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#### Abstract

An experiment proposed by Yurke and Stoler, and similar to that realized experimentally by Sciarrino et al., is analyzed. In Sciarrino's realization, identical photons from a degenerated down-conversion pair are used, i.e. the photons met in the past. In the experiment analyzed here the particles are also identical, but from different sources. As long as one can tell from which source came each particle, the joint wave function remains factorizable. However, a configuration is created in which one cannot tell anymore which particle came from which source. As a result, the wave function becomes non-factorizable, symmetrical (for bosons) or antisymmetrical (for fermions). In part of the cases the situation is even more surprising: the particles never meet, s.t. the symmetry (antisymmetry) is produced at-a-distance without the particles having had the possibility to interact in any way.


## 1. Introduction

Experiments on entangled particles show nonlocal correlations between results of measurements. Despite distances between them, the particles behave as if they are "informed" on the type of the test(s) and the result(s) of the other particle(s).
An experiment performed by Sciarrino et al. ${ }^{2)}$, hinted about another nonlocal phenomenon. Sciarrino et al. showed that the wave function of two identical photons is symmetrical, and the symmetry appears even in cases when the particles are spacely separated. But in his experiment the two photons originated in a downconversion pair, i.e. they met in the past, so one can say that the symmetry of the wave function was "agreed upon" at that time.
The purpose of the present text is to avoid this possibility. A stronger test is analyzed, based on a proposal of Yurke and Stoler, ${ }^{3)}$ in which the two identical particles come from different sources. As long as it is obvious from which source came each particle, their joint wave function is factorizable. A configuration is created in which it is no more discernable from which source came each particle. It is expected that the wave function will become non-factorizable, symmetrical for bosons and antisymmetrical for fermions. However, in part of the cases studied here, the particles keep distant from one another all the time, never meet. Nevertheless the symmetry (antisymmetry) is predicted to appear, as if the identical particles "agree" among themselves at a distance.
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## 2. An experiment with distant identical particles

The experiment described below is similar to Sciarrino's experiment and most of the notations are the same. Assume two sources of particles of the same energy, $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{L}}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{R}}$, fig. 1. A Stern-Gerlach apparatus in front of each source selects particles with positive spin projection along the axis perpendicular to the page. The resulting beams, L and R , impinge on the beam-splitters $\mathrm{BS}_{\mathrm{L}}$ and $\mathrm{BS}_{\mathrm{R}}$, respectively. From each beamsplitter an output branch goes to the region V , the other to the region E. On the branch $\mathrm{B}^{\prime}$ is placed a phase shift $\varphi$. We are interested to detect in coincidence two particles, one from $S_{L}$ and one from $S_{R}$. Such a two particle state will therefore have the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mid \psi>=1 / 2\left(|A>-| A^{\prime}>\right)\left(\left|\mathrm{B}>-\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \varphi}\right| \mathrm{B}^{\prime}>\right)=2^{-1 / 2}\left\{\left|\psi_{2}>-\right| \psi_{1,1}>\right\} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left|\psi_{2}\right\rangle$ describes particles detected in the same region, V or E , and $\left|\psi_{1,1}\right\rangle$ describes particles detected one in the region V , the other in the region E
(2) $\left|\psi_{2}\right\rangle=2^{-1 / 2}\left(|\mathrm{~A}\rangle|\mathrm{B}\rangle+\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \varphi}\left|\mathrm{A}^{\prime}, \mathrm{B}^{\prime}\right\rangle\right)$,
(3) $\left|\psi_{1,1}>=2^{-1 / 2}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \varphi}|\mathrm{A}\rangle\left|\mathrm{B}^{\prime}\right\rangle+\left|\mathrm{A}^{\prime}\right\rangle|\mathrm{B}\rangle\right)\right.$.

The beam-splitter $\mathrm{BS}_{\mathrm{V}}$ produces the transformations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathrm{A}>\rightarrow 2^{-1 / 2}\left(\left|\mathrm{D}_{1}>^{\mathrm{L}}+\right| \mathrm{D}_{2}>^{\mathrm{L}}\right), \quad\right| \mathrm{B}>\rightarrow 2^{-1 / 2}\left(\left|\mathrm{D}_{1}>^{\mathrm{R}}-\right| \mathrm{D}_{2}>^{\mathrm{R}}\right), \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and analogously $\mathrm{BS}_{\mathrm{E}}$. The superscript L or R keeps track of the source of the particle. Introducing (4) in (2) and (3) one gets

$$
\begin{align*}
\mid \psi_{2}>=8^{-1 / 2}\{ & \left(\left|\mathrm{D}_{1}>^{\mathrm{L}}\right| \mathrm{D}_{1}>^{\mathrm{R}}-\left|\mathrm{D}_{2}>^{\mathrm{L}}\right| \mathrm{D}_{2}>^{\mathrm{R}}\right)+\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \varphi}\left(\left|\mathrm{D}_{1}^{\prime}>^{\mathrm{L}}\right| \mathrm{D}_{1}^{\prime}>^{\mathrm{R}}-\left|\mathrm{D}_{2}^{\prime}>^{\mathrm{L}}\right| \mathrm{D}_{2}^{\prime}>^{\mathrm{R}}\right)  \tag{5}\\
& \left.-\left(\left|\mathrm{D}_{1}>^{\mathrm{L}}\right| \mathrm{D}_{2}>^{\mathrm{R}}-\left|\mathrm{D}_{2}>^{\mathrm{L}}\right| \mathrm{D}_{1}>^{\mathrm{R}}\right)-\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \varphi}\left(\left|\mathrm{D}_{1}^{\prime}>^{\mathrm{L}}\right| \mathrm{D}_{2}^{\prime}>^{\mathrm{R}}-\left|\mathrm{D}_{2}^{\prime}>^{\mathrm{L}}\right| \mathrm{D}_{1}^{\prime}>^{\mathrm{R}}\right)\right\},
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\mid \psi_{1,1}>=8^{-1 / 2} & \left\{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \varphi}\left(\left|\mathrm{D}_{1}>^{\mathrm{L}}\right| \mathrm{D}_{1}^{\prime}>^{\mathrm{R}}-\left|\mathrm{D}_{2}>^{\mathrm{L}}\right| \mathrm{D}_{2}^{\prime}>^{\mathrm{R}}-\left|\mathrm{D}_{1}>^{\mathrm{L}}\right| \mathrm{D}_{2}^{\prime}>^{\mathrm{R}}+\left|\mathrm{D}_{2}>^{\mathrm{L}}\right| \mathrm{D}_{1}^{\prime}>^{\mathrm{R}}\right)\right.  \tag{6}\\
& \left.+\left(\left|\mathrm{D}_{1}^{\prime}>^{\mathrm{L}}\right| \mathrm{D}_{1}>^{\mathrm{R}}-\left|\mathrm{D}_{2}^{\prime}>^{\mathrm{L}}\right| \mathrm{D}_{2}>^{\mathrm{R}}-\left|\mathrm{D}_{1}^{\prime}>^{\mathrm{L}}\right| \mathrm{D}_{2}>^{\mathrm{R}}+\left|\mathrm{D}_{2}^{\prime}>^{\mathrm{L}}\right| \mathrm{D}_{1}>^{\mathrm{R}}\right)\right\},
\end{align*}
$$

In both (5) and (6) each product was ordered with the particle originating from L in the left hand factor and the particle originating from $R$ in the right hand factor, i.e. $\left|\cdot>^{L}\right| \cdot>^{R}$. One can see in (5) that the first line is symmetrical at the interchange of the particles while the second line is antisymmetrical. To be clear, interchanging the particles means for instance, to replace the product $\left|D_{1}\right\rangle^{L}\left|D_{2}\right\rangle^{R}$ (where on $D_{1}$ is the particle from $L$ an on $D_{2}$ is the particle from $R$ ) with the product $\left|D_{2}\right\rangle^{L}\left|D_{1}\right\rangle^{R}$ (on $D_{1}$ the particle from $R$ an on $D_{2}$ the particle from L ), and similarly for all the other products. The first line reappears unchanged while the second line reappears with changed sign.
The first line in (5) describes the behavior of bosons and the second line the behavior of fermions.
However, the purpose of this article is to study the behavior the particles landing in different regions, i.e. those described by expression (6). Let's arrange it too in the form

$$
\text { (7) }\left|\psi_{11}\right\rangle=2^{-1 / 2}\left\{\left|\psi_{11}\right\rangle_{\mathrm{S}}+\mid \psi_{11}>_{\mathrm{AS}}\right\}
$$

where $\left|\psi_{1,1}\right\rangle_{\mathrm{S}}$ is symmetrical and $\left|\psi_{1,1}\right\rangle_{\mathrm{AS}}$ antisymmetrical.


Figure 1. An experiment with identical particles originating in different sources.
(8)
8) $\mid \psi_{1,1}>_{S}=1 / 4\left\{\left(1+\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \varphi}\right)\left(\left|\mathrm{D}_{1}>^{\mathrm{L}}\right| \mathrm{D}_{1}^{\prime}>^{\mathrm{R}}+\left|\mathrm{D}_{1}^{\prime}>^{\mathrm{L}}\right| \mathrm{D}_{1}\right\rangle^{\mathrm{R}}-\left|\mathrm{D}_{2}>^{\mathrm{L}}\right| \mathrm{D}_{2}^{\prime}>^{\mathrm{R}}-\left|\mathrm{D}_{2}^{\prime}>^{\mathrm{L}}\right| \mathrm{D}_{2}>^{\mathrm{R}}\right)$

$$
\left.+\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} P}\right)\left(\left|\mathrm{D}_{1}>^{\mathrm{L}}\right| \mathrm{D}_{2}^{\prime}>^{\mathrm{R}}+\left|\mathrm{D}_{2}^{\prime}>^{\mathrm{L}}\right| \mathrm{D}_{1}>^{\mathrm{R}}-\left|\mathrm{D}_{2}>^{\mathrm{L}}\right| \mathrm{D}_{1}^{\prime}>^{\mathrm{R}}-\left|\mathrm{D}_{1}^{\prime}>^{\mathrm{L}}\right| \mathrm{D}_{2}>^{\mathrm{R}}\right)\right\} .
$$

(9) $\left|\psi_{1,1}>_{A S}=1 / 4\left\{\left(1-e^{\mathrm{i} \varphi}\right)\left(\left|D_{1}^{\prime}\right\rangle^{\mathrm{L}}\left|\mathrm{D}_{1}\right\rangle^{\mathrm{R}}-\left|\mathrm{D}_{1}\right\rangle^{\mathrm{L}}\left|\mathrm{D}_{1}^{\prime}\right\rangle^{\mathrm{R}}-\left|\mathrm{D}_{2}^{\prime}\right\rangle^{\mathrm{L}}\left|\mathrm{D}_{2}\right\rangle^{\mathrm{R}}+\left|\mathrm{D}_{2}\right\rangle^{\mathrm{L}}\left|\mathrm{D}_{2}^{\prime}\right\rangle^{\mathrm{R}}\right)\right.\right.$

$$
\left.+\left(1+\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \varphi}\right)\left(\left|\mathrm{D}_{2}^{\prime}>^{\mathrm{L}}\right| \mathrm{D}_{1}>^{\mathrm{R}}-\left|\mathrm{D}_{1}>^{\mathrm{L}}\right| \mathrm{D}_{2}^{\prime}>^{\mathrm{R}}+\left|\mathrm{D}_{2}>^{\mathrm{L}}\right| \mathrm{D}_{1}^{\prime}>^{\mathrm{R}}-\left|\mathrm{D}_{1}^{\prime}>^{\mathrm{L}}\right| \mathrm{D}_{2}>^{\mathrm{R}}\right)\right\} .
$$

It is expected that bosons will behave according to $\left|\psi_{1,1}\right\rangle_{\mathrm{S}}$, and fermions according to $\left|\psi_{1,1}\right\rangle_{\mathrm{AS}}$. Then, the following probabilities are expected for detections in coincidence of a particle in V and one in E
(10) $\operatorname{Prob}\left[\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\prime}\right]=1 / 2(1+\cos \varphi), \quad \operatorname{Prob}\left[\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\prime}\right]=1 / 2(1-\cos \varphi)$,
for bosons, and
(11) $\operatorname{Prob}\left[D_{i}, D_{i}^{\prime}\right]=1 / 2(1-\cos \varphi), \quad \operatorname{Prob}\left[D_{i}, D_{k}^{\prime}\right]=1 / 2(1+\cos \varphi)$,
for fermions, with $\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{k}=1,2$, and $\mathrm{i} \neq \mathrm{k}$.

## 3. Discussion

The assumption that the proposed experiment aims at checking is whether two identical particles that never meet display a symmetrical (bosons) or antisymmetrical (fermions) configuration. Since they never met, never interacted, the effect is purely nonlocal - an arrangement done at a distance.
Sciarrino obtained for photons the same results as in (10). But this is just a hint, not a confirmation of (10), since Sciarrino's experiment was done with particles that met in the past. In the experiment proposed here the component $\mid \psi_{2}>$ of the wave function also describes particles that meet, so, the symmetry (antisymmetry) of this component may be thought of as "agreed" upon between the particles when they meet. But the symmetry (antisymmetry) of $\left|\psi_{1,1}\right\rangle$ is not a consequence of the properties of $\left|\psi_{2}\right\rangle$.
Although the analysis in section 2 is done for both bosons and fermions, it seems more realistic to perform this experiment with fermions. The exclusion principle forbids to two identical fermions to take the same path at the same time. So, coincidences found between the regions V and E will be indeed between a fermion coming from $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{L}}$ and one from $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{R}}$, i.e. from different sources.
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