

Laser frequency stabilization to highly excited state transitions using electromagnetically induced transparency in a cascade system

R. P. Abel, A. K. Mohapatra, M. G. Bason, J. D. Pritchard, K. J. Weatherill, U. Raitzsch and C. S. Adams [†]
Department of Physics, Durham University, Rochester Building, South Road, Durham DH1 3LE, UK

(Dated: November 2008)

We demonstrate laser frequency stabilization to excited state transitions using cascade electromagnetically induced transparency (EIT). Using a room temperature Rb vapor cell as a reference, we stabilize a first diode laser to the D₂ transition and a second laser to a transition from the intermediate 5P_{3/2} state to a Rydberg state with principal quantum number $n = 19 - 70$. A combined laser linewidth of 280 ± 50 kHz over a 100 μ s time period is achieved. This method may be applied generally to any cascade system and allows laser stabilization to an atomic reference in the absence of strong optical transitions.

Many experiments require a stable frequency in the optical region of the electromagnetic spectrum [1]. Typically, an optical frequency reference is established by locking a laser to a strong optical transition from an atomic ground state. Many techniques exist for locking to an atomic reference, including dichroic atomic vapour laser locking (DAVLL) [2, 3, 4], combined saturated absorption and DAVLL [5, 6, 7], polarization spectroscopy [8], Sagnac interferometry [9, 10], frequency modulation (FM) spectroscopy [11] and modulation transfer spectroscopy [12, 13, 14]. Recently, electromagnetically induced transparency (EIT) [15, 16] has been used as a dispersive reference to lock the relative frequency of two lasers to the atomic ground-state hyperfine splitting [17]. A limitation of these schemes is that one is restricted to wavelengths corresponding to allowed ground state transitions. If one requires a wavelength where no ground state transitions exist, e.g., to drive excited state transitions as shown in Fig. 1 (a), one must either use a frequency comb or a reference cavity stabilized to a ground state transition [18]. However, these methods are limited by the stability of the cavity, which is influenced by environmental factors such as temperature and pressure.

In this letter, we demonstrate a technique which enables laser stabilization to excited state transitions. In principle, the technique is applicable to any excited state transitions including very weak transitions, therefore, it allows a large number of additional potential optical frequency references. The specific case we consider is particularly useful for experiments involving highly excited Rydberg states [19, 20]. The technique is based on a cascade EIT involving highly excited Rydberg states [21] in a Rubidium vapour cell [22, 23]. We present details of our experimental setup and give examples of locking signals produced at various laser powers and for various Rydberg states. The stability of the lock is demonstrated by results from an experiment on EIT of cold Rydberg ensembles [19].

In order to produce an error signal we use frequency

modulation spectroscopy [24]. The probe beam is modulated to give sidebands above and below the EIT resonance. These sidebands then beat with the probe beam to produce a detector signal at the modulation frequency. Using a phase sensitive detection scheme dispersion and absorption components can be recovered to form an error signal. The signal detected is at the modulation frequency, so the bandwidth of the feedback is not limited by the resonance width [25]. With the probe beam locked and the coupling beam scanning through resonance an EIT feature is observed on the transmission of the probe at both the carrier and sideband frequencies as shown in Fig. 1 (b). Note that due to the Doppler mismatch between the probe and coupling beams, the frequency offset of the sidebands is scaled by a factor of λ_p/λ_c .

In our experiment, the cascade system consists of a weak probe beam resonant with the ^{85}Rb $5s^2S_{1/2}$ ($F=2$) \rightarrow $5p^2P_{3/2}$ (F') transition followed by an intense coupling beam resonant with the $5p^2P_{3/2}$ (F') \rightarrow nd^2D or




FIG. 1: (a) Energy level diagram of a two photon transition to a highly excited state in a typical alkali atom system. By probing the strong ground state transition the excited state transition is detected using EIT. (b) A typical EIT spectrum as the coupling laser is scanned across resonance. An EIT resonance is observed at both the carrier and sideband frequencies, $\omega_0 \pm (\lambda_p/\lambda_c)\omega_m$.

[†]email: c.s.adams@durham.ac.uk




FIG. 2: Schematic experimental setup for EIT laser stabilization. The coupling and probe laser are counter propagated through a magnetically shielded Rb vapour cell. The probe beam is modulated using an EOM and detected using a fast photodiode. This signal is mixed with the oscillator to produce an error signal that is fed back to the coupling laser.

$5p^2P_{3/2}(F') \rightarrow ns^2S$ transitions. The experimental setup is shown in Fig. 2. A 780 nm probe beam and 479-486 nm coupling beam are counter propagated through a magnetically shielded Rubidium vapour cell at room temperature. Both beams initially have a radius of approximately 1 mm and are focused through the cell using a pair of lenses. The coupling light is generated by a commercial high power frequency doubled laser (Toptica, TA-SHG). The probe light is provided by a commercial extended cavity diode laser (ECDL, Toptica DL-PRO) which is frequency stabilized to the $^{85}\text{Rb } 5s^2S_{1/2}(F=2) \rightarrow 5p^2P_{3/2}(F'=3)$ resonance using FM transfer spectroscopy [14]. The probe beam is frequency modulated using an electro-optic modulator (EOM) at 10 MHz. The EOM is driven by an amplified (Mini-Circuits ZHL-3A) sinusoidal signal from an oscillator. Following the vapour cell the probe beam is incident on a fast photodiode (Hamamatsu APD C5460 10 MHz). The photodiode signal is frequency mixed (Mini-Circuits ZAD-6+) with the oscillator after passing through a phase shifter and filter. The error signal is fed back to both the laser current and the external cavity piezo (using a Toptica FALC module with a 1 MHz cut-off filter).

Examples of the resulting error signals while scanning the coupling laser are shown in Fig. 3. The laser system has been locked to Rydberg states between 19 and 57D and error signals observed up to 70S. For Rydberg states with intermediate values of the principal quantum number, e.g. $n = 26$ in Fig. 3 (a), an error signal with good signal to noise is obtained with laser powers of under 1 mW. For higher Rydberg states and transitions with smaller dipole matrix elements (the Einstein




FIG. 3: Locking signal at varying coupling beam powers. The probe beam was fixed at a power of $4 \mu\text{W}$ and was locked to the $^{85}\text{Rb } 5s^2S_{1/2}(F=2) \rightarrow 5p^2P_{3/2}(F'=3)$ transition and modulated at 10 MHz using an electro-optic modulator (EOM). The coupling beam is tuned to the (a) 26D and (b) 70S Rydberg state and scanned through resonance.

A-coefficient for the 5p-ns transitions is an order of magnitude less than 5p-nd) significantly higher powers are required.

Although a direct beat measurement of the coupling laser was not feasible we use two approximate methods to evaluate the performance of the lock. Firstly we estimate a lower limit on the linewidth of the coupling laser system by measuring the rms noise of the error signal. The rms noise of the locking signal (averaged over a period of 1 minute) when the laser is locked was measured and divided by the gradient of the unlocked signal to give a linewidth estimate for the 26D Rydberg state error signals. With a coupling power of 0.5 mW the gradient of the locking signal was 20 mV/MHz giving a linewidth of 200 kHz. A coupling power of 1.0 mW gave a gradient of 70 mV/MHz and a linewidth of 50 kHz. Finally, a power of 2.4 mW gave a gradient of 110 MHz/mV and a linewidth of 35 kHz, illustrating that for these parameters the linewidth is primarily limited by the gradient of the error signal.

Secondly, we obtain an estimate of the combined linewidth of the probe and coupling laser by performing a two photon Rydberg excitation measurement in a cold atom experiment detailed in reference [19]. The probe and pump beam were counterpropagated through a cloud of laser cooled Rb atoms. The probe beam is scanned through the $^{85}\text{Rb } D2 F=3 \rightarrow F'=4$ transition using an acousto-optic modulator (AOM) in a time of 0.32 ms to produce the EIT spectra in Fig. 4. The theoretical model used to fit the data indicates a combined relative laser linewidth of 280 ± 50 kHz. The transit time broadening gives a contribution of less than 40 kHz.




FIG. 4: Transmission of a 200 nW probe beam (red) as a function of probe beam detuning for the $5S_{1/2} \rightarrow 5P_{3/2} \rightarrow 26D$ transition. The probe laser is scanned over 20 MHz in 0.32 ms. The coupling beam power is 84 mW. The black line is the theoretical line of best fit from the model detailed in reference [19] giving a combined laser linewidth of 280 ± 50 kHz.

Although the spectroscopy experiment gives a practical indication of the performance of the stabilized laser it underestimates the longer term linewidth as the measurement was performed over a short time scale of less than 100 μ s. To give an indication of the longer term linewidth we performed a beat measurement between the probe laser and two other 780 nm lasers which were locked using the same method. By averaging over different numbers of measurements the linewidth was determined over time scales between 3.5 seconds and 170 seconds. The measurements were then repeated several hours later to check for any long term changes in the locking system. The linewidth of the probe laser was found to vary between approximately 200 and 350 kHz which is consistent with the cold atom spectroscopy measurement.

In summary, we have demonstrated a laser frequency stabilization to excited state transitions using cascade EIT. Our case of Rydberg excitation displays the power of the method as the Einstein-A coefficients to Rydberg states are many orders of magnitude smaller than those of the Rb D lines. We expect this approach can be applied to many different excitation schemes in atomic and molecular physics experiments.

We are grateful to I. G. Hughes and S. L. Cornish for the loan of equipment. We thank the EPSRC for financial support.

-
- [1] J. L. Hall, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **78**, 1279 (2006).
 - [2] B. Chérón, H. Gilles, J. Hamel, D. Morau, and H. Sorel *J. Physique III*, **4**, 401 (1994).
 - [3] K. L. Corwin, Z.-T. Lu, C. F. Hand, R. J. Epstein and C. E. Wieman, *Appl. Opt.* **37**, 3295 (1998).
 - [4] A. Millett–Sikking, I. G. Hughes, P. Tierney, and S. L. Cornish, *J. Phys. B.* **40**, 187 (2007).
 - [5] M. L. Harris, S. L. Cornish, A. Tripathi, and I. G. Hughes, *J. Phys. B.* **41**, 085401 (2008).
 - [6] T. Petelski, M. Fattori, G. Lamporesi, J. Stuhler and G. M. Tino, *Eur. Phys. J. D* **22** 279 (2003).
 - [7] G. Wasik, W. Gawlik, J. Zachorowski and W. Zawadzki *Appl. Phys. B* **75** 613 (2002).
 - [8] C. P. Pearman, C. S. Adams, S. G. Cox, P. F. Griffin, D. A. Smith, and I. G. Hughes, *J. Phys. B* **35**, 5141 (2002).
 - [9] N. P. Robins, B. J. J. Slagmolen, D. A. Shaddock, J. D. Close, and M. B. Gray, *Opt. Lett.* **27**, 1905 (2002).
 - [10] G. Jundt, G.T. Purves, C.S. Adams, and I.G. Hughes, *Eur. Phys. J. D.* **27**, 273 (2003).
 - [11] G. C. Bjorklund, *Opt. Lett.* **5**, 15 (1980).
 - [12] J. H. Shirley, *Opt. Lett.* **7**, 537 (1982).
 - [13] J. Zhang, D. Wei, C. Xie, and K. Peng, *Opt. Express.* **11**, 1338 (2003).
 - [14] D. J. McCarron, S. A. King and S. L. Cornish *Meas. Sci. Technol.* **19** 105601 (2008).
 - [15] K.-J. Boller, A. Imamoglu, and S. E. Harris, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **66**, 2593 (1991).
 - [16] M. Fleischhauer, A. Imamoglu and J. P. Marangos, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **77**, 633 (2005).
 - [17] S. C. Bell, D. M. Heywood, J. D. White, J. D. Close, and R. E. Scholten *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **90**, 171120 (2007).
 - [18] P. Bohlouli-Zanjani, K. Afrousheh, and J. D. D. Martin, *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **77**, 093105 (2006).
 - [19] K. J. Weatherill, J. D. Pritchard, R. P. Abel, M. G. Bason, A. K. Mohapatra, and C. S. Adams. *J. Phys. B.* **41**, 201002 (2008).
 - [20] A. K. Mohapatra, M. G. Bason, B. Butscher, K. J. Weatherill, and C. S. Adams, *Nature Phys.* **4**, 890 (2008).
 - [21] T. F. Gallagher, *Rydberg atoms* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1994).
 - [22] A. K. Mohapatra, T. R. Jackson, and C. S. Adams, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98**, 113003 (2007).
 - [23] M. G. Bason, A. K. Mohapatra, K. J. Weatherill, and C. S. Adams, *Phys. Rev. A.* **77**, 032305 (2008).
 - [24] G. C. Bjorklund, M. D. Levenson, W. Lentz, and C. Ortiz, *Appl. Phys. B.* **32**, 145 (1983).
 - [25] J. L. Hall, F. V. Kowalski, J. Hough, G. M. Ford, A. J. Munley, and H. Ward, *Appl. Phys. B.* **31** 97 (1983).