

Kondo Cloud Mediated Long Range Entanglement After Local Quench in a Spin Chain

Pasquale Sodano,¹ Abolfazl Bayat,² and Sougato Bose²

¹*Department of Physics and Sezione I.N.F.N., University of Perugia, Via A. Pascoli, 06123 Italy*

²*Department of Physics and Astronomy, University College London, Gower St., London WC1E 6BT, UK*

(Dated: January 31, 2019)

We show that, in the gapless Kondo regime, a single local quench at one end of a Kondo spin chain induces a fast and long lived oscillatory dynamics. This quickly establishes a high quality entanglement between the spins at the opposite ends of the chain. This entanglement is mediated by a Kondo cloud, attains a constant high value independent of the length for large chains, and shows thermal robustness. In the gapped dimer regime of the chain, finite size end to end effects can create some entanglement on a much longer time-scale. By decoupling one end of the chain during dynamics one can distinguish between this end-end effect which vanishes, and the global Kondo cloud mediated entanglement, which persists. This quench approach paves the way to detect the elusive Kondo cloud through the entanglement between two individual spins. Our results show that non-perturbative cooperative phenomena from condensed matter may be exploited for quantum information.

The study of entanglement in many-body systems and spin chains is a topic of high interest [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7] where “quantum correlations” or entanglement between individual spins over a long range is notoriously difficult to achieve. Here we propose to generate such entanglement through a non-perturbative dynamical mechanism which requires only a *minimal action* on a spin chain, namely a sudden quench of a single bond. The spin chains under consideration have a magnetic impurity [16] at one end, which, in the ground state, is maximally entangled to a block of spins called the Kondo cloud [6, 7, 17]. We will show that, during the dynamics induced by the quench, it is the Kondo cloud which mediates entanglement between the two spins at the ends of the chain. The entanglement thus generated is measurable through two spin correlations and enables a direct detection of the presence of a Kondo cloud within the system before quenching. This is very important since, despite several manifestations of the Kondo cloud in condensed matter systems, its direct observation is still an open challenge [25]. Besides being a quantum informational tool for probing a condensed matter paradigm, the long range entanglement created by the quench is *substantial* so that it is potentially useful for linking quantum registers through a spin chain [12].

Though entanglement in condensed matter systems is typically very short ranged [2], there have been a few other proposals for long distance entanglement – however they come at a high price. For example, there are proposals exploiting weak couplings of two distant spins to a spin chain [10, 11], which have limited thermal stability or very long time-scale of entanglement generation. Otherwise, a dynamics has to be induced by large-scale changes to the Hamiltonian of a system [9], as opposed to the minimal change required in our proposal. Additionally, the exploitation of a non-perturbative cooperative feature of a condensed matter system, such as a Kondo cloud, has not been addressed so far.

Quantum spin chains allow to investigate non-perturbative phenomena arising from the presence of impurities [14]. For instance, the spin chain Kondo model [6, 7, 13, 15] arises

when a magnetic impurity [16] is coupled to the end of an antiferromagnetic $J_1 - J_2$ spin-1/2 chain, where J_1 (J_2) is the (next) nearest neighbor coupling. The model supports a crossover from a gapless (in the limit of large N) Kondo regime for $J_2 < J_2^c = 0.2412J_1$ to a gapped dimerized regime for $J_2 > J_2^c$. It is called a Kondo model since the impurity spin forms, in the Kondo regime, an effective singlet with a large collection of spins in the chain. Recently, the entanglement of the ground state of this system has been characterized by varied means [6, 7]. The Kondo spin chain is described by the Hamiltonian

$$H_I = J'(J_1\sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2 + J_2\sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_3) + J_1 \sum_{i=2}^{N-2} \sigma_i \cdot \sigma_{i+1} + J_2 \sum_{i=2}^{N-3} \sigma_i \cdot \sigma_{i+2}, \quad (1)$$

where $\sigma_i = (\sigma_i^x, \sigma_i^y, \sigma_i^z)$ is the vector of Pauli operators at site i , $J_1 > 0$ ($J_2 > 0$) is the anti ferromagnetic (next) nearest neighbor coupling constants, $J' < 1$ is the impurity bond strength and N is the number of spins, which we assume to be even through this paper. Here, the subscript I clarifies that, in our dynamical approach, H_I is the initial Hamiltonian. Tuning J_2 enables one to shift the spin chain between the Kondo and dimer regimes.

We consider the *finite* Kondo chain (1) in its ground state $|GS_I\rangle$. We, then, pertinently quench the coupling at the opposite end of the impurity allowing for the dynamics to develop entanglement; this is somewhat analogous to the X-ray edge singularity in a Kondo system [24]. We show that, in the Kondo regime, the entanglement between the two ending spins oscillates between high peaks with a periodicity determined by J' , while the dynamics is very fast (thereby decoherence hardly gets time to act) and is robust against thermal fluctuations. In the dimer phase, the dynamics is much slower and qualitatively different, though it generates some entanglement due to the unavoidable end to end effects in finite chains. To verify that such phenomenon is indeed due to finite size, one can “cut off” the impurity from the chain during the dynamics (as we clarify later) to kill the entanglement. At variance,

in the Kondo regime, cutting off the impurity has minimal effect on the final entanglement between the ending spins since, here, the process is non-perturbatively mediated by the cloud.

The entanglement properties are expected to be very different as J_2 crosses J_2^c . This is due to the fact that, for $J_2 < J_2^c$, there is a characteristic length - the so called Kondo screening length ξ - determined only by the impurity coupling strength J' through $\xi \propto e^{\alpha/\sqrt{J'}}$ where α is a constant. In the Kondo regime, for any given J' and N , ξ determines the size of a domain whose spins are maximally entangled with the impurity spin sitting at the origin. Associated with ξ there is a characteristic energy $K_B T_K \propto 1/\xi$, where T_K is the Kondo temperature and K_B is the Boltzman constant [6, 7, 17].

Initially, the system is assumed to be in the ground state $|GS_I\rangle$ of H_I . Our minimal quench modifies only the couplings of the N th spin with the rest; namely, at time $t = 0$, we quench the couplings J_1 and J_2 at one end of the chain by the amount J' (same as J' in Eq.(1)) so that H_I is changed to

$$H_F = J'(J_1\sigma_1.\sigma_2 + J_2\sigma_1.\sigma_3 + J_1\sigma_{N-1}.\sigma_N + J_2\sigma_{N-2}.\sigma_N) + J_1 \sum_{i=2}^{N-2} \sigma_i.\sigma_{i+1} + J_2 \sum_{i=2}^{N-3} \sigma_i.\sigma_{i+2}. \quad (2)$$

Since $|GS_I\rangle$ is not an eigenstate of H_F it will evolve as

$$|\psi(t)\rangle = e^{-iH_F t} |GS_I\rangle. \quad (3)$$

An entanglement between the ending spins (let us denote it by $E(N, t, J')$) emerges as a result of the above evolution. To compute $E(N, t, J')$, we first obtain the reduced density matrix $\rho_{1N}(t) = \text{tr}_{\hat{1N}} |\psi(t)\rangle \langle \psi(t)|$ of spins 1 and N by tracing out the remaining spins from the state (3). Then, we evaluate $E(N, t, J')$ in terms of a measure of entanglement valid for arbitrary mixed states of two qubits called the concurrence [8]. The maximum of $E(N, t, J')$ defines, for each N , an optimal time t_{opt} and an optimal coupling J'_{opt} , at/for which the highest entanglement is reached. As we shall see J'_{opt} can never be regarded as a perturbation of J_1 and J_2 . If, as expected from scaling in the Kondo regime [17, 26], the dependence on N and t can be accounted for by a redefinition of J' (equivalently ξ), then t_{opt} and J'_{opt} cannot be independent quantities. Our numerical analysis shows indeed that, in the Kondo regime, $t_{opt} \propto 1/J'_{opt}$ and that J'_{opt} yields $\xi = N - 2$.

The initial state $|GS_I\rangle$ has no entanglement between the first and the last spins. How, then, does the dynamics create a high entanglement between the ending spins of the chain in the Kondo regime? To understand this, one has to recall that, for any N and its associated J'_{opt} , $|GS_I\rangle$ has an intrinsic energy scale provided by the Kondo temperature. As one quenches the opposite end of the chain by the amount of J'_{opt} , the energy released into the system precisely equals the energy of formation of a Kondo cloud extending over $N - 2$ spins. As a result, the Kondo cloud is resonantly excited, while no other modes are. This leads us to conjecture that oscillations dominate the dynamics in the Kondo regime, while this is not true in the dimer phase. This is evidenced by the analysis




FIG. 1: (Color online) Comparing the Kondo ($J_2 = 0$) and dimer ($J_2 = 0.42$) regimes for J'_{opt} and t_{opt} . a) Entanglement as a function of time for $N = 30$ with $J' = 0.19$ for the Kondo regime (solid line) and $J' = 0.44$ for the dimer regime (dashed line). b) Entanglement versus the length N . c) J'_{opt} versus length N . d) t_{opt} versus N .

of the time dependence of entanglement in both regimes; in fact, only in the Kondo regime, entanglement turns out to be a periodic function of time with the period given by $2t_{opt}$. Of course, no periodicity appears in the dimer phase.

In the domain of interest of our paper J' , J_1 and J_2 are such that the spin-chain dynamics is not analytically solvable and one has to resort to numerical simulations. Recent methods of many-body simulations allow handling exponentially big Hilbert spaces with pertinent truncations. Here we will use the time-step targeting method, based on the DMRG algorithm introduced in [23] for our computations in chains of $N > 20$ (and exact diagonalization for lower N).

Fig. 1(a) clearly shows that fast long-lived (non-decaying) periodic oscillations with a period of $2t_{opt}$ characterize the time evolution of the entanglement in the Kondo regime. Of course, such behavior is absent in the dimer regime. The reason for this remarkable difference lies on the fact that, in the Kondo regime, only the characteristic energy $K_B T_K$ is excited by quenching. At variance, in the dimer regime, the energy released by quenching is dispersed over a variety of different quantum modes. It is most convenient to focus only on the first few peaks of the entanglement evolution since, due to the decoherence, it is unwise to wait for very long times. In Fig. 1(b) we plot the maximum of entanglement induced by bond quenching as a function of the length N : though the entanglement decreases as the length increases, its value is rather high even for very long chains. Fig. 1(b) evidences that the Kondo regime overtakes the entanglement achievable in the dimer regime both in terms of value and slope with increasing N . Despite this lower value of entanglement, achieving it costs even more in the dimer phase since, as shown in Fig. 1(c) one needs to wait much longer in the dimer regime. Furthermore, in the Kondo regime, J'_{opt} slowly decreases as N increases while it stays approximately constant in the dimer regime (Fig. 1(d)). This is commensurate with $t_{opt} \propto 1/J'_{opt}$ in the Kondo regime, while, in the dimer regime, t_{opt} and J'_{opt} are independent quantities. The plot in Fig. 2a shows the linear dependence of t_{opt} on $1/J'_{opt}$ expected for long chains




FIG. 2: (Color online) a) t_{opt} vs. J'_{opt} in the Kondo regime. b) Maximal entanglement attained at $t = 1/J'_{opt}$ as a function of J_2 for chains of different lengths. c) Entanglement attained at t_{opt} as a function of J' for Kondo and dimer regimes in a chain of length $N = 20$. d) Entanglement between the ending spins as a function of temperature as the result of the bond quenching (blue line) and their (static) weak coupling with the rest of the chain (red line).

(which are associated to small J'_{opt}).

In Fig. 2b we have plotted the entanglement reached after waiting for a time interval of order of $1/J'_{opt}$ for the Kondo regime and same time-scale for the dimer. One notices that, for $J_2 > J_2^c$, the entanglement peak decreases sensibly and goes to zero soon in the dimer regime. In Fig. 2c we plot the maximal entanglement as a function of J' . Here a very significant difference between the two regimes arises; in fact, in the Kondo regime, entanglement is non-zero already at very small values of J' and it reaches its maximum for the value of J' yielding $\xi = N - 2$. Intuitively speaking, the entanglement between the ending spins of the chain is maximum when the cloud touches the other side of the chain and it is appreciably non-zero for values of J' such that $\xi > N/2$ (see Fig. 3a). No relevant dependence of J' on N is observed in the dimer regime since there the entanglement between the ending points is not relatable to a Kondo cloud.

Note that long distance entanglement may be present in a ground state when two ends spins are weakly (say with coupling $\ll 1/\sqrt{N}$) coupled to a spin chain [10]. This static strategy is not expected to be highly robust against thermal fluctuations due to the smallness of the gap between the ground and lowest excited states. We compare the robustness against thermal fluctuations of the entanglement generated in such a case with that generated through our bond quenching dynamics in the Kondo regime (where coupling $J'_{opt} \approx 1/(\log N)^2$). In Fig. 2d, we have plotted the entanglement obtained in both approaches as a function of temperature for a $N = 10$ chain (we have not found a method for higher N for thermal states). In the static strategy, the ground state is replaced by the thermal state, whereas in our dynamic strategy it is the initial state which is taken to be the relevant thermal state. We ignore thermalization and relaxation during dynamics since the dynamical time scale, set by $1/J'_{opt}$, is fast enough (incidentally, this fast dynamics is also an advantage over dynamical schemes with weak couplings [11] which are




FIG. 3: (Color online) a) Different $|GS_I\rangle$ for entanglement generation through quench dynamics. Top: The ground states with $\xi < N/2$ (no entanglement). Middle: $N/2 < \xi < N - 2$ (some entanglement). Bottom: $\xi = N - 2$ (optimal entanglement). b) Decoupling the impurity from the chain, during the evolution, to kill the end to end interaction.




FIG. 4: (Color online) Entanglement vs. N after decoupling the first impurity during the dynamics

potentially prone to decoherence). We point out that, at low temperatures, the entanglement generated through dynamics is stable for a wide range of temperatures, i.e., it exhibits a plateau followed by a slow fall whose width is determined by $K_B T_K = 1/(N - 2)$ (i.e., for optimal J').

We found that the entanglement induced by quenching in the Kondo regime is a periodic function with period of $2t_{opt}$ (Fig. 1a) which reaches its maximum value for a specific J'_{opt} . In $|GS_I\rangle$ this J'_{opt} creates a Kondo cloud of size $\xi = N - 2$ (see Ref. [17] for computing the extent of the cloud from J'). This implies that the entanglement generation between the ending spins is optimized when the Kondo cloud generated by the impurity sitting on the left side of the chain touches the other side (Fig. 3a). The proposed mechanism allows to convert the *useless entanglement* between the impurity spin and the cloud (as verified to exist in $|GS_I\rangle$ in Ref. [17]) into a *usable entanglement* between the ending spins for a chain of arbitrary length. This long distance entanglement is a genuine footprint of the presence of the Kondo cloud and its associated Kondo temperature in the initial ground state $|GS_I\rangle$.

The essential role of the Kondo cloud in the entanglement generation is further probed if one let evolve the ground state $|GS_I\rangle$ with a doubly quenched Hamiltonian obtained from (2) by isolating (i.e., putting $J' = 0$) the left hand side impurity from the rest of the system while keeping fixed to J'_{opt} the bond connected to the last spin (see Fig. 3b). This forbids

the dynamical build up of that "portion" of the total entanglement which is due to spurious end to end effects. In Fig. 4 we have plotted the maximal entanglement in terms of the length N after double quenching in both regimes. As it is clear, spurious entanglement in the dimer phase collapses for chains whose length are $N > 12$ while entanglement stays *unexpectedly* high in the Kondo regime and even seems to become independent of N for long chains. This is because the existing entanglement of the Kondo cloud with the impurity [17] is swapped over to the last spin.

Here we pause to point out a few systems where the Kondo cloud mediated long distance entanglement may be observed. One such system is ion traps for which there are suggestions [18], as well as experimental realizations [19] of spin chains where individual addressability permits bond quenching. Furthermore, in proposals for implementing spin chains [20] with trapped electrons one can tune a bond by controlling either the distance between electrons or by local magnetic fields. Another potential implementation is chains of P donors in Si where a single exchange interaction can be quenched by a gate [27]. Finally, spin chains with impurities could be realized with Josephson junction networks [21] and, by inserting a weak link, it is possible to fabricate devices where aspects of Kondo physics may be tested [22].

Conclusions:- We have shown that substantial long distance entanglement is created by a non-perturbative quenching of the bonds of a *single* spin at one end of a Kondo spin chain. This is an example of a *minimal local* action on a spin chain creating long distance entanglement through dynamics. In contrast to all known schemes for entanglement between individual spins in spin chains, here the entanglement attains a constant value for long chains rather than decaying with distance. We showed that, in the Kondo regime, the entanglement between the two ending spins is mediated by the the Kondo cloud; in fact, when the cloud associated with the impurity at one end touches the other side of the chain, the "useless" entanglement between the impurity and its cloud turns into a "usable" entanglement between the individual ending spins. As our coupling is non-perturbative in strength ($J'_{opt} \approx 1/(\log N)^2$), the entanglement generation is both fast and thermally robust below the Kondo temperature. Furthermore, we showed that, in the Kondo regime, the entanglement is periodic in time with a period of $2t_{opt}$ – this is a curious instance of exciting a long lived regular oscillation in a gapless system. Both, the long distance entanglement mediated by the cloud and the periodic behavior of entanglement, provide a clear signature of the existence of the Kondo cloud in a quantum system with impurities. These features are absent in the gapped dimer phase where no cloud can appear, and, upon insulating the impurity, entanglement vanishes as soon as finite size effects disappear. Though we have suggested spin chain based implementations, our analysis indicates that in double quantum dots one may detect the length of the Kondo cloud by inducing and probing the entanglement oscillations between the dots. Finally, our analysis evidences that new and interesting applications to quantum information

protocols may arise when relevant non-perturbative cooperative phenomena of a condensed matter system are considered.

Discussions with I. Affleck, A. Briggs, P. Calabrese, L. Campos Venuti and M. B. Plenio are warmly acknowledged. PS thanks the UCL for hospitality. AB and SB thank the University of Perugia for hospitality. AB and PS thank the Galileo Galilei Institute for hospitality and INFN for partial support. PS was supported by the ESF Network INSTANS at the final stage of this work. AB and SB are supported by the EPSRC, and SB is also supported by the QIPIRC (GR/S82176/01), the Royal Society and the Wolfson Foundation.

-
- [1] L. Amico, *et. al.*, Rev. Mod. Phys. **80**, 517 (2008).
 - [2] A. Osterloh, *et. al.*, Nature **416**, 608-610 (2002); T. J. Osborne and M. A. Nielsen, Phys. Rev. A **66**, 032110 (2002).
 - [3] G. Vidal, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 040502 (2004).
 - [4] A. R. Its, B. Q. Jin and V. E. Korepin, J. Phys. A **38**, 2975 (2005).
 - [5] P. Calabrese, J. Cardy, J. Stat. Mech., P06002 (2004); P. Calabrese, J. Cardy, J. Stat. Mech., P04010 (2005).
 - [6] N. Laflorencie, *et. al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 100603 (2006).
 - [7] E. S. Sorensen, *et. al.*, J. Stat. Mech., P08003 (2007).
 - [8] W.K. Wootters, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 2245 (1998).
 - [9] H. Wichterich, S. Bose, arXiv: 0806.4568; Fernando Galve, *et. al.*, arXiv: 0809.3712.
 - [10] L. Campos Venuti, C. Degli Esposti Boschi and M. Roncaglia, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 247206 (2006); L. Campos Venuti, S. M. Giampaolo, F. Illuminati, P. Zanardi, Phys. Rev. A **76**, 052328 (2007).
 - [11] L. Campos Venuti, C. Degli Esposti Boschi and M. Roncaglia, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 060401 (2007); M. J. Hartmann, M. E. Reuter, M. B. Plenio, New J. Phys. **8**, 94 (2006); Y. Li, *et. al.*, Phys. Rev. A **71**, 022301 (2005); A. Wojcik, *et. al.*, Phys. Rev. A **72**, 034303 (2005).
 - [12] S. Bose, Contemporary Physics **48**, Issue 1, 13-30 (2007).
 - [13] N. Andrei, K. Furuya and J. H. Lowenstein, Rev. Mod. Phys. **55**, 331 (1983).
 - [14] I. Affleck, arXiv: 0809.3474.
 - [15] N. Laflorencie, E. S. Sorensen and I. Affleck, J. Stat. Mech., P02007, (2008).
 - [16] S. Eggert and I. Affleck, Phys. Rev. B **46**, 10866 (1992).
 - [17] A. Bayat, P. Sodano, S. Bose, arXiv: 0904.3341.
 - [18] D. Porras, J. I. Cirac, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 207901 (2004); F. Mintert and C. Wunderlich, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 257904 (2001).
 - [19] A. Friedenauer, *et. al.*, Nature Physics **4**, 757 (2008).
 - [20] G. Ciaramicoli, I. Marzoli, and P. Tombesi, Phys. Rev. A **75**, 032348 (2007).
 - [21] L. I. Glazman and A. I. Larkin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 3736 (1997).
 - [22] D. Giuliano and P. Sodano, Nucl. Phys. B **711**, 480 (2005).
 - [23] A. E. Feiguin and S. R. White, Phys. Rev. B **72**, 020404 (2005)
 - [24] K. D. Schotte and U. Schotte, Phys. Rev. **182**, 479 (1969); I. Affleck and A. W. Ludwig, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **27**, 5375 (1994); I. Affleck, Nucl. Phys. B **58**, 35 (1997).
 - [25] J. Simonin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 266904 (2006); I. Affleck and P. Simon, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 2854 (2001); J. Simonin, arXiv:0708.3604; P. Simon and I. Affleck, Phys. Rev. B **68**, 115304 (2003).
 - [26] E. S. Sorensen and I. Affleck, Phys. Rev. B **53**, 9153 (1996).
 - [27] B. E. Kane, Nature **393**, 133 (1998).