Improved implementation of nonclassicality test for a single particle

Giorgio Brida¹, Ivo Pietro Degiovanni^{1*}, Marco Genovese¹,

Fabrizio Piacentini¹, Valentina Schettini¹, Nicolas Gisin²,

Sergey V. Polyakov³, and Alan Migdall³ ¹Istituto Nazionale di Ricerca Metrologica, Strada delle Cacce 91, 10135 Torino, Italy
²Group of Applied Physics, University of Geneva, CH-1211 Geneva 4, Switzerland ³Optical Technology Division, National Institute of Standards and Technology, 100 Bureau Drive, Gaithersburg, MD 20899-8441 and Joint Quantum Institute, Univ. of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742 * Corresponding author: i.degiovanni@inrim.it

Recently a test of nonclassicality for a single qubit was proposed [R. Alicki and N. Van Ryn, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **41**, 062001 (2008)]. We present an optimized experimental realization of this test leading to a 46 standard deviation violation of classicality. This factor of 5 improvement over our previous result was achieved by moving from the infrared to the visible where we can take advantage of higher efficiency and lower noise photon detectors.

PACS numbers: 03.65.Ta

INTRODUCTION

A simple test of nonclassicality at the single qubit level was proposed [1, 2] to show that some quantum states in a two dimensional Hilbert space cannot be classical. This test looks very appealing for its simplicity compared to other tests of quantumness (see [3] and references therein) and could represent a useful tool for various applications in the fields of quantum information, fundamental quantum optics, foundations of quantum mechanics, etc.

As this is a test of single-particle states, it is not relevant to the question of locality, rather it is a more fundamental test of nonclassicality with respect to the possibility of an underlying hidden variable theory (HVT). Furthermore, we understand that it does not apply to every conceivable HVT like Bell's inequalities, but only to a restricted class of HVTs [4]. We also note that the precise identification of this class and whether and if it maps to any physical system at all remains to be determined. In addition, another question has been raised about this test by Zukowski [5], who suggests that the Alicki's classicality criterion is equivalent to the von Neumann theorem.

The criterion for classicality in Alicki's model is summarized by the following statement: for any pair of observables \hat{A} and \hat{B} that satisfy the condition

$$\langle \hat{B} \rangle > \langle \hat{A} \rangle > 0 \tag{1}$$

for all states of the system, it must be always true that

$$\langle \hat{B}^2 \rangle > \langle \hat{A}^2 \rangle. \tag{2}$$

For quantum systems, one can find pairs of observables \widehat{A} , \widehat{B} such that the minimum eigenvalue of $\widehat{B} - \widehat{A}$ (minimized over all possible states) is greater than zero, while for certain quantum states

$$\langle \hat{B}^2 \rangle < \langle \hat{A}^2 \rangle. \tag{3}$$

This sharp difference between classicality and nonclassicality in Alicki's model can be tested experimentally at the single-qubit level [4].

One possible pair of operators \widehat{A} and \widehat{B} are of the form [4]

$$\widehat{A} = a \frac{1 + \widehat{Z}}{2} \tag{4}$$

$$\widehat{B} = b \frac{1 + r \cos\beta \widehat{Z} + r \sin\beta \widehat{X}}{2}, \tag{5}$$




FIG. 1: Experimental setup. A PDC heralded single-photon source generates pairs of photons at 800 nm in a LiIO₃ bulk crystal pumped by a 400 nm mode locked laser. The counted photon on the heralding arm announces the presence of its twin on the heralded arm. The coincidence apparatus based on a Time to Amplitude Converter (TAC) and a Multi Channel Analyzer (MCA) is used to discriminate coincidences due to PDC twin-photon from the background. The heralded photons are sent to the measurement apparatus designed to evaluate the observables $\langle \hat{A} \rangle$, $\langle \hat{A}^2 \rangle$, $\langle \hat{B} \rangle$, and $\langle \hat{B}^2 \rangle$.

where \widehat{Z} , \widehat{X} are Pauli matrices. To ensure the positivity of \widehat{A} and \widehat{B} , we assume a > 0, b > 0, and $0 \le r \le 1$ for a, b, and r real.

For it to be true that given $\langle \hat{B} \rangle > \langle \hat{A} \rangle$, at least one state can be found such that $\langle \hat{B}^2 \rangle < \langle \hat{A}^2 \rangle$ (i.e., the condition for Alicki's nonclassicality), the minimum of the eigenvalue of $\hat{B} - \hat{A}$ should be positive while $\hat{B}^2 - \hat{A}^2$ should be negative for at least one eigenvalue. It can easily be shown that these conditions correspond to

$$\frac{1-r^2}{2\sqrt{1+r^2-2r\cos\beta}} < \frac{a}{b} < \frac{1-r^2}{2(1-r\cos\beta)}.$$
(6)

In this work we present a second experimental realization of Alicki's test on a single qubit after the one of Ref. [4]. We exploit a simplified and more efficient scheme that achieves a larger violation of the classical inequality in Eq. (2) and does so with better uncertainty (a 5x improvement over the previous result).

THE EXPERIMENT

The quantum objects we use to implement this test are linearly polarized single-photons $(|\Psi\rangle = \cos \psi |H\rangle + \sin \psi |V\rangle)$ produced by a heralded single-photon source based on parametric down conversion (PDC). The main difference of this experiment with respect to the previous one is that in this case both the heralded and the heralding photons are in the visible, while in the previous case the heralded photon was at a telecom wavelength [4]. This allows us to use more efficient and lower noise detection systems that significantly reduce the experimental uncertainty. We also note that in this experiment measuring the two operators requires manually changing the waveplate angle rather than switching between the two measurements in an automated fashion, an inconsequential difference.

The experimental apparatus is sketched in Fig. 1. The PDC source is a 5 mm long LiIO₃ bulk crystal, pumped by 400 nm light, that produces pairs of correlated photons at 800 nm. The pump light is obtained by doubling the frequency of the output of a mode-locked laser (with a repetition rate of \approx 80 MHz) pumped by a 532 nm laser. Two interference filters (IF) with spectral bandwidth full-width-half-maximum of 20 nm are placed in both the heralded and heralding arms to reduce background light. Microscope objectives (20x) collect the light into multi-mode fibers (MMF) and the photons are finally counted by Si-Single-Photon Avalanche Diodes (Si-SPADs) operating in Geiger mode. A half-wave plate ($\lambda/2$) and polarizing beamsplitter (PBS) are used for our polarization projective measurements.

To verify the single photon nature of our source, which is critical for our test, we quantify the possibility of having more than one photon in the heralded arm after detecting the heralding photon. For this we use the same setup as

Source	Poisson	Ideal single	without	background
Parameter	source	photon source	background subtracted	subtracted
$\theta(0)$	$\exp(- au\mu)$	$1-\tau$		
$\theta(1)$	$2[\exp(-\tau\mu/2) - \exp(-\tau\mu)]$	au		
$\theta(2)$	$1 - 2\exp(-\tau\mu/2) + \exp(-\tau\mu)$	0		
γ_1	$2[\exp(\tau\mu/2) - 1]$	au/(1- au)	0.0578(2)	0.0498(2)
γ_2	$[\exp(\tau\mu/2) - 1]/2$	0	0.0013(2)	0.0007(3)
γ_2/γ_1	1/4	0	0.022(3)	0.015(5)

TABLE I: Theoretical and measured photon source parameters compared for an Ideal single-photon source and a Poisson source. Standard uncertainties (shown in parenthesis) account for both count fluctuations and random misalignment of the polarizers.

for the main experiment (Fig. 1), but we substitute into the heralded arm the multi-mode fiber with an integrated 50:50 beam-splitter that sends the photons to two Si-SPADs. The purity of a single-photon source can be described by means of the two parameters $\gamma_1 = \theta(1)/\theta(0)$ and $\gamma_2 = \theta(2)/\theta(1)$, where $\theta(0)$, $\theta(1)$, and $\theta(2)$ are the probabilities of the heralded arm producing 0, 1, or 2 counts for each heralding count, respectively.

In general, a heralding detection announces the arrival of a "pulse" containing n photons at the heralded channel. The probability that neither of the Si-SPADs fire for a heralded optical pulse containing n photons is

$$\theta(0|n) = \sum_{m=0}^{n} (1 - \tau_A)^m (1 - \tau_B)^{n-m} B(m|n; p = 0.5)$$

= $\left(1 - \frac{\tau_A + \tau_B}{2}\right)^n$, (7)

where p represents the BS splitting ratio (p = 0.5), $B(m|n;p) = n![m! (n-m)!]^{-1}p^m(1-p)^{n-m}$ is the binomial distribution representing the splitting of n photons towards the two Si-SPADs, and τ_A and τ_B are the detection efficiencies of each Si-SPAD (that includes all collection and optical losses in the detection channel). Analogously, the probability of observing 1 or 2 counts due to a heralded optical pulse with n photons are respectively

$$\theta(1|n) = \sum_{m=0}^{n} \{ [1 - (1 - \tau_A)^m] (1 - \tau_B)^{n-m} + (1 - \tau_A)^m [1 - (1 - \tau_B)^{n-m}] \} B(m|n; p = 0.5)$$

$$= \left(1 - \frac{\tau_A}{2} \right)^n + \left(1 - \frac{\tau_B}{2} \right)^n - 2 \left(1 - \frac{\tau_A + \tau_B}{2} \right)^n, \text{ and}$$

$$\theta(2|n) = \sum_{m=0}^{n} [1 - (1 - \tau_A)^m] [1 - (1 - \tau_B)^{n-m}] B(m|n; p = 0.5)$$

$$= 1 - \left(1 - \frac{\tau_A}{2} \right)^n - \left(1 - \frac{\tau_B}{2} \right)^n + \left(1 - \frac{\tau_A + \tau_B}{2} \right)^n.$$
(8)

From these we get $\theta(0) = \sum_{n} \theta(0|n)\mathcal{P}(n)$, $\theta(1) = \sum_{n} \theta(1|n)\mathcal{P}(n)$, and $\theta(2) = \sum_{n} \theta(2|n)\mathcal{P}(n)$ for $\mathcal{P}(n)$ being the general probability distribution of the number of photons in a heralded optical pulse. Assuming both Si-SPADs have the same detection efficiencies ($\tau_A = \tau_B = \tau$), we list in the Table I the parameters for an ideal single-photon source ($\mathcal{P}(n) = \delta_{n,1}$) and a Poissonian source ($\mathcal{P}(n) = \mu^n e^{-\mu}/n!$) with, on average, μ photons per pulse. Comparing the measured results to the the theoretical values for the two types of sources, we see that while our source differs from an ideal single-photon source that emits one photon per pulse, the very small γ_2/γ_1 , supports the point that conditional single-photon output does dominate our source's output.

The expectation values $\langle \widehat{A} \rangle$, $\langle \widehat{B} \rangle$, $\langle \widehat{A}^2 \rangle$, $\langle \widehat{B}^2 \rangle$ can be obtained experimentally by projecting the heralded photons

Quantity	Measurement	Measurement	QM theory
	(this work)	(previous work)	
$\begin{array}{l} \langle \widehat{B} \rangle - \langle \widehat{A} \rangle \\ \langle \widehat{B}^2 \rangle - \langle \widehat{A}^2 \rangle \end{array}$	0.0701(15) -0.0461(10)	0.058(11) -0.0403(66)	0.0685 -0.0449
deviation from classicality	46.1 σ	9.4 σ	

TABLE II: Non-classicality test experimental results. Standard uncertainties (shown in parenthesis) account for count fluctuations, random misalignment of the polarizers. The contribution to the uncertainty budget due to non-ideal behavior of our heralded single-photon source is negligible.

onto the linear polarizations states as the operators \widehat{A} and \widehat{B} can be rewritten as

.

$$\widehat{A} = a\widehat{P}_0$$

$$\widehat{B} = b\left(\frac{1+r}{2}\widehat{P}_{\frac{\beta}{2}} + \frac{1-r}{2}\widehat{P}_{\frac{\beta+\pi}{2}}\right),$$
(9)
(10)

where \hat{P}_{θ} is the projection operator on the state $|s(\theta)\rangle = \cos \theta |H\rangle + \sin \theta |V\rangle$.

If we choose the parameter values a = 0.74, b = 1.2987, r = 3/5, $\beta = 2/9 \pi$ (we note that, with this choice, the condition in Eq. (6) is satisfied), and $\psi = -11/36 \pi$ the results for $\langle \hat{B}^2 \rangle - \langle \hat{A}^2 \rangle$, and $\langle \hat{B} \rangle - \langle \hat{A} \rangle$ predicted by quantum theory are those presented in Table 2, while the minimum eigenvalue of $\hat{B} - \hat{A}$ is $d_- = 0.0189$ (satisfying the $\hat{B} - \hat{A} > 0$ requirement for the Alicki test), where

$$d_{-} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \left\{ b - a - \sqrt{a^2 + b^2} r^2 - 2 a b r \cos \beta \right\}.$$
 (11)

The experimental results are presented in Table II. From the value of $\langle \hat{B}^2 \rangle - \langle \hat{A}^2 \rangle$ we see a very large violation, ≈ 46 standard deviations, of the classical limit of $\langle \hat{B}^2 \rangle - \langle \hat{A}^2 \rangle > 0$.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we have presented a very simple and efficient experimental implementation of Alicki's proposed nonclassicality test, that results in a large (46.1 standard deviations) and low noise violation of the Alicki classicality condition. This 5x improvement over our previous result was achieved by moving from the infrared to the visible, where we can take advantage of higher-efficiency and lower-noise photon detectors.

Acknowledgments

This work has been supported in part by Regione Piemonte (E14), San Paolo Foundation, and by the MURI Center for Photonic Quantum Information Systems (Army Research Office (ARO)/ Intelligence Advanced Research Projects Activity (IARPA) program DAAD19-03-1-0199), the IARPA entangled source programs. N. G. was partially supported by the Swiss NCCR-QP.

^[1] R. Alicki and N. Van Ryn, "A simple test of quantumness for a single system," J. Phys. A: Math. Theor., 41, 062001 (2008).

^[2] R. Alicki, M. Piani, and N. Van Ryn, "Quantumness Witnesses," arXiv:0807.2615v1 (2008).

^[3] M. Genovese, "Research on hidden variable theories: A review of recent progresses," Phys. Rep. 413, 319-396 (2005).

^[4] G. Brida, I. P. Degiovanni, M. Genovese, V. Schettini, S. V. Polyakov, and A. Migdall, "Experimental test of nonclassicality for a single particle," Opt. Express 16, 11750-11758 (2008).

^[5] M. Zukowski, "Unexpected reemergence of von Neumann theorem," arXiv:0809.0115v1 (2008).