

# Quantum algorithms for highly non-linear Boolean functions

Martin Rötteler  
NEC Laboratories America  
4 Independence Way, Suite 200  
Princeton, NJ 08540, U.S.A.  
mroetteler@nec-labs.com

October 29, 2018

## Abstract

Attempts to separate the power of classical and quantum models of computation have a long history. The ultimate goal are exponential separations for computational (promise) problems, however, these do not come a dime a dozen: while there were some early successes in the form of hidden subgroup problems for abelian groups—which generalizes Shor’s factoring algorithm perhaps most faithfully—only for a handful of non-abelian groups efficient quantum algorithms were found. Recently, problems have gotten increased attention that seek to identify hidden sub-structures of other combinatorial and algebraic objects besides groups, notably the quantum algorithms by Childs, Schulman, and Vazirani for shifted subset problems for spheres over a finite field. In this paper we provide new examples for exponential separations by considering hidden shift problems that are defined for several classes of highly non-linear Boolean functions. These so-called bent functions arise in cryptography, where their property of having perfectly flat Fourier spectra on the Boolean hypercube gives them resilience against certain types of attack. We present quantum algorithms that solve the hidden shift problems for several well-known classes of bent functions in polynomial time with a constant number of queries, while the classical query complexity is shown to be exponential. Our approach uses a technique that exploits the duality between bent functions and their Fourier transforms. We also give a quantum algorithm that solves the hidden shift problem for quadratic forms that might be of independent interest. This algorithm can also be used to find approximating polynomials and shifts for functions with large Gowers  $U_3$  norm.

# 1 Introduction

A salient feature of quantum computers is that they allow to solve certain problems much more efficiently than any classical machine. The ultimate goal of quantum computing is to find problems for which an exponential separations between quantum and classical models of computation can be shown in terms of the required resources such as time, space, communication, or queries. It turns out that the question about a provably exponential advantage of a quantum computer over classical computers is a challenging one and examples showing a separation are not easy to come by. Currently, only few (promise) problems for an exponential separation between quantum and classical computing are known. A common feature they share is that, simply put, they all ask to extract hidden features of certain algebraic structures. Examples for this are hidden shift problems [DHI03], hidden non-linear structures [CSV07], and hidden subgroup problems (HSPs). The latter class of hidden subgroup problems was studied quite extensively over the past decade. There are some successes such as the efficient solution of the HSP for any abelian group [Sho97, Kit97], including factoring and discrete log as well as Pell's equation [Hal02], and efficient solutions for some non-abelian groups [FIM<sup>+</sup>03, BCD05]. However, meanwhile some limitations of the known approaches to this problem are known [HMR<sup>+</sup>06] and presently it is unclear whether the HSP can lend itself to a solution to other interesting problems such as the graph isomorphism problem.

Most of these methods invoke Fourier analysis over a finite group  $G$ . In some sense the Fourier transform is good at capturing some non-trivial global properties of a function  $f$  which at the same time are hard to figure out for the classical computer which can probe the function only locally at polynomially many places. For many groups  $G$  the quantum computer has the unique ability to compute a Fourier transform for  $G$  very efficiently, i. e., in time  $\log^{O(1)} n$ , where  $n$  is the input size. Even though the access to the Fourier spectrum is somewhat limited, namely via sampling, it nevertheless has been shown that this limited access can be quite powerful. Historically, the first promise problems which tried to leverage this power were defined for certain classes of Boolean functions: the Deutsch-Jozsa problem [NC00] is to decide whether a Boolean function  $f : \mathbb{Z}_2^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$  that is promised to be either constant or a balanced function is actually constant or balanced. In the Fourier picture this asks to distinguish between functions that have all their spectrum supported on the 0 frequency and functions which have no 0 frequency component at all. It therefore comes as no surprise that by sampling from the Fourier spectrum the problem can be solved. Furthermore, it can be shown that any deterministic classical algorithm must make an exponential number of queries. However, this problem can be solved on a bounded error polynomial time classical machine. Hence other, more challenging, problems were sought which asked for more sophisticated features of the function  $f$  and were still amenable to Fourier sampling. One such problem is to identify  $r \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$  from black box access to a linear Boolean function  $f(x) = rx$ , where  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$ . Again, in the Fourier domain the picture looks very simple as each  $f$  corresponds to a perfect delta peak localized at frequency  $r$ , leading to an exact quantum algorithm which identifies  $r$  using a single query. Classically, it can be shown that  $\Theta(n)$  queries are necessary and sufficient to identify  $r$  with bounded error. Based on the observation that a quantum computer can even handle the case well in which access to  $x$  is not immediate but rather through solving another problem of a smaller size, Bernstein and Vazirani [BV97] defined the recursive Fourier sampling (RFS) problem by organizing many instances of learning a hidden linear functions in a tree-like fashion. By choosing the height of this tree to be  $\log n$  they showed a separation between quantum computers, which can solve the problem in  $n$  queries, and classical computers which require  $n^{\log n}$  queries. Soon after this, more algorithms were found that used the power of Fourier sampling over an abelian groups, namely Simon's algorithm [Sim94] for certain functions  $f : \mathbb{Z}_2^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^{n-1}$ , and Shor's algorithms [Sho97], where  $f$  was defined on cyclic groups and products thereof, eventually leading to the HSP.

The idea to achieve speedups from Boolean functions themselves has obtained significantly less attention. Recently, Hallgren and Harrow [HH08] revisited the RFS problem and showed that other unitary matrices can serve the role of the Fourier transform in the definition of RFS problems. They have obtained superpolynomial speedups over classical computing for a wide class of Boolean functions and unitary matrices, including random unitary matrices. Together with lower bound results [Aar03] this gives a reasonably good understanding of the power and limitations of the RFS problem. In another important development, it was shown that the ability to efficiently perform Fourier transforms on a quantum computer can also be used to efficiently perform correlations between certain functions. In the so-called hidden shift problem defined by van Dam, Hallgren, and Ip [DHI03] this was used in the context of computing a correlation between a black box implementation of  $f(x) = \left(\frac{x+s}{p}\right)$ , where  $\left(\frac{x}{p}\right)$  denotes the Legendre symbol and  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  is a fixed element, and the Legendre symbol itself. The main idea behind this is that the Fourier transform of a shifted function picks up a linear phase which depends on the shift. Since a correlation corresponds to point-wise multiplication of the Fourier transforms and since the Legendre symbol is its own Fourier transform, the correlation can be performed by computing the Legendre symbol into the phase, leading to an efficient algorithm. The classical query complexity of this problem is polynomial in  $\log p$ .

**Our results.** Our main contribution is a generalization of the hidden shift problem for a class of Boolean functions known as bent functions [Rot76]. Bent functions are those Boolean functions for which the Hamming distance to the set of all linear Boolean functions is maximum (based on comparing their truth tables). For this reason bent functions are also called maximum non-linear functions.<sup>1</sup> A direct consequence of this is that their Fourier transforms are perfectly flat, i. e., in absolute value all Fourier coefficients are equal and as small possible. This feature of having a flat Fourier spectrum is desirable for cryptographic purposes because, roughly speaking, such a function is maximally resistant against attacks that seek to exploit a dependence of the outputs on some linear subspace of the inputs. It turns out that bent functions exist if and only if the number of variables is even and that there are many of them: asymptotically, the number of bent functions in  $n$  variables is at least  $\Omega\left(\left(\frac{2^{n/2+1}}{e}\right)^{2^{n/2}} \sqrt{2\pi 2^{n/2}}\right)$ , see for instance [CG06]. What is more, several explicit constructions of infinite families of bent functions are known. Bent functions are related to difference sets which are objects studied in combinatorics. The connection is rather simple: we get that  $D_f := \{x : f(x) = 1\}$  is a difference set in  $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$ , i. e., the set  $\Delta D_f = \{d_1 - d_2 : d_1, d_2 \in D_f\}$  of differences covers each non-zero element of  $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$  an equal number of times. Since the Fourier transform of  $f$  is flat and the Boolean Fourier transform is real, it follows that (up to normalization) the Fourier takes only values  $\pm 1$ , i. e., it again is described by a Boolean function, called the *dual* bent function and denoted by  $\tilde{f}$ . Arguably, the most prominent example for a bent function is the inner product function  $ip_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n/2} x_{2i-1}x_{2i}$  written in short as  $ip_n(x, y) = xy$ . This function can be generalized to  $f(x, y) = x\pi(y) + g(y)$ , where  $\pi$  is an arbitrary permutation of strings of length  $n/2$  and  $g : \mathbb{Z}_2^{n/2} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$  is an arbitrary function. This leads to the class of so-called Maiorana-McFarland bent functions. The dual bent functions is then given by  $\tilde{f}(x, y) = \pi^{-1}(x)y + g(\pi^{-1}(x))$ .

We define the hidden shift problem for a fixed bent function  $f$  as follows: an oracle  $\mathcal{O}$  provides us with access to  $f$  and  $g$ , where  $g$  is promised to be a shifted version of  $f$  with respect to some unknown shift  $s$ . Furthermore, we assume that oracle access to the dual  $\tilde{f}$  is given. Using a correlation-based technique similar to [DHI03] we show that  $s$  can be extracted from  $\mathcal{O}$  by a quantum algorithm using one query to  $f$

---

<sup>1</sup>Note that high nonlinearity of a function refers to the spectral characterization, i. e., the Hamming weight of the highest non-zero frequency component is high. It does not imply that  $f(x) = \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n} \alpha_\nu x^\nu$ , when written as a multivariate polynomial, has a high (algebraic) degree, defined as the maximum degree of any monomial  $x^\nu$ . Indeed, there are many examples of highly nonlinear functions whose algebraic degree is 2.

and one query to  $\tilde{f}$ . Moreover, the quantum algorithm never errs, i. e., the problem is in EQP.

Using this class of functions and by limiting the number of uses of the dual bent function, we show an exponential separation of the quantum and classical query complexity of the hidden shift problem. We present two other classes of bent functions, namely the partial spread class defined by Dillon [Dil75] and a class defined by Dobbertin [Dob95], which uses properties of certain Kloosterman sums over finite fields in order to show the bentness of the functions.

A special case of the Maiorana-McFarland class arises for those  $\pi$  which correspond to a linear automorphism of  $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$ . This leads us to quadratic Boolean functions whose symplectic form has maximal possible rank. We give an efficient quantum algorithm to extract the shift between two quadratic forms  $f$  and  $g$ . A genuine quantum advantage of this method is that it is resilient against overall noise in the input, i. e., if  $f$  and  $g$  are both very close to quadratic forms, but differ on a few inputs from actual quadratic forms, then still the algorithm extracts the shift. We use this to show that functions with large Gowers  $U_3$  norm—i. e., functions which correlate well with a quadratic form—can be reconstructed efficiently from polynomially many queries to the function. This task which might be difficult classically as straightforward attempts to solve it lead to problems of learning with error [Reg05].

**Related work.** The techniques used in this paper are closely related to the techniques used in [DHI03], in particular the method of using the Fourier transform thrice in order to correlate a shifted function with a given reference function, thereby solving a deconvolution problem. We see the main difference in the richness of the class of Boolean functions for which the method can be applied and the query lower bound.

It was observed in [FIM<sup>+</sup>03, Kup05] that the hidden shift problem for *injective* functions  $f, g : G \rightarrow S$  from an abelian  $G$  to a set  $S$  is equivalent to hidden subgroup problem over  $G \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ , where the action of  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  on  $G$  is given by the inverse. There are several other papers that deal with the injective hidden shift problem over abelian and non-abelian groups [CD07, CW07, MRRS07]. In contrast, the functions studied here are defined on the abelian group  $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$  and very far from being injective. We have to use the promise that  $s$  indeed is a shift as there is no direct way to verify that a candidate  $s$  does satisfy  $g(x + s) = f(x)$ . If it is known however, that either  $g$  is a shifted version or is very far away from all shifts, then we show that the SWAP test can be used as a one-sided test to check whether a candidate  $s$  is indeed the solution.

Perhaps most closely related to our scenario is the work by Russell and Shparlinski [RS04] who considered shift problems for the case of  $\chi(f(x))$ , where  $f$  is a polynomial on a finite group  $G$  and  $\chi$  a character of  $G$ , a general setup that includes our scenario. The two cases for which algorithms were given in [RS04] are the reconstruction of a monic, square-free polynomial  $f \in \mathbb{F}_p[X]$ , where  $\chi$  is the quadratic character (Legendre symbol) over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  and the reconstruction of a hidden shift over a finite group  $\chi(sx)$ , where  $\chi$  is the character of a known irreducible representation of  $G$ . The technique used in [RS04] is a generalization to the technique of [DHI03]. In the present paper we extend the class of functions for which the hidden shift problem can be solved to the case where  $f$  is a multivariate polynomial and  $G$  is the group  $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$ .

Related to the hidden shift problem is the problem of unknown shifts, i. e., problems in which we are given a supply of quantum states of the form  $|D + s\rangle$ , where  $s$  is random, and  $D$  has to be identified. Problems of this kind have been studied by Childs, Vazirani, and Schulman [CSV07], where  $D$  is a sphere of unknown radius, Decker, Draisma, and Wocjan [DDW08], where  $D$  is a graph of a function, and Montanaro [Mon], where  $D$  is the set of points of a fixed Hamming-weight. The latter paper also considers the cases where  $D$  hides other Boolean functions such as juntas, a problem that was also studied in [AS07].

In contrast to all these problems in our case the set  $D$  is already known (it is a difference set in the terminology above), but the shift  $s$  has to be identified. Our algorithm in Section 5 to identify the quadratic function is similar to the methods used in [CSV07, DDW08, BCD05] to extract information about functions that have been encoded into the phases of quantum states.

We are only aware of relatively few occasions where bent functions have been used in theoretical computer science: they were used in the context of learning of intersections of halfspaces [KS07], where they gave rise to maximum possible number of slicings of edges of the hypercube. Also the recent counterexample for failure of the inverse Gowers conjecture in small characteristic [LMS08] uses a special bent function.

## 2 Fourier analysis of Boolean functions

We recall some basic facts about Fourier analysis of Boolean functions, see also the recent review article [DeW08] for an introduction. Let  $f : \mathbb{Z}_2^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a real valued function on the  $n$ -dimensional Boolean hypercube. The Fourier representation of  $f$  is defined as follows. First note that for any subset  $S \subseteq [n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$  we can define a character of  $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$  via  $\chi_S : x \mapsto (-1)^{Sx^t}$ , where  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$  (the transpose is necessary as we assume throughout that all vectors are row vectors). The inner product of two functions on the hypercube is defined as  $\langle f, g \rangle = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_x f(x)g(x) = \mathbb{E}_x(fg)$ . The  $\chi_S$  are inequivalent character of  $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$ , hence they obey the orthogonality relation  $\mathbb{E}_x(\chi_S \chi_T) = \delta_{S,T}$ . The Fourier transform of  $f$  is a function  $\hat{f} : \mathbb{Z}_2^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$\hat{f}(S) = \mathbb{E}_x(f \chi_S) = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n} \chi_S(x) f(x), \quad (1)$$

$\hat{f}(S)$  is the Fourier coefficient of  $f$  at frequency  $S$ , the set of all Fourier coefficients is called the Fourier spectrum of  $f$  and we have the representation  $f = \sum_S \hat{f}(S) \chi_S$ . Two useful facts about the Fourier transform of Boolean functions are Parseval's identity and the convolution property. Parseval's identity says that  $\|f\|_2^2 = \sum_S \hat{f}(S)^2$  which is a special case of  $\langle f, g \rangle = \sum_S \hat{f}(S) \hat{g}(S)$ . For two Boolean functions  $f, g : \mathbb{Z}_2^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  their convolution  $(f * g)$  is the function defined as  $(f * g)(x) = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n} f(x+y)g(y)$ . A standard feature of the Fourier transform is that it maps the group operation to a point wise operation in the Fourier domain. Concretely, this means that  $\widehat{f * g}(S) = \hat{f}(S) \hat{g}(S)$ , i. e., convolution becomes point-wise multiplication and vice-versa.

In quantum notation the Fourier transform on the Boolean hypercube differs slightly in terms of the normalization and is given by the unitary matrix  $H_{2^n} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{x,y \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n} (-1)^{xy} |x\rangle \langle y|$ . This is sometimes called the Hadamard transform [NC00]. In this paper we will also use the Fourier spectrum defined with respect to the Hadamard transform which differs from (1) by a factor of  $2^{-n/2}$ . It is immediate from the definition of  $H_{2^n}$  that it can be written in terms of a tensor (Kronecker) product of the Hadamard matrix of size  $2 \times 2$ , namely  $H_{2^n} = (H_2)^{\otimes n}$ , a fact which makes this transform appealing to use on a quantum computer since can be computed using  $O(n)$  elementary operations. Also note that in the context of cryptography also the name Walsh-Hadamard transform for  $H_{2^n}$  is common.

Another note on a convention which applies when we consider  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  valued functions  $f : \mathbb{Z}_2^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$ . Then we tacitly assume that the real valued function corresponding to  $f$  is actually  $F : x \mapsto (-1)^{f(x)}$ . The Fourier transform is then defined with respect to  $F$ , i. e., we obtain that

$$\hat{F}(w) = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n} (-1)^{wx + f(x)}, \quad (2)$$

where we use  $w \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$  instead of  $S \subseteq [n]$  to denote the frequencies. Other than this notational convention, the Fourier transform used in (2) for Boolean valued functions and the Fourier transform used in (1) for real valued functions are the same. In the paper we will sloppily call  $\hat{f} = \hat{F}$  and it will be clear from the context which definition has to be used.

### 3 Bent functions

**Definition 1.** Let  $f : \mathbb{Z}_2^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$  be a Boolean function. We say that  $f$  is bent if the Fourier coefficients  $\widehat{f}(w) = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n} (-1)^{w \cdot x + f(x)}$  satisfy  $|\widehat{f}(w)| = 2^{-n/2}$  for all  $w \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$ , i. e., if the spectrum of  $f$  is flat.

Necessary for bent functions in  $n$  variables to exist is that  $n$  is even [Dil75, MS77]. If  $f$  is bent, then this implicitly defines another Boolean function via  $2^{n/2} \widehat{f}(w) =: (-1)^{\widetilde{f}(w)}$ . Then this function  $\widetilde{f}$  is again a bent function and called the dual bent function of  $f$ . By taking the dual twice we obtain  $f$  back:  $\widetilde{\widetilde{f}} = f$ .

#### 3.1 A first example

The most simple bent function is  $f(x, y) := xy$  where  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ . It is easy to verify that  $f$  defines a bent function. This can be generalized to  $2n$  variables [MS77] and we obtain the inner product

$$ip_n(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n) := \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i.$$

Again, it is easy to see that  $ip_n$  is bent. In Section 3.2 we will see that  $ip_n$  belongs to a much larger class of bent functions. As shown in Appendix A that  $ip_n = \widehat{ip}_n$  is its own Fourier transform which also implies that the vector  $[(-1)^{ip_n(x,y)}]_{x,y \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n}$  is an eigenvector of  $H_{2^n}$ . This should be compared to [DHI03] in which the fact was used that the Legendre symbol  $\left(\frac{\cdot}{p}\right)$  gives rise to an eigenvector of the Fourier transform  $\text{DFT}_p$  over the cyclic group  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . Note that the shift problem for the inner product function is closely related to the Fourier sampling problem of finding a string  $r$  that is hidden by the function  $f(r, x) = rx^t$  [BV97]: Fourier sampling the problem is to identify  $a$  from the state  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n} (-1)^{ax} |x\rangle$ . In the hidden shift problem the problem is to identify  $(a, b)$  from  $\frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{x,y \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n} (-1)^{ip_n(x+a,y+b)} |x, y\rangle$ . This state is up to a global phase given by  $\frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{x,y \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n} (-1)^{xy^t + xb^t + ya^t} |x, y\rangle$ . By computing  $ip_n$  into the phase the latter can be mapped to  $\frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{x,y \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n} (-1)^{xb^t + ya^t} |x, y\rangle$  which is the Fourier sampling problem for the string  $(a, b)$ .

#### 3.2 Bent function families

Many examples of bent functions are known. We review some of these classes starting with the quadratics. Recall that any quadratic function  $f$  has the form  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{i < j} q_{i,j} x_i x_j + \sum_i \ell_i x_i$  which can be written as  $f(x) = xQx^t + Lx^t$ , where  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$ . Here,  $Q \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$  is an upper triangular matrix,  $L \in \mathbb{F}^n$ . It is useful to consider the associated symplectic matrix  $B = (Q + Q^t)$  with zero diagonal which defines a symplectic form  $\mathcal{B}(u, v) = uBv^t$ . This form is non-degenerate if and only if  $\text{rank}(B) = n$ . The coset of  $f + R(n, 1)$  of the first order Reed-Muller code is described by the rank of  $B$ . This follows from Dickson's theorem [MS77] which gives a complete classification of symplectic forms over  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ :

**Theorem 1 (Dickson).** Let  $B \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{n \times n}$  by a symmetric matrix with zero diagonal (such matrices are also called symplectic matrices). Then there exists  $R \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z}_2)$  and  $h \in [n/2]$  such that  $RBR^t = D$ , where  $D$  is the matrix  $(\mathbf{1}_h \otimes \sigma_x) \oplus \mathbf{0}_{n-2h}$  considered as a matrix over  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  (where  $\sigma_x$  is the permutation matrix corresponding to  $(1, 2)$ ). In particular, the rank of  $B$  is always even. Furthermore, under the base change given by  $R$  the function  $f$  becomes the quadratic form  $ip_h(x_1, \dots, x_{2h}) + L'(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  where we used the inner product function  $ip_h$  and a linear function  $L'$ .

**Lemma 2** (Affine transforms). *Let  $f$  be a bent function, let  $A \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z}_2)$  and  $b \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$ , and define  $g(x) := f(xA + b)$ . Then also  $g(x)$  is a bent function and  $\widehat{g}(w) = (-1)^{-wb} \widehat{f}(w(A^{-1})^t)$  for all  $w \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$ .*

**Corollary 3.** *Let  $f(x) = xQx^t + Lx^t$  be a quadratic Boolean function such that the associated symplectic matrix  $B = (Q + Q^t)$  satisfies  $\text{rank}(B) = 2h = n$ . Then  $f$  is a bent function. The dual of this bent function is again a quadratic bent function.*

A complete classification of bent functions has only been achieved for  $n = 2, 4, 6$  variables. For larger number of variables some families are known, basically coming from ad hoc constructions. Below, we present two of the known families called **M** (Maiorana and McFarland) and **PS** (partial spreads). First, we remark there are also constructions for making new bent functions from known ones, the simplest one takes two bent functions  $f$  and  $g$  in  $n$  and  $m$  variables and outputs  $(x, y) \mapsto f(x) \oplus g(y)$ . The class **M** of Maiorana-McFarland bent functions consists of the functions  $f(x, y) := x\pi(y) + g(y)$ , where  $\pi$  is an arbitrary permutation of  $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$  and  $g$  is an arbitrary Boolean function depending on  $y$  only. The dual of such a function is given by  $\widehat{f} := \pi^{-1}(x)y + g(\pi^{-1}(x))$ , see also Appendix A. The class **PS** of generalized partial spreads was introduced by Dillon [Dil75] and provides examples of bent functions outside of **M**.

**Theorem 4.** *Suppose that  $U_1, \dots, U_{2^{n/2-1}}$  are  $n/2$ -dimensional subspaces of  $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$  such that  $U_i \cap U_j = \{0\}$  for all  $i \neq j$ . Let  $\chi_i$  be the characteristic function of  $U_i$ . Then  $f := \sum_{i=1}^{2^{n/2-1}} \chi_i$  is a bent function.*

A collection of sets  $U_i$  as in Theorem 4 is called a *partial spread*. Explicitly, the  $U_i$  can be chosen as  $U_i = \{(x, a_i x) : x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n/2}}\}$  where  $a_i \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{n/2}}^\times$  satisfies  $g(a_i) = 1$  for a fixed balanced function  $g$ . Here we have identified  $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$  with the finite field  $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$  by choosing a polynomial basis. This provides an explicit construction for bent functions in **PS**. A further class defined by Dobbertin has the property to include **M** and **PS** is defined as follows: first, identify  $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$  with  $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n/2}} \times \mathbb{F}_{2^{n/2}}$ . Let  $g$  be a balanced Boolean function of  $n/2$  variables,  $\varphi$  be a permutation of  $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n/2}}$  and  $\psi$  be an arbitrary map from  $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n/2}}$  to  $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n/2}}$ . Then

$$f(x, y) := \begin{cases} g\left(\frac{x + \psi(\varphi^{-1}(y))}{\varphi^{-1}(y)}\right) & : \text{if } y \neq 0, \\ 0 & : \text{if } y = 0 \end{cases}$$

is a bent function. There are other constructions of bent functions by taking so-called trace monomials. For this connection, an understanding of certain Kloosterman sums turns out to be important. Recall that the Kloosterman sum in  $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$  is defined as  $Kl(a) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^\times} (-1)^{\text{tr}(x^{-1} + ax)}$ , where  $\text{tr}$  denotes the trace map from  $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$  to  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ . For  $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$  let  $f_a(x)$  be the Boolean function  $f_a(x) = \text{tr}(ax^{2^{n/2-1}})$ . It is known that if  $a$  is contained in the subfield  $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n/2}}$  and  $Kl(a) = -1$ , then  $f_a$  is a bent function [Dil75]. The existence of such an elements  $a$  was conjectured in Dillon's paper and was proved in [LW90] (see also [HZ99]) where its existence was shown for all  $n$ , thereby showing existence bent functions in this class of trace monomials. Finally, we note that there are many other characterizations of bent functions via other combinatorial objects. We highlight some of these connections in Appendix B.

## 4 The shifted bent function problem

**Definition 2** (Hidden shift problem). *Let  $n \geq 1$  and let  $\mathcal{O}_{f;q}$  be an oracle which gives access to three Boolean functions  $f, g, h : \mathbb{Z}_2^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$ , where we assume that it will allow us to query  $f$  and  $g$  as many times as we wish, but query  $h$  at most  $q$  times. We say that  $\mathcal{O}_{f;q}$  hides the hidden shift problem for the bent function  $f$  and the hidden shift  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$  if the following additional properties hold: (i)  $f, g$ , and  $h$  are bent functions, (ii) there exist  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$  such that  $g(x) = f(x + s)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$ , and (iii)  $h = \widetilde{f}$ .*

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $\mathcal{O}_{f;q}$  be an oracle that hides an instance of a shifted bent function problem for the function  $f$  and hidden shift  $s$ . Then there exists a polynomial time quantum algorithm that computes  $s$  with zero error and makes two quantum queries to  $\mathcal{O}_{f;q}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f : \mathbb{Z}_2^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$  be the bent function. We have oracle access to the shifted function  $g(x) = f(x + s)$  via the oracle, i.e., we can apply the map  $|x\rangle |0\rangle \mapsto |x\rangle |f(x + s)\rangle$  where  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$  is the unknown string. Recall that whenever we have a function implemented as  $|x\rangle |0\rangle \mapsto |x\rangle |f(x)\rangle$ , we can also compute  $f$  into the phase as  $|x\rangle \mapsto (-1)^{f(x)}$  by applying  $f$  to a qubit initialized in  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle - |1\rangle)$ . The hidden shift problem is solved by the following algorithm: (i) Prepare the initial state  $|0\rangle$ , (ii) apply the Fourier transform  $H_2^{\otimes n}$  to prepare an equal distribution  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n} |x\rangle$  of all inputs, (iii) compute the shifted function into the phase to get  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n} (-1)^{f(x+s)} |x\rangle$ , (iv) Apply the Hadamard transform  $H_2^{\otimes n}$  to get  $\sum_w (-1)^{sw} \hat{f}(w) |w\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_w (-1)^{sw} (-1)^{\tilde{f}(w)} |w\rangle$ , (v) compute the function  $|w\rangle \mapsto (-1)^{\tilde{f}(w)}$  into the phase resulting in  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_w (-1)^{sw} |w\rangle$ , (vi) finally apply another Hadamard transform  $H_2^{\otimes n}$  to get the state  $|s\rangle$  and measure  $s$ . From this description it is clear that we needed one query to  $g$  and one query to  $\tilde{f}$  to solve the problem, that the algorithm is exact, and that the overall running time is given by  $O(n)$  quantum operations.  $\square$

Finally, we state the following two results which provide new query complexity separations between quantum and classical algorithms. Sketches of proofs of these two theorems are given in Appendix C.

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $\mathcal{O}_{f;q}$  be an oracle that hides a hidden shift  $s$  for an instance  $(f, g, \tilde{f})$  of a hidden shift problem for a bent function  $f$  from Maiorana-McFarland class. Then classically  $\Theta(n)$  queries are necessary and sufficient to identify the hidden shift  $s$ . Further, there exists a recursively defined oracle  $\mathcal{O}_{f,rec}$  which makes calls to  $\mathcal{O}_{f;q}$  and whose quantum query complexity is  $\text{poly}(n)$ , whereas its classical query complexity is superpolynomial.*

**Theorem 7.** *Let  $\mathcal{O}_{f;1}$  be an oracle that hides a hidden shift  $s$  for an instance  $(f, g, \tilde{f})$  of a hidden shift problem for a bent function  $f$  from Maiorana-McFarland class. Then classically  $\Theta(\sqrt{2^n})$  queries are necessary and sufficient to identify the hidden shift  $s$ .*

## 5 Quadratic bent functions

We have seen that the inner product function gives rise to bent function. Using affine transformations (see Lemma 2) we can easily produce other bent functions from the inner product function. They are all quadratic Boolean functions. Here, we consider a slightly different task: we begin with an arbitrary quadratic Boolean function (not necessarily bent)  $f$ , which is given by an oracle  $\mathcal{O}$ . We show that  $f$  can be discovered using  $O(n)$  quantum queries to  $\mathcal{O}$ , whereas  $\Omega(n^2)$  classical queries are necessary. We use quadratic forms  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{i < j} q_{i,j} x_i x_j + \sum_i \ell_i x_i$  written as  $f(x) = xQx^t + Lx^t$ , where  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$ . Here,  $Q \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{n \times n}$  is an upper triangular matrix and  $L \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$ . Using the oracle we can compute the function into the phase and obtain the state

$$|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n} (-1)^{xQx^t + Lx^t} |x\rangle. \quad (3)$$

We will show next, that  $Q$  and  $L$  can be obtained from polynomially many copies of  $|\psi\rangle$ . The method uses two such states at a time and combines them using  $T : |x, y\rangle \mapsto \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n} (-1)^{zy} |x + y, z\rangle$ . Note that



$T$  can be implemented efficiently on a quantum computer as it is just a controlled not between each qubit in the  $y$  register as source to the corresponding qubit in the  $x$  register as target, followed by a Hadamard transform of each qubit in the  $y$  register. Applying this to the state of two copies gives  $T|\psi\rangle \otimes |\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_u (-1)^{p(u)} |u, u(Q + Q^t)\rangle$ , where  $p : \mathbb{Z}_2^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$  is a quadratic Boolean function (see Appendix D).

We now describe a direct way to recover  $f$  from sampling from these states. Suppose we sample  $k = O(n)$  times, obtaining pairs  $(u_i, v_i)$  from this process. The goal is to identify the matrix  $Q$ . Observe that learning what  $Q$  is is equivalent to learning what  $M := (Q + Q^t)$  is since  $Q$  is an upper triangular matrix with zero diagonal. Now, arrange the sampled vectors  $u_i$  into a matrix  $U = (u_1 | \dots | u_k)$  and the similarly  $V = (v_1 | \dots | v_k)$ . Then  $U^t M = V^t$  is a system of linear equations for each of the  $n$  columns of  $M$ . Since the matrix  $U$  was chosen at random, we obtain that it is invertible with constant probability, i. e., we can find  $M$  with constant probability of error.

We shall now improve this method in order to obtain method that is more robust regarding errors in the input state  $|\psi\rangle$ . Instead of sampling  $k$  times from  $T|\psi\rangle^{\otimes 2}$ , we considered the coherent superposition  $|\psi\rangle^{\otimes 2k}$  and apply  $T^{\otimes k}$  to it. The resulting state has the form  $\sum_{u_1, \dots, u_k} \varphi(u_1, \dots, u_k) |u_1, \dots, u_k\rangle |Mu_1, \dots, Mu_k\rangle$ . Next, note that there is an efficient classical algorithm which on input  $U$  and  $V$  computes the matrix  $M$ . We can compute this algorithm in a reversible fashion and apply to the state to obtain a new state which has a constant overlap with a state that is the superposition of the cases for which the Gauss algorithm computation was successful (returning  $M$ ) and those cases for which it was unsuccessful (returning  $\perp$ ): using the shorthand notation  $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_k)$ , we obtain the state

$$\left( \sum_{\mathbf{u} \text{ good}} \varphi(\mathbf{u}) |\mathbf{u}\rangle |M\mathbf{u}\rangle \right) |M\rangle + \left( \sum_{\mathbf{u} \text{ bad}} \varphi(\mathbf{u}) |\mathbf{u}\rangle |M\mathbf{u}\rangle \right) |\perp\rangle.$$

Measuring this state will yield  $M$  with constant probability. Once  $M$  has been found, we can infer  $Q$  and uses this information to compute it into the phases in equation (3) in order to cancel the quadratic part out. From the resulting states we can efficiently determine  $L$  from another few rounds of Fourier sampling.

**Relation to learning parity with errors** To see why the quantum algorithm for finding the shift has an advantage over classical attempts to do so, consider a straightforward attempt to determine the shift by querying the functions directly: Query function  $f$  to infer a quadratic form  $Q_f$ ,  $L_f$ , and  $b_f$ , and query function  $g$  to infer  $Q_g$ ,  $L_g$ , and  $b_g$ . Clearly if there is no error (i. e.,  $f(x) = xQ_f x^t + L_f x^t$  and similarly for  $g$ ) from knowledge of the quadratics and the promise that there is a shift  $s$  such that  $g(x) = f(x + s)$ , we can determine  $s$ . Indeed, it is sufficient to query at points  $(0, \dots, 0)$ , and  $e_i$ , where  $e_i$  denotes the  $i$ th vector in the standard basis to get equations of the form  $s^t u_i = b_i$ , where  $u_i \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$  and  $b_i \in \mathbb{Z}_2$  and with constant probability after  $n$  trials the solution is uniquely characterized and can be efficiently found e. g. by Gaussian elimination. The problem with this approach is that if  $f$  and  $g$  are not perfect quadratics, the resulting equations will be

$$\begin{aligned} s u_1^t &\approx_\varepsilon b_1, \\ s u_2^t &\approx_\varepsilon b_2, \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

where the  $\approx_\varepsilon$  symbol means that each equation can be incorrect with probability  $1 - \varepsilon$ . Equations with errors of this kind have been studied in learning, and the best known algorithm is the Blum-Kalai-Wasserman sieve [BKW03] which runs in time subexponential-time in  $n$ . The idea that it is unlikely that learning equations

with errors can be solved in polynomial time is also underlying Regev’s lattice based cryptosystem [Reg05]. Indeed, as Regev has shown, an algorithm for learning with errors would imply a quantum algorithm for approximating worst case lattice problems.

We show that the following algorithm for computing an approximating quadratic form is robust with respect to errors in the input function:

**Algorithm 5.1. [Find-Close-Quadratic]** *The following algorithm takes as input a black-box for a Boolean function  $f$ . The output is a quadratic Boolean function which approximates  $f$ .*

- Prepare  $2k$  copies of the state  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_x (-1)^{f(x)} |x\rangle$ .
- Group them into pairs of 2 registers and apply the transformation  $T$  to each pair.
- Rearrange the register pairs  $[1, 2], [3, 4], \dots, [2k-1, 2k]$  into a list of the form  $[1, 3, \dots, k, 2, 4, \dots, 2k]$ . Next, apply the reversible Gauss algorithm to the sequence of registers.
- Measure the register holding the result of the Gauss algorithm computation and obtain  $M \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{n \times n}$ . Use  $M$  to uncompute the quadratic phase and extract the linear term via Fourier sampling.

**Theorem 8.** *Let  $f, g : \mathbb{Z}_2^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$  be Boolean functions, let  $g = \sum_{i,j} q_{i,j} x_i x_j + \sum_i \ell_i x_i$  be a quadratic polynomial, and assume that  $|\langle f, g \rangle| > (1 - \epsilon)$ . Then algorithm running **Find-Close-Quadratic** on input  $f$  finds the quadratic form corresponding to  $g$ , and thereby  $g$  itself with probability  $p_{\text{success}} \geq c(1 - n\epsilon)$ , where  $c$  is a constant independent of  $n$ .*

A proof is given in Appendix E. We give an application of Theorem 8 to the problem of efficiently finding an approximation of a function of large Gowers  $U_3$  norm in Appendix F.

## 6 Conclusions and open problems

We introduced the hidden shift problem for a class of Boolean functions which are at maximum distance to all linear functions. For these so-called bent functions the hidden shift problem can be efficiently solved on a quantum computer, provided that we have oracle access to the shifted version of the function as well as its dual bent function. The quantum computer can extract the hidden shift using just one query to these two functions and besides this only requires to compute the Hadamard transform and measure qubits in the standard basis. We showed that this task is significantly more challenging for a classical computer and proved a superpolynomial separation if unlimited accesses to the dual bent function are possible and an exponential separation if the number of accesses is limited to one.

It is an interesting question is whether the quantum algorithm to find approximations for functions for large Gowers norms  $U_2$  and  $U_3$  can be used to find new linear and quadratic tests for Boolean functions. Furthermore, it would be interesting to study the tradeoff between number of queries and soundness for quantum tests, in analogy to the results that have been shown in the classical case [ST06].

It is tempting to conjecture that the hidden shift problem for the bent functions can be used to show oracle separations between EQP and classes in the polynomial hierarchy such as  $P^{\text{NP}}$  or MA, but so far we have not been able to show this and leave these questions for future work.

## References

- [Aar03] S. Aaronson. Quantum lower bound for recursive Fourier sampling. *Quantum Information and Computation*, 3(2):165–174, 2003.
- [AKK<sup>+</sup>03] N. Alon, T. Kaufman, M. Krivelevich, S. Litsyn, and D. Ron. Testing low-degree polynomials over  $\text{GF}(2)$ . In *Approximation, randomization, and combinatorial optimization (RANDOM-APPROX'03)*, volume 2764 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 188–199, 2003.
- [AS07] A. Atici and R. Servedio. Quantum algorithms for learning and testing juntas. *Quantum Information Processing*, 6(5):323–348, 2007.
- [BCD05] D. Bacon, A. Childs, and W. van Dam. From optimal measurement to efficient quantum algorithms for the hidden subgroup problem over semidirect product groups. In *Proceedings of the 46th Annual IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science*, pages 469–478, 2005.
- [BJL99] Th. Beth, D. Jungnickel, and H. Lenz. *Design Theory*, volume I. Cambridge University Press, 2nd edition, 1999.
- [BKW03] A. Blum, A. Kalai, and H. Wasserman. Noise-tolerant learning, the parity problem, and the statistical query model. *Journal of the ACM*, 50(4), 506–519 2003.
- [Buh01] Buhrman, H. and Cleve, R. and Watrous, J. and de Wolf, R. Quantum fingerprinting. *Phys. Rev. Letters*, 87:167902 (4 pages), 2001.
- [BV97] E. Bernstein and U. Vazirani. Quantum complexity theory. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 26(5), 1997. Conference version in Proc. STOC'93, pp. 11–20.
- [CD07] A. Childs and W. van Dam. Quantum algorithm for a generalized hidden shift problem. In *Proceedings of the 18th Symposium on Discrete Algorithms (SODA'07)*, pages 1225–1232, 2007.
- [CG06] C. Carlet and Ph. Gaborit. Hyper-bent functions and cyclic codes. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Ser. A*, 113:466–482, 2006.
- [CSV07] A. Childs, L. J. Schulman, and U. Vazirani. Quantum algorithms for hidden nonlinear structures. In *Proceedings of the 48th Annual IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science (FOCS'07)*, pages 395–404, 2007.
- [CW07] A. Childs and P. Wocjan. On the quantum hardness of solving isomorphism problems as non-abelian hidden shift problems. *Quantum Information and Computation*, 7(5–6):504–521, 2007.
- [dBCW02] N. de Beaudrap, R. Cleve, and J. Watrous. Sharp quantum versus classical query complexity separations. *Algorithmica*, 34(4):449–461, 2002.
- [DDW08] Th. Decker, J. Draisma, and P. Wocjan. Efficient quantum algorithm for identifying hidden polynomials. *Quantum Information and Computation*, 2008. To appear, see also arxiv preprint 0706.1219".
- [DeW08] DeWolf, R. A brief introduction fo Fourier analysis on the Boolean cube. *Theory of Computing Library–Graduate Surveys*, 1:1–20, 2008. Available online from [www.theoryofcomputing.org](http://www.theoryofcomputing.org).

- [DHI03] W. van Dam, S. Hallgren, and L. Ip. Quantum algorithms for some hidden shift problems. In *Proceedings of the 14th Symposium on Discrete Algorithms (SODA'03)*, pages 489–498, 2003.
- [Dil75] J. Dillon. Elementary Hadamard difference sets. In F. (et al.) Hoffman, editor, *Proc. 6th S-E Conf. on Combinatorics, Graph Theory, and Computing*, pages 237–249. Winnipeg Utilitas Math., 1975.
- [Dob95] H. Dobbertin. Construction of bent functions and balanced Boolean functions with high nonlinearity. In B. Preneel, editor, *Fast Software Encryption*, volume 1008 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 61–74, 1995.
- [FIM<sup>+</sup>03] K. Friedl, G. Ivanyos, F. Magniez, M. Santha, and P. Sen. Hidden translation and orbit coset in quantum computing. In *Proceedings of the 35th Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing (STOC'03)*, pages 1–9, 2003.
- [GT08] B. Green and T. Tao. An inverse theorem for the Gowers  $U^3(G)$  norm. *Proc. Edin. Math. Soc.*, 2008. To appear, see also arxiv preprint math.NT/0503014.
- [Hal02] S. Hallgren. Polynomial-time quantum algorithms for Pell’s equation and the principal ideal problem. In *Proceedings of the 34th Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing (STOC'02)*, pages 653–658, 2002.
- [HH08] S. Hallgren and A. Harrow. Superpolynomial speedups based on almost any quantum circuit. In *Proceedings of the 35th International Colloquium on Automata, Languages and Programming (ICALP'08)*, pages 782–795, 2008.
- [HMR<sup>+</sup>06] S. Hallgren, C. Moore, M. Rötteler, A. Russell, and P. Sen. Limitations of quantum coset states for graph isomorphism. In *Proceedings of the 38th Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing (STOC'06)*, pages 604–617, 2006.
- [HZ99] T. Helleseth and V. Zinoviev. On  $Z_4$ -linear Goethals codes and Kloosterman sums. *Designs, Codes and Cryptography*, 17:269–288, 1999.
- [Kit97] A. Yu. Kitaev. Quantum computations: algorithms and error correction. *Russian Math. Surveys*, 52(6):1191–1249, 1997.
- [KS07] A. R. Klivans and A. A. Sherstov. Unconditional lower bounds for learning intersections of halfspaces. *Machine Learning*, 69(2–3):97–114, 2007.
- [Kup05] G. Kuperberg. A subexponential-time quantum algorithm for the dihedral hidden subgroup problem. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 35(1):170–188, 2005.
- [LMS08] S. Lovett, R. Meshulam, and A. Samorodnitsky. Inverse conjecture for the Gowers norm is false. In *Proceedings of the 40th Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing (STOC'08)*, pages 547–556, 2008.
- [LW90] G. Lauchaud and J. Wolfmann. The weights of the orthogonals of the extended quadratic binary Goppa codes. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 36(3):686–692, 1990.
- [Mon] A. Montanaro. Quantum algorithms for shifted subset problems. Arxiv preprint 0806.3362.

- [MRRS07] C. Moore, D. N. Rockmore, A. Russell, and L. J. Schulman. The power of strong Fourier sampling: quantum algorithms for affine groups and hidden shifts. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 37(3):938–958, 2007.
- [MS77] F. J. MacWilliams and N. J. A. Sloane. *The Theory of Error-Correcting Codes*. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1977.
- [NC00] M. Nielsen and I. Chuang. *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information*. Cambridge University Press, 2000.
- [Reg05] O. Regev. On lattices, learning with errors, random linear codes and cryptography. In *Proceedings of the 37th Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing (STOC’05)*, pages 84–93, 2005.
- [Rot76] O. S. Rothaus. On “bent” functions. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A*, 20:300–305, 1976.
- [RS04] A. Russell and I. Shparlinski. Classical and quantum function reconstruction via character evaluation. *Journal of Complexity*, 20(2–3):404–422, 2004.
- [Sam07] A. Samorodnitsky. Low-degree tests at large distances. In *Proceedings of the 39th Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing (STOC’07)*, pages 506–515, 2007.
- [Sho97] P. Shor. Polynomial-time algorithms for prime factorization and discrete logarithms on a quantum computer. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 26(5):1484–1509, 1997.
- [Sim94] D. R. Simon. On the power of quantum computation. In *Proceedings of the 35th Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science (FOCS’94)*, pages 116–123, 1994.
- [ST06] A. Samorodnitsky and T. Trevisan. Gowers uniformity, influence of variables, and PCPs. In *Proceedings of the 38th Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing (STOC’06)*, pages 11–20, 2006.
- [Wat00] J. Watrous. Succinct quantum proofs for properties of finite groups. In *Proceedings of the 41th Annual IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science (FOCS’00)*, pages 537–546, 2000.
- [Yao77] A. Yao. Probabilistic computations: toward a unified measure of complexity. In *Proceedings of the 18th Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science (FOCS’77)*, pages 222–227, 1977.

## A The Maiorana-McFarland class and affine transforms

Let  $\pi : \mathbb{Z}_2^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^n$  be a permutation of strings of length  $n$ . Then  $f(x, y) = x\pi(y) + g(y)$  as a Boolean function of  $2n$  variables is a bent function. Here we verify that this is indeed the case and also compute the dual bent function for  $f$ . Let  $\widehat{f}(u, v)$  be the Fourier transform of  $f$  at  $(u, v) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{2n}$ . We obtain

$$\widehat{f}(u, v) = \frac{1}{2^{2n}} \sum_{x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n} (-1)^{f(x, y) + (u, v)(x, y)} \quad (4)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2^{2n}} \sum_{x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n} (-1)^{x\pi(y) + g(y) + (u, v)(x, y)} \quad (5)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n} (-1)^{vy + g(y)} \left( \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n} (-1)^{(u + \pi(y))x} \right) \quad (6)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n} (-1)^{vy + g(y)} \delta_{u, \pi(y)} \quad (7)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2^n} (-1)^{v\pi^{-1}(u) + g(\pi^{-1}(u))}. \quad (8)$$

Hence the dual bent function is given by  $\widehat{f}(x, y) = \pi^{-1}(x)y + g(y)$ .

Next, we give a characterization of the Fourier transform of an affine transform of a bent function.

**Lemma 9** (Affine transforms). *Let  $f$  be a bent function, let  $A \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z}_2)$  and  $b \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$ , and define  $g(x) := f(xA + b)$ . Then also  $g(x)$  is a bent function and  $\widehat{g}(w) = (-1)^{-wb} \widehat{f}(w(A^{-1})^t)$  for all  $w \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$ .*

*Proof.* We compute  $\widehat{g}(w)$  using the substitution  $y = xA + b$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{g}(w) &= \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_x (-1)^{wx^t + f(xA + b)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_y (-1)^{w \cdot (A^{-1})^t (y - b)^t + f(y)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2^n} (-1)^{-wb} \sum_y (-1)^{w(A^{-1})^t y^t + f(y)} \\ &= (-1)^{-wb} \widehat{f}(w(A^{-1})^t). \end{aligned}$$

□

## B Equivalent characterizations of bent functions

Besides the characterization of being those Boolean functions with perfectly flat Fourier power spectrum, there are many equivalent characterizations of bent functions known. We summarize some of them:

- **Circulant Hadamard matrices.** Bent functions give rise to Hadamard matrices of size  $2^n \times 2^n$  in a very natural way as group circulants as follows. Let  $A_f := ((-1)^{f(x+y)})_{x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n}$ , then  $f$  is bent if and only if  $A_f$  is a Hadamard matrix, i. e.  $A_f A_f^\dagger = n \mathbf{1}_n$ . Another way of saying this is that the shifted functions  $x \mapsto (-1)^{f(x+s)}$  for  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$  are orthogonal. Moreover, in the basis given by the columns of  $H_{2^n}$  the matrix  $A_f$  becomes diagonal, the diagonal entries being  $\widehat{f}(x)$ .

- **Balanced derivatives.** Besides the property of  $A_f$  being a Hadamard matrix another equivalent characterizations of  $f$  to be bent is that the function  $\Delta_h(f) := f(x+h) + f(x)$  is a balanced Boolean function (i. e.,  $f$  takes 0 and 1 equally often) for all non-zero  $h$ .
- **Reed-Muller codes.** Bent functions can also be characterized in terms of the Reed-Muller codes [MS77]. Recall that the set of all truth tables (evaluations) of all polynomials over  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  of degree up to  $r$  in  $n$  variables is called the Reed-Muller  $R(n, r)$ . Then bent functions correspond to functions which have the maximum possible distance to all linear functions, i. e., elements of  $R(n, 1)$ . Quadratic bent functions in  $R(n, 2)$  are of particular interest. They correspond to symplectic forms of maximal rank and play a role, e. g., in the definition of the Kerdock codes.
- **Difference sets.** Finally, we note that bent functions are equivalent to objects known as difference sets in combinatorics, namely difference sets for the elementary abelian groups  $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$  [BJL99]. A difference set is defined as follows: Let  $G$  be a finite group of order  $v = |G|$ . A  $(v, k, \lambda)$ -difference set in  $G$  is a subset  $D \subseteq G$  such that the following properties are satisfied:  $|D| = k$  and the set  $\Delta D = \{a - b : a, b \in D, a \neq b\}$  contains every element in  $G$  precisely  $\lambda$  times. Examples for difference sets are for instance the set  $D = \{x^2 : x \in \mathbb{F}_q\}$  of all squares in a finite field. Here the group  $G$  is the additive group of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , where  $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  is a prime power. The parameters of this family of difference sets is given by  $(q, \frac{q-1}{2}, \frac{q-3}{4})$ . Bent functions on the other hand give rise to difference sets in the elementary abelian group  $G = \mathbb{Z}_2^n$ . The connection is as follows:  $D_f := \{x : f(x) = 1\}$  is a difference set in  $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$  if and only if  $f$  is a bent function, a result due to Dillon [Dil75]. In this fashion we obtain  $(2^n, 2^{n-1} \pm 2^{(n-2)/2}, 2^{n-2} \pm 2^{(n-2)/2})$  difference sets in  $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$ , see also [BJL99].

## C Query complexity separations

In this appendix we prove Theorems 6 and 7. Our main tool is that Maiorana-McFarland class of bent functions which turns out to be rich enough to prove the two results. First, we show that the classical query complexity for the hidden shift problem over this class of bent functions is of order  $\Theta(n)$ , while it can be solved with 2 quantum queries.

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $\mathcal{O}_{f;q}$  be an oracle that hides a hidden shift  $s$  for an instance  $(f, g, \tilde{f})$  of a hidden shift problem for a bent function  $f$  from Maiorana-McFarland class. Then classically  $\Theta(n)$  queries are necessary and sufficient to identify the hidden shift  $s$ . Further, there exists a recursively defined oracle  $\mathcal{O}_{f,rec}$  which makes calls to  $\mathcal{O}_{f;q}$  and whose quantum query complexity is  $\text{poly}(n)$ , whereas its classical query complexity is superpolynomial.*

*Proof (sketch).* The proof of the lower bound on the classical query complexity for  $\mathcal{O}$  is information theoretic. The tightness of the bound follows since  $n$  bits of information about  $s$  have to be gathered and each query can yield at most 1 bit. To see that  $O(n)$  are indeed sufficient, consider the following (adaptive) strategy: first query  $g(x)$  on the points  $(e_i, 0)$ , where  $e_i$  denotes the  $i$ th standard basis vector. This gives the bits of  $\pi(s)$ . Next evaluate  $\tilde{f}$  at the points  $(\pi(s), e_i)$ . This gives the bits of  $s$ .

A standard argument can be invoked [BV97] to recursively construct an oracle which hides a function computed by a tree, the nodes of which are given by the oracle hiding a string  $s$ . In order to evaluate  $f(x)$  at a node, first a sequence of smaller instances of the problem have to be solved. We do not go into further detail of the construction and only note that we get the analogous result as in [BV97], see also [HH08], namely that a tree of height  $\log n$  leads to a quantum query complexity of  $2^{\log n}$  which is polynomial in  $n$ , whereas the classical query complexity is given by  $n^{\log n}$  which grows faster than any polynomial.  $\square$

Seeking a way to prevent the classical algorithm to make the adaptive queries in the proof of Theorem 6, we arrive at the following result by restricting the number of queries to the dual bent function to be at most one:

**Theorem 7.** *Let  $\mathcal{O}_{f;1}$  be an oracle that hides a hidden shift  $s$  for an instance  $(f, g, \tilde{f})$  of a hidden shift problem for a bent function  $f$  from Maiorana-McFarland class. Then classically  $\Theta(\sqrt{2^n})$  queries are necessary and sufficient to identify the hidden shift  $s$ .*

*Proof (sketch).* The proof is similar to the lower bound for the linear structure problem considered in [dBCW02] and the query lower bound for Simon's problem [Sim94], the only additional difficulty is to handle the query to the function  $\tilde{f}$ . First, note that we can use Yao's minimax principle [Yao77] to show limitations of a deterministic algorithm  $\mathcal{A}$  on the average over an adversarially chosen distribution of inputs. Hence, we can consider deterministic algorithms and  $\pi$  and  $s$  in the definition of  $f(x, y) = x\pi(y)$  and  $g(x, y) = f(x, y + s)$  will be chosen randomly.

The distribution we chose to show the lower is to chose  $\pi$  uniformly at random in  $S_{2^n}$ , the symmetric group on the strings of length  $n$ , and  $s = (s_1, s_2) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{2n}$  such that  $s_1 = 0$  and  $s_2$  is chosen uniform at random in  $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$  (we will not use the function  $g(y)$  in the definition of the class. The instances we consider are given by oracle access to the functions  $f(x, y) = x\pi(y)$ ,  $g(x, y) = f(x, y + s) = x\pi(y + s)$ , and  $\tilde{f} = \pi^{-1}(xy)$ . Now, without loss of generality we can assume that the classical algorithm  $\mathcal{A}$  has (adaptively or not) queried the oracle  $k = n^{O(1)}$  times, i. e., it has chosen pairs  $(x_i, y_i)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$  and obtained results

$$\begin{aligned} x_i\pi(y_i) &= a_i \\ x_i\pi(y_i + s) &= b_i \end{aligned}$$

and in step  $k_0$ , where  $1 \leq k_0 \leq k$ , it has queried the function  $\tilde{f}$  once:  $\pi^{-1}(u)v = c$ .

We first characterize the information about  $s$  after the first  $k_0 - 1$  queries. Define the set  $D = \{x_i : i = 1, \dots, k\} \cup \{y_i : i = 1, \dots, k\}$ . We show that if no collision between the values of  $f$  and  $g$  was produced, then the information obtained about  $s$  is exponentially small. To simplify our argument, we actually make the classical algorithm more powerful by giving her access to  $\pi(x)$  and  $\pi(x + s)$ . Consider the set of all differences  $D^{(-)} = \{d_1 - d_2 : d_1, d_2 \in D\}$  and the set  $D_{good} = \mathbb{Z}_2^n \setminus D^{(-)}$ . Note that for an abelian group  $A$  and subset  $D \subset A$  with  $|D|^2 < |A|$  we can always choose a set  $S$  such that  $D \cap (D + s) = \emptyset$  for all  $s \in S$ . Indeed, we can choose  $S = D_{good}$  since  $x \in D \cap (D + s)$  would imply that there exist  $d_1, d_2 \in D$  with  $d_1 = d_2 + s$ , i. e.,  $s \in D^{(-)}$  which is a contradiction. Notice in our case that  $|S| \geq 2^n - |D^{(-)}| = 2^n - n^{O(1)}$ . Now, we can change the value of the shift  $s$  to any other value  $s'$  as long as the algorithm has not queried  $s$  directly (the chances of which are exponentially small: because of a birthday for the strings  $s$ , the probability is given by  $\Theta\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}}\right)$ ). We do this by choosing  $\pi'$  in such a way that it maps  $\pi(y_i + s) = \pi'(y_i + s')$  while being consistent with all the other queries. Because of the above argument, as long as there is no collision, after  $\ell$  queries to  $f, g$ , we will still have a set  $S$  of size  $|S| \geq 2^n - n^{O(1)}$  of candidates  $s'$ , and  $\pi'$  which are also consistent with the sampled data. The  $k_0$ th query accesses the function  $\tilde{f}$  and we have to show that after the query there are still exponentially many candidates  $s', \pi'$  we can switch to. In the worst case, the algorithm learns one bit about  $s$  from the parity query  $\pi^{-1}(u)v$  it made to  $\tilde{f}$ . By symmetry about half of of the elements of  $S$  will still be consistent with the  $k_0$ th query and will allow us to switch to another value of  $s$ , call those elements  $S_{good}$ . For the remaining  $k - k_0 - 1$  queries we use the above argument of avoiding a subset of already queried elements, include the elements in  $\mathbb{Z}_2^n \setminus S_{good}$  to  $D$ , construct  $D^{(-)}$  and proceed by defining  $S$  as above. Overall, this shows that  $|S| \geq 2^{n-1} - n^{O(1)}$ , showing the lower bound.  $\square$



## D Extracting information from quadratic phases

Let  $|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n} (-1)^{xQx^t + Lx} |x\rangle$  be a state with quadratic phase, where  $Q \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{n \times n}$  is upper triangular,  $L \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$ , and let  $T$  be the unitary transform defined by

$$T : |x, y\rangle \mapsto \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n} (-1)^{zy^t} |x + y, z\rangle.$$

The following computation shows that  $T$  can be used to extract information about  $Q$  from two copies of  $|\psi\rangle$ .

$$\begin{aligned} T|\psi\rangle \otimes |\psi\rangle &= T \left( \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{x,y} (-1)^{xQx^t + yQy^t + L(x+y)^t} |x, y\rangle \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{3n}}} \sum_{x,y,z} (-1)^{xQx^t + yQy^t + L(x+y)^t} (-1)^{zy^t} |x + y, z\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{3n}}} \sum_{x,u,z} (-1)^{xQx^t + (x+u)Q(x+u)^t + Lu^t + z(x+u)^t} |u, z\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{3n}}} \sum_{x,u,z} (-1)^{uQu^t + u(Q+Q^t)x^t + Lu^t + z(x+u)^t} |u, z\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{u,w} (-1)^{uQu^t + Lu^t + zu^t} \left( \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_x (-1)^{u(Q+Q^t)x^t + zu^t} \right) |u, z\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_u (-1)^{uQu^t + Lu^t + u(Q+Q^t)u^t} |u, u(Q + Q^t)\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_u (-1)^{uQ^t u^t + Lu^t}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence this state has the form  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_u (-1)^{p(u)} |u, u(Q + Q^t)\rangle$ , where  $p$  is the quadratic Boolean function  $p(u) = uQ^t u^t + Lu^t$ .

## E Proof of Theorem 8

**Theorem 8.** *Let  $f, g : \mathbb{Z}_2^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$  be Boolean functions, let  $g = \sum_{i,j} q_{i,j} x_i x_j + \sum_i \ell_i x_i$  be a quadratic polynomial, and assume that  $|\langle f, g \rangle| > (1 - \varepsilon)$ . Then algorithm running **Find-Close-Quadratic** on input  $f$  finds the quadratic form corresponding to  $g$ , and thereby  $g$  itself with probability  $p_{\text{success}} \geq c(1 - n\varepsilon)$ , where  $c$  is a constant independent of  $n$ .*

*Proof.* First note that  $|\langle f, g \rangle| > (1 - \varepsilon)$  implies that  $f$  and  $g$  disagree on at most  $\varepsilon 2^n$  of the inputs. Hence the two quantum states  $|\psi_f\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_x f(x) |x\rangle$  and  $|\psi_g\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_x g(x) |x\rangle$  satisfy  $|\langle \psi_f | \psi_g \rangle| > (1 - \varepsilon)$ .

Next, observe that the algorithm can be seen as application of a unitary operation  $U$ . We first study the “perfect” case, where we apply  $U$  to the state  $|\psi_g^{\otimes k}\rangle$  and then study the effect of replacing this with the input corresponding to  $f$ . Notice that the algorithm can also be seen as a POVM  $\mathcal{M}$  which consists of rank 1 projectors  $\{E_i : i \in I\}$  such that  $\sum_{i \in I} E_i = \mathbf{1}$ . Since the algorithm identifies  $M$  with constant probability, we obtain that the POVM element  $E_M$ , which corresponds to the correct answer satisfies  $\text{Pr}(\text{measure } M) = \text{tr}(E_M |\psi_g^{\otimes k}\rangle \langle \psi_g^{\otimes k}|) = p_0 \geq \Omega(1)$ .

For vectors  $v, w$  we have that  $\|v - w\|_2^2 = 2 - 2|\langle v, w \rangle|$ , we get using  $|\langle \psi_f^{\otimes k} | \psi_g^{\otimes k} \rangle| > (1 - \varepsilon)^k \sim (1 - k\varepsilon) + O(\varepsilon^2)$ . For the difference  $|\delta\rangle := |\psi_f^{\otimes k}\rangle - |\psi_g^{\otimes k}\rangle$  we therefore get that  $\|\delta\|^2 < 2k\varepsilon$ . Denoting  $E_M = |\varphi\rangle\langle\varphi|$  with normalized vector  $|\varphi\rangle$ , we obtain for the probability of identifying  $M$  on input  $f$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}\left(E_M \left| \psi_f^{\otimes k} \right\rangle \left\langle \psi_f^{\otimes k} \right| \right) &= \langle \psi_g | E_M | \psi_g^{\otimes k} \rangle + \langle \delta | E_M | \psi_g^{\otimes k} \rangle + \langle \psi_g^{\otimes k} | E_M | \delta \rangle + \langle \delta | E_M | \delta \rangle \\ &\geq p_0 + 2\langle \delta | \varphi \rangle \langle \varphi | \psi_g^{\otimes k} \rangle + |\langle \delta | \varphi \rangle|^2. \end{aligned}$$

By Cauchy-Schwartz, we finally get that  $|\langle \delta | \varphi \rangle| \leq \|\delta\| \|\varphi\| \leq \sqrt{2k\varepsilon}$ . Hence, we obtain for the overall probability of success  $p_{\text{success}} \geq p_0 - \sqrt{8k\varepsilon}$ .  $\square$

## F Polynomials and the Gowers norm

Recall that the Gowers norms measure the extent to which a function  $f : \mathbb{F}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  behaves like a phase polynomial. For  $k \geq 1$ , the Gowers norm is defined by

$$\|f\|_{U^k(\mathbb{F}^n)} := \left( \mathbb{E}_{x, h_1, \dots, h_k \in \mathbb{F}^n} \Delta_{h_1} \dots \Delta_{h_k} f(x) \right)^{1/2^k},$$

where  $\Delta_h f(x) = f(x + h) - f(x)$  for all  $h \in \mathbb{F}^n$ . It is immediate that if  $|f(x)| \leq 1$  for all  $x$ , then  $\|f\|_{U^k(\mathbb{F}^n)} \in [0, 1]$ . Moreover, degree  $k$  polynomials are characterized precisely by the vanishing of  $\Delta_{h_1} \dots \Delta_{h_k} f(x)$  for all  $h_i$ . It is furthermore easy to see that  $\|f\|_{U^k(\mathbb{F}^n)} = 1$  if and only if  $f$  is a phase polynomial of degree less than  $k$ .

**Theorem 10.** *Let  $f : \mathbb{F}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a function that is bounded as  $|f(x)| \leq 1$  for all  $x$ . Suppose that the  $k$ th Gowers norm of  $f$  satisfies  $\|f\|_{U^k(\mathbb{F}^n)} \geq 1 - \varepsilon$ . Then there exists a phase polynomial  $g$  of degree less than  $k$  such that  $\|f - g\| = o(1)$ . For fixed field  $\mathbb{F}$  and degree  $k$ , the  $o(1)$  term approaches zero as  $\varepsilon$  goes to zero.*

Before we state the algorithm we recall a useful method to compare two unknown quantum states for equality. This will be useful for a one-sided test that the output of the algorithm indeed is a valid shift.

**Lemma 11 (SWAP test).** *Let  $|\psi\rangle, |\varphi\rangle$  be quantum states, and denote by SWAP the quantum operation which maps  $|\psi\rangle|\varphi\rangle \mapsto |\varphi\rangle|\psi\rangle$ , and by  $\Lambda(\text{SWAP})$  the same operations but controlled to a classical bit. Apply  $(H_2 \otimes \mathbf{1})\Lambda(\text{SWAP})(H_2 \otimes \mathbf{1})$  to the state  $|0\rangle|\varphi\rangle|\psi\rangle$ , measure the first qubit in the standard basis to obtain a bit  $b$  and return the result (where result  $b = 1$  indicates that the states are different). Then  $\text{Pr}(b = 1) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}|\langle\varphi|\psi\rangle|^2$ .*

Lemma 11 has many uses in quantum computing, see for instance [Wat00, Buh01]. Basically, it is useful whenever given  $|\varphi\rangle$  and  $|\psi\rangle$  two cases have to be distinguished: (i) are the two states equal, or (ii) do they have inner product at most  $\delta$ . For this case it provides a one-sided test such that  $\text{Pr}(b = 1) = 0$  if  $|\psi\rangle = |\varphi\rangle$  and  $\text{Pr}(b = 1) \geq \frac{1}{2}(1 - \delta^2)$  if  $|\psi\rangle \neq |\varphi\rangle$  and  $|\langle\varphi|\psi\rangle| \leq \delta$ .

**Algorithm F.1. [Shifted-Large-U3]** *The following algorithm solves the hidden shift problem for an oracle  $\mathcal{O}$  which hides  $(f, g)$ , where  $g(x) = f(x + s)$  for  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$  and where  $\|f\|_{U_3(\mathbb{Z}_2)} \geq (1 - \varepsilon)$ .*

1. Solve the hidden quadratic problem for  $f$ . This gives a quadratic  $g(x) = xQx^t + Lx^t$ .
2. Compute the dual quadratic function corresponding to the Fourier transform of  $g$ .
3. Solve the hidden shift problem for  $f(x)$ ,  $f(x + s)$ , and  $g$ . Obtain a candidate  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$ .

4. Verify  $s$  using the SWAP test.

**Theorem 12.** *If  $f$  is a Boolean function with  $\|f\|_{U_3} \geq 1 - \varepsilon$ , then **Shifted – Large – U3** solves the hidden shift problem for  $f$  with probability  $p_{\text{success}} > c(1 - \varepsilon)$ , where  $c$  is a universal constant.*

*Proof sketch.* In general the fact that large Gowers  $U_3$  norm implies large correlation with a quadratic follows from the inverse theorem for the Gowers  $U_3$  norm [GT08, Sam07]. For the special case of the field  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  and the large Gowers norm  $(1 - \varepsilon)$  we are interested in, we use [AKK<sup>+</sup>03] to obtain a stronger bound on the correlation with the quadratics. The claimed result follows from [AKK<sup>+</sup>03] and the robustness of Algorithm F.1 against errors in the input functions.  $\square$

**Remark 13.** It should be noted that in the form stated, Algorithm F.1 only applies to the case where the rank  $h = \text{rk}(Q + Q^t)/2 = n/2$  is maximum, as only this case corresponds to bent functions. However, it is easy to see that it can be applied in case  $h < n/2$  as well. There the matrix  $(Q + Q^t)$  has a non-trivial kernel, defining a  $n - 2h$  dimensional linear subspace of  $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$ . In the Fourier transform, the function is supported on an affine shift of dual space, i. e., the function has  $2^{2h}$  non-zero Fourier coefficients, all of which have the same absolute value  $2^{-h}$ . Now, the hidden shift algorithm can be applied in this case too: instead of the dual bent function we compute the Boolean function corresponding to the first  $2h$  rows of  $(R^{-1})^t$ , where  $R$  is as in Dickson's theorem 1 into the phase. This will have the effect of producing a shift  $s$  lying in an affine space  $s + V$  of dimension  $n - 2h$ . For  $h < n/2$  the shift is no longer uniquely determined, however, we can describe the set of all shifts efficiently in that case by giving one shift and identifying a basis for  $V$ .