

Simple estimates on size of epidemics in generalized SIR-model for inhomogeneous populations

E.Sh. Gutshabash ¹, M.M.Brook

A generalization of Kermack-McKendrick model of epidemics to the case of inhomogeneous susceptibility of population is proposed. Some quantitative and qualitative features of epidemic process development in this situation are established.

The propagation of epidemics in a biological population (collective) is a complex process. In a widely used Kermack-McKendrick model of epidemics [1] (also known as SIR-model), and in many others, the basic characteristic of process, the susceptibility coefficient λ , is supposed to be constant over a population, i.e. all individuals are supposed to have the same immunity. Numerous researches, however, show that this assumption is not satisfied. For various reasons wide fluctuations of susceptibility parameters are observed in real populations, and they may influence significantly the dynamics of epidemic process. In the present work we generalize the SIR-model to the case of inhomogeneous susceptibility (immunity) of the population.

To obtain the equations of the model we shall assume that a closed population of N individuals can be split into n groups ($2 \leq n \leq N$), such that all individuals from the i -th group have the same susceptibility coefficient $\lambda_i > 0$, $i = 1, n$. The system of equations of Kermack-McKendrick for this case has the form (the dot stands for the time derivative):

$$\dot{S}_i = -\lambda_i S_i I, \quad \dot{I} = I \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i S_i - \gamma I, \quad \dot{R} = \gamma I, \quad (1)$$

where $S_i = S_i(t)$ is the number of individuals in the i -th group susceptible to an infection at the moment t , $I = I(t)$ is the number of infected at the moment t , $R = R(t)$ is the number of recovered at the moment t , and $\gamma > 0$ is the "factor of elimination". The initial conditions for the system (1) have the form:

$$S_i(0) = S_{0i}, \quad I(0) = I_0, \quad R(0) = 0. \quad (2)$$

Notice that in model (1), similar to elementary models of the chemical kinetics, we neglect the spatial distribution of individuals.

To estimate the effect of inhomogeneity of the immunity we are going to compare the characteristics of the inhomogeneous population under consideration and of the corresponding homogeneous population with a susceptibility given by

$$\lambda_{hom} = \bar{\lambda} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i S_{0i}}{S_0}, \quad (3)$$

where $S_0 = \sum_{i=1}^n S_{0i}$ is the total number of susceptible individuals at $t = 0$. The average susceptibility is constant for homogeneous populations, whereas in the inhomogeneous case it is easily seen to be a decreasing function of time:

¹Department of Physics, Sankt-Petersbourg State University, Petrodvorets, Sankt-Petersburg, Russia; e-mail: gutshab@EG2097.spb.edu

$$\dot{\lambda}_{nonhom} = I \left[\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i S_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n S_i} \right)^2 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^2 S_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n S_i} \right] < 0, \quad (4)$$

which significantly influences the process. In the initial moment we have

$$\dot{S}_{nonhom}(0) - \dot{S}(0) = -I_0 \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i S_{0i} + \bar{\lambda} I_0 S_0 = 0, \quad (5)$$

$$\ddot{S}_{nonhom}(0) - \ddot{S}_0 = I_0 \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^2 S_{0i} - \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i S_{0i} \right)^2 \right] < 0,$$

where $\dot{S}(0)$, $\ddot{S}(0)$, $\dot{S}_{nonhom}(0)$, $\ddot{S}_{nonhom}(0)$ are the "speed" and "acceleration" of the process at $t = 0$ for the homogeneous and inhomogeneous populations, respectively.

Thus, at $t = 0$ the speeds are equal, but the accelerations are different, and $|\dot{S}_{nonhom}(0)| < |\ddot{S}(0)|$. Hence, the inequality (4) implies that at the initial stage the epidemic process in the inhomogeneous population develops slower than in the homogeneous one.

From (1) we have the following "conservation law":

$$\sum_{i=1}^n S_{0i} e^{-\lambda_i \int_0^t I(\tau) d\tau} + I(t) + \gamma \int_0^t I(\tau) d\tau = N. \quad (6)$$

Let $s^* = \int_0^\infty I(\tau) d\tau$. This quantity is related to the size of epidemics: $z(\infty) = \gamma s^*$, and, under the assumption that $I(\infty) = 0$, is a root of the equation

$$N - \gamma s^* - \sum_{i=1}^n S_{0i} e^{-\lambda_i s^*} = 0. \quad (7)$$

The quantities s^* and $z(\infty)$ are continuous functions of parameters λ_i , and s^* varies from I_0/γ to N/γ monotonically in λ_i .

We are now going to find approximative (asymptotic) solutions to the transcendent equation (7). To this end, we shall consider three ranges of values of parameters.

1. In the range

$$\lambda_i s^* \gg 1 \quad (8)$$

we have:

$$s^* \cong \frac{N}{\gamma} \quad \text{and} \quad z(\infty) \cong N, \quad (9)$$

that is, the epidemic will finally get the whole population.

2. In the range

$$\lambda_i s^* \cong 1 \quad (10)$$

we get

$$s^* \cong \frac{1}{\gamma} \left(N - \frac{S_0}{e} \right) \quad (11)$$

and, correspondingly,

$$\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \dots = \lambda_n \cong \frac{\gamma}{N - \frac{S_0}{e}}, \quad (12)$$

$$z(\infty) \cong N - \frac{S_0}{e}. \quad (13)$$

3. In the range

$$\lambda_i s^* \ll 1, \quad (14)$$

developing the exponentials in (7) up to the second order terms, we find:

$$z(\infty) \cong \frac{2I_0\gamma}{\gamma - \sum_{i=1}^n S_{0i}\lambda_i + \sqrt{(\gamma - \sum_{i=1}^n S_{0i}\lambda_i)^2 + 2I_0\sum_{i=1}^n S_{0i}\lambda_i^2}}. \quad (15)$$

Hence, in this range, the inhomogeneity of the population can affect the size of epidemics. In turn, the size of epidemics in the corresponding homogeneous population with the susceptibility $\bar{\lambda}$ has the form:

$$z_{hom}(\infty) \cong \frac{2I_0\gamma}{\gamma - S_0\bar{\lambda} + \sqrt{(\gamma - S_0\bar{\lambda})^2 + 2I_0S_0\bar{\lambda}^2}}. \quad (16)$$

It is not difficult to show using the Silvester criterion that

$$z(\infty) < z_{hom}(\infty), \quad (17)$$

that is, the size of epidemics in the homogeneous population is greater than in the inhomogeneous one.

Let us suppose now that the population is large and the quantity λ is a random variable. We shall assume that in the limit $n \rightarrow N$ the actual susceptibility of the population can be described by some non-negative continuous probability density $f(\lambda)$,

$$\int_0^\infty f(\lambda)d\lambda = 1. \quad (18)$$

Let

$$E\lambda = \lambda^* = \int_0^\infty \lambda f(\lambda)d\lambda \quad (19)$$

and

$$\sigma^2 = E\lambda^2 - (E\lambda)^2 \quad (20)$$

be, respectively, the expectation and the dispersion of susceptibility. The estimate (15) then becomes:

$$z(\infty) \cong \frac{2I_0\gamma}{b(\lambda^*) + \sqrt{(b(\lambda^*))^2 + 2I_0a(\lambda^*, \sigma^2)}}, \quad (21)$$

where

$$a(\lambda^*) = S_0(\lambda^{*2} + \sigma^2), \quad b(\lambda^*) = \gamma - S_0\lambda^*. \quad (22)$$

Notice that the integration in (14) - (15) is taken over the positive real axis, for the case $\lambda < 0$ corresponds to individuals absolutely resistant to infection, which, as a rule, are non-existent in real populations.

Let us find now the influence of the dispersion of susceptibility on the size of epidemics. Let λ^* be fixed. It then follows from expressions (21)-(22), that the size of epidemics grows as σ^2 decreases and reaches the maximum

$$\lim_{\sigma^2 \rightarrow 0} z(\infty) = \frac{2I_0\gamma}{\gamma - S_0\lambda^* + \sqrt{(\gamma - S_0\lambda^*)^2 + 2I_0S_0\lambda^{*2}}}, \quad (23)$$

which is consistent with the discrete case (11).

Let's pass to the managing parameter $\rho = \gamma/\lambda^*$ and we shall analyse the expression (23) at $I_0 \ll (\rho - S_0)^2$. The relation for the size of epidemic then becomes more simple:

$$z(\infty) \cong \frac{-\rho[\rho - S_0 - |S_0 - \rho|]}{S_0}. \quad (24)$$

In the considered area of parameter's values two cases are possible:

1. $\rho < S_0$, that is carried out at enough small I_0 , i.e. at small number of ill. In this situation

$$z(\infty) \cong 2\rho\left(1 - \frac{\rho}{S_0}\right) \quad (25)$$

and

$$\lim_{S_0 \rightarrow \infty} z(\infty) = 2\rho. \quad (26)$$

Thus, the size of epidemic in case of the big population with small initial number of ill will be limited and to be determined by the quantity of the managing parameter.

2. $S_0 < \rho$. Then for the size of epidemic we shall obtain

$$z(\infty) = 0. \quad (27)$$

So, within the framework of the considered model it was possible to show, that heterogeneity of a population on a susceptibility (immunity) influences on epidemic process.

Restrictions on the received by us in the given work areas it is possible to interpret, if to take into account the expression for average relative speed epidemic "waves":

$$v_i = \frac{-\int_0^\infty \frac{\dot{S}_i}{S_i} dt}{T_i}, \quad (28)$$

where T_i is the duration of epidemic in i -th group. Then the expression (10), determining the second area, it is possible to replace on the expression

$$\bar{v} = \frac{1}{T}, \quad (29)$$

where T is the duration of epidemic in a population, \bar{v} is average speed of the epidemic "waves".

For relative speed, using the first equation of system (1), we shall obtain:

$$\bar{v} + \lambda_0 I_0 = 0, \quad (30)$$

where

$$\lambda_0 = \frac{\gamma}{N - \frac{S_0}{e}}, \quad v = \frac{\dot{S}}{S}. \quad (31)$$

Then for the first area

$$\bar{v} \gg \frac{1}{T}, \quad \bar{v} + \lambda_0 I_0 < 0, \quad (32)$$

and, similarly, for the third area

$$\bar{v} < \frac{1}{T}, \quad \bar{v} + \lambda_0 I_0 > 0. \quad (33)$$

The relations (30)-(33) are convenient that allow to easily estimate the size of epidemic even at the initial moment of time on the value of size $\bar{v} + \lambda_0 I_0$.

In summary, we shall note, that in view of complexity and an insufficient level of scrutiny of the mechanism of immunity, the corresponding mathematical models, and also, based on them, model of epidemics are, certainly, enough rough. Nevertheless, apparently, even the estimations received in the elementary models, in practice can be appear rather effective.

1. W.O.Kermack and A.G. McKendrick. Proc. R.Soc. Edinburg A 115, 700 (1927).