

REPRESENTATIONS OF THE GENERAL LINEAR GROUPS WHICH ARE IRREDUCIBLE OVER SUBGROUPS

ALEXANDER S. KLESHCHEV AND PHAM HUU TIEP

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Aschbacher-Scott Program. Primitive permutation groups have been studied since Galois and Jordan and have applications in many different areas. If Γ is a transitive permutation group with a point stabilizer M then Γ is primitive if and only if $M < \Gamma$ is a maximal subgroup. So studying primitive permutation groups is equivalent to studying maximal subgroups.

In most problems involving a finite primitive group Γ , the Aschbacher-O’Nan-Scott theorem [2] allows one to concentrate on the case where Γ is almost quasi-simple, i.e. $L \triangleleft \Gamma/Z(\Gamma) \leq \text{Aut}(L)$ for a non-abelian simple group L . The results of Liebeck-Praeger-Saxl [33] and Liebeck-Seitz [34] then allow one to assume furthermore that Γ is a finite classical group.

At this stage, Aschbacher’s theorem [1] severely restricts possibilities for the maximal subgroup H . Namely, if $H < \Gamma$ is maximal then

$$H \in \mathcal{S} \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^8 \mathcal{C}_i, \quad (1.1)$$

where \mathcal{C}_i , $i = 1, \dots, 8$, are collections of certain explicit natural subgroups of Γ , and \mathcal{S} is the collection of almost quasi-simple groups that act absolutely irreducibly on the natural module for the classical group Γ .

The converse however does not have to be true. So, to understand primitive permutation groups, one needs to determine whether a subgroup H in (1.1) is actually maximal in Γ . For $H \in \bigcup_{i=1}^8 \mathcal{C}_i$, this has been done by Kleidman-Liebeck [25]. Let $H \in \mathcal{S}$. If H is *not* maximal then $H < G < \Gamma$ for a certain maximal subgroup G in Γ . The most challenging case to handle is when $G \in \mathcal{S}$ as well. This motivates the following problem. Throughout the paper \mathbb{F} is an algebraically closed field of characteristic $\ell \geq 0$.

Problem 1.1. *Classify all triples (G, V, H) where G is an almost quasi-simple finite group, V is an $\mathbb{F}G$ -module of dimension greater than one, and H is a proper subgroup of G such that the restriction $V \downarrow_H$ is irreducible.*

For the purposes of Aschbacher-Scott program, it suffices to solve Problem 1.1 in the case where H is almost quasi-simple. However, in some interesting situations it is possible to handle Problem 1.1 in full generality. We believe that this might be of importance for other applications in group theory.

For example, under the assumption $\ell > 3$, Problem 1.1 has been solved for G of alternating type, i.e. $G = A_n, S_n, \hat{A}_n$ or \hat{S}_n , see [9, 27, 28], and partial

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 20C20, 20E28, 20G40.

Research supported by the NSF (grants DMS-0654147 and DMS-0600967). The paper has been written at MSRI. We thank the institute for support.

results are available even in the cases $\ell = 3$ and 2 , see e.g. [26]. Let us denote by $\text{Lie}(p)$ the family of finite groups of Lie type over fields of characteristic p . In the *defining characteristic case*, i.e. when $G \in \text{Lie}(\ell)$, definitive results on Problem 1.1 have been obtained by Liebeck, Seitz, and Testerman [31], [40], [42]. Consider the *cross-characteristic case*, i.e. $G \in \text{Lie}(p)$ with $p \neq \ell$. When G is a classical group, Seitz [41] lists possible candidates for the subgroup H arising in Problem 1.1 under the condition that $H \in \text{Lie}(p)$.

Throughout the paper q is a prime power not divisible by the characteristic ℓ of the ground field \mathbb{F} . Our main result is a solution of Problem 1.1 in the case where $SL_n(q) \leq G \leq GL_n(q)$.

1.2. Notation. For $\sigma \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q^\times$ we denote by $[\sigma]$ the set of all roots of the minimal polynomial of σ over \mathbb{F}_q ; in particular, $\#[\sigma] = \deg(\sigma)$. We say that σ_1 and σ_2 are *conjugate* if $[\sigma_1] = [\sigma_2]$. The *order* of σ , denoted $|\sigma|$, is its multiplicative order, and σ is an ℓ - (resp. ℓ' -) element if $|\sigma|$ is a power of ℓ (resp. prime to ℓ). If $\ell = 0$, all elements are ℓ' -elements.

We state the James' classification of irreducible $\mathbb{F}GL_n(q)$ -modules. An *n-admissible tuple* is a tuple

$$([\sigma_1], \lambda^{(1)}), \dots, ([\sigma_a], \lambda^{(a)}) \quad (1.2)$$

of pairs, where $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_a \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q^\times$ are ℓ' -elements, and $\lambda^{(1)}, \dots, \lambda^{(a)}$ are partitions, such that $[\sigma_i] \neq [\sigma_j]$ for all $i \neq j$ and $\sum_{i=1}^a \deg(\sigma_i) \cdot |\lambda^{(i)}| = n$. An equivalence class of the n -admissible tuple (1.2) up to a permutation of the pairs $([\sigma_1], \lambda^{(1)}), \dots, ([\sigma_a], \lambda^{(a)})$ is called an *n-admissible symbol* and denoted

$$\mathfrak{s} = [([\sigma_1], \lambda^{(1)}), \dots, ([\sigma_a], \lambda^{(a)})] \quad (1.3)$$

The set \mathfrak{L} of n -admissible symbols is the labeling set for irreducible $\mathbb{F}GL_n(q)$ -modules. The module corresponding to the symbol (1.3) is

$$L(\mathfrak{s}) = L(\sigma_1, \lambda^{(1)}) \circ \dots \circ L(\sigma_a, \lambda^{(a)})$$

(‘ \circ ’ denotes Harish-Chandra induction), and $\{L(\mathfrak{s}) \mid \mathfrak{s} \in \mathfrak{L}\}$ is a complete set of representatives of irreducible $\mathbb{F}GL_n(q)$ -modules. Note that the irreducible modules $L(\sigma, \lambda)$ are defined even when σ is an ℓ -singular (i.e. not ℓ' -) element [23, 7]. Although such $L(\sigma, \lambda)$ can be expressed in terms of admissible symbols and in that sense are redundant, it is convenient to use them. So we will not assume that σ in $L(\sigma, \lambda)$ is an ℓ' -element, unless otherwise stated.

For finite groups $H \leq G$ and an irreducible $\mathbb{F}G$ -module W , we denote by $\kappa_H^G(W)$ the number of composition factors (counting with multiplicities) of the restriction $W \downarrow_H$. In this paper we will work with the group G such that $SL_n(q) \leq G \leq GL_n(q)$. If W is an irreducible $\mathbb{F}GL_n(q)$ -module, then the restriction $W \downarrow_G$ is completely reducible and multiplicity free, with the number $\kappa_G(W) := \kappa_G^{GL_n(q)}(W)$ explicitly known, see (2.2). Moreover, every irreducible $\mathbb{F}G$ -module V appears in some $W \downarrow_G$. It will turn out (somewhat miraculously) that if V' is another irreducible constituent of $W \downarrow_G$ and $H < G$, then $V \downarrow_H$ is irreducible if and only if $V' \downarrow_H$ is irreducible. So we will be able to state our theorems solely in terms of the $GL_n(q)$ -module W .

Parabolic subgroups P which are stabilizers of a 1-space or an $(n-1)$ -space in the natural module \mathbb{F}_q^n will play an important role in the paper. Let $L := GL_{n-1}(q) \times GL_1(q)$ be the natural block-diagonal subgroup of $GL_n(q)$, and let $P = UL$ be a parabolic subgroup of $GL_n(q)$ with the unipotent radical U

and Levi subgroup L . Note that $[L, L] \cong SL_{n-1}(q)$. The following technical notation will be used for the class of subgroups H with $[P, P] \leq H \leq P$. For such H we can write $H = UM$ for some $M \leq L$. Then we define the subgroup $M_1 < GL_{n-1}(q)$ so that $M_1 \times Z(GL_n(q)) = MZ(GL_n(q))$ (we have identified $GL_{n-1}(q) = GL_{n-1}(q) \times \{1\} \leq L$). For $\sigma \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ such that $d := \deg(\sigma)$ divides n set

$$\kappa(\sigma, H) := \kappa_{M_1 SL_n(q)}^{GL_n(q)}(L(\sigma, (n/d))).$$

1.3. Statements of the Main Result. We state the result under the assumption $n \geq 5$. Small rank cases are treated in §8.3. If $SL_n(q) \leq H \leq G \leq GL_n(q)$ and V is an irreducible $\mathbb{F}G$ -module then the number of irreducible constituents in $V \downarrow_H$ is known, see (2.1),(2.2) below. So in the theorem we may assume that H does not contain SL_n . The subgroups $H < G$ are given up to G -conjugacy (a G -module is irreducible on restriction to H if and only if it is irreducible on restriction to a conjugate of H).

Theorem 1.2. *Let $n \geq 5$, $SL_n(q) \leq G \leq GL_n(q)$, $H < G$ be a proper subgroup not containing $SL_n(q)$, and V be an irreducible $\mathbb{F}G$ -module of dimension greater than 1. Let W be an irreducible $\mathbb{F}GL_n(q)$ -module such that V is an irreducible constituent of $W \downarrow_G$. Then $V \downarrow_H$ is irreducible if and only if one of the following holds:*

- (i) $H \leq P$, where $P = UL$ is the stabilizer in $GL_n(q)$ of a 1-space or an $(n-1)$ -space in the natural $GL_n(q)$ -module \mathbb{F}_q^n , $W = L(\sigma, (k))$ for some $\sigma \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ of degree $n/k > 1$, and one of the following holds:
 - (a) $H \geq [P, P]$ and $\kappa(\sigma, H) = \kappa_G(W)$.
 - (b) $G = SL_n(3)$, $\sigma^2 = -1$ if $\ell \neq 2$, and $[L, L] \leq H \leq L$.
 - (c) $G = SL_n(2)$, $\sigma \neq 1 = \sigma^3$ and $H = L$.
- (ii) n is even, $W = L(\sigma, (1)) \circ L(\tau, (n-1))$ for some ℓ' -elements $\sigma, \tau \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ with $\tau \neq \sigma$ (in particular, $V = W \downarrow_G$ is a Weil representation of dimension $(q^n - 1)/(q - 1)$), and one of the following holds:
 - (a) $Sp_n(q)Z(H) < H \leq CSp_n(q)$.
 - (b) $H = Sp_n(q)Z(H)$ and $\tau \neq \pm\sigma$.
 - (c) $2|q$, $n = 6$, and $G_2(q)' \triangleleft H \leq GL_n(q)$.

Note from Theorem 1.2 that the subgroups H with $V \downarrow_H$ irreducible are all almost quasi-simple, except for the ones showing up in (i)(a).

Some key tools used in the proofs are as follows: Reduction Lemma 3.1 whose idea goes back to Jan Saxl [39]; Hering's theorem on groups transitive on 1-spaces; quantum group methods of [7]; description of the number of composition factors in $W \downarrow_{SL_n(q)}$ for a $GL_n(q)$ -module W obtained in [29]; information on minimal polynomials of semisimple elements from [46]; lower bounds from [18, 8]; and, of course, Dipper-James theory.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. More notation. Throughout the paper all groups are assumed to be finite. The following notation is in addition to the one introduced in §1.2:

- $\lambda \vdash k$ means that λ is a partition of k ;
- λ' denotes the transposed partition of λ ;
- H^g denotes $g^{-1}Hg$ for $H \leq G$ and $g \in G$;

- $\kappa_H^G(W)$ the number of composition factors in $W \downarrow_H^G$ (counting with multiplicities);
- $\mathfrak{m}_\ell(H)$ the largest degree of irreducible representations of a group H over \mathbb{F} ;
- $\mathfrak{m}_\mathbb{C}(H)$ the largest degree of irreducible representations of a group H over \mathbb{C} ;
- $\mathfrak{d}_\ell(H)$ the smallest degree > 1 of irreducible projective representations of a group H over \mathbb{F} ;
- $\text{IBr}(H)$ the set of isomorphism classes of irreducible $\mathbb{F}H$ -modules or the set of irreducible ℓ -Brauer characters, depending on the context;
- $\text{Irr}(H)$ the set of isomorphism classes of irreducible $\mathbb{C}H$ -modules or the set of irreducible complex characters, depending on the context;
- $q = p^f$ power of a prime number p such that $\ell \nmid f$;
- $s = s(d)$ the minimal positive integer such that $q^{ds} \equiv 1 \pmod{\ell}$; by convention, $s = \infty$ if $\ell = 0$; here $d \in \mathbb{N}$ usually denotes the degree of a fixed element $\sigma \in \bar{\mathbb{F}}_q^\times$ which is clear from the context;
- $e = e(d)$ the smallest positive integer such that in \mathbb{F} we have $\sum_{i=0}^{e-1} q^{di} = 0$;
- GL_n denotes $GL_n(q)$;
- SL_n denotes $SL_n(q)$;
- $CSp_{2n}(q)$ is the conformal symplectic group, i.e. the group of all invertible linear transformations of \mathbb{F}_q^{2n} which preserve up to a scalar a non-degenerate symplectic form.
- $GU_n(q)$ is the group of all invertible linear transformations of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^n$ which preserve a non-degenerate Hermitian form.
- $CU_n(q)$ is the group of all invertible linear transformations of $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^n$ which preserve up to a scalar a non-degenerate Hermitian form.
- $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}_n$ the natural $\mathbb{F}_q GL_n$ -module \mathbb{F}_q^n ;
- $\Gamma L_m(q^k)$ the group of all invertible \mathbb{F}_{q^k} -semilinear (and \mathbb{F}_q -linear) transformations of $(\mathbb{F}_{q^k})^m$, considered naturally as a subgroup of $GL_{mk}(q)$.
- $AGL_n = AGL_n(q) = \mathcal{N}_n \rtimes GL_n$ the affine general linear group. We usually consider AGL_n as the natural subgroup of GL_{n+1} consisting of all matrices with the last row equal to $(00 \dots 01)$;
- P_1 is the stabilizer in GL_n of a 1-space in \mathcal{N} ;
- P_{n-1} is the stabilizer in GL_n of an $(n-1)$ -space in \mathcal{N} .

If $X \leq Y$ are groups, W is an $\mathbb{F}X$ -module and V is an $\mathbb{F}Y$ -module, then $V \downarrow_X$ is the restriction to X of V , and $W \uparrow^Y$ is the induction to Y of W .

Lemma 2.1. [48] *Let $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$ and exclude the cases where $(m, n) = (2, 6)$ or m is a Mersenne prime and $n = 2$. Then there is a prime $r > n$ that divides $m^n - 1$ but not $\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (m^i - 1)$.*

The prime r as in the lemma is referred to as a *primitive prime divisor* (p.p.d. for short) for (m, n) .

2.2. Some Clifford theory. For a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots)$ we denote $\Delta(\lambda) := \gcd(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots)$. For a multipartition $\underline{\lambda} = (\lambda^{(1)}, \lambda^{(2)}, \dots, \lambda^{(a)})$ (which means that each $\lambda^{(i)}$ is a partition), $\underline{\lambda}'$ is the transposed multipartition $((\lambda^{(1)})', \dots, (\lambda^{(a)})')$. Set

$$\Delta(\underline{\lambda}) := \gcd(\Delta(\lambda^{(1)}), \dots, \Delta(\lambda^{(a)})).$$

The subgroup $O_{\ell'}(\mathbb{F}_q^\times)$ acts on the set \mathcal{L} of n -admissible symbols via

$$\tau \cdot [([\sigma_1], \lambda^{(1)}), \dots, ([\sigma_a], \lambda^{(a)})] = [([\tau\sigma_1], \lambda^{(1)}), \dots, ([\tau\sigma_a], \lambda^{(a)})]$$

for $\tau \in O_{\ell'}(\mathbb{F}_q^\times)$. The order of the stabilizer group in $O_{\ell'}(\mathbb{F}_q^\times)$ of a symbol $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathfrak{L}$ is called the ℓ' -branching number of \mathfrak{s} and is denoted $\kappa_{\ell'}(\mathfrak{s})$. Next, if \mathfrak{s} is of the form (1.3), then the ℓ -branching number $\kappa_{\ell}(\mathfrak{s})$ is the ℓ -part of $\gcd(n, q-1, \Delta(\underline{\lambda}')) = \gcd(q-1, \Delta(\underline{\lambda}'))$, where $\underline{\lambda} = (\lambda^{(1)}, \lambda^{(2)}, \dots, \lambda^{(a)})$.

We will need several results on branching numbers $\kappa_H^G(V)$ from [29].

Lemma 2.2. [29, Lemma 3.1] *Let $S \leq H$ be normal subgroups of a group G , $V \in \text{IBr}(G)$, and U be an irreducible component of $V \downarrow_H$. Then $\kappa_S^G(V) = \kappa_H^G(V) \cdot \kappa_S^H(U)$.*

Lemma 2.3. [29, Lemma 3.2] *Let $S \triangleleft G$ with G/S a cyclic ℓ' -group, and $V \in \text{IBr}(G)$. Then $\kappa_S^G(V) = \#\{L \in \text{IBr}(G/S) \mid V \cong V \otimes L\}$.*

Lemma 2.4. [29, Corollary 3.5] *Let $S \triangleleft G$ with G/S cyclic, $S \leq H \leq B \leq G$ such that $B/H = O_{\ell}(G/H)$, and $V \in \text{IBr}(G)$. Then*

$$\kappa_H^G(V) = \kappa_B^G(V) \cdot \min\{(\kappa_S^G(V))_{\ell}, |G/H|_{\ell}\}.$$

Lemma 2.5. [29, Lemma 4.1] *Let \mathfrak{s} be an n -admissible symbol and $\tau \in O_{\ell'}(\mathbb{F}_q^\times)$. Then $L(\mathfrak{s}) \otimes L(\tau, (n)) \cong L(\tau \cdot \mathfrak{s})$.*

Theorem 2.6. [29, Theorem 1.1] *Let $V = L(\mathfrak{s})$ be the irreducible $\mathbb{F}GL_n(q)$ -module corresponding to $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathfrak{L}$. Then $V \downarrow_{SL_n(q)}$ is a sum of $\kappa_{\ell'}(\mathfrak{s}) \cdot \kappa_{\ell}(\mathfrak{s})$ irreducible summands.*

We need to slightly generalize Theorem 2.6. Consider the intermediate subgroups $SL_n \leq H \leq G \leq GL_n$, and let V be an irreducible $\mathbb{F}G$ -module which is an irreducible constituent of $W \downarrow_G$ for an irreducible $\mathbb{F}GL_n$ -module $W = L(\mathfrak{s})$. Then by Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\kappa_H^G(V) = \kappa_H^{GL_n}(W) / \kappa_G^{GL_n}(W). \quad (2.1)$$

So to compute $\kappa_H^G(V)$ for two intermediate subgroups G and H , it suffices to compute $\kappa_H^{GL_n}(W)$ for any intermediate subgroup H . For such H define $H \leq B \leq GL_n$ so that $B/H = O_{\ell}(GL_n/H)$. As GL_n/B is a cyclic ℓ' -group, Lemmas 2.3 and 2.5 allow us to compute $\kappa_B^{GL_n}(W)$ as the number of those ℓ' -elements $\tau \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ which leave the symbol \mathfrak{s} invariant and such that the corresponding representation $L(\tau, (n))$ factors through B . Finally, we use Theorem 2.6 to compute $\kappa_{SL_n}^{GL_n}(W)_{\ell}$ and then apply Lemma 2.4 to evaluate

$$\kappa_H^{GL_n}(W) = \kappa_B^{GL_n}(W) \cdot \min\{(\kappa_{SL_n}^{GL_n}(W))_{\ell}, |GL_n/H|_{\ell}\}. \quad (2.2)$$

For future reference we state some results on Clifford theory from [29].

Lemma 2.7. [29, Lemma 3.3] *Let r be a prime, $S \triangleleft G$ with G/S cyclic, $V \in \text{IBr}(G)$, and $S \leq A, B \leq G$ be such that $A/S = O_r(G/S)$ and $B/S = O_{r'}(G/S)$. Also, let U (resp. W) be an irreducible constituent of $V \downarrow_A$ (resp. $V \downarrow_B$). Then*

- (i) $\kappa_S^G(V) = \kappa_A^G(V) \cdot \kappa_B^G(V)$;
- (ii) $\kappa_A^G(V) = \kappa_S^B(W)$, $\kappa_B^G(V) = \kappa_S^A(U)$.

Lemma 2.8. [29, Lemma 3.7] *Let $S \triangleleft G$ with G/S cyclic, $S \leq A \leq G$ with $A/S = O_{\ell}(G/S)$, and $U \in \text{IBr}(S)$. Assume that U is an S -composition factor of $V_i \downarrow_S$ for some $V_i \in \text{IBr}(G)$, $i = 1, 2$. Then $V_2 = V_1 \otimes L$ for some $L \in \text{IBr}(G/A)$.*

Lemma 2.9. [29, Lemma 3.9] *Let $S \triangleleft G$ with G/S an ℓ -group, V an $\mathbb{F}G$ -module, and*

- (i) $V \downarrow_S$ has a filtration $0 = V^0 < V^1 < \dots$, where each $V_i := V^i/V^{i-1}$ is a G -conjugate of $V_1 = V^1$;
- (ii) All composition factors of V_1 belong to the same $\mathbb{F}S$ -block.

Then all composition factors of V belong to the same $\mathbb{F}G$ -block.

We will often use the following facts from Clifford theory [16, IV.4.10]. If $H \triangleleft G$, B is a G -block and $W \in \text{IBr}(G) \cap B$ then there exists an H -block b such that all constituents of $W \downarrow_H$ belong to $\cup_{g \in G} b^g$. In this situation we say that B covers b . Moreover, if B covers b then for any $V \in \text{IBr}(H) \cap b$ there is some $W \in \text{IBr}(G) \cap B$ such that V appears as a constituent of $W \downarrow_H$.

2.3. Some large subgroups. We list certain large subgroups in GL_n :

Proposition 2.10. *Let $n \geq 5$, $q = p^f$, and $SL_n(q) \not\leq H < GL_n(q)$. Assume that one of the following two conditions holds:*

- (a) $|H/Z(H)| > q^{(n^2+5)/2}$;
- (b) $2|n$, $|H/Z(H)| > q^{n^2/2-4}$, and a p.p.d. r for $(p, (n-1)f)$ divides $|H|$.

Then one of the following holds:

- (i) H is contained in a proper parabolic subgroup of $GL_n(q)$;
- (ii) $H \leq \Gamma L_d(q^s)$ with $1 < s = n/d$;
- (iii) n is even, (b) does not hold, and $Sp_n(q) \leq H \leq CSp_n(q)$;
- (iv) q is a square, (a) does not hold, and $H \leq CU_n(\sqrt{q})$.

Proof. If $n \neq 6$, then the conditions on H imply that $\bar{H} := H/(Z(GL_n(q)) \cap H)$ is a subgroup of order $> q^{3n}$ of $PGL_n(q)$ and so we can apply the main result of [31] to \bar{H} . If $n = 6$, we can argue directly using Aschbacher's Theorem [1]. In either case, one of the following must occur.

1) H preserves some nonzero proper subspace W of the natural $\mathbb{F}_q GL_n(q)$ -module $\mathcal{N} = \mathbb{F}_q^n$, i.e. (i) holds.

2) H preserves some decomposition $\mathcal{N} = V_1 \oplus \dots \oplus V_k$ with $\dim V_i = d = n/k$ and $k > 1$, and so $H \leq GL_d(q) \wr S_k$. In particular, $|H| < q^{n^2/2+1}$. Furthermore, if $k < n$ then $r \nmid |H|$, and if $k = n$, then $|H| \leq (q-1)^n \cdot n! < q^{n^2/2-4}$. So this case does not happen.

3) H preserves an extension field structure of \mathcal{N} , i.e. (ii) holds.

4) H preserves a subfield structure of \mathcal{N} . Then $|H/Z(H)| \leq |GL_n(\sqrt{q})| < q^{n^2/2}$, and $r \nmid |H|$, ruling out this case.

5) H stabilizes a tensor decomposition $\mathcal{N} = A \otimes B$, and so $H \leq GL_a(q) \otimes GL_b(q)$ with $a, b < ab = n$. Hence $|H| < q^{a^2+b^2} \leq q^{n^2/2}$, and $r \nmid |H|$.

6) H permutes the factors of some tensor decomposition $\mathcal{N} = V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_s$, $s > 1$ and $d := \dim V_i > 1$. Then $|H|$ divides $|GL_d(q)|^s \cdot s!$ and so it is coprime to r and smaller than $q^{n^2/2+1}$.

7) H is contained in the normalizer of the action of A_c or S_c on its smallest module \mathcal{N} and $n \in \{c-1, c-2\}$. Then $|H/Z(H)| \leq (n+2)! < q^{n^2}$. If $2|n$, then $|H/Z(H)| < q^{n^2/2-4}$ unless $(n, q) = (6, 2)$, in which case $r = 31 \nmid |H|$.

8) $n = t^m$ for some prime $t \nmid q$ and H normalizes a t -group T of symplectic type. In this case, $|H/Z(H)| \leq t^{2m^2+3m}$, so this case does not happen.

9) H is contained in the normalizer in $GL_n(q)$ of a classical group of dimension n over \mathbb{F}_q . Notice that $|CO_n(q)| < q^{n^2/2}$, and if n is even, then $r \nmid |CO_n^\pm(q)|$. So we arrive at one of the following two cases.

Case 1: n is even and $H \leq CSp_n(q)$. Then $r \nmid |H|$, and (b) does not hold. Moreover, by (a), H is a subgroup of $CSp_n(q)$ of index $< q^{(n-5)/2}(q-1)$, whence $H \geq Sp_n(q)$ [25, Table 5.2.A]. So we arrive at (iii).

Case 2: q is a square and $H \leq CU_n(\sqrt{q})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |CU_n(\sqrt{q})/Z(CU_n(\sqrt{q}))| &\leq |PGU_n(\sqrt{q})| = q^{n(n-1)/4} \prod_{i=2}^n (q^{i/2} - (-1)^i) \\ &< 2q^{n(n-1)/4+n(n+1)/4-1} \leq q^{n^2/2}, \end{aligned}$$

contradicting (a). We arrive at (iv). \square

3. BASIC REDUCTIONS

3.1. Reduction to subgroups transitive on 1-spaces. The following lemma is key. A similar idea appears in the study of irreducible restrictions from alternating type groups, see [39], [26, 3.9], [8, 3.4], [27, 2.5], [28, 7.4].

Lemma 3.1. *Let G be a finite group and $V \in \text{IBr}(G)$. Assume that $P < G$ is a subgroup such that the following conditions hold:*

- (i) $\dim \text{End}_P(V \downarrow_P) \geq 2$;
- (ii) *The module $W := (1_P)^\uparrow^G$ is either a direct sum $1_G \oplus A$ or a uniserial module $(1_G|A|1_G)$ with composition factors 1_G and $A \not\cong 1_G$.*

Then, for any subgroup $H \leq G$, either $G = HP$ or $V \downarrow_H$ is reducible.

Proof. Assume that $G \neq HP$. Then the Mackey decomposition

$$W \downarrow_H = \bigoplus_{HgP \in H \backslash G/P} (1_{H \cap P^g})^\uparrow^H$$

has more than one summand. Set $U := (1_H)^\uparrow^G$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_G(U, W) &\cong \text{Hom}_H(1_H, W \downarrow_H) \\ &\cong \bigoplus_{HgP \in H \backslash G/P} \text{Hom}_{H \cap P^g}(1_{H \cap P^g}, 1_{H \cap P^g}) \end{aligned}$$

has dimension ≥ 2 . One of the elements in $\text{Hom}_G(U, W)$ is characterized uniquely up to scalar by the property that its image is precisely $1_G \subset W$. So there must also exist a G -homomorphism $\psi : U \rightarrow W$ whose image is not contained in the unique G -submodule 1_G of W . Set $B := A$ (resp. $B := (1_G|A)$) if $W = 1_G \oplus A$ (resp. if $W = (1_G|A|1_G)$). Then $\text{im } \psi \supset B$.

On the other hand, by (i), we have

$$2 \leq \dim \text{Hom}_P(V, V) = \dim \text{Hom}_P(1_P, \text{End}(V)) = \dim \text{Hom}_G(W, \text{End}(V)).$$

One of the elements $\chi \in \text{Hom}_G(W, \text{End}(V))$ is characterized uniquely up to scalar by the property that its image is precisely 1_G . Then $\ker \chi = B$. So there also exists a G -homomorphism $\phi : W \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$ with $\text{Ker}(\phi) \subsetneq B$.

It now follows that $\phi \circ \psi : U \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$ is a G -homomorphism whose image is not contained in 1_G , for otherwise $\phi(B) \subseteq 1_G$, and so $\phi(B) = 0$, giving a contradiction. But there also exists a G -homomorphism $\theta : U \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$ with $\text{Im}(\theta) = 1_G$. So θ and $\phi \circ \psi$ are two linearly independent elements of $\text{Hom}_G(U, \text{End}(V))$. So $\dim \text{Hom}_G(U, \text{End}(V)) \geq 2$. Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \text{Hom}_H(V \downarrow_H, V \downarrow_H) &= \dim \text{Hom}_H(1_H, \text{End}(V) \downarrow_H) \\ &= \dim \text{Hom}_G(U, \text{End}(V)) \geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, $V \downarrow_H$ is reducible. \square

Recall that P_1 denotes a special parabolic in GL_n , see §2.1.

Corollary 3.2. *Let $n \geq 3$, $SL_n(q) \leq G \leq GL_n(q)$, and suppose that $V \in \text{IBr}(G)$ is irreducible over a subgroup $H \leq G$. Then either $\dim \text{End}_{P_1 \cap G}(V) = 1$ or H acts transitively on the 1-spaces of \mathcal{N} .*

Proof. The condition (ii) of Lemma 3.1 is satisfied for $P = P_1 \cap G$, see e.g. [37]. By the lemma, the irreducibility of H on V implies that $G = H(P_1 \cap G)$, which is equivalent to H acting transitively on the 1-spaces of \mathcal{N} . \square

3.2. Hering's Theorem. In order to handle the second case arising in Corollary 3.2, we use Hering's theorem on the groups transitive on 1-spaces.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $n \geq 3$, $Z := Z(GL_n(q))$, and let $H \leq GL_n(q)$ act transitively on the 1-spaces of \mathcal{N} . Then one of the following holds:*

- (i) $H \triangleright SL_a(q_1)$ with $q_1^a = q^n$ and $a \geq 2$;
- (ii) $H \triangleright Sp_{2a}(q_1)'$ with $q_1^{2a} = q^n$ and $a \geq 2$;
- (iii) $H \triangleright G_2(q_1)'$ with $q_1^6 = q^n$ and $2|q$;
- (iv) HZ is contained in $\Gamma L_1(q^n)$;
- (v) (q^n, HZ) is $(3^4, \leq 2_-^{1+4} \cdot S_5)$, $(3^4, \triangleright SL_2(5))$, $(2^4, A_7)$ or $(3^6, SL_2(13))$.

Moreover, in the cases (i)-(iii), $q_1 = q^s$ is a power of q , and $H \leq \Gamma L_{n/s}(q^s)$.

Proof. By assumption, HZ acts transitively on the nonzero vectors of \mathcal{N} . So $\mathcal{N} \rtimes HZ$ acts doubly transitively on \mathcal{N} , with \mathcal{N} acting via translations and HZ being the stabilizer of the zero vector. In this situation, we can apply Hering's theorem as given in [32] to HZ to arrive to (i)-(v). Note that if HZ contains a perfect normal subgroup R , then $R = [R, R] \leq [HZ, HZ] = [H, H]$ and so $R \triangleleft H$. This is why in the cases (i)-(iii) we do not have Z .

It remains to show that in the cases (i)-(iii), $q_1 = q^s$ is a power of q , and $H \leq \Gamma L_{n/s}(q^s)$. This is clear in the case $H \triangleright Sp_4(2)'$, so let $H \triangleright S$, where $S = SL_a(q_1)$, $Sp_{2a}(q_1)'$ with $(a, q_1) \neq (2, 2)$, or $G_2(q_1)'$. Then the smallest degree d of nontrivial irreducible projective representations of $S/Z(S)$ over fields of characteristic p dividing q is $d = a$, $d = 2a$, or $d = 6$, respectively, cf. [25, 5.4.13]. So $q_1^d = q^n$.

Since H is transitive on the 1-spaces of \mathcal{N} , H is irreducible on \mathcal{N} , and so $\mathcal{N} \downarrow_S = W_1 \oplus W_2 \oplus \dots \oplus W_t$ is a direct sum of irreducible $\mathbb{F}_q S$ -modules W_i (of the same dimension $n_1 := n/t$). Then W_1 can be viewed as an absolutely irreducible S -module W_0 , over $\text{End}_S(W_1) \cong \mathbb{F}_{q^s}$ for some integer $s \geq 1$.

Write $q_1 = p^a$, and assume that the smallest field over which the absolutely irreducible module W_0 can be realized is \mathbb{F}_{p^b} . Clearly, $q^s \geq p^b$. By [25, 5.4.4, 5.4.6], $b|a$ and there is an irreducible $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p S$ -module M such that $W_0 \otimes \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ is isomorphic to the tensor product of a/b Frobenius twists of M ; in particular, $\dim W_0 = (\dim M)^{a/b}$. Note that $\dim M \geq d$. Otherwise $\dim M = 1$, $\dim W_0 = 1$, S acts trivially on each W_i and on \mathcal{N} , a contradiction as H acts faithfully on \mathcal{N} . It follows that

$$p^{da} = q_1^d = q^n \geq q^{n_1} = |W_1| = |W_0| = q^{s \dim W_0} \geq p^{b \dim W_0} \geq p^{bd^{a/b}}.$$

On the other hand, $d^{a/b-1} \geq a/b$ since $d \geq 2$ and $b|a$, with equality exactly when either $a = b$, or $a/b = 2 = d$. Thus $b \cdot d^{a/b} \geq da$. Consequently, $n = n_1$, $q^s = p^b$, $\dim W_0 = d^{a/b} = da/b$, $q_1^d = q^{sda/b}$, and $q_1 = q^{sa/b}$.

If $a = b$, then $q_1 = q^s$, $d = n/s$, and $\mathcal{N}_{\downarrow S} = W_1$ can be viewed as the d -dimensional module W_0 of S . Using [25, 5.4.11], one can show that, up to a twist by an automorphism of S , W_0 is isomorphic to the natural module $\mathbb{F}_{q^s}^d$ of S , whence $H \leq \Gamma L_d(q^s)$.

Finally, let $a/b = 2 = d$, and so $S = SL_2(q^{2s})$. Here $W_0 \otimes \bar{\mathbb{F}}_p$ is the tensor product of $M = \bar{\mathbb{F}}_p^2$ and the q^s -Frobenius twist of M , so it affords a unique up to scalar S -invariant quadratic form Q , cf. [25, p.45]. As $H \triangleright S$, H preserves Q up to a scalar. Hence H preserves the set of nonzero Q -singular 1-spaces in W_0 , and so it cannot act transitively on the 1-spaces of \mathcal{N} . \square

3.3. Further reductions. In this subsection we exclude the semilinear subgroups $H \leq \Gamma L_d(q^s)$ with $s = n/d > 1$. The case $s = n$ is quite easy:

Lemma 3.4. *Let $n \geq 3$, $SL_n(q) \leq G \leq GL_n(q)$, $V \in \text{IBr}(G)$, $\dim V > 1$, and $H \leq G$ be a subgroup of $\Gamma L_1(q^n)$. Then V is irreducible over H if and only if $\ell \neq 7$ and $(G, H, \dim V) = (SL_3(2), C_7 : C_3, 3)$.*

Proof. We have $K := \Gamma L_1(q^n) \cong C_{q^n-1} : C_n$. Hence by [22, (6.15)], $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{C}}(K) \leq n$. So $\dim V \leq \mathfrak{m}_{\ell}(H) \leq \mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{C}}(H) \leq \mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{C}}(K) \leq n$. If $(n, q) \neq (3, 2), (3, 4), (4, 2), (4, 3)$, then by [18], $\dim V \geq \mathfrak{d}_{\ell}(SL_n(q)) \geq (q^n - q)/(q - 1) - 1 > n$, giving a contradiction. If $(n, q) = (4, 3), (4, 2)$, or $(3, 4)$, then one can check that $\dim V \geq 7 > n$, giving a contradiction again. Finally, if $(n, q) = (3, 2)$, we get the exceptional case. \square

The case $1 < s < n$ is non-trivial. Note that the semilinear groups are not considered in [41] as their actions on G -modules are imprimitive. The exception in Proposition 3.5 will be ruled out in Proposition 7.1.

Proposition 3.5. *Let $n \geq 3$, $SL_n(q) \leq G \leq GL_n(q)$, and $H \leq G$ is a subgroup of $\Gamma L_d(q^s)$ with $1 < s = n/d < n$. If $V \in \text{IBr}(G)$ is irreducible over H and $\dim V > 1$, then $\ell \neq 2$, $G = SL_n(3)$, $s = 2$, and $d = n/2$ is odd.*

Proof. We may assume that $H = G \cap \Gamma L_d(q^s)$. We may also assume that s is prime, as $\Gamma L_{n/st}(q^{st}) \leq \Gamma L_{n/s}(q^s)$ whenever $st|n$. We consider two cases:

Case 1: there is a p.p.d. r for (q, s) . Set $R := O_r(Z(GL_d(q^s)))$. Note that $R = \langle g \rangle$ is cyclic and $R \triangleleft H$ because $r \nmid (q - 1)$. In fact, $H/C_H(R)$ is a cyclic group of order dividing s , as $H \leq GL_d(q^s) \cdot C_s$ and $GL_d(q^s)$ centralizes R .

Note further that $\ell \neq r$, as otherwise $0 \neq C_V(g) \leq V$ is fixed by H . Also, g does not act trivially on V because $r \nmid (q - 1)$. Let $d_V(g)$ be the degree of the minimal polynomial of g acting on V . So g has exactly $d_V(g)$ eigenvalues on V , and the eigenspaces are permuted by $H/C_H(R)$. Now the irreducibility of H on V implies $d_V(g) \leq s$.

Note that $r^a := |g|$ equals the order of $gZ(G)$ in $G/Z(G)$ as r is coprime to the order of $Z(G) \leq C_{q-1}$. By the main result of [46], one of the following holds: (i) $d_V(g) > r^{a-1}(r - 1)$; (ii) $r > 2$, $d_V(g) = r^{a-1}(r - 1)$, and Sylow r -subgroups of $G/Z(G)$ are cyclic. This leads to a contradiction, as in (i) or if $a \geq 2$ in (ii), we have $d_V(g) \geq r > s$, and in (ii) with $a = 1$ we have $s \geq d_V(g) = r - 1 \geq s$ implying $r = s + 1$. As r and s are primes, we get $r = 3$, $s = 2$, $n = ds \geq 4$, and $p \neq 3$, whence the Sylow r -subgroups of $G/Z(G)$ are not cyclic.

Case 2: there is no p.p.d. for (q, s) . As s is prime, Lemma 2.1 implies that $s = 2$ and $q = p = 2^t - 1$ is a Mersenne prime. Set $r = 2$ and consider

$R := O_r(Z(GL_d(q^s))) = \langle g \rangle$ as above. Then $|g| = 2^{t+1}$. Set $H \cap R = \langle h \rangle$. Note that H is a normal subgroup of $\Gamma L_d(q^s)$ with $|\Gamma L_d(q^s) : H|_2 \leq 2$. So $|h| = 2^{t_1+1}$ and $hZ(G)$ has order 2^{t_1} in $G/Z(G)$ with $t-1 \leq t_1 \leq t$. Moreover, $|H/C_H(h)| \leq 2$. As above, the irreducibility of H on V now implies that $\ell \neq 2$ and $d_V(h) \leq 2$. As the Sylow 2-subgroups of $G/Z(G)$ are not cyclic, we have $d_V(h) > 2^{t_1-1}$ by [46]. So $t = 2$, $t_1 = 1$, $q = 3$. Since $t_1 = t - 1$, we see that $R \not\leq H$. In particular $G \neq GL_n(q)$, i.e. $G = SL_n(3)$. Finally, if d is even, then $g \in SL_n(q)$, so $R \leq H$, giving a contradiction. \square

4. QUANTUM GL_N

4.1. Overview. We want to exploit relations between quantum linear groups and representation theory of $GL_n(q)$ over the field \mathbb{F} of non-defining characteristic [7]. We start with necessary definitions and some known results.

Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$, t be an indeterminate, $R = \mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}]$, and $K = \mathbb{Q}(t)$. The *quantized enveloping algebra of GL_N* (or quantum GL_N) is the K -algebra $U(N)_K$ with generators E_i, F_i, K_j, K_j^{-1} , $1 \leq i < N$, $1 \leq j \leq N$, and certain well-known relations, see for example [8, §1]. For $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ set

$$[m] := \frac{t^m - t^{-m}}{t - t^{-1}}, \quad [m]! := \prod_{i=1}^m [i], \quad \binom{m}{n} := \frac{[m][m-1]\dots[m-n+1]}{[n]}.$$

Denote by $U(N)_R$ the R -subalgebra of $U(N)_K$ generated by

$$E_i^{(s)}, F_i^{(s)}, K_j, K_j^{-1}, \binom{K_j}{m} \quad (s, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, 1 \leq i < N, 1 \leq j \leq N),$$

where

$$\binom{K_j}{m} := \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{K_j t^{-m+1} - K_j^{-1} t^{m-1}}{t^i - t^{-i}} \quad \text{and} \quad X^{(s)} := \frac{X^s}{[s]} \text{ for } X \in U(N)_K.$$

Let $v \in \mathbb{F}^\times$. We regard \mathbb{F} as an R -module by letting t act by multiplication with v . Set $U(N) = U_v(N) := U(N)_R \otimes_R \mathbb{F}$, and let us use the (same) notation $X^{(s)}, \binom{K_j}{m}$, etc. for $X^{(s)} \otimes 1, \binom{K_j}{m} \otimes 1$, etc. We always consider $U(N)$ as a subalgebra of $U(N+1)$ generated by

$$\{E_i^{(s)}, F_i^{(s)}, K_j, K_j^{-1}, \binom{K_j}{m} \mid s, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, 1 \leq i < N, 1 \leq j \leq N\}.$$

Let $U(N)^+$ be the subalgebra of $U(N)$ generated by all $E_i^{(s)}$, and $U(N)^0$ be the subalgebra of $U(N)$ generated by all $K_j, K_j^{-1}, \binom{K_j}{m}$. Set $\Lambda(N) = (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^N$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda^+(N) &= \{\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N) \in \Lambda(N) \mid \lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_N\}, \\ \Lambda(N, r) &= \{\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N) \in \Lambda(N) \mid \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_N = r\}, \\ \Lambda^+(N, r) &= \Lambda^+(N) \cap \Lambda(N, r). \end{aligned}$$

We identify $\lambda \in \Lambda(N)$ with a *weight*, i.e. the \mathbb{F} -algebra homomorphism

$$\lambda : U(N)^0 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}, \quad K_j \mapsto v^{\lambda_j}, \quad \binom{K_j}{m} \mapsto \binom{\lambda_j}{m}.$$

For every $\lambda \in \Lambda^+(N)$ there exists a unique irreducible $U(N)$ -module $L_N(\lambda)$ with highest weight λ . Moreover, if $\lambda \in \Lambda^+(N, r)$, then

$$L_N(\lambda) = \bigoplus_{\mu \in \Lambda(N, r)} L(\lambda)_\mu,$$

where for a $U(N)$ -module M , its μ -*weight space* M_μ is defined as follows:

$$M_\mu := \{v \in M \mid Kv = \mu(K)v \text{ for any } K \in U(N)^0\}.$$

Recall that q is a prime power with $(\ell, q) = 1$. Let d be a fixed positive integer. Set $s = s(d)$ to be the minimal positive integer such that

$$q^{ds} \equiv 1 \pmod{\ell}.$$

In other words q^d (considered as an element $q^d \cdot 1_{\mathbb{F}} \in \mathbb{F}$) is the primitive s th root of unity in \mathbb{F} . By convention, $s = \infty$ if $\ell = 0$. Let v be a square root of q^d in \mathbb{F} such that if s is odd then v is also a primitive s th root of unity (such v always exists, see [7, §1.3]). *From now on, we assume that it is always this v that is used in the definition of the quantum group $U(N) = U_v(N)$.*

It is also convenient to define $e = e(d)$ to be the smallest positive integer such that in \mathbb{F} we have $\sum_{i=0}^{e-1} q^{di} = 0$. For example, assuming ℓ is positive, $e = s$ if $q^d \neq 1$ in \mathbb{F} , and $e = \ell$ if $q^d = 1$ in \mathbb{F} . If $\ell = 0$ then $s = \ell = e = \infty$.

For a positive integer r , a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N) \in \Lambda^+(N)$ is called r -restricted if $\lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1} < r$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, N-1$. By convention, λ is 1-restricted if and only if $\lambda = (0)$. By an (s, ℓ) -adic expansion of $\lambda \in \Lambda^+(N)$ we mean some (non-unique) way of writing

$$\lambda = \lambda^{-1} + s\lambda^0 + s\ell\lambda^1 + s\ell^2\lambda^2 + \dots \quad (4.1)$$

such that $\lambda^{-1} \in \Lambda^+(N)$ is s -restricted and $\lambda^i \in \Lambda^+(N)$ is ℓ -restricted for each $i \geq 0$.

For each non-negative integer r there is the r th Frobenius twist operation $M \mapsto M^{[r]}$, which associates a $U(N)$ -module $M^{[r]}$ to a polynomial $GL_N(\mathbb{F})$ -module M so that the weights of $M^{[r]}$ are obtained from the usual $GL_N(\mathbb{F})$ -weights of M by multiplication with $s\ell^r$, see [7, §1.3] for details. Now, the Steinberg Tensor Product Theorem in this context is (see e.g. [7, 1.3e]):

Theorem 4.1. *If $\lambda \in \Lambda^+(N)$ has an (s, ℓ) -adic decomposition (4.1) then*

$$L_N(\lambda) \cong L_N(\lambda^{-1}) \otimes L_N(\lambda^0)^{[0]} \otimes L(\lambda^1)^{[1]} \otimes \dots$$

Steinberg Tensor Product Theorem allows us to determine weight system of any irreducible $U(N)$ -module $L_N(\lambda)$ if we take into account the following

Theorem 4.2. [8, 1.4] *Let $\lambda \in \Lambda^+(N)$ be e -restricted. Then $L_N(\lambda)_\mu \neq 0$ if and only if μ is a weight of the irreducible polynomial $GL_N(\mathbb{C})$ -module with highest weight λ , i.e. if and only if $\mu^+ \leq \lambda$, where the partition $\mu^+ \in \Lambda^+(N)$ is obtained from the composition μ by permuting parts, and \leq is the dominance order on partitions.*

For future reference we introduce

Definition 4.3. A non-zero partition λ is called e -divisible if e divides all parts of λ' . If $e = \infty$, the zero partition is the only e -divisible partition by convention.

So e -divisible partitions are those for which $L_N(\lambda')$ is a Frobenius twist.

4.2. Some results on branching for quantum GL_N . The following notion is convenient when studying restrictions from $U(N)$ to $U(N-1)$.

Definition 4.4. Let $\lambda \in \Lambda^+(N)$. For $j \geq 0$ define the j -th level of the irreducible $U(N)$ -module $L_N(\lambda)$ to be the subspace

$$L_N(\lambda)[j] := \bigoplus_{\mu \text{ such that } \mu_N - \lambda_N = j} L_N(\lambda)_\mu.$$

The following is easy to check:

Lemma 4.5. *Let $\lambda \in \Lambda^+(N, k)$. Each level $L_N(\lambda)[j]$ is a submodule of $L_N(\lambda)\downarrow_{U(N-1)}$, we have $L_N(\lambda)\downarrow_{U(N-1)} = \bigoplus_{j \geq 0} L_N(\lambda)[j]$, and all $U(N-1)$ -composition factors of the j th level $L_N(\lambda)[j]$ are of the form $L_{N-1}(\mu)$ for $\mu \in \Lambda^+(N-1, k-j)$.*

Lemma 4.6. *If $L_N(\lambda)[j] \neq (0)$ then $0 \leq j \leq \lambda_1 - \lambda_N$. Moreover, if λ is e -restricted, then the converse is true.*

Proof. This follows from Theorem 4.2 and the corresponding fact for polynomial representations of $GL_N(\mathbb{C})$, which is an easy exercise. \square

Lemma 4.7. *Let $N > k$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda^+(N, k)$. Then $L_N(\lambda)[1] = (0)$ if and only if e divides all parts of λ , i.e. λ' is e -divisible*

Proof. Follows from Theorem 4.1, weight considerations and Lemma 4.6. \square

Proposition 4.8. *Let $N > k$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda^+(N, k)$. Assume that there is $j > 0$ such that $L_N(\lambda)[j] \neq 0$ and $L_N(\lambda)[i] = 0$ for all positive integers i different from j . Then λ is of the form (a^m) with $a = 1$ or $a = s\ell^r$ for some $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, in which case $j = a$ and $L_N(\lambda)[a] \cong L_N((a^{m-1}))$.*

Proof. By Theorem 4.1, we may assume that λ is e -restricted. Then by Lemma 4.6, every level $L[j]$ with $0 \leq j \leq \lambda_1 - \lambda_N$ is non-trivial. So we have $\lambda_1 - \lambda_N = 1$. As $N > k$, we have $\lambda_N = 0$, hence $\lambda = (1^k)$. Finally, (1^k) is a minuscule weight, so $L((1^k))$ coincides with the Weyl module $\Delta((1^k))$ of highest weight (1^k) , and the second part of our proposition follows by weight considerations (cf. [6, 3.19(i)]). \square

4.3. Affine GL_n and branching. Recall that we write $GL_n = GL_n(q)$. By convention, $GL_0 = \{1\}$. For every $0 \leq i \leq n$, there is a functor

$$e_i : \text{mod}(\mathbb{F}GL_{n-i}) \rightarrow \text{mod}(\mathbb{F}AGL_n),$$

that sends irreducibles to irreducibles, and any irreducible $\mathbb{F}AGL_n$ -module has a form $e_i L$, where $0 \leq i \leq n$ and $L \in \text{IBr}(GL_{n-i})$. Moreover, $e_i L \cong e_j N$ if and only if $i = j$ and $L \cong N$, see [7, §5.1] for more details. If $i \neq j$, the $\mathbb{F}AGL_n$ -modules $e_i L$ and $e_j N$ belong to different blocks [7, 5.1a(ii)]. The following key theorem provides a connection to quantum groups. In the theorem, $U(N)$ is defined using (a square root v of) q^d , as explained in §4.1.

Theorem 4.9. [7, 5.4d(ii)] *Let $n = dk$, σ be an element of degree d over \mathbb{F}_q , $\lambda \vdash k$. Then all composition factors of $L(\sigma, \lambda)\downarrow_{AGL_{n-1}}$ are of the form $e_{dj-1}L(\sigma, \mu)$ for $1 \leq j \leq k$ and $\mu \vdash (k-j)$. Moreover, if $N > k$, for such μ and j we have*

$$[L(\sigma, \lambda)\downarrow_{AGL_{n-1}} : e_{dj-1}L(\sigma, \mu)] = [L_N(\lambda')\downarrow_{U(N)} : L_{N-1}(\mu')].$$

Corollary 4.10. *Let $n = dk$, σ be an element of degree d over \mathbb{F}_q , $\lambda \vdash k$, and $V = L(\sigma, \lambda)$. Then one of the following happens:*

- (i) $V\downarrow_{AGL_{n-1}}$ has composition factors $e_{di-1}L(\sigma, \nu)$ and $e_{dj-1}L(\sigma, \mu)$ with $i \neq j$; in particular, the restriction $V\downarrow_{AGL_{n-1}}$ has composition factors in at least two different blocks.
- (ii) $\lambda = (m^a)$ with $a = 1$ or $a = s\ell^r$ for some $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $L(\sigma, (m^a))\downarrow_{AGL_{n-1}} \cong e_{da-1}L(\sigma, (m-1)^a)$.

Proof. Recall that the AGL_{n-1} -modules $e_i M$ and $e_j N$ lie in different blocks for $i \neq j$. So, the result follows from Theorem 4.9 and Proposition 4.8. \square

Here is a slightly different version.

Corollary 4.11. *Let $n = dk$, σ be an ℓ' -element of degree d over \mathbb{F}_q , $\lambda \vdash k$, and $V = L(\sigma, \lambda)$. Then one of the following happens:*

- (i) $V \downarrow_{AGL_{n-1}}$ has composition factors $e_{di-1} L(\sigma, \nu)$ and $e_{dj-1} L(\sigma, \mu)$ with $i \neq j$; in particular, the restriction $V \downarrow_{AGL_{n-1}}$ has composition factors in at least two different blocks.
- (ii) $V \cong L(\tau, (m))$ for τ of degree da with $a = 1$ or $a = s\ell^r$ for some $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $[\tau\ell^r] = [\sigma]$, and $L(\tau, (m)) \downarrow_{AGL_{n-1}} \cong e_{da-1} L(\tau, (m-1))$.

Proof. Apply Corollary 4.10 and [7, 4.3e]. \square

5. MAXIMAL PARABOLICS AND DIMENSION BOUNDS

Throughout the section: $SL_n \leq G \leq GL_n$, $P_{n-1} < GL_n$ denotes the maximal parabolic subgroup consisting of the matrices in GL_n with the last row of the form $(0, \dots, 0, *)$, and U is the unipotent radical of P_{n-1} .

5.1. Restricting to a maximal parabolic. In view of Corollary 3.2, we need to study irreducible $\mathbb{F}G$ -modules V with $\dim \text{End}_{P_1 \cap G}(V) = 1$. It is more convenient to work with P_{n-1} instead of P_1 , but see Remark 5.6 below. The following result follows easily from Mackey theorem (cf. e.g. [7, 5.2a]):

Lemma 5.1. *Let $V = V_1 \circ \dots \circ V_a \in \text{IBr}(GL_n)$ for $a > 1$. Then $V \downarrow_{P_{n-1}}$ is decomposable. In particular, $\dim \text{End}_{P_{n-1}}(V) > 1$.*

Theorem 5.2. *If $V \in \text{IBr}(GL_n)$ then $\dim \text{End}_{P_{n-1}}(V) = 1$ if and only if $n = dk$ for some positive integers d, k and $V \cong L(\sigma, (k))$ for an element σ of degree d . In this exceptional case, $V \downarrow_{P_{n-1}}$ is irreducible, and $L(\sigma, (k)) \downarrow_{AGL_{n-1}} \cong e_{d-1} L(\sigma, (k-1))$.*

Proof. In view of Lemma 5.1 we may assume that V is Harish-Chandra indecomposable, i.e. V is of the form $L(\sigma, \lambda)$. Since $P_{n-1} \cong AGL_{n-1} \times Z(GL_n)$, the theorem follows from the similar result for AGL_{n-1} in place of P_{n-1} , which comes from Corollaries 4.10 and 4.11. \square

There might be Harish-Chandra indecomposable constituents of $W \downarrow_G$ even if W is Harish-Chandra decomposable. At least we have:

Theorem 5.3. *Let $SL_n \leq G \leq GL_n$, $P = P_{n-1}$, $W \in \text{IBr}(GL_n)$ be Harish-Chandra indecomposable, V be an irreducible constituent of $W \downarrow_G$, and $\dim \text{End}_{P \cap G}(V \downarrow_{P \cap G}) = 1$. Then W is of the form $L(\tau, (k))$.*

Proof. We can write $W = L(\sigma, \lambda)$ for some ℓ' -element σ of degree d . Assume W cannot be rewritten as $L(\tau, (k))$ for some (possibly ℓ -singular) τ . Set $H := AGL_{n-1}$. By Corollary 4.11, $W \downarrow_H$ has composition factors $M := e_{di-1} L(\sigma, \mu)$ and $N := e_{dj-1} L(\sigma, \nu)$, with $i \neq j$, in two different H -blocks. We want to prove that $V \downarrow_{P \cap G}$ also has composition factors in two different block, which of course implies $\dim \text{End}_{P \cap G}(V \downarrow_{P \cap G}) \geq 2$.

Suppose for a contradiction that all composition factors of $V \downarrow_{P \cap G}$ belong to one $(P \cap G)$ -block b . Note that $P = Z(GL_n) \times H$ and $Z(GL_n)$ acts on W by scalars. Hence $W \downarrow_P$ has composition factors of the form $\hat{M} := X \otimes M$ and

$\hat{N} := X \otimes N$ for $X \in \text{IBr}(Z(GL_n))$, and these are in different P -blocks. Let B be the P -block containing \hat{M} . All $P \cap G$ -composition factors of $W \downarrow_{P \cap G}$ belong to $\cup_{z \in P} b^z$, as $PG = GL_n$. So B covers b and any P -conjugate b^z . There is an irreducible constituent L of $\hat{M} \downarrow_{P \cap G}$ which belongs to b . Let B' be the P -block containing \hat{N} . Then B' also covers b . So there is an irreducible $\mathbb{F}P$ -module \hat{N}' from the block B' such that L is an irreducible constituent of $\hat{N}' \downarrow_{P \cap G}$. By Lemma 2.8, $\hat{N}' = \hat{M} \otimes A$ for some $A \in \text{IBr}(P/(P \cap G))$.

We can identify $\text{IBr}(P/(P \cap G))$ with $\text{IBr}(GL_n/G)$. So we may write $A = L(\alpha, (n))$ for some ℓ' -element α of degree 1. Also, it is straightforward to check that the H -modules $e_{di-1}L(\sigma, \mu) \otimes (L(\alpha, (n)) \downarrow_H)$ and $e_{di-1}L(\alpha\sigma, \mu)$ are isomorphic. Thus $\hat{N}' \downarrow_H \cong e_{di-1}L(\alpha\sigma, \mu) =: N'$, and $\hat{N}' = Y \otimes N'$ for some $Y \in \text{IBr}(Z(GL_n))$. Now recall that $N = e_{dj-1}L(\sigma, \nu)$ with $i \neq j$. It follows that N and N' belong to different H -blocks, whence $\hat{N} = X \otimes N$ and $\hat{N}' = Y \otimes N'$ belong to different P -blocks, a contradiction. \square

5.2. U-invariants. We now study the invariants of the unipotent radical U of P_{n-1} . Recall Definition 4.3.

Theorem 5.4. *Let $n = dk$ for some positive integers d, k and $L = L(\sigma, \lambda)$, where σ is an element of degree d over \mathbb{F}_q , $e = e(d)$, and λ is a partition of k . Then $L^U \neq (0)$ if and only if $d = 1$ and λ is not e -divisible.*

Proof. Let $e_i M \in \text{IBr}(AGL_{n-1})$, see §4.3. Then $(e_i M)^U \neq (0)$ if and only if $i = 0$. Now the result follows from Theorem 4.9 and Lemma 4.7. \square

We develop this for a more general situation:

Theorem 5.5. *Let $SL_n \leq G \leq GL_n$, $W = L(\sigma_1, \lambda^{(1)}) \circ \dots \circ L(\sigma_a, \lambda^{(a)})$, $d_i = \deg(\sigma_i)$, and V be an irreducible constituent of $W \downarrow_G$. If $V^U = 0$, then for each i , either $d_i > 1$ or $\lambda^{(i)}$ is $e(d_i)$ -divisible.*

Proof. Set $L_i := L(\sigma_i, \lambda^{(i)})$, $i = 1, \dots, a$. If $d_i = 1$ and $\lambda^{(i)}$ is not $e(d_i)$ -divisible for some i , we may assume that $i = a$ since Harish-Chandra induction is commutative. Then $L_a^{U'} \neq 0$ by Theorem 5.4, where U' is the unipotent radical of the standard maximal parabolic in $GL_{|\lambda^{(a)}|}$. As W is the induction from a parabolic subgroup Q to GL_n of the Q -module $L := L_1 \otimes \dots \otimes L_a$ with trivial action of the unipotent radical U'' , it follows that $L^{U'U''} \neq 0$. But $U \leq U'U''$ and $L \subset W \downarrow_Q$, hence $W^U \neq 0$.

Now $P_{n-1}G = GL_n$ and GL_n/G is cyclic, so $W \downarrow_G = V_1 \oplus \dots \oplus V_r$, where $V_j = g_j V \in \text{IBr}(G)$ for some $g_j \in P_{n-1}$ with $g_1 = 1$. As $U < G$, we must have $V_j^U \neq 0$ for some j . But $V_j = g_j V$ and g_j normalizes U . So $V^U \neq 0$. \square

Remark 5.6. Results obtained for the parabolic P_{n-1} and an intermediate subgroup $SL_n \leq G \leq GL_n$ have analogues for P_1 since the inverse-transpose automorphism τ sends P_1 to a conjugate of P_{n-1} , $\tau(G) = G$, and furthermore, $L(\sigma, \lambda)^\tau \cong L(\sigma^{-1}, \lambda)$, cf. [23, (7.33)].

5.3. U-invariants and dimension bounds. As above, $U = O_p(P_{n-1})$.

Lemma 5.7. *Let $L = L(\sigma, \lambda)$, $\deg(\sigma) = d$, $e = e(d)$, and $\lambda \vdash k = n/d$. Set $N(m, t) := \prod_{i=1}^m (t^i - 1)$.*

- (i) *If $\lambda = (k)$ then L lifts to a $\mathbb{C}GL_n$ -module and we have $\dim L = N(kd, q)/N(k, q^d)$.*

- (ii) $\dim L$ is divisible by $N(kd, q)/N(k, q^d)$.
- (iii) $\dim L > q^{n^2/4-1}(q-1)/2$ if $d \geq 2$, and $\dim L > q^{n^2/3-1}(q-1)/2$ if $d \geq 3$.
- (iv) Assume that $d = 1$ and λ is e -divisible. Then $\dim L > q^{n^2/4-1}(q-1)/2$ if $e \geq 2$, and $\dim L > q^{n^2/3-1}(q-1)/2$ if $e \geq 3$.

Proof. (i) and (ii) are well-known, see e.g. [14] or [7, 3.5b, 5.5d].

(iii) follows from (ii) and the estimate $\prod_{i=2}^m (1 - q^{-i}) > 1/2$ for $m \geq 2$.

(iv) By [14, (7.3)], we may assume that σ is ℓ -regular. Then there is an ℓ -singular element τ of degree e over \mathbb{F}_q with the ℓ' -part equal to σ [13, 2.3]. Choose $\mu \vdash n/e$ such that $\mu' = (1/e)\lambda'$. Then $L(\sigma, \lambda) = L(\tau, \mu)$, cf. [14, (7.3)]. Since $e > 1$, we can apply (iii) to $L(\tau, \mu)$. \square

Lemma 5.8. *Let $a > 1$, $V = L(\sigma_1, \lambda^{(1)}) \circ \dots \circ L(\sigma_a, \lambda^{(a)}) \in \text{IBr}(GL_n)$, and $V^U = 0$. Then $\dim V > q^{n^2/4+2}(q-1)$ if $n \geq 5$, and $\dim V > q^5(q-1)$ if $n = 4$.*

Proof. Set $V_i = L(\sigma_i, \lambda^{(i)})$, $d_i = \deg(\sigma_i)$, $n_i = d_i |\lambda^{(i)}|$. By Theorem 5.5, either $d_i > 1$, or $\lambda^{(i)}$ is $e(d_i)$ -divisible, whence $\dim V_i > q^{n_i^2/4-1}(q-1)/2$ by Lemma 5.7. Moreover, we have $\dim V_i \geq q-1$ if $n_i = 2$, and $\dim V_i \geq (q-1)(q^2-1)$ if $n_i = 3$. Indeed, by Theorem 5.4, $V_i^{U_i} = 0$ where U_i is the unipotent radical of a maximal parabolic in GL_{n_i} . Now the bounds follow using the explicit description of $\text{IBr}(GL_2)$ and $\text{IBr}(GL_3)$ in [23].

We apply induction on $a \geq 2$. Let $a = 2$. If $n = 4$, then $n_1 = n_2 = 2$, and

$$\dim V \geq (q-1)^2 \cdot \frac{(q^4-1)(q^3-1)}{(q^2-1)(q-1)} > q^{n^2/4+1}(q-1).$$

If $n = 5$, then we may assume $n_1 = 2$, $n_2 = 3$, and so

$$\dim V \geq (q-1)^2(q^2-1) \cdot \frac{(q^5-1)(q^4-1)}{(q^2-1)(q-1)} > q^{n^2/4+2}(q-1).$$

A similar calculation shows that $\dim V > q^{n^2/4+2}(q-1)$ if $n \geq 6$ but $n_1 n_2 < 12$. Thus we may assume $n_1 n_2 \geq 12$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} \dim V &= \dim V_1 \cdot \dim V_2 \cdot \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n_1+n_2} (q^i - 1)}{\prod_{i=1}^{n_1} (q^i - 1) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{n_2} (q^i - 1)} \\ &\geq \left(\frac{q-1}{2}\right)^2 \cdot q^{n_1^2/4+n_2^2/4+n_1 n_2-2} \\ &= \left(\frac{q-1}{2}\right)^2 \cdot q^{n^2/4+n_1 n_2/2-2} \geq (q-1)q^{n^2/4+2}. \end{aligned}$$

For the induction step, let $a \geq 3$ and $V' := V_1 \circ \dots \circ V_{a-1}$. Then $m := n - n_a \geq 4$. By inductive assumption, $\dim V' \geq q^{m^2/4+1}(q-1)$. Recall that $\dim V_a \geq q^{n_a^2/4-1}(q-1)/2$, and $m n_a \geq 2(n-2) \geq 8$ as $n \geq 6$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \dim V &= \dim V' \cdot \dim V_a \cdot \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{m+n_a} (q^i - 1)}{\prod_{i=1}^m (q^i - 1) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{n_a} (q^i - 1)} \\ &\geq \frac{(q-1)^2}{2} \cdot q^{m^2/4+n_a^2/4+m n_a} \geq q^{n^2/4+m n_a/2-1} \geq q^{n^2/4+3}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 5.9. *Let $n \geq 4$, $W \in \text{IBr}(GL_n)$, $SL_n \leq G \leq GL_n$, and V be an irreducible constituent of $W \downarrow_G$. Then one of the following holds.*

- (i) $\dim \text{End}_{P_1 \cap G}(V) \geq 2$.

- (ii) $\dim V > q^{n^2/4+2}$ if $n \neq 4, 6$, $\dim V \geq (q^2 - 1)(q^3 - 1)$ if $n = 4$, and $\dim V \geq (q^2 - 1)(q^4 - 1)(q^5 - 1)$ if $n = 6$.
- (iii) $W \cong L(\sigma, (n/2))$ for some element σ of degree 2.

Proof. Note that $\dim V \geq \dim W/(q - 1)$. If $\dim \text{End}_{P_1 \cap G}(V) = 1$ then $\dim \text{End}_{P_{n-1} \cap G}(V^\tau) = 1$, see Remark 5.6, and so $(W^\tau)^U = 0$. If W^τ is Harish-Chandra induced, we can apply Lemma 5.8. Otherwise $W^\tau = L(\sigma^{-1}, (n/d))$ for some element σ of degree $d > 1$ by Theorem 5.3. By Remark 5.6, this is equivalent to $W \cong L(\sigma, (n/d))$. If (iii) fails then $d > 2$. If $n = 4$, then $d = 4$, whence $\dim W \geq (q - 1)(q^2 - 1)(q^3 - 1)$. If $n = 5$, then $d = 5$, whence $\dim W = \prod_{i=1}^4 (q^i - 1) > q^{n^2/4+2}(q - 1)$. The case $n = 6$ can be checked similarly. If $n \geq 7$, then Lemma 5.7(iii) yields $\dim W \geq (q - 1)q^{n^2/3-1}/2 > (q - 1)q^{n^2/4+2}$. \square

5.4. SL_n -reducibility and a dimension bound. We show that GL_n -modules which are reducible over SL_n normally have large dimension:

Proposition 5.10. *Let $n \geq 4$ be even, and V be an irreducible $\mathbb{F}GL_n$ -module which is reducible over SL_n . Then one of the following holds:*

- (i) $\dim V > q^{(n^2+n)/4}(q - 1)$.
- (ii) $V \cong L(\sigma, (n/2))$ for some element σ of degree 2.
- (iii) $\ell \neq 2$ and $V \cong L(\sigma, (n/2)) \circ L(-\sigma, (n/2))$ for an ℓ' -element $\sigma \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$.
- (iv) $n = 4$ and $\dim V \geq (q - 1)(q^2 - 1)(q^3 - 1)$.

Furthermore, if (ii) or (iii) occurs, then $V \downarrow_{SL_n}$ is a sum of two irreducible constituents V^1 and V^2 which lift to CSL_n -modules $V_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ and $V_{\mathbb{C}}^2$, respectively, and have the unique subgroup in GL_n of index 2 as their inertia group.

Proof. If $m \geq 2$ we call an irreducible $\mathbb{F}GL_m$ -module X large if

$$\dim X \geq \begin{cases} q - 1, & \text{if } m = 2, \\ (q - 1)(q^{m-1} - 1), & \text{if } m = 3, 4, \\ q^{m^2/4-1}(q - 1)/2, & \text{if } m \geq 5. \end{cases}$$

1) Let $V = V_1 \circ \dots \circ V_a$ for some (not necessarily irreducible) GL_{n_i} -modules V_i , with $n_i \geq 2$ for all i . We claim that (i) holds if $a \geq 2$ and the GL_{n_i} -modules V_i are large for all i . Apply induction on $a \geq 2$. Let $a = 2$. If $n = 4$, then $n_1 = n_2 = 2$, and $\dim V \geq (q - 1)^2 \cdot \frac{(q^4 - 1)(q^3 - 1)}{(q^2 - 1)(q - 1)} > (q - 1)q^{(n^2+n)/4}$. The case $n = 6$ (when $n_1 = 2, n_2 = 4$ or $n_1 = n_2 = 3$) is similar. If $n \geq 8$ then $n_1 n_2 \geq 2(n - 2) \geq 8 + n/2$. As $\dim V_i \geq q^{n_i^2/4-1}(q - 1)/2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dim V &= \dim V_1 \cdot \dim V_2 \cdot \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n_1+n_2} (q^i - 1)}{\prod_{i=1}^{n_1} (q^i - 1) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{n_2} (q^i - 1)} \\ &\geq ((q - 1)/2)^2 \cdot q^{n_1^2/4+n_2^2/4+n_1 n_2-2} \\ &\geq (q - 1)q^{n^2/4+n_1 n_2/2-4} \geq (q - 1)q^{(n^2+n)/4}. \end{aligned}$$

We have completed the base case $a = 2$. The induction step follows by considering $V = W \circ V_a$ similarly to the case $n \geq 8$ above.

2) Let $W = L(\sigma, \lambda) \in \text{IBr}(GL_m)$ and either $d := \deg(\sigma) \geq 2$ or λ be e -divisible. Then Lemma 5.7(iii),(iv) (and a direct check for $m \leq 4$) show that W is large. If in addition $2|m$ and $d \geq 2$, then W satisfies (i), (ii), or (iv) for $V = W$. Indeed, if $d \geq 3$ and $m > 6$, then by Lemma 5.7(iii),

$\dim W > (q-1)q^{m^2/3-2} > (q-1)q^{(m^2+m)/4}$. The case $d \geq 3$, $m = 6$ is checked directly. Let $d = 2$ but $\lambda \neq (m/2)$. By [7, 5.5d] (or [8]),

$$\dim W > q^{2(\frac{m}{2}-1)} \prod_{i=1}^{m/2} (q^{2i-1} - 1) > (q-1)q^{\frac{m^2}{4}+m-4} > (q-1)q^{(m^2+m)/4}$$

when $m \geq 6$. If $m = 4$, W satisfies (iv).

3) Let $j \geq 2$, $W = L(\tau_1, \lambda) \circ \dots \circ L(\tau_j, \lambda) \in \text{IBr}(GL_m)$, and $k := \deg(\tau_i) \cdot |\lambda|$ be the same for all i . We claim that W is large and, if $2|m$, then either W satisfies (i) for $V = W$ or $W \cong L(\sigma, (m/2)) \circ L(\tau, (m/2))$ for ℓ' -elements σ, τ of degree 1. Indeed, $\dim W = \prod_{i=1}^j \dim L(\tau_i, \lambda) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{kj} (q^i - 1) / (\prod_{i=1}^k (q^i - 1))^j$. The cases $2 \leq m \leq 4$ are checked directly. For $m \geq 5$, $\dim W > q^{\sum_{i=1}^{kj} i - j \sum_{i=1}^k i} = q^{k^2 j(j-1)/2} \geq q^{m^2/4}$, so W is large. Let $2|m$. If $j \geq 3$ then $\dim W > q^{k^2 j(j-1)/2} \geq q^{m^2/3} > q^{(m^2+m)/4+1}$, as $m \geq 6$. If $j = 2$ but $\lambda \neq (m/2)$, then $\dim L(\tau_i, \lambda) > q^{m/2-1}$ [18, 8], whence $\dim W > q^{m^2/4+m-2} > q^{(m^2+m)/4+1}$.

4) Let $SL_n \leq R, T \leq G$ be such that $R/SL_n = O_{\ell'}(G/S)$, $T/SL_n = O_{\ell}(G/S)$. As $V \downarrow_{SL_n}$ is reducible, so is $V \downarrow_R$ or $V \downarrow_T$ by Lemma 2.7(i). Write $V = L(\sigma_1, \lambda^{(1)}) \circ \dots \circ L(\sigma_a, \lambda^{(a)})$ for ℓ' -elements σ_i with $\deg(\sigma_i) = d_i$.

5) Let $V \downarrow_R$ is reducible. By Lemma 2.7(i), $\kappa_{SL_n}^{GL_n}(V)_{\ell} > 1$. By Theorem 2.6, $e = \ell$ and $\lambda^{(1)}, \dots, \lambda^{(a)}$ are ℓ -divisible. If $a \geq 2$, then (i) holds by 1) and 2). Let $a = 1$. As $\ell | (q-1)$, there is an ℓ -singular element τ of degree ℓd_1 and a partition μ such that $V = L(\sigma_1, \lambda^{(1)}) \cong L(\tau, \mu)$, see [13, 2.3], [14, (7.3)]. In fact, $\mu' = (\lambda^{(1)})'/\ell$. If $\ell d_1 \geq 3$, the results of 2) applied to $L(\tau, \mu)$ imply that (i) or (iv) holds. Let $\ell d_1 = 2$. Then $\ell = 2$ and $d_1 = 1$. Applying 2) to $L(\tau, \mu)$, we see that (i), (ii) or (iv) holds. Assume (ii) happens. Then $\lambda^{(1)} = (n/2, n/2)$. As $d_1 = 1$, we may assume that $V = L(1, (n/2, n/2))$. We may choose $\tau \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times}$ to be a 2-element with $\tau^{q-1} = -1$. Then V lifts to the complex module $V_{\mathbb{C}} = L_{\mathbb{C}}(\tau, (n/2))$. By Theorem 2.6, both $V \downarrow_{SL_n}$ and $(V_{\mathbb{C}}) \downarrow_{SL_n}$ are direct sums of two irreducible constituents.

6) It remains to consider the case where $V \downarrow_T$ is reducible. By Lemmas 2.3, 2.5, there is an ℓ' -element $\tau \neq 1$ of degree 1 such that

$$L(\sigma_1, \lambda^{(1)}) \circ \dots \circ L(\sigma_a, \lambda^{(a)}) \cong L(\tau \sigma_1, \lambda^{(1)}) \circ \dots \circ L(\tau \sigma_a, \lambda^{(a)}). \quad (5.1)$$

If $a \geq 2$ and $d_i \geq 2$ for all i , then (i) holds by 1) and 2). Assume that $a \geq 2$, $d_i = 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq k \leq a$, and $d_i > 1$ for $k < i \leq a$. Then by (5.1), the set $\{(\sigma_i, \lambda^{(i)}) \mid 1 \leq i \leq k\}$ is stable under multiplication by τ , so it partitions into orbits of the form $\{(x, \mu), (\tau x, \mu), \dots, (\tau^{j-1} x, \mu)\}$. If $k < a$ or $k = a$ but we have at least two orbits, then (i) holds by 1), 2), 3). If $k = a$ and we have one orbit, but (i) fails, then by 3), $V \cong L(\sigma_1, (n/2)) \circ L(\sigma_2, (n/2))$. As $\{\sigma_1, \sigma_2\}$ is stable under multiplication by $\tau \neq 1$, we have $\tau = -1$, $\sigma_2 = -\sigma_1$, and $\ell \neq 2$. Note that in this case V lifts to the complex module $V_{\mathbb{C}} = L_{\mathbb{C}}(\sigma_1, (n/2)) \circ L_{\mathbb{C}}(-\sigma_1, (n/2))$. Furthermore, by Theorem 2.6, both $V \downarrow_{SL_n}$ and $(V_{\mathbb{C}}) \downarrow_{SL_n}$ are direct sums of two irreducible constituents.

Finally, let $a = 1$. By (5.1), σ_1 and $\tau \sigma_1$ are conjugate, so $d_1 > 1$. Applying the results of 2), we see that (i), (ii), or (iv) holds. Assume (ii) holds. As $d_1 = 2$, we must have $\sigma_1^q = \tau \sigma_1$ and so again $\tau = -1$. Also, V lifts to the complex module $V_{\mathbb{C}} = L_{\mathbb{C}}(\sigma_1, (n/2))$. Furthermore, by Theorem 2.6, both $V \downarrow_{SL_n}$ and $V_{\mathbb{C}} \downarrow_{SL_n}$ are direct sums of two irreducible constituents.

7) If (ii) or (iii) hold, we have shown that V lifts to a complex module $V_{\mathbb{C}}$, and both V and $V_{\mathbb{C}}$ are sums of two irreducible SL_n -constituents. As GL_n/SL_n is cyclic, these constituents must have the same inertia group in GL_n , which is the unique subgroup of index 2 in GL_n . \square

5.5. Some special modules.

Lemma 5.11. *Let $q = p^f$, $2|n \geq 6$, $SL_n \leq G \leq GL_n$, $H < G$, $W = L(\tau, (n/2)) \circ L(-\tau, (n/2))$ for τ of degree 1 or $W = L(\sigma, (n/2))$ for σ of degree 2, and $V \in \text{IBr}(G)$ be an irreducible constituent of $W \downarrow_G$. Then one of the following holds*

- (i) $V \downarrow_H$ is reducible;
- (ii) $|H/Z(H)| > q^{n^2/2-4}$ and $|H|$ is divisible by a p.p.d. r for $(p, (n-1)f)$. Moreover, if q is a square then $|H|$ is divisible by a p.p.d. s for $(p, (n-1)f/2)$.

Proof. Let (i) fail. By Lemma 5.7, $\dim W \geq \prod_{i=1}^{n/2} (q^i - 1) > q^{n^2/4-1}(q-1)/2$, $\dim W$ is divisible by a p.p.d. r for $(p, (n-1)f)$ and by a p.p.d. s for $(p, (n-1)f/2)$ if q is a square. If $W \downarrow_G$ is irreducible then $V = W \downarrow_G$ lifts to a complex module $W_{\mathbb{C}}$. As $V \downarrow_H$ is irreducible, so is $W_{\mathbb{C}} \downarrow_H$, whence $|H/Z(H)| > q^{n^2/2-4}$ and $|H|$ is divisible by r and by s if q is a square.

Now suppose that $W \downarrow_G$ is reducible. In particular, $q \geq 3$ and $W \downarrow_{SL_n}$ is reducible. By Lemma 5.7, $\dim W < q^{(n^2+n)/4}(q-1)$. Hence by Proposition 5.10, $W \downarrow_{SL_n} = W^1 \oplus W^2$, where each W^i lifts to a complex module $W_{\mathbb{C}}^i$, and W^i and $W_{\mathbb{C}}^i$ have the same inertia group I , which is the unique subgroup of index 2 in GL_n . We may assume that $V \downarrow_{SL_n} \cong W^1$. Thus G stabilizes W^1 , and so $G \leq I$. But G/SL_n is cyclic, hence the G -invariance of $W_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ implies that $W_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ extends to a $\mathbb{C}G$ -module $V_{\mathbb{C}}$. Now V and $(V_{\mathbb{C}} \bmod \ell) \downarrow_{SL_n}$ are two extensions to G of W^1 , and again G/SL_n is cyclic. Therefore, $(V_{\mathbb{C}} \bmod \ell) \cong V \otimes A$ for some $A \in \text{IBr}(G/SL_n)$, cf. [16, III.2.14]. Recall $V \downarrow_H$ is irreducible and A is one-dimensional. It follows that $(V_{\mathbb{C}} \bmod \ell) \downarrow_H$ is irreducible; in particular, $V_{\mathbb{C}}$ is irreducible over H . Notice that $\dim V_{\mathbb{C}} = (\dim W)/2$ is divisible by r and is greater than $q^{n^2/4-2}$. We conclude that $|H/Z(H)| > q^{n^2/2-4}$ and $|H|$ is divisible by r and by s if q is a square. \square

6. RESTRICTING TO ARBITRARY PARABOLIC SUBGROUPS

6.1. Some general facts.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $N \triangleleft H$ with H/N cyclic, $P \leq H$ be such that $PN = H$, $W \in \text{IBr}(H)$ lift to a complex module $W_{\mathbb{C}}$, V an irreducible constituent of $W \downarrow_N$, and $V \downarrow_{P \cap N}$ be irreducible. Then $\dim W$ divides $|P \cap N| \cdot (H : N)$.*

Proof. As H/N is cyclic and $PN = H$, we have $W \downarrow_N = \bigoplus_{i=1}^t g_i V$ with $g_i \in P$, and $t|(H : N)$. Since $V \downarrow_{P \cap N}$ is irreducible, so is each $g_i V \downarrow_{P \cap N}$, as P normalizes $P \cap N$. So all composition factors of $W \downarrow_{P \cap N}$ have dimension $(\dim W)/t$. If X is a composition factor of $(W_{\mathbb{C}}) \downarrow_{P \cap N}$ then $\dim X = k(\dim W)/t$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. As $\dim X$ divides $|P \cap N|$, the claim follows. \square

Lemma 6.2. *Let $N \triangleleft H$ with H/N cyclic of order d . Assume that $P, Q \leq H$ are such that $QN = H$, $Pg_1Q \neq Pg_2Q$ for some $g_1, g_2 \in H$, and $W \in \text{IBr}(H)$ is $U \uparrow^H$ for some $\mathbb{F}P$ -module U . Assume in addition that*

$\gcd(K_1, K_2) \leq \max\{K_1/d, K_2/d\}$, where $K_i = (Q : P^{g_i} \cap Q)$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then any N -irreducible constituent V of $W \downarrow_N$ is reducible over $Q \cap N$.

Proof. Assume that $V \downarrow_{Q \cap N}$ is irreducible. As H/N is cyclic and $QN = H$, we have $W \downarrow_N = \bigoplus_{i=1}^t V_i$, with $V_i = g_i V$ for some $g_i \in Q$, and $t|d$. Since Q normalizes $Q \cap N$, every $V_i \downarrow_{Q \cap N}$ is irreducible, of dimension $D := \dim V = (\dim W)/t$. On the other hand, by Mackey's Theorem,

$$W \downarrow_Q = \bigoplus_{PxQ \in P \backslash G / Q} (x^{-1}U \downarrow_{P^x \cap Q}) \uparrow^Q$$

contains the summands $(g_i^{-1}U \downarrow_{P^{g_i} \cap Q}) \uparrow^Q$ of dimension $K_i \dim U$ for $i = 1, 2$. Hence D divides $K_1 \dim U$ and $K_2 \dim U$, and so $D | \gcd(K_1, K_2) \dim U$. By assumption, there is $j \in \{1, 2\}$ such that $\gcd(K_1, K_2) \leq K_j/d$. Hence $dD \leq K_j \dim U < \dim W = tD$, contradicting $t|d$. \square

6.2. Irreducible restrictions for parabolics.

Lemma 6.3. *Let $n \geq 2$, and $P, Q < GL_n$ be maximal parabolic subgroups. Then there exist $g_1, g_2 \in GL_n$ such that $Pg_1Q \neq Pg_2Q$ and $K_2/K_1 > q - 1$, where $K_i = (Q : P^{g_i} \cap Q)$ for $i = 1, 2$.*

Proof. Let e_1, \dots, e_n be a basis of \mathcal{N} . We may assume that Q is the stabilizer of an m -space $\langle e_1, \dots, e_m \rangle$, $1 \leq m \leq n - 1$. We may replace P by any of its conjugates. So we may assume that $P = \text{Stab}_{GL_n}(\langle e_1, \dots, e_k \rangle)$, $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$. Setting $L_i := |P^{g_i} \cap Q|$, it suffices to find g_1, g_2 such that $L_1/L_2 > q - 1$.

First we consider the case $k = m$. Choosing $g_1 = 1$, we get $L_1 = q^{n(n-1)/2} \prod_{i=1}^k (q^i - 1) \prod_{i=1}^{n-k} (q^i - 1)$. There is $g_2 \in GL_n$ such that $P^{g_2} = \text{Stab}_{GL_n}(\langle e_1, \dots, e_{k-1}, e_{k+1} \rangle)$. Then $L_2 = (q - 1)^2 q^{n(n-1)/2-1} \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} (q^i - 1) \prod_{i=1}^{n-k-1} (q^i - 1)$, whence $L_1/L_2 = q(q^k - 1)(q^{n-k} - 1)/(q - 1)^2 \geq q$.

Next we consider the case $k < m$. Choosing $g_1 = 1$, we get

$$L_1 = q^{n(n-1)/2} \prod_{i=1}^k (q^i - 1) \prod_{i=1}^{m-k} (q^i - 1) \prod_{i=1}^{n-m} (q^i - 1).$$

There is $g_2 \in GL_n$ such that $P^{g_2} = \text{Stab}_{GL_n}(\langle e_1, \dots, e_{k-1}, e_{m+1} \rangle)$. Then

$$L_2 = (q - 1) q^{n(n-1)/2-(m-k+1)} \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} (q^i - 1) \prod_{i=1}^{m-k+1} (q^i - 1) \prod_{i=1}^{n-m-1} (q^i - 1),$$

whence $L_1/L_2 = \frac{q^{m-k+1}(q^k-1)(q^{n-m-1})}{(q^{m-k+1}-1)(q-1)} > q - 1$.

Finally, consider the case $k > m$. According to the previous case, there is $h_2 \in GL_n$ such that $QP \neq Qh_2P$ and $|Q \cap P|/|Q^{h_2} \cap P| > q - 1$. Choosing $g_2 = h_2^{-1}$, we get $PQ \neq Pg_2Q$ and $|P \cap Q|/|Q \cap P^{g_2}| > q - 1$, as required. \square

Now we are able to prove the main result of this section:

Theorem 6.4. *Let $n \geq 2$, $SL_n \leq G \leq GL_n$, $V \in \text{IBr}(G)$ be a constituent of $W \downarrow_G$ for $W \in \text{IBr}(GL_n)$ with $\dim V > 1$, and P be a proper parabolic subgroup of GL_n such that $V \downarrow_{P \cap G}$ is irreducible. Then P is the stabilizer in GL_n of a 1-space or of an $(n - 1)$ -space in the natural GL_n -module \mathcal{N} , and $W = L(\tau, (k))$ for some element τ of degree $n/k > 1$.*

Proof. First we show that W is Harish-Chandra indecomposable. Otherwise $W = U \uparrow_Q^{GL_n}$ for some $\mathbb{F}Q$ -module U and a proper parabolic Q . We may assume that Q and P are both maximal in GL_n . Note that GL_n/G is cyclic

of order $\leq q-1$ and $PG = GL_n$. By Lemma 6.3, (GL_n, G, Q, P) satisfies all the hypotheses of Lemma 6.2 on (H, N, Q, P) . Hence $V \downarrow_{P \cap G}$ is reducible.

Thus $V = L(\sigma, \lambda)$ for some λ and σ of degree $n/|\lambda|$. For $n = 2$ the theorem is checked directly. Let $n \geq 3$. If $\dim \text{End}_{P \cap G}(V) > 1$, the irreducibility of $V \downarrow_{P \cap G}$ implies by Corollary 3.2 that $P \cap G$ is transitive on 1-spaces in \mathcal{N} , a contradiction. So $\dim \text{End}_{P \cap G}(V) = 1$. By Theorem 5.3, $V = L(\tau, (n/d))$ for some element τ of degree d . By Lemma 5.7(i), $\dim L(\tau, (n/d)) = \prod_{i=1}^n (q^i - 1) / \prod_{i=1}^{n/d} (q^{di} - 1)$. We have $d > 1$ as $\dim W > 1$.

By Lemma 6.1, $\dim W$ divides $(q-1)|P \cap G|$. So $(q^{n-1} - 1)/(q-1)$ divides $|P|$, see Lemma 5.7(ii). Suppose that there exists a p.p.d. r for $(q, n-1)$, see §2.1. Then the divisibility of $|P|$ by r implies that P cannot stabilize any m -space of \mathcal{N} for $2 \leq m \leq n-2$. So P is the stabilizer of an 1-space or of an $(n-1)$ -space. By Lemma 2.1, it remains to consider the cases $(n, q) = (7, 2)$, or $n = 3$ and $q = 2^s - 1$ is a Mersenne prime. In the former case, $GL_n = G$, $W = V = L(\tau, (1))$, and $\dim W$ divides $|P|$. In particular 31 divides $|P|$, so P cannot stabilize an m -space for $m = 3, 4$. On the other hand the stabilizer of any 2- or 5-space has order not divisible by $\dim W$. We conclude that P is the stabilizer of a 1- or a 6-space. Finally, let $n = 3$ and $q = 2^s - 1$ is a Mersenne prime. If P does not satisfy the conclusion of the theorem then $|P| = q^3(q-1)^3$ and $\dim W = (q-1)(q^2-1)$. We have shown that $(\dim W)/(q-1)$ divides $|P \cap G|$, so $2^s | (2^s - 2)^2$. This can happen only when $s = 2$, whence $q = 3$. But in this last situation $GL_n = Z(GL_n)G$, $W = V$, and $\dim W$ does not divide $|P|$. \square

6.3. Subgroups of \mathbf{P}_{n-1} . Let $SL_n \leq G \leq GL_n$, $V \in \text{IBr}(G)$ with $\dim V > 1$, and $P = P_{n-1} = LU$ be the stabilizer in GL_n of an $(n-1)$ -space. In this subsection we study irreducible restrictions $V \downarrow_H$ for subgroups $H \leq P \cap G$. By Theorem 6.4, we may (and will) assume that V is a constituent of $W \downarrow_G$ for W of the form $L(\sigma, (k))$, with σ of degree $d = n/k \geq 2$, whose dimension is given in Lemma 5.7(i). Set $Z = Z(GL_n)$. Then $P = Z \times AGL_{n-1}$. Also $AGL_{n-1} = UL_1$, where $L_1 \cong GL_{n-1}$. Clearly, $V \downarrow_H$ is irreducible if and only if V is irreducible over HZ , and $HZ = Z \times H_1$ for a suitable subgroup $H_1 \leq AGL_{n-1}$. So we may (and will) assume that $H \leq AGL_{n-1}$. We will also identify AGL_{n-1}/U with L_1 . Then HU/U is identified with a subgroup of L_1 . The assumptions we have made so far will be valid *throughout* §6.3.

Lemma 6.5. *Let $n \geq 4$ and $V \downarrow_H$ be irreducible. Then one of the following holds:*

- (i) $HU/U \geq [L_1, L_1] \cong SL_{n-1}$;
- (ii) $n = 4$, $q = 2$ or 3 , $d = 2$, $W = L(\sigma, (2))$, $\dim V = q^3 - 1$, $\sigma^2 = -1$ if $q = 3$ and $\ell \neq 2$, $H = UM$ where $GL_1(q^3) \triangleleft M \leq \Gamma L_1(q^3) < L_1$. In this case $V \downarrow_H$ is indeed irreducible.

Proof. As $\dim(V) > 1$, $V \downarrow_U$ affords some, and so all nontrivial linear characters of U . Now $V \downarrow_{HU}$ is irreducible and $U \triangleleft HU$, so HU must act transitively on $\text{IBr}(U) \setminus \{1_U\}$, with U acting trivially. Identifying $\text{IBr}(U)$ with \mathbb{F}_q^{n-1} , we see that HU/U is a subgroup of $GL_{n-1}(q)$ which acts transitively on the nonzero vectors of \mathbb{F}_q^{n-1} . By Proposition 3.3, one of the following holds:

- (a) $HU/U \triangleright SL_a(q_1)$ with $q_1^a = q^{n-1}$ and $2 \leq a | (n-1)$;
- (b) $HU/U \triangleright Sp_{2a}(q_1)'$ with $q_1^{2a} = q^{n-1}$ and $2 \leq a | (n-1)/2$;

- (c) $HU/U \triangleright G_2(q_1)'$ with $q_1^6 = q^n$, $2|q$, and $6|(n-1)$;
- (d) HU/U is contained in $\Gamma L_1(q^{n-1})$;
- (e) $(q^{n-1}, HU/U)$ is one of the following: $(3^4, \leq 2_-^{1+4} \cdot S_5)$, $(3^4, \triangleright SL_2(5))$, $(2^4, A_7)$ or $(3^6, SL_2(13))$.

We need to show that (a) holds with $q_1 = q$. Assume otherwise.

First, we exclude (e). If $(q^{n-1}, HU/U) = (2^4, A_7)$, then $|H| \leq |U| \cdot |A_7| < 315^2 = (\dim V)^2$, so $V \downarrow_H$ is reducible. The case $(q^{n-1}, HU/U) = (3^6, SL_2(13))$ is similar. Let $(n, q) = (5, 3)$. As $GL_5(3) = Z \times SL_5(3)$, $V = W$ lifts to a complex module. So, if $V \downarrow_H$ is irreducible, then $\dim V = \prod_{i=1}^4 (3^i - 1)$ divides $|H|$, hence $5 \cdot 13$ divides $|HU/U|$. However, no proper subgroup of $SL_4(3)$ has order divisible by $5 \cdot 13$ [11].

Assume that $n = 4$ and let $t = \kappa_G^{GL_4}(W)$. Then $t|(4, q-1)$, and $\dim V = (\dim W)/t \geq (q^3 - 1)(q-1)/t$. On the other hand, we have $HU/U \leq \Gamma L_1(q^3)$ so $|HU| < 4(q^3 - 1)^2$. So $V \downarrow_H$ is reducible if $t < q-1$. Let $t = q-1$, and so $q = 2, 3$ or 5 . If $d = 4$ then $\dim V = (q^2 - 1)(q^3 - 1) > 2(q^3 - 1)$, and $V \downarrow_H$ is reducible. Let $d = 2$. Then $t \leq 2$, so $q = 2$ or 3 . Moreover, if $q = 3$, the equality $t = 2$ implies $\sigma^2 = -1$ if $\ell \neq 2$, see Theorem 2.6. If $H \not\geq U$ then $H \cap U = \{1\}$, and $|H| = |HU/U| \leq 3(q^3 - 1) < (\dim V)^2$. So $H \geq U$ and $H = UM$ for some $M \leq L_1$. As HU/U acts transitively on $q^3 - 1$ non-trivial linear characters of U , we deduce $M \geq GL_1(q^3)$. Conversely, if we are in (ii), then by dimensions, $V \downarrow_U$ is a sum of all non-trivial linear characters of U which are permuted transitively by M , and so $V \downarrow_H$ is irreducible.

Assume that $n = 5$. Then $\dim V \geq (\dim W)/(q-1) = \prod_{i=2}^4 (q^i - 1)$. If $HU/U \triangleright Sp_4(q)$, then $|HU/U| \leq |CSp_4(q)| = q^4(q-1)(q^2-1)(q^4-1)$. If $HU/U \triangleright SL_2(q^2)$, then $|HU/U| \leq |\Gamma L_2(q^2)| = 2q^2(q^2-1)(q^4-1)$. Also, $|\Gamma L_1(q^4)| = 4(q^4-1)$. In all cases, $|H| < (\dim V)^2$ and so $V \downarrow_H$ is reducible.

If $n = 6$ then $HU/U \leq \Gamma L_1(q^5)$, so $|H| \leq 5(q^5 - 1)q^5$, whereas $\dim V \geq (\dim W)/(q-1) \geq (q^3 - 1)(q^5 - 1) > \sqrt{|H|}$, and $V \downarrow_H$ is reducible.

Finally, let $n \geq 7$. If $n/k \geq 3$ then $\dim V > q^{n^2/3-2}$ by Lemma 5.7(iii). Hence for any $s \geq 2$, $|\Gamma_{(n-1)/s}(q^s)| = s|GL_{(n-1)/s}(q^s)| < sq^{(n-1)^2/s} \leq 2q^{(n-1)^2/2} < (\dim V)^2/|U|$, so HU/U cannot lie in $\Gamma L_{(n-1)/s}(q^s)$. This leaves two possibilities: $HU/U \triangleright Sp_{n-1}(q)$ or $HU/U \triangleright G_2(q)'$ (with $n = 7$). Then $|HU/U| \leq |CSp_{n-1}(q)| < (q-1)q^{n(n-1)/2} < (\dim V)^2/|U|$, and again $V \downarrow_H$ is reducible. If $n/k = 2$ then $\dim V \geq (\dim W)/2 = (\prod_{i=1}^{n/2} (q^{2i-1} - 1))/2$. Since $n-1$ is odd, we must have $HU/U \leq \Gamma L_{(n-1)/s}(q^s)$ for some $s \geq 3$. It follows that $|H| < (\dim V)^2$, again a contradiction. \square

Recall notation from §1.2.

Proposition 6.6. *Let $n \geq 3$.*

- (i) *If $H \geq [P, P] = U[L, L]$ then $V \downarrow_H$ is irreducible if and only if $\kappa(\sigma, H) = \kappa_G(W)$.*
- (ii) *Let $n \geq 4$, $H \cap U \neq 1$, and $V \downarrow_H$ is irreducible. Then either $H \geq [P, P] = U[L, L]$ or the case (ii) of Lemma 6.5 holds.*

Proof. (ii) If $V \downarrow_H$ is irreducible but (ii) of Lemma 6.5 fails. Then the lemma yields $H/(H \cap U) \cong HU/U \geq [L_1, L_1]$. But $H \cap U \neq 1$ and $[L_1, L_1]$ acts transitively on $H \setminus \{1\}$, so $H \geq U$ and $H \geq U[L, L] = [P, P]$.

(i) Write $H = UM_1$ with $SL_{n-1} \leq M_1 \leq GL_{n-1}$ and let $J \leq \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ be the image of M_1 under the determinant map. We have $W \downarrow_G = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\kappa_G(W)} g_i V$ for some $g_i \in T := \{\text{diag}(I_{n-1}, a) \mid a \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times\}$ with $g_1 = 1$. As T normalizes H , H acts on each $g_i V$. It suffices now to show that $\kappa(\sigma, H)$ equals the number t of the irreducible summands of $W \downarrow_H$.

By Theorem 5.2, $W \downarrow_{AGL_{n-1}} = e_{d-1} L(\sigma, (k-1))$. Recalling the explicit definition of the functor e_{d-1} from [7, §5.1] and using the analogue of [7, 5.1a] for $H \cap AGL_{n-1}$, we conclude that t equals the number of irreducible summands of $L(\sigma, (k-1)) \downarrow_{M_2}$, where $M_2 = M_1 \cap GL_{n-d}$, and $\det(M_2) = J$. By Lemma 2.4, the latter number is equal to

$$\kappa_B^{GL_{n-d}}(L(\sigma, (k-1))) \cdot \min\{(\kappa_{SL_{n-d}}^{GL_{n-d}}(L(\sigma, (k-1))))_\ell, |GL_{n-d}/M_2|_\ell\} \quad (6.1)$$

where $M_2 \leq B \leq GL_{n-d}$ and $B/M_2 = O_\ell(GL_{n-d}/M_2)$. Applying Lemmas 2.3, 2.5, and Theorem 2.6, we see that each term in (6.1) depends only on σ and J , but not on k . For instance, $\kappa_{SL_{n-d}}^{GL_{n-d}}(L(\sigma, (k-1)))_\ell = \gcd\left(q-1, \frac{\deg(\sigma)}{\deg(\sigma_\ell)}\right)_\ell$. Similarly, $\kappa(\sigma, H) = \kappa_{M_1 SL_n}^{GL_n}(L(\sigma, (k)))$ also equals (6.1), since $\det(M_1 SL_n) = J$. Consequently, $t = \kappa(\sigma, H)$. \square

Example 6.7. Let $W = L(\sigma, (k))$ and V be an irreducible constituent of $W \downarrow_{SL_n}$. Then V is irreducible over the subgroup $H = ASL_{n-1}(q)$ of AGL_{n-1} , as in this case, $M_1 = SL_{n-1}(q)$ and so $\kappa(\sigma, H) = \kappa_{SL_n}^{GL_n}(W)$.

Proposition 6.8. *Let $n \geq 3$ and $H \cap U = 1$. Then $V \downarrow_H$ is irreducible if and only if $[L, L] \leq H \leq L_1$ (up to G -conjugacy), $2|n$, and one of the following holds:*

- (i) $G = SL_n(3)$ and if $\ell \neq 2$ then $\sigma^2 = -1$.
- (ii) $G = SL_n(2)$ and $\sigma \neq 1 = \sigma^3$.

Proof. If $n = 3$, then $\dim V \geq (\dim W)/(q-1) \geq q^2 - 1$, whence $|H| = |HU/U| \leq |GL_2| < (\dim V)^2$, and $V \downarrow_H$ is reducible. So we may assume that $n \geq 4$. Suppose $V \downarrow_H$ is irreducible. By Lemma 6.5, $H \cong HU/U \geq [L_1, L_1]$. On the other hand, $HU \leq AGL_{n-1}$, hence $HU/U \leq GL_{n-1}$. Therefore $K := [H, H] \cong SL_{n-1}$. Now observe that KU is a subgroup of $[P, P] = ASL_{n-1}$ of order $|K| \cdot |U| = |[P, P]|$. Hence $KU = ASL_{n-1} = U[L_1, L_1]$. Thus K and $[L_1, L_1]$ are two complements to U in $[P, P]$.

If $(n, q) \notin \{(5, 2), (4, 2)\}$, then by [38] (for the case $q = p$) and [10] (for the case $q > 3$), $H^1(SL_{n-1}(q), \mathbb{F}_q^{n-1}) = 0$, whence K is P -conjugate to $[L_1, L_1] = [L, L]$. If $(n, q) = (5, 2)$ then $\dim V = 315 > \sqrt{|H|}$, and so this case can be excluded. If $(n, q) = (4, 2)$ then $H \cong L_1 = SL_3(2)$, so we must have $\dim V = 7$. Note that V lifts to a complex module $V_{\mathbb{C}}$ which is the heart of the permutation module of $G = SL_4(2) \cong A_8$ acting on 8 letters. The irreducibility of $(V_{\mathbb{C}}) \downarrow_H$ is equivalent to H being 2-transitive. One can check¹ that any such a subgroup is conjugate to L_1 . Thus in any case we may assume that $K = [L_1, L_1]$, and so $H \leq N_P(K) \cap AGL_{n-1} = L_1$.

As $[L, L] \leq H \leq L_1$, H is centralized by $T := \{\text{diag}(I_{n-1}, a) \mid a \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times\}$. Write $W \downarrow_G = \bigoplus_{i=1}^t g_i V$ for some $g_i \in T$. Then every composition factor of $W \downarrow_H$ has dimension equal to $\dim V = (\dim W)/t$. On the other hand,

¹We thank E. O'Brien for verifying this fact on computer.

W lifts to a complex module $W_{\mathbb{C}} = L_{\mathbb{C}}(\sigma, (k))$. If W_1 is an irreducible constituent of $W_{\mathbb{C}} \downarrow_H$, then every composition factor of $W_1 \pmod{\ell}$ has dimension $\dim V$, so $\dim W_1 \geq \dim V = (\dim W)/t$. Thus $W_{\mathbb{C}}$ has at most $t \leq q - 1$ irreducible constituents on restriction to H , and so on restriction to $L_1 = GL_{n-1}$ as well.

By [7, 5.1e], we have an isomorphism of functors

$$\text{res}_{GL_{n-1}}^{AGL_{n-1}} \circ e_{d-1}(-) \simeq R_{GL_{n-d} \times GL_{d-1}}^{GL_{n-1}}(- \otimes \Gamma_{d-1}),$$

where R denotes the Harish-Chandra induction, and Γ_{d-1} is the Gelfand-Graev representation of GL_{d-1} , which has at least $(q-1)q^{d-2}$ composition factors [7, 2.5d]. As $L(\sigma, (k)) \downarrow_{AGL_{n-1}} = e_{d-1}(L(\sigma, (k-1)))$, we conclude that $L(\sigma, (k)) \downarrow_{GL_{n-1}}$ has at least $(q-1)q^{d-2}$ composition factors. Hence, $(q-1)q^{d-2} \leq t \leq q-1$ and so $d = 2$. In this case, $\kappa_{SL_n}^{GL_n}(W) \leq 2$ by Theorem 2.6. Hence $(q-1)q^{d-2} \leq t \leq 2$, and so $q \leq 3$.

If $q = 2$ then $\sigma \neq 1 = \sigma^3$, as $d = 2$. Moreover, $H = GL_{n-1}$, and

$$V \downarrow_H = L(\sigma, (n/2 - 1)) \circ L(1, (1)), \quad (6.2)$$

which is irreducible. Indeed, this is clear if $\ell \neq 3$. If $\ell = 3$, then $L(\sigma, (n/2 - 1)) \circ L(1, (1)) \cong L(1, (n/2 - 1, n/2 - 1, 1))$, see [7, 4.3e].

Let $q = 3$. Then $t = 2$ and $G = SL_n(3)$. Also $\Gamma_1 = L(1, (1)) + L(-1, (1))$ in the Grothendieck group. If $\ell \neq 2$ then $\sigma \in \mathbb{F}_9^\times$ is an ℓ' -element, so in the Grothendieck group of $\mathbb{F}L_1$ -modules we have

$$W \downarrow_{L_1} = (L(\sigma, (n/2 - 1)) \circ L(1, (1))) + (L(\sigma, (n/2 - 1)) \circ L(-1, (1))). \quad (6.3)$$

As $2|n$, we have $L_1 = Z(L_1) \times [L_1, L_1]$. But $L_1 \geq H \geq [L_1, L_1]$, so $\kappa_H^{GL_n}(W) = 2$. Moreover, $t = 2$ implies $\sigma^2 = -1$. Finally, if $\ell = 2$, then $\sigma \in \mathbb{F}_9^\times$ is a 2-element of degree 2. So in the Grothendieck group we have $W \downarrow_{L_1} = 2L(1, (n/2 - 1, n/2 - 1)) \circ L(1, (1)) = 2L(1, (n/2 - 1, n/2 - 1, 1))$ by [7, 4.3e]. Thus $\kappa_{L_1}^{GL_n}(W) = 2$ Hence $V \downarrow_H$ is irreducible.

The ‘if’ part follows from (6.2) and (6.3). \square

Lemma 6.9. *Let $n = 3$, $H \cap U \neq 1$, and $V \downarrow_H$ be irreducible. Then $H \geq [P, P]$.*

Proof. Assume the contrary. As HU/U acts transitively on $\text{Irr}(U) \setminus \{1_U\}$ and so on $U \setminus \{1\}$, we have $H \geq U$ and $H = UM$ for some $M \leq L_1$. Let $t = \kappa_G^{GL_3}(W)$. Then $\dim V = (q-1)(q^2-1)/t$, and $t|(3, q-1)$.

Let $t = 1$. Then $|M| \geq (\dim V)^2/|U| > (q^2-2)(q-1)^2$. If $q > 2$, then $(GL_2 : M) < 2$ and so $M = L_1$, a contradiction. If $q = 2$, then $\dim V = 3$. By the Fong-Swan theorem, $V \downarrow_H$ lifts to a $\mathbb{C}H$ -module as H is solvable. So 3 divides $|H|$ and $|M|$, which implies that $M \geq SL_2(2)$, a contradiction.

Finally, let $t = 3$ and $q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. Then $|M| \geq (\dim V)^2/|U| > (q^2-2)(q-1)^2/9$. So, if $q \geq 13$, then $(GL_2(q) : M) < q-2$. But the index of any proper subgroup of $SL_2(q)$ is at least $q+1$ (for such q) [25, Table 5.2A]. Hence $M \geq SL_2(q)$, a contradiction. Let $q = 7$. Then $(SL_2(7) : M \cap SL_2(7)) < 11$. But M acts transitively on 48 non-trivial characters of U , so $|M \cap SL_2(7)|$ is divisible by 8. By [11], $|M \cap SL_2(7)| = 48$, and so $|M|$ divides $6 \cdot 48$. Recall that $V \downarrow_U$ affords all non-trivial characters with multiplicity 2. Let λ be such a character, and $J = \text{Stab}_H(\lambda)$. Then $V \downarrow_H = B \uparrow_J^H$ for some 2-dimensional J -module B . Again, J is solvable, as J/U has order dividing 6. So B lifts

to a complex module of dimension 2, on which U acts via the character 2λ . Hence J/U acts irreducibly on this complex module. So $J/U \cong S_3$. On the other hand, the stabilizer of λ in $GL_2(7)$ is isomorphic to $C_7 : C_6$, and so it cannot contain S_3 as a subgroup, giving a contradiction. Let $q = 4$. As $t = 3$, we have $G = SL_3(4)$, $\dim V = 15$. Note that $M \leq L_1 \cap G = SL_2(4)$. As a proper subgroup of $SL_2(4)$, M is solvable, and hence so is H . Again, $V \downarrow_H$ lifts to a complex module, hence the irreducibility of $V \downarrow_H$ implies that 15 divides $|H|$, and also $|M|$. But $SL_2(4)$ does not have such a subgroup, giving a contradiction. \square

Lemma 6.10. *If $n = 2$ then $V \downarrow_H$ is irreducible if and only if $HZ(G) = P_1 \cap G$ and one of the following holds:*

- (i) $\dim V = q - 1$ and $GZ = GL_2$;
- (ii) $q > 3$ is odd, $\dim V = (q - 1)/2$, and $G = Z(G)SL_2$.

Proof. The cases $q = 2, 3$ are easy to check, so assume $q \geq 4$. Let $V \downarrow_H$ be irreducible. Note that $\dim V = (q - 1)/t$ for $t = 1$ or 2 . We have $H \cap U \neq 1$, for otherwise $H \cong HU/U \leq AGL_1/U$ is abelian, giving a contradiction. Note that HU acts transitively on the non-trivial linear characters of U which appear in $V \downarrow_U$. These characters appear with multiplicity 1, so their number is $(q - 1)/t$. Hence HU has an orbit of length $(q - 1)/t$ on $U \setminus \{1\}$. One can now check that there are t H -orbits on $U \setminus \{1\}$, each of length $(q - 1)/t$. As $H \cap U \neq 1$, we conclude that $H \geq U$. If $t = 1$ then $H = AGL_1$ because it acts transitively on $q - 1$ non-trivial characters of U , and we arrive at (i). If $t = 2$, we arrive at (ii). The ‘if’ part is straightforward. \square

7. TRANSITIVE SUBGROUPS

We consider the groups transitive on 1-spaces from Proposition 3.3.

7.1. $\Gamma L_d(9)$. First, we rule out the case left in Proposition 3.5:

Proposition 7.1. *Let $\ell \neq 2$, $d \geq 3$ be odd, $n = 2d$, $S = SL_n(3)$, $H = \Gamma L_d(9) \cap S$, and $V \in \text{IBr}(S)$ with $\dim V > 1$. Then $V \downarrow_H$ is reducible.*

Proof. Set $G = GL_n(3)$, $K = \Gamma L_d(9)$. If V extends to a G -module then the extension is reducible over H by Proposition 3.5. So we may assume that there is $W \in \text{IBr}(G)$ such that $W \downarrow_S = V \oplus V'$. Proposition 5.10 allows us to restrict to one of the following two cases: (a) $\dim V > 3^{d^2+d/2}$. In this case $|H| = |K|/2 = |\Gamma L_d(9)| < 9^{d^2} < (\dim V)^2$. So $V \downarrow_H$ is reducible. (b) W satisfies (ii) or (iii) from Proposition 5.10. Then V lifts to a complex module $V_{\mathbb{C}}$. As $d \geq 3$, there is a p.p.d. r for $(3, 2d - 1)$, see Lemma 2.1. By Lemma 5.7(i), r divides $\dim V_{\mathbb{C}}$. On the other hand, $r \nmid |H|$, so $V_{\mathbb{C}}$ and V are both reducible over H . \square

7.2. **On irreducible characters of $\text{Sp}_{2n}(q)$.** In this subsection we use some facts from Deligne-Lusztig theory which can be found e.g. in [12].

Lemma 7.2. *Let p be odd, $n \geq 3$, $(n, q) \neq (3, 3)$, $S = \text{Sp}_{2n}(q)$, $\chi \in \text{Irr}(S)$ have degree coprime to p , and $1 < \chi(1) \leq (q^{2n} - 1)/(q - 1)$. Then χ is one of the following characters:*

- (i) four Weil characters of degree $(q^n - \epsilon)/2$, two for each $\epsilon = \pm 1$;
- (ii) four characters of degree $(q^{2n} - 1)/(2(q - \epsilon))$, two for each $\epsilon = \pm 1$;

- (iii) $(q - 3)/2$ characters of degree $(q^{2n} - 1)/(q - 1)$;
- (iv) $(q - 1)/2$ characters of degree $(q^{2n} - 1)/(q + 1)$.

Proof. By Lusztig's classification irreducible characters of S corresponds to pairs $((s), \psi)$, where (s) is a semisimple conjugacy class in $S^* := SO_{2n+1}(q)$ and ψ is a unipotent character of $C := C_{S^*}(s)$, with $\chi(1) = D\psi(1)$, where $D = (S^* : C)_p'$. Consider the natural module $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{F}_q^{2n+1}$ for S^* .

1) If $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B}$ is an orthogonal sum of two proper C -invariant subspaces, then one of the subspaces \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} has dimension 1 or 2. Indeed, otherwise we may assume $3 \leq \dim \mathcal{A} = 2k + 1 \leq 2n - 3$. If $5 \leq 2k + 1 \leq 2n - 5$ then $n \geq 5$, and $C \leq S^* \cap (O(\mathcal{A}) \times O(\mathcal{B})) = (SO_{2k+1}(q) \times SO_{2(n-k)}^\pm(q)) \cdot 2$, whence $D > q^{(n-k)(2k+1)}/8 \geq q^{2n+5}/8 > q^{2n+3}$. If $\dim \mathcal{A} = 2n - 3$, then $\dim \mathcal{B} = 4$, $C \leq (SO_{2n-3}(q) \times SO_4^\pm(q)) \cdot 2$, and so

$$D \geq (q^{2n-1})(q^{2n-2} - 1)/2(q^2 - 1)(q^2 \mp 1) > (q^{2n} - 1)/(q - 1)$$

as $n \geq 3$ and $(n, q) \neq (3, 3)$. Finally, if $\dim \mathcal{A} = 3$, then $\dim \mathcal{B} = 2n - 2$, $C \leq (SO_3(q) \times SO_{2n-2}^\pm(q)) \cdot 2$, and so

$$D \geq (q^{2n-1})(q^{2n-2} - 1)/2(q^2 - 1)(q^{n-1} \mp 1) > (q^{2n} - 1)/(q - 1)$$

again, because $n \geq 3$ and $(n, q) \neq (3, 3)$.

2) One can show (cf. the proof of [44, 5.2]) that \mathcal{M} is an orthogonal sum $\bigoplus_{i=1}^t \mathcal{M}_i$ of C -invariant nondegenerate subspaces, with summands satisfying one of the following: (a) \mathcal{M}_i has odd dimension and $s|_{\mathcal{M}_i} = 1_{\mathcal{M}_i}$, (b) \mathcal{M}_i has even dimension and $s|_{\mathcal{M}_i} = -1_{\mathcal{M}_i}$, (c) \mathcal{M}_i has even dimension $2kd$, $s \pm 1$ is nondegenerate on \mathcal{M}_i , and $C_{O(\mathcal{M}_i)}(s|_{\mathcal{M}_i}) = GL_k^\pm(q^d) < SO(\mathcal{M}_i)$ (where GL^ϵ stands for GL if $\epsilon = +$ and for GU if $\epsilon = -$). We may assume that $\mathcal{M}_1 = \text{Ker}(s - 1)$. If $t \geq 3$, we can write $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B}$ as an orthogonal sum of two C -invariant subspaces of dimension ≥ 3 , contrary to 1). If $t = 1$, then $1 < \chi(1) = \psi(1)$ is the degree of some nontrivial unipotent character of S^* , and so it is divisible by p , see the proof of [35, 7.2]. It remains to consider the case $t = 2$. By 1) there are two possible possibilities.

Case 1: $\dim \mathcal{M}_1 = 1$. If $s|_{\mathcal{M}_2} \neq -1_{\mathcal{M}_2}$, then $C = GL_k^\pm(q^d)$ with $kd = n$, and so $D > (q^{2n} - 1)/(q - 1)$. Hence $s|_{\mathcal{M}_2} = -1_{\mathcal{M}_2}$, $C \cong O_{2n}^\epsilon(q)$. As ψ is a unipotent character of $O_{2n}^\epsilon(q)$ of degree coprime to p , we have $\psi(1) = 1$ as above. In fact, C has two unipotent characters of degree 1 for each $\epsilon = \pm$. This leads to four characters of degrees as in (i), and these must be Weil characters by [44, 5.2].

Case 2: $\dim \mathcal{M}_1 = 2n - 1$. Suppose $s|_{\mathcal{M}_2} \neq -1_{\mathcal{M}_2}$. Then $C = SO_{2n-1}(q) \times GL_1^\epsilon(q)$ with $\epsilon = \pm$. As above, $\psi(1) = 1$, hence ψ is trivial, and $\chi(1) = (q^{2n} - 1)/(q - \epsilon)$. Moreover, $s|_{\mathcal{M}_2} \in GL_1^\epsilon(q)$ has order > 2 and s and s^{-1} are conjugate in S^* . Thus we arrive at (iii) when $\epsilon = +$ and at (iv) when $\epsilon = -$. Finally, assume $s|_{\mathcal{M}_2} = -1_{\mathcal{M}_2}$. Then $C = (SO_{2n-1}(q) \times SO_2^\epsilon(q)) \cdot 2$. Again $\psi(1) = 1$, and C has two unipotent characters of degree 1 for each $\epsilon = \pm$. This leads to the conclusion (ii). For a future reference, we fix such a decomposition $V = \mathcal{M}_1 \oplus \mathcal{M}_2$, where \mathcal{M}_2 is a 2-dimensional orthogonal subspace of type $+$, and denote by T the subgroup $\{g \in S^* \mid g|_{\mathcal{M}_1} = 1_{\mathcal{M}_1}, \det(g|_{\mathcal{M}_2}) = 1\}$. Notice that $T \cong \mathbb{F}_q^\times$. \square

Lemma 7.3. *Let \mathcal{G} be a connected reductive algebraic group in characteristic $p \neq \ell$ and F be a Frobenius map on \mathcal{G} . Let the pair (\mathcal{G}^*, F^*) be dual to (\mathcal{G}, F) ,*

and set $G := \mathcal{G}^F$ and $G^* := (\mathcal{G}^*)^{F^*}$. Assume that $\chi_i \in \text{Irr}(G)$ belongs to the rational series $\mathcal{E}(G, (s_i))$ corresponding to the G^* -conjugacy class of the semisimple element $s_i \in G^*$, and t_i is the ℓ' -part of s_i , for $i = 1, 2$.

- (i) If t_1 and t_2 are not G^* -conjugate, then χ_1 and χ_2 belong to different ℓ -blocks of G .
- (ii) If $\chi_1(1) = (G^* : C_{G^*}(t_1))_{p'}$, then $\chi_1 \pmod{\ell}$ is irreducible.

Proof. Set $\mathcal{E}_\ell(G, (t_i)) = \cup \mathcal{E}(G, (ut_i))$, the union over all ℓ -elements $u \in C_{G^*}(t_i)$. By [5], $\mathcal{E}_\ell(G, (t_i))$ is a union of ℓ -blocks, so we get (i), as distinct rational series are disjoint. Next, by [21], the degree of any irreducible Brauer character in $\mathcal{E}_\ell(G, (t_1))$ is divisible by $(G^* : C_{G^*}(t_1))_{p'}$, giving (ii). \square

7.3. Restrictions to $\text{Sp}_{2n}(q)$.

Proposition 7.4. *Let $S = \text{Sp}_{2n}(q) < H = \text{CSp}_{2n}(q) < G = \text{GL}_{2n}(q)$ with $n \geq 2$, and $V = L(\sigma, (1)) \circ L(\tau, (2n-1))$ for ℓ' -elements $\sigma \neq \tau$ in \mathbb{F}_q^\times . Then:*

- (i) If $\tau \neq \pm\sigma$ then $V \downarrow_S$ is irreducible.
- (ii) If $\tau = -\sigma$ then $V \downarrow_H$ is irreducible and $V \downarrow_S$ is reducible.

Proof. By Lemma 2.5 we may assume that $\tau = 1$. If q is even, the statement has been proved in [19, 7.10]. Let q be odd.

1) The 1-dimensional GL_1 -module $L(\sigma, (1))$ defines a nontrivial character $\alpha : \mathbb{F}_q^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^\times$. Fix $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ with $\alpha(\gamma) \neq 1$ and a Witt basis $e_1, f_1, \dots, e_n, f_n$ for the natural module $\mathcal{N} = \mathbb{F}_q^{2n}$ of S . Let $A = \mathbb{F} \cdot v$ be the 1-dimensional module of $P = \text{Stab}_G(\langle e_1 \rangle)$, where $hv = \alpha(\beta_h)v$ for any $h \in P$ with $h(e_1) = \beta_h e_1$. Then $V = A \uparrow^G$. If $X \leq G$ is a subgroup with $G = XP$,

$$\text{End}_X(V) \cong \bigoplus_{RgR \in R \backslash X / R} \text{Hom}_{R \cap R^g}(A \downarrow_{R \cap R^g}, A^g \downarrow_{R \cap R^g}),$$

where $R := X \cap P$. For $X \in \{H, S\}$, we have $X = \sqcup_{i=1}^3 Rg_iR$ with $g_1 = 1$, $g_2(e_1) = e_2$, and $g_3(e_1) = f_1$. Set

$$N_i := \dim \text{Hom}_{R \cap R^{g_i}}(A \downarrow_{R \cap R^{g_i}}, A^{g_i} \downarrow_{R \cap R^{g_i}}).$$

Then obviously $N_1 = 1$. Furthermore, $N_2 = 0$ as $R \cap R^{g_2}$ contains an element y with $y(e_1) = e_1$ and $y(e_2) = \gamma e_2$. If $X = H$, then $N_3 = 0$, as $R \cap R^{g_3}$ contains an element z with $z(e_1) = e_1$ and $z(f_1) = \gamma f_1$. If $X = S$, then for any element $z \in R \cap R^{g_3}$ we have $z(e_1) = \beta_z e_1$ and $z(f_1) = \beta_z^{-1} f_1$, whence $N_3 = 0$ if $\alpha \neq \alpha^{-1}$ and $N_3 = 1$ if $\alpha = \alpha^{-1}$. We have shown that $\dim \text{End}_X(V)$ equals 1 if $X = H$, or if $X = S$ and $\sigma \neq -1$, and 2 if $X = S$ and $\sigma = -1$. In particular, we are done if $\ell = 0$.

Set $V_{\mathbb{C}}(\delta) := L_{\mathbb{C}}(\delta, (1)) \circ L_{\mathbb{C}}(1, (2n-1))$ for $\delta \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$. Using Mackey's formula as above, one can check that $\text{Hom}_S(V_{\mathbb{C}}(\delta) \downarrow_S, V_{\mathbb{C}}(\delta') \downarrow_S) \neq 0$ precisely when $\delta' = \delta^{\pm 1}$. In particular, $V_{\mathbb{C}}(\delta) \downarrow_S \cong V_{\mathbb{C}}(\delta^{-1}) \downarrow_S$ when $\delta \neq \pm 1$ since the modules are irreducible.

2) If $(n, q) = (3, 3)$, then $\sigma = -1$ and $\ell \neq 2$. By 1), $V_{\mathbb{C}}(\sigma)$ is an irreducible H -module of dimension 364 splitting into two irreducible S -modules. Using [11] and [24], we can verify (ii). The case $(n, q) = (2, 3)$ is checked similarly.

3) Now assume $(n, q) \neq (2, 3), (3, 3)$. Let χ_δ be the character of $V_{\mathbb{C}}(\delta)$. By 1), $\chi_\delta \downarrow_S$ is irreducible of degree $(q^{2n} - 1)/(q - 1)$ if $\delta \neq \pm 1$, and $\chi_{-1} \downarrow_S = \rho_1 + \rho_2$, the sum of two irreducible characters of degree $(q^{2n} - 1)/(2(q - 1))$.

If $n \geq 3$, then by Lemma 7.2, $\chi_\delta \downarrow_S$ for $\delta \neq \pm 1$ is the semisimple character φ_t labeled by an element t of a certain subgroup T of the dual

$S^* = SO_{2n+1}(q)$, $t^2 \neq 1$, and $\varphi_t(1) = (S^* : C_{S^*}(t))_{p'}$. This T appears in Case 2 of the proof of Lemma 7.2, and is identified with \mathbb{F}_q^\times . Moreover, ρ_1 and ρ_2 are contained in the rational series $\mathcal{E}(S, (j))$ for an involution $j \in S^*$ with $(S^* : C_{S^*}(j))_{p'} = \rho_1(1) = \rho_2(1)$. The same statements hold for $n = 2$. Indeed, $\chi_{\delta \downarrow_S}$ with $\delta \neq \pm 1$ is some $\xi_3(k)$, and ρ_1 and ρ_2 are Φ_5 and Φ_6 in the notation of [43]; their Jordan decomposition is given in [47].

Write $\mathbb{F}_q^\times = A \sqcup B$, where A is the set of t whose ℓ' -part is 1 or -1 , and let $C := O_\ell(\mathbb{F}_q^\times)$. Set $\kappa = 1$ if $\ell = 2$ and $\kappa = 2$ if $\ell \neq 2$. Note that $|C|$ divides $|A|$ (in fact $|A| = \kappa|C|$) and $|B|$. Consider $t, t' \in B$. Since t and the ℓ' -part of t have the same centralizer in S^* , by Lemma 7.3 we see that $\varphi_t(\text{mod } \ell)$ is irreducible; moreover, φ_t and $\varphi_{t'}$ belong to different ℓ -blocks if $t^{\pm 1}t' \notin C$ (indeed, in this case the ℓ' -parts of t and t' are not S^* -conjugate). We noted above that $\chi_{\delta \downarrow_S} \neq \chi_{\delta' \downarrow_S}$ whenever $\delta' \neq \delta^{\pm 1}$. So, when we vary $\delta \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times \setminus \{\pm 1\}$, the restrictions $\chi_{\delta \downarrow_S}$ yield all irreducible characters of degree $(q^{2n} - 1)/(q - 1)$ of S , which are the φ_t with $\pm 1 \neq t \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$.

Moreover, when we vary $\delta \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$, the restrictions of $\chi_{\delta}(\text{mod } \ell)$ to S yield at least $|B|/\kappa|C|$ distinct irreducible Brauer characters of S of degree $(q^{2n} - 1)/(q - 1)$. On the other hand, by [23], $\chi_{\delta}(\text{mod } \ell) = \chi_{\delta'}(\text{mod } \ell)$ if $\delta \equiv \delta'(\text{mod } C)$. In particular, if $\delta \in A$ then the restriction of $\chi_{\delta}(\text{mod } \ell)$ to S equals $\chi_{\pm 1}(\text{mod } \ell) \downarrow_S$ which is reducible as $\chi_{\pm 1} \downarrow_S$ is reducible. Thus when we vary $\delta \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$, the restrictions of $\chi_{\delta}(\text{mod } \ell)$ to S yield at most $(|\mathbb{F}_q^\times| - |A|)/\kappa|C| = |B|/\kappa|C|$ irreducible Brauer characters of S of degree $(q^{2n} - 1)/(q - 1)$ as composition factors, and this restriction can be irreducible only when $\delta \in B$. Consequently, $\chi_{\delta}(\text{mod } \ell) \downarrow_S$ is irreducible precisely when $\delta \in B$. In particular, $V \downarrow_S$ is irreducible if $\sigma \neq \pm 1$.

4) Finally, let $\sigma = -1$ (so $\ell \neq 2$). Recall that ρ_1 and ρ_2 are irreducible characters in the rational series $\mathcal{E}(S, (j))$ for some involution $j \in S^*$ and $(S^* : C_{S^*}(j))_{p'} = \rho_1(1) = \rho_2(1)$. By Lemma 7.3, $\rho_i(\text{mod } \ell)$ is irreducible for $i = 1, 2$, since $\ell \neq 2 = |j|$. Set $P_m := \text{Stab}_H((e_1, \dots, e_m))$. Then $U := O_p(P_m)$ is elementary abelian, and $\chi_{-1} \downarrow_U = \chi_1 \downarrow_U$. But $\chi_1 \downarrow_S = 1_{P_m \cap S} \uparrow_S$ is the permutation character of S on 1-spaces of \mathcal{N} . So, by [30], $\chi_1 \downarrow_U$ affords two $P_m \cap S$ -orbits of nontrivial linear characters of U of length $(q^n - 1)/2$, fused by P_m , each with multiplicity 1 (and some other orbits). As $\chi_{-1} \downarrow_H$ is irreducible, one of them is afforded by $\rho_1 \downarrow_U$ and the other by $\rho_2 \downarrow_U$. Thus the two Brauer characters $\rho_i(\text{mod } \ell)$, $i = 1, 2$, are irreducible, H -conjugate, but distinct. So $V \downarrow_H$ (affording $(\rho_1 + \rho_2)(\text{mod } \ell)$ over S) is irreducible. \square

Theorem 7.5. *Let $n \geq 2$, $G = GL_{2n}(q)$, $H = CSp_{2n}(q)$, $S = Sp_{2n}(q)$, $V \in \text{IBr}(G)$, $\dim V > 1$. Then $V \downarrow_H$ is irreducible if and only if $V = L(\sigma, (1)) \circ L(\tau, (2n - 1))$ for some ℓ' -elements $\sigma, \tau \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ with $\tau \neq \sigma$, in which case $\dim V = (q^{2n} - 1)/(q - 1)$. Moreover, such a module V is irreducible over S if and only if $\tau \neq \pm \sigma$.*

Proof. 1) The ‘if’ part follows from Proposition 7.4. Moreover, the theorem is checked directly for $(n, q) = (2, 2)$ (see [11] and [24]). So let $(n, q) \neq (2, 2)$. Pick a Witt basis $e_1, f_1, \dots, e_n, f_n$ for the natural module $\mathcal{N} = \mathbb{F}_q^{2n}$ of S . If J is the Gram matrix of the symplectic form in this basis, then for any $h \in H$, ${}^t h J h = \alpha(h) J$ for some $\alpha(h) \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$. Define the involutory automorphism β of G via $\beta(g) = J {}^t g^{-1} J^{-1}$. Then $\beta(h) = h(\text{mod } Z(G))$ for all $h \in H$.

2) First we assume that V is Harish-Chandra indecomposable, i.e. $V = L(\sigma, \lambda)$ for some σ of degree $d|2n$ and $\lambda \vdash 2n/d$. Consider three cases.

Case 1: $d = 1$. Using Lemma 2.5 we may assume $\sigma = 1$, $\lambda \neq (2n)$. Then $Z(G)$ acts trivially on V , so V can be considered as a module over $G/Z(G)$. Let γ be the inverse-transpose automorphism of G . By [23, (7.33)], V is γ -invariant. Hence V is β -invariant, too. So V extends to a module \tilde{V} over $\tilde{G} := \langle G/Z(G), \beta \rangle$. Now $HZ(G)/Z(G) \leq C_{\tilde{G}}(\beta)$, as $\beta(h) = h(\text{mod } Z(G))$ for all $h \in H$. Consequently, if $V \downarrow_H$ is irreducible, then β acts scalarly on \tilde{V} , and so β centralizes $G/Z(G)$, giving a contradiction.

Case 2: $d = 2$. By Lemma 2.1, there is a p.p.d. r for $(q, 2n-1)$. Note that $r \nmid |H|$. By Lemma 5.7(i), if $\lambda = (n)$, then V lifts to a complex module $V_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $r | \dim V_{\mathbb{C}}$, whence $V_{\mathbb{C}} \downarrow_H$ is reducible and so is $V \downarrow_H$. Thus $\lambda \neq (n)$. By [7, 5.5d], $\dim L(\sigma, \lambda) = N \dim L^{(2)}(1, \lambda)$, where $N = \prod_{i=1}^n (q^{2i-1} - 1)$ and $L^{(2)}(1, \lambda)$ is an irreducible $GL_n(q^2)$ -module corresponding to the symbol $(1, \lambda)$. As $\lambda \neq (n)$, we have $\dim L^{(2)}(1, \lambda) \geq q^{2n-2} - 1$ by [18, 8]. Hence $\dim V = N \dim L^{(2)}(1, \lambda) > (q-1)q^{n^2+2n-3}/2$, and so $\dim V > \mathfrak{m}_{\ell}(H)$ if $n \geq 3$. If $n = 2$, then $q \geq 3$, and $\dim V = \dim L(\sigma, (1^2)) \geq (q-1)(q^2 - 1)(q^3 - 1) > \mathfrak{m}_{\ell}(H)$. We conclude that $V \downarrow_H$ is reducible in the Case 2.

Case 3: $d \geq 3$. Note that $\mathfrak{m}_{\ell}(H) = \mathfrak{m}_{\ell}(S) < \sqrt{|S|} < q^{n^2+n/2}$ if $q = 2$, $\mathfrak{m}_{\ell}(H) \leq (q-1)\mathfrak{m}_{\ell}(S) \leq (q-1)(\prod_{i=1}^n (q^{2i} - 1))/(q-1)^n < q^{n^2+n-1}$ if $n, q \geq 3$, and $\mathfrak{m}_{\ell}(CSp_4(q)) < (q+1)(q^4 - 1)$, cf. [41]. On the other hand, $\dim V > (q-1)q^{4n^2/3-1}/2$ by Lemma 5.7(iii). Hence $\dim V > \mathfrak{m}_{\ell}(H)$ if $n, q \geq 3$, or if $q = 2$ and $n \geq 4$. If $(n, q) = (3, 2)$, then $\dim V \geq 1395 > 720 = \mathfrak{m}_{\ell}(H)$. If $n = 2$ then $q \geq 3$ and $d = 4$, hence $\dim V = (q-1)(q^2 - 1)(q^3 - 1) > \mathfrak{m}_{\ell}(H)$. Thus in all cases $V \downarrow_H$ is reducible.

3) Now we may assume that V is induced from the stabilizer P in G of a k -space $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{N}$, $1 \leq k \leq n$. If $k \geq 2$, then H has at least two orbits on k -spaces of \mathcal{N} , one containing a totally isotropic subspace and another containing a non-totally isotropic subspace. Then $V \downarrow_H$ is reducible by Mackey's Theorem. So we may assume $k = 1$, $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_1 := \langle e_1 \rangle$, and $V = L(\sigma, (1)) \circ L(\tau, \lambda)$, where $\sigma \neq \tau$ are ℓ' -elements, $\deg(\sigma) = 1$, $\deg(\tau) = d|(2n-1)$ and $\lambda \vdash (2n-1)/d$.

4) Set $\mathcal{M}_2 := \langle e_2, \dots, e_n, f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n \rangle$. Consider the module $W = L(\sigma, (1)) \otimes L(\tau, \lambda)$ over the Levi subgroup $L := GL(\mathcal{M}_1) \times GL(\mathcal{M}_2) = GL_1 \times GL_{2n-1}$ of $P = UL$ with $U = O_p(P)$. Inflating W to P , we have $V = W \uparrow_P^G$. If $\dim W = 1$, then $\dim L(\tau, \lambda) = 1$, whence $d = 1$, $\lambda = (2n-1)$. So it suffices to show that $V \downarrow_H$ is irreducible implies $\dim W = 1$. Assume for a contradiction that $V \downarrow_H$ is irreducible, but $\dim W > 1$.

We can write $L = Z(G) \times L_2$, where $L_2 := GL(\mathcal{M}_2)$. Clearly, $W \downarrow_{L_2}$ is irreducible. Since $V \downarrow_H = (W \downarrow_{H \cap P}) \uparrow^H$, $W \downarrow_{H \cap P}$ is irreducible. Set $Q_1 := H \cap P$, $Q_2 := \text{Stab}_{L_2}(\langle e_2, \dots, e_n, f_2, \dots, f_n \rangle)$. Then, for $i = 1, 2$, $U_i = O_p(Q_i)$ has order q^{2n-i} . Moreover, $Q_1 < Z(G)UQ_2$ and $U \triangleleft UU_1 = UU_2$.

Case 1: $W^{U_2} \neq 0$. As U acts trivially on W and $UU_1 = UU_2$, we see that $W^{U_1} \neq 0$, but U_1 does not act trivially on W (otherwise $U_2 < L_2$ acts trivially on W and so $\dim W = 1$). Thus $W \downarrow_{H \cap P} = C_W(U_1) \oplus [W, U_1]$ is a direct sum of two nonzero submodules and so it is reducible.

Case 2: $W^{U_2} = 0$. Now $W \downarrow_{L_2} = L(\tau, \lambda)$ is an irreducible L_2 -module, and U_2 is the unipotent radical of the parabolic $Q_2 < L_2$. Applying Theorem 5.4

to $L(\tau, \lambda)$, we see that either $d > 1$, or $d = 1$ and $e(1)|\lambda'$. In the former case $d \geq 3$ and in the latter case $e(1) \geq 3$, as they divide $2n - 1$. In either case, $\dim W = \dim L(\tau, \lambda) > (q - 1)q^{(2n-1)^2/3-1}/2$ by Lemma 5.7(iii),(iv). So

$$\dim V = \dim L(\tau, \lambda) \cdot (q^{2n} - 1)/(q - 1) > (q^{2n} - 1)q^{(2n-1)^2/3-1}/2 > \mathfrak{m}_\ell(H)$$

if $n \geq 3$. If $n = 2$, then $q \geq 3$, $\dim L(\tau, \lambda) \geq (q - 1)(q^2 - 1)$ and $\dim V \geq (q^2 - 1)(q^4 - 1) > \mathfrak{m}_\ell(H)$. Thus $V \downarrow_H$ is reducible. \square

7.4. Restrictions to $\mathbf{G}_2(\mathbf{q})$.

Proposition 7.6. *Let $q = 2^f$, $G = GL_6(q)$, $G_2(q)' \triangleleft H \leq G$, and $V \in \text{IBr}(G)$ with $\dim V > 1$. Then $V \downarrow_H$ is irreducible if and only if $V = L(\sigma, (1)) \circ L(\tau, (5))$ for some ℓ' -elements $\sigma \neq \tau \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$.*

Proof. The case $q = 2$ is a direct check [11, 24]. Let $q > 2$. The group $G_2(q)$ acts irreducibly on the natural module \mathcal{N} . But the (nontrivial) field automorphisms of $G_2(q)$ do not stabilize this action, so $H = Z(H) \times G_2(q)$, and we may assume that $H = G_2(q)$.

As $\dim V \leq \sqrt{|G_2(q)|}$, [18] or [8, 3.4] implies that $V = L(\tau, (5, 1))$, or $V = L(\sigma, (1)) \circ L(\tau, (5))$, for some ℓ' -elements $\sigma, \tau \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$. Using Lemma 2.5 we may assume $\tau = 1$. By [19], $L(1, (5, 1))$ is reducible over $Sp_6(q) > H$, so we may assume $V = L(\sigma, (1)) \circ L(1, (5))$. In particular, $\dim V = (q^6 - 1)/(q - 1)$.

We consider the restriction to H of the characters χ_σ of the G -module $L_{\mathbb{C}}(\sigma, (1)) \circ L_{\mathbb{C}}(1, (5))$. By [15], all irreducible characters of degree $(q^6 - 1)/(q - 1)$ are induced from one of the two maximal parabolic subgroups P, Q in the notation of [15], where Q is the stabilizer in H of a 1-space in \mathcal{N} . Now H has $(q - 2)/2$ irreducible characters $\chi_3(k)$, $1 \leq k \leq (q - 2)/2$, of degree $(q^6 - 1)/(q - 1)$, which are induced from Q . Inspecting the values of these characters at the classes $C_{21}(i)$ (in the notation of [15]), we see that $\chi_\sigma \downarrow_H = \chi_{\sigma^{-1}} \downarrow_H$, and the restrictions of χ_σ to H , with $1 \neq \sigma \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$, yield the aforementioned $(q - 2)/2$ irreducible characters $\chi_3(k)$. We are done in the case $\ell = 0$. Now, let $\ell > 0$.

By [20], the characters $\chi_3(k)$ are the semisimple characters corresponding to the conjugacy classes in $H^* \cong H$ of certain elements $h_{1a}(i) \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$. Using Lemma 7.3 (or information on the decomposition matrix [20]), one sees that among the $\chi_3(k) \pmod{\ell}$ there are at least $m := ((q - 1)^\ell - 1)/2$ distinct irreducible Brauer characters of H . On the other hand, by [23], $\chi_\sigma \pmod{\ell} = \chi_\tau \pmod{\ell}$ if $\sigma_\ell = \tau_\ell$, and, as mentioned above, $\chi_\sigma \downarrow_H = \chi_{\sigma^{-1}} \downarrow_H$. In particular, if σ is an ℓ -element then $\chi_\sigma \pmod{\ell} \downarrow_H = \chi_1 \pmod{\ell} \downarrow_H$, which is reducible, as χ_1 is reducible. So, if we vary $\sigma \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$, the restrictions $\chi_\sigma \pmod{\ell} \downarrow_H$ yield at most m irreducible Brauer characters of H , and this restriction can be irreducible only when σ is not an ℓ -element. Hence $\chi_\sigma \pmod{\ell} \downarrow_H$ is irreducible if and only if σ is not an ℓ -element. In particular, $V \downarrow_H$ is irreducible if $\sigma \neq 1$. \square

8. PROOFS OF MAIN THEOREMS AND FURTHER RESULTS

8.1. Proof of Theorem 1.2. If H is reducible on the natural module \mathcal{N} , then H is contained in a parabolic subgroup of G . Applying Theorem 6.4 and Propositions 6.6, 6.8 we arrive at the cases in Theorem 1.2(i). From now

on we assume that H is irreducible on \mathcal{N} . By Lemma 3.4 and Propositions 3.5, 7.1, we may also assume that $H \not\leq \Gamma L_d(q^s)$ for any $s = n/d$ with $s > 1$.

Let W be as in Lemma 5.11. By the lemma, either $V \downarrow_H$ is reducible or the condition (b) of Proposition 2.10 holds. In view of the proposition and using the above assumptions on H , we are left with only one possibility: q is a square, n is even, and $H \leq CU_n(\sqrt{q})$. But $|CU_n(\sqrt{q})|$ cannot be divisible by any p.p.d. for $(p, (n-1)f/2)$. This contradicts Lemma 5.11. From now on we assume that W is not as in Lemma 5.11.

Assume that H is intransitive on 1-spaces of \mathcal{N} . The irreducibility of H on V implies by Corollary 3.2 that $\dim \text{End}_{P_1 \cap G}(V) = 1$. Applying Corollary 5.9 to V , we conclude that $\dim V > q^{(n^2+5)/4}$. So $|H/Z(H)| \geq (\dim V)^2 > q^{(n^2+5)/2}$. Now Proposition 2.10 implies that n is even and $Sp_n(q) \leq H \leq CSP_n(q)$. So H is transitive on 1-spaces of \mathcal{N} , a contradiction.

Finally, let H be transitive on 1-spaces of \mathcal{N} . We can apply Proposition 3.3. Note that $SL_2(13)$ is reducible on V (as $\dim V \geq 362$ [18, 8]). Thus $H \triangleright Sp_n(q)$ or $H \triangleright G_2(q)'$. If $W \downarrow_G$ is irreducible, then by Theorem 7.5 and Proposition 7.6, $W \downarrow_H$ is irreducible if and only if the possibility (ii) in Theorem 1.2 occurs. If $W \downarrow_G$ is reducible, then by Proposition 5.10, $\dim W > (q-1)q^{(n^2+n)/4}$, whence $\dim V > q^{(n^2+n)/4}$ and so $|H/Z(H)| > q^{(n^2+n)/2}$. This excludes both possibilities for H .

8.2. Aschbacher's program and Problem 1.1 for groups of type A.

Consider the situation $H < G < \Gamma$ considered right before Problem 1.1, with both $H, G \in \mathcal{S}$. We will explain how one can use Theorem 1.2 to find all possibilities for such H , if G is assumed to be an almost quasi-simple Lie-type group of type A, under the mild assumption that $H \notin \mathcal{C}_2 \cup \mathcal{C}_4$.

Corollary 8.1. *Let G be a finite almost quasi-simple group, with $PSL_n(q)$, $n \geq 5$, as its unique non-abelian composition factor, and let $H < G$ be an almost quasi-simple subgroup. Let $V \in \text{IBr}(G)$ be such that $\dim(V) > 1$, $\ell \nmid q$, and $V \downarrow_H$ be irreducible, primitive, and tensor-indecomposable. Then one of the following holds for $L := H^{(\infty)}$ and $S := G^{(\infty)}$.*

- (i) $L = S$ is a quotient of $SL_n(q)$ by a central subgroup.
- (ii) n is even, V is a Weil representation of degree $(q^n - 1)/(q - 1)$ (when viewed as an $SL_n(q)$ -representation), and either $L/Z(L) \cong PSp_n(q)$, or $2|q$ and $L \cong G_2(q)'$.
- (iii) Viewing V as the module over the full cover $\hat{S} = SL_n$ of S , V is an irreducible constituent of $L(\sigma, (n/2)) \downarrow_{SL_n}$. Moreover, denote by M the standard subgroup $GL_{n-1}(q) \times GL_1(q) < GL_n(q)$ and by \hat{L} the inverse image of L in \hat{S} . Then one of the following holds:
 - (a) $q = 3$, $\sigma^2 = -1$ if $\ell \neq 2$, and $[M, M] \leq \hat{L} \leq M$;
 - (b) $q = 2$, $\sigma \neq 1 = \sigma^3$ and $\hat{L} = M$.

Proof. The assumptions on G, H imply that S is a quotient of SL_n (by a central subgroup), and $S \geq L$. Assume that (i) does not hold. Now $L \triangleleft H$ and $V \downarrow_H$ is irreducible and primitive, whence $V \downarrow_L$ is homogeneous. But $V \downarrow_H$ is also tensor-indecomposable, so $V \downarrow_L$ is irreducible, and so is $V \downarrow_S$. It remains to apply Theorem 1.2 to the triple $(\hat{S}, V \downarrow_{\hat{S}}, \hat{L})$. \square

The analogue of Corollary 8.1 for $2 \leq n \leq 4$ has extra examples, including the ones arising because of the exceptional Schur multiplier of $PSL_n(q)$

for some (n, q) . Here are three examples of this kind: $(G, H, \dim V, \ell) = (3 \cdot PSL_2(9), A_5, 3, \ell \neq 2), (6 \cdot PSL_2(9), SL_2(5), 6, \ell \neq 2, 5), (2 \cdot SL_4(2), 2A_7, 16, 7)$.

8.3. Small rank. We now deal with the remaining cases $2 \leq n \leq 4$.

Theorem 8.2. *Let $2 \leq n \leq 4$, with $q \notin \{3, 5, 7, 9\}$ if $n = 2$, $SL_n(q) \leq G \leq GL_n(q)$, $H < G$ be a proper subgroup not containing $SL_n(q)$, and V be an irreducible $\mathbb{F}G$ -module of dimension greater than 1. Let W be an irreducible $\mathbb{F}GL_n(q)$ -module such that V is an irreducible constituent of $W \downarrow_G$. Then $V \downarrow_H$ is irreducible if and only if one of the following holds:*

- (i) *The same as Theorem 1.2(i) for $n = 3, 4$.*
- (ii) *The same as Theorem 1.2(ii) for $n = 4$.*
- (iii) *The same as Lemma 6.10 for $n = 2$.*
- (iv) *$q = 2$ or 3 , $G = SL_4(q)$, $\dim V = q^3 - 1$, $HZ(G) = UMZ(G)$, where $U = O_p(P)$ is the unipotent radical of the parabolic $P = UL$ which is the stabilizer of a 1-space or a 3-space, and the group $GL_1(q^3) \triangleleft M \leq \Gamma L_1(q^3)$ is naturally embedded into L .*
- (v) *(G, H, V, ℓ) from Table I.*

TABLE I. Small rank examples of irreducible restrictions

G	H	V, ℓ
$GL_4(2)$	A_7	$\dim V = 13, \ell = 3, 5,$ $\dim V = 14, \ell \neq 3, 5.$ $\dim V = 21, \ell \neq 3, 5$
$SL_3(4)$	$3A_6$	$\dim V = 15, \ell \neq 3$
$GL_3(2)$	$C_7 : C_3$	$\dim V = 3, \ell \neq 7$
$SL_2(11) \cdot Z(G)$	$2A_5 \cdot Z(H)$	$\dim V = 5, \ell \neq 2, 3,$ $\dim V = 6, \ell \neq 2, 5.$

Remark 8.3. In Table I, V can be any module with the indicated dimension, unless $\dim V = 21$. If $\dim V = 21$, V should not be S_8 -invariant. For this and the right embeddings of H one should consult [11, 24].

Proof. Set $Z = Z(GL_n)$ and replace H by HZ and G by GZ . If H is reducible on \mathcal{N} , then H is contained in a parabolic subgroup of G . Applying Theorem 6.4, Propositions 6.6, 6.8 and Lemmas 6.10, 6.9, we arrive at the cases described in Theorem 1.2(i). From now on we assume that H is irreducible on \mathcal{N} . By Lemma 3.4 and Propositions 3.5, 7.1, we may also assume that if $n \neq 2$, then $H \not\leq \Gamma L_d(q^s)$ for any $s = n/d$ with $s > 1$.

1) Assume that $n = 3, 4$ and H is transitive on the 1-spaces of \mathcal{N} . By Proposition 3.3, we need to consider the following possibilities.

(a) $G = GL_4(2)$ and $H = A_7$. Then $\dim V \leq m_{\mathbb{C}}(H) = 35$. Using [11] and [24] (or [27]) we arrive at the first line in Table I.

(b) $G \leq GL_4(3)$ and $E = 2^{1+4} \triangleleft H \leq N_G(E) = E \cdot S_5$. Then $\dim V \leq m_{\mathbb{C}}(H) \leq 60$. Moreover, the transitivity of H on 1-spaces of \mathcal{N} implies that $5 \parallel |H|$. Thus $\dim V$ is divisible by 4 if it is faithful on $Z(E)$ and by 5 otherwise. Inspecting [11] and [24], we see that $\dim V = 40$ and so V is faithful on $Z(E)$. But the last condition implies $\dim V \leq 24$, a contradiction.

(c) $G = GL_4(3)$ and $H \triangleright SL_2(5) < SL_2(9) < SL_4(3)$. Using [11] and the transitivity of H on 1-spaces of \mathcal{N} , one can show that $H \leq 2 \cdot (S_6 \times 2)$ and so $m_{\mathbb{C}}(H) \leq 20$, whereas $\dim V \geq \mathfrak{d}_{\ell}(S) = 26$, a contradiction.

(d) $n = 4$ and $Sp_4(q) \triangleleft H \leq CSp_4(q)$. If $V = W \downarrow_K$, then we are done by Theorem 7.5. Otherwise $q \neq 2, 4$ and, by Proposition 5.10, either W is one of the two modules considered in Lemma 5.11, or $\dim V \geq (q^2 - 1)(q^3 - 1)$. In the former case, arguing as in the proof of that lemma, we see that $|H|$ is divisible by a p.p.d. for $(q, 3)$, a contradiction. In the latter case, observe that $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{C}}(H) \leq 2\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{C}}(Sp_4(q)) \leq 2(q^2 - 1)(q^4 - 1)/(q - 1)^2$ if $q \geq 5$ and $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{C}}(H) \leq \mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{C}}(CSp_4(3)) = 120$. Hence $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{C}}(H) < \dim V$, a contradiction.

2) Let $n = 4$ and H be intransitive on the 1-spaces of \mathcal{N} .

Case 1: $q \geq 4$. By Corollaries 3.2 and 5.9, $\dim W \geq (q - 1)(q^3 - 1)$, so $\dim V \geq (q - 1)(q^3 - 1)/\gcd(4, q - 1)$. Now apply Aschbacher's Theorem to $H/Z < PGL_4(q)$ and check that in all cases $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{C}}(H) < \dim V$.

Case 2: $q = 3$. Note that either $\dim V \geq 38$, or $\dim V = 26$ and V lifts to a complex module. On the other hand, since H is irreducible on \mathcal{N} and does not contain SL_4 , $13 \nmid |H|$. So $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{C}}(H) \geq 38$. If H is solvable, by the Fong-Swan theorem, $V \downarrow_H$ lifts to an irreducible complex module and $\dim V$ divides $|H|$. These conditions exclude all remaining possibilities for H .

Case 3: $q = 2$. Note that either $\dim V \geq 13$, or $\dim V = 7$ and V lifts to a complex module. So either $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{C}}(H) \geq 13$ or 7 divides $|H|$. Inspecting the maximal subgroups of G , we see that either $H = S_6$ or $H \leq A_7$. In the former case, as $\dim V \geq 13$ and $V \downarrow_H$ is irreducible, we must have $\dim V = 16$, but G does not have an irreducible module of dimension 16. In the latter case, $V \downarrow_{A_7}$ is irreducible, so $\dim V \geq 13$ by the results of 1). Thus $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{C}}(H) \geq 13$ and so $H = A_7$, which is transitive, giving a contradiction.

3) Let $n = 3$ and H be intransitive on the 1-spaces of \mathcal{N} . As H is irreducible on \mathcal{N} , we have $q \geq 4$. If $V = W \downarrow_G$, apply Corollary 3.2 and Theorem 5.3 to deduce that $W = L(\sigma, (1))$ with $\deg(\sigma) = 3$. So $\dim W = (q - 1)(q^2 - 1)$. On the other hand, if $\kappa_G^{GL_3}(W) > 1$, then using Theorem 2.6, one shows that $\dim W \geq (q^3 - 1)$. In either case we have $\dim V \geq (q - 1)(q^2 - 1)/\gcd(3, q - 1)$. Now we apply Aschbacher's Theorem to $H/Z < PGL_3$. One check that in all cases $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{C}}(H) < \dim V$, except possibly when $q = 4$ and $H/Z \leq A_6$. In this case $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{C}}(H) \geq \dim V \geq 15$ implies $H = 3A_6$ and $G = SL_3(4)$. Using [11, 24], we arrive at the second line of Table I. Note that $3A_6$ has three embeddings into SL_3 (up to SL_3 -conjugacy), and there are three pairs of irreducible $\mathbb{F}SL_3$ -modules of dimension 15. For each embedding, two of these pairs of modules are irreducible over $3A_6$.

4) Finally, let $n = 2$. Note that $\dim V \geq (q - 1)/\gcd(2, q - 1)$. In view of our assumptions on q , the conditions that $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{C}}(H) \geq (q - 1)/\gcd(2, q - 1)$ and H is irreducible on \mathcal{N} leave only one possibility: $q = 11$, $H = Z(H)SL_2(11)$, and $G = SL_2(5) \cdot Z(G)$. Using [11, 24], we arrive at line four of Table I. \square

Remark 8.4. If $n = 2$ and $q \in \{3, 5, 7, 9\}$, the irreducible restrictions can be handled using [11, 24] or computer. In fact, in addition to the cases similar to Theorem 8.2(iii), we may have only the following:

(a) If $q = 3$ and $\dim V = 2$. Such an example arises for instance when $H \geq Q_8$.

(b) If $q = 5$, $\dim V = 2$ or 3, and $G = SL_2(5)Z(G)$. Such examples arise for instance when $H \cong SL_2(3)$.

(c) If $q = 7$, $\dim V = 3$ or 4, and $G = SL_2(7)Z(G)$. Such examples arise for instance when $H \cong 2S_4$.

(d) If $q = 9$, $\dim V = 4$ or 5 , and $G = SL_2(9)Z(G)$. Such examples arise for instance when $H \cong SL_2(5)$.

8.4. Reducibility of tensor products. As a final application of techniques developed in this paper we complete the analysis of [36] to prove Theorem 8.7 below, which also fits nicely into Aschbacher's program as part of the analysis of the family \mathcal{C}_4 . The following lemma should be compared to Lemma 3.1; (the idea goes back to [3] and has been used in [4, 28]).

Lemma 8.5. *Let $P < G$ be finite groups, $U, V \in \text{IBr}(G)$, and the following conditions hold:*

- (i) $\dim \text{End}_P(U) \geq 2$ and $\dim \text{End}_P(V) \geq 2$;
- (ii) *The module $W := (1_P)^\uparrow^G$ is either a direct sum $1_G \oplus A$ or a uniserial module $(1_G|A|1_G)$ with composition factors 1_G and $A \not\cong 1_G$.*

Then $U \otimes V$ is reducible.

Proof. We have $\dim \text{Hom}_G(U^* \otimes U, W) = \dim \text{End}_P(U) \geq 2$. So there exists a G -homomorphism $\psi : U^* \otimes U \rightarrow W$ whose image is not contained in the unique G -submodule 1_G of W . Set $B := A$ (resp. $B := (1_G|A)$) if $W = 1_G \oplus A$ (resp. if $W = (1_G|A|1_G)$). Then $\text{im } \psi \supset B$. Similarly, $\dim \text{Hom}_G(W, V^* \otimes V) = \dim \text{End}_P(V) \geq 2$, and there exists a G -homomorphism $\phi : W \rightarrow V^* \otimes V$ with $\ker \phi \subsetneq B$. Hence $\phi \circ \psi : U^* \otimes U \rightarrow V^* \otimes V$ is a G -homomorphism whose image is not contained in 1_G . But there also exists a G -homomorphism $\theta : U^* \otimes U \rightarrow V^* \otimes V$ with $\text{Im}(\theta) = 1_G$. So $2 \leq \dim \text{Hom}_G(U^* \otimes U, V^* \otimes V) = \dim \text{End}_G(U \otimes V)$, whence $U \otimes V$ is reducible. \square

Lemma 8.6. *Let $2|n = kd \geq 4$ for some integers k, d with $d \geq 2$, $G = GL_n(3)$, and set $N := \prod_{i=1}^n (3^i - 1) / \prod_{i=1}^k (3^{di} - 1)$. Then G has no irreducible characters of degrees $N(3^n - 3)/4$ and $N(3^n - 5)/4$. Moreover, if $d \geq 3$ then G has no irreducible characters of degree $N(3^n - 1)/4$.*

Proof. Let $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$. If $\chi(1) = N(3^n - 3)/4$, use $\chi(1)||G|$ to deduce $(3^{n-1} - 1)|4 \prod_{i=1, i \neq n-1}^n (3^i - 1)$. Considering a p.p.d. for $(3, n-1)$, we get a contradiction. In the remaining cases $(\chi(1), 3) = 1$, so Lusztig's classification of $\text{Irr}(G)$ implies that $\chi(1) = (G : C_G(s))_{3'}$ for a semisimple $s \in G$. Note that $C_G(s) = \prod_{i=1}^t GL_{m_i}(3^{a_i})$ for some $m_i, a_i \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\sum_{i=1}^t m_i a_i = n$.

Assume first that $\chi(1) = N(3^n - 5)/4$. We have

$$(3^n - 5) \prod_{i=1}^t \prod_{j=1}^{m_i} (3^{ja_i} - 1) = 4 \prod_{i=1}^k (3^{di} - 1). \quad (8.1)$$

As $n \geq 4$, there is a p.p.d. r_1 for $(3, n)$. But $r_1 \nmid (3^n - 5)$, so (8.1) implies that $t = 1$ and $ma = n$ for $m := m_1$ and $a := a_1$. Also, $k \geq 3$ and $m \geq 2$ by (8.1). If $a \leq d - 1$, then $(m - 1)a \geq (k - 1)d + 1 \geq 5$. In this case a p.p.d. r_2 for $(3, (m - 1)a)$ divides the LHS, but not the RHS of (8.1). If $a = d$, (8.1) yields $3^n - 5 = 4$, a contradiction. So $a \geq d + 1$. Now if $k = 3$, then $m = 2$ and (8.1) yields $(3^{3d} - 5)(3^{3d/2} - 1) = 4(3^d - 1)(3^{2d} - 1)$, a contradiction. So $k \geq 4$. As $(m - 1)a \geq d + 1 \geq 3$, there is a p.p.d. r_2 for $(3, (m - 1)a)$. Then (8.1) implies that $jd = (m - 1)ab$ for some integers $b \geq 1$, $1 \leq j \leq d$. Hence $jd = ma - a = kd - a$ and so $d|a$ if $b = 1$. If $b \geq 2$, then $kd \geq jd \geq 2(m - 1)a \geq ma = kd$, whence $j = k$, $b = m = 2$, and $a = kd/2 \geq 2d$. Thus in either case $a \geq 2d$. It follows that the LHS of (8.1) is $< 3^{n(3+n/2d)/2}$, whereas its RHS is $> 3^{n(1+n/d)/2}$, contradicting $n \geq 4d$.

Let $\chi(1) = N(3^n - 1)/4$ and $d \geq 3$. If $n = 4$ use [11]. Let $n \geq 6$. We have

$$\prod_{i=1}^t \prod_{j=1}^{m_i} (3^{ja_i} - 1) = 4 \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} (3^{di} - 1). \quad (8.2)$$

The LHS of (8.2) is $\geq 2^n > 8$, so $k > 1$. As $(k-1)d \geq n/2 \geq 3$, there is a p.p.d. r_3 for $(3, (k-1)d)$. By (8.2), we may assume $m_1 a_1 \geq (k-1)d$. If $m_1 a_1 > (k-1)d$, then a p.p.d. for $(3, m_1 a_1)$ divides the LHS but not the RHS of (8.2). Hence $m_1 a_1 = (k-1)d$ and $\sum_{i=2}^t m_i a_i = d$. Now the LHS of (8.2) is $\geq 2^d (3^d - 1) > 4(3^d - 1)$, so $k > 2$. Assume $a_1 \leq d-1$, whence $(m_1 - 1)a_1 \geq (k-2)d + 1 \geq 4$. Then a p.p.d. r_4 for $(3, (m_1 - 1)a_1)$ divides the LHS, but not the RHS of

$$\left(\prod_{i=1}^t \prod_{j=1}^{m_i} (3^{ja_i} - 1) \right) / (3^{(k-1)d} - 1) = 4 \prod_{i=1}^{k-2} (3^{di} - 1). \quad (8.3)$$

Further, if $a_1 = d$, then $\prod_{i=2}^t \prod_{j=1}^{m_i} (3^{ja_i} - 1) \geq 2^d > 4$, contradicting (8.3). Thus $a_1 > d$. As $(k-2)d \geq d \geq 3$, there is a p.p.d. r_5 for $(3, (k-2)d)$. Now r_5 divides the RHS of (8.3) and $(k-2)d > (k-1)d - a_1 = (m_1 - 1)a_1$, so $t = 2$ and $(k-2)d = d = m_2 a_2$. Thus $k = 3$, $2d = m_1 a_1$, and so $m_1 = 1$ as $a_1 > d$. In this case, (8.3) implies $\prod_{j=1}^{m_2-1} (3^{ja_2} - 1) = 4$, which is impossible. \square

Theorem 8.7. *If $S = SL_n(q)$ and $U, V \in \text{IBr}(S)$ are both of dimension greater than 1, then $U \otimes V$ is reducible.*

Proof. By [36, 3.2, 3.3], we may assume $q = 3$. The case $n \leq 2$ is easy, so let $n \geq 3$. By [36, 3.4], we may also assume that U is a Weil module, at least one of U, V does not lift to \mathbb{C} , $2|n$, and V does not extend to $G := GL_n(3)$. There is $W \in \text{IBr}(G)$ such that $W \downarrow_S = V_1 \oplus V_2$ where $V_i \in \text{IBr}(S)$ and $V \cong V_1$. Note that U extends to a G -module which we also denote by U .

First we assume that W is induced from a module W_1 over a parabolic $P = UL$ with Levi $L = GL_a \times GL_b$. As the Harish-Chandra induction is commutative, we may assume $a \geq 2$. Let $Z(G) = \langle z \rangle$, $Z(GL_a) = \langle z_a \rangle$, $Z(GL_b) = \langle z_b \rangle$. Then $z = z_a z_b$. Let τ_n denote the complex permutation character of G on the points of \mathcal{N} . Then τ_n gives rise to two Weil characters of G : τ_n^0 of degree $(3^n - 3)/2$, and τ_n^1 of degree $(3^n - 1)/2$, both restricting irreducibly to S , see [45]. Moreover, the 1- (resp. -1-) eigenspace of z in τ_n affords the G -character $2 \cdot 1_G + \tau_n^0$ (resp. τ_n^1). Clearly, $U \cdot GL_b$ fixes every point of the natural subspace \mathbb{F}_3^a . So $(\tau_n^i) \downarrow_P$ contains τ_a^i , as a Weil character of GL_a inflated to P . By [18], we may assume that the Brauer character of U is $\bar{\tau}_n^j - c$, where $\bar{\tau}$ denotes the restriction to ℓ -elements, $j \in \{0, 1\}$, and $c \in \{0, 1, 2\}$. Now $3 \leq \tau_a^i(1) \leq (3^a - 1)/2 < (3^{a+1} - 5)/4 \leq (\dim U)/2$ as $a \geq 2$. Therefore $U \downarrow_P$ contains an irreducible constituent U_1 of dimension less than $(\dim U)/2$. Hence $U \otimes W = U \otimes (W_1) \uparrow^G \cong (U \downarrow_P \otimes W_1) \uparrow^G$ contains a subquotient $(U_1 \otimes W_1) \uparrow^G$ of dimension less than $(\dim U \otimes W)/2$. Since V_1 and V_2 are fused by G , it follows that $U \otimes V$ is reducible (over S).

Now let W be Harish-Chandra indecomposable. As the module $1_{P_{n-1} \cap S} \uparrow^S$ satisfies Lemma 8.5(ii) and $\dim \text{End}_{P_{n-1} \cap S}(U) \geq 2$, by Lemma 8.5 we may assume $\dim \text{End}_{P_{n-1} \cap S}(V) = 1$. Now Theorem 5.3 yields $W \cong L(\sigma, (k))$ for some σ of degree $d = n/k > 1$. Then W lifts to $W_{\mathbb{C}} := L_{\mathbb{C}}(\sigma, (k))$. By Proposition 5.10, V lifts to a complex S -module if $d = 2$. If $U \otimes V$ is irreducible then so is $U \otimes V_2$. We have the following two cases.

Case 1: $\dim U = (3^n - 1)/2$ or $(3^n - 3)/2$. Then U lifts to a $\mathbb{C}G$ -module $U_{\mathbb{C}}$. By [36, 3.2], $U_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes W_{\mathbb{C}}$ is reducible. As $U \otimes V_{1,2}$ are irreducible, $U_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes W_{\mathbb{C}}$

is a sum of two irreducibles of dimension $(\dim U)(\dim W)/2$, contradicting Lemma 8.6(i),(iii) if $d \geq 3$. If $d = 2$, then U and V lift to \mathbb{C} , a contradiction.

Case 2: $\dim U = (3^n - 5)/2$; in particular, there is a $\mathbb{C}G$ -module $U_{\mathbb{C}}$ such that its reduction modulo ℓ is $U + 1_G$ (in the Grothendieck group). So over S , the reduction modulo ℓ of $U_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes W_{\mathbb{C}}$ is $(U \otimes V_1) + (U \otimes V_2) + V_1 + V_2$, with V_1 and V_2 fused under G and all summands being irreducible. Again, by [36, 3.2], $U_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes W_{\mathbb{C}}$ is reducible. Hence at least one irreducible constituent of $U_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes W_{\mathbb{C}}$ has degree $(\dim U)(\dim W)/2$, contradicting Lemma 8.6(ii). \square

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Aschbacher, On the maximal subgroups of the finite classical groups, *Invent. Math.* **76** (1984), 469–514.
- [2] M. Aschbacher and L. Scott, Maximal subgroups of finite groups, *J. Algebra* **92** (1985), 44 – 80.
- [3] C. Bessenrodt and A. Kleshchev, On tensor products of modular representations of symmetric groups, *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **32** (2000), 292–296.
- [4] C. Bessenrodt and A. Kleshchev, Irreducible tensor products over alternating groups, *J. Algebra* **228** (2000), 536–550.
- [5] M. Broué and J. Michel, Blocs et séries de Lusztig dans un groupe réductif fini, *J. reine angew. Math.* **395** (1989), 56–67.
- [6] J. Brundan, Modular branching rules and the Mullineux map for Hecke algebras of type A , *Proc. London. Math. Soc. (3)* **77** (1998), 551–581.
- [7] J. Brundan, R. Dipper, and A. S. Kleshchev, Quantum linear groups and representations of $GL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$, *Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc.* **149** (2001), No. 706.
- [8] J. Brundan and A. Kleshchev, Lower bounds for degrees of irreducible Brauer characters of finite general linear groups, *J. Algebra* **223** (2000), 615–629.
- [9] J. Brundan and A. Kleshchev, Representations of the symmetric group which are irreducible over subgroups, *J. reine angew. Mathematik*, **530** (2001), 145–190.
- [10] E. Cline, B. Parshall, and L. Scott, Cohomology of finite groups of Lie type. I, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **45** (1975), 169–191.
- [11] J. H. Conway, R. T. Curtis, S. P. Norton, R. A. Parker, and R. A. Wilson, *An ATLAS of Finite Groups*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1985.
- [12] F. Digne and J. Michel, *Representations of Finite Groups of Lie Type*, London Mathematical Society Student Texts 21, Camb. Univ. Press, 1991.
- [13] R. Dipper and G. James, Identification of the irreducible modular representations of $GL_n(q)$, *J. Algebra* **104** (1986), 266–288.
- [14] R. Dipper and G. James, The q -Schur algebra, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **59** (1989), 23–50.
- [15] H. Enomoto and H. Yamada, The characters of $G_2(2^n)$, *Japan. J. Math.* **12** (1986), 325–377.
- [16] W. Feit, *The Representation Theory of Finite Groups*, North-Holland, Amst. 1982.
- [17] M. Geck, Basic sets of Brauer characters of finite groups of Lie type. II, *J. London Math. Soc.* **47** (1993), 255–268.
- [18] R. M. Guralnick and Pham Huu Tiep, Low-dimensional representations of special linear groups in cross characteristic, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **78** (1999), 116–138.
- [19] R. M. Guralnick and Pham Huu Tiep, Cross characteristic representations of even characteristic symplectic groups, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **356** (2004), 4969–5023.
- [20] G. Hiss, Zerlegungszahlen endlicher Gruppen vom Lie-Typ in nicht-definierender Charakteristik, Habilitationsschr., RWTH Aachen, Germany, 1993.
- [21] G. Hiss and G. Malle, Low dimensional representations of special unitary groups, *J. Algebra* **236** (2001), 745–767.
- [22] I. M. Isaacs, *Character Theory of Finite Groups*, Dover, New York, 1994.
- [23] G. James, The irreducible representations of the finite general linear groups, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **52** (1986), 236–268.
- [24] C. Jansen, K. Lux, R. A. Parker, and R. A. Wilson, *An ATLAS of Brauer Characters*, Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 1995.

- [25] P. B. Kleidman and M. W. Liebeck, ‘*The Subgroup Structure of the Finite Classical Groups*’, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. no. 129, Camb. Univ. Press, 1990.
- [26] A. Kleshchev and J. Sheth, Representations of the symmetric group are reducible over simply transitive subgroups, *Math. Z.* **235** (2000), 99–109.
- [27] A. Kleshchev and J. Sheth, Representations of the alternating group which are irreducible over subgroups, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **84** (2002), 194–212.
- [28] A. Kleshchev and Pham Huu Tiep, On restrictions of modular spin representations of symmetric and alternating groups, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **356** (2004), 1971–1999.
- [29] A. Kleshchev and Pham Huu Tiep, Representations of finite special linear groups in non-defining characteristic, *Advances in Math.* (to appear).
- [30] J. Lataille, P. Sin, and Pham Huu Tiep, The modulo 2 structure of rank 3 permutation modules for odd characteristic symplectic groups, *J. Algebra* **268** (2003), 463–483.
- [31] M. W. Liebeck, On the orders of maximal subgroups of the finite classical groups, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **50** (1985), 426–446.
- [32] M. W. Liebeck, The affine permutation groups of rank three, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **54** (1987), 477–516.
- [33] M. W. Liebeck, C. Praeger, and J. Saxl, A classification of the maximal subgroups of the finite alternating groups and symmetric groups, *J. Algebra* **111** (1987), 365–383.
- [34] M. W. Liebeck and G. M. Seitz, On finite subgroups of exceptional algebraic groups, *J. reine angew. Math.* **515** (1999), 25 – 72.
- [35] K. Magaard, G. Malle and Pham Huu Tiep, Irreducibility of tensor squares, symmetric squares, and alternating squares, *Pacific J. Math.* **202** (2002), 379–427.
- [36] K. Magaard and Pham Huu Tiep, Irreducible tensor products of representations of finite quasi-simple groups of Lie type, 239–262 in *Modular Representation Theory of Finite Groups*, de Gruyter, Berlin, 2001.
- [37] B. Mortimer, The modular permutation representations of the known doubly transitive groups, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **41** (1980), 1–20.
- [38] C. H. Sah, Cohomology of split group extensions, *J. Algebra* **29** (1974), 255–302.
- [39] J. Saxl, The complex characters of the symmetric groups that remain irreducible in subgroups, *J. Algebra* **111** (1987), 210–219.
- [40] G. M. Seitz, The maximal subgroups of classical algebraic groups, *Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc.* **67** (1987), no. 365.
- [41] G. M. Seitz, Cross-characteristic embeddings of finite groups of Lie type, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **60** (1990), 166 – 200.
- [42] G. M. Seitz and D. Testerman, Extending morphisms from finite to algebraic groups, *J. Algebra* **131** (1990), 559 – 574.
- [43] B. Srinivasan, The characters of the finite symplectic group $Sp(4, q)$, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **131** (1968), 488–525.
- [44] Pham Huu Tiep and A. E. Zalesskiĭ, Minimal characters of the finite classical groups, *Comm. Algebra* **24** (1996), 2093–2167.
- [45] Pham Huu Tiep and A. E. Zalesskiĭ, Some characterizations of the Weil representations of the symplectic and unitary groups, *J. Algebra* **192** (1997), 130–165.
- [46] Pham Huu Tiep and A. E. Zalesskiĭ, Hall-Higman type theorems for semisimple elements of finite classical groups, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, to appear.
- [47] D. White, The 2-decomposition numbers of $Sp(4, q)$, q odd, *J. Algebra*, **131** (1990), 703–725.
- [48] K. Zsigmondy, Zur Theorie der Potenzreste, *Monath. Math. Phys.* **3** (1892), 265 – 284.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF OREGON, EUGENE, OR 97403, USA
E-mail address: klesh@uoregon.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA, TUCSON, AZ 85721, USA
E-mail address: tiep@math.arizona.edu