

ON THE RIESZ BASIS PROPERTY OF THE EIGEN- AND ASSOCIATED FUNCTIONS OF PERIODIC AND ANTIPERIODIC STURM-LIOUVILLE PROBLEMS

A.A. SHKALIKOV AND O.A. VELIEV

ABSTRACT. The paper deals with the Sturm-Liouville operator

$$Ly = -y'' + q(x)y, \quad x \in [0, 1],$$

generated in the space $L_2 = L_2[0, 1]$ by periodic or antiperiodic boundary conditions. Several theorems on Riesz basis property of the root functions of the operator L are proved. One of the main results is the following. *Let q belong to Sobolev space $W_1^p[0, 1]$ with some integer $p \geq 0$ and satisfy the conditions $q^{(k)}(0) = q^{(k)}(1) = 0$ for $0 \leq k \leq s-1$, where $s \leq p$. Let the functions Q and S be defined by the equalities $Q(x) = \int_0^x q(t) dt$, $S(x) = Q^2(x)$ and let q_n, Q_n, S_n be the Fourier coefficients of q, Q, S with respect to the trigonometric system $\{e^{2\pi i n x}\}_{-\infty}^{\infty}$. Assume that the sequence $q_{2n} - S_{2n} + 2Q_0Q_{2n}$ decreases not faster than the powers n^{-s-2} . Then the system of eigen and associated functions of the operator L generated by periodic boundary conditions forms a Riesz basis in the space $L_2[0, 1]$ (provided that the eigenfunctions are normalized) if and only if the condition*

$$q_{2n} - S_{2n} + Q_0Q_{2n} \asymp q_{-2n} - S_{-2n} + 2Q_0Q_{-2n}, \quad n > 1,$$

holds.

Key words: Periodic Sturm-Liouville problem, Hill operator, Riesz basis.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARY RESULTS

Denote by L_α the Sturm-Liouville operator

$$L_\alpha = -y'' + q(x)y,$$

generated in the space $L_2[0, 1]$ by quasi-periodic boundary conditions

$$y(1) = e^{\pi i \alpha} y(0), \quad y'(1) = e^{\pi i \alpha} y'(0).$$

Generally we assume that the potential $q(x)$ is a complex valued Lebesgue summable function and $\alpha \in [0, 2)$. It is known that the operator L_α is Birkhoff regular. Moreover, in the case $\alpha \neq 0, 1$ it is strongly regular. In the latter case (i.e. for $\alpha \neq 0, 1$) the normalized eigen- and associated functions (or the root functions) of the operator L_α form a Riesz basis (this result is proved independently in [1, Ch.XIX], [7], [10] for strongly regular differential operators of arbitrary order rather than for the Sturm-Liouville operators). In the case when an operator is regular but not strongly regular the root functions, generally, do not form even usual basis.

A.A.Shkalikov is supported by Russian Foundation of Basic Research (project No 07-01-00283) and by INTAS (project No 05-1000008-7883).

However, it is known [12], [13], that they can be combined in pairs, so that the corresponding 2-dimensional subspaces form a Riesz basis of subspaces (see the definitions in [5, Ch. 6], for example).

The aim of this paper is to study in details the cases $\alpha = 0$ and $\alpha = 1$ which correspond to periodic and antiperiodic problems, and to find necessary and sufficient conditions for the Riesz basis property of the root functions. This problem is important for the study of Sturm-Liouville operators with periodic complex potential on the whole real line (the so-called Hill operator) and it is of independent interest. To make acquaintance with recent progress in the study of the Hill operator we refer the readers to a paper by Djakov and Mitjagin [4] where other many references can be found.

In 1996 at the seminar in MSU A.A.Shkalikov formulated the following result: *Assume that $q(x)$ is a smooth potential, $q^{(k)}(0) = q^{(k)}(1) = 0$ for $0 \leq k \leq s-1$, and $q^{(s)}(0) \neq q^{(s)}(1)$. Then the normalized root functions of the operator L_0 form a Riesz basis in L_2 .* The idea of the proof was the following: to obtain the asymptotics of the eigenfunctions using the well-known asymptotic of the solutions of the Sturm-Liouville equation with smooth potentials and then to check that the angle between the eigenfunctions in 2-dimensional subspaces does not tend to zero. Then the basis property follows from theorems of [12], [13]. Kerimov and Mamedov [6] obtained the rigorous proof of this result in the case $q \in C^4[0, 1]$, $q(1) \neq q(0)$. Actually, this results remains valid for an arbitrary $s \geq 0$. It will be obtained in Corollary 2 of this paper.

Another more original approach is due to Dernek and Veliev [2]. The result was obtained in terms of the Fourier coefficients of the potential q . Namely, they proved the following result: *Assume that for $\alpha = 0$ or for $\alpha = 1$ the conditions*

$$(1) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln |n|}{nq_{2n+\alpha}} = 0,$$

$$(2) \quad q_{2n+\alpha} \asymp q_{-2n-\alpha},$$

hold. Then the root functions of the operator L_α , generated by periodic or antiperiodic boundary conditions, respectively, form a Riesz basis in $L_2[0, 1]$.

Makin [8] essentially improved this result. Using another method he proved that the assertion on the Riesz basis property remains valid if condition (2) holds, but condition (1) is replaced by a less restrictive one:

$$q \in W_1^s[0, 1], \quad q^{(k)}(0) = q^{(k)}(1), \quad \text{for } k = 0, 1, \dots, s-1,$$

$$|q_{2n+\alpha}| > c_0 n^{-s-1}, \quad \forall n \gg 1 \quad \text{with some } c_0 > 0,$$

where s is a nonnegative integer. Moreover, some conditions which involve the absence of the Riesz basis property were presented in [8]. Some sharp results on the absence of the Riesz basis property were obtain by Djakov and Mitjagin [4, Theorem 71].

The results which we obtain in the present paper are more general and cover all the previous ones, except constructions in Theorem 71 of [4] (see Corollaries at the end of the paper).

Further we shall work only with periodic problem. The changes which have to be done for antiperiodic problem are obvious, and we shall note on them at the end of the paper. Without loss of generality, we always assume that $q_0 = \int_0^1 q(x) dx = 0$. It is known [11, Ch.1], that the eigenvalues of L_0 are grouped in pairs. It is convenient to distribute them in two sequences $\{\lambda_{n,1}\}_1^\infty, \{\lambda_{n,2}\}_1^\infty$ such that each of these sequences (see [2], for example) obeys the asymptotic

$$(3) \quad \lambda_{n,j} = (2n\pi)^2 + o(1), \quad j = 1, 2, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

For large indices n the numbers $\lambda_{n,1}$ and $\lambda_{n,2}$ represent simple eigenvalues, provided that they do not coincide. Otherwise the multiplicities of these eigenvalues equal 2 and the corresponding root subspaces consist either of two eigenfunctions or of Jordan chains which are formed by one eigen and one associated functions. Of course for the first indices the structure of the root subspaces can be more complicated. The number of such indices is finite, and for convenience without loss of generality we assume that the multiplicity of all eigenvalues ≤ 2 .

To simplify the notations we shall omit the index j and enumerate the eigenvalues in the following way: $\lambda_{n,1} =: \lambda_n, \lambda_{n,2} =: \lambda_{-n}$. We remark that there is a one-to-one correspondence between the eigenvalues (counting with multiplicities) and integers which preserves asymptotic (3). In other words: there exists a number $n_0 \geq 1$, such that for all $n \geq n_0$ each disk $|\lambda - (2\pi n)^2| \leq 1$ contains exactly 2 eigenvalues λ_n and λ_{-n} (counting with multiplicities), and the number of all the remaining eigenvalues equals $2(n_0 - 1) + 1$. This statement is obvious for the potential $q(x) = 0$. In a general case it can easily be proved in a standard way by using Rouché's theorem (we omit the proof of this fact, since it is used only to simplify the notations).

For large multiple eigenvalues we have $\lambda_n = \lambda_{-n}$, the remaining ones can be enumerated in such a way that the same relation holds. This follows from the previous remark and the agreement that all the eigenvalues have multiplicity ≤ 2 . Denote by $\varphi_{\pm n}$ the eigenfunction corresponding to simple eigenvalues $\lambda_{\pm n}$. In the case when the eigenvalue $\lambda_n = \lambda_{-n}$ has geometric multiplicity 2 we choose the pair of normalized eigenfunctions φ_n, φ_{-n} such that they are mutually orthogonal. In the case when the only eigenfunction φ_n corresponds to the multiple eigenvalue $\lambda_n = \lambda_{-n}$ we assume that $\|\varphi_n\| = 1$ and choose the associate function $\varphi_{-n} =: \psi_n$ to be orthogonal to φ_n (it is uniquely defined by this condition). The obtained system $\{\varphi_n\}_{-\infty}^\infty$ of the eigen- and associated functions (EAF) will be called *normal*. The set of positive indices $n \in \mathbb{N}$ which correspond to the simple (multiple) eigenvalues λ_n we denote by \mathbb{N}_1 (\mathbb{N}_2). The subset of $n \in \mathbb{N}_2$ which enumerate the Jordan chains φ_n, ψ_n we denote by \mathbb{N}_3 .

Define the Fourier coefficients of the eigenfunctions

$$(4) \quad u_n = (\varphi_n, e^{2\pi i |n|x}), \quad v_n = (\varphi_n, e^{-2\pi i |n|x}), \quad n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$$

Integrating the first term in the equality

$$(-\varphi_n'' + q(x)\varphi_n - \lambda_n\varphi_n, e^{2\pi ikx}) = 0$$

by parts we get

$$(5) \quad [\lambda_n - (2\pi k)^2](\varphi_n(x), e^{2\pi ikx}) = (q(x)\varphi_n(x), e^{2\pi ikx}),$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Similarly, if the Jordan chain φ_n, ψ_n , corresponds to the eigenvalue λ_n then the equalities

$$(6) \quad \left([-\psi_n'' + q(x)\psi_n - \lambda_n\psi_n - \varphi_n], e^{2\pi ikx} \right) = 0,$$

$$[\lambda_n - (2\pi k)^2](\psi_n(x), e^{2\pi ikx}) = (q(x)\psi_n(x), e^{2\pi ikx}) - (\varphi_n(x), e^{2\pi ikx})$$

are valid. It follows from asymptotics (3) that for large $|n| > n_0$, and $n \neq \pm k$ the estimates

$$(7) \quad |\lambda_n - (2\pi k)^2| \geq |(n-k)(n+k)|$$

hold. Now using (5)-(7) we shall obtain the asymptotics for the eigen- and associated functions. We do not consider the statement of the subsequent lemma as a new one (see related results in [11, Ch.1], [14], [3, Proposition 4], [4, Proposition 11], for example). However, we could not find a proper reference to all assertions of the lemma and decided to present here a short proof.

Lemma 1. *The eigenfunctions φ_n admit a representation of the form*

$$(8) \quad \varphi_n(x) = u_n e^{2\pi inx} + v_n e^{-2\pi inx} + \varphi_n^0(x),$$

where u_n, v_n are the Fourier coefficients defined by (4), the functions $\varphi_n^0(x) \perp e^{\pm 2\pi inx}$, and obey the estimates

$$\sup_{x \in [0,1]} |\varphi_n^0(x)| = O(n^{-1} \ln n), \quad \|\varphi_n^0(x)\| = O(n^{-1}), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z},$$

provided that $\|\varphi_n\| = 1$.

Analogously, if (for $n \in \mathbb{N}_3$) a function $\psi_n(x)$ is associate with $\varphi_n(x)$, then a representation of the form

$$(9) \quad \psi_n(x) = \tilde{u}_n e^{2\pi inx} + \tilde{v}_n e^{-2\pi inx} + \psi_n^0(x),$$

is valid where $\tilde{u}_n = (\psi_n, e^{2\pi inx})$, $\tilde{v}_n = (\psi_n, e^{-2\pi inx})$, $\psi_n^0 \perp e^{\pm 2\pi inx}$, and the estimates

$$\sup_{x \in [0,1]} |\psi_n^0(x)| = O(n^{-1} \ln n) (1 + \|\psi_n\|), \quad \|\psi_n^0(x)\| = O(n^{-1})(1 + \|\psi_n\|), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

hold.

Proof. Since $q\varphi_n \in L_1[0, 1]$, we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (q(x)\varphi_n(x), e^{2\pi ikx}) = 0.$$

Therefore there exist C_n and k_0 such that

$$\max_k |(q(x)\varphi_n(x), e^{2\pi ikx})| = |(q(x)\varphi_n(x), e^{2\pi ik_0x})| = C_n.$$

Using relations (5) and (7) we find

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi_n^0(x)| &\leq \sum_{k \neq \pm n} |(\varphi_n(x), e^{2\pi i k x})| \leq \sum_{k \neq \pm n} \left| \frac{(q(x)\varphi_n(x), e^{2\pi i k x})}{\lambda_n - (2\pi k)^2} \right| = \\ &= O(C_n) \sum_{k \neq \pm n} |n-k|^{-1} |n+k|^{-1} = O\left(C_n \frac{\ln n}{n}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we get the estimate

$$\|\varphi_n^0(x)\|^2 \leq \sum_{k \neq \pm n} \left| \frac{(q(x)\varphi_n(x), e^{2\pi i k x})}{\lambda_n - (2\pi k)^2} \right|^2 = O(C_n) \sum_{k \neq \pm n} |n-k|^{-2} |n+k|^{-2} = O\left(\frac{C_n}{n^2}\right).$$

Further, taking into account the inequalities $|u_n|^2 + |v_n|^2 \leq 1$ and using representation (8), we find

$$\begin{aligned} |C_n| &= |(q(x)\varphi_n(x), e^{2\pi i k_0 x})| = |(q(x)(u_n e^{2\pi i n x} + v_n e^{-2\pi i n x} + \varphi_n^0(x)), e^{2\pi i k_0 x})| \leq \\ &(2 + O(C_n n^{-1} \ln n)) \int_0^1 |q(x)| dx. \end{aligned}$$

This relation implies $C_n = O(1)$. Hence, we have proved the estimates for the functions φ_n^0 . The estimates for the functions ψ_n^0 in representation (9) can be obtained similarly taking into account equalities (6). \square

Recall that a system $\{f_k\}$ of elements in a Hilbert space H is said to be a *Riesz basis* of this space if it is equivalent to some (and then to all) orthonormal basis $\{e_k\}$. The equivalence means that there is a bounded and boundedly invertible operator A such that $Ae_k = f_k$. A basis $\{f_k\}$ is called *unconditional*, if it remains to be a basis after all rearrangements of its elements. It is known [5, Ch.6], that a basis is unconditional if and only if it is equivalent to an orthogonal one. One says that $\{f_k\}$ is a *Bessel system*, if the series $\sum |(f, f_k)|^2$ converges for all elements f in H . The notation $a_n \asymp b_n$ means further that there exist positive constants c_1, c_2 such that $c_1|a_n| < b_n < c_2|a_n|$.

The following statement plays an important role in the proof of main results.

Theorem 1. *The following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i) *A normal system of EAF of the operator L_0 forms a Riesz basis in the space $L_2[0, 1]$;*
- (ii) *The set of indices \mathbb{N}_3 (i.e. the number of Jordan chains) is finite and the relations*

$$(10) \quad u_n \asymp v_n \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_1,$$

$$(11) \quad u_{-n} \asymp v_{-n} \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_1,$$

hold, where u_n, v_n are the Fourier coefficients defined in (4);

- (iii) *The set of indices \mathbb{N}_3 is finite and either relation (10) or (11) holds.*

Proof. Step 1. Assume that the number of Jordan chains $\varphi_n, \psi_n, n \in \mathbb{N}_3$, is infinite. According to the definition of a normal system we have $\|\varphi_n\| = 1$. Let us show that $\|\psi_n\| \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This will imply that the condition for the set \mathbb{N}_3 to be finite is necessary for the Riesz basis property of the EAF system (since the condition for the norms of elements to be bounded from above and below is necessary for the Riesz basis).

Let us substitute expression (9) for the function ψ_n into (6) putting $k = n$ and $k = -n$. Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} [\lambda_n - (2\pi n)^2]\tilde{u}_n - q_{2n}\tilde{v}_n + u_n &= O(n^{-1}\ln n)(1 + \|\psi_n\|), \\ [\lambda_n - (2\pi n)^2]\tilde{v}_n - q_{-2n}\tilde{u}_n + v_n &= O(n^{-1}\ln n)(1 + \|\psi_n\|). \end{aligned}$$

where $q_k = (q(x), e^{2\pi i k x})$ are the Fourier coefficients of the potential $q \in L_1[0, 1]$. We have $q_{2n}, q_{-2n} \rightarrow 0$ and according to (3), $\lambda_n - (2\pi n)^2 \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Suppose $\|\psi_n\| < C$ for some subsequence of indices n . Since the third function in the representation (9) is orthogonal to the first two ones, we find $|\tilde{u}_n|^2 + |\tilde{v}_n|^2 < C^2$. Then it follows from the obtained equalities $|u_n| + |v_n| \rightarrow 0$. This is a contradiction to the condition $\|\varphi_n\| = 1$. Consequently, $\|\psi_n\| \rightarrow \infty$.

Step 2. Let the system $\{\varphi_n\}_{-\infty}^{\infty}$ forms a Riesz basis. Then the number of Jordan chains is finite. For simplicity and without loss of generality we may assume that $\mathbb{N}_3 = \emptyset$. Remark that the functions $\overline{\varphi}_n(x), (n \notin \mathbb{N}_3)$ are the eigenfunctions of the adjoint operator L_0^* (it is generated by the same differential expression with the potential \overline{q} and periodic boundary conditions.) It follows from the biorthogonality relations (see [9, Ch. 1], for example) that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_1$, the relations $(\varphi_n, \overline{\varphi}_k) = \alpha_n \delta_{nk}$, hold. Here $\alpha_n \neq 0$, and δ_{nk} is the Kroneker symbol. Using representation (8) and the estimate for the function φ_n^0 in L_2 -norm, we find

$$(12) \quad \alpha_n = (\varphi_n, \overline{\varphi}_n) = 2u_n v_n + O(n^{-2}), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_1.$$

The eigenfunctions φ_n and φ_{-n} corresponding to the indices $n \in \mathbb{N}_2 \setminus \mathbb{N}_3 = \mathbb{N}_2$ are chosen mutually orthogonal. Then, since the function φ_n has representation (8), we have

$$\varphi_{-n}(x) = \overline{v}_n e^{2\pi i n x} - \overline{u}_n e^{-2\pi i n x} + O(n^{-1})$$

up to a scalar multiple of modulus 1. Consequently, the system $\{\chi_n(x)\}_{-\infty}^{\infty}$ which is biorthogonal to $\{\varphi_n\}_{-\infty}^{\infty}$ admits representation

$$\chi_n = \alpha_n^{-1} \overline{\varphi}_n, \quad \text{if } n \in \mathbb{N}_1, \quad \chi_{\pm n} = \overline{\varphi}_{\mp n} + O(n^{-1}), \quad \text{if } n \in \mathbb{N}_2.$$

The so-called uniform minimality condition $\|\varphi_n\| \|\chi_n\| \leq \text{const}$ is necessary for the basis property. Therefore, $|\alpha_n|^{-1} \|\varphi_n\|^2 = |\alpha_n|^{-1} \leq \text{const}, n \in \mathbb{N}_1$. Using this estimate, equalities (12) and the relations $|u_n|^2 + |v_n|^2 = 1 + O(n^{-1})$ we get $u_n \asymp v_n, n \in \mathbb{N}_1$. Thus, we have proved the implication (i) \Rightarrow (iii).

Step 3. Let either condition (10) or (11) hold. Assume, for example, that the first one $u_n \asymp v_n \asymp 1$ is true. It follows from the biorthogonality relations that

$$0 = (\varphi_n, \overline{\varphi}_{-n}) = u_n v_{-n} + v_n u_{-n} + O(n^{-1}).$$

Since $|u_{-n}| + |v_{-n}| \asymp 1$, the last relation holds only if the condition (11) is valid. Hence, we have proved the equivalence (ii) \Leftrightarrow (iii).

The proof of the implication (ii) \Rightarrow (i) can be found in the papers [2] and [8]. We remark only that it can be readily obtained independently: condition (ii) together with obtained relations (12) imply that the angles between the normalized functions φ_n and φ_{-n} are uniformly positive. Indeed, if the angles tend to zero for some indices $n \rightarrow \infty$ then $\varphi_n = \varphi_{-n} + o(1)$ for these indices and relations $\alpha_n = (\varphi_n, \overline{\varphi_n}), (\varphi_n, \overline{\varphi_{-n}}) = 0$ imply $\alpha_n \rightarrow 0$. This contradicts to (12). Then the implication (ii) \Rightarrow (i) follows from theorem [12] on the Riesz basis from 2-dimensional subspaces. This completes the proof of Theorem. \square

Remark 1. *In the case when the number of multiple eigenvalues is finite the equivalence of the first two statements was used in papers [2] and [8]. Here we used the arguments from [2]. We remark that in the case of multiple eigenvalues the assertion of Theorem 1 from [8] has to be corrected.*

Let us formulate another statement which gives criteria for unconditional basis property. Its proof repeats the arguments of the previous theorem and is omitted here.

Theorem 1'. *The system $\{\varphi_n\}$ of EAF of the operator L_0 forms an unconditional basis if and only if either condition (10) or (11) holds.*

2. MAIN RESULTS

The main results will be formulated later, first we prove several lemmata. The main tool in our approach to solve the basis problem is the relation (15) obtained in Lemma 3. However, the most essential part of the paper is to encode in terms of the potential q the behavior of numbers in the sequences $B_m(\lambda_n)$ and $B'_m(\lambda_n)$ participating in (15).

The first of the subsequent lemmata is obtained in the paper [2]. Here we only remark that its proof is based on formula (5). One has to put $k = n$ in this formula to obtain (13) and $k = -n$ to obtain (14). In the first case the right hand-side in formula (5) has to be replaced by the series (we use the assumption $q_0 = 0$)

$$\sum_{n_1 \neq 0} q_{n_1} (\varphi_n(x), e^{2\pi i(n-n_1)x}),$$

where q_n are the Fourier coefficients of the potential q . It can be shown that the series converges and represent the right hand-side. Then, we do not change the terms with indices $n_1 = 2n$; all the other terms for $n_1 \neq 2n$ we replace according to (5) by the expressions

$$q_{n_1} \frac{(q(x)\varphi_n(x), e^{2\pi i(n-n_1)x})}{\lambda_n - (2\pi(n-n_1))^2}.$$

The same procedure can be applied to the numerator of the last expression, and then one can proceed in a similar way.

Lemma 2. Let $q_n = (q(x), e^{2\pi i n x})$ and $q_0 = 0$. The following relations are valid ($n \geq 1$)

$$(13) [\lambda_n - (2\pi n)^2 - A_m(\lambda_n)] (\varphi_n(x), e^{2\pi i n x}) - (q_{2n} + B_m(\lambda_n)) (\varphi_n, e^{-2\pi i n x}) = R_m,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_m(\lambda_n) &= \sum_{k=1}^m a_k(\lambda), & B_m(\lambda_n) &= \sum_{k=1}^m b_k(\lambda_n), \\ a_k(\lambda_n) &= \sum_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k} \frac{q_{n_1} q_{n_2} \cdots q_{n_k} q_{-n_1 - n_2 - \dots - n_k}}{[\lambda_n - (2\pi(n - n_1))^2] \cdots [\lambda_n - (2\pi(n - n_1 - \dots - n_k))^2]}, \\ b_k(\lambda_n) &= \sum_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k} \frac{q_{n_1} q_{n_2} \cdots q_{n_k} q_{2n - n_1 - n_2 - \dots - n_k}}{[\lambda_n - (2\pi(n - n_1))^2] \cdots [\lambda_n - (2\pi(n - n_1 - \dots - n_k))^2]}, \\ R_m &= \sum_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{m+1}} \frac{q_{n_1} q_{n_2} \cdots q_{n_{m+1}} (q(x) \varphi_n(x), e^{2\pi i(n - n_1 - \dots - n_{m+1})})}{[\lambda_n - (2\pi(n - n_1))^2] \cdots [\lambda_n - (2\pi(n - n_1 - \dots - n_{m+1}))^2]}. \end{aligned}$$

The summation in these formulae is taken over the indices $n_p \neq 0$, $\sum_1^p n_j \neq 0$, $\sum_1^p n_j \neq 2n$ for $1 \leq p \leq m+1$.

The relations

$$(14) [\lambda_n - (2\pi n)^2 - A'_m(\lambda_n)] (\varphi_n(x), e^{-2\pi i n x}) - (q_{-2n} + B'_m(\lambda_n)) (\varphi_n, e^{2\pi i n x}) = R'_m$$

are valid, too. Here

$$\begin{aligned} A'_m(\lambda_n) &= \sum_{k=1}^m a'_k(\lambda), & B'_m(\lambda_n) &= \sum_{k=1}^m b'_k(\lambda_n), \\ a'_k(\lambda_n) &= \sum_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k} \frac{q_{n_1} q_{n_2} \cdots q_{n_k} q_{-n_1 - n_2 - \dots - n_k}}{[\lambda_n - (2\pi(n + n_1))^2] \cdots [\lambda_n - (2\pi(n + n_1 + \dots + n_k))^2]}, \\ b'_k(\lambda_n) &= \sum_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k} \frac{q_{n_1} q_{n_2} \cdots q_{n_k} q_{-2n - n_1 - n_2 - \dots - n_k}}{[\lambda_n - (2\pi(n + n_1))^2] \cdots [\lambda_n - (2\pi(n + n_1 + \dots + n_k))^2]}, \\ R'_m &= \sum_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{m+1}} \frac{q_{n_1} q_{n_2} \cdots q_{n_{m+1}} (q(x) \varphi_n(x), e^{2\pi i(n + n_1 + \dots + n_{m+1})})}{[\lambda_n - (2\pi(n + n_1))^2] \cdots [\lambda_n - (2\pi(n + n_1 + \dots + n_{m+1}))^2]}, \end{aligned}$$

and the summation is taken over the indices $n_p \neq 0$, $\sum_1^p n_j \neq 0$, $\sum_1^p n_j \neq -2n$, $1 \leq p \leq m+1$.

The terms in the above formulae admit the estimates

$$a_k(\lambda_n) = O\left(\frac{\ln^k n}{n^k}\right), \quad b_k(\lambda_n) = O\left(\frac{\ln^k n}{n^k}\right), \quad R_m = O\left(\frac{\ln^{m+1} n}{n^{m+1}}\right),$$

and the same estimates admit the terms $a'_k(\lambda_n)$, $b'_k(\lambda_n)$ and R'_m .

The above formulae remain valid for the negative indices $-n \notin \mathbb{N}_3$ if $\ln n$ is replaced by $\ln |n|$. They remain also valid if the eigenvalues λ_n are replaced by λ_{-n} .

Lemma 3. Let $u_n, v_n, n \geq 1$, be the Fourier coefficients of the eigenfunctions defined according to formulae (4). Let $m \geq 0$ be arbitrary integer. Then the relation

$$(15) \quad (q_{2n} + B_m(\lambda_n))v_n^2 - (q_{-2n} + B'_m(\lambda_n))u_n^2 = O\left(\frac{\ln^{m+1} n}{n^{m+1}}\right)$$

is valid.

Proof. Let us rewrite relations (13) and (14) in the form

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda_n - (2\pi n)^2 - A_m(\lambda_n))u_n - (q_{2n} + B_m(\lambda_n))v_n &= O\left(\frac{\ln^{m+1} n}{n^{m+1}}\right), \\ (\lambda_n - (2\pi n)^2 - A'_m(\lambda_n))v_n - (q_{-2n} + B'_m(\lambda_n))u_n &= O\left(\frac{\ln^{m+1} n}{n^{m+1}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

We shall prove the equalities $A_m(\lambda_n) = A'_m(\lambda_n)$. Then, relation (15) is obtained from the last two ones: multiply the first and the second relation by v_n and u_n , respectively, and take the difference.

It is sufficient to prove that $a_k(\lambda_n) = a'_k(\lambda_n)$. Let us make the substitution

$$-n_1 - n_2 - \dots - n_k = j_1, \quad n_2 = j_k, \quad n_3 = j_{k-1}, \dots, \quad n_k = j_2,$$

in the formula for the expression $a'_k(\lambda_n)$. Then the inequalities for the forbidden indices $n_p \neq 0, \sum_1^p n_s \neq 0, -2n$ for $1 \leq p \leq k$ in the formula for a'_k take the form $j_p \neq 0, \sum_1^p j_s \neq 0, 2n$ for $1 \leq p \leq k$, and it will coincide with the formula for a_k . The lemma is proved. \square

Lemma 4. Let for some $m \geq 0$ one of the following relations hold

$$(16) \quad (q_{2n} + B_m(\lambda_n))^{-1} = o\left(\frac{n^{m+1}}{\ln^{m+1} n}\right), \quad (q_{-2n} + B'_m(\lambda_n))^{-1} = o\left(\frac{n^{m+1}}{\ln^{m+1} n}\right),$$

as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. Then the number of the eigenvalues with geometric multiplicity 2 is finite (i.e. the set $\mathbb{N}_2 \setminus \mathbb{N}_3$ is finite).

Proof. Let

$$\varphi_n(x) = u_n e^{2\pi i n x} + v_n e^{-2\pi i n x} + \varphi_n^0(x), \quad \varphi_{-n}(x) = u_{-n} e^{2\pi i n x} + v_{-n} e^{-2\pi i n x} + \varphi_{-n}^0(x),$$

be the eigenfunctions corresponding to an eigenvalue $\lambda_n = \lambda_{-n}$. By Lemma 1 we have $\|\varphi_n^0\| + \|\varphi_{-n}^0\| = O(n^{-1})$. It is assumed that φ_n and φ_{-n} are chosen orthogonal. Therefore, the determinant which is compiled from the rows $\{u_n, v_n\}, \{u_{-n}, v_{-n}\}$ is not equal to zero. Hence, there are linear combinations of these functions having the same representations with $u_n = 0, v_n = 1 + O(n^{-1}), u_{-n} = 1 + O(n^{-1}), v_{-n} = 0$. The relation (15) takes for these functions the form

$$q_{2n} + B_m(\lambda_n) = O\left(\frac{\ln^{m+1} n}{n^{m+1}}\right), \quad q_{-2n} + B'_m(\lambda_n) = O\left(\frac{\ln^{m+1} n}{n^{m+1}}\right) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Consequently, no of two relations in (16) can be valid if the number of the eigenvalues of geometric multiplicity 2 is infinite. The lemma is proved. \square

Lemma 5. *Let the both estimates in (16) are valid for some $m \geq 0$, and in addition the estimates*

$$(17) \quad (q_{2n} + B_m(\lambda_n)) = O\left(\frac{\ln^{m+1} n}{n^{m-3}}\right), \quad (q_{-2n} + B'_m(\lambda_n))^{-1} = O\left(\frac{\ln^{m+1} n}{n^{m-3}}\right)$$

hold, as $n \rightarrow +\infty$ (for $m \leq 3$ they hold automatically). Then the number of associated functions (i.e. the number of indices \mathbb{N}_3 is finite).

Proof. Let φ_n, ψ_n be a Jordan chain corresponding to the eigenvalue λ_n . Then $\overline{\varphi}_n, \overline{\psi}_n$ is the Jordan chain of the adjoint operator L_0^* corresponding to the eigenvalue $\overline{\lambda}_n$. The biorthogonality relations (see [9, Ch.1], for example) give $(\varphi_n, \overline{\varphi}_n) = 0$. Using equality (12), we get

$$u_n v_n = O(n^{-2}).$$

The condition for the norms $\|u_n\|^2 + \|v_n\|^2 = 1 + O(n^{-1})$ implies that either $\|v_n\| > 1/2$ or $\|u_n\| > 1/2$ for all sufficiently large n . Let, for example, the first inequality holds for infinite set of indices n . Then the estimate $u_n = O(n^{-2})$ holds for these indices, and relation (15) together with the second estimate in (17) give

$$(q_{2n} + B_m(\lambda_n)) = (q_{-2n} + B'_m(\lambda_n))O(n^{-4}) + O\left(\frac{\ln^{m+1} n}{n^{m+1}}\right) = O\left(\frac{\ln^{m+1} n}{n^{m+1}}\right).$$

This contradicts to condition (16). The case when $\|u_n\| > 1/2$ for infinite set of indices n can be treated analogously. The lemma is proved. \square

Lemma 6. *Let $p \geq 0$ be an arbitrary integer,*

$$(18) \quad q(x) \in W_1^p[0, 1], \quad \text{and } q^{(l)}(0) = q^{(l)}(1) \quad \text{for all } 0 \leq l \leq s-1, \text{ with some } s \leq p.$$

Define the functions

$$Q(x) = \int_0^x q(t) dt, \quad S(x) = Q^2(x),$$

and denote by $Q_k = (q(x), e^{2\pi i k x})$, $S_k = (q(x), e^{2\pi i k x})$ the Fourier coefficients of these functions.

The following relations are valid:

$$(19) \quad b_1(\lambda_n) = -S_{2n} + 2Q_0 Q_{2n} + o(n^{-s-2}), \quad b'_1(\lambda_n) = -S_{-2n} + 2Q_0 Q_{-2n} + o(n^{-s-2}),$$

$$(20) \quad b_2(\lambda_{n,j}) = o(n^{-s-2}), \quad b'_2(\lambda_n) = o(n^{-s-2}),$$

$$(21) \quad b_k(\lambda_n) = o\left(\frac{\ln^k n}{n^{k+s}}\right), \quad b'_k(\lambda_n) = o\left(\frac{\ln^k n}{n^{k+s}}\right) \quad \text{for all } k \geq 3, 4, \dots$$

Proof. Step 1. Integrating the equalities $q_n = (q(x), e^{2\pi i n x})$ by parts and using the assumptions of the lemma, we get $q_n = o(n^{-s})$. Further, at least one of the numbers n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k and $\pm 2n - n_1 - n_2 - \dots - n_k$ has modulus greater than n/k . Therefore,

$$q_{n_1} q_{n_2} \cdots q_{n_k} q_{\pm 2n - n_1 - n_2 - \dots - n_k} = o(n^{-s}).$$

The denominators in the expressions for $b_k(\lambda_n)$ and $b'_k(\lambda_n)$ we can estimate from below using the inequalities (7). Then we get the series not depending on λ_n . Its estimate is trivial and we readily get (21).

Step 2. The proof of the first two relations in the assertion of the lemma requires a sharper analysis. From relation (3) we easily get the estimate

$$\sum_{k \neq 0, \pm 2n}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{\lambda_n - (2\pi(n \mp k))^2} - \frac{1}{(2\pi n)^2 - (2\pi(n \mp k))^2} \right| = o\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right).$$

By virtue of this estimate

$$(22) \quad b_1(\lambda_n) = \tilde{S}(2n) + o(n^{-s-2}), \quad b'_1(\lambda_n) = \tilde{S}(-2n) + o(n^{-s-2}),$$

where

$$\tilde{S}(\pm 2n) = \sum_{k \neq 0, 2n} \frac{q_k q_{2n-k}}{(2\pi n)^2 - (2\pi(n \mp k))^2} = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \sum_{k \neq 0, 2n} \frac{q_k q_{\pm 2n-k}}{k(\pm 2n - k)}.$$

Now, let us substitute in the equality $S_{\pm 2n} = (Q^2(x), e^{\pm 2\pi i(2n)x})$ the Fourier series

$$Q(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} Q_k e^{2\pi i k x}$$

and view in mind that $Q_k = (2\pi i k)^{-1} q_k$ for $k \neq 0$. Then we get

$$\tilde{S}(2n) = -S_{2n} + 2Q_0 Q_{2n}, \quad \tilde{S}(-2n) = -S_{-2n} + 2Q_0 Q_{-2n}.$$

These estimates together with relations (22) give relations (19).

Step 3. Let us prove (20). Arguing as in the proof of equalities (19), we find

$$b_2(\lambda_n) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} C(2n) + o\left(\frac{1}{n^{s+3}}\right),$$

where

$$C(2n) = \sum \frac{q_{n_1} q_{n_2} q_{2n-n_1-n_2}}{n_1(2n-n_1)(n_1+n_2)(2n-n_1-n_2)}.$$

Here and further we imply that the summation is taken over all indices n_1, n_2 which do not annulate the denominator.

Making the substitutions $k_1 = n_1, k_2 = 2n - n_1 - n_2$, we simplify this expression and obtain

$$C(2n) = \sum \frac{q_{n_1} q_{n_2} q_{2n-n_1-n_2}}{n_1(2n-n_1)n_2(2n-n_2)}.$$

Using the equality

$$\frac{1}{k(2n-k)} = \frac{1}{2n} \left(\frac{1}{k} + \frac{1}{2n-k} \right)$$

we find that

$$(23) \quad C(2n) = \frac{1}{4n^2} (I_1 + 2I_2 + I_3)$$

where

$$I_1 := \sum \frac{q_{n_1} q_{n_2} q_{2n-n_1-n_2}}{n_1 n_2}, \quad I_2 := \sum \frac{q_{n_1} q_{n_2} q_{2n-n_1-n_2}}{n_2(2n-n_1)}, \quad I_3 := \sum \frac{q_{n_1} q_{n_2} q_{2n-n_1-n_2}}{(2n-n_1)(2n-n_2)}.$$

Thus, we have to show that $|I_1| + |I_2| + |I_3| = o(n^{-s})$. To estimate the sum I_1 we remark that

$$\left((Q(x) - Q_0)^2 q(x), e^{2\pi i(2n)x} \right) = -(2\pi)^{-2} I_1.$$

This equality can be readily checked by substituting in the left-hand side the Fourier series $Q(x) = Q_0 + \sum (2\pi i k)^{-1} q_k e^{2\pi i k x}$. Since the function $(Q(x) - Q_0)^2 q(x)$ satisfies condition (18), we obtain $I_1 = o(n^{-s})$.

To estimate I_2 we remark that

$$I_2 = \sum \frac{q_{n_1} q_{n_2} q_{2n-n_1-n_2}}{n_2(n_1+n_2)} = \sum \frac{q_{n_1} q_{n_2} q_{2n-n_1-n_2}}{n_1(n_1+n_2)}.$$

Taking the sum of the last expressions we get $2I_2 = I_1$, therefore $I_2 = o(n^{-s})$.

Step 4. To estimate the sum I_3 we consider the functions

$$G(x, n) = \int_0^x q(t) e^{-2\pi i(2n)t} dt - q_{2n} x.$$

Integrating by parts the expressions for the Fourier coefficients $G_k(n) := (G(x, n), e^{2\pi i k x})$ we get

$$G_k(n) = \frac{1}{2\pi i k} q_{2n+k}, \quad \text{if } k \neq 0, \quad G(x, n) = G_0(n) + \sum_{n_1 \neq 2n} \frac{q_{n_1}}{2\pi i(n_1 - 2n)} e^{2\pi i(n_1 - 2n)x}.$$

Using this expansion for the functions $G(x, n)$ we readily find

$$\int_0^1 (G(x, n) - G_0(n))^2 q(x) e^{2\pi i(2n)x} dx = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} I_3.$$

Now assume that for a function $q(x)$ satisfying condition (18) with $s \geq 1$ we have already proved the estimate

$$(24) \quad \int_0^1 (G(x, n) - G_0(n)) q^2(x) dx = o(n^{-s}).$$

Then integration by parts gives (we take into account the estimate $q_{2n} = o(n^{-s})$ and (24))

$$\begin{aligned} (25) \quad I_3 &= 4\pi^2 \int_0^1 (G(x, n) - G_0(n))^2 q(x) e^{2\pi i(2n)x} dx \\ &= \frac{2\pi i}{n} \int_0^1 (G(x, n) - G_0(n)) G'(x, n) q(x) e^{2\pi i(2n)x} dx \\ &\quad + \frac{\pi i}{n} \int_0^1 (G(x, n) - G_0(n))^2 q'(x) e^{2\pi i(2n)x} dx \\ &= \frac{\pi i}{n} \int_0^1 (G(x, n) - G_0(n))^2 q'(x) e^{2\pi i(2n)x} dx + o(n^{-s-1}). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from these equations that $I_3 = o(1)$ for q satisfying condition (18) with $s = 0$, since any function $q \in L_1$ can be approximated with arbitrary accuracy by a smooth periodic function. Then by induction from (25) we conclude $I_3 = o(n^{-s})$ that ends the proof.

To prove (24) we note that

$$\begin{aligned}
(26) \quad G_0(n) &= \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^x q(t) e^{-2\pi i(2n)t} dt - xq_{2n} \right) dx = - \int_0^1 xq(x) e^{-2\pi i(2n)x} dx + o(n^{-s}) \\
&= \frac{1}{4\pi in} \left[q(1) - \int_0^1 (q(x) + xq'(x)) e^{-2\pi i(2n)x} dx \right] + o(n^{-s}) \\
&= \frac{q(1)}{4\pi in} + \frac{q'(1)}{(4\pi in)^2} + \cdots + \frac{q^{(s-1)}(1)}{(4\pi in)^{s-1}} + o(n^{(-s)}),
\end{aligned}$$

provided that the first $s - 1$ derivatives of q are absolute continuous functions. Introducing the function $F(x) := \int_0^x q^2(x) dx$ we also find

$$\begin{aligned}
(27) \quad \int_0^x G(x, n) q^2(x) dx &= - \int_0^1 (q(x) e^{-2\pi i(2n)x} - q_{2n}) F(x) dx \\
&= \frac{1}{4\pi in} \left[q(1) F(1) - \int_0^1 (q^3(x) + F(x) q'(x)) e^{-2\pi i(2n)x} dx \right] + o(n^{(-s)}) \\
&= F(1) \left[\frac{q(1)}{4\pi in} + \frac{q'(1)}{(4\pi in)^2} + \cdots + \frac{q^{(s-1)}(1)}{(4\pi in)^{s-1}} \right] + o(n^{(-s)}).
\end{aligned}$$

Equalities (26) and (27) give (24). The proof of the lemma is complete. \square

Theorem 2. *Let one of the relations in (16) hold. Then a normal EAF system of the operator L_0 forms a Riesz basis if and only if*

$$(28) \quad q_{2n} + B_m(\lambda_n) \asymp q_{-2n} + B'_m(\lambda_n).$$

Proof. Let, for example, the first relation in (16) hold. Using relation (15), we obtain

$$(29) \quad v_n^2 = r_n u_n^2 + o(1), \quad r_n := \frac{q_{-2n} + B'_m(\lambda_n)}{q_{2n} + B_m(\lambda_n)}.$$

Assuming the validity of condition (28) we obtain that $r_n \asymp 1$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then it follows from (29) that $u_n \asymp v_n \asymp 1$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This relation implies that the number of Jordan chains is finite. Indeed (see the proof of Lemma 5), the estimate $u_n v_n = O(n^{-2})$ holds for indices $n \in \mathbb{N}_3$ which correspond to Jordan chains. Therefore, relation $u_n \asymp v_n \asymp 1$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ may be valid only in the case when the set \mathbb{N}_3 is finite. Applying Theorem 1 we find that a normal EAF system forms a Riesz basis.

Conversely, let the system $\{\varphi_n\}_{-\infty}^{\infty}$ form a Riesz basis. Then by virtue of Theorem 1 the set of indices $n \in \mathbb{N}_3$ is finite, and it follows from Lemma 4 that the set of indices \mathbb{N}_2 is finite, too, i.e all the eigenvalues are asymptotically simple. In this case Theorem 1 implies that $u_n \asymp v_n \asymp 1$, and by (29) we have $r_n \asymp 1$ \square

As a corollary we get several results.

Theorem 3. *Let the potential q obey condition (18) and there is a number $\varepsilon > 0$ such that either the estimate*

$$(30) \quad |q_{2n} - S_{2n} + 2Q_0Q_{2n}| \geq \varepsilon n^{-s-2}$$

or the estimate

$$(31) \quad |q_{-2n} - S_{-2n} + 2Q_0Q_{-2n}| \geq \varepsilon n^{-s-2}$$

hold. Then the condition

$$(32) \quad q_{2n} - S_{2n} + 2Q_0Q_{2n} \asymp q_{-2n} - S_{-2n} + 2Q_0Q_{-2n}$$

is necessary and sufficient for a normal system of EAF to form a Riesz basis.

Proof. It follows from Lemma 6 that for any $m \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} q_{2n} + B_m(\lambda_n) &= q_{2n} - S_{2n} + 2Q_0Q_{2n} + o(n^{-s-2}), \\ q_{-2n} + B'_m(\lambda_n) &= q_{-2n} - S_{-2n} + 2Q_0Q_{-2n} + o(n^{-s-2}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the validity of either relation (30) or (31) implies the validity of the first or second equality of (16), respectively, with $m \geq s + 2$. It is obvious that relations (28) and (32) are equivalent, provided that either (30) or (31) holds. It remains to apply Theorem 2. \square

Corollary 1. *Let the potential q obey condition (18) and let one of the following estimates hold*

$$|q_{2n}| > \varepsilon n^{-s-1}, \quad \text{or} \quad |q_{-2n}| > \varepsilon n^{-s-1} \quad \forall n \gg 1,$$

with some $\varepsilon > 0$. Then a normal EAF system of the operator L_0 forms a Riesz basis if and only if $q_{2n} \asymp q_{-2n}$.

Proof. Obviously, $Q, S \in W_1^{p+1}[0, 1]$ and due to the assumption $q_0 = 0$ we have

$$Q^{(l)}(0) = Q^{(l)}(1), \quad S^{(l)}(0) = S^{(l)}(1), \quad \text{for } 0 \leq l \leq s.$$

Then $Q_{\pm 2n} = o(n^{-s-1}), S_{\pm 2n} = o(n^{-s-1})$. It remains to apply Theorem 3 \square

Corollary 2. *Let $q \in W_1^p[0, 1]$ for some $p \geq 1$ and $q^{(s)}(0) \neq q^{(s)}(1)$ for some $s \leq p - 1$. Then a normal system of EAF of the operator L_0 form a Riesz basis.*

Proof. We may assume that the number s is the smallest one for which the condition $q^{(s)}(0) \neq q^{(s)}(1)$ holds. This means that q obeys condition (18). Integrating by parts we obtain

$$q_n = \frac{q^{(s)}(1) - q^{(s)}(0)}{(2\pi in)^{s+1}} + o(n^{-s-1}).$$

This implies the validity of the estimates from below in Corollary 1 and $q_{2n} \asymp q_{-2n}$. Therefore the assertion follows from Corollary 1. \square

The proof of corresponding results for antiperiodic problem can be carried out in a similar way. Here we only formulate the analogues of Theorem 3, Corollary 1 and Corollary 2.

Theorem 4. *The following assertions are valid.*

(a) *Let condition (18) hold. Assume in addition that either the estimate*

$$|q_{2n+1} - S_{2n+1} + Q_0 Q_{2n+1}| \geq n^{-s-2} \quad \forall n \gg 1$$

or the estimate

$$|q_{-2n-1} - S_{-2n-1} + Q_0 Q_{-2n-1}| \geq n^{-s-2} \quad \forall n \gg 1,$$

holds with some $\varepsilon > 0$. Then the condition

$$q_{2n+1} - S_{2n+1} + Q_0 Q_{2n+1} \asymp q_{-2n-1} - S_{-2n-1} + Q_0 Q_{-2n-1}$$

is necessary and sufficient for a normal EAF system of the operator L_1 to form a Riesz basis.

(b) *Let condition (18) hold. Assume in addition that one of the following estimates*

$$|q_{2n+1}| \geq \varepsilon n^{-s-1} \quad \forall n \gg 1 \quad \text{or} \quad |q_{-2n-1}| \geq \varepsilon n^{-s-1} \quad \forall n \gg 1,$$

holds with some $\varepsilon > 0$. Then the condition

$$q_{2n+1} \asymp q_{-2n-1}$$

is necessary and sufficient for a normal EAF system of the operator L_1 to form a Riesz basis.

(c) *If the conditions of the Corollary 2 hold, then a normal EAF system of the operator L_1 forms a Riesz basis.*

The authors thank Prof. B.S.Mitjagin and R.O.Hryniv for useful remarks.

REFERENCES

- [1] N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, Linear Operators, V. 3. Mir, Moscow, 1972.
- [2] N. Dernek, O. A. Veliev// On the Riesz basisness of the root functions of the nonself-adjoint Sturm-Liouville operators, Israel Journal of Mathematics 145(2005), 113-123.
- [3] P. Djakov and B. S. Mitjagin // Spectral triangles of Schrodinger operator with complex potentials. Selecta Math. (N.S.) **9** (2003), 495-528.
- [4] P. Djakov and B. S. Mitjagin // Instability Zones of Periodic 1-dimensional Schrodinger and Dirac Operators, Uspekhi Mathem Nauk, 61:4 (2006), 77-182. English Transl. in Russian Math. Surves, 61:4 (2006), 663-776.
- [5] I. Gohberg and M. G. Krein, Introduction to the Theory of Linear Non-self-adjoint Operators in Hilbert Space, Nauka, Moscow, 1965.
- [6] N. B. Kerimov, Kh. R. Mamedov// On the Riesz basis property of the root functions in certain regular boundary value problems, Math. Notes (1998), Vol. 64, No.4, 483-487.
- [7] G.M.Kesselman// On unconditional convergence of the eigenfunction expansions of some differential operators, Izv. Vuzov, Matematika, No 2 (1964), 82-93 (In Russian).
- [8] A. S. Makin// Convergence of Expansion in the Root Functions of Periodic Boundary Value Problems, Doklady Mathematics (2006), Vol.73, No. 1, 71-76.
- [9] V. A. Marchenko, Sturm-Liouville operators and their applications. Kiev, "Naukova dumka", 1977; English transl., Birkhäuser, Basel, 1980.
- [10] V.P.Mikhailov// On Riesz bases in $L_2[0, 1]$, Dokl. Akad. Nauk USSR, **114** (1962), No 5, 981-984.
- [11] M. A. Naimark, Linear Differential Operators. George G. Harap&Company, 1967.

- [12] A. A. Shkalikov// On the Riesz basis property of the root vectors of ordinary differential operators, Russian Math. Surveys, Vol. 34, 5(1979), 249-250.
- [13] A. A. Shkalikov// On the basis property of the eigenfunctions of ordinary differential operators with integral boundary conditions, Vestnik Moscow University, Ser. Mat. Mekh., Vol 37, 6(1982), 12-21.
- [14] O.A.Veliev and M.Toppamuk Duman// The spectral expansion for a non-self-adjoint Hill operator with locally integrable potential, Jour. Math Anal. Appl. **265** (2002), 79-90.

A.A Shkalikov: Department of Mechanics and Mathematics, Lomonosov Moscow State University,
Leninskie Gory, Moscow, Russia

e-mail: ashkalikov@yahoo.com

O.A. Veliev: Department of Mathematics, Dogus University, Acibadem, 34722,
Kadikoy, Istanbul, Turkey

e-mail: oveliev@dogus.edu.tr