

Vortices in (abelian) Chern-Simons gauge theory

Peter A. HORVATHY

Laboratoire de Mathématiques et de Physique Théorique
Université de TOURS (France)

Pengming ZHANG

Institute for Modern Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences
LANZHOU (China)

October 26, 2018

Abstract

The vortex solutions of various classical planar field theories with (Abelian) Chern-Simons term are reviewed. Relativistic vortices, put forward by Paul and Khare, arise when the Abelian Higgs model is augmented with the Chern-Simons term. Adding a suitable sixth-order potential and turning off the Maxwell term provides us with pure Chern-Simons theory with both topological and non-topological self-dual vortices, as found by Hong-Kim-Pac, and by Jackiw-Lee-Weinberg. The non-relativistic limit of the latter leads to non-topological Jackiw-Pi vortices with a pure fourth-order potential. Explicit solutions are found by solving the Liouville equation.

The scalar matter field can be replaced by spinors, leading to fermionic vortices.

Alternatively, topological vortices in external field are constructed in the phenomenological model proposed by Zhang-Hansson-Kivelson. Non-relativistic Maxwell-Chern-Simons vortices are also studied.

The Schrödinger symmetry of Jackiw-Pi vortices, as well as the construction of some time-dependent vortices, can be explained by the conformal properties of non-relativistic space-time, derived in a Kaluza-Klein-type framework.

PACS: 11.15-q; 11.10 Kk; 04.60 Kz; 03.75 Lm

Physics Reports **481** 83 (2009)

e-print : arXiv:0811.2094 [hep-th]

arXiv:0811.2094v4 [hep-th] 24 Oct 2009

Contents

1	INTRODUCTION	5
1.1	Chern-Simons Form and the Hall Law	5
1.2	Feynman’s “Another point of view”	8
1.3	Landau-Ginzburg Theory of the Quantum Hall Effect	10
2	RELATIVISTIC CHERN-SIMONS VORTICES	14
2.1	Abelian Higgs Model with Chern-Simons Term	14
2.2	Pure Chern-Simons Vortices	18
3	NON-RELATIVISTIC NON-TOPOLOGICAL VORTICES	31
3.1	The Jackiw-Pi Model	31
3.2	Vortex Solutions of the Liouville equation	37
4	NON-RELATIVISTIC VORTICES IN EXTERNAL FIELDS	44
4.1	Topological Vortices in the ZHK Model	44
4.2	Non-relativistic Maxwell-Chern-Simons Vortices	52
4.3	Time-dependent Jackiw-Pi Vortices in External Field	56
5	SPINOR VORTICES	59
5.1	Relativistic Spinor Vortices	59
5.2	Non-relativistic Spinor Vortices	60
6	NON-RELATIVISTIC KALUZA-KLEIN FRAMEWORK	66
6.1	Relativistic Framework for Non-relativistic Physics	66
6.2	Vortices in the K-K-type Framework	70
6.3	Spinors on Bargmann space	76
7	REFERENCES	80

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Chern-Simons Form and the Hall Law

The mathematical machinery developed by Maxwell to formulate the principles of Faraday's electromagnetism has been, subsequently, applied to pure mathematics, namely to describe bundles over *even dimensional* manifolds, using characteristic classes [1]. One hundred years later, in the early 1970s, S. S. Chern, and J. Simons [2], proposed to study bundles over *odd-dimensional* manifolds using secondary characteristic classes. In 3 space-time dimensions (the only case we study here), the (Abelian) Chern-Simons three-form is ¹

$$(\text{CS form}) = \frac{1}{4} A_\alpha F_{\beta\gamma} dx^\alpha \wedge dx^\beta \wedge dx^\gamma, \quad (1.1.2)$$

where $A = A_\alpha dx^\alpha$ is some real vector potential and $F_{\alpha\beta}$ is its curvature.

The first applications of the Chern-Simons form to physics came in the early 1980s, namely in *topologically massive gauge theory* [3, 4]. It was realized that, in (2+1) space-time dimensions, (1.1.2) can be added to the usual Maxwell term in the electromagnetic action,

$$S = S_{em} + S_{CS} = \int \frac{1}{4} F_{\alpha\beta} F^{\alpha\beta} d^3x - \kappa \int \frac{1}{4} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma} A_\alpha F_{\beta\gamma} d^3x, \quad (1.1.3)$$

where κ is some coupling constant, which determines the relative strength of the Maxwell and Chern-Simons dynamics.

The unusual feature of (1.1.3) is that, unlike the conventional Maxwell term, the Chern-Simons form (1.1.2) is *not* invariant under a gauge transformation $A_\alpha \rightarrow A_\alpha + \partial_\alpha \lambda$. Explicitly,

$$(\text{CS form}) \rightarrow (\text{CS form}) + \frac{1}{4} (\partial_\alpha \lambda) F_{\beta\gamma} dx^\alpha \wedge dx^\beta \wedge dx^\gamma. \quad (1.1.4)$$

It yields, nevertheless, consistent physics : the field equations,

$$\partial_\alpha F^{\alpha\gamma} + \frac{\kappa}{2} \epsilon^{\gamma\alpha\beta} F_{\alpha\beta} = 0, \quad (1.1.5)$$

are gauge invariant. This can be understood by noting that, using the sourceless Maxwell equations $\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma} \partial_\alpha F_{\beta\gamma} = 0$ [which merely follow from our using potentials, $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$], the integrand in (1.1.3) changes by a surface term,

$$\Delta \mathcal{L} = -\partial_\alpha \left(\lambda \frac{\kappa}{4} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma} F_{\beta\gamma} \right), \quad (1.1.6)$$

and defines, therefore, a satisfactory gauge theory. Moreover, it can be inferred from (1.1.5) that the Chern-Simons dynamics endows the gauge field A_μ with a gauge invariant ‘‘topological mass’’ ².

¹ Three-dimensional space-time indices are denoted by $\alpha, \beta, \dots = 0, i$. In the relativistic case, we work with a metric of signature $(-1, 1, 1)$, with $\epsilon_{012} = 1$. Hence

$$\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma} A_\alpha F_{\beta\gamma} = -A_0 \epsilon_{ij} F_{ij} - 2\epsilon_{ij} A_i F_{j0} = -2A_0 B - 2\vec{A} \times \vec{E}, \quad (1.1.1)$$

where $B = \epsilon_{ij} \partial_i A_j$ and $E_i = F_{i0} = \partial_i A_0 - \partial_0 A_i$. This same convention is kept in the non-relativistic case.

The non-Abelian generalization (not considered here) of the Chern-Simons form is

$$\frac{1}{4} \text{Tr} \left(A_\alpha F_{\beta\gamma} - \frac{2}{3} A_\alpha A_\beta A_\gamma \right) dx^\alpha \wedge dx^\beta \wedge dx^\gamma.$$

²The Chern-Simons form exhibits a behavior similar to that of a Dirac monopole, for which no global vector potential exists, yet the classical action is satisfactorily defined. In the non-Abelian context, and over a compact space-time manifold, this leads to the quantization of the Chern-Simons coefficient, interpreted as the topological mass [4, 5, 6], in an analogous way to the Dirac quantization of the monopole charge [7].

The Chern-Simons term can thus be used to supplement, or even replace, the usual Maxwellian dynamics. The resulting dynamics is “poorer”, since it allows no propagating modes. It has, on the other hand, larger symmetries : while the Maxwell term $(1/4)F_{\alpha\beta}F^{\alpha\beta}$ is only meaningful if a metric $g_{\alpha\beta}$ is given, the Chern-Simons term is *topological*, i.e., the integral

$$\int \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma} A_\alpha F_{\beta\gamma} d^3x$$

is *independent* of the metric we choose. Thus, while the Maxwell theory has historically been at the origin of (special) relativity, the Chern-Simons term can accommodate both relativistic and non-relativistic (or even mixed) theories.

Note that Galilean field theory has been investigated, starting in the mid-eighties [8, 9].

The Hall Effect

The Hall Effect, predicted and first observed around 1879 [10] says that, in a thin conducting layer subjected to a planar electric field and to a magnetic field perpendicular to the sample, the electric current and the field are related by the *off-diagonal* relation

$$\boxed{\vec{j} = \sigma \vec{E}, \quad \sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_H \\ -\sigma_H & 0 \end{pmatrix}}, \quad (1.1.7)$$

where σ_H is the Hall conductivity.

One hundred years after Hall’s original discovery, a similar experiment was performed under rather different conditions [11, 12, 13, 14]. It was found that, in a thin semiconductor placed into a strong perpendicular magnetic field, the longitudinal resistance drops to zero if the magnetic field takes some specific values, called “plateaus”. Furthermore, the Hall conductivity, σ_H , is *quantized*. In the integer Quantum Hall Effect (IQHE) σ_H is an integer multiple of some basic unit while in the Fractional Quantum Hall Effect (FQHE), it is a rational multiple.

The explanation of this surprising, and unexpected, quantization, provided by Laughlin’s “microscopic” theory [15], involves quasiparticles and quasiholes, which are composite objects that carry both (fractional) electric charge and a magnetic flux : they are *charged vortices*. For further details, the reader is referred to the literature [11, 12, 13, 14].

Vortices (uncharged, however) have been encountered before in “ordinary” superconductivity and superfluidity. Their phenomenological description is provided by Landau-Ginzburg theory [16, 17] – the Cooper pairs formed by the electrons are represented by a scalar matter field, whose charge is twice that of the electron. The pairs interact through their electromagnetic fields, governed by the Maxwell equations.

The similarities between the Fractional Quantum Hall Effect and superconductivity led condensed matter physicists to call for a phenomenological, effective theory of the FQHE [18]. In the first instance, such a “Landau-Ginzburg” theory of the Hall effect must reproduce Hall’s law, (1.1.7). Now, adding the usual current term $-ej^\alpha A_\alpha$ to (1.1.3) and suppressing the conventional Maxwell term, the variational equations read, in $(2+1)d$ form,

$$\boxed{\kappa E_i = -e\epsilon_{ik}j^k, \quad \kappa B = e\rho}, \quad (1.1.8)$$

The spatial components here are precisely the *Hall law*, (1.1.7) with $\kappa = e\sigma_H$.

The main physical application of Chern-Simons gauge theory is, in fact, to the *Quantum Hall Effect* [12, 13, 14, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28].

Conversely, the Hall law, combined with current conservation and Faraday’s law, together imply the Chern-Simons equations and *require*, therefore, the Chern-Simons form (1.1.2) [29].

Using the Chern-Simons term in the Hall context has been advocated by high-energy physicists [20, 21]. However, their efforts had seemingly little effect on condensed matter physicists, who went their own way to arrive, independently, at similar conclusions. The evolution has been parallel and (almost) unrelated in high-energy/mathematical physics and in condensed matter physics, for at least a decade.

It is interesting to compare the early progress in the two fields. Similar ideas arose, independently and almost simultaneously, see Table 1. The main difference has been that while condensed matter physicists were more interested in the physical derivation, and in its application to the Hall effect, high-energy/mathematical physicists explored the existence and the construction of solutions.

FIELD THEORY (hep-th)	CONDENSED MATTER (cond-mat)
1981 Schonfeld; Deser-Jackiw-Templeton: topologically massive gauge theory	1980-1982 v. Klitzing et al.; Tsui et al.: Integer/Fractional Quantum Hall effect
1984-85 Hagen: Galilei-invariant field theory in (2+1)d; Jackiw; Friedman et al.: relation to Hall effect	1983 Laughlin: microscopic theory of FQHE ground-state wave functions
1986 Paul-Khare; De Vega-Schaposnik: vortices in Maxwell/YM + CS	1986-87 Girvin-MacDonald: effective “Landau-Ginzburg” theory
1990-91 Hong et al, Jackiw et al: relativistic topological/non-topological self-dual vortices	1989 Zhang, Hansson, Kivelson (ZHK): time-dependent LG theory with vortex solutions
1990 Jackiw-Pi: exact non-relativistic non-topological vortices	
1991 Ezawa et al., Jackiw-Pi: time-dependent breather vortices in external field	1991-93 Ezawa et al., Tafelmayer: topological vortices in the Zhang et al. model
1997 Manton: NR Maxwell-CS vortices in external transport current	

Table 1: *The Chern-Simons form in field theory and in condensed matter physics.*

The first, static, “Landau-Ginzburg” theory for the QHE was put forward by Girvin and MacDonald [18, 19] on phenomenological grounds. An improved theory, allowing for time-dependence, was derived from Laughlin’s microscopic theory by Zhang, Hansson and Kivelson [22, 23, 24, 25] (see Section 1.3).

Condensed matter physics is intrinsically non-relativistic. This was pointed out, for example, by Feynman in his Lectures on Statistical Mechanics [30]. Ordinary Landau-Ginzburg theory does not admit any interesting non-relativistic extension, owing to the intrinsically relativistic character of the Maxwell dynamics. The topological nature of the Chern-Simons term makes it possible, however, to accommodate both relativistic as well as non-relativistic field theories. The theory of Zhang et al. in Ref. [22] is non-relativistic.

Relativistic Chern-Simons theories with topological vortex solutions (Section 2) have been considered starting from the mid eighties, by high-energy physicists [31, 32, 33].

We first review some aspects of the Abelian Higgs model [17] with a Chern-Simons term [31, 34], and then proceed to the relativistic, pure Chern-Simons model studied in Refs. [35, 36, 37]. These simplified models have the advantage of accommodating self-duality (‘Bogomol’ny’)

equations, which yield, in turn, “Liouville-type” equations reminiscent of those in the Abelian Higgs model.

Then (Section 3) the non-relativistic limit of the relativistic theory can be considered, providing us with an explicitly solvable model : for a particular choice of the potential and for a specific value of the coupling constant, solutions to the second-order field equations can be found by solving, instead, the first order “self-dual” equations [38, 39, 40, 41]. The problem can in fact be reduced to solving the *Liouville equation*. Not all solutions are physically admissible, however - only those which correspond to *rational functions*. This provides as with a *quantization theorem of the magnetic charge*, as well as with a *parameter counting*.

The subtle problem of symmetries and the construction of a conserved energy-momentum tensor is revisited in Section 3.1.

Similar ideas work for vortices in a constant background field, see Sec. 4. These models are important, since they correspond precisely to those proposed in the Landau-Ginzburg theory of the Fractional Quantum Hall Effect [22, 25].

Finally, we consider spinorial models [42, 43, 44, 45]. Again, explicit solutions are found and their symmetries are studied using the same techniques as above.

For completeness, we would like to list a number of related issues not discussed here.

First of all, many of the properties studied here can be generalized to non-Abelian interactions [32, 33] which have, of course, many further interesting aspects. Jackiw-Pi vortices, for example, can be generalized to $SU(N)$ gauge theory leading to generalizations of the Liouville equation. See, e.g., Refs. [46, 41].

Experimentally, superconducting vortices arise often as lattices in a finite domain. Within the Jackiw-Pi model, this amounts to selecting doubly-periodic solutions of the Liouville equations [47].

The relation to similar models which arise in condensed matter physics could also be developed [48, 49]. Other interesting aspects concern anomalous coupling [50], as well as various self-duality properties [51, 52, 53, 54].

Returning to the Abelian context, we should mention the study of the *dynamics of vortices* [55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66].

1.2 Feynman’s “Another point of view”

Let us start our investigations with a historical excursion. Feynman, in his *Lectures on Statistical Mechanics* [30], proposed his “another point of view” to describe superconductivity. He argued that the ground states of superconductor should be described by a non-relativistic wave function ψ , which would solve a *gauged, non-linear Schrödinger equation*,

$$i\partial_t\psi = \left[-\frac{\vec{D}^2}{2m} + qV - \alpha(1 - \varrho) \right] \psi. \quad (1.2.1)$$

The covariant derivative, $\vec{D} = \vec{\nabla} - iq\vec{A}$, involves $q = 2e$, the charge of the Cooper pairs. $\varrho = \psi^*\psi$ is the *particle or “number” density*.

Feynman notes that the system admits a hydrodynamical transcription [67]. Setting $\psi =$

$\sqrt{\varrho} e^{i\phi}$, the imaginary and real parts of equation (1.2.1) become,

$$\partial_t \varrho + \vec{\nabla} \cdot (\varrho \vec{v}) = 0, \quad (1.2.2)$$

$$\partial_t \phi + \frac{m}{2} \vec{v}^2 = -qV - U, \quad U = -\frac{1}{2m} \frac{\Delta \sqrt{\varrho}}{\sqrt{\varrho}} - \alpha(1 - \varrho), \quad (1.2.3)$$

where the velocity field is,

$$\vec{v} = \frac{1}{m} (\vec{\nabla} \phi - q\vec{A}). \quad (1.2.4)$$

Since the current is,

$$\vec{j} = \frac{1}{2mi} (\psi^* \vec{D} \psi - \psi (\vec{D} \psi)^*) = \varrho \vec{v}, \quad (1.2.5)$$

equation (1.2.2) can also be presented as,

$$\partial_t \varrho + \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{j} = 0. \quad (1.2.6)$$

Thus, the number density, ϱ , satisfies, with the current \vec{j} , the continuity equation. The gradient of (1.2.3) yields, furthermore,

$$m [\partial_t \vec{v} + (\vec{v} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) \vec{v}] = q(\vec{E} + \vec{v} \times \vec{B}) - \vec{\nabla} U, \quad (1.2.7)$$

where $\vec{E} = -\vec{\nabla} V - \partial_t \vec{A}$ and $\vec{B} = \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}$ are the electric and magnetic fields, respectively.

Here we recognize the hydrodynamical equations of a charged fluid in an (external) electromagnetic field. U is interpreted as the pressure.

The system proposed by Feynman can be viewed as classical field theory : (1.2.1) can be derived from the Schrödinger Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = -i\psi^* \partial_t \psi + \frac{1}{2m} |\vec{D} \psi|^2 + qV \psi^* \psi + \frac{\alpha}{2} (1 - \psi \psi^*)^2. \quad (1.2.8)$$

Equivalently, the hydrodynamical equations (1.2.2)-(1.2.3) derive from the ‘‘hydrodynamical Lagrangian’’ [68]

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2i} \partial_t \varrho + \varrho \partial_t \phi + \frac{m\varrho}{2} \vec{v}^2 + \frac{1}{2m} (\vec{\nabla} \sqrt{\varrho})^2 + qV \varrho + \frac{\alpha}{2} (1 - \varrho)^2. \quad (1.2.9)$$

In Feynman’s approach the gauge field is external, however ; it has no proper dynamics as yet. Can the coupled matter plus gauge field system be promoted to a self-consistent one ?

The first, natural, idea would be to posit that the electromagnetic field satisfies the Maxwell equations. But the Galilean invariance of the Schrödinger equation (1.2.1) is inconsistent with the Lorentz invariance of the Maxwell equations : the coupled system would have no clear symmetry³.

The problem could not be resolved in Feynman’s time, as the Chern-Simons form had not yet been discovered. The topological character of the latter allows it to couple ‘‘Chern-Simons’’ electromagnetism to either relativistic or non-relativistic matter.

³The Galilean invariant electromagnetism proposed by Le Bellac, Lévy-Leblond [69] could, in principle, yield a consistent matter+gauge field system, but it would provide a too poor dynamics for interesting physical applications.

1.3 Landau-Ginzburg Theory of the Quantum Hall Effect

Feynman's ideas did not attract too much attention at that time : superconductivity was thought to be satisfactorily understood by the London-, Landau-Ginzburg-, and BCS theories. The 1980s, with the unexpected discoveries of the *Quantum Hall Effect* [11, 12, 13, 14] and of *high-temperature superconductivity* [70], witnessed, however, a sudden rise in interest in alternative theories.

Motivated by the analogies between the Hall Effect and superfluidity, Girvin, and MacDonald [18, 19] called, in particular, for a ‘‘Landau–Ginzburg’’ theory for the Quantum Hall Effect. On phenomenological grounds, they suggested representing the ‘‘off-diagonal long range order’’ (ODLRO) by a scalar field $\psi(\vec{x})$ on the plane, and the ‘‘frustration due to deviations away from the quantized Laughlin density’’ by an effective gauge potential, $\vec{a}(\vec{x})$. They proposed to describe this static, planar system by the Lagrange density ⁴,

$$\mathcal{L}_{GM} = -i\phi(|\psi|^2 - 1) + \frac{1}{2}|(\vec{\nabla} - i\vec{a})\psi|^2 + i\frac{\kappa}{2}(\phi \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{a} + \vec{a} \times \vec{\nabla} \phi), \quad (1.3.1)$$

where ϕ is a scalar field. The equations of motion read

$$\frac{1}{2}\vec{D}^2 \psi = -i\phi \psi, \quad (1.3.2)$$

$$\kappa b = -1 + |\psi|^2, \quad (1.3.3)$$

$$\kappa \vec{\nabla} \times (i\phi) = \vec{j}, \quad \vec{j} = \frac{1}{2i}(\psi^* \vec{D}\psi - \psi(\vec{D}\psi)^*), \quad (1.3.4)$$

where $\vec{D} = \vec{\nabla} - i\vec{a}$ is the gauge-covariant derivative and $b = \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{a}$ is an effective magnetic field.

We make the following observations.

1. The first of these equations is a static, Schrödinger-type, gauged wave equation for the matter field.
2. The second equation relates the effective magnetic field to the particle density. The ‘‘physical gauge field’’ is

$$\vec{A} = \vec{a} - \vec{\mathcal{A}} \quad \text{where} \quad \text{curl } \vec{\mathcal{A}} = -\frac{1}{\kappa}. \quad (1.3.5)$$

Then equation (1.3.3) can be presented as

$$\kappa B = |\psi|^2, \quad (1.3.6)$$

where $B = \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A} = b - \mathcal{B}$. Here

$$\mathcal{B} = \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{\mathcal{A}} = -\frac{1}{\kappa} \quad (1.3.7)$$

can be viewed as a ‘‘background magnetic field’’, and the physical magnetic field, B , is determined by the density $\varrho = |\psi|^2$ and by \mathcal{B} . It corresponds to the value determined by the Laughlin ground states of the FQHE.

Integrating (1.3.6) over the whole plane shows that the total flux and the electric charge,

$$\Phi = \int d^2\vec{x} B \quad \text{and} \quad Q = \int d^2\vec{x} \varrho,$$

⁴Girvin and MacDonald [19] use $\kappa = \theta/8\pi^2$.

are proportional,

$$\kappa \Phi = Q. \quad (1.3.8)$$

Anticipating the existence of vortex solutions [Section 4.1], the latter can be identified with Laughlin's quasiparticles. Taking $\kappa = 1/m$ where m is an integer shows that our “anyon” will carry magnetic flux Φ and $1/m$ units of fractional charge.

3. Introducing the “effective electric field”

$$\vec{e} = i\vec{\nabla}\phi, \quad (1.3.9)$$

we recognize, in the last equation, (1.3.4), the *Hall law* (1.1.7),

$$j_i = \kappa \epsilon_{ij} e_j,$$

with κ identified as the *Hall conductance* σ_H .

The remarkable fact (apparently unknown to Girvin and MacDonald) is that setting

$$a_0 = i\phi, \quad f_{\alpha\beta} = \partial_\alpha a_\beta - \partial_\beta a_\alpha, \quad (1.3.10)$$

the last term in their Lagrangian (1.3.1) is precisely the static, Chern-Simons form,

$$i\frac{\kappa}{2} \left(\phi \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{a} + \vec{a} \times \vec{\nabla} \phi \right) = -\frac{\kappa}{4} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma} a_\alpha f_{\beta\gamma}. \quad (1.3.11)$$

The Zhang-Hansson-Kivelson (ZHK) model

Soon after the proposal of Girvin and MacDonald (GM) [19], Zhang *et al.* (ZHK) [22], argued that the Girvin-MacDonald model is merely a first step in the right direction, and put forward an “improved” Landau-Ginzburg model for the QHE. They derived their theory directly from the microscopic theory [22, 25]. Their starting point is the Hamiltonian of a planar system of polarized electrons,

$$H_{pe} = \frac{1}{2m} \sum_a \left[\vec{p}_a - e\vec{\mathcal{A}}(\vec{x}_a) \right]^2 + \sum_a e\mathcal{A}_0(\vec{x}_a) + \sum_{a<b} V(\vec{x}_a - \vec{x}_b), \quad (1.3.12)$$

where \mathcal{A}_α is the [symmetric gauge] vector potential for the constant external magnetic field \mathcal{B} , and \mathcal{A}_0 is the scalar potential for the external electric field,

$$\mathcal{A}_i = -\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{B} \epsilon_{ij} x^j, \quad \mathcal{E}_i = -\partial_i \mathcal{A}_0,$$

respectively. V is the two-body interaction potential between the electrons; the common assumption is that V is Coulombian.

The many-body wave function satisfies the Schrödinger equation,

$$H_{pe} \Psi(\vec{x}_1, \dots, \vec{x}_N) = E \Psi(\vec{x}_1, \dots, \vec{x}_N), \quad (1.3.13)$$

and is assumed, consistently with the Pauli principle, to be *totally antisymmetric* w.r.t. the interchange of any two electrons.

The clue of Zhang et al. [22] is to map the problem onto a *bosonic* one. In fact, let us consider the bosonic system with Hamiltonian

$$H_{bos} = \frac{1}{2m} \sum_a \left[\vec{p}_a - e(\vec{\mathcal{A}}(\vec{x}_a) - \vec{a}(\vec{x}_a)) \right]^2 + \sum_a e(\mathcal{A}_0(\vec{x}_a) + a_0(\vec{x}_a)) + \sum_{a<b} V(\vec{x}_a - \vec{x}_b), \quad (1.3.14)$$

where the new vector potential, a_α , describes a gauge interaction of a specific form among the particles,

$$\vec{a}(\vec{x}_a) = \frac{\Phi_0 \theta}{2\pi \pi} \sum_{b \neq a} \vec{\nabla} \gamma_{ab}, \quad (1.3.15)$$

where θ is a (for the moment unspecified) real parameter, and $\gamma_{ab} = \gamma_a - \gamma_b$ is the difference of the polar angles of electrons \underline{a} and \underline{b} w.r.t. some origin and polar axis. $\Phi_0 = h/ec$ is the flux quantum. The N -body bosonic wave function ϕ is required to be *symmetric* and satisfies

$$H_{bos} \phi(\vec{x}_1, \dots, \vec{x}_N) = E \phi(\vec{x}_1, \dots, \vec{x}_N). \quad (1.3.16)$$

Let us now consider the singular gauge transformation

$$\Psi(\vec{x}_1, \dots, \vec{x}_N) = U \phi(\vec{x}_1, \dots, \vec{x}_N), \quad U = \exp \left[-i \sum_{a<b} \frac{\theta}{\pi} \gamma_{ab} \right]. \quad (1.3.17)$$

Then it is easy to check that

$$U \left[\vec{p}_a - e(\vec{\mathcal{A}} - \vec{a}) \right] U^{-1} = \vec{p}_a - e\vec{\mathcal{A}}, \quad (1.3.18)$$

so that

$$U H_{bos} U^{-1} = H_{pe}. \quad (1.3.19)$$

It follows that ϕ satisfies the bosonic Schrödinger equation (1.3.16) precisely when Ψ satisfies the polarized-electron eigenvalue problem (1.3.13) with the same eigenvalue.

To conclude our proof, let us observe that Ψ is antisymmetric precisely when the parameter θ is an *odd multiple* of π ,

$$\theta = (2k + 1)\pi. \quad (1.3.20)$$

Having replaced the fermionic problem by a bosonic one with the strange interaction (1.3.15), Zhang et al. proceeded to derive a mean-field theory. Their model also involves a scalar field ψ coupled to both an external electromagnetic field \mathcal{A}_μ and to a “statistical” gauge field, a_μ . It also includes a potential term, and is time-dependent. Their Lagrangian reads ⁵

$$\mathcal{L}_{ZHK} = -i\psi^* D_t \psi + \frac{1}{2} |\vec{D} \psi|^2 + U(\psi) - \frac{\kappa}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\sigma} a_\mu \partial_\nu a_\sigma, \quad (1.3.21)$$

where

$$D_\alpha \psi = (\partial_\alpha - ieA_\alpha) \psi, \quad A_\alpha = a_\alpha - \mathcal{A}_\alpha \quad (1.3.22)$$

is the covariant derivative. Note that while D_α involves both the statistical and the background vector potentials combined into the *total* vector potential A_α , the Chern-Simons potential only involves the statistical field a_α .

⁵In the notation of [22], $\kappa = e^2/2\theta$.

The field equations of the ZHK model read

$$iD_t\psi = -\frac{1}{2}\overrightarrow{D}^2\psi + \frac{\delta U}{\delta\psi^*}, \quad (1.3.23)$$

$$\kappa\epsilon_{ik}e_k = ej_i, \quad \vec{j} = \frac{1}{2i}(\psi^*\overrightarrow{D}\psi - \psi(\overrightarrow{D}\psi)^*), \quad (1.3.24)$$

$$\kappa b = e\varrho, \quad \varrho = |\psi|^2, \quad (1.3.25)$$

where $e_k = \partial_k a_0 - \partial_t a_k$ and $b = 1/2\epsilon_{ij}(\partial_i a_j - \partial_j a_i)$. I.e., the matter field satisfies a non-linear Schrödinger equation, which is supplemented by the field-current identities of pure Chern-Simons theory ⁶.

These equations describe a scalar field in a coupled statistical and background field and a non-linear potential, appropriate for the FQHE. Its vortex-type solutions, predicted by Zhang et al. [22, 24, 25], will be studied in Section 4.

Note that the ZHK Lagrangian is *first-order in the time derivative* of the scalar field. It is indeed non-relativistic see Section 4.

The scalar potential of Zhang et al. is the self-interaction potential

$$U(\psi) = -\mu|\psi|^2 + \frac{\lambda}{4}|\psi|^4 + \text{const.}, \quad (1.3.26)$$

where the constant is chosen so that the minimum of U is 0. The term $\mu|\psi|^2$ ($\mu \geq 0$) here is a chemical potential, while the quartic term is an effective interaction, coming from the non-local expression in the second-quantized Hamiltonian,

$$\frac{1}{2} \int \psi^*(\vec{x})\psi^*(\vec{x}')V(\vec{x} - \vec{x}')\psi(\vec{x})\psi(\vec{x}')d^2\vec{x}d^2\vec{x}'$$

when the two-body potential is approximated by a delta function,

$$V(\vec{x} - \vec{x}') = \frac{\lambda}{2}\delta(\vec{x} - \vec{x}').$$

It is worth mentioning that, for a static system in a purely magnetic background and for $U(\psi) \equiv 0$, the ZHK and GM models are mathematically (but not physically) equivalent [71]. Let us indeed assume that all fields are static, $\partial_t(\cdot) = 0$. Setting

$$A_0 = 0, \quad \mathcal{B} = -\frac{1}{\kappa}, \quad A_0 = a_0 = i\phi, \quad \vec{a} = \vec{A} + \vec{\mathcal{A}}, \quad (1.3.27)$$

the GM Lagrangian (1.3.1) becomes,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{GM} = & -A_0|\psi|^2 + A_0 + \frac{1}{2}|(\overrightarrow{\nabla} - i(\vec{A} + \vec{\mathcal{A}}))\psi|^2 \\ & + \frac{\kappa}{2}\left(A_0\overrightarrow{\nabla} \times \vec{A} + A_0\overrightarrow{\nabla} \times \vec{\mathcal{A}} + \vec{A} \times \overrightarrow{\nabla}A_0 + \vec{\mathcal{A}} \times \overrightarrow{\nabla}A_0\right). \end{aligned}$$

However, integrating, by parts the third term and using $\overrightarrow{\nabla} \times \vec{\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{B}$,

$$A_0 + \frac{\kappa}{2}\left(A_0\overrightarrow{\nabla} \times \vec{\mathcal{A}} + \vec{\mathcal{A}} \times \overrightarrow{\nabla}A_0\right) = A_0 \underbrace{\left(1 + \frac{\kappa}{2}(\overrightarrow{\nabla} \times \vec{\mathcal{A}} + \overrightarrow{\nabla} \times \vec{\mathcal{A}})\right)}_0 + \text{surface terms.}$$

⁶Remember that that the covariant derivative involves the total gauge field, cf. (1.3.22).

Dropping surface terms leaves us with

$$\mathcal{L}_{GM} = -i\psi^*(-iA_0)\psi + \frac{1}{2}|(\vec{\nabla} - i(\vec{A} + \vec{\mathcal{A}}))\psi|^2 + \frac{\kappa}{2}(A_0\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A} + \vec{A} \times \vec{\nabla}A_0).$$

For $e = 1$ and setting $\kappa = e^2/4\theta$, this is precisely the static ZHK Lagrangian (1.3.21), *up to the substitution*

$$A_\alpha \leftrightarrow a_\alpha. \quad (1.3.28)$$

An even simpler proof is obtained using the field equations. The substitution (1.3.27), followed by the interchange (1.3.28), carries the GM field equations (1.3.2)-(1.3.3)-(1.3.4) into the ZHK equations (1.3.23)-(1.3.25)-(1.3.24).

From now on we only consider the ZHK theory.

2 RELATIVISTIC CHERN-SIMONS VORTICES

After this short excursion into condensed matter physics, we turn now to a study of field-theoretical aspects.

2.1 Abelian Higgs Model with Chern-Simons Term

The first (Abelian)⁷ Chern-Simons model is obtained [31] by simply adding the Chern-Simons term to the usual Abelian Higgs model, defined on $(2 + 1)$ -dimensional Minkowski space, with metric $(g_{\mu\nu}) = \text{diag}(-1, 1, 1)$,

$$\mathcal{L}_{PK} = \mathcal{L}_H + \mathcal{L}_{CS} = \frac{1}{4}F_{\alpha\beta}F^{\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{2}D_\alpha\Psi(D^\alpha\Psi)^* + U(\Psi) - \frac{\kappa}{4}\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma}A_\alpha F_{\beta\gamma}, \quad (2.1.1)$$

$$U(\Psi) = \frac{\lambda}{4}(1 - |\Psi|^2)^2, \quad (2.1.2)$$

where $D_\alpha\Psi = \partial_\alpha\Psi - ieA_\alpha\Psi$ is the covariant derivative, with e the electric charge of the field Ψ . The Chern-Simons term is coupled through the ‘‘Chern-Simons’’ coupling constant κ .

Below, we shall refer to this as the Paul-Khare (PK) model.

The equations of the Abelian Higgs model and of the pure Chern-Simons-Maxwell system (1.1.5), respectively, are merged into

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{g}}D_\alpha(\sqrt{g}D^\alpha\Psi) = -\lambda(1 - |\Psi|^2)\Psi, \quad (2.1.3)$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{g}}\partial_\nu(\sqrt{g}F^{\nu\alpha}) + \frac{\kappa}{2}\sqrt{g}\epsilon^{\alpha\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{e}{2i}(\Psi^*D^\alpha\Psi - \Psi(D^\alpha\Psi)^*). \quad (2.1.4)$$

Solutions can be sought along the same lines as in the Abelian Higgs model. Consider the static, radial Ansatz

$$A_0 = nA_0(r), \quad A_r = 0, \quad A_\theta = nr A(r), \quad \Psi(r) = f(r)e^{in\theta}. \quad (2.1.5)$$

⁷Non-Abelian vortices have also been studied, around the same time, cf. [32, 41].

Upon using

$$\begin{aligned}
(g_{\mu\nu}) &= \text{diag}(-1, 1, r^2), & (g^{\mu\nu}) &= \text{diag}(-1, 1, r^{-2}), & \sqrt{g} &= r, \\
D_0\Psi &= -ienA_0\Psi, & D_r\Psi &= D^r\Psi = f' e^{in\theta}, \\
D_\theta\Psi &= in(1 - erA)\Psi, & D^\theta &= r^{-2}D_\theta, \\
F_{0r} &= -F^{0r} = -nA'_0, & F_{0\theta} &= 0, \\
F_{r\theta} &= n(A + rA'), & F^{r\theta} &= r^{-2}F_{r\theta}, \\
j^0 &= ne^2 f^2 A_0, & j^r &= 0, & j^\theta &= -\frac{n}{r}e^2 \left(A - \frac{1}{er}\right) f^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.1.6}$$

the static, 2^{nd} -order equations become ⁸,

$$f'' + \frac{f'}{r} - e^2 n^2 \left(A - \frac{1}{er}\right)^2 f + n^2 e^2 A_0^2 f + \lambda(1 - f^2)f = 0, \tag{2.1.7}$$

$$A'' + \frac{A'}{r} - \frac{A}{r^2} - e^2 f^2 \left(A - \frac{1}{er}\right) + \kappa A'_0 = 0, \tag{2.1.8}$$

$$A''_0 + \frac{A'_0}{r} - e^2 f^2 A_0 + \kappa \left(A' + \frac{A}{r}\right) = 0. \tag{2.1.9}$$

These equations, like those in the usual Abelian Higgs model [to which they reduce for $\kappa = 0$ and $A_0 = 0$], have not yet been solved analytically, but Paul and Khare argue that a finite-energy solution does exist for each integer n . The solutions, produced numerically (see below), carry both *quantized magnetic flux and electric charge*,

$$\Phi = \frac{2\pi}{e} n, \quad Q = \kappa \frac{2\pi}{e} n = \kappa \Phi, \tag{2.1.10}$$

The first statement follows from

$$\Phi = \oint_S A_\theta d\theta, \tag{2.1.11}$$

where the integration is along the circle at infinity, when the conditions at infinity (2.1.15) below, are taken into account.

Expressing the current through the gauge potentials by equation (2.1.9), we have, using the boundary and small- r conditions (2.1.15) and (2.1.23)-(2.1.24) -(2.1.25), respectively,

$$\begin{aligned}
Q &= \int j^0 d^2x = n \int d^2\vec{x} e^2 A_0 |\Psi|^2 = n \int d^2x \left(A''_0 + \frac{A'_0}{r} + \kappa \left(A' + \frac{A}{r}\right) \right) \\
&= 2\pi n \underbrace{\int_0^\infty (rA'_0)' dr}_0 + 2\pi n \kappa \int_0^\infty (rA)' dr = \frac{2\pi\kappa}{e} n,
\end{aligned}$$

proving the flux-charge relation (2.1.10). Note that this proof is, in fact, the radial version of the general statement in Section 1.1.

⁸The corresponding equations, (5a-c), of Ref. [31] are obtained by the substitution $A^{(PK)} = -nrA$, $A_0^{(PK)} = -nA_0$, $\mu = -\kappa$.

Hence, our objects represent *charged topological vortices*, sitting at the origin.

Energy of a static configuration.

Let us consider a static field configuration (A_μ, Ψ) . The general formula gives, for the energy density, $\Theta^{00} = \mathcal{L}$.

- The conventional Maxwell + matter terms in (2.1.1) contribute, after “improvement”,

$$\frac{1}{2}F_{0i}^2 + \frac{1}{4}F_{ij}^2 - \frac{1}{2}e^2 A_0^2 |\Psi|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|\vec{D}\Psi|^2 + U(\Psi).$$

- The contribution of the Chern-Simons term is, in turn,

$$-\frac{\kappa}{4}(\epsilon^{0ij} A_0 F_{ij} + 2\epsilon^{0ij} A_i F_{j0}).$$

But $F_{j0} = \partial_j A_0$ since the configuration is static, so that the second term in the bracket is

$$2(\partial_j(\epsilon^{j0i} A_i A_0) + \epsilon^{0ij}(\partial_i A_j)A_0) = \partial_j(2\epsilon^{j0i} A_i A_0) + \epsilon^{0ij} F_{ij} A_0.$$

Dropping the surface term, the Chern-Simons contribution is, therefore,

$$-\frac{\kappa}{2}\epsilon^{0ij} A_0 F_{ij} = \kappa A_0 B, \tag{2.1.12}$$

where $B = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{0ij} F_{ij} = -F_{12}$ is the magnetic field. Hence, the total energy is,

$$\mathcal{E} = \int \left\{ \frac{1}{2}\vec{E}^2 + \frac{1}{2}B^2 + \frac{1}{2}|\vec{D}\Psi|^2 - \frac{1}{2}e^2 A_0^2 |\Psi|^2 + U(\Psi) + \kappa A_0 B \right\} d^2 \vec{x}. \tag{2.1.13}$$

The energy of the static, radial configuration (2.1.5) is, in particular,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E} = \pi \int_0^\infty r dr \left\{ \left(n^2 \left(A' + \frac{A}{r} \right)^2 + (f')^2 + e^2 n^2 \left(A - \frac{1}{er} \right)^2 f^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} (f^2 - 1)^2 \right) \right. \\ \left. + n^2 \left((A'_0)^2 - e^2 A_0^2 f^2 + 2\kappa A_0 \left(A' + \frac{A}{r} \right) \right) \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1.14}$$

The system (2.1.7)-(2.1.8)-(2.1.9) should be supplemented with the finite-energy asymptotic conditions

$$A_0(r) \rightarrow 0, \quad A(r) - \frac{1}{er} \rightarrow 0, \quad f(r) \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty. \tag{2.1.15}$$

Inserting the asymptotic values (2.1.15) into the radial system yields approximate solutions valid for large r .

Firstly, taking $A_0 \approx 0$, $A - 1/er \approx 0$ and dropping higher-order terms in (2.1.7) yields, for $\varphi = 1 - f$, the same equation as in the usual Abelian model with no Chern-Simons term, namely Bessel’s modified equation of order 0,

$$\varphi'' + \frac{\varphi'}{r} - 2\lambda \varphi \approx 0.$$

Hence, once again, the scalar field approaches its asymptotic value exponentially with characteristic length the Higgs mass,

$$\varphi \approx \frac{c}{\sqrt{r}} e^{-m_\psi r}, \quad m_\psi = \sqrt{2\lambda}. \tag{2.1.16}$$

Next, setting $f \approx 1$ in (2.1.8)-(2.1.9) yields, for

$$a = -\left(A - \frac{1}{er}\right) r^{1/2} \quad \text{and} \quad a_0 = A_0 r^{1/2}, \quad (2.1.17)$$

the coupled system

$$\begin{cases} a'' - \left(e^2 + \frac{3}{4r^2}\right)a &= \kappa\left(a'_0 - \frac{1}{2r}a_0\right), \\ a''_0 - \left(e^2 - \frac{1}{4r^2}\right)a_0 &= \kappa\left(a' + \frac{1}{2r}a\right). \end{cases} \quad (2.1.18)$$

For very large r , all terms involving inverse powers of r can be dropped,

$$\begin{cases} a'' - e^2 a &= \kappa a'_0, \\ a''_0 - e^2 a_0 &= \kappa a'. \end{cases}$$

Adding and subtracting, we obtain,

$$\begin{cases} (a + a_0)'' - \kappa(a + a_0)' - e^2(a + a_0) &= 0, \\ (a - a_0)'' + \kappa(a - a_0)' - e^2(a - a_0) &= 0. \end{cases}$$

Both a and a_0 are small for large r . Searching the solution in the exponential form, we find that those which remain bounded are,

$$A(r) \sim \frac{1}{er} - \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\kappa^2+4e^2}r}}{\sqrt{r}} \left(c_1 e^{\frac{1}{2}\kappa r} + c_2 e^{-\frac{1}{2}\kappa r}\right), \quad (2.1.19)$$

$$A_0(r) \sim \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\kappa^2+4e^2}r}}{\sqrt{r}} \left(c_1 e^{\frac{1}{2}\kappa r} - c_2 e^{-\frac{1}{2}\kappa r}\right). \quad (2.1.20)$$

Thus, the decay is two-fold exponential, with masses,

$$(m_A)_\pm = e\left(\sqrt{1 + \frac{\kappa^2}{4e^2}} \mp \frac{\kappa}{2e}\right). \quad (2.1.21)$$

The long-range tail of the Chern-Simons field splits, therefore, the Abelian Higgs value $m_A = e$ of the mass; the latter is recovered when $\kappa \rightarrow 0$. Note that both values of the mass are positive, so that the fields decay exponentially for both choices.

Mass splitting is characteristic for Chern-Simons theories [72].

One can be puzzled if both asymptotic behaviors are physical. It has been shown by a subtle analysis [73, 74, 75] that the only one allowed for a regular, finite-energy solution is

$$m_A = (m_A)_- = \frac{|\kappa|}{2} \left(\sqrt{1 + \frac{4e^2}{\kappa^2}} - 1\right). \quad (2.1.22)$$

The small- r behavior is obtained along the same lines as for the Abelian Higgs model. Inserting the power-series expansion into the field equations, (2.1.7)-(2.1.8)-(2.1.9), we get,

$$f(r) = f_n r^{|n|} - \frac{f_n}{4(|n|+1)} (\lambda + 2e|n|^2 a_1 + e^2|n|^2 b_0^2) r^{|n|+2} + O(r)^{|n|+4}, \quad (2.1.23)$$

$$A(r) = a_1 r + \frac{1}{8} (\kappa^2 a_1 - \delta_1^{|n|} e f_1^2) r^3 + O(r)^5, \quad (2.1.24)$$

$$A_0(r) = b_0 - \frac{1}{2} \kappa a_1 r^2 - \frac{1}{32} (\kappa^3 a_1 - \delta_1^{|n|} e f_1^2 (\kappa - 2eb_0)) r^4 + O(r)^6. \quad (2.1.25)$$




Figure 1: *Radial vortex in the PK model ($n = 1$). The heavy line represents the the scalar, the dashed the magnetic, and the dotted the radial electric field.*

It is important to underline that finite-energy regular solutions require $b_0 = A_0(0) \neq 0$ [74, 75].

Compared to the Abelian Higgs model, the changes arise due to the mixing of the Maxwell and Chern-Simons terms and, in particular, to the presence of a nonvanishing A_0 .

The numerical solution for the scalar, the magnetic and the electric field,

$$|\Psi(r)| = f(r), \quad B(r) = n\left(A'(r) + \frac{A(r)}{r}\right), \quad E(r) = nA'_0(r), \quad (2.1.26)$$

is plotted on Figure 1.

For further discussion of vortices in the Chern-Simons-modified Abelian Higgs model, the reader should consult Refs. [73, 74, 75, 34].

2.2 Pure Chern-Simons Vortices

While the Abelian Higgs model with Chern-Simons term has interesting properties and supports, in particular, vortex solutions, the model suffers from the mathematical difficulty of having to solve second-order field equations. Apart from this technical point, one may also argue, together with Hong-Kim-Pac [35], Jackiw and Weinberg [36], and Jackiw, Lee and Weinberg [37], that, for large distances the Chern-Simons term, which is of lower-order in derivatives, will dominate the traditional Maxwell one. It can, therefore, make sense to turn off the Maxwell term altogether.

For further convenience, the standard, fourth-order, self-interaction scalar potential (2.1.2) will be replaced by the planar analog of the 6th order potential considered before by Christ and Lee in their one-dimensional bag model [76], and which, together with the quartic one, is the only renormalizable choice [36, 37]. It is also consistent with supersymmetry [77, 78]. Hence, the Lagrangian proposed by Hong et al. [35], and by Jackiw et al. [36, 37] is,⁹

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}(D_\mu\psi)^*D^\mu\psi + U(\psi) - \frac{\kappa}{4}\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma}A_\alpha F_{\beta\gamma}, \quad (2.2.1)$$

$$U(\psi) = \frac{\lambda}{4}|\psi|^2(|\psi|^2 - 1)^2. \quad (2.2.2)$$

Below we shall refer to this as the HKP-JLW model.

⁹Our κ here is one half of that of Jackiw and Weinberg in [36].

The Euler-Lagrange equations read

$$D_\mu D^\mu \psi = \frac{\lambda}{2} (|\psi|^2 - 1)(3|\psi|^2 - 1)\psi, \quad (2.2.3)$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\kappa \epsilon^{\mu\alpha\beta} F_{\alpha\beta} = -j^\mu, \quad (2.2.4)$$

where $j^\mu \equiv (\varrho, \vec{j})$ is the conserved current,

$$j^\mu = \frac{e}{2i} [\psi^* D^\mu \psi - \psi (D^\mu \psi)^*], \quad \partial_\mu j^\mu = 0. \quad (2.2.5)$$

The first equation in (2.2.3) is the (by now familiar) nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation with non-linearity derived from the sixth-order potential (2.2.2); the *Field-Current Identities* (FCI), in (2.2.4), replace the Maxwell equations. The space components of the FCI reproduce precisely the Hall law (1.1.7), of Section 1.1. Such a theory should, therefore, describe long-range, Hall-type physics. Note that, unlike in the Maxwell case, our equations here are of the first order in the vector potential.

These equations are consistent with those in Section 2.1 when the Maxwell term is switched off.

Finite-energy configurations

The energy of a static configuration is now

$$\mathcal{E} = \int d^2\vec{x} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} D_i \psi (D^i \psi)^* - \frac{1}{2} e^2 A_0^2 |\psi|^2 + \kappa A_0 B + U(\psi) \right\}. \quad (2.2.6)$$

Although this expression is *not* positive definite, static solutions of the equations of motion (2.2.3)-(2.2.4) are, nevertheless, stationary points of the energy. Variation of (2.2.6) w.r.t. A_0 yields one of the equations of motion, namely

$$-e^2 A_0 |\psi|^2 + \kappa B = 0. \quad (2.2.7)$$

Eliminating A_0 from (2.2.6) using this constraint, a *positive definite* energy functional,

$$\mathcal{E} = \int d^2\vec{r} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} D_i \psi (D^i \psi)^* + \frac{\kappa^2}{2e^2} \frac{B^2}{|\psi|^2} + U(\psi) \right\}, \quad (2.2.8)$$

is obtained.

We are interested in finding static, finite-energy, configurations. The usual symmetry-breaking requirement $U(\psi) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ can be met in two different ways,

$$\begin{cases} \text{(a)} & |\psi| \rightarrow 1 \\ \text{(b)} & \psi \rightarrow 0 \end{cases} \quad \text{as} \quad r \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.2.9)$$

In the first case, the scalar field takes its vacuum value, 1, in a non-trivial way and we get *topological vortices*. They will be our main concern in this Section. *Non-topological vortices*, case (b), will be shortly hinted at the end of the Section.

Topological Vortices

Finite energy at infinity is guaranteed by the conditions,

$$\begin{cases} i.) & |\psi|^2 - 1 & = & o(1/r), \\ ii.) & B & = & o(1/r), \\ iii.) & \vec{D}\psi & = & o(1/r). \end{cases} \quad r \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.2.10)$$

Therefore, the $U(1)$ gauge symmetry is broken for large r . In particular, the scalar field ψ is covariantly constant, $\vec{D}\psi \approx 0$. This equation is solved by parallel transport,

$$\psi(\vec{x}) = \exp \left[ie \int_{\vec{x}_0}^{\vec{x}} A_i dx^i \right] \psi_0, \quad (2.2.11)$$

which is well-defined whenever,

$$e \oint A_i dx^i = e \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} d^2\vec{x} B \equiv e \Phi = 2\pi n, \quad n = 0, \pm 1, \dots \quad (2.2.12)$$

Thus, *the magnetic flux is quantized*. The integer n here is the *winding number*, also called *topological charge*, or *vortex number*.

The asymptotic values of the Higgs field provide us with a mapping from the circle at infinity into the vacuum manifold, which is again a circle, $|\psi|^2 = 1$. The property $B \approx 0$ for large r implies that the vector potential is asymptotically a pure gauge, so that we have,

$$A_j \approx -\frac{i}{e} \partial_j \log \psi. \quad (2.2.13)$$

Spontaneous symmetry breaking generates mass [79]. Expanding j^μ around the vacuum expectation value of ψ we find $j^\mu = -e^2 A^\mu$, so that (2.2.4) is approximately

$$\frac{1}{2} \kappa \epsilon^{\mu\alpha\beta} F_{\alpha\beta} \approx e^2 A^\mu \quad \Rightarrow \quad F_{\alpha\mu} \approx (e^2/\kappa) \epsilon_{\alpha\mu\beta} A^\beta.$$

Inserting $F_{\alpha\beta}$ and applying ∂^α , we find that the gauge field A^μ satisfies the Klein-Gordon equation

$$\square A^\mu \approx \left(\frac{e^2}{\kappa} \right)^2 A^\mu,$$

showing that the mass of the gauge field is ¹⁰

$$m_A = \frac{e^2}{|\kappa|}. \quad (2.2.15)$$

The Higgs mass is found, in turn, by expanding ψ around its expectation value, chosen as $\psi_0 = (1, 0)$, $(\psi_r, \psi_\theta) = (1 + \varphi, \vartheta)$,

$$U = \underbrace{U(1)}_{=0} + \underbrace{\frac{\delta U}{\delta|\psi|}}_{=0} \Big|_{|\psi|=1} \varphi + \frac{1}{2} \underbrace{\left(\frac{\delta^2 U}{\delta|\psi|^2} \right)}_{m_\psi^2} \Big|_{|\psi|=1} \varphi^2, \quad (2.2.16)$$

since $|\psi| = 1$ is a critical point of U . We conclude that the mass of the Higgs particle is ¹¹,

$$m_\psi^2 = \frac{\delta^2 U}{\delta|\psi|^2} \Big|_{|\psi|=1} = 2\lambda. \quad (2.2.17)$$

¹⁰Re-inserting the speed of light and a non-trivial vacuum value, the masses are

$$m_A = \frac{e^2}{|\kappa|c} \mu^2 \quad m_\psi = \frac{\sqrt{2\lambda}}{c} \mu^2. \quad (2.2.14)$$

¹¹This can also be seen by considering the radial equation (2.2.18)-(2.2.19)-(2.2.20) below.

Radially symmetric vortices

For the static, radially symmetric Ansatz (2.1.5), i.e. for

$$A_0 = nA_0(r), \quad A_r = 0, \quad A_\theta = nrA(r), \quad \psi(r) = f(r)e^{in\theta},$$

the equations of motion (2.2.3)-(2.2.4) read, using (2.1.6) ¹²,

$$f'' + \frac{f'}{r} - e^2 n^2 \left(A - \frac{1}{er} \right)^2 f + e^2 n^2 A_0^2 f + \frac{\lambda}{2} f(1 - f^2)(3f^2 - 1) = 0, \quad (2.2.18)$$

$$-e^2 f^2 \left(A - \frac{1}{er} \right) + \kappa A'_0 = 0, \quad (2.2.19)$$

$$-e^2 f^2 A_0 + \kappa \left(A' + \frac{A}{r} \right) = 0, \quad (2.2.20)$$

supplemented with the large- r boundary conditions

$$f(r) \rightarrow 1, \quad A(r) - \frac{1}{er} \rightarrow 0, \quad A_0(r) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.2.21)$$

The static, radial equations (2.2.18)-(2.2.19)-(2.2.20) can also be derived using the radial form of the energy. In the radial case, we have,

$$\pi \int_0^\infty r dr \left\{ 2U(f) + (f')^2 + e^2 n^2 \left(A - \frac{1}{er} \right)^2 f^2 + n^2 \left(2\kappa A_0 \left(A' + \frac{A}{r} \right) - e^2 A_0^2 f^2 \right) \right\}. \quad (2.2.22)$$

Then (2.2.22) is obtained from (2.2.6) using the handy formulae (2.1.6). Then variation w.r.t. f , A , and A_0 yields the radial equations (2.2.18)-(2.2.19)-(2.2.20).

Eliminating, as above, A_0 from the energy using the FCI (2.2.7), i.e.,

$$\kappa B = e^2 f^2 A_0,$$

yields the radial form of the positive expression (2.2.8),

$$\mathcal{E} = \pi \int_0^\infty r dr \left\{ 2U(f) + (f')^2 + n^2 e^2 \left(A - \frac{1}{er} \right)^2 f^2 + \frac{\kappa^2 n^2}{e^2 f^2} \left(A' + \frac{A}{r} \right)^2 \right\}. \quad (2.2.23)$$

Then variation of (2.2.23) with respect to A and f provides us with two more equations.

No analytic solutions have been found so far. Numerical solutions are shown on Fig. 2. Approximate solutions for large- r can be obtained by inserting the asymptotic forms of the field.

- The deviation of f from its asymptotic value, $\varphi = 1 - f$, is found by inserting φ into (2.2.18) and expanding to first order in φ ,

$$\varphi'' + \frac{1}{r}\varphi' - 2\lambda\varphi \approx 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \varphi \approx GK_0(\sqrt{2\lambda}r). \quad (2.2.24)$$

Hence, the asymptotic behavior is exponential drop-off with characteristic length $(m_\psi)^{-1}$,

$$\varphi \approx \frac{G}{\sqrt{r}} e^{-m_\psi r}. \quad (2.2.25)$$

¹²Note the absence of second-order derivatives in the fields A and A_0 . The formulae in [37] are obtained setting $A_0^{JLW} = -nA_0$ and $A^{JLW} = -nerA$.

- Setting $f \approx 1$ into the last two equations yields

$$A' + \frac{A}{r} - \frac{e^2}{\kappa} A_0 \approx 0, \quad A_0' - \frac{e^2}{\kappa} \left(A - \frac{1}{er} \right) \approx 0, \quad (2.2.26)$$

from which we infer, firstly, that

$$\frac{d^2 A_0}{d\rho^2} + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{dA_0}{d\rho} - A_0 \approx 0, \quad \rho \equiv (e^2/|\kappa|)r. \quad (2.2.27)$$

where we recognize the modified Bessel equation of order zero. Hence,

$$A_0 \approx CK_0\left(\frac{e^2}{|\kappa|}r\right). \quad (2.2.28)$$

Similarly, setting

$$a = A - \frac{1}{er}, \quad (2.2.29)$$

we find,

$$a'' + \frac{a'}{\rho} - \left(1 + \frac{1}{\rho^2}\right)a \approx 0, \quad (2.2.30)$$

which is the modified Bessel equation of order 1. Thus $a \approx CK_1(\rho)$ so that ¹³

$$A \approx \frac{1}{er} - CK_1\left(\frac{e^2}{|\kappa|}r\right). \quad (2.2.31)$$

Note that there is *no mass splitting* in the present, purely-CS, system since, unlike in the Maxwell – Chern-Simons case ¹⁴, (2.1.18), the asymptotic A and A_0 equations (2.2.27) and (2.2.30) are uncoupled.

An even coarser approximation is obtained by eliminating the first derivative terms in (2.2.30) [and in (2.2.27)], setting $a = u\rho^{-1/2}$ [and $A_0 = u\rho^{-1/2}$] and dropping terms with inverse powers of r . Then *both* equations reduce to

$$u'' = \left(\frac{e^2}{\kappa}\right)^2 u,$$

so that

$$A_0 \sim \frac{D}{\sqrt{r}} e^{-m_A r}, \quad A \sim \frac{1}{er} + \frac{D}{\sqrt{r}} e^{-m_A r} \quad r \rightarrow \infty, \quad (2.2.32)$$

for some constant D . The fields A_0 and A thus approach their asymptotic values also exponentially, with characteristic length determined by the gauge field mass $m_A = e^2/|\kappa|$ ¹⁵.

The penetration depths of the gauge and scalar fields are, therefore,

$$\eta = \frac{1}{m_A} = \frac{|\kappa|}{e^2} \quad \text{resp.} \quad \xi = \frac{1}{m_\psi} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\lambda}}, \quad (2.2.33)$$

¹³Another way of deriving this result is to express A , from the second equation in (2.2.27), as

$$A = \frac{1}{er} + \frac{\kappa}{e^2} A_0',$$

and use the recursion relation $K_0' = -K_1$ of the Bessel functions.

¹⁴Switching off the Maxwell term can be achieved by letting κ become big so that $\frac{4e^2}{\kappa^2} \ll 1$. Then (2.1.22) becomes approximately $e^2/|\kappa|$ as here above. The mass with the plus sign behaves approximately as $\approx |\kappa| \gg 1$ and diverges therefore.

¹⁵ Equation (2.2.32) is consistent with the asymptotic expansion of Bessel functions.




Figure 2: *Radial topological vortices in the HKP-JLW model (a) below, $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{SD}$, and (b) above, $\lambda = 2\lambda_{SD}$, the critical coupling λ_{SD} . The heavy/dotted/dashed lines refer to the scalar/electric/magnetic fields.*

respectively.

Similarly, the usual analysis yields the small- r behavior,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} f(r) = f_0 r^{|n|} + \frac{1}{8(|n|+1)}(\lambda - 2n^2 e^2 b_0^2) f_0 r^{|n|+2} + O(r^{|n|+4}), \\ A(r) = \frac{1}{2|n|+2} \frac{e^2}{\kappa} b_0 f_0^2 r^{2|n|+1} + \frac{1}{8(|n|+1)(|n|+2)} \frac{e^2}{\kappa} (\lambda - 2n^2 e^2 b_0^2 - \delta_{|n|}^1 \frac{4e f_n^2}{\kappa b_0}) b_0 f_0^2 r^{2|n|+3} + O(r^{2|n|+5}), \\ A_0(r) = b_0 - \frac{1}{2|n|} \frac{e}{\kappa} f_0^2 r^{2|n|} - \frac{e}{8(|n|+1)^2} (\lambda - 2n^2 e^2 b_0^2) f_0^2 r^{2|n|+2} + O(r^{2|n|+4}), \end{array} \right. \quad (2.2.34)$$

where b_0 and f_0 are free constants. Note that $f(r) \rightarrow 0$ and $A(r) \rightarrow 0$, but $A_0(r) \rightarrow b_0 = \text{const} \neq 0$ as $r \rightarrow 0$. In conclusion, the fields behave, as,

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi(r)| &\equiv f(r) &\propto &\begin{cases} r^{|n|} & r \sim 0 \\ 1 - \frac{G}{\sqrt{r}} e^{-m_\psi r} & r \rightarrow \infty \end{cases} \\ |\vec{E}(r)| &= |n A_0'(r)| &\propto &\begin{cases} r^{2|n|-1} & r \sim 0 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} e^{-m_A r} + \dots & r \rightarrow \infty \end{cases} \\ |B(r)| &= n \left(A' + \frac{A}{r} \right) &\propto &\begin{cases} r^{2|n|} & r \sim 0 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} e^{-m_A r} + \dots & r \rightarrow \infty \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (2.2.35)$$

Self-dual vortices

In the Abelian Higgs model an important step has been to recognize that, for a specific value of the coupling constant, the field equations could be reduced to first-order ones [80, 81]. Intuitively, this happens when the gauge- and scalar field masses are equal, so that the Higgs attraction is precisely canceled by the electromagnetic repulsion, opening the way to static multivortex solutions.

A similar equipoise of the forces can also be achieved by a suitable modification of the model [35, 36, 37], as discussed below in some detail.

Let us suppose that the scalar and gauge fields have equal masses,

$$m_\psi = m_A = \frac{e^2}{c|\kappa|} \equiv m, \quad (2.2.36)$$

and, hence, equal penetration depths, $\xi = \eta$ [the velocity of light has been inserted for further use]. This amounts to choosing the coefficient of the potential as

$$\frac{\lambda_{SD}}{4} = \frac{e^4}{8\kappa^2 c^4}. \quad (2.2.37)$$

Returning to units where $c = 1$ we observe that the Bogomol'ny trick applies, i.e., using the identity

$$|\vec{D}\psi|^2 = |(D_1 \pm iD_2)\psi|^2 \pm eB|\psi|^2 \pm \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{j}, \quad (2.2.38)$$

the energy (2.2.8) can be rewritten in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E} = & \int d^2\vec{x} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} |(D_1 \mp iD_2)\psi|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{\kappa}{e} \frac{B}{\psi} \mp \frac{e^2}{2\kappa} \psi^* (1 - |\psi|^2) \right|^2 \right\} \\ & + \int d^2\vec{x} \frac{1}{4} \left(\lambda - \frac{e^4}{2\kappa^2} \right) |\psi|^2 (1 - |\psi|^2) \pm \int d^2\vec{x} \left(\frac{eB}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{j} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.2.39)$$

The current in the last term can be dropped, yielding the magnetic flux,

$$\pm \int d^2\vec{x} \frac{eB}{2} = \pm \frac{e}{2} \Phi. \quad (2.2.40)$$

For the specific value [consistent with (2.2.37)],

$$\lambda = \lambda_{SD} = \frac{e^4}{2\kappa^2}, \quad (2.2.41)$$

of the coupling constant, the indefinite third term drops out, leaving us with the first, non-negative integral. Thus, we have established that the energy admits a Bogomol'ny bound,

$$\mathcal{E} \geq \frac{e|\Phi|}{2} = \pi|n|. \quad (2.2.42)$$

Equality here is only attained if the *self-duality equations*,

$$D_1\psi \pm iD_2\psi = 0, \quad (2.2.43)$$

$$eB \mp \frac{m^2}{2} |\psi|^2 (1 - |\psi|^2) = 0, \quad (2.2.44)$$

hold, with the upper/lower sign chosen for n positive/negative.

It is readily verified directly that the solutions of the first-order equations, (2.2.7) and (2.2.43)-(2.2.44), solve the second-order field equations (2.2.3)-(2.2.4).

Equation (2.2.7) i.e. $\kappa B = e^2 A_0 |\psi|^2$, is one (namely the time) component of the field equations (FCI) (2.2.4). Turning to the space component of the field-current identity,

$$\kappa \epsilon^{ij0} F_{j0} = -j^i, \quad (2.2.45)$$

one first shows that, for SD/ASD fields,

$$\vec{j}_{SD} = \mp \frac{e}{2} \vec{\nabla} \times |\psi|^2. \quad (2.2.46)$$

On the other hand, using again (2.2.7),

$$\kappa F_{j0} = \kappa \partial_j A_0 = \kappa \partial_j \left(\frac{\kappa B}{e^2 |\psi|^2} \right).$$

Hence, by $\epsilon^{ij0} = -\epsilon_{ij}$ and by the SD equation (2.2.44), the r.h.s. of the FCI is precisely minus the i -component of the current, as required.

As to the non-linear Klein-Gordon equation (2.2.3), expressing A_0 from (2.2.7) and using (2.2.44), we get,

$$D_0 D^0 \psi = e^2 A_0^2 \psi = \frac{(eB)^2}{m^2 |\psi|^4} \psi = \frac{m^2}{4} (1 - |\psi|^2)^2 \psi.$$

Then, using $\vec{D}^2 \psi = \mp eB \psi$, the l.h.s. of (2.2.3) becomes, by (2.2.43),

$$D_\mu D^\mu \psi = \frac{m^2}{4} (1 - |\psi|^2) (1 - 3|\psi|^2) \psi,$$

which is the r.h.s of (2.2.3) as it should be.

Let us first study the radial case. Introducing cf. (2.2.29) and using (2.1.6),

$$a = A - \frac{1}{er} \quad \Rightarrow \quad B = n \left(A' + \frac{A}{r} \right) = n \left(a' + \frac{a}{r} \right), \quad (2.2.47)$$

the radial self-duality equations become,

$$\begin{cases} f' \pm enaf = 0, \\ en \left(a' + \frac{a}{r} \right) \mp \frac{m^2}{2} f^2 (1 - f^2) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (2.2.48)$$

By (2.2.21) and (2.2.34), the boundary conditions read

$$\begin{aligned} a(\infty) &\sim 0, & f(\infty) &\sim 1, \\ a(0) &\sim -\frac{1}{er}, & f(0) &\sim 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2.49)$$

It is worth noting that the first-order equations (2.2.48) can also be obtained by using the radial ‘‘Bogomol’ny’’ decomposition of the energy density in (2.2.23),

$$\begin{aligned} \pi r \left\{ [f' \pm enaf]^2 + \frac{1}{m^2 f^2} \left[en \left(a' + \frac{a}{r} \right) \mp \frac{m^2}{2} f^2 (1 - f^2) \right]^2 \right\} \\ \mp \pi en (arf^2)' \pm \pi en (ar)', \end{aligned} \quad (2.2.50)$$

valid for $U(f) = \frac{m^2}{8} f^2 (f^2 - 1)^2$.

For $n = 0$ the only solution is the (asymmetric) vacuum, as it can be seen using a simple scaling argument [37].

For $n \neq 0$, which we assume henceforth (except in our discussion, below, of the non-topological vortices), no analytic solution has been found so far. The radial first-order equations (2.2.48), are readily solved numerically, however. According to Figures 3 and 4, and also confirmed by calculation, the magnetic field, and the energy density, respectively, both vanish at the origin. Consider the SD equation,

$$eB = \pm \frac{m^2}{2} |\psi|^2 (1 - |\psi|^2).$$




Figure 3: (a) Scalar (b) magnetic fields of radially symmetric, SD, topological, HKP-JLW vortices for winding numbers $n = \mathbf{1, 2, 3}$. The magnetic field has a “doughnut-like” shape, as B vanishes at the origin.

Hence, the magnetic field is zero where the scalar field is in a ground state (i.e., either vanishes or equals 1). This is what happens, in particular, for a radial vortex at the origin. The magnetic field has, therefore, a doughnut-like shape.

Similarly, the energy density of a radial SD configuration is, by (2.2.50),

$$w_{SD} d^2\vec{x} = \mp \pi e n (a r f^2)' dr \pm \pi e B r dr. \quad (2.2.51)$$

Here the first term vanishes at the origin because $f(0) = 0$ and the last term is proportional to $B(0) = 0$.

Some more insight is gained by studying the asymptotic behavior.

- To study the large- r behavior, set $\varphi \equiv 1 - f$. Inserting $f \approx 1$, Eqs. (2.2.48) reduce to

$$\varphi' \approx \pm e n a, \quad e n \left(a' + \frac{a}{r} \right) \approx \pm m^2 \varphi. \quad (2.2.52)$$

Differentiating, we get,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi'' + \frac{1}{r} \varphi' - m^2 \varphi &\approx 0 &\Rightarrow \varphi &\approx C K_0(mr), \\ a'' + \frac{a'}{r} - \left(m^2 + \frac{1}{r^2} \right) a &\approx 0 &\Rightarrow a &\approx C K_1(mr). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for large r , using again (2.2.52) and the known relation $K_0' = -K_1$,

$$f \approx 1 - C K_0(mr) \quad \text{and} \quad A \approx \frac{1}{er} - \frac{m}{e|n|} C K_1(mr) \quad (2.2.53)$$

with some constant C .

- For small r , on the other hand, equation (2.2.48) yields the expansion,

$$\begin{aligned} f(r) &= f_0 r^{|n|} - \frac{m^2}{8(|n|+1)^2} f_0^3 r^{3|n|+2} + \frac{m^2}{8(2|n|+1)^2} f_0^5 r^{5|n|+2} + \frac{m^4}{64(|n|+1)^4} f_0^5 r^{5|n|+4} + O(r^{7|n|+4}) \\ A &= \frac{m^2}{4|n|(|n|+1)e} f_0^2 r^{2|n|+1} - \frac{m^2}{4|n|(2|n|+1)e} f_0^4 r^{4|n|+1} - \frac{m^4}{32|n|(|n|+1)^3} f_0^4 r^{4|n|+3} + O(r^{6|n|+3}) \end{aligned} \quad (2.2.54)$$




Figure 4: *Energy (= mass) densities of radially symmetric, topological HKP-JLW vortices with winding numbers $n = \mathbf{1, 2, 3}$. The “doughnut-like” shape is confirmed for all n .*

The results are consistent with (2.2.34). The asymptotic behavior (2.2.49) is actually valid in full generality, without assuming radial symmetry. Putting $\psi = \sqrt{\varrho} e^{i\omega}$, the vector potential can be expressed from the self-duality condition $(D_1 \pm iD_2)\psi = 0$ as,

$$e\vec{A} = \vec{\nabla}\omega \pm \frac{1}{2}\vec{\nabla} \times \log \varrho, \quad (2.2.55)$$

and inserting it into (2.2.44), we get the Liouville-type equation ¹⁶,

$$\boxed{\Delta \log \varrho = m^2 \varrho (\varrho - 1)}. \quad (2.2.56)$$

In the radial case, we can also proceed as follows. Differentiating the first of the equations in (2.2.48) and using the second one, the gauge field can be eliminated to yield the radial form of (2.2.56),

$$\Delta \log f = \frac{m^2}{2} f^2 (f^2 - 1). \quad (2.2.57)$$

Where the scalar field is small [as near the origin for $n \neq 0$, cf. (2.2.54)], the quartic term on the r.h.s. can be neglected, leaving us with the approximate equation,

$$\Delta \log f = -\frac{m^2}{2} f^2, \quad (2.2.58)$$

which is the classical Liouville equation. Then, the short- r behavior found above can also be deduced by expanding in power series the known solutions, presented in Section 3.2 below, of the latter.

The small- r behavior of the SD scalar field can also be obtained from the “Liouville-type” equation [37].

Unlike classical Nielsen-Olesen vortices, CS vortices also carry an electric field. For our radial Ansatz, the latter, $\vec{E} = -nA'_0 \vec{r}/r$, shown on Figure 5, is also radial.

Returning to the general (not necessarily radial) vortices, one can ask if multivortices do exist. The answer is yes. Index-theoretical calculations [35, 36, 37], similar to those in the Nielsen-Olesen-Bogomol’ny case [82], allow one to deduce :

¹⁶It is worth emphasizing that, unlike in the non-relativistic case discussed later, the density, ϱ , is *not* the time component of a conserved current so that the total mass, $\int \varrho d^2\vec{x}$ may not be conserved. The time component of the conserved current, j_0 in (2.2.5), is different from ϱ , and is *not* positive definite.




Figure 5: (a) The electric field of the radially symmetric HKP-JLW vortex is also radial. Its magnitude is shown on (b) for $n = 1, 2, 3$.

Theorem : For topological charge n , the self-duality equations (2.2.43-2.2.44) admit a $2|n|$ parameter family of solutions.

Pure Chern-Simons vortices in a uniform background field are discussed in Ref. [83]. The existence of topological vortices as well as a numerical construction has been proved in Ref. [84].

Non-topological vortices.

The boundary condition of case (b) in (2.2.9),

$$|\psi| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad r \rightarrow \infty,$$

leads to *non-topological* vortices. They are quite subtle, and therefore we limit ourselves to the radial, and self-dual, case. For more details, the reader is advised to consult Refs. [37, 84, 85, 86].

For $n \neq 0$, the radial Ansatz (2.1.5) can still be made, although the integer n is not a winding number: no topology is involved. The radial self-duality equations (2.2.48) still solve the equations of motion, and also imply the Liouville-type equations (2.2.57). The only difference is the boundary condition $f(\infty) = 0$.

By (2.2.47),

$$\int B d^2\vec{x} = 2\pi n \int \left(a' + \frac{a}{r} \right) r dr = 2\pi n [(ra)(\infty) - (ra)(0)].$$

Using $(ra)(0) = -\frac{1}{e}$ cf. (2.2.49) and setting ¹⁷

$$(ra)(\infty) = \frac{\alpha}{ne}, \tag{2.2.59}$$

the flux is, hence,

$$\Phi = \Phi_n = \int B d^2\vec{x} = \frac{2\pi}{e}(n + \alpha). \tag{2.2.60}$$

We stress that α here is an unquantized parameter, so that the magnetic flux of a non-topological vortex is *not* quantized.

¹⁷The definition is chosen so that the formulae coincide with those in Ref. [37].

Curiously, the problem also admits solutions with $n = 0$, when the radial Ansatz (2.1.5) has to be modified according to,

$$A_0 = A_0(r), \quad A = rA(r), \quad \psi = f(r). \quad (2.2.61)$$

The magnetic flux is fractional,

$$\Phi_0 = \frac{2\pi}{e}\alpha, \quad (2.2.62)$$

which is just (2.2.60) with $n = 0$. Let us emphasize that α can, *a priori* be positive or negative.

The radial self-duality equations read now

$$\begin{cases} f' \pm eaf = 0, \\ e\left(a' + \frac{a}{r}\right) \mp \frac{m^2}{2}f^2(1-f^2) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (2.2.63)$$

The radial Bogomol'ny decomposition (2.2.50) is still valid, and yields $\mathcal{E} \geq \frac{e}{2}\Phi_0$, which is a physically admissible Bogomol'ny bound as long as it is positive. Consequently, the upper sign should be chosen for $\alpha > 0$ and the lower one for $\alpha < 0$ so that the Bogomol'ny bound is, in fact,

$$\mathcal{E} \geq \frac{e}{2}|\Phi_0| = \pi|\alpha| \geq 2\pi, \quad (2.2.64)$$

since numerical study [37] indicates that $|\alpha| \geq 2$.

Let us assume that $\alpha > 0$. For large r , $a(r) \sim \frac{\alpha}{er}$, so these equations imply $f(r) \sim r^{-\alpha}$. A more detailed study [37], consistent with the Liouville approximation (2.2.58), yields, in fact, the large- r expansion [consistent with the solution of the Liouville equation],

$$f(r) = \frac{f_0}{r^\alpha} - \frac{m^2 f_0^3}{8(\alpha-1)^2 r^{3\alpha-2}} + O(r^{-5\alpha+4}), \quad (2.2.65)$$

$$a(r) = \frac{\alpha}{er} - \frac{m^2 f_0^2}{4e(\alpha-1)r^{2\alpha-1}} + O(r^{-4\alpha+3}). \quad (2.2.66)$$

For small r we find in turn

$$f(r) = f_0 - \frac{m^2}{8}f_0^3(1-f_0^2)r^2 + \frac{m^4}{128}f_0^5(1-f_0^2)(2-3f_0^2)r^4 + O(r^6), \quad (2.2.67)$$

$$a(r) = \frac{m^2}{4e}f_0^2(1-f_0^2)r - \frac{m^4}{32e}f_0^4(1-f_0^2)(1-2f_0^2)r^3 + O(r^6). \quad (2.2.68)$$

$f_0 \geq 0$ can be assumed with no loss of generality. The boundary conditions can only be satisfied when $f_0 \leq 1$. For $f_0 = 0$ and $f_0 = 1$, we get the symmetric and the asymmetric vacuum solutions, respectively. Interesting solutions, some of them plotted on Fig. 6 arise, hence for $0 < f_0 < 1$. A similar analysis holds for $n \neq 0$ ¹⁸. The self-duality equations are those in (2.2.48), and therefore the small- r expansion is still (2.2.54). Numerical solutions are shown on Fig. 7. They are, roughly, mixtures of the $n = 0$ non-topological soliton with the topological vortex with $n \neq 0$ [37, 86].

Many theoretical questions, including the behavior of the fractional flux α , parameter-counting, the relation to the non-relativistic ‘‘Jackiw-Pi’’ vortices discussed in the next Section, etc., remain open, however.

¹⁸ Note that, when $n = 1$, the self-duality equations (2.2.48) is identical with the one, (2.2.63), valid for $n = 0$. The solutions are distinguished by their initial conditions : the one with $n = 0$ has $f(0) \neq 0$ and the one with $n = 1$ has $f(0) = 0$.




Figure 6: *Non-topological soliton with $n = 0$ in the HKP-JLW model for (a) $f(0) = 0.99$ (b) $f(0) = 0.5$. The heavy/dashed/dotted line show the scalar/magnetic/radial electric fields.*




Figure 7: *Non-topological vortices with $n = 1$ in the HKP-JLW model. (a) $f_0 = 0.31$ (b) $f_0 = 0.1$. The heavy/dashed/dotted lines represent the scalar/magnetic/electric fields.*

3 NON-RELATIVISTIC NON-TOPOLOGICAL VORTICES

3.1 The Jackiw-Pi Model

Now we proceed to a systematic study of non-relativistic vortices coupled to Chern-Simons electrodynamics. The one due to Jackiw and Pi [38, 39] can be derived from the relativistic model of Section 2.2. Our starting point is the relativistic, matter Lagrangian (2.2.1)-(2.2.2), where the self-dual value $\lambda_{SD} = e^4/2\kappa^2c^4$ has been chosen. Re-introducing the velocity of light, the (relativistic) matter Lagrangian reads

$$\mathcal{L}_{matter}^R = -\frac{1}{2c^2}|D_t\psi|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|\vec{D}\psi|^2 + \frac{e^4}{8\kappa^2c^4}|\psi|^2(|\psi|^2 - \mu^2)^2, \quad (3.1.1)$$

where $t = x^0/c$ is non-relativistic time and, to keep control of the various terms, the symmetry breaking vacuum value $\mu \neq 0$ has been introduced¹⁹. M , the mass of oscillations around the *symmetric* vacuum state $\psi = 0$, can be read off the coefficient of the quadratic term²⁰,

$$M^2 = \frac{e^4\mu^4}{4\kappa^2c^6}. \quad (3.1.2)$$

Now the non-relativistic limit of the system is found [40, 41] by decomposing the relativistic matter field into a particle and antiparticle, Ψ and $\bar{\Psi}$ respectively,

$$\psi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{M}} \left(e^{-iMc^2t}\Psi + e^{+iMc^2t}\bar{\Psi}^* \right). \quad (3.1.3)$$

Inserting (3.1.3) into the matter Lagrangian and dropping the oscillating terms, we find that the quadratic terms cancel. To leading order in $1/c$, we get²¹,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \frac{1}{2i} (\Psi^* D_t \Psi - \Psi (D_t \Psi)^*) + \frac{|\vec{D}\Psi|^2}{2M} \right\} + \left\{ \frac{1}{2i} (\bar{\Psi}^* D_t \bar{\Psi} - \bar{\Psi} (D_t \bar{\Psi})^*) + \frac{|\vec{D}\bar{\Psi}|^2}{2M} \right\} \\ & - \frac{e^4\mu^2}{4\kappa^2c^4M^2} (|\Psi|^2 + |\bar{\Psi}|^2)^2 + \frac{e^4}{8\kappa^2c^4M^3} (|\Psi|^2 + |\bar{\Psi}|^2)^3. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1.4)$$

Particles and antiparticles are separately conserved, however, and can, therefore, be safely eliminated by setting $\bar{\Psi} = 0$. Expressing μ^2 using (3.1.2) yields the coefficient of the quartic term. The last term can, in turn, be dropped, leaving us with the non-relativistic matter Lagrangian with an attractive quartic potential,

$$\mathcal{L}_{matt}^{NR} = \frac{1}{2i} (\Psi^* D_t \Psi - \Psi (D_t \Psi)^*) + \frac{|\vec{D}\Psi|^2}{2M} - \frac{\lambda}{2} |\Psi|^4, \quad \lambda = \frac{e^2}{Mc|\kappa|}. \quad (3.1.5)$$

It will be shown in Section 3.1 below that the theory is indeed Galilei invariant. In what follows, we again take $c = 1$.

¹⁹ $\mu = 1$ can always be achieved by a suitable rescaling.

²⁰ M is *different* from the mass, m , of oscillations around the *symmetry breaking* ground state $|\psi| = \mu$. In fact, $M = m/2$.

²¹Note that

$$D_\mu \Psi = (\partial_\mu - ieA_\mu)\Psi, \quad D_\mu \bar{\Psi} = (\partial_\mu + ieA_\mu)\bar{\Psi},$$

i.e., the particle and the antiparticle have opposite charges.

We first let the constant λ be arbitrary. A self-consistent system is obtained by adding the matter and Chern-Simons actions, (3.1.5) and (1.1.2), respectively,

$$\mathcal{L}^{JP} = -i\Psi^* D_t \Psi + \frac{|\vec{D}\Psi|^2}{2M} - \frac{\lambda}{2} |\Psi|^4 - \frac{\kappa}{4} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma} A_\alpha F_{\beta\gamma}. \quad (3.1.6)$$

Variation w.r.t. Ψ^* yields the *gauged, non-linear Schrödinger equation*,

$$i\partial_t \Psi = \left[-\frac{\vec{D}^2}{2M} - eA_t - \lambda\Psi^*\Psi \right] \Psi, \quad (3.1.7)$$

and variation w.r.t. the gauge potential yields the Chern-Simons equations written in non-relativistic notations, called the Gauss' law and the field-current identity (FCI), respectively,

$$\kappa B = e \rho \quad \text{Gauss}, \quad (3.1.8)$$

$$\kappa \epsilon_{ij} E_j = e J_i \quad \text{FCI}, \quad (3.1.9)$$

where $\rho = \Psi^*\Psi$ and $\vec{J} = \frac{1}{2M_i} [\Psi^* \vec{D}\Psi - \Psi(\vec{D}\Psi)^*]$ are the particle density and current, respectively. Together, they satisfy the continuity equation $\partial_t \rho + \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{J} = 0$, as it is shown in the usual way. The mass,

$$\mathcal{M} = \int \rho d^2 \vec{x}, \quad (3.1.10)$$

is, therefore, conserved, whenever the integral converges.

Symmetries of non-relativistic vortices

Before constructing vortex solutions, let us briefly study their symmetry properties. Let us first remind the reader of the definition : a *symmetry* is a transformation which interchanges the solutions of the coupled equations of motion. When applying it to a solution of the field equations we get, hence, another solution.

For a Lagrangian system, an infinitesimal space-time symmetry can be represented by a vector field X^μ on space-time, is a symmetry when it changes the Lagrangian by a surface term,

$$\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} + \partial_\alpha K^\alpha, \quad (3.1.11)$$

for some function K . To each such transformation, Noether's theorem associates a conserved quantity, namely

$$C = \int \left(\frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta(\partial_t \chi)} \delta \chi - K^t \right) d^2 \vec{x}, \quad (3.1.12)$$

where χ denotes, collectively, all fields [87].

The symmetry problem enters the non-relativistic theory on (at least) two occasions.

Firstly, can we derive self-dual equations using a Bogomol'ny-type decomposition of the energy ? Do they represent absolute minima ? This question is meaningful only if a conserved energy-momentum tensor has been constructed. The procedure is canonical in relativistic field theory, but is rather subtle in the non-relativistic context. The expression obtained by the usual procedure may not be the most convenient one and may be subject to further "improvements" [39].

Another (related) application of symmetry is the following. Do we have, at the specific, “self-dual” value of the coupling constant, solutions which are *not* self-dual? The (negative) answer is obtained in a single line, if the conformal symmetry of the theory is exploited [46].

The Galilean symmetry of our Chern-Simons-theory follows from the general quantum-field theoretical framework [8, 9, 39, 88, 89, 90, 91]. (Another approach [92] will be presented in Section 6.)

Each generator of the centrally-extended Galilei group is associated, through the Noether theorem, with a conserved quantity and, conversely, each conserved quantity generates a space-time symmetry. Jackiw and Pi [39] construct all these conserved quantities one-by-one, resorting, from time to time, to “improvements”. This provides them with the rather complicated-looking energy-momentum tensor,

$$\begin{aligned}
T^{00} &= \frac{|\vec{D}\Psi|^2}{2M} - \frac{\lambda}{2}|\Psi|^4, \\
T^{i0} &= -\frac{1}{2} \left((\vec{D}_t\Psi)^*(D_i\Psi) + (D_i\Psi)^*D_t\Psi \right), \\
T^{0i} &= -\frac{i}{2} (\Psi^*D_i\Psi - (D_i\Psi)^*\Psi), \\
T^{ij} &= -\frac{1}{2} \left((D_i\Psi)^*D_j\Psi + (D_j\Psi)^*D_i\Psi - \delta_{ij}|\vec{D}\Psi|^2 \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{4} (\delta_{ij} \Delta - 2\partial_i\partial_j) (|\Psi|^2) + \delta_{ij} T^{00},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.1.13}$$

whose conservation,

$$\partial_\alpha T^{\alpha\beta} = 0, \tag{3.1.14}$$

can be checked by direct calculation. (See also [39, 40, 92, 91]). The tensor $T^{\alpha\beta}$ is symmetric only in the spatial indices,

$$T^{0i} \neq T^{i0}, \quad T^{ij} = T^{ji}. \tag{3.1.15}$$

T^{ij} has been “improved” and satisfies, instead of the tracelessness-condition $T^\alpha_\alpha = 0$ of relativistic field theory, the non-relativistic trace condition

$$T^i_i = 2T^{00}. \tag{3.1.16}$$

Turning to our concrete problem, we have the conserved Galilean quantities,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{E} &= \int T^{00} d^2\vec{x}, && \text{energy,} \\
\mathcal{P}_i &= \int T^{0i} d^2\vec{x}, && \text{momentum,} \\
\mathcal{J} &= \int \epsilon_{ij} x^i T^{0j} d^2\vec{x} && \text{angular momentum,} \\
\mathcal{G}_i &= t\mathcal{P}_i - M \int x_i \varrho d^2\vec{x} && \text{center of mass,} \\
\mathcal{M} &= M \int \varrho d^2\vec{x} && \text{mass,}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.1.17}$$

What is less expected is that the model admits two more conserved generators, namely,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D} &= t\mathcal{E} - \frac{1}{2}x_i\mathcal{P}_i && \text{dilatation,} \\
\mathcal{K} &= -t^2\mathcal{E} + 2t\mathcal{D} + \frac{M}{2} \int r^2 \varrho d^2\vec{x} && \text{expansion.}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.1.18}$$

The NRCS model of Jackiw and Pi share, therefore, the larger symmetry found previously for the free Schrödinger equation [88, 89, 90], as well as for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation with quartic self-interaction potential.

It is worth mentioning that a Poisson bracket of conserved quantities can be defined [38, 39, 40, 93]. The resulting Lie algebra structure is that of the Schrödinger group, the non-relativistic “conformal” extension of the Galilei group [88, 89, 90]. The unusual properties of the energy-momentum tensor highlighted above are, precisely, hallmarks of Schrödinger (rather than Lorentz) conformal invariance [39, 92, 91].

In the present, CS, context, the energy functional is,

$$\mathcal{E} = \int \left(\frac{|\vec{D}\Psi|^2}{2M} - \frac{\lambda}{2}(\Psi^*\Psi)^2 \right) d^2\vec{x}. \quad (3.1.19)$$

Applying the Bogomol’ny trick using the identity

$$|\vec{D}\Psi|^2 = |(D_1 \pm iD_2)\Psi|^2 \pm M\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{J} \pm eB \varrho, \quad (3.1.20)$$

[seen before as (2.2.38)] the energy (3.1.19) is written as

$$\mathcal{E} = \int \left\{ \frac{|(D_1 \pm iD_2)\Psi|^2}{2M} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\lambda - \frac{e^2}{M|\kappa|} \right) (\Psi^*\Psi)^2 \right\} d^2\vec{x}. \quad (3.1.21)$$

Thus, the energy is positive definite, $\mathcal{E} \geq 0$, if

$$\lambda \leq \frac{e^2}{M|\kappa|}, \quad (3.1.22)$$

which we assume henceforth (together with $\lambda > 0$).

As already mentioned, additional symmetries play an important role [46]. Differentiating the expansion generator \mathcal{K} in (3.1.18) twice w.r.t. time shows in fact that

$$\left(\frac{M}{2} \int r^2 |\Psi|^2 d^2\vec{x} \right)''$$

is twice the Hamiltonian, and is, therefore, time-independent. It follows that for fields that make $|\Psi|^2$ time-independent, in particular for static fields, the energy *must* vanish. When λ takes the specific “self-dual” value, λ_{SD} in (3.1.27) below, the energy is, by equation (3.1.21),

$$\mathcal{E} = \int \frac{|D_{\pm}\Psi|^2}{2M} d^2\vec{x}, \quad (3.1.23)$$

whose vanishing thus implies that the solution is necessarily self-dual or anti-self-dual.

Let us remind the reader that, in the Abelian Higgs model, the analogous theorem is rather difficult to prove [95, 96].

Self-dual non-relativistic non-topological vortex solutions

We would like to find static soliton solutions.

The vacuum is clearly

$$\vec{A} = 0, \quad \Psi = 0. \quad (3.1.24)$$

To get finite energy, the following large- r behavior is required :

$$|\Psi| \rightarrow 0, \quad \vec{D}\Psi \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.1.25)$$

The second condition here implies that the finite-energy vortices constructed below are *non-topological* : $\Psi|_{\infty} : S_{\infty} \rightarrow 0$.

The absolute minimum of the energy, namely zero, is attained when both terms in (3.1.21) vanish. This requires

$$\begin{aligned} D_+ \Psi = 0, \quad \lambda &= \frac{e^2}{\kappa M} & \text{if } \kappa > 0 \\ D_- \Psi = 0, \quad \lambda &= -\frac{e^2}{\kappa M} & \text{if } \kappa < 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.1.26)$$

where $D_{\pm} = D_1 \pm iD_2$. More concisely, setting ²²

$$\lambda = \lambda_{SD} = \frac{e^2}{M|\kappa|}, \quad (3.1.27)$$

for which the absolute minimum of the energy is attained for *self-dual* or *anti-self-dual fields*,

$$D_{\pm} \Psi = 0, \quad (3.1.28)$$

with $+/- = \text{sign}(\kappa)$. Note that the Gauss law (3.1.8) should always be assumed.

Do we get a static solution of the problem by minimizing the energy ? Note first that the energy functional (3.1.19) does not include the time component, A_t , which should be fixed by the field equations. To answer the question, let us first observe that for the self-dual Ansatz (3.1.28), the current is

$$\vec{J} = \mp \frac{1}{2M} \vec{\nabla} \times \varrho, \quad (3.1.29)$$

cf. (2.2.46). Using another identity, namely

$$\vec{D}^2 \Psi = (D_- D_+ - eB) \Psi = (D_+ D_- + eB) \Psi, \quad (3.1.30)$$

the static NLS equations can be written, with the help of the Gauss law, as

$$\left[\frac{D_- D_+}{2M} + \left(\lambda - \frac{e^2}{2M\kappa} \right) \varrho + eA_t \right] \Psi = 0, \quad (3.1.31)$$

$$\left[\frac{D_+ D_-}{2M} + \left(\lambda + \frac{e^2}{2M\kappa} \right) \varrho + eA_t \right] \Psi = 0. \quad (3.1.32)$$

Then for the SD Ansatz (3.1.28), the FCI (3.1.9) becomes, for $\lambda = \lambda_{SD}$,

$$\vec{\nabla} \left(A_t \pm \frac{e}{2M\kappa} \varrho \right) = 0,$$

which is satisfied if

$$A_t = \mp \frac{e}{2M\kappa} \varrho.$$

Re-inserting this into (3.1.31-3.1.32) shows that the equations of motion are satisfied if ²³, in addition to the Gauss law, (3.1.8) i.e.,

$$\boxed{\kappa B = e|\Psi|^2}, \quad (3.1.33)$$

²²The same value (3.1.27) has been obtained from the non-relativistic limit of the self-dual relativistic theory taking $c = 1$ in (3.1.5).

²³The sign choice in (3.1.34) is consistent with the one we found before when we studied the energy.

we also have,

$$\boxed{\begin{array}{ll} D_+ \Psi = 0 & \kappa > 0 \\ D_- \Psi = 0 & \kappa < 0 \end{array}} \quad (3.1.34)$$

In conclusion, a static solution is obtained for (3.1.34), provided the Gauss constraint (3.1.33) holds, and when the time component of the potential is chosen as

$$A_t = -\frac{e}{2M|\kappa|} \varrho. \quad (3.1.35)$$

The coupled system (3.1.33)-(3.1.34) can be solved as follows. Separating the phase as $\Psi = \sqrt{\varrho} e^{ie\omega}$, the SD equation is solved by

$$\vec{A} = \pm \frac{1}{2e} \vec{\nabla} \times \log \varrho + \vec{\nabla} \omega. \quad (3.1.36)$$

Inserting $B = \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}$ into the Gauss law, (3.1.8), tells us that ϱ has to solve the *Liouville equation*,

$$\boxed{\Delta \log \varrho = \mp \frac{2e^2}{\kappa} \varrho}, \quad (3.1.37)$$

with the $-/+$ sign corresponding to $+/-$ in the SD/ASD equations. Its solutions will be studied in the next Section. Having solved (3.1.37), the scalar and vector potentials are given by (3.1.35) and (3.1.36), respectively. In the latter, the phase ϕ has to be chosen so that it cancels the singularities due to the zeros of ϱ . This property is related to the quantization of the vortex charge, see Section 3.2 below. The vector potential will be given later in (3.2.20).

For a self-dual solution, the conserved quantities (3.1.17)-(3.1.18) are readily evaluated [39]. All densities are, in fact, expressed using the mass density,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} m = M\varrho & \text{mass density,} \\ w = \frac{1}{4M} \Delta \varrho & \text{energy density,} \\ \vec{p} = \pm \frac{1}{2} \vec{\nabla} \times \varrho & \text{momentum density,} \\ j = \mp \varrho \pm \frac{1}{2} \vec{\nabla} \cdot (\vec{x}\varrho) & \text{angular momentum density,} \\ \vec{g} = M\vec{x}\varrho & \text{boost density,} \\ d = \frac{1}{4} \vec{x} \times \vec{\nabla} \varrho & \text{dilatation density,} \\ k = \frac{M}{2} r^2 \varrho & \text{expansion density.} \end{array} \right. \quad (3.1.38)$$

Most of the charges including the energy vanish therefore, owing to the rapid fall-off of the density. Notable exceptions are

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \mathcal{M} = M \int d^2 \vec{x} \varrho & \text{mass,} \\ \mathcal{J} = \mp \frac{\mathcal{M}}{M} & \text{angular momentum} \end{array} \right. \quad (3.1.39)$$

We note, in conclusion, that a boost and/or an expansion, applied to the static solution $\Psi_0(\vec{x})$ of Jackiw and Pi, produces time-dependent solutions, namely

$$\Psi(T, \vec{x}) = \frac{1}{1 - kT} \exp \left\{ -\frac{i}{2} \left[2\vec{x} \cdot \vec{b} + T\vec{b}^2 + k \frac{(\vec{x} + \vec{b}T)^2}{1 - kT} \right] \right\} \Psi_0 \left(\frac{\vec{x} + \vec{b}T}{1 - kT} \right). \quad (3.1.40)$$

3.2 Vortex Solutions of the Liouville equation

Non-relativistic vortices are constructed out of the solutions of the Liouville equation (3.1.37)²⁴. The general theory shows that solutions defined over the whole plane arise when the r.h.s. has a negative sign. Hence, the upper sign has to be chosen in (3.1.37) when $\kappa > 0$, and the lower sign when $\kappa < 0$. Note that this is precisely what comes from our choice of the SD/ASD equation.

The general solution of the Liouville equation reads

$$\varrho = \frac{4|\kappa|}{e^2} \frac{|f'|^2}{(1 + |f|^2)^2}, \quad (3.2.1)$$

where f is a meromorphic function of $z = x + iy$.

- For the radial Ansatz

$$f(z) = cz^{-N}, \quad (3.2.2)$$

where c is an arbitrary complex parameter, we obtain, in particular, the radially symmetric solution

$$\varrho(r) = \frac{4N^2|c|^2|\kappa|}{e^2} \left(\frac{r^{(N-1)}}{|c|^2 + r^{2N}} \right)^2. \quad (3.2.3)$$

The profiles of solution with different N are shown on the Fig. 8

Regularity of ϱ at the origin requires that $N \geq 1$. But then $\log \varrho$ is singular as $r \rightarrow 0$. From (3.1.36), a regular vector potential, \vec{A} , can nevertheless be obtained if the singularity coming from the first term, proportional to $\vec{\nabla} \times \log \varrho$, is cancelled, by choosing the [so far undetermined] phase of Ψ as,

$$\omega = (N - 1)\theta, \quad (3.2.4)$$

where θ is the polar angle of the position vector [39]. But then the field Ψ is well-defined if N is an integer at least 1.

For $N = 1$ (Figure 9), the origin is a maximum of ϱ ; for $N \geq 2$, it is a zero : the vortex has a “doughnut-like” shape, see Figure 10. Equation (3.2.3) shows, furthermore, that the density ϱ [and hence the magnetic field B] vanishes at the origin, $\varrho(0) = 0 = B(0)$, except for $N = 1$. In fact, due to the Gauss law (3.1.8), the magnetic field behaves as,

$$B \sim \varrho \sim r^{2(N-1)} \quad r \sim 0. \quad (3.2.5)$$

Returning to the general case, we observe that *not* all meromorphic functions yield physically interesting solutions, however. The natural requirement is that the magnetic and scalar fields, B and Ψ , must be regular, and that the magnetic flux,

$$\Phi = \int B d^2\vec{x},$$

must be finite. For the radial Ansatz (3.2.2) we find, for example²⁵,

$$\Phi = \frac{4\pi(\text{sg } \kappa)}{e} N, \quad (3.2.6)$$

²⁴It is tempting to imagine that these solutions are the non-relativistic limits, for $|\alpha| = 2$, of those non-topological relativistic pure Chern-Simons vortices of the previous Section. As plausible as it sounds, this has not been rigorously proved yet, however.

²⁵In our units $2\pi\hbar = h = 1$.




Figure 8: Profiles of radially symmetric JP vortices with winding numbers $N = 1, 2, 3$ and scales $c = 1$. For $n = 1$ the vortex is “hill-shaped”, but for $n \geq 2$ it is “doughnut-like”.




Figure 9: The radially symmetric $N = 1$ JP vortex is “hill-like” : it has a maximum at $r = 0$.




Figure 10: For $N \geq 2$, the radially symmetric JP vortices have a “doughnut-like” shape : the particle density vanishes at $r = 0$. The figure shows the cases with $N = 2$ and $N = 4$.




Figure 11: *Two separated JP 1-vortices with charge $N = 2$.*

as seen by evaluating the flux integral directly. Accordingly, the mass is

$$\mathcal{M} = \frac{4\pi M|\kappa|}{e^2} N. \quad (3.2.7)$$

More generally, we can ask, which functions f yield regular, finite-flux solutions? How can we calculate the flux? Is it quantized? How many independent solutions do we get for a fixed value Φ ?²⁶

Theorem 1 [99] : *The meromorphic function $f(z)$ yields a regular vortex solution with finite magnetic flux if, and only if, $f(z)$ is a rational function,*

$$f(z) = \frac{P(z)}{Q(z)} \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \deg P < \deg Q, \quad (3.2.8)$$

where the highest-order term in Q can be normalized to 1.

Various multivortex configurations are presented on Figures 11–12–13 and 14.

In particular, when all roots of $Q(z)$ are simple, $f(z)$ can be expanded in partial fractions,

$$f(z) = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{c_i}{z - z_i}, \quad (3.2.9)$$

where the c_i and the z_i are $2n$ complex numbers, and we get a $4N$ -parameter family of N separated one-vortices [39]. Note that this formula breaks down for superimposed vortices.

The proof [99] proceeds through a series of Lemmas, and amounts to showing that f can only have a finite number of isolated singularities which cannot be essential either at a finite point, or at infinity. Finally, a theorem of complex analysis [100] says that f is necessarily rational.

The density (3.2.1) is readily seen to be invariant w.r.t. the transformation,

$$f \rightarrow \frac{f + c}{1 - \bar{c}f}. \quad (3.2.10)$$

²⁶This question has been studied in [97, 98]. The proof given in [97] is based on an asymptotic behavior, that is only valid in the radial case, however. On the other hand, the parameter-counting, given in [98], uses an index theorem. Elementary proofs were obtained in [99].




Figure 12: (a) Two separated charge-2 JP vortices with total charge $N = 4$. (b) The cross section of the mass density along the x axis.




Figure 13: Separated 2 + 4 vortices and cross section along the x axis.




Figure 14: Symmetrically arranged separated 1 vortices.




Figure 15: *The charge of a vortex is proportional to the sum of the multiplicities of the zeros of the denominator $Q(z)$ in (3.2.8).*

In particular, taking c to be imaginary and letting it go to infinity, the density is invariant when f is changed into $1/f$. Hence, $\deg P \leq \deg Q$ can be assumed. The case $\deg P = \deg Q$ can be eliminated by a suitable redefinition [39].

Theorem 2 [99] : *The magnetic flux of the solution generated by P/Q is evenly quantized,*

$$\Phi = 2N(\text{sign } \kappa) \Phi_0, \quad N = \deg Q, \quad \Phi_0 = \frac{2\pi}{e}. \quad (3.2.11)$$

The proof amounts to showing that only the roots of the denominator

$$Q(z) = (z - z_1)^{n_1} \dots (z - z_m)^{n_m}, \quad \left(\sum_k n_k = N \right) \quad (3.2.12)$$

contribute to the charge. The result (3.2.11) is inferred by transforming the flux into a contour integral along the circle at infinity C . The isolated zeros of $Q(z)$, z_1, \dots, z_m , are identified with the “positions” of the vortices. Each can be encircled by disjoint circles C_k , and the charge comes from these zeros (see Fig. 15),

$$\Phi = \oint_C = \sum_k \oint_{C_k} = \sum_k n_k \left((\text{sg } \kappa) \frac{4\pi}{e} \right) = 2N(\text{sg } \kappa) \Phi_0. \quad (3.2.13)$$

Let us fix $N = \deg Q > \deg P$.

Theorem 3. : *The solution generated by (3.2.8) depends on $4N - 1$ real parameters, where N is the degree of the denominator $Q(z)$.*

The proof follows at once from Theorem 1 : N is the degree of the denominator, $Q(z)$, which, being normalized, has N complex coefficients. Since $\deg P < \deg Q$, the numerator also has N complex coefficients (some of which can vanish). The subtraction of -1 comes from noting that, by (3.2.1), the general phase of f is irrelevant, so that the highest coefficient of P can be chosen to be real.

The only degrees of freedom of “Nielsen-Olesen-Bogomol’ny” vortices are their positions [82, 94, 96] : prescribing the zeros of the Higgs field uniquely determines a self-dual vortex solution. Chern-Simons vortices have additional degrees of freedom. Prescribing the zeros of the denominator $Q(z)$ in (3.2.8) determines the “positions”. The coefficients of the polynomial




Figure 16: Changing the scale parameter of a vortex “smears it out”, whereas the position and total charge remain unchanged. This is illustrated on (a) charge-1 and (b) charge-2 vortices and scales $c = 1$ and $c = 2$.

$P(z)$ in the numerator are, however, still at our disposal. In the widely separated case (3.2.9) we have, for example, the complex numbers c_i . These can be interpreted as *scales*. Changing them has no influence on either on the location, or on the total charge of a vortex, but it does affect its *shape*. Increasing c , for example, “smears out” the vortex, as illustrated in Figure 16.

The Liouville equation (3.1.37) is singular where ϱ vanishes, since $\log \varrho \sim -\infty$ at such a point. Equation (3.1.37) is, therefore, only valid *away of the zeros*, $z \neq z_j$, of ϱ . If z_j has multiplicity N_j , then, in the neighborhood of a zero, $\varrho(z_j) = 0$, the density behaves as

$$\varrho \sim |z - z_j|^{2(N-1)}. \quad (3.2.14)$$

From this we infer that equation (3.1.37) is *singular* when $N_j \geq 2$. In fact,

$$\Delta \log \varrho \mp \frac{2e^2}{\kappa} \varrho = -4\pi \sum_j (N_j - 1) \delta(z - z_j), \quad (3.2.15)$$

since $\Delta \log r = -2\pi \delta(\vec{x})$ in the plane.

The geometry of vortex solutions.

These above results have a rather elegant geometric interpretation [101]. A rational function,

$$w = \frac{P(z)}{Q(z)} = \frac{a_m z^m + \dots + a_0}{b_n z^n + \dots + b_0}, \quad (3.2.16)$$

($a_m, b_n \neq 0$) always has a limit as $z \rightarrow \infty$, namely ∞ if $m = \deg P > \deg Q = n$, a_m/b_n if $m = n$, and zero, if $m < n$. therefore, such function extends as a mapping, still denoted by f , between the Riemann spheres,

$$f : S_z \rightarrow S_w, \quad (3.2.17)$$

obtained by compactifying the complex z and w -planes by adding the point at infinity. Then both z and w are stereographic coordinates. The w -sphere carries, in particular, the canonical surface form

$$\Omega = 2i \frac{dw \wedge d\bar{w}}{(1 + w\bar{w})^2}. \quad (3.2.18)$$

Using the Gauss law $B = (e/\kappa)\varrho$, the magnetic flux of the vortex is, therefore,

$$\Phi = \frac{\text{sg } \kappa}{e} \int \frac{4|f'|^2}{(1 + |f|^2)^2} d^2z = \frac{2(\text{sg } \kappa)}{e} \int_{S_z} f^* \Omega, \quad (3.2.19)$$

where we recognize the *topological charge* of monopole theory [102, 103, 104]. The integral in (3.2.19) is, in fact, the same as the homotopy class of the mapping $f : S_z \rightarrow S_w$.

Equivalently, the magnetic charge is the *Brouwer degree* of f [which is the number of times the image is covered].

Generalizing (3.2.4), the regularity of the vector potential requires choosing the phase ϕ so that [99]

$$(\partial_x - i\partial_y)\phi = \sum_{i=1}^{N_Q} \frac{n_i - 1}{z - z_i} + \sum_{k=1}^{N_P} \frac{n_k + 1}{z - Z_k}, \quad (3.2.20)$$

where the z_i , $i = 1, \dots, n_Q$ are the distinct roots of the denominator $Q(z)$ and n_i their respective multiplicities, so that $\sum_{i=1}^{N_Q} n_i = \deg Q = N$ is the vortex number. The Z_k ; $k = 1, \dots, N_P$, are the roots of the numerator, m_k their multiplicities, and $\sum_{k=1}^{N_P} m_k = \deg P < N$.

Let us stress, in conclusion, that the vortices constructed in this section are rather different from those found before in the Abelian Higgs model [17, 80, 94, 96].

First of all, they are *non-topological*; the quartic potential is attractive and, therefore, the scalar field has to vanish at large distances. In other words, the field goes to the symmetric “empty vacuum” $\vec{A} = 0, \Psi = 0$, as $r \rightarrow \infty$. The gauge symmetry is, therefore, *not* spontaneously broken at infinity. The magnetic flux is, nevertheless, quantized, namely in even units, cf. (3.2.11).

They also carry an electric charge. Hence, they are realizations of the quasiparticles and quasiholes, proposed by Laughlin [11] to explain the FQHE, the main physical application of Chern-Simons gauge theory.

Remarkably, the self-dual solutions of the $O(3)$ non-linear sigma model [105] are, once again, precisely those described here.

4 NON-RELATIVISTIC VORTICES IN EXTERNAL FIELDS

4.1 Topological Vortices in the ZHK Model

Let us now return to the physically relevant model of Zhang et. al. [22], presented in Section 1.3. Roughly speaking, it is the non-relativistic counterpart of the (relativistic) Abelian Higgs model with Chern-Simons term and symmetry breaking potential discussed in Section 2.1, – but with pure CS interaction, as in Section 2.2.

Let us assume that all fields are time independent. The static field equations read,

$$e a_t \psi = -\frac{1}{2} \overrightarrow{D}^2 \psi - \frac{\lambda}{2} (1 - |\psi|^2) \psi, \quad (4.1.1)$$

$$\kappa \epsilon_{ik} \partial_k a_t = \frac{e}{2i} (\psi^* D_i \psi - \psi (D_i \psi)^*), \quad (4.1.2)$$

$$\kappa (\partial_1 a_2 - \partial_2 a_1) = e |\psi|^2, \quad (4.1.3)$$

where the covariant derivative involves the total gauge field,

$$\overrightarrow{D} = \overrightarrow{\nabla} - ie \vec{A}, \quad \vec{A} = \vec{a} - \vec{\mathcal{A}}. \quad (4.1.4)$$

Here \vec{a} and $\vec{\mathcal{A}}$ are the statistical and the background gauge potentials, respectively.

Choose the gauge $\mathcal{A}_0 = 0$. In the absence of an external field, the system has no static and uniform solution [27]. For $|\psi|^2 = \varrho_0 = \text{const.}$ and $\mathcal{B} = 0$, equation (4.1.3) implies that the statistical gauge potential is (minus) the familiar expression in a constant magnetic field,

$$a_i = -\frac{e \varrho_0}{2\kappa} \epsilon_{ij} x_j.$$

The wave function can be chosen to be real without any loss of generality, $\psi = \sqrt{\varrho_0}$, since this can always be achieved by a gauge transformation. But then (4.1.2) allows us to infer the temporal component,

$$\epsilon_{ij} \left(\partial_j a_t - \frac{e^3 \varrho_0^2}{2\kappa^2} x_j \right) = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad a_t = \frac{e^3 \varrho_0^2}{4\kappa^2} r^2 + \text{const.}$$

Then (4.1.1) requires

$$\psi \left[\text{const} + \frac{e^4 \varrho_0^2}{8\kappa^2} r^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} (1 - \varrho_0) \right] = 0.$$

But the bracketed expression is a function of the position, so that necessarily $\psi \equiv 0$.

The proof is plainly valid for *any* potential U . Thus, symmetry breaking is induced by the external field and *not* by the nonlinear potential.

In a nonzero magnetic field, $\mathcal{B} \neq 0$, we have two types of uniform background solutions. One of them is symmetry preserving, and the other is symmetry breaking [27].

$$\psi = 0, \quad a_0 = \text{const}, \quad \vec{a} = 0 \quad (4.1.5)$$

is a symmetric ground-state, and

$$\psi^{(0)} = \sqrt{\varrho_0}, \quad \varrho_0 = \frac{\mathcal{B}\kappa}{e}, \quad a_t^{(0)} = \frac{\lambda}{2e} (\varrho_0 - 1), \quad a_i^{(0)} = -\frac{e \varrho_0}{2\kappa} \epsilon_{ij} x_j = -\frac{\mathcal{B}}{2} \epsilon_{ij} x_j \quad (4.1.6)$$

is symmetry breaking one. This is physically admissibly only if the density is positive, $\varrho_0 > 0$, so that the external magnetic field, \mathcal{B} , the Chern-Simons coefficient, κ , and the charge, e , have to satisfy $\kappa \mathcal{B} / e > 0$.

The energy of a static configuration is ²⁷

$$\mathcal{E} = \int \left\{ \frac{1}{2} |\vec{D}\psi|^2 + U(|\psi|^2) \right\} d^2\vec{x}, \quad U(\varrho) = \frac{\lambda}{4} (1 - \varrho)^2. \quad (4.1.7)$$

It only differs from the energy in the Abelian Higgs model in the absence of the magnetic term $\frac{1}{2}B^2$.

The energy of the configuration (4.1.6), $\int U(\varrho_0) d^2\vec{r}$, is finite only if the potential has its absolute minimum, zero, at $\varrho = \varrho_0$. In the present case, this requires

$$\varrho_0 = 1 \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \mathcal{B} = \frac{e}{\kappa}, \quad (4.1.8)$$

which we assume henceforth.

It is convenient to re-express everything using the total fields. Firstly, the ground state (4.1.6) is,

$$\psi^{(0)} = 1, \quad A_0^{(0)} = 0, \quad \vec{A}^{(0)} = 0. \quad (4.1.9)$$

Next, the static equations of motion (4.1.1)-(4.1.2)-(4.1.3), read, in terms of the total fields,

$$eA_t\psi = -\frac{1}{2}\vec{D}^2\psi - \frac{\lambda}{2}(1 - \varrho)\psi, \quad (4.1.10)$$

$$\kappa \epsilon_{ik} \partial_k A_t = e j_i, \quad (4.1.11)$$

$$\kappa B = e(\varrho - 1), \quad (4.1.12)$$

where the density and current are the by now familiar non-relativistic expressions, $\varrho = \psi^*\psi$ and $\vec{j} = \frac{1}{2i}[\psi^*\vec{D}\psi - \psi(\vec{D}\psi)^*]$, with the covariant derivative, $\vec{D} = \vec{\nabla} - i\vec{A}$, involving the total gauge field. As a result of trading the Maxwell dynamics for a Chern-Simons one, the equations (4.1.10)-(4.1.11)-(4.1.12) differs substantially from those valid in the Abelian Higgs model. They also differ from their relativistic counterparts, discussed in Section 2.1 and 2.2.

Let us consider a general static configuration. To get finite energy, we require that,

$$\vec{D}\psi \rightarrow 0, \quad |\psi| \rightarrow 1, \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty. \quad (4.1.13)$$

The convergence should, moreover, be rapid enough to make the energy integral (4.1.7) converge. The asymptotic conditions (4.1.13) imply, just like in the Abelian-Higgs case, that the total magnetic flux is quantized,

$$\int B d^2\vec{x} = \Phi = \frac{2\pi}{e} n, \quad (4.1.14)$$

where n is the winding number of the scalar field. A related quantity, typical for the non-relativistic theory, is the *particle* or *vortex number*,

$$\mathcal{N} \equiv \int (\varrho - 1) d^2\vec{x}. \quad (4.1.15)$$

Assuming that the integral converges, \mathcal{N} is conserved, since the current satisfies the continuity equation,

$$\partial_t(\varrho - 1) + \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{j} = 0, \quad (4.1.16)$$

²⁷The Gauss constraint (1.3.25), is always assumed.

as it can be shown using the equations of motion. Using the Gauss constraint, (4.1.12), the vortex number can be linked to the total magnetic flux,

$$\mathcal{N} = \frac{\kappa}{e} \Phi^{(total)} = \frac{\kappa}{e} \int B d^2\vec{x}. \quad (4.1.17)$$

The assumptions of finite flux and finite vortex number are, hence, equivalent.

Radially symmetric, topological solutions can be constructed along the lines considered before. Let us start with the usual radial Ansatz (2.1.5) for the statistical field, i.e.,

$$A_t = nA_0(r), \quad A_r = 0, \quad A_\theta = nr A(r), \quad \psi(r) = f(r)e^{in\theta}, \quad (4.1.18)$$

whose winding number is n . The handy formulae (2.1.6) provide us with the radial equations

$$f'' + \frac{f'}{r} - e^2 n^2 f \left(A - \frac{1}{er}\right)^2 + \lambda(1 - f^2)f + 2enfA_0 = 0, \quad (4.1.19)$$

$$-e^2 f^2 \left(A - \frac{1}{er}\right) + \kappa A'_0 = 0, \quad (4.1.20)$$

$$e(1 - f^2) + n\kappa \left(A' + \frac{A}{r}\right) = 0. \quad (4.1.21)$$

Note that (4.1.19) only differs from (2.1.7), valid in the Paul-Khare case, in that the last term here is *linear* in A_0 , while the corresponding one in (2.1.7) is quadratic. This changes the large- r behavior, see later. (4.1.20) is identical to (2.2.19), and (4.1.21) only slightly differs from (2.2.20) in the pure Chern-Simons model of Section 2.2.

The energy of such a static, radial configuration is,

$$\mathcal{E} = \pi \int_0^\infty \left\{ (f')^2 + e^2 n^2 f^2 \left(\frac{1}{er} - A\right)^2 + 2U(f^2) \right\} r dr. \quad (4.1.22)$$

For small r easy calculation yields the leading behavior

$$\begin{aligned} f &= f_n r^{|n|} + \frac{(-\kappa\lambda + e|n|(e - 2\kappa b_0)f_n}{4(|n| + 1)^2} r^{|n|+2} + O(r^{|n|+4}), \\ A &= \frac{e}{2|n|\kappa} r + \frac{ef_n^2}{2|n|(|n| + 1)} r^{2|n|+1} + O(r^{2|n|+3}), \\ A_0 &= b_0 - \frac{ef_n^2}{2\kappa|n|} r^{2|n|} + O(r^{2|n|+2}), \end{aligned} \quad (4.1.23)$$

where f_n and $b_0 = A_0(0)$ are free parameters.

Due to the asymptotic conditions (4.1.13), our solution tends, at infinity, to the symmetry-breaking vacuum (4.1.9),

$$f(r) \rightarrow 1, \quad A_0 \rightarrow 0, \quad A - \frac{1}{er} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty. \quad (4.1.24)$$

The large- r behavior reveals some surprises, however [26, 28]. Setting,

$$f = 1 - \varphi, \quad a = A - \frac{1}{er}, \quad A_0 = a_0, \quad (4.1.25)$$

yields indeed the system

$$\varphi'' + \frac{\varphi}{r} - 2\lambda\varphi - 2ena_0 = 0, \quad (4.1.26)$$

$$a'_0 - \frac{e^2}{\kappa}a = 0, \quad (4.1.27)$$

$$a' + \frac{a}{r} + \frac{2e}{n\kappa}\varphi = 0. \quad (4.1.28)$$

The first surprise is that the scalar field equation does *not* decouple. The reason is that while, in (2.1.7), the term *quadratic* in A_0 could be dropped, the one here is *linear* in a_0 , and must be kept therefore. The system (4.1.26-4.1.27-4.1.28) can, nevertheless, be solved exactly [28]. Our previous experience tells us that the scalar field and the time component of the vector potential behave similarly. Let us assume, therefore, that they are proportional,

$$2ena_0 = -C\varphi, \quad (4.1.29)$$

where C is some constant [Sign and coefficients have been chosen for later convenience]. Inserting this Ansatz into (4.1.26) yields, once again, Bessel's equation of order zero,

$$\varphi'' + \frac{\varphi}{r} - (2\lambda - C)\varphi = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \varphi \propto K_0(\sqrt{2\lambda - C}r). \quad (4.1.30)$$

Next, deriving (4.1.29) and inserting into (4.1.27) allows us to express a through φ' ,

$$a = -\frac{\kappa C}{2e^3 n} \varphi'. \quad (4.1.31)$$

Deriving once more and inserting into the last equation, (4.1.28), yields

$$\varphi'' + \frac{\varphi}{r} - \frac{4e^4}{\kappa^2 C} \varphi = 0,$$

which is consistent with (4.1.30), provided

$$\frac{4e^4}{\kappa^2 C} = 2\lambda - C \quad \Rightarrow \quad C = \lambda \pm \sqrt{\lambda^2 - \frac{4e^4}{\kappa^2}}. \quad (4.1.32)$$

Hence

$$\varphi(r) \propto K_0(\sqrt{\mu}r), \quad \mu = \lambda \mp \sqrt{\lambda^2 - \frac{4e^4}{\kappa^2}}. \quad (4.1.33)$$

Then a_0 is again a Bessel function of order zero, due to (4.1.29). Using $K_0' = -K_1$, (4.1.31) allows us to conclude that

$$a(r) \propto K_1(\sqrt{\mu}r). \quad (4.1.34)$$

Remarkably, the single parameter μ controls the large- r behavior of *all* fields. This follows from the field equations. (4.1.12) shows that the magnetic and the scalar fields must decay in the same way; then (4.1.10) extends this property to the time component²⁸. Remember that in our previous models, all fields drop off with the same characteristic length in the self-dual case only.

Physically, $\sqrt{\mu}$ is the mass parameter. We have now two possibilities:

²⁸ In contrast, the Gauss law in the relativistic pure CS model of Jackiw-(Lee)-Weinberg, (2.2.7), involves both A_0 and ψ , allowing for different decay rates of the fields.

1. If

$$\lambda \geq \frac{2e^2}{|\kappa|} , \quad (4.1.35)$$

the quantity below the inner square root in (4.1.33) is non-negative, so that μ is real and is in fact positive, due to our assumption $\lambda \geq 0$. It is, hence, the mass of the fields. The latter takes, however, two values, corresponding to the two signs,

$$m_{\pm} = \sqrt{\mu_{\pm}} = \sqrt{\lambda \mp \sqrt{\lambda^2 - \frac{4e^4}{\kappa^2}}} . \quad (4.1.36)$$

The field decays as

$$f(r) \sim \frac{c}{\sqrt{r}} e^{-m_{\pm} r} . \quad (4.1.37)$$

Thus we have, just like in the (relativistic) Paul-Khare case, *mass splitting* – except when the second square root is zero, so that $m_+ = m_-$. Remarkably, this happens precisely in the critical case $\lambda = \lambda_{SD}$, see below.

2. If, however,

$$0 \leq \lambda < \frac{2e^2}{|\kappa|} , \quad (4.1.38)$$

then μ takes two (conjugate) complex values, and

$$\sqrt{\mu} = \sqrt{\frac{e^2}{|\kappa|} + \frac{\lambda}{2}} \mp i \sqrt{\frac{e^2}{|\kappa|} - \frac{\lambda}{2}} . \quad (4.1.39)$$

The (positive) real part yields the physical mass,

$$m = \sqrt{\frac{e^2}{|\kappa|} + \frac{\lambda}{2}} . \quad (4.1.40)$$

For complex values of its argument, the Bessel function oscillates, with the imaginary part of $\sqrt{\mu}$ as frequency. Taking a real combination,

$$f(r) \sim \frac{c}{\sqrt{r}} e^{-mr} \cos \left[\left(\frac{e^2}{|\kappa|} - \frac{\lambda}{2} \right)^{1/2} r + (\text{shift}) \right] . \quad (4.1.41)$$

3. At the critical value

$$\lambda = \lambda_{SD} = \frac{2e^2}{|\kappa|} , \quad (4.1.42)$$

all masses coincide,

$$m^2 = m_{SD}^2 = \frac{2e^2}{|\kappa|} . \quad (4.1.43)$$

This is the point when real mass splitting becomes oscillation.

Numerical calculations [28] (see also [26, 27]) confirm these investigations – up to mass splitting, which seems to be absent. Are both masses physical when $\lambda \neq \lambda_{SD}$? The situation is not entirely clear, but it may well happen that, like in the Paul-Khare case, only one of the masses can arise for finite-energy, regular solutions.

The oscillatory behavior is confirmed numerically, see Fig. 17.




Figure 17: *ZHK vortices*. (a) $\lambda = 0$ and $n=1$; (b) $\lambda = 0$ and $n=-1$; (c) $\lambda = \lambda_{SD}$ and $n=1$ (*SD case*) (d) $\lambda = \lambda_{SD}$ and $n=-1$. The heavy/dashed/solid lines refer to the scalar/magnetic/electric fields.

In the range (4.1.38) of the coupling constant, the solution indeed oscillates. The relation [96],

$$B \leq 1 - |\Psi|^2, \quad (4.1.44)$$

which implies $|\Psi| \leq 1$ and hence the Meissner effect, is not more valid here, and we may have, in particular, a “shoulder” when λ is below the critical value, see Fig. 17.

Another surprise is the *asymmetry* in the winding number : solutions with n and $-n$ may behave substantially differently. Donatis and Iengo [106] attribute this to the breaking of chiral symmetry, $P(T)$, by the Chern-Simons term. Changing n into $(-n)$ amounts to performing a parity transformation in the plane,

$$\theta \rightarrow \pi - \theta, \quad (4.1.45)$$

which does *not* leave the Chern-Simons term invariant. The asymmetry in the winding number is manifest when the coupling constant takes the particular value $\lambda = \lambda_{SD}$. Then, choosing the right sign of n , we have the *self-dual* solution constructed below and shown on Fig. 17 (c). Numerical integration confirms that its energy is $\mathcal{E}_c \approx \pi$, consistently with analytical proof presented below. Choosing the “wrong” sign for n , however, we get some other, *non-self dual* solution, Fig. 17 (d), whose energy, obtained again by numerical integration, is *substantially higher*, $\mathcal{E}_d \approx 8.670$ ²⁹.

²⁹For completeness, we give the energies of the other numerical solutions in Fig. 17 : $\mathcal{E}_a \approx 1.556$ and $\mathcal{E}_b \approx 7.611$.

Self-dual solutions

The critical value (4.1.42) which separates the simple-exponential-decay regime from the oscillating one, has particular interest. To see this, let us consider the energy, (4.1.7). Remember the identity (3.1.20), i.e.,

$$|\vec{D}\psi|^2 = |(D_1 \pm iD_2)\psi|^2 \pm eB \varrho \pm \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{j}.$$

Dropping the surface term and using the Gauss law, the energy density can be written, therefore, as

$$\frac{1}{2}|(D_1 \pm iD_2)\psi|^2 + \frac{\kappa^2}{4e^2} \left(\lambda \pm \frac{2e^2}{\kappa} \right) B^2 \pm \frac{1}{2}eB. \quad (4.1.46)$$

The integral of the last term is proportional to the *total flux*,

$$\frac{1}{2}e \int B d^2\vec{x} = \frac{1}{2}e \Phi^{(total)},$$

assumed to be finite. By the Gauss law, this is also proportional to the *vortex number* (4.1.15),

$$\mathcal{N} = \int (\rho - 1) d^2\vec{x} = \frac{\kappa}{e} \Phi^{(total)}.$$

Note that the sign of \mathcal{N} is the sign of the flux, multiplied by the sign of κ .

For the specific value

$$\lambda = \mp \frac{2e^2}{\kappa},$$

the B^2 term drops out, leaving us with

$$\mathcal{E} = \underbrace{\int \frac{1}{2}|(D_1 \pm iD_2)\psi|^2 d^2\vec{x}}_{\geq 0} \pm \frac{1}{2}e \Phi^{(total)}. \quad (4.1.47)$$

Thus, we get two lower bounds: one of them positive, the other negative. Since the energy is non-negative, only the positive one is relevant here; the other one can obviously not be saturated. Which is the “good” choice of sign? The one we get with the upper sign for positive flux, and the one with the lower sign when the flux is negative. But by (4.1.14) this depends on the winding number, n .

Hence, while numerical evidence (Fig. 17 (d)) suggests that, for given κ , vortex solutions *do* exist for all winding numbers, only *one* of them supports a SD (or ASD) solution, which minimizes the energy [106]. Vortices and antivortices behave, hence, differently [106].

The coefficient λ of the symmetry-breaking potential should be non-negative, whereas the Chern-Simons coupling constant can be negative or positive. The correct choice to suppress the B^2 term in (4.1.46) is, therefore,

$$\lambda = \lambda_{SD} = \frac{2e^2}{|\kappa|} = \begin{cases} \frac{2e^2}{\kappa} & \text{for } \kappa < 0, \\ -\frac{2e^2}{\kappa} & \text{for } \kappa > 0. \end{cases} \quad (4.1.48)$$

which is, once again, the critical value (4.1.42). With such a choice, the energy has a positive Bogomol’ny bound,

$$\mathcal{E} \geq \mathcal{E}_{Bog} = \frac{1}{2}e|\Phi| = \pi e|n|, \quad (4.1.49)$$

which is attained for SD/ASD fields,

$$\begin{cases} D_+\psi = 0, & n > 0 \quad \text{for } \kappa < 0, \\ D_-\psi = 0, & n < 0 \quad \text{for } \kappa > 0. \end{cases} \quad (4.1.50)$$

So far, we minimized the energy. But do we get solutions ? To see this, we have to check the static field equations, (4.1.10)-(4.1.11)-(4.1.12).

The last of these equations is precisely the Gauss law, which has to be required as a constraint.

The first one is written, in the SD case, using the identity (3.1.30), i.e.,

$$\overline{D}^2 = D_-D_+ - eB = D_+D_- + eB,$$

as,

$$\left[eA_t + \frac{1}{2}(\mp eB + \frac{2e^2}{|\kappa|}(1 - \varrho)) \right] \psi = 0;$$

with the upper/lower sign for $\kappa < 0$ and $\kappa > 0$, respectively. Using once again the Gauss law, this fixes the time component of the total vector potential as ³⁰,

$$A_t = \frac{e}{2|\kappa|}(\varrho - 1). \quad (4.1.51)$$

But now the current is, by (3.1.29),

$$\vec{j} = \pm \frac{e}{2} \vec{\nabla} \times \varrho,$$

so that (4.1.11) is also satisfied.

In conclusion, self-dual vortices are solutions of

$$\boxed{\begin{cases} D_1\psi \pm iD_2\psi & = 0, \\ B \pm \frac{e}{|\kappa|}(|\psi|^2 - 1) & = 0, \end{cases}} \quad (4.1.52)$$

with the upper or lower sign chosen to be the opposite of that of κ .

These equations are, up to a numerical factor, precisely the SD ‘‘Bogomol’ny’’ equations in the Abelian Higgs model [80], and can be treated in exactly the same way. The density, $\varrho = |\psi|^2$, satisfies, in particular, the Liouville-type equation

$$\boxed{\Delta \log \varrho = \frac{2e^2}{|\kappa|}(\varrho - 1).} \quad (4.1.53)$$

Note that the coefficient in front of the r.h.s. is precisely the critical value λ_0 in (4.1.42), which separates the oscillatory regime from the (real)-mass-splitting regime.

For $|\kappa| = 2$ the SD solutions of the ZHK model are, in fact, imbedded solutions of the Abelian Higgs model. Weinberg’s Theorem [82] is still valid, so that for topological charge n (of the correct sign), the system admits a $2|n|$ -parameter family of (A)SD solutions.

³⁰ Note that (4.1.51) is consistent with the Ansatz (4.1.29).

4.2 Non-relativistic Maxwell-Chern-Simons Vortices

Generalizing previous work [107, 108, 109], Manton [110, 111] proposed a modified version of the Landau-Ginzburg model of Type II superconductivity. His Lagrange density is a subtle mixture, blended from the usual Landau-Ginzburg expression and augmented with the Chern-Simons term ³¹,

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}B^2 - \gamma\frac{i}{2}(\Psi^*D_t\Psi - \Psi(D_t\Psi)^*) + \frac{1}{2}|\vec{D}\Psi|^2 + \frac{\lambda}{4}(1 - |\Psi|^2)^2 - \mu(BA_t + E_2A_1 - E_1A_2) + \gamma A_t + \vec{A} \cdot \vec{J}^T, \quad (4.2.1)$$

where $\mu, \gamma > 0, \lambda > 0$ are constants, the $D_\alpha\Psi = (\partial_\alpha - iA_\alpha)\Psi$ are the covariant derivatives, $B = \partial_1A_2 - \partial_2A_1$ is the magnetic field and $\vec{E} = \vec{\nabla}A_t - \partial_t\vec{A}$ the electric field.

This Lagrangian has the usual symmetry-breaking quartic potential, but differs from the Zhang et al. expression in that it also contains a magnetic Maxwell term, $B^2/2$. The Maxwellian electric term, $-\vec{E}^2/2$ is missing, however. This stems from the requirement of Galilean invariance. It also involves the terms γA_t and $\vec{A} \cdot \vec{J}^T$, where \vec{J}^T is the (constant) transport current. The term γA_t represents the coupling to a uniform background electric charge density γ . The term $\vec{A} \cdot \vec{J}^T$ then restores the Galilean invariance, assuming that the transport current transforms, under a Galilei boost, as [110, 93],

$$\vec{J}^T \rightarrow \vec{J}^T + \gamma\vec{v}. \quad (4.2.2)$$

The field equations, derived from (4.2.1), read

$$i\gamma D_t\Psi = -\frac{1}{2}\vec{D}^2\Psi - \frac{\lambda}{2}(1 - |\Psi|^2)\Psi, \quad (4.2.3)$$

$$\epsilon_{ij}\partial_j B = J_i - J_i^T + 2\mu\epsilon_{ij}E_j, \quad (4.2.4)$$

$$2\mu B = \gamma(1 - |\Psi|^2), \quad (4.2.5)$$

where the (super)current is $\vec{J} = (1/2i)(\Psi^*\vec{D}\Psi - \Psi(\vec{D}\Psi)^*)$. It follows that,

- The matter field satisfies a gauged, planar non-linear Schrödinger equation.
- The second equation is Ampère's law without the displacement current, as usual in the “magnetic-type” Galilean electricity [69].
- The last equation is the Gauss law, modified due to the inclusion of the background charge density term γA_t .

Under appropriate conditions, the static, second-order field equations of the Abelian Higgs model can be solved by solving instead first-order “Bogomol’ny” equations. Below we show that this is also true in the Manton case.

Let us first discuss the finite-energy conditions. In the frame where $\vec{J}^T = 0$, which can always be arranged by a suitable boost, (4.2.2), the energy associated with the Lagrangian (4.2.1) is [93]

$$\mathcal{E} = \int \left\{ \frac{1}{2}B^2 + \frac{1}{2}|\vec{D}\Psi|^2 + U(\Psi) \right\} d^2\vec{x}, \quad U(\Psi) = \frac{\lambda}{4}(1 - |\Psi|^2)^2. \quad (4.2.6)$$

³¹Redefining the coordinates as $t/\gamma \rightarrow t', \gamma A_t \rightarrow A'_t$, the Lagrangian (4.2.1) can be presented as

$$\frac{1}{2}B^2 - \frac{i}{2}(\Psi^*D_t\Psi - \Psi(D_t\Psi)^*) + \frac{1}{2}|\vec{D}\Psi|^2 + \frac{\lambda}{4}(1 - |\Psi|^2)^2 + \mu\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}A_\mu\partial_\nu A_\lambda + A^\mu J_\mu^T,$$

where $J_\mu^T = (1, \vec{J}^T)$. This form shows that the Manton model is the nonrelativistic version of that Paul and Khare (Section 2.1), except for the source term. Below, we use the notations of Manton in Ref. [110], however.

Eliminating the magnetic term $B^2/2$, using the Gauss law (4.2.5), results in a mere shift of the coefficient of the non-linear term, cf. (4.2.7) ³²,

$$\mathcal{E} = \int \left\{ \frac{1}{2} |\vec{D}\Psi|^2 + \frac{\Lambda}{4} (1 - |\Psi|^2)^2 \right\} d^2\vec{x}, \quad \Lambda = \lambda + \frac{\gamma^2}{2\mu^2}. \quad (4.2.8)$$

Finite energy “requires”, just like in the Landau-Ginzburg case,

$$\vec{D}\Psi \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad |\Psi|^2 \rightarrow 1. \quad (4.2.9)$$

Hence, we get *topological vortices* : the asymptotic values of the scalar field provide us, once again, with a mapping from the circle at infinity S into the vacuum manifold $|\Psi|^2 = 1$. i.e., $\Psi|_{\infty} : S \rightarrow S^1$.

The first of the equations in (4.2.9) implies that the angular component of the vector potential behaves asymptotically as n/r . The integer n here is also the winding number of the mapping defined by the asymptotic values of Ψ into the unit circle,

$$n = \frac{1}{2\pi} \oint_S \vec{A} \cdot d\vec{\ell} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int B d^2\vec{x}. \quad (4.2.10)$$

The magnetic flux is, therefore, quantized and is related to the (conserved) particle or vortex number (See the previous Section),

$$\mathcal{N} \equiv \int (|\Psi|^2 - 1) d^2\vec{x} = -\frac{2\mu}{\gamma} \int B d^2\vec{x} = -4\pi \left(\frac{\mu}{\gamma}\right) n, \quad (4.2.11)$$

according to (4.2.5).

Self-dual Maxwell-Chern-Simons vortices

Conventional Landau-Ginzburg theory admits finite-energy, static, purely magnetic vortex solutions. For a specific value of the coupling constant, one can find purely magnetic vortex solutions by solving instead the first-order “Bogomol’ny” equations,

$$\begin{aligned} (D_1 \pm iD_2)\Psi &= 0, \\ 2B &= 1 - |\Psi|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.2.12)$$

In the frame where $\vec{J}^T = 0$ the static Manton equations read

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma A_t \Psi &= -\frac{1}{2} \vec{D}^2 \Psi - \frac{\lambda}{2} (1 - |\Psi|^2) \Psi, \\ \vec{\nabla} \times B &= \vec{J} + 2\mu \vec{\nabla} \times A_t, \\ 2\mu B &= \gamma (1 - |\Psi|^2). \end{aligned} \quad (4.2.13)$$

The Gauss law here is only slightly different from that in (4.2.12). Manton [110]-[111] observes that, for some specific choice of the parameters, solutions of the Abelian-Higgs SD

³²The discussion below could be simplified by observing that when shifting the coefficient of the potential as

$$\lambda \rightarrow \Lambda = \lambda + \frac{\gamma^2}{2\mu^2}, \quad (4.2.7)$$

the energy becomes essentially the one in the ZHK model, (4.1.7). To keep this section self-contained, we prefer not to follow this way.

equations, (2.2.44), also yield solutions to the equations, (4.2.13), of the modified model. Let us attempt, therefore, to solve these equations again by the first-order Ansatz

$$\begin{aligned} (D_1 \pm iD_2)\Psi &= 0, \\ 2\mu B &= \gamma(1 - |\Psi|^2). \end{aligned} \tag{4.2.14}$$

From the first of these relations we infer that

$$\vec{D}^2 = \mp i[D_1, D_2] = \mp B \quad \text{and} \quad \vec{J} = \mp \frac{1}{2} \vec{\nabla} \times \varrho,$$

where $\varrho = |\Psi|^2$. Inserting the latter into the non-linear Schrödinger equation, we find that it is identically satisfied when

$$A_t = \left(\pm \frac{1}{4\mu} - \frac{\lambda}{2\gamma}\right)(1 - \varrho).$$

Then, from Ampère's law, we get that λ has to be given by,

$$\lambda = \lambda_0 = \pm \frac{\gamma}{\mu} - \frac{\gamma^2}{2\mu^2}. \tag{4.2.15}$$

Thus, the scalar potential is,

$$A_t = \frac{1}{4\mu} \left(\mp 1 + \frac{\gamma}{\mu}\right) (1 - \varrho). \tag{4.2.16}$$

The vector potential is expressed, using the “self-dual” (SD) Ansatz (4.2.14), as

$$\vec{A} = \pm \frac{1}{2} \vec{\nabla} \times \log \varrho + \vec{\nabla} \omega, \tag{4.2.17}$$

where ω is an arbitrary real function, chosen so that \vec{A} is regular. Inserting this into the Gauss law, we end up with the “Liouville-type” equation

$$\Delta \log \varrho = \pm \alpha (\varrho - 1), \quad \alpha = \frac{\gamma}{\mu}. \tag{4.2.18}$$

Now, if we want a “confining” (stable) and bounded-from-below scalar potential, λ must be positive. Then we see from equation (4.2.15) that this means

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} 0 < \alpha < 2 \\ -2 < \alpha < 0 \end{array} \right. \quad \text{for the} \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{upper sign} \\ \text{lower sign} \end{array} \right. . \tag{4.2.19}$$

In both cases, the coefficient of $(\varrho - 1)$ on the r.h.s. of (4.2.18) is positive³³, so that (4.2.18) reads,

$$\Delta \log \varrho = |\alpha|(\varrho - 1). \tag{4.2.20}$$

Using this notation, the critical coupling reads

$$\lambda_{SD} = |\alpha| \left(1 - \frac{|\alpha|}{2}\right). \tag{4.2.21}$$

The solutions look exactly as in the Abelian Higgs case. They should be completed with the scalar potential, (4.2.16).

The magnetic field is derived from (4.2.17). Note that the electric field, $\vec{E} = -\vec{\nabla} A_t$, only vanishes for $\mu = \pm\gamma$, i.e., when $\lambda = 1/2$, which is Manton's case.

³³The coefficient in front of $(\varrho - 1)$ is $\Lambda_0 = \lambda_0 + \gamma^2/2\mu^2 = |\alpha|$, consistently with the one derived for the ZHK model in Section 4.1.

The self-duality equations (4.2.14) can also be obtained by studying the energy, (4.2.8). Using, once again, the identity

$$|\vec{D}\Psi|^2 = |(D_1 \pm iD_2)\Psi|^2 \pm B|\Psi|^2 \pm \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{J},$$

and assuming that the fields vanish at infinity, the integral of the current-term can be dropped, so that \mathcal{E} becomes

$$\int \left\{ \frac{1}{2} |(D_1 \pm iD_2)\Psi|^2 + \left[\left(\mp \frac{\gamma}{4\mu} + \frac{\Lambda}{4} \right) (1 - |\Psi|^2)^2 \right] \right\} d^2\vec{x} \pm \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \int B d^2\vec{x}}_{\pi n}, \quad (4.2.22)$$

thereby showing that the energy is positive definite when the square bracket vanishes, (i.e., for the special value (4.2.15) of λ). In this case, the energy admits a lower ‘‘Bogomol’ny’’ bound, $\mathcal{E} \geq \pi|n|$, with equality only attained when the SD equations hold.

Equation (4.2.20) is essentially that of Bogomol’ny in the Landau-Ginzburg theory [80], to which it reduces when $|\alpha| = 1$. The proofs of Weinberg [82], and of Taubes [94, 96], carry over literally, showing that, for each n , the *existence of a $2|n|$ -parameter family of solutions*.

Radial solutions can be studied numerically [108, 109], along the same lines as in the Abelian Higgs and the ZHK models, respectively.

Just like the ZHK model, that of Manton can also be viewed as one in an external field. The clue is to observe that setting,

$$\mathcal{B} \equiv -\frac{\gamma}{2\mu}, \quad \mathcal{E}_k = -\frac{\epsilon_{kl} J^T_l}{2\mu}, \quad (4.2.23)$$

transforms the Manton equations of motion (4.2.3-4.2.4-4.2.5) into

$$\begin{aligned} i\gamma \mathcal{D}_t \Psi &= -\frac{1}{2} \vec{\mathcal{D}}^2 \Psi - \frac{\lambda}{4} (1 - |\Psi|^2) \Psi, \\ \epsilon_{ij} \partial_j b &= J_i + 2\mu \epsilon_{ij} e_j, \\ 2\mu b &= -\gamma |\Psi|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (4.2.24)$$

where

$$b = B + \mathcal{B}, \quad e_i = E_i + \mathcal{E}_i, \quad \mathcal{D}_\alpha = \partial_\alpha - i(a_\alpha - \mathcal{A}_\alpha). \quad (4.2.25)$$

These equations describe a non-relativistic scalar field with Maxwell-Chern-Simons dynamics and a symmetry-breaking quartic potential, placed into a constant, external electromagnetic field. For details, and for a discussion of the Manton model and its relation to other similar situations, [108, 109], the reader is referred to [93].

It is worth mentioning that the Manton model can also be obtained as the non-relativistic limit of a suitable relativistic Maxwell-Chern-Simons model [93]. The latter must, however, contain an auxiliary neutral field, as found previously for other relativistic models [113, 114, 115].

Radial vortices in the Manton model for the critical coupling $\lambda = \lambda_{SD}$ in (4.2.21) can be easily analyzed. Linearizing the Liouville-type equation (4.2.20), we get for the deviation from the vacuum value, $\varphi = 1 - f$, Bessel’s equation of order zero,

$$\varphi'' + \frac{1}{r} \varphi' - |\alpha| \varphi = 0, \quad (4.2.26)$$

The solution, and its asymptotic behavior, are, therefore

$$1 - \varphi(r) \sim 1 - K_0(mr) \sim 1 - \frac{C}{\sqrt{r}} e^{-mr}, \quad m = \sqrt{|\alpha|}. \quad (4.2.27)$$

The Higgs and the magnetic field behave as in the Abelian Higgs model; the (radial) electric field is

$$E_r = \frac{\alpha \mp 1}{4\mu} \frac{d}{dr} (1 - \varphi)^2. \quad (4.2.28)$$

It is, however, more convenient to study the first-order equations. For the radial Ansatz $a_r = 0$, $a_\theta = a(r)$, the self-duality equations read,

$$f' = \pm \frac{n+a}{r} f, \quad \frac{a'}{r} = \pm 2(f^2 - 1). \quad (4.2.29)$$

For small r , we get

$$f(r) \sim \beta r^{|n|}, \quad a \sim \mp r^2, \quad (4.2.30)$$

where β is some real parameter. The large- r behavior (4.2.27) of the scalar field is confirmed and for the magnetic and electric fields we get,

$$B = \frac{\alpha}{2} (1 - f^2) \sim \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{r}} e^{-mr}, \quad (4.2.31)$$

$$\vec{E} = \frac{1}{4\mu} (\alpha \mp 1) \vec{\nabla} f^2 \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} e^{-mr} \frac{\vec{x}}{r}. \quad (4.2.32)$$

Off the SD case, an analysis similar to that in Section 4.1 would suggest to find both oscillatory as well as purely exponential solutions, depending on the value of λ .

To conclude this Section, we mention that further aspects of Maxwell-Chern-Simons vortices are discussed in Refs. [112, 113, 114, 115].

4.3 Time-dependent Jackiw-Pi Vortices in External Field

The static, non-relativistic non-topological ‘‘Jackiw-Pi’’ solitons, studied in Section 3.1 above, can be ‘‘exported’’ to construct *time-dependent vortex solutions in a constant external magnetic field* \mathcal{B} [116, 117, 118, 119]. To this end, consider the non-relativistic Zhang et al. Lagrangian (1.3.21) in a background magnetic field \mathcal{B} , but with the symmetry breaking potential, $\frac{\lambda}{4}(1 - |\Psi|^2)^2$, replaced by the symmetric one, $-\frac{\lambda}{2}|\Psi|^4$, of the Jackiw-Pi model (3.1.6),

$$\frac{1}{i} \Psi^* (\partial_t - i(a_t - \mathcal{A}_t)) \Psi + \frac{1}{2} |(\vec{\nabla} - ie(\vec{a} - \vec{\mathcal{A}})) \Psi|^2 - \frac{\lambda}{2} |\Psi|^4 - \frac{\kappa}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\sigma} a_\mu \partial_\nu a_\sigma, \quad (4.3.1)$$

where a_α and \mathcal{A}_α are the ‘‘statistical’’ and background vector potential, respectively ³⁴.

Let us assume that the background magnetic field \mathcal{B} is constant, so that we may choose $\mathcal{A}_0 = 0$ and $\mathcal{A}_i = -\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ij} x^j \mathcal{B}$. The equations of motion are

$$i(\partial_t - i(a_t - \mathcal{A}_t)) \Psi = \left[-\frac{1}{2} (\vec{\nabla} - i(\vec{a} - \vec{\mathcal{A}}))^2 - \lambda |\Psi|^2 \right] \Psi, \quad (4.3.2)$$

supplemented with the field-current identities,

$$\kappa b = \varrho, \quad \kappa e_i = \epsilon_{ij} j_j, \quad (4.3.3)$$

³⁴We use units where $e = m = 1$.

where density and current are the familiar expressions $\varrho = \Psi^* \Psi$, and $\vec{j} = \frac{1}{2i} [\Psi^* \vec{D} \Psi - \Psi (\vec{D} \Psi)^*]$, respectively, and the covariant derivative involves the total gauge field, $\vec{D} = \vec{\nabla} - i(\vec{a} - \vec{A})$, cf. (4.1.4). The density and current always satisfy the continuity equation, $\partial_t \varrho + \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{J} = 0$, so that the mass (3.1.10),

$$\mathcal{M} = \int \varrho d^2 \vec{x},$$

is conserved (provided the integral converges). By (4.3.3), this is equivalent to requiring the *statistical flux*,

$$\Phi^{(stat)} = \int b d^2 \vec{x} = \frac{1}{\kappa} \mathcal{M}, \quad (4.3.4)$$

to converge³⁵.

Unexpectedly, the full time-dependent equations can also be solved *exactly*, namely by applying an appropriate coordinate transformation to a “free” solution, Ψ^0 and a_α^0 [i.e., the one with $\mathcal{B} = 0$], studied in Section 3.2, [27, 116, 118, 119]. We have³⁶,

$$\Psi(t, \vec{x}) = \frac{1}{\cos \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{B} t} \exp \left\{ -i \mathcal{B} \frac{r^2}{4} \tan \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{B} t \right\} \Psi^0(\vec{X}, T), \quad (4.3.5)$$

$$a_\alpha = \frac{\partial X^\beta}{\partial x^\alpha} a_\beta^0, \quad (4.3.6)$$

with

$$\vec{X} = \frac{1}{\cos \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{B} t} R(\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{B} t) \vec{x}, \quad T = \frac{2}{\mathcal{B}} \tan \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{B} t, \quad (4.3.7)$$

where

$$R(\alpha) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \alpha & \sin \alpha \\ -\sin \alpha & \cos \alpha \end{pmatrix} \quad (4.3.8)$$

is the matrix of a planar rotation by angle α .

The solution admits a nice physical interpretation. Let $\Psi^0(\vec{x})$, $a_\alpha^0(\vec{x})$ be, for example, the radially symmetric static Jackiw-Pi solution (3.2.3). Then the “exported” time-dependent solution (4.3.5) is “breathing”, i.e. has a periodically oscillating radius,

$$|\Psi(\vec{x}, t)|^2 = \frac{1}{\cos^2(\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{B} t)}. \quad (4.3.9)$$

More generally, we can consider the shifted JP solution,

$$\Psi^0(\vec{X} - 2\vec{R}_0), \quad a_\alpha^0(\vec{X} - 2\vec{R}_0),$$

with center at $2\vec{R}_0$, and “export” it using (4.3.5). The new soliton will be centered at

$$2\vec{r}(t) = 2 \cos(\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{B} t) \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{B} t) & -\sin(\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{B} t) \\ \sin(\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{B} t) & \cos(\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{B} t) \end{pmatrix} \vec{R}_0 = \vec{R}_0 - R(-\mathcal{B} t) \vec{R}_0, \quad (4.3.10)$$

³⁵ Remember that, in the topological case, it is rather the vortex number, $\mathcal{N} = \int (\varrho - 1) d^2 \vec{x}$ in (4.1.15), which is required to be finite.

³⁶The formulae in [118] also contain, as a result of gauge fixing, an additional factor, which involves the vortex number.

which effectuates, therefore, a *cyclotronic* motion with radius R_0 around \vec{R}_0 and frequency $\omega = \mathcal{B}$. It will be also “breathing” according to (4.3.5).

This construction can be understood by considering the following sequence of transformations.

- Let us start with the problem in a background magnetic field \mathcal{B} , (4.3.2) with the background potential,

$$\vec{\mathcal{A}} = -\frac{\mathcal{B}}{2}\epsilon_{ij}r^j, \quad \mathcal{A}_0 = 0. \quad (4.3.11)$$

Consider the time-dependent rotation with angle $\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{B}t$,

$$\vec{x} \rightarrow \vec{X}' = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{B}t) & \sin(\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{B}t) \\ -\sin(\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{B}t) & \cos(\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{B}t) \end{pmatrix}, \quad t \rightarrow T' = t, \quad (4.3.12)$$

implemented as,

$$\Psi(\vec{x}, t) = \Psi'(\vec{X}', T'), \quad a_\mu = a'_\nu \frac{\partial x'^\nu}{\partial X^\mu}, \quad (4.3.13)$$

cf. (4.3.6). This transformation carries a solution of the problem in the background magnetic field \mathcal{B} into one in an oscillator background with frequency $\omega = \mathcal{B}/2$.

- Consider the problem in an oscillator background with constant frequency ω for the fields (Ψ', a'_α) , with Lagrangian (4.3.2), where

$$\vec{\mathcal{A}}' = 0, \quad \mathcal{A}'_0 = \frac{1}{2}\omega^2 r^2. \quad (4.3.14)$$

Then the time-dependent dilatation,

$$\vec{x} \rightarrow \vec{X} = \frac{1}{\cos \omega t} \vec{x}, \quad t \rightarrow T = \frac{\tan \omega t}{\omega}, \quad (4.3.15)$$

implemented as

$$\Psi(\vec{x}, t) = \frac{1}{\cos \omega t} e^{-i\frac{\omega}{2}r^2 \tan \omega t} \Psi'(\vec{X}, T), \quad (4.3.16)$$

$$a_\mu = a'_\nu \frac{\partial x'^\nu}{\partial x'^\mu}, \quad (4.3.17)$$

(first considered by Niederer [120]), transforms the harmonic background problem into a “free” Jackiw-Pi problem (4.3.1).

Composing these two transformations yields (4.3.5-4.3.6-4.3.7), which removes the background gauge field altogether, leaving us with the “free” JP problem.

Conversely, the first step followed backwards yields in a harmonic, and in a constant, electric background [119]. Composing the two transformations backwards provides us with (4.3.5), which thus carries an *arbitrary* solution (Ψ^0, a_α^0) of the Jackiw-Pi model into a solution in a constant background magnetic field.

Note that both transformations can be generalized to the time-dependent cases $\omega = \omega(t)$ and $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}(t)$, respectively [121, 122].

The strange form of these transformations, which “export” the solution with $\mathcal{B} = 0$ to an external-field, will be explained in Section 6.

It is worth mentioning that, for the critical value

$$\lambda = \lambda_{SD} = \mp \frac{2}{\kappa},$$

of the coupling, the system also admits static, self-dual solutions such that the mass is finite [27]. They can be studied along the same lines as in Section 4.1 and are omitted here.

5 SPINOR VORTICES

5.1 Relativistic Spinor Vortices

In Ref. [42] Cho et al. obtain, by dimensional reduction from Minkowski space, a $(2 + 1)$ -dimensional system. After notational changes and simplification, their Lagrangian and equations, respectively, read

$$\mathcal{L}_{Cho} = \frac{\kappa}{4} \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma} A_\alpha F_{\beta\gamma} + \bar{\psi}_+ i\gamma_+^\alpha D_\alpha \psi + \bar{\psi}_- i\gamma_-^\alpha D_\alpha \psi_- - m(\bar{\psi}_+ \psi_+ + \bar{\psi}_- \psi_-), \quad (5.1.1)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2} \kappa \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma} F_{\beta\gamma} = -e(\bar{\psi}_+ \gamma_+^\alpha \psi_+ + \bar{\psi}_- \gamma_-^\alpha \psi_-), \quad (5.1.2)$$

$$(i\gamma_+^\alpha D_\alpha - m)\psi_+ = 0, \quad (5.1.3)$$

$$(i\gamma_-^\alpha D_\alpha - m)\psi_- = 0, \quad (5.1.4)$$

where the two sets of 2×2 Dirac matrices are,

$$(\gamma_\pm^\alpha) = (\pm\sigma_3, i\sigma_2, -i\sigma_1), \quad (5.1.5)$$

($c = 1$)³⁷. These equations describe two 2-spinor scalar fields, ψ_+ and ψ_- , interacting through the Chern-Simons FCI. Although the Dirac equations satisfied by the ψ_\pm are decoupled, the latter still coupled through the Chern-Simons equation, which involves the sum of the currents.

It is particularly interesting to search for *stationary* solutions. For

$$A_0 = 0, \quad \partial_t A_i = 0, \quad \psi_\pm = e^{-imt} \begin{pmatrix} F_\pm \\ G_\pm \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5.1.6)$$

where the F_\pm and G_\pm are time-independent, the *relativistic* system (5.1.2)-(5.1.3)-(5.1.4) becomes,

$$\kappa \varepsilon^{ij} \partial_i A_j = e(|F_+|^2 - |G_+|^2 - |F_-|^2 + |G_-|^2), \quad (5.1.7)$$

$$(D_1 + iD_2)G_+ = 0, \quad (5.1.8)$$

$$(D_1 - iD_2)F_+ = 2mG_+, \quad (5.1.9)$$

$$(D_1 - iD_2)F_- = 0, \quad (5.1.10)$$

$$(D_1 + iD_2)G_- = 2mF_-. \quad (5.1.11)$$

³⁷We use the convention

$$\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The ψ_\pm correspond, “before” dimensional reduction, to the chiral components of 4-component spinors - see the next Section.

These equations admit vortex solutions representing imbedded Jackiw-Pi vortices. Choosing purely chiral spinors with just one component,

$$\psi = \psi_+ = e^{-imt} \begin{pmatrix} F_+ \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad \psi = \psi_- = e^{-imt} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ G_- \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5.1.12)$$

the system (5.1.7)-(5.1.8)-(5.1.9)-(5.1.10)-(5.1.11) becomes,

$$\kappa \epsilon^{ij} \partial_i A_j = e |F_+|^2, \quad (D_1 - iD_2)F_+ = 0, \quad (5.1.13)$$

$$\kappa \epsilon^{ij} \partial_i A_j = e |G_-|^2, \quad (D_1 + iD_2)G_- = 0. \quad (5.1.14)$$

These equations are exactly to those, (3.1.34), which describe non-relativistic self-dual, scalar vortices in the Jackiw - Pi model [38, 39].

For further details, the reader is referred to the literature [42, 43].

5.2 Non-relativistic Spinor Vortices

Non-relativistic spinor vortices can also be constructed along the same lines [44, 45]. Following Lévy-Leblond [123], a non-relativistic spin $\frac{1}{2}$ field has 4-components, $\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi \\ \chi \end{pmatrix}$, where Φ and χ are two-component ‘‘Pauli’’ spinors, and satisfies the equations,

$$\begin{cases} (\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{D}) \Phi + 2m \chi = 0, \\ D_t \Phi + i(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{D}) \chi = 0. \end{cases} \quad (5.2.1)$$

We consider a 2 + 1 dimensional theory, and couple our spinors are to the Chern-Simons gauge field through the density $\varrho = |\Phi|^2$ ³⁸, as well as through the spatial components of the current,

$$\vec{J} = i(\Phi^\dagger \vec{\sigma} \chi - \chi^\dagger \vec{\sigma} \Phi), \quad (5.2.2)$$

according to the Chern-Simons equations, $\kappa \epsilon_{ik} E_k = e j^i$, $\kappa B = e \rho$. The chiral components of a 4-component Dirac spinor, ψ ,

$$\psi = \psi_+ + \psi_-, \quad \psi_\pm = \frac{1}{2}(1 \pm i\Gamma)\psi_\pm, \quad (5.2.3)$$

are eigenvectors of the chirality operator,

$$i\Gamma\psi_\pm = \pm\psi_\pm, \quad \text{where} \quad \Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} -i\sigma_3 & 0 \\ 0 & i\sigma_3 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5.2.4)$$

Note that Φ and χ in equation (5.2.1) are *not* the chiral components of ψ ; the latter are

$$\psi_+ = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \chi_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \psi_- = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \Phi_2 \\ \chi_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for} \quad \psi = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi \\ \chi \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_1 \\ \Phi_2 \\ \chi_1 \\ \chi_2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5.2.5)$$

It is easy to see that the equations (5.2.1) split into chiral components. Choosing either ψ_+ or ψ_- the equation (5.2.1) provides us with two uncoupled systems, each of which describes

³⁸The χ -component does *not* contribute to the density [123].

(in general different) physical phenomena in 2 + 1 dimensions. For the ease of presentation, we nevertheless keep all four components of ψ .

Now the “lower” χ can be eliminated using the upper equation in (5.2.1),

$$\chi = -\frac{1}{2m}(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{D})\Phi, \quad (5.2.6)$$

and everything can be expressed in terms of the “upper” component, Φ , alone. For example, the current can be written as,

$$\vec{J} = \frac{1}{2im}(\Phi^\dagger \vec{D}\Phi - (\vec{D}\Phi)^\dagger \Phi) + \vec{\nabla} \times \left(\frac{1}{2m} \Phi^\dagger \sigma_3 \Phi\right). \quad (5.2.7)$$

Using the identity

$$(\vec{D} \cdot \vec{\sigma})^2 = \vec{D}^2 + eB\sigma_3,$$

we find that the component-spinors satisfy

$$\begin{cases} iD_t\Phi &= -\frac{1}{2m}[\vec{D}^2 + eB\sigma_3]\Phi, \\ iD_t\chi &= -\frac{1}{2m}[\vec{D}^2 + eB\sigma_3]\chi - \frac{e}{2m}(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{E})\Phi. \end{cases} \quad (5.2.8)$$

Thus, Φ solves a “Pauli equation”, while χ couples through the term $\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{E}$. Expressing \vec{E} and B through the Chern-Simons equations (3.1.8-3.1.9), and inserting them into our equations, we get, finally,

$$\begin{cases} iD_t\Phi &= \left[-\frac{1}{2m}\vec{D}^2 + \frac{e^2}{2m\kappa}|\Phi|^2\sigma_3\right]\Phi, \\ iD_t\chi &= \left[-\frac{1}{2m}\vec{D}^2 + \frac{e^2}{2m\kappa}|\Phi|^2\sigma_3\right]\chi - \frac{e^2}{2m\kappa}(\vec{\sigma} \times \vec{J})\Phi. \end{cases} \quad (5.2.9)$$

We emphasize that the “lower” component, χ , is not an independent physical field : owing to (5.2.6), it is a mere auxiliary field, determined by the “upper” component Φ .

If the chirality of ψ is restricted to +1 (or -1), this system describes non-relativistic spin $s = +\frac{1}{2}$ (resp. $s = -\frac{1}{2}$) fields, interacting with a Chern-Simons gauge field. Leaving the chirality of ψ unspecified, it describes *two* spinor fields of spin $\pm\frac{1}{2}$, interacting with each other and the Chern-Simons gauge field.

By (5.2.6), it is enough to solve the Φ -equation. For

$$\Phi_+ = \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_+ \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi_- = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \Psi_- \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5.2.10)$$

respectively, [which amounts to working with the \pm chirality components], the Pauli equation in (5.2.9) reduces to

$$iD_t\Psi_\pm = \left[-\frac{\vec{D}^2}{2m} \pm \lambda(\Psi_\pm^\dagger \Psi_\pm)\right]\Psi_\pm, \quad \lambda \equiv \frac{e^2}{2m\kappa}. \quad (5.2.11)$$

This is again (3.1.7), but with non-linearity $\pm\lambda$, *half* of the special value λ in (3.1.21), used by Jackiw and Pi. For this reason, our solutions (presented below) will be *purely magnetic*, ($A_t \equiv 0$), as in the Abelian Higgs model and unlike as in the case of Jackiw and Pi.

In detail, let us consider the static system,

$$\begin{cases} \left[-\frac{1}{2m}(\vec{D}^2 + eB\sigma_3) - eA_t \right] \Phi = 0, \\ \vec{J} = -\frac{\kappa}{e} \vec{\nabla} \times A_t, \\ \kappa B = -e\rho, \end{cases} \quad (5.2.12)$$

and try the first-order Ansatz

$$(D_1 \pm iD_2)\Phi = 0, \quad (5.2.13)$$

which allows us to replace $\vec{D}^2 = D_1^2 + D_2^2$ by $\mp eB$, so that the first equation in (5.2.12) can be written as

$$\left[\frac{1}{2m} eB(\mp 1 + \sigma_3) + eA_t \right] \Phi = 0, \quad (5.2.14)$$

while the current is

$$\vec{J} = \frac{1}{2m} \vec{\nabla} \times \left[\Phi^\dagger (\mp 1 + \sigma_3) \Phi \right]. \quad (5.2.15)$$

It is readily seen from equation (5.2.14) that any solution has a definite chirality, and choosing $\Phi \equiv \Phi_+$ ($\Phi \equiv \Phi_-$) for the upper (lower) cases, respectively, makes $(-1 + \sigma_3)\Phi$ (resp. $(1 + \sigma_3)\Phi$), and thus also the current, \vec{J} , vanish. The two upper equations in (5.2.12) are, therefore, satisfied with $A_t = 0$.

The remaining task is to solve the first-order conditions,

$$(D_1 + iD_2)\Psi_+ = 0, \quad \text{or} \quad (D_1 - iD_2)\Psi_- = 0, \quad (5.2.16)$$

which is done in the same way as before :

$$\vec{A} = \pm \frac{1}{2e} \vec{\nabla} \times \log \rho + \vec{\nabla} \omega, \quad \Delta \log \rho = \pm \frac{2e^2}{\kappa} \rho. \quad (5.2.17)$$

A normalizable solution is obtained for Ψ_+ when $\kappa < 0$, and for Ψ_- when $\kappa > 0$. (These correspond to attractive non-linearity in equation (5.2.11)). The lower components vanish in both cases, as seen from the χ -equation (5.2.6). Both solutions only involve *one* of the 2 + 1 dimensional spinor fields ψ_\pm , depending on the sign of κ .

As a consequence of self-duality, our solutions have *vanishing energy*, just like their scalar counterparts in Ref. [39].

The physical properties such as symmetries and conserved quantities can be conveniently studied by noting that our equations are, in fact, obtained by variation of a 2 + 1-dimensional action [44, 45], $\int d^3x \mathcal{L}$, with,

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{\kappa}{4} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} A_\mu F_{\nu\rho} + \quad (5.2.18)$$

$$\Im \left\{ [\psi_+^\dagger (\Sigma_+^t D_t + \Sigma_+^i D_i - 2im\Sigma_+^m) \psi_+] + [\psi_-^\dagger (\Sigma_-^t D_t + \Sigma_-^i D_i - 2im\Sigma_-^m) \psi_-] \right\}$$

where, with some abuse of notation, we identified the chiral components ψ_\pm with 2-component spinors and introduced the 2×2 matrices

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_+^t = \Sigma_-^t &= \frac{1}{2}(1 + \sigma_3), & \Sigma_+^m = \Sigma_-^m &= \frac{1}{2}(1 - \sigma_3), \\ \Sigma_+^1 = -\sigma_2, & \Sigma_+^2 = \sigma_1, & \Sigma_-^1 = -\sigma_2, & \Sigma_-^2 = -\sigma_1. \end{aligned} \quad (5.2.19)$$

Note that the matter action in Eq. (5.2.18) decouples into chiral components, consistently with the decoupling of the Lévy-Leblond equation (5.2.1).

A conserved energy-momentum tensor can be constructed, and used to derive conserved quantities associated with the generator of the Schrödinger group [45],

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
 \mathcal{M} = m \int |\Phi|^2 d^2\vec{x} & \text{mass,} \\
 \vec{\mathcal{P}} = \int \underbrace{\left\{ \frac{1}{2i} (\Phi^\dagger \vec{D}\Phi - (\vec{D}\Phi)^\dagger \Phi) \right\}}_{\vec{p}} d^2\vec{x} & \text{linear momentum,} \\
 \mathcal{J} = \underbrace{\int \vec{r} \times \vec{p} d^2\vec{x}}_{\text{orbital}} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \int \Phi^\dagger \sigma_3 \Phi d^2\vec{x}}_{\text{spin}} & \text{angular momentum,} \\
 \vec{\mathcal{G}} = t\vec{\mathcal{P}} - m \int |\Phi|^2 \vec{x} d^2\vec{x} & \text{boost,} \\
 \mathcal{E} = \int \left\{ \frac{1}{2m} |\vec{D}\Phi|^2 + \lambda |\Phi|^2 \Phi^\dagger \sigma_3 \Phi \right\} d^2\vec{x} & \text{energy,} \\
 \mathcal{D} = 2t\mathcal{E} - \int \vec{p} \cdot \vec{x} d^2\vec{x} & \text{dilation,} \\
 \mathcal{K} = -t^2\mathcal{E} + t\mathcal{D} + \frac{m}{2} \int |\Phi|^2 \vec{x}^2 d^2\vec{x} & \text{expansion,}
 \end{array} \right. \quad (5.2.20)$$

cf. the scalar case, (3.1.17)-(3.1.18) in Section 3.1.

For a static solution, one finds that the *mass*, \mathcal{M} , determines the actual values of all the conserved charges. For the radially symmetric solution, e.g., the magnetic flux $-e\mathcal{M}/\kappa m$ is the same as for the scalar JP soliton in Section 3.1. The orbital angular momentum vanishes and total angular momentum reduces to the spin part,

$$\mathcal{J} = \mp \frac{\mathcal{M}}{2m}, \quad (5.2.21)$$

which *half* of the corresponding value, (3.1.39), of the scalar soliton, consistently with the spinorial character of our solution.

Our non-relativistic spinor model here can be derived from the relativistic theory of Cho et al [42]. Setting

$$\psi_+ = e^{-imc^2t} \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_+ \\ \tilde{\chi}_+ \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_- = e^{-imc^2t} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\chi}_- \\ \Psi_- \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5.2.22)$$

the Cho et al. equations (5.1.2)-(5.1.3)- (5.1.4) become

$$\begin{cases} iD_t\Phi - c\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{D}\tilde{\chi} = 0, \\ iD_t\tilde{\chi} + c\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{D}\Phi + 2mc^2\tilde{\chi} = 0, \end{cases} \quad (5.2.23)$$

where $\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_+ \\ \Psi_- \end{pmatrix}$ and $\tilde{\chi} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\chi}_- \\ \tilde{\chi}_+ \end{pmatrix}$. In the non-relativistic limit,

$$mc^2\tilde{\chi} \gg iD_t\tilde{\chi},$$

so that this latter term can be dropped from the second equation. Redefining $\tilde{\chi}$ as $\chi = c\tilde{\chi}$ yields precisely our equation (5.2.1).

This also explains why one gets the same (namely the Liouville) equation both in the relativistic and the non-relativistic cases: for static and purely magnetic fields, the terms containing D_t are automatically zero.

Spinor vortices with Maxwell-Chern-Simons dynamics

The construction above can be extended to spinor vortices in nonrelativistic Maxwell-Chern-Simons theory [93]. Let Φ denote a 2-component Pauli spinor. We postulate the following equations of motion,

$$\begin{cases} i\gamma D_t \Phi = -\frac{1}{2}[\vec{D}^2 + B\sigma_3]\Phi & \text{Pauli Equation,} \\ \epsilon_{ij}\partial_j B = J_i - J_i^T + 2\mu\epsilon_{ij}E_j & \text{Ampère's Equation,} \\ 2\mu B = \gamma(1 - |\Phi|^2) & \text{Gauss' Law,} \end{cases} \quad (5.2.24)$$

where the current is now

$$\vec{J} = \frac{1}{2i}(\Phi^\dagger \vec{D}\Phi - (\vec{D}\Phi)^\dagger \Phi) + \vec{\nabla} \times \left(\frac{1}{2}\Phi^\dagger \sigma_3 \Phi\right). \quad (5.2.25)$$

The model admits self-dual vortex solutions, as we show now. The transport current can again be eliminated by a Galilean boost. For fields which are static in the frame where $\vec{J}^T = 0$, the equations of motion become

$$\begin{cases} [\frac{1}{2}(\vec{D}^2 + B\sigma_3) + \gamma A_t]\Phi = 0, \\ \vec{\nabla} \times B = \vec{J} + 2\mu\vec{\nabla} \times A_t, \\ 2(\frac{\mu}{\gamma})B = 1 - \Phi^\dagger \Phi. \end{cases} \quad (5.2.26)$$

Now we attempt to solve these equations by the first-order Ansatz

$$(D_1 \pm iD_2)\Phi = 0. \quad (5.2.27)$$

Equation (5.2.27) implies that

$$\vec{D}^2 = \mp B \quad \text{and} \quad \vec{J} = \frac{1}{2}\vec{\nabla} \times [\Phi^\dagger(\mp 1 + \sigma_3)\Phi], \quad (5.2.28)$$

so that the Pauli equation in (5.2.26) requires

$$[(\mp 1 + \sigma_3)B + 2\gamma A_t]\Phi = 0, \quad \Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_+ \\ \Psi_- \end{pmatrix} \quad (5.2.29)$$

Written in component form this requires, for the upper sign, e.g.,

$$\begin{cases} 2\gamma A_t \Psi_+ = 0 \\ (-2B + 2\gamma A_t)\Psi_- = 0 \end{cases} \quad (5.2.30)$$

and if $\Psi_+ \neq 0$, necessarily we have $\Psi_- = 0$. Things work similarly for the lower sign. Hence, Equation (5.2.29) requires again that Φ has a definite chirality,

$$\Phi = \Phi_+ = \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_+ \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{or} \quad \Phi = \Phi_- = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \Psi_- \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5.2.31)$$

Let us assume that $\Phi = \Phi_+$. Then, for the upper sign, (5.2.30) implies that $A_t = 0$, just like in the non-topological case considered before. The current also vanishes, $\vec{J} = 0$. Ampère's equation in (5.2.26) implies therefore that $B = 0$, and the solution is trivial.

For the lower sign, however, the Pauli equation it requires instead

$$\begin{cases} (2B + 2\gamma A_t)\Psi_+ = 0, \\ 2\gamma A_t\Psi_- = 0, \end{cases} \quad (5.2.32)$$

so that $A_t = -\frac{1}{\gamma}B$. Then $\vec{J} = \vec{\nabla} \times |\Psi_+|^2$ so that Ampère's equation amounts to

$$\vec{\nabla} \times \left(\left[1 - \frac{2\mu}{\gamma}\right]B - |\Psi_+|^2 \right) = 0.$$

The same argument applied to $\Phi = \Phi_-$ allows us to conclude, instead,

$$A_t = \mp \frac{1}{\gamma}B \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{cases} \Phi \equiv \Phi_+ & \text{for the lower sign} \\ \Phi \equiv \Phi_- & \text{for the upper sign} \end{cases}. \quad (5.2.33)$$

Note that the sign choice is the opposite of the one which works in the non-topological case. Then $\vec{J} = \pm \vec{\nabla} \times |\Psi_{\pm}|^2$, so that Ampère's law requires,

$$\vec{\nabla} \times \left(\left[1 \mp \frac{2\mu}{\gamma}\right]B \mp |\Psi_{\pm}|^2 \right) = 0. \quad (5.2.34)$$

But

$$|\Phi_{\pm}|^2 = |\Psi_{\pm}|^2 = 1 - \frac{2\mu}{\gamma}B \quad (5.2.35)$$

by the Gauss law, so that (5.2.34) holds, when

$$\alpha \equiv \pm \frac{\gamma}{\mu} = 4. \quad (5.2.36)$$

In conclusion, for the particular value (5.2.36), the second-order field equations can be solved by solving one or the other of the first-order equations in (5.2.26). These latter conditions fix the gauge potential as,

$$\vec{A} = \pm \frac{1}{2}\vec{\nabla} \times \log \varrho + \vec{\nabla} \omega, \quad \varrho \equiv |\Phi|^2 = |\Phi_{\pm}|^2, \quad (5.2.37)$$

and then the Gauss law yields,

$$\Delta \log \varrho = 4(\varrho - 1), \quad (5.2.38)$$

which is again the ‘‘Liouville-type’’ equation (4.2.20), studied previously. Note that the sign, the same for both choices, is automatically positive, since $\alpha = 4$.

The equations of motion (5.2.24) can be derived from the Lagrangian

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} = & \frac{1}{2}B^2 - \frac{i\gamma}{2}[\Phi^\dagger(D_t\Phi) - (D_t\Phi)^\dagger\Phi] + \frac{1}{2}(\vec{D}\Phi)^\dagger(\vec{D}\Phi) \\ & - \frac{B}{2}\Phi^\dagger\sigma_3\Phi - \mu(BA_t + E_2A_1 - E_1A_2) + \gamma a_t + \vec{A} \cdot \vec{J}^T. \end{aligned} \quad (5.2.39)$$

Then, in the frame where $\vec{J}^T = 0$, the energy is

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{1}{2} \int \left\{ B^2 + |\vec{D}\Phi|^2 - B\Phi^\dagger\sigma_3\Phi \right\} d^2\vec{x}. \quad (5.2.40)$$

Using the identity

$$|\vec{D}\Phi|^2 = |(D_1 \pm iD_2)\Phi|^2 \pm B\Phi^\dagger\Phi, \quad (5.2.41)$$

(valid up to surface terms), the energy is rewritten as

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{1}{2} \int \left\{ B^2 + |(D_1 \pm iD_2)\Phi|^2 - B \left[\Phi^\dagger (\mp 1 + \sigma_3) \Phi \right] \right\} d^2\vec{x}.$$

Eliminating B using the Gauss law, we finally get, for purely chiral fields, $\Phi = \Phi_\pm$,

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{1}{2} \int \left\{ |(D_1 \pm iD_2)\Phi_\pm|^2 + \frac{\gamma}{4\mu} \left[\mp 4 + \frac{\gamma}{\mu} \right] (1 - |\Phi_\pm|^2)^2 \right\} d^2\vec{x} \pm \int B d^2\vec{x}. \quad (5.2.42)$$

The last integral here yields the topological charge $\pm 2\pi n$. Also, the integral is positive definite when $\pm\gamma/\mu \geq 4$, depending on the chosen sign, yielding the Bogomol'ny bound,

$$\mathcal{E} \geq 2\pi|n|. \quad (5.2.43)$$

Hence, the Pauli term *doubles* the Bogomol'ny bound with respect to the scalar case. The bound can be saturated when $\pm\gamma/\mu = 4$ and the self-dual equations (5.2.27) hold.

The action (5.2.39) can be used to show that the coupled Lévy-Leblond — Chern-Simons system is, just like its scalar counterpart, Schrödinger symmetric, proving that our theory is indeed non-relativistic. A conserved energy-momentum tensor can be constructed and used to derive conserved quantities.

6 NON-RELATIVISTIC KALUZA-KLEIN FRAMEWORK

6.1 Relativistic Framework for Non-relativistic Physics

How do the extra symmetries of JP vortices come about? Can one derive the energy-momentum tensor (3.1.13), together with its strange properties (3.1.15), in a systematic way, just like in a relativistic theory?

The answer is affirmative; it is enough to use a “relativistic” framework to describe non-relativistic physics. Below we present such a “non-relativistic Kaluza-Klein” approach [124, 125, 126].

Our starting point is to rewrite the free Schrödinger equation in D space dimensions,

$$[2mi\partial_t + \Delta]\Psi = 0, \quad (6.1.1)$$

in a “relativistic” form in a $D + 2$ dimensional space. To this end, let us introduce a new, “vertical” variable s , and consider,

$$\psi(\vec{x}, t, s) = e^{ims}\Psi(\vec{x}, t). \quad (6.1.2)$$

The new, “lifted” wave function ψ , defined on extended space, satisfies, by construction, the “equivariance” condition

$$\partial_s\psi = im\psi. \quad (6.1.3)$$

It follows that ψ in (6.1.2) satisfies,

$$[\Delta + 2\partial_t\partial_s]\psi = 0. \quad (6.1.4)$$

\vec{x}, t, s can now be viewed as coordinates on a $(D + 2)$ dimensional extended space M made into Minkowski space, $\mathbb{R}^{D+1,1}$, by setting

$$ds^2 = d\vec{x}^2 + 2dtds. \quad (6.1.5)$$

Note that \vec{x} is space-like, but s and t are light-cone coordinates. Comparing with the light-cone expression of the Laplace-Beltrami operator on Minkowski space, we recognize that (6.1.4) is precisely the *free, massless Klein-Gordon equation* in $(D + 1, 1)$ dimensions,

$$\square\psi = 0, \quad (6.1.6)$$

for the equivariant function ψ . Conversely, let ψ be equivariant. Then

$$\Psi(\vec{x}, t) = e^{-ims}\psi(x) \quad (6.1.7)$$

[where $x = (\vec{x}, t, s)$] is a function of \vec{x} and t alone, since $\partial_s\Psi = 0$. therefore, the massless Klein-Gordon equation for ψ on Minkowski space reduces to the free Schrödinger equation for Ψ .

Intuitively, the lifted wave function, ψ , incorporates the gauge degrees of freedom. Let $G(\vec{x}, t)$ denote an arbitrary real function. Then

$$g(x) = (\vec{x}, t, s + G(\vec{x}, t)) \quad (6.1.8)$$

is a “vertical” transformation of extended space M . Thus, $\psi(g(x)) = e^{imG(\vec{x}, t)}\psi(x)$ owing to equivariance, so that it carries the “ordinary” wave function Ψ into its gauge transform,

$$\Psi(\vec{x}, t) \rightarrow e^{imG(\vec{x}, t)}\Psi(\vec{x}, t).$$

Galilean symmetry in the Kaluza-Klein-type framework.

Let us observe that the “vertical vector”

$$\xi = \partial_s \quad (6.1.9)$$

is lightlike as well as covariantly constant, $\nabla\xi = 0$ w.r.t. the Minkowski metric.

Next, let us remind the Reader that the isometries of Minkowski space M form the $(D + 1, 1)$ dimensional Poincaré group, and that all conformal transformations of M , i.e. such that,

$$f^*ds^2 = \Omega^2(x)ds^2, \quad (6.1.10)$$

for some real function Ω , form the *conformal group* $O(D + 2, 2)$. The infinitesimal conformal transformations form the $\mathfrak{o}(4, 2)$ Lie algebra, which acts on M according to,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} P_\mu & = -i\partial_\mu & \text{translations,} \\ M_{\mu\nu} & = -i(x_\mu\partial_\nu - x_\nu\partial_\mu) & \text{Lorentz transformations,} \\ d & = -ix^\mu\partial_\mu - \frac{3i}{2} & \text{dilations,} \\ K_\mu & = -i(x_\nu x^\nu\partial_\mu - x_\mu(3 + 2x^\nu\partial_\nu)) & \text{conformal transformations.} \end{array} \right. \quad (6.1.11)$$

One can prove, furthermore, the following :

Theorem [124, 125] : *The subgroup of the $(D + 1, 1)$ dimensional Poincaré group that leaves the “vertical vector” $(\xi^\mu) = \partial_s$ invariant is isomorphic to the 1-parameter central extension of the Galilei group in $D + 1$ dimensions.*

The stability subgroup of the “vertical vector” $(\xi^\mu) = \partial_s$ in the $(D + 1, 1)$ dimensional conformal group $O(D + 2, 2)$ is isomorphic to the Schrödinger group, which is in fact the 1-parameter central extension of the Galilei group in $D + 1$ dimensions, augmented with dilatations and expansions. The conformal factor (6.1.10) is s -independent, $\Omega = \Omega(\vec{x}, t)$. The Schrödinger algebra is the subalgebra of (6.1.11) which commutes with ξ .

• For $D = 3$ the (centrally extended) Schrödinger group has 13 generators. It acts on the extended spacetime according to

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \vec{x}' = \frac{R\vec{x} - \vec{b}t + \vec{c}}{ft + g} \\ t' = \frac{dt + e}{ft + g} \\ s' = s + \frac{f(R\vec{x} - \vec{b}t + \vec{c})^2}{2(ft + g)} + \vec{b} \cdot R\vec{x} - \frac{t}{2} \vec{b}^2 + h, \end{array} \right. \quad (6.1.12)$$

where $R \in SO(3)$; $\vec{b}, \vec{c} \in \mathbb{R}^3$; $d, e, f, g, h \in \mathbb{R}$ and s.t. $dg - ef = 1$.

The corresponding conformal factor is

$$\Omega = \frac{1}{ft + g}. \quad (6.1.13)$$

The 11 dimensional subgroup defined by $d = g = 1, f = 0$ is the centrally extended Galilei group. The infinitesimal action of the extended Schrödinger group, also obtained as those vector fields in (6.1.11) which commute with vertical translations generated by ξ , reads

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \vec{X} = \vec{\Omega} \times \vec{x} + \frac{\delta}{2} \vec{x} + \kappa t \vec{x} + \vec{\beta}t + \vec{\gamma}, \\ X^t = \kappa t^2 + \delta t + \epsilon, \\ X^s = -\kappa \frac{r^2}{2} + \vec{\beta} \cdot \vec{x} + \eta \end{array} \right. \quad (6.1.14)$$

$(\vec{\Omega}, \vec{\beta}, \vec{\gamma} \in \mathbb{R}^3; \kappa, \delta, \epsilon, \eta \in \mathbb{R})$.

Let $f : M \rightarrow M$ denote a conformal transformation of $(D + 1, 1)$ dimensional extended space. It is naturally implemented on a lifted wave function, ψ , according to,

$$(\hat{f}\psi)(\vec{x}, t, s) = \Omega^{D/2}(\vec{x}, t) \psi(\vec{x}', t', s') \quad (6.1.15)$$

where the conformal factor is included to enforce normalization : it takes care of the change of measure. Expressed using $\Psi(\vec{x}, t) = e^{ims} \psi(\vec{x}, t, s)$, we find,

$$(\hat{f}\Psi)(\vec{x}, t) = \Omega^{D/2}(\vec{x}, t) e^{im(s'-s)} \Psi(\vec{x}', t'). \quad (6.1.16)$$

In particular, setting in (6.1.12), yields,

$$\begin{aligned}
R = Id, \vec{c} = 0, \quad e = f = 0, g = d = 1 & \quad \text{boost,} \\
R = Id, \vec{b} = \vec{c} = 0, \quad f = e = 0, d = \lambda, g = \lambda^{-1} & \quad \text{dilatation,} \\
R = Id, \vec{b} = \vec{c} = 0, \quad e = 0, f = -\kappa, d = 1, g = 1 & \quad \text{expansion.}
\end{aligned} \tag{6.1.17}$$

The implementations, deduced from (6.1.16), read,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi'(\vec{x}, t) &= e^{im(\vec{b}\cdot\vec{x}-\vec{b}^2t)}\Psi(\vec{x}', t') & \text{boost,} \\
\Psi'(\vec{x}, t) &= \lambda^{D/2}\Psi(\vec{x}', t') & \text{dilatation,} \\
\Psi'(\vec{x}, t) &= \left(\frac{1}{1-\kappa t}\right)^{-D/2} e^{-i\frac{m\kappa}{2(1-\kappa t)}}\Psi(\vec{x}', t') & \text{expansion,}
\end{aligned} \tag{6.1.18}$$

which are precisely the implementations found before [38].

More generally, $D + 1$ dimensional non-relativistic spacetime can be obtained from a $(D + 1, 1)$ dimensional relativistic spacetime, M , endowed with a Lorentz-signature metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ and a covariantly constant, lightlike vector ξ^μ , called ‘‘Bargmann space’’ [124, 125]³⁹. Then non-relativistic spacetime is the factor space of M , obtained by factoring out the integral curves of the ‘‘vertical vector’’ (ξ^μ)⁴⁰.

The most general metric with a covariantly constant lightlike vector is

$$ds^2 = g_{ij}dx^i dx^j + 2dt(ds + \mathcal{A}_i(\vec{x}, t)dx^i) - 2\mathcal{U}(\vec{x}, t)dt^2, \tag{6.1.19}$$

where g_{ij} is some D -dimensional spatial metric and \mathcal{A}_i and \mathcal{U} are a vector and a scalar potential, respectively. The coordinate \vec{x} can be viewed as position, t as non-relativistic time, and s as an ‘‘internal, Kaluza-Klein-type coordinate’’, directed along the ‘‘vertical’’ vector $\xi^\mu = \partial_s$. Quotienting M by the integral curves of ξ^μ amounts, intuitively, to ‘‘forgetting’’ the vertical coordinate, s .

One can show [124, 125] that the projection of the null-geodesics of M , endowed with the metric (6.1.19), satisfy the usual equations of motion of a non-relativistic particle in a (static) ‘‘electromagnetic’’ field

$$\vec{\mathcal{B}} = \text{curl } \vec{\mathcal{A}}, \quad \vec{\mathcal{E}} = -\text{grad } \mathcal{U}. \tag{6.1.20}$$

There is, however, one strange detail : the coupling constant is not the electric charge, e , but the mass, m ⁴¹.

For $\vec{\mathcal{A}} = 0$, in particular, we recover Newton’s equations, as observed by Eisenhart in 1929 [127].

Null geodesics are conformally invariant and, hence, their projections are invariant w.r.t. ξ -preserving conformal transformations which are, therefore, symmetries of the projected system.

All conformal transformations of $(M, g_{\mu\nu})$ span, in particular, the conformal algebra; those which preserve the lightlike vector ($\xi^\mu = \partial_s$) define the generalized Schrödinger group, centrally extended with the mass.

³⁹Requiring that the metric satisfies the Einstein equations provides us with a gravitational pp wave [125]. These metrics provide us with exact string vacua [125, 128].

⁴⁰Choosing the ‘‘vertical’’ vector ξ^μ to be spacelike would provide us with a relativistic theory ‘‘downstairs’’.

⁴¹In this Section the mass will be denoted by m .

Turning to quantum mechanics [field theory], the equivariance condition (6.1.3) is generalized to

$$\nabla_{\xi}\psi = im\psi, \quad (6.1.21)$$

where ∇_{μ} is the metric-covariant derivative.

Finally, the massless wave equation (6.1.6) is generalized to

$$\nabla_{\mu}\nabla^{\mu}\psi = 0. \quad (6.1.22)$$

Note that while $\nabla_{\mu}\psi = \partial_{\mu}\psi$ for a *function* ψ , the second-order operator in (6.1.22) is not trivial. In fact,

$$\nabla_{\mu}\nabla^{\mu}\psi = g^{\mu\nu}\nabla_{\mu}\nabla_{\nu}\psi = g^{\mu\nu}\left(\partial_{\mu}\partial_{\nu} - \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{\rho}\partial_{\rho}\right)\psi, \quad (6.1.23)$$

where the $\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{\rho}$ are the Christoffel symbols of the metric.

6.2 Vortices in the K-K-type Framework

The “non-relativistic Kaluza-Klein” framework, useful for studying the Schrödinger symmetry of classical systems, can also be adapted to Chern-Simons field theory [92]. We first examine the case of Jackiw-Pi vortices. Begin by choosing, on 4-dimensional Minkowski space M , a four-vector potential a_{μ} with field strength $f_{\mu\nu}$ and let j_{μ} be a four-current.

- Let us posit the relation

$$\kappa f_{\mu\nu} = e\sqrt{-g}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\xi^{\rho}j^{\sigma}. \quad (6.2.1)$$

Then $f_{\mu\nu}$ is the lift from space-time with coordinates \vec{x} and t of a closed two-form $F_{\mu\nu}$. The potential a_{μ} can be chosen, therefore, as the pull-back of a 3-potential $A_{\alpha} = (A_t, \vec{A})$. The four-current j^{μ} projects, in turn, onto a 3-current $J^{\alpha} = (\varrho, \vec{J})$. Then (6.2.1) is readily seen to project precisely to the Chern-Simons equations (3.1.8)-(3.1.9).

- Similarly, let ψ denote a scalar field on M and let us suppose that ψ satisfies the (massless) non-linear Klein-Gordon wave equation,

$$\left[D_{\mu}D^{\mu} - \frac{R}{6} + \lambda(\psi^*\psi)\right]\psi = 0, \quad (6.2.2)$$

where $D_{\mu} = \nabla_{\mu} - ie a_{\mu}$ is the metric- and gauge-covariant derivative on M and we have also added, for the sake of generality, a term which involves the scalar curvature, R , of the Riemann manifold $(M, g_{\mu\nu})$. The scalar field ψ is required to be equivariant,

$$\xi^{\mu}D_{\mu}\psi = im\psi. \quad (6.2.3)$$

Then $\Psi = e^{-ims}\psi$ is a function of \vec{x} and t , and (6.2.2) becomes, for the Minkowski metric (6.1.5), the gauged non-linear Schrödinger equation (3.1.7).

- The equations (6.2.1) and (6.2.2) are coupled through

$$j^{\mu} = \frac{1}{2mi}[\psi^*(D^{\mu}\psi) - \psi(D^{\mu}\psi)^*], \quad (6.2.4)$$

which projects to the correct NR current.

Equations (6.2.1)-(6.2.2)-(6.2.3)-(6.2.4) form a self-consistent system, allowing us to lift our non-relativistic coupled scalar field-Chern-Simons system to relativistic extended spacetime, M . It can now be shown [92] that the latter is invariant w.r.t. any conformal transformation of the

metric of M that preserves the “vertical” vector, (ξ^μ) . Thus, we have established the generalized Schrödinger invariance of the non-relativistic Chern-Simons + scalar field system.

The theory on M is relativistic and admits, therefore, a conserved, traceless and symmetric energy-momentum tensor $\theta_{\mu\nu}$. In the present case, a lengthy calculation [92] shows that the canonical procedure yields

$$\begin{aligned} 3m\theta_{\mu\nu} &= (D_\mu\psi)^*D_\nu\psi + D_\mu\psi(D_\nu\psi)^* \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2}(\psi^*D_\mu D_\nu\psi + \psi(D_\mu D_\nu)^*) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}|\psi|^2\left(R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{R}{6}g_{\mu\nu}\right) - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}(D^\rho\psi)^*D_\rho\psi - \frac{\lambda}{4}g_{\mu\nu}|\psi|^4. \end{aligned} \quad (6.2.5)$$

In Ref [92] a version of Noether’s theorem was proved. It says that, for any ξ -preserving conformal vector field (X^μ) on Bargmann space, the quantity

$$Q_X = \int_{\Sigma_t} \vartheta_{\mu\nu} X^\mu \xi^\nu \sqrt{\gamma} d^2 \vec{x}, \quad (6.2.6)$$

is a constant of the motion. (Here γ is the determinant of the metric (g_{ij}) induced by $(g_{\mu\nu})$ on “transverse space” $t = \text{const.}$). The charge (6.2.6) is conveniently calculated using

$$\vartheta_{\mu\nu}\xi^\nu = \frac{1}{2i}[\psi^*(D_\mu\psi) - \psi(D_\mu\psi)^*] - \frac{1}{6}\xi_\mu\left(\frac{R}{6}|\psi|^2 + (D^\nu\psi)^*D_\nu\psi + \frac{\lambda}{2}|\psi|^4\right). \quad (6.2.7)$$

- Our first illustration is when M is ordinary, 4-D Minkowski space. The general theory yields the Schrödinger symmetry of the coupled Chern-Simons + matter system. The energy-momentum tensor $\theta_{\mu\nu}$ constructed above is related to the $T^{\alpha\beta}$ found by Jackiw and Pi [38] according to

$$\begin{aligned} T^{00} &= -\theta^0_0, & T^{i0} &= -\theta^i_0 - \frac{1}{6m}\partial_i\partial_t\varrho, \\ T^{0j} &= \theta^0_j, & T^{ij} &= \theta^i_j + \frac{1}{3m}(\delta_j^i\Delta - \partial^i\partial_j)\varrho, \end{aligned} \quad (6.2.8)$$

where Δ is the spatial Laplace operator. These formulae allow us to rederive all characteristic properties of $T^{\alpha\beta}$ listed in Section 3.1, see Ref. [92] for detail.

Interestingly, our proof used the *field equations*. Is it possible to use instead a *variational principle*? On M , we could attempt to use the 4d “Chern-Simons type” expression [129],

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{\kappa}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\xi_\mu a_\nu f_{\rho\sigma} + \text{matter}. \quad (6.2.9)$$

Curiously, this correctly reproduces the *relativistic* Chern-Simons equations (2.2.4) if ξ^μ is *space-like*, but *fails* when it is *lightlike*, $\xi_\mu\xi^\mu = 0$ [112] — which is precisely the *non-relativistic* case we study here. Varying the gauge field in (6.2.9) yields in fact the field equations

$$\kappa\sqrt{-g}\epsilon^{\mu\rho\sigma\nu}\xi_\mu f_{\rho\sigma} = -j^\nu, \quad (6.2.10)$$

supplemented with the matter equation. Assuming that ψ is equivariant and that $f_{\mu\nu}$ is the lift from Q of a two-form $F_{\alpha\beta}$ ⁴². Hence $f_{\mu\nu}\xi^\mu = 0$.

⁴²In the approach presented above, this follows automatically from the field equation.

The equations (6.2.10) are *similar* to those in (6.2.1), except for the “wrong” position of the $\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$ tensor. Transferring the $\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$ to the other side of (6.2.10) and contracting with ξ^ρ we get, using $f_{\mu\nu}\xi^\mu = 0$,

$$\kappa (\xi_\rho \xi^\rho) f_{\mu\nu} = \sqrt{-g} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \xi^\rho j^\sigma. \quad (6.2.11)$$

• If ξ is *spacelike* or *timelike*, it can be normalized as $\xi_\mu \xi^\mu = \pm 1$. Then Eq. (6.2.11) is (possibly up to a sign) our posited equation (6.2.1). In the spacelike case, for example, we get a well-behaved *relativistic* model : the quotient is a Lorentz manifold. Let M be, for example, Minkowski space with metric $dx^2 + dy^2 - dz^2 + dw^2$. The vector $\xi = \partial_w$ is space-like and covariantly constant. The quotient is $(2 + 1)$ -dimensional Minkowski space with metric $dx^2 + dy^2 - dz^2$ and the equations reduce to,

$$\kappa \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma} F_{\alpha\beta} = J^\gamma, \quad (6.2.12)$$

[where J^γ is a suitably defined 3-current], plus the matter equation. These are indeed the correct Chern-Simons and Klein-Gordon equations for a relativistic model in $(2+1)$ -dimensional flat space.

• If, however, ξ is *lightlike*,

$$\xi_\mu \xi^\mu = 0 \quad (6.2.13)$$

then the $f_{\mu\nu}$ has a *vanishing* coefficient, so the term behind κ drops out, and Eqn. (6.2.11) does not reproduce the Chern-Simons equation (6.2.1).

In conclusion, (6.2.9) is a correct Lagrangian in the *relativistic* case, but *fails* to work in the lightlike, *nonrelativistic* case : the $(2 + 1)$ D Chern-Simons Lagrangian does *not* come by lightlike reduction from an action on Minkowski space.

Time-dependent vortices in the K-K framework

Now we explain the results found previously for time dependent vortices in external fields in our “Kaluza-Klein-type” framework. Consider the coupled system (6.2.1)-(6.2.2)-(6.2.3)-(6.2.4) on a “Bargmann” metric (6.1.19) with $g_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$,

$$ds^2 = g_{ij} dx^i dx^j + 2dt(ds + \mathcal{A}_i(\vec{x}, t) dx^i) - 2\mathcal{U}(\vec{x}, t) dt^2.$$

A calculation analogous to that of the preceding section shows that, after reduction, the covariant derivative

$$D_\alpha = \nabla_\alpha - ie a_\alpha, \quad (6.2.14)$$

(where ∇_α is the metric-covariant derivative) becomes precisely the background-field expression in (4.1.4),

$$D_\alpha = \partial_\alpha - ie A_\alpha, \quad A_\alpha = a_\alpha - \mathcal{A}_\alpha.$$

The equation of motion is, therefore, a generalization of (4.3.2).

• Choose, for example, the “oscillator” metric

$$d\vec{x}_{\text{osc}}^2 + 2dt_{\text{osc}} ds_{\text{osc}} - \omega^2 r_{\text{osc}}^2 dt_{\text{osc}}^2, \quad (6.2.15)$$

where $\vec{x}_{\text{osc}} \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $r_{\text{osc}} = |\vec{x}_{\text{osc}}|$ and ω is a constant. The null geodesics in this case correspond to a non-relativistic, spinless particle in an oscillator background [124, 125]. Requiring equivariance, (6.2.3), the wave equation (6.2.2) reduces to

$$i\partial_{t_{\text{osc}}} \Psi_{\text{osc}} = \left\{ -\frac{\vec{D}^2}{2} + \frac{\omega^2}{2} r_{\text{osc}}^2 - \Lambda \Psi_{\text{osc}} \Psi_{\text{osc}}^* \right\} \Psi_{\text{osc}}, \quad (6.2.16)$$

($\vec{D} = \vec{\partial} - i\vec{A}$, $\Lambda = \lambda/2$), which describes Chern-Simons vortices in a harmonic-force background, Ref. [119].

- Let us consider instead the “magnetic” metric

$$d\vec{x}^2 + 2dt \left[ds + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ij} \mathcal{B} x^j dx^i \right], \quad (6.2.17)$$

where $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and \mathcal{B} is a constant, whose null geodesics describe a charged particle in a uniform magnetic field in the plane [125]. Imposing equivariance, equation (6.2.2) reduces to equation (4.3.2) with $\Lambda = \lambda/2$ and the total covariant derivative.

Returning to the general theory, let φ denote a conformal Bargmann diffeomorphism between two Bargmann spaces, i.e. let $\varphi : (M, g, \xi) \rightarrow (M', g', \xi')$ be such that

$$\varphi^* g' = \Omega^2 g \quad \xi' = \varphi_* \xi. \quad (6.2.18)$$

Such a mapping projects to a diffeomorphism of the quotients, Q and Q' , which we will denote by Φ . Then the same proof as in Ref. [92] allows one to show that, if (a'_μ, ψ') is a solution of the field equations on M' , then

$$a_\mu = (\varphi^* a')_\mu, \quad \psi = \Omega \varphi^* \psi' \quad (6.2.19)$$

is a solution of the analogous equations on M [131].

Locally,

$$\varphi(t, \vec{x}, s) = (t', \vec{x}', s') \quad \text{with} \quad (t', \vec{x}') = \Phi(t, \vec{x}), \quad s' = s + \Sigma(t, \vec{x}),$$

so that $\psi = \Omega \varphi^* \psi'$ reduces to

$$\Psi(t, \vec{x}) = \Omega(t) e^{i\Sigma(t, \vec{x})} \Psi'(t', \vec{x}'), \quad A_\alpha = \Phi^* A'_\alpha \quad (6.2.20)$$

($\alpha = 0, 1, 2$). Note that φ takes a ξ -preserving conformal transformation of (M, g, ξ) into a ξ' -preserving conformal transformation of (M', g', ξ') . Conformally related Bargmann spaces have, therefore, isomorphic symmetry groups.

The conserved quantities can be related by comparing the expressions in (6.2.6). Using the transformation properties of the scalar curvature, a short calculation shows that the conserved quantities associated with $X = (X^\mu)$ on (M, g, ξ) and with $X' = \varphi_* X$ on (M', g', ξ') coincide,

$$Q_X = \varphi^* Q'_{X'}. \quad (6.2.21)$$

The labels of the generators are, however, different (see the examples below).

- As a first application, we note the lift to Bargmann space of Niederer’s mapping [120, 121]

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(t_{\text{osc}}, \vec{x}_{\text{osc}}, s_{\text{osc}}) &= (T, \vec{X}, S), \\ T &= \frac{\tan \omega t_{\text{osc}}}{\omega}, \quad \vec{X} = \frac{\vec{x}_{\text{osc}}}{\cos \omega t_{\text{osc}}}, \quad S = s_{\text{osc}} - \frac{\omega r_{\text{osc}}^2}{2} \tan \omega t_{\text{osc}} \end{aligned} \quad (6.2.22)$$

carries the oscillator metric (6.2.15) Bargmann-conformally ($\varphi_* \partial_{s_{\text{osc}}} = \partial_S$) into the free form (6.1.5), with conformal factor $\Omega(t_{\text{osc}}) = |\cos \omega t_{\text{osc}}|^{-1}$. A solution in the harmonic background can be obtained by using equation (6.2.19).

A subtlety arises, however. The mapping (6.2.22) is many-to-one : it maps each “open strip”

$$I_j = \left\{ (\vec{x}_{\text{osc}}, t_{\text{osc}}, s_{\text{osc}}) \mid (j - \frac{1}{2})\pi < \omega t_{\text{osc}} < (j + \frac{1}{2})\pi \right\}, \quad j = 0, \pm 1, \dots, \quad (6.2.23)$$

corresponding to a *half oscillator-period*, onto full Minkowski space. Application of (6.2.19) with Ψ an “empty-space” solution yields, in each I_j , a solution, $\Psi_{\text{osc}}^{(j)}$. However, at the contact points $t_j \equiv (j + 1/2)(\pi/\omega)$, these fields may not match. For example, for the “empty-space” solution obtained by an expansion, equation (3.1.40) with $\vec{B} = 0$, $k \neq 0$,

$$\lim_{t_{\text{osc}} \rightarrow t_j - 0} \Psi_{\text{osc}}^{(j)} = (-1)^{j+1} \frac{\omega}{k} e^{-i \frac{\omega^2}{2k} r_{\text{osc}}^2} \Psi_0\left(-\frac{\omega}{k} \vec{X}\right) = - \lim_{t_{\text{osc}} \rightarrow t_j + 0} \Psi_{\text{osc}}^{(j+1)}. \quad (6.2.24)$$

Hence, the left and right limits differ by a sign. The continuity of the wave functions is restored by including the “Maslov” phase correction [130] :

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{\text{osc}}(t_{\text{osc}}, \vec{x}_{\text{osc}}) &= (-1)^j \frac{1}{\cos \omega t_{\text{osc}}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{i\omega}{2} r_{\text{osc}}^2 \tan \omega t_{\text{osc}} \right\} \Psi(T, \vec{X}), \\ (A_{\text{osc}})_0(t_{\text{osc}}, \vec{x}_{\text{osc}}) &= \frac{1}{\cos^2 \omega t_{\text{osc}}} [A_0(T, \vec{x}) - \omega \sin \omega t_{\text{osc}} \vec{x}_{\text{osc}} \cdot \vec{A}(T, \vec{X})], \\ \vec{A}_{\text{osc}}(t_{\text{osc}}, \vec{x}_{\text{osc}}) &= \frac{1}{\cos \omega t_{\text{osc}}} \vec{A}(T, \vec{x}), \end{aligned} \quad (6.2.25)$$

Equation (6.2.25) extends the results in [119], valid for $|t_{\text{osc}}| < \pi/2\omega$, to any t_{osc} ⁽⁴³⁾.

Since the oscillator metric (6.2.15) is Bargmann-conformally related to Minkowski space, Chern-Simons theory in the oscillator background again has a Schrödinger symmetry, but with “distorted” generators. The latter are,

$$J_{\text{osc}} = \mathcal{J}, \quad \mathcal{E}_{\text{osc}} = \mathcal{E} + \omega^2 \mathcal{K}, \quad N_{\text{osc}} = \mathcal{N}, \quad (6.2.26)$$

completed by

$$(C_{\text{osc}})_{\pm} = \mathcal{E} - \omega^2 \mathcal{K} \pm 2i\omega \mathcal{D}, \quad (\vec{P}_{\text{osc}})_{\pm} = \vec{\mathcal{P}} \pm i\omega \vec{\mathcal{G}}. \quad (6.2.27)$$

In particular, the oscillator-Hamiltonian, H_{osc} , is a combination of the “empty-space” ($\omega = 0$) Hamiltonian \mathcal{E} and the expansion, \mathcal{K} , etc.

• Turning to the magnetic case, observe that the “magnetic” metric (6.2.17) is readily transformed into an oscillator metric (6.2.15) by the mapping $\varphi(t, \vec{x}, s) = (t_{\text{osc}}, \vec{x}_{\text{osc}}, s_{\text{osc}})$,

$$t_{\text{osc}} = t, \quad x_{\text{osc}}^i = x^i \cos \omega t + \epsilon_j^i x^j \sin \omega t, \quad s_{\text{osc}} = s, \quad (6.2.28)$$

which amounts to switching to a rotating frame with angular velocity $\omega = \mathcal{B}/2$. The vertical vectors $\partial_{s_{\text{osc}}}$ and ∂_s are permuted.

Composing the two steps, we see that the time-dependent rotation (6.2.28), followed by the transformation (6.2.22), [which projects to the coordinate transformation (4.3.7)], conformally carries the constant- \mathcal{B} metric (6.2.17) into the free ($\omega = 0$) metric. It carries, therefore, the “empty” space solution $e^{is}\Psi$, with Ψ as in (3.1.40), into a solution in a uniform magnetic field

⁴³For the static solution in [38] or for that obtained from it by a boost, $\lim_{t_{\text{osc}} \rightarrow t_j} \Psi_{\text{osc}}^{(j)} = 0$, and the inclusion of the correction factor is not mandatory.

background according to equation (6.2.19). Taking into account the equivariance, we recover the formulas of [116], duly corrected by the Maslov factor $(-1)^j$.

The setup allows us to “export” the Schrödinger symmetry to non-relativistic Chern-Simons theory in the constant magnetic field background. The (rather complicated) generators [122] can be obtained using equation (6.2.21).

- For example, time-translation $t \rightarrow t + \tau$ in the \mathcal{B} -background amounts to a time translation for the oscillator with parameter τ , followed by a rotation with angle $\omega\tau$. Hence,

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{B}} = \mathcal{E}_{\text{osc}} - \omega\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{E} + \omega^2\mathcal{K} - \omega\mathcal{J}. \quad (6.2.29)$$

- Similarly, a space translation for \mathcal{B} amounts, in “empty” space, to a space translation and a rotated boost :

$$P_{\mathcal{B}}^i = \mathcal{P}^i + \omega \epsilon^{ij} \mathcal{G}^j, \quad (6.2.30)$$

etc.

All our preceding results apply to any Bargmann space which can be Bargmann-conformally mapped into Minkowski space. All these “Bargmann-conformally flat” spaces can be determined [131]. In $D = n + 2 > 3$ dimensions, conformal flatness is guaranteed by the vanishing of the conformal Weyl tensor $C^{\mu\nu}{}_{\rho\sigma}$. A tedious calculation [131] yields

$$C^{\mu\nu}{}_{\rho\sigma} = R^{\mu\nu}{}_{\rho\sigma} - \frac{4}{D-2} \varrho \delta^{\mu}{}_{[\rho} \xi^{\nu]} \xi_{\sigma]}. \quad (6.2.31)$$

Skipping technical details, here we only state that Schrödinger-conformal flatness requires [131],

$$\mathcal{A}_i = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ij} \mathcal{B}(t) x^j + a_i, \quad \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{a} = 0, \quad \partial_t \vec{a} = 0, \quad (6.2.32)$$

$$U(t, \vec{x}) = \frac{1}{2} C(t) r^2 + \vec{F}(t) \cdot \vec{x} + K(t). \quad (6.2.33)$$

The metric (6.1.19)-(6.2.33) describes a uniform magnetic field $\mathcal{B}(t)$, an attractive or repulsive, $C(t) = \omega^2(t)$ or $C(t) = -\omega^2(t)$, isotropic oscillator and a uniform force field $\vec{F}(t)$ in the plane, all of which may depend on time. It also includes a curl-free vector potential $\vec{a}(\vec{x})$ that can be gauged away if the transverse space is simply connected: $a_i = \partial_i f$ and the coordinate transformation $(t, \vec{x}, s) \rightarrow (t, \vec{x}, s + f)$ results in the “gauge” transformation

$$\mathcal{A}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_i - \partial_i f = -\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{B} \epsilon_{ij} x^j. \quad (6.2.34)$$

However, if the space is not simply connected, we can also include an external Aharonov-Bohm-type vector potential, that can not be gauged away by an everywhere-defined transformation.

Being conformally related, all these metrics share the symmetries of flat Bargmann space. For example, if the transverse space is \mathbb{R}^2 , we get the full Schrödinger symmetry; for $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ the symmetry is reduced instead to

$$\mathfrak{o}(2) \times \mathfrak{o}(2, 1) \times \mathbb{R}, \quad (6.2.35)$$

as found for a magnetic vortex [132].

- The case of a constant “electric” field is quite amusing. Its metric,

$$d\vec{x}^2 + 2dtds - 2\vec{F} \cdot \vec{x} dt^2, \quad (6.2.36)$$

can be brought to the free form by switching to an accelerated coordinate system,

$$\vec{X} = \vec{x} + \frac{1}{2}\vec{F}t^2, \quad T = t, \quad S = s - \vec{F} \cdot \vec{x}t - \frac{1}{6}\vec{F}^2t^3. \quad (6.2.37)$$

This example also shows that the action of the Schrödinger group — e.g. a rotation — looks quite different in the inertial and in the moving frame.

In conclusion, our “non-relativistic Kaluza-Klein” approach provides a unified view on the various known constructions and explains the common origin of their symmetries.

6.3 Spinors on Bargmann space

The (2+1) dimensional spinor model presented in Section 5 can also be obtained in the Kaluza-Klein-type framework. For simplicity, we limit ourself to Minkowski space; the general case is discussed in Ref. [45]. Expressing the metric in light-cone coordinates, $d\vec{x}^2 + 2dtds$, we have the Dirac matrices,

$$\gamma^t = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \vec{\gamma} = \begin{pmatrix} -i\vec{\sigma} & 0 \\ 0 & i\vec{\sigma} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^s = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (6.3.1)$$

These matrices satisfy $\{\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu\} = -2g^{\mu\nu}$ as they should and are, just like the 4d chirality operator,

$$\Gamma \equiv \gamma^5 = -\frac{\sqrt{-g}}{4!}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu\gamma^\rho\gamma^\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} -i\sigma_3 & 0 \\ 0 & i\sigma_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (6.3.2)$$

hermitian, $\overline{\gamma^\mu} = \gamma^\mu$, with respect to the hermitian structure defined by the Gram matrix $G = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

Let us now posit :

- the gauged *massless Dirac equation* on M [133],

$$\not{D}\psi = 0, \quad \not{D} = \gamma^\mu D_\mu; \quad (6.3.3)$$

- the Bianchi identity

$$\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho}\partial_\mu f_{\nu\rho} = 0; \quad (6.3.4)$$

- the lifted Chern-Simons equation (6.2.1), i.e.,

$$\kappa f_{\mu\nu} = e\sqrt{-g}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\xi^\rho j^\sigma, \quad (6.3.5)$$

where the current is

$$j^\sigma = \bar{\psi}\gamma^\sigma\psi. \quad (6.3.6)$$

Then our clue is that when also

- equivariance, (6.2.3), i.e.,

$$D_\xi\psi = m\psi, \quad (6.3.7)$$

is imposed, a self-consistent system, namely the one considered in Section (5.2) is obtained. In particular, the massless Dirac equation, (6.3.3), reduces to the first-order Lévy-Leblond system (5.2.1).

This framework also explains the simultaneous presence of the two fields ψ_\pm in Section 5 : they are the chiral components,

$$i\Gamma\psi_\pm = \pm\psi_\pm, \quad (6.3.8)$$

cf. (5.2.4), of the 4-component Dirac spinors “before” dimensional reduction. In $4d$, Dirac spinors have in fact 4 components, while in $(2+1)d$ they only have 2 components. Dimensional reduction yields, hence, *two* planar systems, distinguished by their chirality “before” reduction.

Conformal symmetry in spinor representation

The setup can also be used to rederive the Schrödinger symmetry of the spinor system [45] along the lines presented, in the scalar case, earlier in this Section. In detail, we have seen those conformal transformations of Minkowski space which preserve the “vertical vector” $\xi = \partial_s$ form the 9-parameter (extended) Schrödinger group as a 9-dimensional subgroup of the (relativistic) conformal group $\mathcal{O}(4,2)$ which acts on M according to (6.1.12).

Its induced action on spacetime is given by $(t, \vec{x}) \rightarrow (t^*, \vec{x}^*)$, i.e. by “forgetting” about the s coordinate in (6.1.12). Here, $R \in \text{SO}(2)$ is a rotation in the plane, and $\vec{b}, \vec{c} \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Denote by

$$u = (a, \vec{b}, \vec{c}, d, e, f, g, h) \quad \text{where} \quad a = \exp(\frac{i}{2}\theta\sigma_3) \quad \text{is s.t.} \quad a(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{x})a^{-1} = \vec{\sigma} \cdot (R\vec{x}) \quad (6.3.9)$$

a typical element of the “spin-Schrödinger” group,

We first consider dilations and expansions.

- For expansions one gets from Eq. (6.1.12) $t^* = t/(ft+1)$, $\vec{x}^* = \vec{x}/(ft+1)$ and $s^* = s + \frac{1}{2}f\vec{x}^2/(ft+1)$. A tedious calculation then yields

$$\psi_f(t, \vec{x}, s) = \begin{pmatrix} (ft+1)^{-1} & 0 \\ \frac{f}{2i}(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{x})(ft+1)^{-2} & (ft+1)^{-2} \end{pmatrix} \psi(t^*, \vec{x}^*, s^*). \quad (6.3.10)$$

Putting $\Psi(t, \vec{x}) \equiv \psi(t, \vec{x}, s)e^{-ims}$, one readily gets,

$$\Psi_f(t, \vec{x}) = \frac{1}{(ft+1)^2} \begin{pmatrix} ft+1 & 0 \\ \frac{f}{2i}(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{x}) & 1 \end{pmatrix} \Psi\left(\frac{t}{ft+1}, \frac{\vec{x}}{ft+1}\right) \exp\left[-\frac{imf\vec{x}^2}{2(ft+1)}\right], \quad (6.3.11)$$

and one verifies that we have, indeed, obtained a representation $(\Psi_f)_{f'} = \Psi_{f+f'}$ of the (additive) group of pure expansions on the solutions of the LL equation.

- As for dilations, a straightforward calculation yields

$$\Psi_d(t, \vec{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} d & 0 \\ 0 & d^2 \end{pmatrix} \Psi(d^2t, d\vec{x}), \quad (6.3.12)$$

which, again, turns out to be a genuine representation.

On the other hand, a simple calculation gives the following (anti-)representation of the Bargmann group (a mere group of isometries), originally due to Lévy-Leblond [123]

$$\psi_u(t, \vec{x}, s) = \begin{pmatrix} a^{-1} & 0 \\ \frac{i}{2}a^{-1}\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{b} & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \psi(t^*, \vec{x}^*, s^*), \quad (6.3.13)$$

where $(t^*, \vec{x}^*, s^*) = u \cdot (t, \vec{x}, s)$ with $u = (a, \vec{b}, \vec{c}, 1, e, 0, 1, h)$ in the spin-Bargmann group, (6.3.9). Combining the two results, one ends up with the following (anti-)representation of the full

spin-Schrödinger group,

$$\psi_u(t, \vec{x}, s) = \frac{1}{(ft+g)^2} \begin{pmatrix} a^{-1}(ft+g) & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2i} a^{-1} \vec{\sigma} \cdot (fR\vec{x} - g\vec{b} + f\vec{c}) & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \psi(t^*, \vec{x}^*, s^*), \quad (6.3.14)$$

where $(t^*, \vec{x}^*, s^*) = u \cdot (t, \vec{x}, s)$ with $u = (a, \vec{b}, \vec{c}, d, e, f, g, h)$.

By equivariance, one finally obtains the ‘natural’ (anti-)representation of the full spin-Schrödinger group on the space of solutions of the free Lévy-Leblond equation, that is,

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_u(t, \vec{x}) &= \frac{1}{(ft+g)^2} \begin{pmatrix} a^{-1}(ft+g) & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2i} a^{-1} \vec{\sigma} \cdot (fR\vec{x} - g\vec{b} + f\vec{c}) & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \Psi \left(\frac{dt+e}{ft+g}, \frac{R\vec{x} + \vec{b}t + \vec{c}}{ft+g} \right) \\ &\times \exp \left(-im \left[\frac{f(R\vec{x} + \vec{b}t + \vec{c})^2}{2(ft+g)} - \vec{b} \cdot R\vec{x} - \frac{t\vec{b}^2}{2} + h \right] \right). \end{aligned} \quad (6.3.15)$$

The implementation (6.3.15) is a *spinor representation* of the Schrödinger group for spin $\frac{1}{2}$ ⁴⁴.

The action of the Schrödinger group commutes with Γ , and descends therefore to the chiral components ψ_{\pm} . This chiral representation is also of the ‘lower triangular’ form: though the Φ_{\pm} components are mapped into themselves, the χ_{+} (resp. χ_{-}) components transform into combinations of Φ_{+} and χ_{+} (resp. Φ_{-} and χ_{-}) under boosts and expansion. It is, therefore, not possible to further reduce the representation provided by the ψ_{\pm} .

The infinitesimal action, i.e., the action of the Schrödinger Lie algebra, can also be obtained from the spinor representation,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} P_{\mu} & = -i\partial_{\mu} & \text{translations,} \\ M_{\mu\nu} & = -i(x_{\mu}\partial_{\nu} - x_{\nu}\partial_{\mu}) + \frac{i}{4}[\gamma_{\mu}, \gamma_{\nu}] & \text{Lorentz transformations,} \\ d & = -ix^{\mu}\partial_{\mu} - \frac{3i}{2} & \text{dilations,} \\ K_{\mu} & = -i(x_{\nu}x^{\nu}\partial_{\mu} - x_{\mu}(3 + 2x^{\nu}\partial_{\nu})) - \frac{i}{2}[\gamma_{\mu}, \gamma_{\nu}]x^{\nu} & \text{conformal transformations,} \end{array} \right. \quad (6.3.16)$$

of the conformal algebra $\mathfrak{o}(4, 2)$ in (6.1.11).

Remember now that the massless Dirac equation can be obtained by varying the *matter action*

$$\mathcal{S} = \int_M \mathfrak{S}\{\bar{\psi} \not{D}\psi\} \sqrt{-g} d^4x. \quad (6.3.17)$$

The variational derivative with respect to the vector potential, $\delta\mathcal{S}/\delta a_{\mu}$, yields the current j^{μ} in Eq. (6.3.6), whose conservation, $\nabla_{\mu}j^{\mu} = 0$, follows from the invariance of \mathcal{S} with respect to gauge transformations.

Denote \mathcal{L} the *Lagrangian density* in (6.3.17). Then a short calculation [45] shows that $\delta_X\mathcal{L} = 0$ modulo a surface term. Thus, for any conformal vector field X , we have

$$\delta_X\mathcal{S} = 0 \quad (6.3.18)$$

⁴⁴An infinite-component, Majorana-type representation of the two-fold centrally extended Galilei group is presented in [134].

at the critical points of the matter action, \mathcal{S} , which again proves the conformal symmetry of our system. The energy-momentum tensor $\vartheta_{\mu\nu} = -2\delta\mathcal{S}/\delta g^{\mu\nu}$, *viz.*

$$\vartheta_{\mu\nu} = -2\frac{\delta\mathcal{S}}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}} = \frac{1}{2}\Im\left\{\bar{\psi}(\gamma_{\mu}D_{\nu} + \gamma_{\nu}D_{\mu})\psi\right\}, \quad (6.3.19)$$

is therefore traceless as well as conserved and is automatically symmetric. Hence, a conserved quantity, namely

$$\mathcal{Q}_X = \int \vartheta_{\mu\nu} X^{\mu}\xi^{\nu} \sqrt{\gamma} d^2\vec{x}, \quad (6.3.20)$$

where $\gamma = \det(g_{ij})$, is associated to each ξ -preserving conformal vector field. In detail, we get exactly those quantities listed above in (5.2.20).

Acknowledgements. P.A.H. is indebted to the *Institute of Modern Physics of the Chinese Academy of Sciences at Lanzhou* (China) and to the *Chern Institute of Mathematics of Nankai University* (China). P-M.Z. is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 10604024), and he is also indebted to the *International Center for Theoretical Physics* (ICTP) of Trieste (Italy) for hospitality. We would like to thank Noel Gorman, Kimyeong Lee and Erick Weinberg for correspondence and Zhao Zhenhua for his help to produce a computer plot.

7 REFERENCES

References

- [1] S. Kobayashi, K. Nomizu *Foundations of differential geometry*. Vol. I – II. Wiley, N.Y. (1963) and (1969).
- [2] S. S. Chern and J. Simons, “Some cohomology classes in principal fiber bundles and their application to riemannian geometry.” *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. (USA)* **68**, 791 (1971);
“Characteristic forms and geometrical invariants.” *Annals of Math.* **99**, 48 (1974);
S. S. Chern, *Complex Manifolds Without Potential Theory*. 2nd Edition. Appendix:
“The geometry of characteristic classes. 3: Secondary invariants.” Springer, N. Y. (1979).
- [3] J. Schonfeld, “A mass term for three-dimensional gauge field.”
Nucl. Phys. **B185**, 157 (1981).
- [4] S. Deser, R. Jackiw and S. Templeton, “Three dimensional massive gauge theories.”
Phys. Rev. Lett. **48**, 975 (1982);
“Topologically Massive Gauge Theories.”
Ann. Phys. **140**, 372 (1982).
- [5] R. Jackiw, “Quantization of physical parameters.”
Comm. Nucl. Part. Phys. **13**, 141 (1984).
- [6] P. A. Horváthy and C. Nash, “Geometric view on topologically massive gauge theories.”
Phys. Rev. **D33**, 1822 (1986).
- [7] P. A. Horváthy, “Classical action, the Wu-Yang phase factor and prequantization”.
Proc. Int. Coll. on *Diff. Geom. Meths. in Math. Phys.*, Aix-en Provence '79, Ed. J.-M. Souriau. Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics **836**, 67 (1980).
- [8] C. R. Hagen, “A new gauge theory without an elementary photon.”
Ann. Phys. (N.Y.), **157**, 342-359 (1984).
- [9] C. R. Hagen, “Galilean-invariant gauge theory.”
Phys. Rev. **D31**, 848 (1985).
- [10] E. H. Hall, “On the new action of magnetism on a permanent electric current.”
American Journal of Mathematics **2**, 287 (1879), also published as *Philosophical Magazine* **5**, 301 (1880).
- [11] K. v. Klitzing, G. Dorda, and M. Pepper, “New Method for High-Accuracy Determination of the Fine-Structure Constant Based on Quantized Hall Resistance.” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **45**, 494 (1980);
D. C. Tsui, H. L. Stormer, and A. C. Gossard, “Two-Dimensional Magnetotransport in the Extreme Quantum Limit.” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **48**, 1559 (1982).
- [12] *The Quantum Hall Effect*, ed. R. E. Prange and S. M. Girvin. Springer Verlag, New York (1986).

- [13] M. Stone, *The Quantum Hall Effect*.
Singapore: World Scientific (1992).
- [14] F. Ezawa, *Quantum Hall Effects*. World Scientific (2000).
- [15] R. B. Laughlin, “Anomalous quantum Hall effect: an incompressible quantum fluid with fractionally charged excitations.” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **50**, 1395 (1983).
- [16] E. M. Lifshitz and L. P. Pitaevski, *Statistical Physics Part 2*,
(Landau-Lifshitz Course of Theoretical Physics, Vol. 9). Butterworth-Heinemann (1995).
- [17] H. B. Nielsen and P. Olesen, “Vortex Line Models For Dual Strings.”
Nucl. Phys. **B61**, 45 (1973).
- [18] S. M. Girvin, in [12], Chap. 10.
- [19] S. M. Girvin and A. H. MacDonald, “Off-diagonal long-range order, oblique confinement, and the fractional quantum Hall effect.”
Phys. Rev. Lett. **58**, 1252 (1987).
- [20] R. Jackiw, “Fractional charge and zero modes for planar systems in a magnetic field.”
Phys. Rev. **D29**, 2375 (1984).
- [21] M. Friedman, J. Sokoloff, A. Widom, and Y. Srivastava, “Chiral anomaly and the rational quantization of the Hall conductance.” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **52**, 1587 (1984).
- [22] S. C. Zhang, T. H. Hansson and S. Kivelson, “Effective-field-theory model for the Fractional Quantum Hall Effect.” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **62**, 82 (1989).
- [23] N. Read, “Order Parameter and Ginzburg-Landau Theory for the Fractional Quantum Hall Effect.” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **62**, 86 (1989).
- [24] D. H. Lee and S. C. Zhang, “Collective excitations in the Ginzburg-Landau Theory of the Fractional Quantum Hall Effect.” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **66**, 1220 (1991).
- [25] S. C. Zhang, “The Chern-Simons-Landau-Ginzburg theory of the Fractional Quantum Hall Effect”.
Int. Journ. Mod. Phys. **B6**, 25 (1992).
- [26] Z. F. Ezawa, M. Hotta, and A. Iwazaki, “Nonrelativistic Chern-Simons vortices in magnetic field : their masses and spins.” *Phys. Lett.* **B261**, 443 (1991).
- [27] Z. F. Ezawa, M. Hotta, and A. Iwazaki, “Nonrelativistic Chern-Simons vortex solitons in external magnetic field.” *Phys. Rev.* **D44**, 452 (1991).
- [28] R. Tafelmayer, “Topological vortex solutions in effective field theories.”
Nucl. Phys. **B 396**, 386 (1993).
- [29] J. Fröhlich and U. Studer, “Gauge invariance and current algebra in nonrelativistic many body theory.” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **65**, 733 (1993).
- [30] R. P. Feynman, *Statistical Mechanics*. A Set of lectures. Frontiers in Physics Series 36. Addison-Wesley Publishing Co. (1972). Chapter 10.12 “Another point of view”.

- [31] S. K. Paul and A. Khare, “Charged vortices in an Abelian Higgs model with Chern-Simons term.” *Phys. Lett.* **B174**, 420 (1986).
- [32] H. J. De Vega and F. A. Schaposnik, “Electrically charged vortices in nonabelian gauge theories with Chern-Simons term.” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **56**, 2564 (1986);
H. J. de Vega, F. A. Schaposnik, “Vortices and electrically charged vortices in nonAbelian gauge theories.”
Phys.Rev. **D34** 3206 (1986).
- [33] C. N. Kumar and A. Khare, “Charged vortex of finite energy in nonabelian gauge theories with Chern-Simons term.” *Phys. Lett.* **B178**, 395 (1986).
- [34] D. Boyanovsky, “Vortices in the Landau-Ginzburg theories of anyonic superconductivity.” *Nucl. Phys.* **B 350**, 906 (1991).
- [35] J. Hong, Y. Kim and P. Y. Pac, “Multivortex solutions of the Abelian Chern-Simons-Higgs theory.” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **64**, 2230 (1990).
- [36] R. Jackiw and E. Weinberg, “Self-dual Chern-Simons vortices.”
Phys. Rev. Lett. **64**, 2234 (1990).
- [37] R. Jackiw, K.-Y. Lee and E. Weinberg, “Self-dual Chern-Simons solitons.”
Phys. Rev. **D42**, 3488 (1990).
- [38] R. Jackiw and S-Y. Pi, “Soliton solutions to the gauged nonlinear Schrödinger equation on the plane.” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **64**, 2969 (1990).
- [39] R. Jackiw and S-Y. Pi, “Classical and quantum nonrelativistic Chern-Simons Theory.”
Phys. Rev. **D42**, 3500 (1990).
- [40] R. Jackiw and S-Y. Pi, “Self-dual Chern-Simons solitons.”
Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **107**, 1 (1992).
- [41] G. Dunne, *Self-Dual Chern-Simons Theories*.
Springer Lecture Notes in Physics. New Series: Monograph 36. (1995).
- [42] Y. M. Cho, J. W. Kim, and D. H. Park, “Fermionic vortex solutions in Chern-Simons electrodynamics.” *Phys. Rev.* **D45**, 3802 (1992).
- [43] S. Li and R. K. Bhaduri, “Planar solitons of the gauged Dirac equation.”
Phys. Rev. **D43**, 3573 (1991).
- [44] C. Duval, P. A. Horváthy and L. Palla, “Spinor vortices in non-relativistic Chern-Simons theory.” *Phys. Rev.* **D52**, 4700 (1995) [[hep-th/9503061](#)].
- [45] C. Duval, P. A. Horváthy and L. Palla, “Spinors in non-relativistic Chern-Simons electromagnetism.” *Ann. Phys. (N. Y.)*. **249**, 265 (1996). [[hep-th/9510114](#)].
- [46] G. Dunne, R. Jackiw, S.-Y. Pi and C. Trugenberger, “Self-dual Chern-Simons solitons and two-dimensional nonlinear equations.” *Phys. Rev.* **D43**, 1332 (1991), (E) **D45**, 3012 (1992) (erratum).

- [47] P. Olesen, “Soliton condensation in some selfdual Chern-Simons theories.”
Phys. Lett. **B265**, 361 (1991); Erratum-ibid. **B267**, 541 (1991).
- [48] L. Martina, O. K. Pashaev, and G. Soliani, “Chern-Simons gauge field theory of two-dimensional ferromagnets.” *Phys. Rev.* **B48**, 15 787 (1993).
- [49] Z.F. Ezawa and, A. Iwazaki, “Chern-Simons gauge theory for double layer electron system.”
Int. J. Mod. Phys. **B6**, 3205 (1992).
- [50] M. Torres, “Bogomol’nyi limit for nontopological solitons in a Chern-Simons model with anomalous magnetic moment.” *Phys. Rev.* **D46**, R2295 (1992).
- [51] S. K. Paul and A. Khare, “Self-dual factorization of the Proca equation with Chern-Simons term in $4k - 1$ dimensions.” *Phys. Lett.* **B171**, 244 (1986).
- [52] P. K. Townsend, K. Pilch, P. van Nieuwenhuizen, “Selfduality in odd dimensions.”
Phys. Lett. **136B**, 38 (1984).
- [53] S. Deser and R. Jackiw, “Self-duality” of topologically massive gauge theories.”
Phys. Lett. **139B**, 371 (1984).
- [54] P. K. Tripathy and A. Khare, “Self-duality of topologically massive Born-Infeld theory.”
Phys. Lett. **B504**, 152 (2001).
- [55] N. S. Manton, “A Remark on the Scattering of BPS Monopoles.”
Phys. Lett. **B110**, 54 (1982).
- [56] N. S. Manton, “Monopole Interactions at Long Range.” *Phys. Lett.* **B154**, 397 (1985).
- [57] E.P.S. Shellard and P.J. Ruback, “Vortex scattering in two-dimensions.”
Phys. Lett. **B209**, 262 (1988).
- [58] P.J. Ruback, “Vortex String Motion In The Abelian Higgs Model.”
Nucl. Phys. **B296**, 669 (1988).
- [59] Ki-Myeong Lee, “Vortex dynamics in self-dual Maxwell-Higgs systems with a uniform background electric charge density.” *Phys. Rev.* **D 49**, 4265 (1994).
- [60] S.-K. Kim and H. Min, “Statistical interactions between Chern-Simons vortices.”
Phys. Lett. **B281**, 81 (1992).
- [61] Long Hua and Chihong Chou, “Dynamics of non-relativistic Chern-Simons solitons.”
Phys. Lett. **B 308**, 286 (1993).
- [62] Y. Kim and K. Lee, “Vortex dynamics in self-dual Chern-Simons Higgs systems.”
Phys. Rev. **D 49**, 2041 (1994).
- [63] J. Dziarmaga, “Short range interactions of Chern-Simons vortices.”
Phys. Lett. **B 320**, 69 (1994).
- [64] Q. Liu, “Chern-Simons soliton dynamics in modular parameter space.”
Phys. Lett. **B 321**, 219 (1994);

- [65] Q. Liu and A. Stern, “Magnus force and Chern-Simons vortices.” *Phys. Rev. D* **52** 1300 (1995).
- [66] D. Bak and Hyuk-jae Lee, “Moduli space dynamics of vortices in a first order.” *Phys. Lett.* **B432** 175 (1998).
- [67] E. Madelung, “Quantum Theory in hydrodynamical Form,” (in German). *Z. Phys* **40**, 322 (1926).
- [68] R. Jackiw, *A Particle field theorist’s lectures on supersymmetric, nonAbelian fluid mechanics and d-branes*. [physics/0010042]. CRM Series in Mathematical Physics. Springer (2002).
- [69] M. Le Bellac and J.-M. Lévy-Leblond, “Galilean electromagnetism.” *Il Nuovo Cimento* **14B**, 217 (1973).
- [70] J. G. Bednorz and K. A. Müller, “Possible high Tc superconductivity in the Ba-La-Cu-O system.” *Z. Phys.* **B64**, 189 (1986).
- [71] M. Hassaine, P. A. Horváthy and J.-C. Yera, “Vortices in the Landau-Ginzburg Model of the Quantized Hall Effect.” *Journ. Phys.* **A 31**, 9073 (1998).
- [72] R. D. Pisarski and S. Rao, “Topologically Massive Chromodynamics In The Perturbative Regime,” *Phys. Rev. D* **32** (1985) 2081.
- [73] V.I. Inozemtsev, “On Charged Vortices of the (2+1)-Dimensional Abelian Higgs Model.” *Europhys. Lett.* **5**, 113 (1988);
- [74] G. Lozano, M. V. Manias, and F. A. Schaposnik, “The Charged Vortex Solution To Spontaneously Broken Gauge Theories With Chern-Simons Term.” *Phys. Rev.* **D38**, 601 (1988);
- [75] L. Jacobs, A. Khare, C. N. Kumar, S. K. Paul, “The Interaction Of Chern-Simons Vortices.” *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A6**, 3441 (1991).
- [76] N. H. Christ and T. D. Lee, “Quantum expansion of soliton solutions.” *Phys. Rev.* **D12**, 1606 (1975).
- [77] C.-K. Lee, K.-Y. Lee and E. Weinberg, “Supersymmetry and self dual Chern-Simons systems.” *Phys. Lett.* **B243**, 105 (1990).
- [78] Bum-Hoon Lee, Choonkyu Lee, and Hyunsoo Min “Supersymmetric Chern-Simons vortex systems and fermion zero modes.” *Phys. Rev.* **D45**, 4588 (1992)
- [79] S. Deser and Z. Yang, “A remark on the Higgs effect in presence of Chern-Simons terms.” *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A4**, 2123 (1989).
- [80] E. B. Bogomol’ny, “The stability of classical solutions.” *Yad. Fiz.* **24**, 861 (1976) [*Sov. J. Nucl. Phys.* **24**, 449 (1976)].
- [81] H. J. de Vega, F. A. Schaposnik, “Classical Vortex Solution of the Abelian Higgs Model.” *Phys. Rev.* **D14**, 1100 (1976).

- [82] E. Weinberg, “Multivortex solutions of the Ginzburg-Landau equations.” *Phys. Rev.* **D19**, 3008 (1979).
- [83] Kimyeong Lee and Piljin Yi, “Self-dual anyons in uniform background fields.” *Phys. Rev.* **D52**, 2412 (1995).
- [84] Yisong Yang, *Solitons in Field Theory and Nonlinear Analysis*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer : 2001.
- [85] J. Spruck and Y. Yang, “The Existence of nontopological solitons in the selfdual Chern-Simons theory,” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **149** 361 (1992);
- [86] A. Khare, “Nontopological Chern-Simons vortices in a scale invariant tricritical Abelian Higgs model.” *J. Phys.* **A24** L97 (1991).
- [87] R. Jackiw, N.S. Manton, “Symmetries And Conservation Laws In Gauge Theories.” *Ann. Phys.* **127**, 257 (1980).
- [88] R. Jackiw, “Introducing scale symmetry.” *Phys. Today* **25**, 23 (1972).
- [89] U. Niederer, “The maximal kinematical invariance group of the free Schrödinger equation.” *Helv. Phys. Acta* **45**, 802 (1972).
- [90] C. R. Hagen, “Scale and conformal transformations in Galilean-covariant field theory.” *Phys. Rev.* **D5**, 377 (1972).
- [91] B. Chakraborty and A. S. Majumdar, “On Galilean covariance in a nonrelativistic model involving a Chern-Simons term.” *Ann. Phys.* **250**, 112 (1996);
R. Banerjee and P. Mukherjee, “Galilean symmetry in a nonabelian Chern-Simons matter system.” *Ann. Phys.* **264**, 30 (1998).
- [92] C. Duval, P. A. Horváthy and L. Palla, “Conformal symmetry of the coupled Chern-Simons and gauged non-linear Schrödinger equations.” *Phys. Lett.* **B325**, 39 (1994) [[hep-th/9401065](#)].
- [93] M. Hassaine, P. A. Horváthy and J.-C. Yera, “Non-relativistic Maxwell-Chern-Simons Vortices.” *Ann. Phys. (N.Y.)* **263**, 276 (1998) [[hep-th/9706188](#)].
- [94] C. H. Taubes, “Arbitrary N -vortex solutions to the first-order Ginzburg-Landau equation.” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **72**, 277-292 (1980).
- [95] C. H. Taubes, “On the equivalence of the first and second order equations for gauge theories.” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **75**, 207 (1980).
- [96] A. Jaffe and C. Taubes, *Vortices and monopoles*. Birkhäuser: Boston (1980).
- [97] S. K. Kim, W. Namgung, K. S. Soh, and J. H. Yee, “Inversion symmetry and flux quantization in the nonrelativistic Chern-Simons solitons”. *Phys. Rev.* **D46**, 1882 (1992).
- [98] S. K. Kim, W. Namgung, K. S. Soh, and J. H. Yee, “Index theory for the nonrelativistic Chern-Simons solitons”. *Phys. Rev.* **D42**, 4139 (1990).

- [99] P. A. Horváthy, J.-C. Yera, “Vortex solutions of the Liouville equation.” *Lett. Math. Phys.* **46**, 111-120 (1998) [hep-th/9805161]. See also Xi-Guo Lee, Zi-Yu Liu, Yong-Qing Li, Peng-Ming Zhang, “Topological structure of the many vortices solution in Jackiw-Pi model.” *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A23** 1055 (2008) [hep-th/0610142]
- [100] L. V. Ahlfors, *Complex analysis*. MacGraw-Hill (1979).
- [101] P. A. Horváthy, “The topology of non-topological Chern-Simons vortices.” *Lett. Math. Phys.* **49**, 67-70 (1999) [hep-th/9903116].
- [102] J. Arafune, P. G. O. Freund, and C. J. Goebel, “Topology of Higgs fields.” *J. Math. Phys.* **16**, 433 (1975);
- [103] P. Goddard and D. Olive, “Monopoles in gauge theory.” *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **41**, 1357 (1978).
- [104] P. A. Horváthy and J. H. Rawnsley, “Topological charges in monopole theory.” *Comm. Math. Phys.* **96**, 497 (1984);
“Monopole charges for arbitrary compact gauge groups and Higgs fields in any representation.” *Comm. Math. Phys.* **99**, 517 (1985).
- [105] A. A. Belavin, A. D. Polyakov, “Metastable states of two-dimensional isotropic ferromagnets.” *JETP Lett.* **22**, 245 (1975).
- [106] P. Donatis and R. Iengo, “Comment on vortices in Chern-Simons and Maxwell electrodynamics with Higgs fields.” *Phys. Lett.* **B320**, 64 (1994).
- [107] G. Lozano, “Ground state energy for nonrelativistic bosons coupled to Chern-Simons gauge fields.” *Phys. Lett.* **B283**, 70 (1992).
- [108] I. Barashenkov and A. Harin, “Non-relativistic Chern-Simons theory for the repulsive Bose gas.” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **72**, 1575 (1994);
- [109] I. Barashenkov and A. Harin, “Topological excitations in a condensate of nonrelativistic bosons coupled to Maxwell and Chern-Simons fields.” *Phys. Rev.* **D52**, 2471 (1995).
- [110] N. Manton, “First order vortex dynamics.” *Ann. Phys. (N. Y.)*. **256**, 114 (1997).
- [111] N.S. Manton and J.M. Speight, “Asymptotic interactions of critically coupled vortices.” *Comm. Math. Phys.* **236**, 535 (2003). [hep-th/0205307]
- [112] M. Hassaine and P. A. Horváthy, “The symmetries of the Manton superconductivity model.” *J. Geom. Phys.* **34**, 242 (2000).
- [113] C. Lee, K. Lee, H. Min, “Self-dual Maxwell Chern-Simons solitons.” *Phys. Lett.* **B252**, 79 (1990).
- [114] G. Dunne and C. Trugenberger, “Selfduality and nonrelativistic Maxwell-Chern-Simons solitons.” *Phys. Rev.* **D43**, 1323 (1991).
- [115] Bum-Hoon Lee and Hyunsoo Min, “Quantum Aspects of Supersymmetric Maxwell Chern-Simons Solitons.” *Phys. Rev. D* **51**, 4458 (1995)

- [116] Z. F. Ezawa, M. Hotta and A. Iwazaki, “Breathing vortex solitons in nonrelativistic Chern-Simons gauge theory.” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **67**, 411 (1991); (E) *ibid.* **67** 1475 (1991);
- [117] Z. F. Ezawa, M. Hotta and A. Iwazaki, “Time dependent topological Chern-Simons solitons in external magnetic field.” *Phys. Rev.* **D44**, 3906 (1991).
- [118] R. Jackiw and S-Y. Pi, “Semiclassical Landau levels of anyons” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **67**, 415 (1991).
- [119] R. Jackiw and S-Y. Pi, “Time-dependent Chern-Simons solitons and their quantization.” *Phys. Rev.* **D44**, 2524 (1991).
- [120] U. Niederer, “The maximal kinematical invariance group of the harmonic oscillator.” *Helvetica Physica Acta* **46**, 191 (1973).
- [121] G. Burdet, C. Duval, M. Perrin, “Time dependent quantum systems and chronoprojective geometry.” *Lett. Math. Phys.* **10**, 255 (1985).
- [122] M. Hotta, “Imported symmetry and two breathing modes in Chern-Simons theory with external magnetic field.” *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **86**, 1289 (1991).
- [123] J-M. Lévy-Leblond, “Non-relativistic particles and wave equations.” *Comm. Math. Phys.* **6**, 286 (1967).
- [124] C. Duval, G. Burdet, H. P. Künzle, M. Perrin, “Bargmann Structures And Newton-Cartan Theory.” *Phys. Rev.* **D31**, 1841 (1985).
- [125] C. Duval, G. W. Gibbons, and P. A. Horváthy, “Celestial Mechanics, Conformal Structures and Gravitational Waves”. *Phys. Rev.* **D43**, 3907-22 (1991) [hep-th/0512188]. Similar ideas have been proposed, independently, by Gomis et al. in Ref. [126].
- [126] J. Gomis, J.M. Pons, “Poincare Transformations and Galilei Transformations.” *Phys.Lett.* **A66**, 463 (1978);
J. Gomis, A. Poch, J. M. Pons, “Poincare wave equations as Fourier transforms of Galilei wave equations.” *J. Math. Phys.* **21**, 2682 (1980).
The relation between null geodesics and non-relativistic motion has already been noticed by Eisenhart [127].
- [127] L. P. Eisenhart, “Dynamical trajectories and geodesics.” *Ann. Math. (Ser 2)* **30**, 591 (1929).
- [128] C. Duval, Z. Horvath and P. A. Horvathy, “Vanishing of the conformal anomaly for strings in a gravitational wave,” *Phys. Lett. B* **313** (1993) 10 [arXiv:hep-th/0306059].
C. Duval, Z. Horvath and P. A. Horvathy, “Strings in plane-fronted gravitational waves,” *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **8** (1993) 3749 [arXiv:hep-th/0602128].
A. A. Tseytlin, “String Vacuum Backgrounds With Covariantly Constant Null Killing Vector And 2-D Quantum Gravity,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **390**, 153 (1993) [arXiv:hep-th/9209023].
C. Klimcik and A. A. Tseytlin, “Duality invariant class of exact string backgrounds,” *Phys. Lett. B* **323**, 305 (1994) [arXiv:hep-th/9311012].

- [129] S. M. Carroll, G. B. Field and R. Jackiw, “Limits on a Lorentz and Parity Violating Modification of Electrodynamics,” *Phys. Rev. D* **41** (1990) 1231.
- [130] V. P. Maslov, *The Theory of Perturbations and Asymptotic Methods* (in Russian), MGU: Moscow, (1965) (in Russian).
See also P. A. Horváthy : “Extended Feynman formula for harmonic oscillator.” *Int. Journ. Theor. Phys.* **18**, 245 (1979).
- [131] C. Duval, P. A. Horváthy and L. Palla, “Conformal properties of Chern-Simons vortices in external fields.”
Phys. Rev. **D50**, 6658 (1994) [[hep-ph/9405229](#)].
- [132] R. Jackiw, “Dynamical symmetry of the magnetic vortex.”
Annals of Physics **201**, 83 (1990).
- [133] C. Duval, “The Dirac & Lévy-Leblond equations and geometric quantization.” *Proc. XIVth Int. Conf. Diff. Geom. Meths. in Math. Phys.*. Salamanca '85. (Garcia and Pérez-Rendón., Eds.). *Lecture Notes in Math.* **1251**, p. 205, Springer Verlag (1985);
“Nonrelativistic conformal symmetries and bargmann structures,” *Proc. '85 Clausthal Conf.* (Barut and Doebner Eds. *Lecture Notes in Physics* **261**, p. 162, Springer Verlag (1986).
- [134] P. A. Horvathy and M. S. Plyushchay, “Anyon wave equations and the noncommutative plane,” *Phys. Lett. B* **595** (2004) 547 [[arXiv:hep-th/0404137](#)].