and Wilson. It is natural to ask when these algebras are Koszul. Unfortunately, a mistake in the literature states that all such algebras are Koszul. That is not the case and the theorem was recently retracted. We analyze the Koszul property of these algebras for two large classes of graphs associated to finite regular CW complexes, X . Our methods are primarily topological. We solve the Koszul problem by introducing new cohomology groups $H_X(n, k)$, generalizing the usual cohomology groups $H^n(X)$. Along with several other results, our methods give a new and primarily topological proof of the main result of [12] and [7].

1. INTRODUCTION

In 2001, I. Gelfand, V. Retakh and R.L. Wilson [4], introduced an interesting family of algebras, Q_n , associated to the problem of factoring non-commuting polynomials of degree n . The algebra Q_n is defined using the combinatorics of the poset of all subsets of $\{1 \dots, n\}$, i.e. the simplicial

Key words and phrases. graded algebra, Koszul algebra, Yoneda algebra, regular CW complex.

complex of a single n -simplex. In 2005 these same authors joined by S. Serconek, [3], generalized the definition of Q_n so as to obtain a graded algebra $A(\Gamma)$ associated to any layered graph Γ .

The algebra $A(\Gamma)$ is defined as follows. Let W be the vector space spanned by the edges of Γ and let t be a central indeterminate over the free algebra $T(W)$. Then $A(\Gamma)$ is the graded algebra obtained by factoring from $T(W)$ the relations obtained by equating coefficients of t in the expression

$$(t - e_1)(t - e_2) \cdots (t - e_n) = (t - f_1)(t - f_2) \cdots (t - f_n)$$

whenever e_1, \dots, e_n and f_1, \dots, f_n define directed paths in Γ with the same head and tail. In the recent paper [11] the algebras $A(\Gamma)$ are given the name splitting algebras.

Many interesting results have been proved about the algebras $A(\Gamma)$. It was shown in [4] that Q_n is quadratic. In [2] the Hilbert series of Q_n and its quadratic dual were calculated and observed to satisfy what is known as numerical Koszulity. Shortly thereafter two papers, [12] and [7], gave independent proofs that the algebra Q_n is Koszul. These proofs are both very combinatorial in nature, the first utilizing the notion of distributive lattices and the second using Groebner basis theory. In [3] a basis was found for the more general splitting algebras $A(\Gamma)$ and then, in [10], a beautiful closed formula was given for the Hilbert series of $A(\Gamma)$.

This paper is mainly concerned with the question of when the algebras $A(\Gamma)$ are Koszul. In [9] it was shown that $A(\Gamma)$ is a quadratic algebra whenever Γ satisfies a simple combinatorial property called *uniform*. Unfortunately, it was also claimed in [9] that $A(\Gamma)$ is Koszul whenever Γ is uniform. There exist many counterexamples to this claim. For an example see [11], which also contains interesting results on the Koszulity of $A(\Gamma)$.

The algebra $A(\Gamma)$ is naturally filtered using a rank function associated to the rank function on Γ . The associated graded algebra, $grA(\Gamma)$, has substantially simpler relations than $A(\Gamma)$. Under the uniformity hypothesis, $grA(\Gamma)$ and $A(\Gamma)$ are quadratic, from which it follows that one may prove $A(\Gamma)$ is Koszul by instead proving that $grA(\Gamma)$ is Koszul.

In this paper we work exclusively with the quadratic dual algebra $R(\Gamma) = grA(\Gamma)^\dagger$, as defined in section 3. Since $R(\Gamma)$ is Koszul if and only if $grA(\Gamma)$

is Koszul, any results about the Koszulity of $R(\Gamma)$ give us information about the Koszulity of $A(\Gamma)$.

The primary goal of this paper is to concentrate on the topological case: those cases where the layered graph Γ is associated to a topological space X . In particular we wish to have a more geometric or topological understanding of why the algebras Q_n are Koszul. Given a regular CW complex X , the face poset $P(X)$ of X becomes a layered graph if we simply attach a new minimal element $\bar{0}$ corresponding to the empty set. We refer to this graph as $\bar{P}(X)$.

Theorem 1.1. *(See Theorem 5.2) Let F be any field and X a regular CW complex with face poset $P(X)$. Then $R(\bar{P}(X))$ and $A(\bar{P}(X))$ are Koszul algebras.*

A special case of this theorem is proved in [11], where it is shown that $A(\bar{P}(X))$ is Koszul whenever X is a finite simplicial complex. That result also follows from a purely algebraic result in this paper, Corollary 3.5, given that the algebras Q_n and grQ_n are Koszul. We wish to stress that the techniques used to prove Theorem 1.1 are completely new and based mostly on algebraic topology. In particular we obtain a new and independent proof that Q_n is Koszul.

If the regular CW complex X of dimension d is pure and connected by $(d-1)$ -faces (see Section 2 for definitions), then there is another important uniform layered graph associated to X . Let $\hat{P}(X)$ be the face poset of X extended by both a new minimal element, $\bar{0}$ and a new maximal element $\bar{1}$. One can think of $\bar{1}$ as being the space of X . The analysis of the Koszul property for the algebras $A(\hat{P}(X))$ and $R(\hat{P}(X))$ is substantially more subtle than that needed for Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 1.2. *Let X be a pure and regular CW-complex of dimension d that is connected by $(d-1)$ -faces. Let F be a field.*

(1) *If $R(\hat{P}(X))$ is Koszul over the field F , then $H^n(X; F) = 0$ for all $0 < n < d$.*

(2) *If X is 2-dimensional and $H^1(X; F) = 0$, then $A(\hat{P}(X))$ and $R(\hat{P}(X))$ are Koszul algebras over F .*

(3) If X is a manifold or a manifold with boundary and $H^n(X; F) = 0$ for $0 < k < n$, then $A(\hat{P}(X))$ and $R(\hat{P}(X))$ are Koszul over F .

All the parts of Theorem 1.2 are corollaries of Theorem 5.3, which gives necessary and sufficient *topological* conditions for the Koszulity of the algebra $R(\hat{P}(X))$. These conditions are expressed in terms of a collection of cohomology groups, $H_X(n, k)$ that are defined and studied in Section 4. We show that $H_X(n, 0) = H^n(X)$, but the other groups do not seem to be standard. These groups may turn out to be of independent interest.

Theorem 1.2 should be compared to the results in [11]. In that paper it is shown that if $A(\hat{P}(X))$ is Koszul (or numerically Koszul) then there is some restriction on the Euler characteristic of X . Part (2) of Theorem 1.2 is also obtained there, but only for oriented 2-manifolds. There is no analogue in [11] of Theorem 5.3 or of Theorem 1.2 part (3).

It is interesting to observe from Theorem 1.2 that the Koszul property of the algebra $R(\hat{P}(X))$ is dependent on the field, unlike the algebras $R(\bar{P}(X))$. For example, if X is a regular cellular representation of \mathbb{RP}^2 , then $R(\hat{P}(X))$ is Koszul if and only if the field of definition does not have characteristic 2.

It is natural to ask whether the converse to part (1) of Theorem 1.2 holds. We show by example in Theorem 5.10, using the topological tools of Theorem 5.3, that the converse is not true.

In addition to the topological results listed above, we prove some strong algebraic results about the Koszul property of any $R(\Gamma)$. These results appear in Section 3. The main result of that section is a necessary and sufficient condition for the Koszulity of $R(\Gamma)$ given in terms of explicit formulas for the annihilators of certain elements of $R(\Gamma)$. These formulas then lead to the introduction of a series of cochain complexes $R_\Gamma(n, k)$ which are shown, in Section 5, to be closely related to the cohomology groups $H_X(n, k)$. One easy but important corollary to the results of Section 3 should be mentioned. For any vertex x in the layered graph Γ , let Γ_x be the layered subgraph of all vertices y below x (including x).

Theorem 1.3. (See Corollary 3.5) *Let Γ be a uniform layered graph. Then $R(\Gamma)$ is Koszul if and only if $R(\Gamma_x)$ is Koszul for every vertex x .*

Section 2 of this paper contains background definitions and simple results about posets attached to regular CW complexes. Section 3 proves our main algebraic results. Section 4 introduces the new cohomology groups $H_X(n, k)$ associated to a regular CW complex. We prove all of our main theorems in Section 5.

The authors would like to heartily thank Hal Sadofsky for many useful discussions and especially for helping us find a preliminary version of Example 5.9.

2. LAYERED GRAPHS AND POSETS

A *layered graph* is a graph Γ with a set of vertices $V = V(\Gamma) = \bigcup_{i=0}^d V_i$ and a set of directed edges $E = E(\Gamma) = \bigcup_{i=1}^d E_i$ satisfying the following:

- (a) $V_0 = \{\bar{0}\}$
- (b) If $e : a \rightarrow b$ is an edge and $e \in E_i$, then $a \in V_i$ and $b \in V_{i-1}$.
- (c) There is at most one edge from any $A \in V_i$ to any $B \in V_{i-1}$.
- (d) $\bar{0}$ is the unique sink in Γ .

For our purposes, it is very convenient to use the language of ranked posets. A finite poset $(P, <)$ is said to be *ranked* if P has unique minimal element $\bar{0}$ and for any x , every maximal chain in the closed interval $[\bar{0}, x]$ has the same length. The common length of maximal chains in $[\bar{0}, x]$ is then called the rank of x , $rk(x)$.

It is clear that the notion of layered graph is the same as the notion of ranked poset, where edges are identified with pairs (b, a) such that $\{a < b\}$ is a maximal chain in $[a, b]$. We will use the notions of layered graph and ranked poset interchangeably.

Given a layered graph Γ and vertex a , we write Γ_a for $[\bar{0}, a]$. For any $0 \leq n \leq rk(a)$ let $S_a(n) = \{b < a \mid rk(a) - rk(b) = n\}$. There is an equivalence relation on the set $S_a(1)$ defined by extending transitively the relation: $b \sim c$ if $b = c$ or $S_b(1) \cap S_c(1) \neq \emptyset$. We denote this equivalence relation as \sim_a . We can now recall the following important definition from [9].

Definition 2.1. The layered graph Γ is *uniform* if for each a , the equivalence relation \sim_a on $S_a(1)$ has only one equivalence class.

It is clear that Γ is uniform if and only if Γ_x is uniform for every $x \in \Gamma$. We need to extend the idea behind uniform to lower layers of the graph.

Definition 2.2. Let Γ be a layered graph. Let a, a' be two vertices of rank k .

A *down-up sequence* from a to a' in Γ is a sequence of elements $a_0 = a, a_1, \dots, a_n = a'$ in Γ , of rank k , and another sequence of elements b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n of rank $k - 1$ such that for all i , $b_i < a_{i-1}$ and $b_i < a_i$. We allow the case $n = 0$, i.e. $a = a'$

An *up-down sequence* from a to a' in Γ is a sequence of elements $a_0 = a, a_1, \dots, a_n = a'$ of rank k and another sequence of elements b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n in Γ , of rank $k + 1$ such that for all i , $a_{i-1} < b_i$ and $a_i < b_i$. Again, we allow the case $n = 0$ when $a = a'$.

One sees immediately that Γ is uniform if and only if, for any $a, a' \in S_x(1)$, there is a down-up sequence from a to a' in Γ_x . This is then the base case for a simple inductive proof of the following lemma.

Lemma 2.3. *Let Γ be a uniform graph of rank d . Fix $x \in V_d$.*

- (1) *For any $a, a' \in V_k(\Gamma_x)$ there is a down-up path from a to a' in Γ_x .*
- (2) *For any $a, a' \in V_k(\Gamma_x)$ there is an up-down path from a to a' in Γ_x .*

We need one final combinatorial definition.

Definition 2.4. ([5], [1]) The layered graph Γ is *thin* if for each pair of vertices a and b for which $b \in S_a(2)$, $[b, a]$ has exactly four elements. I.e. for any two vertices a and b such that $rk(a) - rk(b) = 2$ there are either no paths from a to b or exactly 2 paths from a to b .

We now turn to topological examples. We begin by recalling some definitions. In a CW-complex X , we denote an open k -cell as e_α^k or simply e_α and the associated attaching map on the closed k -disc by $\Phi_\alpha : D_\alpha^k \rightarrow X$. We will only ever consider *finite* CW complexes.

Definition 2.5. Let X be a finite CW-complex of dimension d . Then X is *regular* if for each α the attaching map $\Phi_\alpha : D_\alpha^n \rightarrow X$ is an embedding. We say X is *pure* if X is the union of all of its (closed) d -cells. The underlying topological space of X will be denoted $\|X\|$.

In a regular CW-complex X we may, and will, identify any closed n -cell D_α^n with its image in X . The interior of this cell is then e_α^n and the boundary is a homeomorphic image of S^{n-1} . Suppose that X is pure of dimension d . On the set of all d -cells of X define $\alpha \sim \beta$ if $\alpha = \beta$ or if ∂D_α^d and ∂D_β^d share a common $(d-1)$ -cell. We extend \sim transitively to an equivalence relation on the d -cells of X .

Definition 2.6. A pure regular CW complex of dimension d is *connected by $(d-1)$ -faces* if there is a unique equivalence class of d -cells under \sim .

Clearly a complex that is connected by $(d-1)$ -faces is connected (even when $d = 0$.)

We obtain various layered graphs, or ranked posets from the face poset of a regular CW complex X . Let $P(X)$ be the face poset of the regular CW complex X , where $\alpha < \beta$ if and only if $e_\alpha \subset D_\beta$. Let $\bar{P}(X)$ be the extended poset $\bar{P}(X) = P(X) \cup \{\bar{0}\}$ with $\bar{0} < \alpha$ for all $\alpha \in P(X)$. Similarly let $\hat{P}(X) = P(X) \cup \{\bar{0}, \bar{1}\}$, where $\bar{0} < \alpha < \bar{1}$ for all $\alpha \in P(X)$.

Purity of a CW complex is the hypothesis required to know that $\hat{P}(X)$ is a ranked poset. Connected by $(d-1)$ -faces is the hypothesis required to know that $\hat{P}(X)$ is uniform.

The following results are standard and can be found in [1], [5] and [6].

Lemma 2.7. *Let X be a regular CW-complex of dimension d .*

(1) *If $\|X\| = S^d$ then X is pure and connected by $(d-1)$ -faces. Moreover, $\bar{P}(X)$ is a ranked poset with $rk(\alpha) = \dim(e_\alpha) + 1$ and $\bar{P}(X)$ is uniform and thin.*

(2) *For any X , $\bar{P}(X)$ is a thin ranked poset.*

(3) *If X is pure, then $\hat{P}(X)$ is a ranked poset. If X is also connected by $(d-1)$ -faces, then $\hat{P}(X)$ is uniform.*

We remark that in part (3) above, $\hat{P}(X)$ may not be thin, although its sub-poset $\bar{P}(X)$ will always be thin. For example, if X is the simplicial complex obtained by gluing three triangular 2-cells along a common edge, then $\hat{P}(X)$ is immediately seen to not be thin.

Let α and β index cells in our CW-complex X . By a path from β to α we mean any maximal chain in the interval $[\alpha, \beta]$. Let $\Pi(\beta, \alpha)$ be the set of all such paths. Given $\pi_1 = \{\alpha = \alpha_0 < \alpha_1 < \cdots < \alpha_k = \beta\}$ and

$\pi_2 = \{\alpha = \gamma_0 < \gamma_1 < \cdots < \gamma_k = \beta\}$, two paths in $\Pi(\beta, \alpha)$, we say that π_1 and π_2 are diamond equivalent, $\pi_1 \diamond \pi_2$, if either $\pi_1 = \pi_2$ or there is a unique j for which $\alpha_j \neq \gamma_j$. This is not an equivalence relation, but we extend \diamond transitively to an equivalence relation on $\Pi(\beta, \alpha)$.

Lemma 2.8. *If X is a regular CW complex, then for any cells $\alpha < \beta$, $\Pi(\beta, \alpha)$ consists of a single \diamond -equivalence class.*

Proof. Since we are working in the poset $[\alpha, \beta] \subset \bar{P}(X)$, we may assume $X = X_\beta$. Assume β is a d -cell and α a k cell. We proceed by induction on $d - k$. There is nothing to prove if $d - k = 0$ or $d - k = 1$. If $d - k = 2$, then the result follows from the fact that $\bar{P}(X)$ is thin. For the remainder we assume $d - k \geq 3$.

Let $[\pi_1], [\pi_2], \dots, [\pi_r]$ be the distinct equivalence classes of \diamond -equivalence on $\Pi(\beta, \alpha)$. Suppose $r > 1$. For each $[\pi]$, let $E([\pi]) = \{\gamma \in (\alpha, \beta) \mid \gamma \in \pi' \text{ for some } \pi' \in [\pi]\}$. By induction, the sets $E([\pi_i])$ must be disjoint, and furthermore, for any $i \neq j$ we can not find $\gamma \in E([\pi_i])$ and $\mu \in E([\pi_j])$ such that $\mu < \gamma$. Since the open interval (α, β) is the union of the sets $E([\pi_i])$, this shows that (α, β) is not connected as a graph.

Choose a point x in $e_\alpha \subset X = X_\beta$. Since ∂X is a $d - 1$ -sphere, there is an open neighborhood of x in ∂X homeomorphic to a $d - 1$ -ball. We may choose this ball B small enough to ensure that $B \cap e_\gamma = \emptyset$ for all $\gamma \notin [\alpha, \beta]$. Since the codimension of e_α is at least 2 in B , the space $B \setminus e_\alpha$ must be connected. But this ensures that the graph (α, β) is connected, contradicting the assumption of the previous paragraph. This proves the lemma. \square

Note: the fact that the open interval (α, β) is connected as a graph, for $\dim(\beta) - \dim(\alpha) > 2$, seems to be standard in the literature. However, we do not have a reference for it.

3. ALGEBRAIC RESULTS.

Let F be a field. Let Γ be a uniform ranked poset with unique minimal element $\bar{0}$. It is convenient to let $\Gamma' = \Gamma \setminus \{\bar{0}\}$. We begin by giving an explicit presentation of the quadratic dual algebra, $R(\Gamma)$, of the associated graded algebra of $A(\Gamma)$. The reader is referred to [9] for the proof that $A(\Gamma)$ is quadratic and for the description of $grA(\Gamma)$.

Let W be the F -vector space with basis $\{r_x | x \in \Gamma'\}$. We extend the notion of rank to W , that is $rk(v) = n$ if v is a linear combination of elements r_x all of which have rank n . For any $i \geq 0$, let

$$r_x(n) = \sum_{y \in S_x(n)} r_y \in W.$$

In particular $r_x(0) = r_x$. We note that $rk(r_x(n)) = rk(x) - n$ for any $0 \leq n \leq rk(x) - 1$. It is convenient to set $r_x(n) = 0$ for any $n \geq rk(x)$. Let $I_2 = I_2(\Gamma)$ be the subspace of $W \otimes W$ spanned by the elements

$$\{r_x \otimes r_y | x, y \in \Gamma', y \notin S_x(1)\} \cup \{r_x \otimes r_x(1) | x \in \Gamma'\}.$$

Let $I = \bigoplus_n I_n$ be the graded ideal of $T(W)$ generated by I_2 . Then $R = R(\Gamma) := T(W)/I(\Gamma)$.

For any $d \geq 2$, $I_d = I_2 \otimes W^{\otimes d-2} + W \otimes I_{d-1}$. Suppose $\sum_x r_x \otimes u_x \in I_d$. We decompose this as the sum of two terms, $\sum_x r_x \otimes v_x \in I_2 \otimes W^{\otimes d-2}$ and $\sum_x r_x \otimes w_x \in W \otimes I_{d-1}$. Clearly then $r_x \otimes w_x \in I_d$ for all x . Since the relations of $R(\Gamma)$ are all of the form $r_y \otimes l_{i,y}$ for some linear terms $l_{i,y} \in W$, we see that $\sum_x r_x \otimes v_x = \sum_x (r_x \otimes \sum_i \alpha_{i,x} l_{i,x} \otimes z_x)$ for some coefficients $\alpha_{i,x}$ and $z_{i,x} \in W^{\otimes d-2}$. But this shows $r_x \otimes v_x \in I_d$ for each x and thus we conclude $r_x \otimes u_x \in I_d$ for each x . The following innocuous looking lemma follows immediately from these observations. This lemma is a powerful tool.

Lemma 3.1. *Let Γ be a uniform ranked poset and $R = R(\Gamma)$. Then*

$$R_+ = \bigoplus_{x \in \Gamma'} r_x R.$$

Another consequence of the argument used to prove 3.1 is the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2. *Let Γ be a uniform layered graph. Then for any $x \in \Gamma'$, the natural algebra homomorphism $R(\Gamma_x) \rightarrow R(\Gamma)$ is injective.*

Now we can give a simple criterion for the Koszul property in terms of annihilators of specific elements of $R(\Gamma)$. We require some notation. For any $x \in \Gamma'$ and $n \geq 0$ we define: $H_x(n) = \sum_{y \notin S_x(n)} r_y R$. By 3.1, the sum defining $H_x(n)$ is direct.

We recall that a module M is *Koszul* if M admits a linear projective resolution (cf. [8]). It is clear that any direct summand of a Koszul module is Koszul.

Theorem 3.3. *Let Γ be a uniform layered graph. Then $R = R(\Gamma)$ is Koszul if and only if for every $x \in \Gamma'$ and $0 \leq n \leq rk(x)$:*

$$rann_R(r_x(n)) = r_x(n+1)R \oplus H_x(n+1).$$

Proof. Let $J_x(k) = r_x(k)R \oplus H_x(k)$. The sum is direct by 3.1. For any $y \in S_x(n)$, $S_y(1) \subset S_x(n+1)$. It follows that $r_x(n)r_x(n+1) = 0$. Similarly, $r_x(n)r_z = 0$ for every $z \notin S_x(n+1)$. This shows that the right hand side of the formula above is always contained in the left hand side.

Assume R is Koszul. Let F_R denote the trivial right R module R/R_+ . Then F_R must be a Koszul module. We prove the formula $rann_R(r_x(k)) = J_x(k+1)$ by induction on k . This is easiest to do if we simultaneously prove that the module $r_x(k)R$ is a Koszul module.

Since $r_x R$ is a direct summand of the Koszul module R_+ , $r_x R$ is a Koszul module for every x . In particular, the right annihilator of r_x must be linearly generated. But $J_x(1)$ is exactly the right ideal generated by the linear annihilators of $r_x = r_x(0)$. This proves the base case, $k = 0$.

Now we assume our claim for $k-1$. Then for each x a short exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow J_x(k) \rightarrow R \rightarrow r_x(k-1)R \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $r_x(k-1)R$ is a Koszul module, $J_x(k)$ must also be a Koszul module and hence its direct summand $r_x(k)R$ is a Koszul module. Hence the right annihilator of $r_x(k)$ must be linearly generated. It suffices thus to prove that every linear right annihilator of $r_x(k)$ is in $J_x(k+1)$.

Let $p \in R_1$ and suppose $r_x(k)p = 0$. We must show $p \in J_x(k+1)$. Write $p = \sum_{y \in S_x(k+1)} \alpha_y r_y + \sum_{z \notin S_x(k+1)} \beta_z r_z$. Since $r_z \in J_x(k+1)$ for all $z \notin S_x(k+1)$, we may assume all the coefficients β_z are 0. Choose y and y' in $S_x(k+1)$. We claim $\alpha_y = \alpha_{y'}$ and this claim will then prove that p is a scalar multiple of $r_x(k+1)$, as required.

By 2.3, we can choose an up-down sequence from y to y' in Γ_x , say $y = y_0, y_1, \dots, y_n = y'$ and b_1, \dots, b_n . Since this is an up-down sequence, the elements b_i are all in $S_x(k)$. Since $r_x(k)p = 0$, we have by 3.1, $r_{b_i}p = 0$ for every i . By the $k = 0$ case of the theorem, since $y_{i-1}, y_i \in S_{b_i}(1)$, we must have $\alpha_{y_{i-1}} = \alpha_{y_i}$ for every i . Hence $\alpha_y = \alpha_{y'}$, as required. This completes the induction.

Conversely, assume the formula $rann_R(r_x(k)) = J_x(k+1)$ for all x and k . This formula, together with the strong right ideal property of 3.1 assures us that we can build an (infinite) linear projective resolution of the right trivial module F_R . Hence R is Koszul. \square

Remark 3.4. Since $r_x(0) = r_x$, the formula $rann_R(r_x(0)) = r_x(1)R \oplus H_x(1)$ is true regardless of whether or not $R(\Gamma)$ is Koszul.

Combining Theorem 3.3 with Lemma 3.2 gives the following useful corollary.

Corollary 3.5. *If Γ is uniform, then $R(\Gamma)$ is Koszul if and only if $R(\Gamma_x)$ is Koszul for every x in Γ'*

We would like to have an inductive tool for proving that $R(\Gamma)$ is Koszul. According to 3.5, it suffices to consider the case when Γ is an interval, i.e. $\Gamma = \Gamma_x$ for some x . An inductive tool would be one which allowed us to prove that $R(\Gamma_x)$ is Koszul using the assumption that $R(\Gamma_y)$ is Koszul for every $y \in (\bar{0}, x)$. Our last algebraic result of this section will recode the information contained in 3.3 in terms of cohomology groups to give us just such a tool. This requires some definitions and a preliminary result.

Definition 3.6. Let Γ be uniform of rank $d+1$. Define $d_\Gamma = \sum_{y \in \Gamma'} r_y \in R(\Gamma)$. We also use d_Γ to denote the function $d_\Gamma : R(\Gamma) \rightarrow R(\Gamma)$ given by left multiplication by d_Γ .

For $0 \leq k \leq n \leq d$, set $R(n, k) = \sum_{rk(y)=n+1} r_y R_{n-k}$.

Note that R_{n-k} refers to the $n-k$ graded piece of R and that for any y , $d_\Gamma = r_y(1) + \sum_{z \notin S_y(1)} r_z$. Therefore $r_y d_\Gamma = 0$ for all y and in particular $d_\Gamma^2 = 0$. For any $r_x s \in R(n, k)$, $r_z r_x s = 0$ unless $rk(z) = n+2$, in which case $r_z r_x s \in R(n+1, k)$. In particular $d_\Gamma R(n, k) \subset R(n+1, k)$ (and $d_\Gamma R(d, k) = 0$). Thus, for each $0 \leq k \leq d$ we have cochain complex:

$$0 \rightarrow R(k, k) \xrightarrow{d_\Gamma} \dots \xrightarrow{d_\Gamma} R(n, k) \xrightarrow{d_\Gamma} R(n+1, k) \xrightarrow{d_\Gamma} \dots \xrightarrow{d_\Gamma} R(d, k) \xrightarrow{d_\Gamma} 0.$$

One could easily combine all of these cochain complexes into a single complex represented by $d_\Gamma : R_+ \rightarrow R_+$. Unfortunately, doing so would only obfuscate the basic facts we need.

Lemma 3.7. *Assume Γ is uniform and $\Gamma = \Gamma_x$ for some x of rank $d + 1$.*

- (1) $H^k(R(\cdot, k), d_\Gamma) = F$ for all $0 \leq k \leq d$.
- (2) $H^d(R(\cdot, k), d_\Gamma) = 0$ for all $0 \leq k < d$.
- (3) $H^{d-1}(R(\cdot, k), d_\Gamma) = 0$ for all $0 \leq k < d - 1$.

Proof. Since $\Gamma = \Gamma_x$, $\{y \in \Gamma' | rk(y) = n + 1\} = S_x(d - n)$. Thus we have $d_\Gamma = \sum_{k=0}^d r_x(k)$. Moreover, $d_\Gamma R(n, k) = r_x(d - n - 1)R(n, k)$.

Using this last formula, we see $H^k(R(\cdot, k), d_\Gamma)$ is the cohomology of

$$0 \rightarrow R(k, k) \xrightarrow{r_x(d-k-1)} R(k+1, k).$$

The elements of $R(k, k)$ are linear and have rank $k + 1$. $r_x(d - k - 1)$ has rank $k + 2$. Thus the uniformity of Γ , as shown in the proof of 3.3, implies that $rann_R(r_x(d - k - 1)) \cap R(k, k) = F \cdot r_x(d - k)$. Thus $H^k(R(\cdot, k), d_\Gamma) = F$.

Similarly, $H^d(R(\cdot, k), d_\Gamma)$ is the cohomology of:

$$R(d - 1, k) \xrightarrow{r_x} R(d, k) \rightarrow 0.$$

For $k < d$, $R(d, k) = r_x R_{d-k} = r_x \sum_y r_y R_{d-k-1} = r_x R(d - 1, k)$ since $r_x r_y = 0$ unless $rk(y) = d$. Thus, for $k < d$, $H^d(R(\cdot, k), d_\Gamma) = 0$.

Finally, for $k < d - 1$, $H^{d-1}(R(\cdot, k), d_\Gamma)$ is the cohomology of:

$$R(d - 2, k) \xrightarrow{r_x(1)} R(d - 1, k) \xrightarrow{r_x} R(d, k).$$

But $rann(r_x) \cap R(d - 1, k) = r_x(1)R(d - 2, k)$ as in remark 3.4. Thus $H^{d-1}(R(\cdot, k), d_\Gamma) = 0$ □

Here now is our inductive tool.

Theorem 3.8. *Assume Γ is uniform and $\Gamma = \Gamma_x$ for some x of rank $d + 1$. Assume further $R(\Gamma_y)$ is Koszul for every $y \in (\bar{0}, x)$. Then the algebra $R = R(\Gamma)$ is Koszul if and only if for each $0 \leq k \leq d$, $H^n(R(\cdot, k), d_\Gamma) = 0$ for $n \neq k$ and $H^k(R(\cdot, k), d_\Gamma) = F$.*

Proof. Assume R is Koszul. By 3.7 we may fix k and n with $0 \leq k < n < d - 1$. Then $H^n(R(\cdot, k), d_\Gamma)$ is the cohomology of

$$R(n - 1, k) \xrightarrow{r_x(d-n)} R(n, k) \xrightarrow{r_x(d-n-1)} R(n + 1, k).$$

Since $\{y \in \Gamma' | rk(y) = n + 1\} = S_x(d - n)$, $H_x(d - n) \cap R(n, k) = 0$ and $r_x(d - n)R(n - 1, k) \subset R(n, k)$. Thus, since R is Koszul, we have by 3.3, $rann_R(r_x(d - n - 1)) \cap R(n, k) = r_x(d - n)R(n - 1, k)$. This proves that $H^n(R(\cdot, k), d_\Gamma) = 0$.

Conversely, assume the cohomology groups $H^n(R(\cdot, k), d_\Gamma)$ are as required. Then the calculations above can be reversed to get the formulas for $rann_R(r_x(d-n-1))$ required by 3.3. The formulas required for all other $r_y(n)$ are assured by the hypothesis that $R(\Gamma_y)$ is Koszul. \square

Remark 3.9. As stated earlier, we have expressed 3.8 in terms that will be useful for induction. But with only slightly more work one can show that Theorem 3.8 is true without the inductive hypotheses or the hypothesis that $\Gamma = \Gamma_x$. That is, for any uniform Γ of rank $d+1$, $R(\Gamma)$ is Koszul if and only if $H^n(R(\cdot, k), d_\Gamma) = 0$ for all $0 \leq k < n \leq d$.

4. SOME COHOMOLOGY GROUPS ASSOCIATED TO REGULAR CW-COMPLEXES

Let X be a regular CW-complex of dimension d . Given a k -cell e_α in X we let X_α be the closed subcomplex of all cells e_β contained in D_α . We also let Y_α be the closed subcomplex of all cells e_γ such that e_α is not contained in D_γ . In particular, $\|X_\alpha\| = D_\alpha$, is a k -disk. We note that $\partial e_\alpha \subset Y_\alpha$ and since X is regular, Y_α is not empty unless X is a single point.

We will assume that an orientation has been chosen for every cell e_α in X . Given an n -cell e_α and an $n-1$ cell e_β contained in ∂e_α , we define $d(\alpha, \beta)$ to be $+1$ (respectively -1) if the orientation on e_β is the same as (respectively the opposite of) the orientation inherited from ∂e_α . If the $n-1$ cell e_β is not contained in the boundary of e_α then we put $d(\alpha, \beta) = 0$. Of course $d(\alpha, \beta)$ can also be described as the degree of the appropriate attaching map.

Let $C^n(X)$ (resp. $C_n(X)$) be the free Abelian group on the symbols $v(\alpha)$ (resp. $w(\alpha)$) for all n -cells e_α of X . Then the standard cellular cochain and chain complexes for X are realized on the spaces $C^n(X)$ and $C_n(X)$ respectively via the differentials:

$$d_X : C^n(X) \rightarrow C^{n+1}(X) \quad \text{given by} \quad d_X(v(\alpha)) = \sum_\gamma d(\gamma, \alpha)v(\gamma).$$

$$\hat{d}_X : C_n(X) \rightarrow C_{n-1}(X) \quad \text{given by} \quad \hat{d}_X(w(\alpha)) = \sum_\gamma d(\alpha, \gamma)w(\gamma).$$

Given a subcomplex Y of X we similarly write $C^n(X, Y)$ and $C_n(X, Y)$ for the relative cellular cochain and chain complexes of X relative to Y (with the same notation for their differentials d_X and \hat{d}_X).

For $0 \leq k \leq n \leq d$, let $C_X(n, k)$ be the free Abelian group on symbols $v(\alpha, \beta)$ for all those pairs (α, β) such that e_α is an n -cell, e_β is a k -cell and $\beta < \alpha$, i.e. $e_\beta \subset D_\alpha$. If e_β is not contained in D_α , it is convenient to let the symbol $v(\alpha, \beta)$ be 0 in $C_X(n, k)$. We define linear maps d_X and \hat{d}_X as follows:

$$d_X : C_X(n, k) \rightarrow C_X(n+1, k) \quad \text{via} \quad d_X(v(\alpha, \beta)) = \sum_\gamma d(\gamma, \alpha)v(\gamma, \beta)$$

$$\hat{d}_X : C_X(n, k) \rightarrow C_X(n, k-1) \quad \text{via} \quad \hat{d}_X(v(\alpha, \beta)) = \sum_\gamma d(\beta, \gamma)v(\alpha, \gamma)$$

It is clear that $d_X \hat{d}_X = \hat{d}_X d_X$ since d_X and \hat{d}_X are transposes of one another.

For a fixed k -cell e_α , let $C_X(n, k)_\alpha$ be the subgroup of $C_X(n, k)$ generated by the symbols $v(\beta, \alpha)$ as β varies over all n -cells for which $e_\alpha \subset D_\beta$. Then $C_X(n, k) = \bigoplus_\alpha C_X(n, k)_\alpha$ and d_X maps $C_X(n, k)_\alpha$ into $C_X(n+1, k)_\alpha$. But we can identify $(C_X(n, k)_\alpha, d_X)$ with $(C^n(X, Y_\alpha), d_X)$ via $v(\beta, \alpha) \mapsto v(\beta)$. We conclude that $(C_X(n, k), d_X)$ is a cochain complex and

$$H^n(C_X(\cdot, k)_\alpha, d_X) = H^n(X, Y_\alpha).$$

Similarly, for a fixed n -cell β let $C_X(n, k)^\beta$ be the subgroup spanned by $v(\beta, \alpha)$ as α varies over the k -cells contained in D_β . Then we can identify $(C_X(n, k)^\beta, \hat{d}_X)$ with $(C_k(X_\beta), \hat{d}_X)$ via $v(\beta, \alpha) \mapsto w(\alpha)$. We conclude

$$H_k(C_X(n, \cdot)^\beta, \hat{d}_X) = H_k(X_\beta).$$

Definition 4.1. (1) For all $0 \leq k, n \leq d$,

$$L_X(n, k) := \text{coker}(C_X(n, k+1) \xrightarrow{\hat{d}_X} C_X(n, k))$$

with cochain differential $d_X : L_X(n, k) \rightarrow L_X(n+1, k)$ induced from the differential d_X on $C_X(n, k)$.

(2) For all $0 \leq k, n \leq d$, $H_X(n, k) = H^n(L_X(\cdot, k), d_X)$.

We will need some tools to analyze the cohomology groups $H_X(n, k)$. We note immediately that $L_X(n, k) = 0$ and $H_X(n, k) = 0$ whenever $n < k$. There are three simple lemmas which often suffice to calculate $H_X(n, k)$.

Lemma 4.2. For all $k \geq 1$ we have a short exact sequence of cochain:

$$0 \rightarrow L_X(n, k) \rightarrow C_X(n, k-1) \rightarrow L_X(n, k-1) \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. As shown above, the homology of \hat{d}_X on $C_X(n, \cdot)$ is the direct sum of the homology groups of the spaces X_β as β varies over the n -cells. These

are all contractible, hence \hat{d}_X has homology only in degree $k = 0$. The result follows immediately. \square

We can always calculate the groups $H_X(n, k)$ for $k = 0$.

Lemma 4.3. $H_X(n, 0) = H^n(X)$.

Proof. We have a well-defined surjective cochain map $f : C_X(n, 0) \rightarrow C^n(X)$ given by $f(v(\alpha, \beta)) = v(\alpha)$. The kernel of this map is generated by the elements of the form $v(\alpha, \beta) - v(\alpha, \beta')$ for any n -cell e_α and any two distinct 0-cells e_β and $e_{\beta'}$ contained in X_α . Since X_α is connected, its 1-skeleton X_1 is also connected and thus we can choose a sequence of 1-cells $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_s$ in X_α which form a path from β to β' . Let $\partial e_{\gamma_i} = \{e_{\beta_i}, e_{\beta_{i+1}}\}$ with $\beta_1 = \beta$ and $\beta_{s+1} = \beta'$. Then $v(\alpha, \beta) - v(\alpha, \beta') = \sum_i v(\alpha, \beta_i) - v(\alpha, \beta_{i+1})$. But $v(\alpha, \beta_i) - v(\alpha, \beta_{i+1}) = \pm \hat{d}_X(v(\alpha, \gamma_i))$. This shows that the kernel of $f : C_X(n, 0) \rightarrow C^n(X)$ is the image of $\hat{d}_X : C_X(n, 1) \rightarrow C_X(n, 0)$. We conclude that the induced map $L_X(n, 0) \rightarrow C^n(X)$ is a cochain isomorphism, which proves the lemma. \square

Remark 4.4. All of the groups $C_X(n, k)$, $L_X(n, k)$ and $H_X(n, k)$ are defined with integral coefficients. We could as easily give all of the same definitions with coefficients in an arbitrary field F and we will denote the corresponding groups as $C_X(n, k; F)$, $L_X(n, k; F)$ and $H_X(n, k; F)$ respectively. By Lemma 4.3 we have $H_X(n, 0; F) = H^n(X; F)$.

Let \tilde{H} denote reduced cohomology (or homology). Our last topological lemma deals with the nicest case, when the space X is a manifold or a manifold with boundary.

Lemma 4.5. *Let X be a connected regular CW-complex of dimension d which is either a manifold or a manifold with boundary. Then:*

- (1) *For any k -cell e_α , $H^n(X, Y_\alpha) = 0$ for all $n < d$.*
- (2) *Suppose further that $\tilde{H}^n(X; F) = 0$ for $n < d$. Then for all $0 \leq k < n < d$, $H_X(n, k; F) = 0$ and for $0 \leq k < d$, $H_X(k, k; F) = F$.*
- (3) *If $X = X_\beta$ then $H_X(d, k; F) = 0$ for $k < d$.*

Proof. Part (1). For any k -cell e_α and any n -cell e_β for which $e_\alpha \subset D_\beta$, the space $\partial D_\beta \setminus e_\alpha$ is a deformation retract of $D_\beta \setminus e_\alpha$. These deformation

retracts can be glued together to see that Y_α is a deformation retract of $X \setminus e_\alpha$. If e_α is contained in the interior of X then we get $H^n(X, Y_\alpha) = H^n(X, X \setminus e_\alpha) = \tilde{H}^n(S^d)$. This is 0 for $n < d$. If e_α is contained in the boundary of X , then $H^n(X, Y_\alpha) = H^n(X, X \setminus e_\alpha) = 0$ for all n .

Part (2). To ease the exposition, we suppress F from the notation. We have $C_X(n, k) = \bigoplus_\alpha C_X(n, k)_\alpha$. For each α , $H^n(C(\cdot, k)_\alpha, d_X) = H^n(X, Y_\alpha)$. Thus by (1) we have $H^n(C_X(\cdot, k), d_X) = 0$ for all $0 \leq k \leq n < d$. We can now prove (2) by induction on k . For $k = 0$ we get the result from the hypothesis on the cohomology of X together with 4.3. Assume the result for $k - 1$ and consider the long exact sequence from 4.2. The result follows immediately.

Part (3). If $X = X_\beta$ is a d -disc, then $H^n(X) = H_X(n, 0) = 0$ for all $0 < n \leq d$. Moreover, $H^d(X, Y_\alpha) = 0$ for all α . Hence the inductive proof used in (2) works when $n = d$. \square

Remark 4.6. In the Lemma above we can consider the case when $X = X_\beta$ for some d -cell e_β , i.e. $\|X\|$ is the manifold with boundary, D_β^d . Then the lemma is true with F replaced by \mathbb{Z} and furthermore $H_X(k, k) = \mathbb{Z}$ for all $0 \leq k \leq d$.

5. PROOFS OF THE MAIN THEOREMS.

Throughout this section X will denote a regular CW complex. We fix a field F . First we will consider the F -algebras $R(\bar{P}(X))$. Let $\Gamma = \bar{P}(X)$. Since Γ will vary, we write $R_\Gamma(n, k)$ for the spaces $R(n, k)$ relative to $R(\Gamma)$, as defined in section 3.

Recall that for any k -cell e_α^k , X_α is the subcomplex of X whose total space is the disc $\|X_\alpha\| = D_\alpha^k$. In particular we have $\Gamma_\alpha = \bar{P}(X)_\alpha = \bar{P}(X_\alpha) = \hat{P}(\partial X_\alpha)$.

Choose two cells $\alpha < \beta$ in X of dimensions k and n respectively and choose a path $\pi = \{\alpha = \alpha_0 < \alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_s = \beta\}$ in $\Pi(\beta, \alpha)$. We set:

$$r_\pi = r_{\alpha_s} r_{\alpha_{s-1}} \cdots r_{\alpha_0} \in R_\Gamma(n, k).$$

(Note: $s = n - k$.) We also define the *sign* of π by the formula:

$$\text{sgn}(\pi) = d(\alpha_s, \alpha_{s-1}) d(\alpha_{s-1}, \alpha_{s-2}) \cdots d(\alpha_1, \alpha_0).$$

Lemma 5.1. *Let α and β be cells with $\alpha < \beta$. For any two paths π and π' in $\Pi(\beta, \alpha)$, $\text{sgn}(\pi)r_\pi = \text{sgn}(\pi')r_{\pi'}$.*

Proof. Suppose first that $\dim(\beta) - \dim(\alpha) = 2$. Then, since $\bar{P}(X)$ is thin, there are exactly two elements in (α, β) , say γ_1 and γ_2 . We know $d(\beta, \gamma_1)d(\gamma_1, \alpha) + d(\beta, \gamma_2)d(\gamma_2, \alpha) = 0$. This just encodes the fact that $d_X^2 = 0$. Let $S_\beta(1) = \{r_{\gamma_1}, r_{\gamma_2}, \dots, r_{\gamma_i}\}$. Then for $j > 2$, $\alpha \not\prec \gamma_j$ and thus $r_{\gamma_j}r_\alpha = 0$. Therefore, $0 = r_\beta r_\beta(1)r_\alpha = r_\beta r_{\gamma_1}r_\alpha + r_\beta r_{\gamma_2}r_\alpha$. These formulas combine to show that $\text{sgn}(\pi)r_\pi$ does not depend on which of the two paths in $\Pi(\beta, \alpha)$ we choose.

In general, let $\pi = \{\alpha = \alpha_0 < \alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_s = \beta\}$ and let $\pi' = \{\alpha = \gamma_0 < \gamma_1 < \dots < \gamma_s = \beta\}$. By 2.8, we may assume that there is a unique i , $1 \leq i \leq s-1$ such that $\alpha_i \neq \gamma_i$. We may reduce then to the case when $\alpha = \alpha_{i-1}$ and $\beta = \alpha_{i+1}$, which is covered by the previous paragraph \square

Note: we do not claim that $\text{sgn}(\pi)r_\pi \neq 0$.

In light of Lemma 5.1, we have a well-defined linear map $\Phi_X : C_X(n, k; F) \rightarrow R_\Gamma(n, k)$ given by extending linearly from:

$$\Phi_X(v(\beta, \alpha)) = \text{sgn}(\pi)r_\pi \text{ for any } \pi \in \Pi(\beta, \alpha).$$

This map is clearly surjective for all n and k .

Suppose now that β is an n -cell, α is a $(k+1)$ -cell and let $S_\alpha(1) = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m\}$. Choose $\pi \in \Pi(\beta, \alpha)$. Then $\pi \cup \{\gamma_i\} \in \Pi(\beta, \gamma_i)$ for each i . We calculate:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_X(\hat{d}_X(v(\beta, \alpha))) &= \sum_i d(\alpha, \gamma_i)\Phi_X(v(\beta, \gamma_i)) = \sum_i d(\alpha, \gamma_i)\text{sgn}(\pi \cup \{\gamma_i\})r_\pi r_{\gamma_i} \\ &= \sum_i \text{sgn}(\pi)r_\pi r_{\gamma_i} = \text{sgn}(\pi)r_\pi r_\alpha(1) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that Φ_X factors through $\text{coker}(\hat{d}_X)$, to give us a well-defined linear surjection $\Phi_X : L_X(n, k; F) \rightarrow R_\Gamma(n, k)$.

Fix an n -cell β and let $\{\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_m\}$ be a list of all the $(n+1)$ -cells ζ for which $\beta < \zeta$. For any k -cell $\alpha < \beta$, choose a path $\pi \in \Pi(\beta, \alpha)$. Again, $\pi \cup \{\zeta_i\} \in \Pi(\zeta_i, \alpha)$. We get:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_X(d_X(v(\beta, \alpha))) &= \Phi_X(\sum_i d(\zeta_i, \beta)v(\zeta_i, \alpha)) \\ &= \sum_i d(\zeta_i, \beta)\text{sgn}(\pi \cup \{\zeta_i\})r_{\zeta_i}r_\pi \\ &= (\sum_i r_{\zeta_i})\text{sgn}(\pi)r_\pi \\ &= d_\Gamma\Phi_X(v(\beta, \alpha)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\Phi_X : L_X(n, k) \rightarrow R_\Gamma(n, k)$ is a cochain epimorphism.

Theorem 5.2. *Let X be a regular CW complex and $\Gamma = \bar{P}(X)$. Then:*

(1) $R(\Gamma)$ is a Koszul algebra.

(2) $\Phi_X : L_X(n, k; F) \rightarrow R_\Gamma(n, k)$ is a cochain isomorphism (i.e. Φ_X is injective for all $0 \leq k \leq n \leq \dim(X)$).

Proof. Let $d = \dim(X)$. To simplify notation we will suppress tensoring with F and just write $L_X(n, k)$ rather than $L_X(n, k; F)$. We proceed by induction, assuming both (1) and (2) for any regular cell complex of dimension smaller than d . There is nothing to prove if $d = 0$, since in that case the algebra is a quadratic monomial algebra and all such algebras are Koszul (cf. [8]). Moreover when $d = 0$, part (2) is trivial.

We consider first the special case that X has a unique d -cell, β , i.e. $X = X_\beta$. Consider:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & L_X(k, k) & \xrightarrow{d_X} & \dots & \xrightarrow{d_X} & L_X(d-1, k) & \xrightarrow{d_X} & L_X(d, k) & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & & \Phi_X \downarrow & & & & \Phi_X \downarrow & & \Phi_X \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \rightarrow & R_\Gamma(k, k) & \xrightarrow{d_\Gamma} & \dots & \xrightarrow{d_\Gamma} & R_\Gamma(d-1, k) & \xrightarrow{d_\Gamma} & R_\Gamma(d, k) & \xrightarrow{d_\Gamma} & 0 \end{array}$$

Since ∂X is a $(d-1)$ -sphere, (1) and (2) hold for ∂X . Let $\Omega = \bar{P}(\partial X)$. The Koszul algebra $R(\Omega)$ is a subalgebra of $R(\Gamma)$ and we have $R_\Gamma(n, k) = R_\Omega(n, k)$ for all $0 \leq k \leq n < d$. Similarly we have $L_X(n, k) = L_{\partial X}(n, k)$ and $\Phi_X = \Phi_{\partial X} : L_{\partial X}(n, k) \rightarrow R_\Omega(n, k)$ whenever $0 \leq k \leq n < d$.

By induction, the maps $\Phi_{\partial X}$ are all isomorphisms and thus all but the rightmost downward map in the diagram is an isomorphism. The rightmost downward map is an epimorphism. Thus to prove (2) it suffices to see that $\Phi_X : L_X(d, k) \rightarrow R_\Gamma(d, k)$ is injective. Let $K(d, k)$ be the kernel. Then we can consider $0 \rightarrow K(d, k) \rightarrow 0$ as the cochain complex kernel of Φ_X and so we get a long exact sequence associated to the diagram above and that long exact sequence ends with:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow H_X(d-1, k) \rightarrow H^{d-1}(R_\Gamma(\cdot, k), d_\Gamma) \rightarrow K(d, k) \\ \rightarrow H_X(d, k) \rightarrow H^d(R_\Gamma(\cdot, k), d_\Gamma) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

By combining 3.7 and 4.5 we see the following: If $k = d$ then the last two terms are F and the first two terms are 0, so $K(d, d) = 0$. If $k = d-1$, then the first two terms are F and the last two terms are 0, so $K(d, d-1) = 0$. If $k < d-1$ then the first two and last two terms are all 0, so $K(d, k) = 0$.

This proves (2). Moreover, we see that $H^n(R_\Gamma(\cdot, k)d_\Gamma) = H_X(n, k)$ for all n and k . Then Theorem 3.8 tells us $R(\Gamma)$ is Koszul, proving (1).

Now we can turn to the general case of a d -dimensional regular CW complex X . For any cell β of X , we know from the special case above that $R(\Gamma_\beta) = R(\bar{P}(X_\beta))$ is Koszul. Hence by Corollary 3.5, $R(\Gamma)$ is Koszul, proving (1).

To prove (2) it suffices to prove $\Phi_X : L_X(n, k) \rightarrow R_\Gamma(n, k)$ is injective. As above, we already know this inductively for $n < d$. Let $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_s\}$ be the set of all d -cells of X . By definition $C_X(d, k) = \bigoplus_i C_X(d, k)^{\beta_i}$ is a \hat{d}_X chain complex decomposition. Thus $L_X(d, k)$ inherits a direct sum decomposition into subspaces $L_X(d, k)^{\beta_i}$. But this is nothing other than $L_{X_{\beta_i}}(d, k)$, which by the special case is mapped injectively into $R_{\Gamma_{\beta_i}}(d, k)$. By 3.1, $R_\Gamma(d, k) = \bigoplus_i R_{\Gamma_{\beta_i}}(d, k)$. Thus Φ_X is injective, proving (2). \square

Theorem 1.1 follows from Theorem 5.2.

We now turn to the more subtle question of analyzing $R(\hat{P}(X))$. Whenever X is a d -dimensional regular complex that is connected by $(d-1)$ -faces, we will write $\hat{\Gamma}$ for $\hat{P}(X)$. The following theorem gives necessary and sufficient conditions for $R(\hat{\Gamma})$ to be Koszul.

Theorem 5.3. *Let X be a d -dimensional regular CW complex and assume X is connected by $(d-1)$ -faces. Then $R(\hat{\Gamma})$ is Koszul if and only if $H_X(n, k; F) = 0$ for all $0 \leq k < n < d$.*

Proof. Recall that we denote the unique maximal element $\hat{\Gamma}$ by $\bar{1}$. The rank of $\bar{1}$ is $d+2$. By 5.2, the subalgebra $R(\Gamma)$ is Koszul and in particular, for every cell $\beta \in (\bar{0}, \bar{1})$, $R(\hat{\Gamma}_\beta) = R(\bar{P}(X_\beta))$ is Koszul. Hence we can combine Lemma 3.7 with Theorem 3.8 to see that $R(\hat{\Gamma})$ is Koszul if and only if $H^n(R_{\hat{\Gamma}}(\cdot, k), d_{\hat{\Gamma}}) = 0$ for all $0 \leq k < n < d$. But for $0 \leq k \leq n \leq d$, $R_{\hat{\Gamma}}(n, k) = R_\Gamma(n, k)$ and so these cochain complexes have the same cohomology for all $0 \leq k \leq n < d$. Applying part (2) of 5.2, we see that $R(\hat{\Gamma})$ is Koszul if and only if $H_X(n, k; F) = 0$ for all $0 \leq k < n < d$, as required. \square

Remark 5.4. In the argument above, $L_X(n, k; F)$ and $R_{\hat{\Gamma}}(n, k)$ are isomorphic for $n < d+1$. There is no term of the form $L_X(d+1, k; F)$ in the $L_X(n, k; F)$ cochain, but there is an $R_{\hat{\Gamma}}(d+1, k)$ term in the $R_{\hat{\Gamma}}(n, k)$

cochain. This explains why $H_X(d, k; F)$ can be nonzero even though, by 3.7, $H^d(R_{\hat{\Gamma}}(\cdot, k), d_{\Gamma}) = 0$ for $k < d$.

We have several immediate corollaries.

Corollary 5.5. *If $R(\hat{\Gamma})$ is Koszul, then $H^n(X; F) = 0$ for $0 < n < d$.*

Proof. $H^n(X; F) = H_X(n, 0; F)$. □

Corollary 5.6. *If X is a 2-dimensional regular complex and is connected by 1-faces, then $R(\hat{\Gamma})$ is Koszul if and only if $H^1(X; F) = 0$.*

Proof. According to 5.3, the only obstruction to the Koszul property is $H_X(1, 0; F) = H^1(X; F)$. □

When X is a manifold or a manifold with boundary we combine 5.3 with Lemma 4.5 to get a partial converse to 5.5.

Corollary 5.7. *If X is a d -dimensional connected manifold or manifold with boundary then $R(\hat{\Gamma})$ is Koszul if and only if $H^n(X; F) = 0$ for all $0 < n < d$.*



We can also restate 5.3 in more familiar topological terms.

Corollary 5.8. *Let X be a d -dimensional regular complex and assume X is connected by $(d-1)$ -faces. Then $R(\hat{\Gamma})$ is Koszul if and only if $H^n(X; F) = 0$ for $0 < n < d$ and for any cell e_{α} of X , $H^n(X, Y_{\alpha}; F) = 0$ for all $n < d$.*

Proof. As usual we suppress F . The proof of Lemma 4.5 shows how to lift the vanishing of the cohomology groups $H^n(X, Y_{\alpha})$ and $\tilde{H}^n(X)$ for $n < d$ to the vanishing of the cohomology groups $H_X(n, k)$ for $0 \leq k < n < d$. This proves the if part of the corollary. Conversely, if we know that $H_X(n, k) = 0$ for $0 \leq k < n < d$, then the long exact cohomology sequence used in 4.5 proves that $H^n(C_X(\cdot, k), d_X) = 0$ for $0 \leq k < n < d$. But this cohomology is the direct sum of the cohomology groups $H^n(X, Y_{\alpha})$. □

We end the paper by using 5.8 to analyze an example which will prove that the converse of Corollary 5.5 is not true. This example amply illustrates the strength of our topological tools.

Example 5.9. Let X be the 3-dimensional regular CW complex obtained as follows. Begin with two simplicial 3-cells (solid tetrahedra) A_1 and A_2 . On each A_i , choose one 2-simplex β_i and one 1-simplex α_i that is not an edge of β_i . Then α_i and β_i must have a common boundary point ζ_i . Glue β_1 to β_2 in such a way that ζ_1 and ζ_2 are identified. Call the new resulting face β . Then glue α_1 to α_2 and call the resulting edge α . An attempt to draw this construction is given below. The resulting solid, X , has 2 3-cells, 7 2-cells, 8 1-cells and 4 0-cells. The Hasse diagram of $\hat{P}(X)$ is also shown below. In this diagram, β is B_4 , α is C_4 and the 0-cell common to the boundary of β and α , indicated by ζ in the picture, is D_3 . The regular CW complex X is not simplicial but it is connected by 2-faces.



Theorem 5.10. *Let X be the 3-dimensional regular CW complex described in 5.9. Then $H^n(X; F) = 0$ for all $0 < n < 3$, but $R(\hat{\Gamma})$ is not Koszul.*

Proof. The cohomology statement is trivial, since X is contractible. Consider the 1-cell e_α marked in the figure. Then Y_α is homotopic to S^1 . Therefore $H^2(X, Y_\alpha; F) \neq 0$. By 5.8, $R(\hat{\Gamma})$ is not Koszul. \square

REFERENCES

1. A. Björner, *Posets, regular CW complexes and Bruhat order*, European J. Combin. **5** (1984), no. 1, 7–16. MR MR746039 (86e:06002)
2. Israel Gelfand, Sergei Gelfand, Vladimir Retakh, Shirlei Serconek, and Robert Lee Wilson, *Hilbert series of quadratic algebras associated with pseudo-roots of noncommutative polynomials*, J. Algebra **254** (2002), no. 2, 279–299. MR MR1933871 (2003k:16039)
3. Israel Gelfand, Vladimir Retakh, Shirlei Serconek, and Robert Lee Wilson, *On a class of algebras associated to directed graphs*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) **11** (2005), no. 2, 281–295. MR MR2183849 (2006i:05071)
4. Israel Gelfand, Vladimir Retakh, and Robert Lee Wilson, *Quadratic linear algebras associated with factorizations of noncommutative polynomials and noncommutative differential polynomials*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) **7** (2001), no. 4, 493–523. MR MR1872079 (2002i:16026)
5. R. L. Graham, M. Grötschel, and L. Lovász (eds.), *Handbook of combinatorics. Vol. 1, 2*, Elsevier Science B.V., Amsterdam, 1995. MR MR1373655 (96h:05001)
6. William S. Massey, *A basic course in algebraic topology*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 127, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991. MR MR1095046 (92c:55001)
7. Dmitri Piontkovski, *Algebras associated to pseudo-roots of noncommutative polynomials are Koszul*, Internat. J. Algebra Comput. **15** (2005), no. 4, 643–648. MR MR2160571 (2006m:16032)
8. Alexander Polishchuk and Leonid Positselski, *Quadratic algebras*, University Lecture Series, vol. 37, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2005. MR MR2177131
9. Vladimir Retakh, Shirlei Serconek, and Robert Lee Wilson, *On a class of Koszul algebras associated to directed graphs*, J. Algebra **304** (2006), no. 2, 1114–1129. MR MR2265508 (2007f:16067)
10. ———, *Hilbert series of algebras associated to directed graphs*, J. Algebra **312** (2007), no. 1, 142–151. MR MR2320451 (2008f:16088)
11. ———, *Koszulity of splitting algebras associated with cell complexes*, arXiv:0810.1241 v1, 2008.
12. Shirlei Serconek and Robert Lee Wilson, *The quadratic algebras associated with pseudo-roots of noncommutative polynomials are Koszul algebras*, J. Algebra **278** (2004), no. 2, 473–493. MR MR2071648 (2005f:16046)