# Singular Stochastic Equations on Hilbert Spaces: Harnack Inequalities for their Transition Semigroups

Giuseppe Da Prato <sup>∗</sup> , Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Italy

Michael Röckner<sup>†</sup>

Faculty of Mathematics, University of Bielefeld, Germany and

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Purdue University, W. Lafayette, 47906, IN, U. S. A.

Feng-Yu Wang ‡

School of Math. Sci. and Lab. Math Com. Sys. Beijing Normal University, 100875, China

and

Department of Mathematics, Swansea University, Singleton Park, SA2 8PP, Swansea, UK

#### Abstract

We consider stochastic equations in Hilbert spaces with singular drift in the framework of [\[7\]](#page-28-0). We prove a Harnack inequality (in the sense of [\[18\]](#page-29-0)) for its transition semigroup and exploit its consequences.

<sup>∗</sup>Supported in part by "Equazioni di Kolmogorov" from the Italian "Ministero della Ricerca Scientifica e Tecnologica"

<sup>†</sup>Supported by the DFG through SFB-701 and IRTG 1132, by NSF-Grant 0603742 as well as by the BIBOS-Research Center.

<sup>‡</sup>The corresponding author. Supported in part by WIMCS, Creative Research Group Fund of the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 10721091) and the 973- Project.

In particular, we prove regularizing and ultraboundedness properties of the transition semigroup as well as that the corresponding Kolmogorov operator has at most one infinitesimally invariant measure  $\mu$  (satisfying some mild integrability conditions). Finally, we prove existence of such a measure  $\mu$  for non-continuous drifts.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification AMS: 60H15, 35R15, 35J15, Key words: Stochastic differential equations, Harnack inequality, monotone coefficients, Yosida approximation, Kolmogorov operators.

## 1 Introduction, framework and main results

In this paper we continue our study of stochastic equations in Hilbert spaces with singular drift through its associated Kolmogorov equations started in [\[7\]](#page-28-0). The main aim is to prove a Harnack inequality for its transition semigroup in the sense of [\[18\]](#page-29-0) (see also [\[1,](#page-28-1) [16,](#page-29-1) [19\]](#page-29-2) for further development) and exploit its consequences. See also [\[14\]](#page-29-3) for an improvement of the main results in [\[16\]](#page-29-1) concerning generalized Mehler semigroups. To describe our results more precisely, let us first recall the framework from [\[7\]](#page-28-0).

Consider the stochastic equation

<span id="page-1-1"></span>
$$
\begin{cases}\n dX(t) = (AX(t) + F(X(t)))dt + \sigma dW(t) \\
 X(0) = x \in H.\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(1.1)

Here H is a real separable Hilbert space with inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  and norm |  $\cdot$  |,  $W = W(t)$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , is a cylindrical Brownian motion on H defined on a stochastic basis  $(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, (\mathscr{F}_t)_{t\geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$  and the coefficients satisfy the following hypotheses:

(H1)  $(A, D(A))$  is the generator of a  $C_0$ -semigroup,  $T_t = e^{tA}, t \ge 0$ , on H and for some  $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ 

<span id="page-1-0"></span>
$$
\langle Ax, x \rangle \le \omega |x|^2, \quad \forall \ x \in D(A). \tag{1.2}
$$

(H2)  $\sigma \in L(H)$  (the space of all bounded linear operators on H) such that  $\sigma$  is positive definite, self-adjoint and

- (i)  $\int^{\infty}$ 0  $(1+t^{-\alpha})||T_t\sigma||_{HS}^2dt < \infty$  for some  $\alpha > 0$ , where  $||\cdot||_{HS}$  denotes the norm on the space of all Hilbert–Schmidt operators on  $H$ .
- (ii)  $\sigma^{-1} \in L(H)$ .

(H3)  $F: D(F) \subset H \to 2^H$  is an *m*-dissipative map, i.e.,

$$
\langle u - v, x - y \rangle \le 0, \quad \forall \ x, y \in D(F), \ u \in F(x), \ v \in F(y),
$$

("dissipativity") and

Range 
$$
(I - F) := \bigcup_{x \in D(F)} (x - F(x)) = H.
$$

Furthermore,  $F_0(x) \in F(x)$ ,  $x \in D(F)$ , is such that

$$
|F_0(x)| = \min_{y \in F(x)} |y|.
$$

Here we recall that for F as in (H3) we have that  $F(x)$  is closed, non empty and convex.

The corresponding Kolmogorov operator is then given as follows: Let  $\mathscr{E}_A(H)$  denote the linear span of all real parts of functions of the form  $\varphi =$  $e^{i\langle h,\cdot\rangle}, h \in D(A^*),$  where  $A^*$  denotes the adjoint operator of A, and define for any  $x \in D(F)$ ,

$$
L_0\varphi(x) = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr} (\sigma^2 D^2 \varphi(x)) + \langle x, A^* D\varphi(x) \rangle + \langle F_0(x), D\varphi(x) \rangle, \quad \varphi \in \mathscr{E}_A(H).
$$
\n(1.3)

Additionally, we assume:

(H4) There exists a probability measure  $\mu$  on H (equipped with its Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathscr{B}(H)$ ) such that

<span id="page-2-0"></span>(i) 
$$
\mu(D(F)) = 1
$$
,  
\n(ii)  $\int_H (1+|x|^2)(1+|F_0(x)|)\mu(dx) < \infty$ ,  
\n(iii)  $\int_H L_0 \varphi d\mu = 0$  for all  $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}_A(H)$ .

Remark 1.1 (i) A measure for which the last equality in (H4) (makes sense and) holds is called *infinitesimally invariant* for  $(L_0, \mathscr{E}_A(H))$ .

(ii) Since  $\omega$  in [\(1.2\)](#page-1-0) is an arbitrary real number we can relax (H3) by allowing that for some  $c \in (0, \infty)$ 

$$
\langle u - v, x - y \rangle \le c |x - y|^2, \quad \forall x, y \in D(F), \ u \in F(x), \ v \in F(y).
$$

We simply replace F by  $F - c$  and A by  $A + c$  to reduce this case to (H3).

(iii) At this point we would like to stress that under the above assumptions (H1)-(H4) (and (H5) below) because  $F_0$  is merely measurable and  $\sigma$  is not Hilbert-Schmidt, it is unknown whether [\(1.1\)](#page-1-1) has a strong solution.

(iv) Similarly as in [\[7\]](#page-28-0) (see [\[7,](#page-28-0) Remark 4.4] in particular) we expect that (H2)(ii) can be relaxed to the condition that  $\sigma = (-A)^{-\gamma}$  for some  $\gamma \in$  $[0, 1/2]$ . However, some of the approximation arguments below become more involved. So, for simplicity we assume (H2)(ii).

The following are the main results of [\[7\]](#page-28-0) which we shall use below.

Theorem 1.2 (cf. [6, Theorem 2.3 and Corollary 2.5])  $Assume(H1)$ .  $(H2)(i)$ ,  $(H3)$  and  $(H4)$ . Then for any measure  $\mu$  as in  $(H4)$  the operator  $(L_0, \mathscr{E}_A(H))$  is dissipative on  $L^1(H, \mu)$ , hence closable. Its closure  $(L_{\mu}, D(L_{\mu}))$  generates a  $C_0$ -semigroup  $P_t^{\mu}$  $t^{\mu}, t \geq 0$ , on  $L^1(H, \mu)$  which is Markovian, i.e.,  $P_t^{\mu}1 = 1$  and  $P_t^{\mu} f \geq 0$  for all nonnegative  $f \in L^1(H, \mu)$ and all  $t > 0$ . Furthermore,  $\mu$  is  $P_t^{\mu}$  $t^{\mu}$ -invariant, i.e.,

<span id="page-3-1"></span>
$$
\int_H P_t^{\mu} f d\mu = \int_H f d\mu, \quad \forall f \in L^1(H, \mu).
$$

Below  $B_b(H)$ ,  $C_b(H)$  denote the bounded Borel-measurable, continuous functions respectively from H into R and  $\|\cdot\|$  denotes the usual norm on  $L(H)$ .

<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Theorem 1.3 (cf. [6, Proposition 5.7])** Assume  $(H1)-(H4)$  hold. Then for any measure  $\mu$  as in (H4) and  $H_0 := \text{supp }\mu$  (:=largest closed set of H whose complement is a  $\mu$ -zero set) there exists a semigroup  $p_t^{\mu}$  $_{t}^{\mu}(x,dy), x \in H_0,$  $t > 0$ , of kernels such that  $p_t^{\mu} f$  is a  $\mu$ -version of  $P_t^{\mu} f$  for all  $f \in B_b(H)$ ,  $t > 0$ , where as usual

$$
p_t^{\mu} f(x) = \int_H f(y) p_t^{\mu}(x, dy), \quad x \in H_0.
$$

Furthermore, for all  $f \in B_b(H)$ ,  $t > 0$ ,  $x, y \in H_0$ 

<span id="page-4-2"></span><span id="page-4-0"></span>
$$
|p_t^{\mu} f(x) - p_t^{\mu} f(y)| \le \frac{e^{|\omega|t}}{\sqrt{t \wedge 1}} \|f\|_0 \|\sigma^{-1}\| |x - y|
$$
 (1.4)

and for all  $f \in Lip_b(H)$  (:= all bounded Lipschitz functions on H)

$$
|p_t^{\mu} f(x) - p_t^{\mu} f(y)| \le e^{|\omega|t} ||f||_{Lip}|x - y|, \quad \forall \ t > 0, \ x, y \in H_0,
$$
 (1.5)

<span id="page-4-1"></span>and

$$
\lim_{t \to 0} p_t^{\mu} f(x) = f(x), \quad \forall \ x \in H_0.
$$
\n(1.6)

(Here  $||f||_{0}$ ,  $||f||_{Lip}$  denote the supremum, Lipschitz norm of f respectively.) Finally,  $\mu$  is  $p_t^{\mu}$  $\mu_t^{\mu}$ -invariant.

**Remark 1.4** (i) Both results above have been proved in [\[7\]](#page-28-0) on  $L^2(H,\mu)$ rather than on  $L^1(H,\mu)$ , but the proofs for  $L^1(H,\mu)$  are entirely analogous.

(ii) In [\[7\]](#page-28-0) we assume  $\omega$  in (H1) to be negative, getting a stronger estimate than  $(1.4)$  (cf.  $[7, (5.11)]$ ). But the same proof as in  $[7]$  leads to  $(1.4)$  for arbitrary  $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$  (cf. the proof of [\[7,](#page-28-0) Proposition 4.3] for  $t \in [0,1]$ ). Then by virtue of the semigroup property and since  $p_t^{\mu}$  $t<sub>t</sub><sup>\mu</sup>$  is Markov we get  $(1.4)$  for all  $t > 0$ .

(iii) Theorem [1.3](#page-3-0) holds in more general situations since  $(H2)(ii)$  can be relaxed (cf. [\[7,](#page-28-0) Remark 4.4] and [\[5,](#page-28-2) Proposition 8.3.3]).

(iv) [\(1.4\)](#page-4-0) above implies that  $p_t^{\mu}$  $\mu_t^{\mu}$ ,  $t > 0$ , is strongly Feller, i.e.,  $p_t^{\mu}$  $_{t}^{\mu}(B_{b}(H))\subset$  $C(H_0)$  (=all continuous functions on  $H_0$ ). We shall prove below that under the additional condition (H5) we even have  $p_t^{\mu}$  $t^{\mu}(L^p(H,\mu)) \subset C(H_0)$  for all  $p > 1$  and that  $\mu$  in (H4) is unique. However, so far we have not been able to prove that for this unique  $\mu$  we have supp  $\mu = H$ , though we conjecture that this is true.  $\Box$ 

For the results on Harnack inequalities, in this paper we need one more condition.

(H5) (i)  $(1+\omega-A, D(A))$  satisfies the weak sector condition (cf. e.g. [\[12\]](#page-29-4)), i.e., there exists a constant  $K > 0$  such that

$$
\langle (1+\omega-A)x, y \rangle \le K \langle (1+\omega-A)x, x \rangle^{1/2} \langle (1+\omega-A)y, y \rangle^{1/2}, \quad \forall x, y \in D(A). \tag{1.7}
$$

<span id="page-5-4"></span>(ii) There exists a sequence of A-invariant finite dimensional subspaces  $H_n \subset D(A)$  such that  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} H_n$  is dense in H.

We note that if A is self-adjoint, then  $(H2)$  implies that A has a discrete spectrum which in turn implies that  $(H5)(ii)$  holds.

**Remark 1.5** Let  $(A, D(A))$  satisfy  $(H1)$ . Then the following is well known: (i) (H5) (i) is equivalent to the fact that the semigroup generated by  $(1 +$  $\omega - A$ ,  $D(A)$  on the complexification  $H_{\mathbb{C}}$  of H is a holomorphic contraction semigroup on  $H_{\mathbb{C}}$  (cf. e.g. [\[12,](#page-29-4) Chapter I, Corollary 2.21]).

(ii) (H5) (i) is equivalent to  $(1 + \omega - A, D(A))$  being variational. Indeed, let  $(\mathscr{E}, D(\mathscr{E}))$  be the coercive closed form generated by  $(1 + \omega - A, D(A))$ (cf. [\[12,](#page-29-4) Chapter I, Section 2]) and  $(\tilde{\mathscr{E}}, D(\mathscr{E}))$  be its symmetric part. Then define

$$
V := D(\mathscr{E}) \text{ with inner product } \widetilde{\mathscr{E}} \text{ and } V^* \text{ to be its dual.} \tag{1.8}
$$

Then

$$
V \subset H \subset V^* \tag{1.9}
$$

and  $1 + \omega - A : D(A) \to H$  has a natural unique continuous extension from  $V$  to  $V^*$  satisfying all the required properties (cf. [\[12,](#page-29-4) Chapter I, Section 2, in particular Remark 2.5]).

<span id="page-5-0"></span>Now we can formulate the main result of this paper, namely the Harnack inequality for  $p_t^{\mu}$  $t^{\mu}, t > 0.$ 

**Theorem 1.6** Suppose  $(H1) - (H5)$  hold and let  $\mu$  be any measure as in (*H*4) and  $p_t^{\mu}$  $t^\mu_t(x,dy)$  as in Theorem [1.3](#page-3-0) above. Let  $p\in (1,\infty)$ . Then for all  $f \in B_b(H)$ ,  $f \geq 0$ ,

<span id="page-5-3"></span>
$$
(p_t^{\mu} f(x))^p \le p_t^{\mu} f^p(y) \exp\left[ \|\sigma^{-1}\|^2 \frac{p\omega |x - y|^2}{(p - 1)(1 - e^{-2\omega t})} \right], \quad t > 0, \ x, y \in H_0.
$$
\n(1.10)

<span id="page-5-1"></span>As consequences in the situation of Theorem [1.6](#page-5-0) (i.e. assuming (H1)-(H5)) we obtain:

<span id="page-5-2"></span>Corollary 1.7 For all  $t > 0$  and  $p \in (1, \infty)$ 

$$
p_t^{\mu}(L^p(H,\mu)) \subset C(H_0).
$$

Corollary 1.8  $\mu$  in (H4) is unique.

<span id="page-6-0"></span>Because of this result below we write  $p_t(x, dy)$  instead of  $p_t^{\mu}$  $\mu_t^{\mu}(x, dy)$ . Finally, we have

Corollary 1.9 (i) For every  $x \in H_0$ ,  $p_t(x, dy)$  has a density  $\rho_t(x, y)$  with respect to  $\mu$  and

$$
\|\rho_t(x,\cdot)\|_p^{p/(p-1)} \le \frac{1}{\int_H \exp\left[-\|\sigma^{-1}\|^2 \frac{p\omega|x-y|^2}{(1-e^{-2\omega t})}\right] \mu(dy)}, \quad x \in H_0, \ p \in (1,\infty).
$$
\n(1.11)

(ii) If  $\mu(e^{\lambda|\cdot|^2}) < \infty$  for some  $\lambda > 2(\omega \wedge 0)^2 ||\sigma^{-1}||^2$ , then  $p_t$  is hyperbounded, i.e.  $||p_t||_{L^2(H,\mu)\to L^4(H,\mu)} < \infty$  for some  $t > 0$ .

<span id="page-6-1"></span>Corollary 1.10 For simplicity, let  $\sigma = I$  and instead of (H1) assume that more strongly  $(A, D(A))$  is self-adjoint satisfying  $(1.2)$ . We furthermore assume that  $|F_0| \in L^2(H, \mu)$ .

(i) There exists  $M \in \mathcal{B}(H_0)$ ,  $M \subset D(F)$ ,  $\mu(M) = 1$  such that for every  $x \in M$  equation [\(1.1\)](#page-1-1) has a pointwise unique continuous strong solution (in the mild sense see [\(4.11\)](#page-18-0) below), such that  $X(t) \in M$  for all  $t > 0$   $\mathbb{P}\text{-}a.s.$ .

(ii) Suppose there exists  $\Phi \in C([0,\infty))$  positive and strictly increasing such that  $\lim_{s\to\infty} s^{-1}\Phi(s) = \infty$  and

<span id="page-6-3"></span>
$$
\Psi(s) := \int_{s}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}r}{\Phi(r)} < \infty, \quad \forall \ s > 0. \tag{1.12}
$$

If there exists a constant  $c > 0$  such that

$$
\langle F_0(x) - F_0(y), x - y \rangle \le c - \Phi(|x - y|^2), \quad \forall x, y \in D(F), \tag{1.13}
$$

then  $p_t$  is ultrabounded with

<span id="page-6-2"></span>
$$
||p_t||_{L^2(H,\mu)\to L^\infty(H,\mu)} \le \exp\left[\frac{\lambda(1+\Psi^{-1}(t/4))}{(1-e^{-\omega t/2})^2}\right], \quad t>0,
$$

holding for some constant  $\lambda > 0$ .

**Remark 1.11** We emphasize that since the nonlinear part  $F_0$  of our Kolmogorov operator is in general not continuous, it was quite surprising for us that in this infinite dimensional case nevertheless the generated semigroup  $P_t$  maps  $L^1$ - functions to continuous ones as stated in Corollary [1.7.](#page-5-1)

The proof that Corollary [1.9](#page-6-0) follows from Theorem [1.6](#page-5-0) is completely standard. So, we will omit the proofs and instead refer to [\[16\]](#page-29-1), [\[19\]](#page-29-2).

Corollary [1.7](#page-5-1) is new and follows whenever a semigroup  $p_t$  satisfies the Harnack inequality (see Proposition [4.1](#page-15-0) below).

Corollary [1.8](#page-5-2) is new. Since [\(1.10\)](#page-5-3) implies irreducibility of  $p_t^{\mu}$  and Corollary [1.7](#page-5-1) implies that it is strongly Feller, a well known theorem due to Doob immediately implies that  $\mu$  is the unique invariant measure for  $p_t^{\mu}$  $t_t^{\mu}, t > 0. p_t^{\mu}$  $_t^\mu,$ however, depends on  $\mu$ , so Corollary [1.8](#page-5-2) is a stronger statement. Corollary [1.10](#page-6-1) is also new.

Theorem [1.6](#page-5-0) as well as Corollaries [1.7,](#page-5-1) [1.8](#page-5-2) and [1.10](#page-6-1) will be proved in Section 4. In Section 3 we first prove Theorem [1.6](#page-5-0) in case  $F_0$  is Lipschitz, and in Section 2 we prepare the tools that allow us to reduce the general case to the Lipschitz case. In Section 5 we prove two results (see Theorems [5.2](#page-23-0) and [5.4\)](#page-26-0) on the existence of a measure satisfying (H4) under some additional conditions and present an application to an example where  $F_0$  is not continuous. For a discussion of a number of other explicit examples satisfying our conditions see [\[7,](#page-28-0) Section 9].

# 2 Reduction to regular  $F_0$

Let F be as in  $(H3)$ . As in [\[7\]](#page-28-0) we may consider the Yosida approximation of F, i.e., for any  $\alpha > 0$  we set

$$
F_{\alpha}(x) := \frac{1}{\alpha} \left( J_{\alpha}(x) - x \right), \quad x \in H,
$$
\n
$$
(2.1)
$$

where for  $x \in H$ 

$$
J_{\alpha}(x) := (I - \alpha F)^{-1}(x), \quad \alpha > 0,
$$

and  $I(x) := x$ . Then each  $F_{\alpha}$  is single valued, dissipative and it is well known that

<span id="page-7-1"></span>
$$
\lim_{\alpha \to 0} F_{\alpha}(x) = F_0(x), \quad \forall x \in D(F), \tag{2.2}
$$

<span id="page-7-0"></span>
$$
|F_{\alpha}(x)| \le |F_0(x)|, \quad \forall x \in D(F). \tag{2.3}
$$

Moreover,  $F_{\alpha}$  is Lipschitz continuous, so  $F_0$  is  $\mathscr{B}(H)$ -measurable. Since  $F_{\alpha}$ is not differentiable in general, as in [\[7\]](#page-28-0) we introduce a further regularization by setting

$$
F_{\alpha,\beta}(x) := \int_H e^{\beta B} F_{\alpha}(e^{\beta B} x + y) N_{\frac{1}{2}B^{-1}(e^{2\beta B} - 1)}(dy), \quad \alpha, \beta > 0, \qquad (2.4)
$$

where  $B: D(B) \subset H \to H$  is a self-adjoint, negative definite linear operator such that  $B^{-1}$  is of trace class and as usual for a trace class operator Q the measure  $N_Q$  is just the standard centered Gaussian measure with covariance given by Q.

 $F_{\alpha,\beta}$  is dissipative, of class  $C^{\infty}$ , has bounded derivatives of all the orders and  $F_{\alpha,\beta} \to F_{\alpha}$  pointwise as  $\beta \to 0$ .

Furthermore, for  $\alpha > 0$ 

$$
c_{\alpha} := \sup \left\{ \frac{|F_{\alpha,\beta}(x)|}{1+|x|} : x \in H, \ \beta \in (0,1] \right\} < \infty.
$$
 (2.5)

We refer to [\[10,](#page-29-5) Theorem 9.19] for details.

Now we consider the following regularized stochastic equation

$$
\begin{cases}\n dX_{\alpha,\beta}(t) = (AX_{\alpha,\beta}(t) + F_{\alpha,\beta}(X_{\alpha,\beta}(t)))dt + \sigma dW(t) \\
 X_{\alpha,\beta}(0) = x \in H.\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(2.6)

It is well known that [\(2.6\)](#page-8-0) has a unique mild solution  $X_{\alpha,\beta}(t,x)$ ,  $t \geq 0$ . Its associated transition semigroup is given by

<span id="page-8-2"></span><span id="page-8-1"></span><span id="page-8-0"></span>
$$
P_t^{\alpha,\beta}f(x) = \mathbb{E}[f(X_{\alpha,\beta}(t,x))], \quad t > 0, \ x \in H,
$$

for any  $f \in B_b(H)$ . Here E denotes expectation with respect to P.

**Proposition 2.1** Assume (H1) – (H4). Then there exists a  $K_{\sigma}$ -set  $K \subset H$ such that  $\mu(K) = 1$  and for all  $f \in B_b(H)$ ,  $T > 0$  there exist subsequences  $(\alpha_n), (\beta_n) \to 0$  such that for all  $x \in K$ 

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \lim_{m \to \infty} P_{\bullet}^{\alpha_n, \beta_m} f(x) = p_{\bullet}^{\mu} f(x) \quad weakly \ in \ L^2(0, T; dt). \tag{2.7}
$$

Proof. This follows immediately from the proof of [\[7,](#page-28-0) Proposition 5.7]. (A closer look at the proof even shows that [\(2.7\)](#page-8-1) holds for all  $x \in H_0 = \text{supp}$  $\mu$ .)  $\Box$ 

As we shall see in Section 4, the proof of Theorem [1.6](#page-5-0) follows from Propo-sition [2.1](#page-8-2) if we can prove the corresponding Harnack inequality for each  $P_t^{\alpha,\beta}$  $\int_t^{\alpha,\rho}$ . Hence in the next section we confine ourselves to the case when  $F_0$  is dissipative and Lipschitz.

### 3 The Lipschitz case

In this section we assume that  $(H1)-(H3)$  and  $(H5)$  hold and that  $F_0$  in  $(H3)$  is in addition Lipschitz continuous. The aim of this section is to prove Theorem

[1.6](#page-5-0) for such special  $F_0$  (see Proposition [3.1](#page-9-0) below). We shall do this by finite dimensional (Galerkin) approximations, since for the approximating finite dimensional processes we can apply the usual coupling argument.

We first note that since  $F_0$  is Lipschitz [\(1.1\)](#page-1-1) has a unique mild solution  $X(t, x)$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , for every initial condition  $x \in H$  (cf.[\[10\]](#page-29-5)) and we denote the corresponding transition semigroup by  $P_t$ ,  $t > 0$ , i.e.

$$
P_t f(x) := \mathbb{E}[f(X(t,x))], \quad t > 0, \ x \in X,
$$

where  $f \in B_b(H)$ .

Now we need to consider an appropriate Galerkin approximation. To this end let  $e_k \in D(A)$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , be orthonormal such that  $H_n =$  linear span  $\{e_1, ...,$  $e_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence  $\{e_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}\$ is an orthonormal basis of  $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ . Let  $\pi_n : H \to H_n$  be the orthogonal projection with respect to  $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ . So, we can define

<span id="page-9-2"></span>
$$
A_n := \pi_n A_{|H_n} (= A_{|H_n} \text{ by } (H5)(ii))
$$
\n(3.1)

and, furthermore

$$
F_n := \pi_n F_{0|H_n}, \quad \sigma_n := \pi_n \sigma_{|H_n}.
$$

Obviously,  $\sigma_n : H_n \to H_n$  is a self-adjoint, positive definite linear operator on  $H_n$ . Furthermore,  $\sigma_n$  is bijective, since it is one-to-one. To see the latter, one simply picks an orthonormal basis  $\{e_1^{\sigma},...,e_n^{\sigma}\}$  of  $H_n$  with respect to the inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\sigma}$  defined by  $\langle x, y \rangle_{\sigma} := \langle \sigma x, y \rangle$ . Then if  $x \in H_n$  is such that  $\sigma_n x = \pi_n \sigma x = 0$ , it follows that

<span id="page-9-1"></span>
$$
\langle x, e_i^{\sigma} \rangle_{\sigma} = \langle \sigma x, e_i^{\sigma} \rangle = 0, \quad \forall \ 1 \leq i \leq n.
$$

But  $x = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \langle x, e_i^{\sigma} \rangle_{\sigma} e_i^{\sigma}$ , hence  $x = 0$ .

Now fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and on  $H_n$  consider the stochastic equation

$$
\begin{cases}\n dX_n(t) = (A_n X_n(t) + F_n(X_n(t)))dt + \sigma_n dW_n(t) \\
 X_n(0) = x \in H_n,\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(3.2)

where  $W_n(t) = \pi_n W(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle e_k, W(t) \rangle e_k$ .

[\(3.2\)](#page-9-1) has a unique strong solution  $X_n(t, x)$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , for every initial condition  $x \in H_n$  which is pathwise continuous  $\mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}$ . Consider the associated transition semigroup defined as before by

<span id="page-9-0"></span>
$$
P_t^n f(x) = \mathbb{E}[f(X_n(t, x))], \quad t > 0, \ x \in H_n,
$$
\n(3.3)

where  $f \in B_b(H_n)$ .

Below we shall prove the following:

**Proposition 3.1** Assume that  $(H1) - (H5)$  hold. Then: (i) For all  $f \in C_b(H)$  and all  $t > 0$ 

<span id="page-10-3"></span>
$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} P_t^n f(x) = P_t f(x), \quad \forall x \in H_{n_0}, \ n_0 \in \mathbb{N}.
$$

(ii) For all nonnegative  $f \in B_b(H)$  and all  $n \in N$ ,  $p \in (1,\infty)$ 

$$
(P_t^n f(x))^p \le P_t^n f^p(y) \exp\left[\|\sigma^{-1}\|^2 \frac{p\omega |x-y|^2}{(p-1)(1-e^{-2\omega t})}\right], \quad t > 0, \ x, y \in H_n.
$$
\n(3.4)

Proof. (i): Define

$$
W_{A,\sigma}(t) := \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A} \sigma dW(s), \quad t \ge 0.
$$

Note that by  $(H2)(i)$  we have that  $W_{A,\sigma}(t)$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , is well defined and pathwise continuous. For  $x \in H_{n_0}$ ,  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  fixed, let  $Z(t)$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , be the unique variational solution (with triple  $V \subset H \subset V^*$  as in Remark [1.5\(](#page-5-4)ii), see e.g.  $[15]$  to

$$
\begin{cases}\ndZ(t) = [AZ(t) + F_0(Z(t) + W_{A,\sigma}(t))]dt \\
Z(0) = x,\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(3.5)

which then automatically satisfies

<span id="page-10-1"></span>
$$
\mathbb{E}\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|Z(t)|^2<+\infty.\tag{3.6}
$$

Then we have (see [\[10\]](#page-29-5)) that  $Z(t)+W_{A,\sigma}(t)$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , is a mild solution to [\(1.1\)](#page-1-1) (with  $F_0$  Lipschitz), hence by uniqueness

<span id="page-10-2"></span><span id="page-10-0"></span>
$$
X(t,x) = Z(t) + W_{A,\sigma}(t), \quad t \ge 0.
$$
\n(3.7)

Clearly, since

$$
\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0,T]} |W_{A,\sigma}(t)|^2 < +\infty,\tag{3.8}
$$

we have

$$
\pi_n W_{A,\sigma}(t) \to W_{A,\sigma}(t)
$$
 as  $n \to \infty$  in  $L^2(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, \mathbb{P}), \forall t \geq 0$ .

We set  $X_n(t) := X_n(t, x)$  (= solution of [\(3.2\)](#page-9-1)). Defining

$$
W_{A_n,\sigma_n}(t) = \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A_n} \sigma_n dW_n(t), \quad t \ge 0,
$$

and

<span id="page-11-0"></span>
$$
Z_n(t) := X_n(t) - W_{A_n, \sigma_n}(t), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, t \ge 0,
$$

it is enough to show that

$$
Z_n(t) \to Z(t) \quad \text{as } n \to \infty \text{ in } L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}), \forall t \ge 0,
$$
\n(3.9)

because then by [\(3.7\)](#page-10-0)

$$
X_n(t) \to X(t)
$$
 as  $n \to \infty$  in  $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}), \forall t \ge 0$ ,

and the assertion follows by Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem. To show [\(3.9\)](#page-11-0) we first note that by the same argument as above

$$
dZ_n(t) = [A_n Z_n(t) + F_n(Z_n(t) + W_{A_n, \sigma_n}(t))]dt
$$

and thus (in the variational sense), since  $A = A_n$  on  $H_n$  by [\(3.1\)](#page-9-2)

$$
d(Z(t) - Z_n(t)) = [A(Z(t) - Z_n(t)) + F_0(X(t)) - F_n(X_n(t))]dt.
$$

Applying Itô's formula we obtain that for some constant  $c > 0$ 

$$
\frac{1}{2} |Z(t) - Z_n(t)|^2 \le \int_0^t \left[ (\omega + 1/2) |Z(s) - Z_n(s)|^2 + |F_0(X(s)) - F_0(X_n(s))|^2 + |(1 - \pi_n) F_0(X(s))|^2 \right] ds
$$
  
\n
$$
\le c \int_0^t |Z(s) - Z_n(s)|^2 ds + c \int_0^t |W_{A,\sigma}(s) - W_{A_n,\sigma_n}(s)|^2 ds
$$
  
\n
$$
+ \int_0^t |(1 - \pi_n) F_0(X(s))|^2 ds.
$$

Now [\(3.9\)](#page-11-0) follows by the linear growth of  $F_0$ , [\(3.6\)](#page-10-1)-[\(3.8\)](#page-10-2) and Gronwall's lemma, if we can show that

$$
\int_0^T \mathbb{E}|W_{A,\sigma}(s) - W_{A_n,\sigma_n}(s)|^2 ds \to 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.
$$
 (3.10)

To this end we first note that a straightforward application of Duhamel's formula yields that

<span id="page-11-1"></span>
$$
e^{tA}|_{H_n} = e^{tA_n} \quad \forall \ t \ge 0.
$$

Therefore

$$
W_{A,\sigma}(s) - W_{A_n,\sigma_n}(s) = \int_0^s e^{(t-r)A} (\sigma - \pi_n \sigma \pi_n) dW(r),
$$

and thus

$$
\mathbb{E}|W_{A,\sigma}(s) - W_{A_n,\sigma_n}(s)|^2 = \int_0^s \|e^{(t-r)A}(\sigma - \pi_n \sigma \pi_n)\|_{HS}^2 dr
$$
  
= 
$$
\sum_{i=1}^\infty \int_0^s |e^{rA}(\sigma - \pi_n \sigma \pi_n)e_i|^2 dr.
$$

Since for any  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $r \in [0, s]$ , the integrands converge to 0, Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem implies [\(3.10\)](#page-11-1).

(ii) Fix  $T > 0$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x, y \in H_n$ . Let  $\xi^T \in C^1([0, \infty))$  be defined by

$$
\xi^T(t) := \frac{2\omega e^{-\omega t}|x - y|}{1 - e^{-2\omega T}}, \quad t \ge 0.
$$

Consider for  $X_n(t) = X_n(t, x)$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , see the proof of (i), the stochastic equation

<span id="page-12-0"></span>
$$
\begin{cases}\ndY_n(t) = \left[A_n Y_n(t) + F_n(Y_n(t)) + \xi^T(t) \frac{X_n(t) - Y_n(t)}{|X_n(t) - Y_n(t)|} \mathbb{1}_{X_n(t) \neq Y_n(t)}\right] dt \\
+ \sigma_n dW_n(t), \\
Y_n(0) = y.\n\end{cases} \tag{3.11}
$$

Since

$$
z \to \frac{X_n(t) - z}{|X_n(t) - z|} \mathbb{1}_{X_n(t) \neq z}
$$

is dissipative on  $H_n$  for all  $t \geq 0$  (cf [\[19\]](#page-29-2)), [\(3.11\)](#page-12-0) has a unique strong solution  $Y_n(t) = Y_n(t, y), t \geq 0$ , which is pathwise continuous  $\mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}$ 

Define the first coupling time

$$
\tau_n := \inf\{t \ge 0 : \ X_n(t) = Y_n(t)\}.
$$
\n(3.12)

Writing the equation for  $X_n(t) - Y_n(t)$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , applying the chain rule to  $\phi_{\epsilon}(z) := \sqrt{z + \epsilon^2}, \ z \in (-\epsilon^2, \infty), \ \epsilon > 0, \text{ and letting } \epsilon \to 0 \text{ subsequently, we}$ obtain

$$
\frac{d}{dt} |X_n(t) - Y_n(t)| \le \omega |X_n(t) - Y_n(t)| - \xi^T(t) \mathbb{1}_{X_n(t) \ne X_n(t)} \quad t \ge 0,
$$

which yields

<span id="page-12-1"></span>
$$
d(e^{-\omega t}|X_n(t) - Y_n(t)|) \le -e^{-\omega t} \xi^T(t) \mathbb{1}_{X_n(t) \neq Y_n(t)} dt, \quad t \ge 0.
$$
 (3.13)

In particular,  $t \mapsto e^{-\omega t} |X_n(t) - Y_n(t)|$  is decreasing, hence  $X_n(T) = Y_n(T)$ for all  $T \geq \tau_n$ . But by [\(3.13\)](#page-12-1) if  $T \leq \tau_n$  then

<span id="page-13-0"></span>
$$
|X_n(T) - Y_n(T)|e^{-\omega T} \le |x - y| - |x - y| \int_0^T \frac{2\omega e^{-2\omega t}}{1 - e^{-2\omega T}} dt = 0.
$$

So, in any case

$$
X_n(T) = Y_n(T), \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.} \tag{3.14}
$$

Let

$$
R := \exp\left[-\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_n} \frac{\xi^T(t)}{|X_n(t) - Y_n(t)|} \langle X_n(t) - Y_n(t), \sigma^{-1} dW_n(t) \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{T\wedge\tau_n} \frac{(\xi^T(t))^2 |\sigma^{-1}(X_n(t) - Y_n(t))|^2}{|X_n(t) - Y_n(t)|^2} dt\right]
$$

By [\(3.14\)](#page-13-0) and Girsanov's theorem for  $p > 1$ ,

<span id="page-13-1"></span>
$$
(P_T^n f(y))^p = (\mathbb{E}[f(Y_n(T))])^p = (\mathbb{E}[Rf(X_n(T))])^p
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq (P_T^n f^p(x))(\mathbb{E}[R^{p/(p-1)}])^{p-1}.
$$
 (3.15)

Let

$$
M_p = \exp\left[-\frac{p}{p-1} \int_0^{T\wedge\tau_n} \frac{\xi^T(t)}{|X_n(t) - Y_n(t)|} \langle X_n(t) - Y_n(t), \sigma^{-1} dW_n(t) \rangle - \frac{p^2}{2(p-1)^2} \int_0^{T\wedge\tau_n} \frac{(\xi^T(t))^2 |\sigma^{-1}(X_n(t) - Y_n(t))|^2}{|X_n(t) - Y_n(t)|^2} dt\right]
$$

We have  $\mathbb{E} M_p=1$  and hence,

$$
\mathbb{E}R^{p/(p-1)} = \mathbb{E}\left\{M_p \exp\left[\frac{p}{2(p-1)^2} \int_0^{T\wedge\tau_n} \frac{(\xi^T(t))^2|\sigma^{-1}(X_n(t) - Y_n(t))|^2}{|X_n(t) - Y_n(t)|^2} dt\right]\right\}
$$
  

$$
\leq \sup_{\Omega} \exp\left[\frac{p}{2(p-1)^2} \int_0^{T\wedge\tau_n} (\xi^T(t))^2 \|\sigma^{-1}\|^2 dt\right]
$$
  

$$
\leq \exp\left[\|\sigma^{-1}\|^2 \frac{p\omega|x-y|^2}{(p-1)^2(1-e^{-2\omega T})}\right].
$$

Combining this with [\(3.15\)](#page-13-1) we get the assertion (with T replacing t).  $\Box$ 

# 4 Proof and consequences of Theorem [1.6](#page-5-0)

On the basis of Propositions [3.1](#page-9-0) and [2.1](#page-8-2) we can now easily prove Theorem [1.6.](#page-5-0)

**Proof of Theorem [1.6](#page-5-0).** Let  $f \in Lip_b(H)$ ,  $f \geq 0$ . By Proposition [3.1\(](#page-9-0)i) it then follows that [\(3.4\)](#page-10-3) holds with  $P_t f$  replacing  $P_t^n f$  provided F is Lipschitz. Using that  $\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} H_n$  is dense in H and that  $P_t f(x)$  is continuous on x (cf. [\[10\]](#page-29-5)) we obtain [\(3.4\)](#page-10-3) for all  $x, y \in H$ . In particular, this is true for  $P_t^{\alpha_n, \beta_n} f$ from Proposition [2.1.](#page-8-2)

Now fix  $t > 0$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , let

$$
\chi_k(s) := \frac{1}{k} \mathbb{1}_{[t,t+1/k]}(s), \quad s \ge 0.
$$

Using [\(3.4\)](#page-10-3) for  $P_t^{\alpha_n,\beta_m}f$ , [\(1.6\)](#page-4-1), Proposition [2.1](#page-8-2) and Jensen's inequality, we obtain for  $x, y \in K$ 

$$
p_t^{\mu} f(x) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{k} \int_t^{t+1/k} p_s^{\mu} f(x) ds
$$
  
\n
$$
= \lim_{k \to \infty} \lim_{n \to \infty} \lim_{m \to \infty} \int_0^{t+1} \chi_k(s) P_s^{\alpha_n, \beta_m} f(x) dx
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq \lim_{k \to \infty} \lim_{n \to \infty} \lim_{m \to \infty} \int_0^{t+1} \chi_k(s) (P_s^{\alpha_n, \beta_m} f^p(y))^{1/p} \exp \left[ ||\sigma^{-1}||^2 \frac{\omega |x - y|^2}{(p - 1)(1 - e^{-2\omega s})} \right] ds
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq \lim_{k \to \infty} \lim_{n \to \infty} \lim_{m \to \infty} \left( \int_0^{t+1} \chi_k(s) P_s^{\alpha_n, \beta_m} f^p(y) \exp \left[ ||\sigma^{-1}||^2 \frac{p\omega |x - y|^2}{(p - 1)(1 - e^{-2\omega s})} \right] ds \right)^{1/p}
$$
  
\n
$$
= (p_t^{\mu} f^p(y))^{1/p} \exp \left[ ||\sigma^{-1}||^2 \frac{\omega |x - y|^2}{(p - 1)(1 - e^{-2\omega t})} \right],
$$

where we note that we have to choose the sequences  $(\alpha_n)$ ,  $(\beta_n)$  such that [\(2.7\)](#page-8-1) holds both for f and  $f^p$  instead of f. Since K is dense in  $H_0$ , [\(1.10\)](#page-5-3) follows for  $f \in C_b(H)$ , for all  $x, y \in H_0$ , since  $p_t^{\mu} f$  is continuous on  $H_0$  by  $(1.4).$  $(1.4).$ 

Let now  $f \in B_b(H)$ ,  $f \geq 0$ . Let  $f_n \in C_b(H)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that  $f_n \to f$  in  $L^p(H,\mu)$  as  $n \to \infty$ ,  $p \in (1,\infty)$  fixed. Then, since  $\mu$  is invariant for  $p_t^{\mu}$  $t^{\mu}, t > 0,$ selecting a subsequence if necessary, it follows that there exists  $K_1 \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ ,  $\mu(K_1) = 1$ , such that

$$
p_t^{\mu} f_n(x) \to p_t^{\mu} f(x) \quad \text{as } n \to \infty, \ \forall \ x \in K_1.
$$

Taking this limit in [\(1.10\)](#page-5-3) we obtain (1.10) for all  $x, y \in K_1$ . Taking into account that  $p_t^{\mu}$  $t<sub>t</sub><sup>\mu</sup>$  is continuous and that  $K<sub>1</sub>$  is dense in  $H<sub>0</sub> = \text{supp }\mu$ , [\(1.10\)](#page-5-3) follows for all  $x, y \in H_0$ .  $\square$ 

<span id="page-15-0"></span>Corollary [1.7](#page-5-1) immediately follows from Theorem [1.6](#page-5-0) and the following general result:

Proposition 4.1 Let E be a topological space and P a Markov operator on  $B_b(E)$ . Assume that for any  $p > 1$  there exists a continuous function  $\eta_p$  on  $E \times E$  such that  $\eta_p(x, x) = 0$  for all  $x \in E$  and

<span id="page-15-1"></span>
$$
P|f|(x) \le (P|f|^p(y))^{1/p} e^{\eta_p(x,y)} \quad \forall \ x, y \in E, \ f \in B_b(E). \tag{4.1}
$$

Then P is strong Feller, i.e. maps  $B_b(E)$  into  $C_b(E)$ . Furthermore, for any σ-finite measure  $\mu$  on  $(E, \mathcal{B}(E))$  such that

$$
\int_{E} |Pf| d\mu \le C \int_{E} |f| d\mu, \quad \forall f \in B_b(E), \tag{4.2}
$$

for some  $C > 0$ , P uniquely extends to  $L^p(E, \mu)$  with  $PL^p(E, \mu) \subset C(E)$  for any  $p > 1$ .

**Proof.** Since P is linear, we only need to consider  $f \geq 0$ . Let  $f \in B_b(E)$  be nonnegative. By [\(4.1\)](#page-15-1) and the property of  $\eta_p$  we have

<span id="page-15-2"></span>
$$
\limsup_{x \to y} Pf(x) \le (Pf^p(y))^{1/p}, \quad p > 1.
$$

Letting  $p \downarrow 1$  we obtain  $\limsup_{x \to y} Pf(x) \leq Pf(y)$ . Similarly, using  $f^{1/p}$  to replace  $f$  and replacing  $x$  with  $y$ , we obtain

<span id="page-15-3"></span>
$$
(Pf^{1/p}(y))^p \le (Pf(x))e^{p\eta_p(y,x)}, \quad \forall \ x, y \in E, \ p > 1.
$$

First letting  $x \to y$  then  $p \to 1$ , we obtain  $\liminf_{x \to y} Pf(x) \geq Pf(y)$ . So  $P f \in C_b(E)$ . Next, for any nonnegative  $f \in L^p(E, \mu)$ , let  $f_n = f \wedge n, n \geq 1$ . By [\(4.2\)](#page-15-2) and  $f_n \to f$  in  $L^p(E, \mu)$  we have  $P|f_n - f_m|^p \to 0$  in  $L^1(E, \mu)$  as  $n, m \to \infty$ . In particular, there exists  $y \in E$  such that

$$
\lim_{n,m \to \infty} P |f_n - f_m|^p(y) = 0.
$$
\n(4.3)

Moreover, by [\(4.1\)](#page-15-1), for  $B_N := \{x \in E : \eta_p(x, y) < N\}$ 

$$
\sup_{x \in B_N} |Pf_n(x) - Pf_m(x)|^p \le \sup_{x \in B_N} (P|f_n - f_m|(x))^p \le (P|f_n - f_m|^p(y))e^{pN}.
$$

Since by the strong Feller property  $P f_n \in C_b(E)$  for any  $n \geq 1$  and noting that  $C_b(B_N)$  is complete under the uniform norm, we conclude from [\(4.3\)](#page-15-3) that Pf is continuous on  $B_N$  for any  $N \geq 1$ , and hence,  $Pf \in C(H)$ .  $\Box$ 

**Proof of Corollary [1.8](#page-5-2).** Let  $\mu_1, \mu_2$  be probability measures on  $(H, \mathcal{B}(H))$ satisfying (H4). Define  $\mu := \frac{1}{2} \mu_1 + \frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}$   $\mu_2$ . Then  $\mu$  satisfies (H4) and  $\mu_i =$  $\rho_i \mu, i = 1, 2$ , for some  $\mathscr{B}(H)$ -measurable  $\rho_i : H \to [0, 2]$ . Let  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ .

Since  $\rho_i$  is bounded, by  $(H4)(iii)$  and Theorem [1.2](#page-3-1) it follows that

$$
\int_H L_\mu u \, d\mu_i = 0, \quad \forall \ u \in D(L_\mu).
$$

Hence

$$
\frac{d}{dt} \int_H e^{tL_\mu} u \, d\mu_i = \int_H L_\mu(e^{tL_\mu} u) d\mu_i = 0, \quad \forall \ u \in D(L_\mu),
$$

i.e.

$$
\int_H p_t^{\mu} u \, d\mu_i = \int_H u \, d\mu_i \quad \forall \ u \in \mathscr{E}_A(H).
$$

Since  $\mathscr{E}_A(H)$  is dense in  $L^1(H, \mu_i)$ ,  $\mu_i$  is  $(p_t^{\mu_i})$  $_{t}^{\mu}$ )-invariant. But as mentioned before, by Theorem [1.6](#page-5-0) it follows that  $(p_t^{\mu})$  $t<sub>t</sub><sup>\mu</sup>$ ) is irreducible on  $H_0$  (see [\[11\]](#page-29-7)) and it is strong Feller on  $H_0$  by Corollary [1.7.](#page-5-1) So, since  $\mu_i(H_0) = 1$ ,  $\mu_i = \mu$ .  $\Box$ 

#### Proof of Corollary [1.10](#page-6-1). Let

$$
\tilde{A} := A - \omega I, \quad D(\tilde{A}) := D(A)
$$
  

$$
\tilde{F}_0 := F_0 + \omega I.
$$

By (H2), A has discrete spectrum. Let  $e_k \in H$ ,  $-\lambda_k \in (-\infty, 0]$ , be the corresponding orthonormal eigenvectors, eigenvalues respectively.

For  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  define

<span id="page-16-0"></span>
$$
\varphi_k(x) := \langle e_k, x \rangle, \quad x \in H.
$$

We note that by a simple approximation  $(1.5)$  also holds for any Lipschitz function on H and thus (cf. the proof of [\[7,](#page-28-0) Proposition 5.7(iii)]) also  $(1.6)$ holds for such functions, i.e. in particular, for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ 

$$
[0, \infty) \ni t \mapsto p_t \varphi_k(x) \quad \text{is continuous for all } x \in H_0. \tag{4.4}
$$

Since any compactly supported smooth function on  $\mathbb{R}^N$  is the Fourier transform of a Schwartz test function, by approximation it easily follows that setting

$$
\mathscr{F}C_b^{\infty}(\{e_k\}):=\{g(\langle e_1,\cdot\rangle,...,\langle e_N,\cdot\rangle):\;N\in\mathbb{N},\;g\in C_b^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)\},\;
$$

we have  $\mathscr{F}C_{b}^{\infty}(\{e_{k}\}) \subset D(L_{\mu})$  and for  $\varphi \in \mathscr{F}C_{b}^{\infty}(\{e_{k}\})$ 

$$
L_{\mu}\varphi(x) = \frac{1}{2} \text{ Tr } [D^2\varphi(x)] + \langle x, AD\varphi(x) \rangle + \langle F_0(x), D\varphi(x) \rangle \quad x \in H.
$$

Then by approximation it is easy to show that

<span id="page-17-0"></span>
$$
\varphi_k, \varphi_k^2 \in D(L_\mu)
$$
 and  $L_\mu \varphi_k = -\lambda_k \varphi_k + \langle e_k, \tilde{F}_0 \rangle$ ,  
\n $L_\mu \varphi_k^2 = -2\lambda_k \varphi_k^2 + 2\varphi_k \langle e_k, \tilde{F}_0 \rangle + 2 \quad \forall \ k \in \mathbb{N}.$  (4.5)

Since we assume that  $|F_0|$  is in  $L^2(H,\mu)$ , by [\[3,](#page-28-3) Theorem 1.1] we are in the situation of [\[17,](#page-29-8) Chapter II]. So, we conclude that by [\[17,](#page-29-8) Chapter II, Theorem 1.9 there exists a normal (that is  $\mathbb{P}_x[X(0) = x] = 1$ ) Markov process  $(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, (\mathscr{F}_t)_{t\geq 0}, (X(t))_{t\geq 0}, (\mathbb{P}_x)_{x\in H_0})$  with state space  $H_0$  and  $M \in \mathscr{B}(H_0)$ ,  $\mu(M) = 1$ , such that  $X(t) \in M$  for all  $t \geq 0$   $\mathbb{P}_x$ -a.s. for all  $x \in M$  and which has continuous sample paths  $\mathbb{P}_x$ -a.s for all  $x \in M$  and for which by the proof of [\[7,](#page-28-0) Proposition 8.2] and [\(4.4\)](#page-16-0), [\(4.5\)](#page-17-0) we have that for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ 

<span id="page-17-3"></span>
$$
\beta_k^x(t) := \varphi_k(X(t)) - \varphi_k(x) - \int_0^t L_\mu \varphi_k(X(s)) ds, \quad t \ge 0,
$$
  

$$
M_k^x(t) := \varphi_k^2(X(t)) - \varphi_k^2(x) - \int_0^t L_\mu \varphi_k^2(X(s)) ds, \quad t \ge 0,
$$
 (4.6)

are continuous local ( $\mathscr{F}_t$ )-martingales with  $\beta_k^x(0) = M_k(0) = 0$  under  $\mathbb{P}_x$  for all  $x \in M$ . Fix  $x \in M$ . Below  $\mathbb{E}_x$  denotes expectation with respect to  $\mathbb{P}_x$ . Since for  $T > 0$ 

<span id="page-17-2"></span>
$$
\int_{H} \int_{0}^{T} \mathbb{E}_{x}(1+|X(s)|^{2})(1+|F_{0}(X(s))|)ds \mu(dx)
$$
  
=  $T \int_{H} (1+|x|^{2})(1+|F_{0}(x)|)\mu(dx) < \infty$ ,

making  $M$  smaller if necessary, by  $(H4)(ii)$  we may assume that

$$
\mathbb{E}_x \int_0^T (1+|X(s)|^2)(1+|F_0(X(s))|)ds < \infty.
$$
 (4.7)

By standard Markov process theory we have for their covariation processes under  $\mathbb{P}_x$ ,

<span id="page-17-1"></span>
$$
\langle \beta_k^x, \beta_{k'}^x \rangle_t = \int_0^t \langle D\varphi_k(X(s)), D\varphi_{k'}(X(s)) \rangle ds = t\delta_{k,k'}, \quad t \ge 0.
$$
 (4.8)

Indeed, an elementary calculation shows that for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $t \geq 0$ ,

<span id="page-18-1"></span>
$$
\beta_k^x(t)^2 - \int_0^t |D\varphi_k(X(s))|^2 ds
$$
  
=  $M_k^x(t) - 2\varphi_k(x)\beta_k^x(t) - \int_0^t (\beta_k^x(t) - \beta_k^x(s))L_\mu\varphi_k(X(s))ds,$  (4.9)

where all three summands on the right hand side are martingales. Since we have a similar formula for finite linear combinations of  $\varphi'_k s$  replacing a single  $\varphi_k$ , by polarization we get [\(4.8\)](#page-17-1). Note that by [\(4.5\)](#page-17-0) and [\(4.7\)](#page-17-2) all integrals in [\(4.6\)](#page-17-3), [\(4.9\)](#page-18-1) are well defined.

Hence, by [\(4.8\)](#page-17-1)  $\beta_k^x$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , are independent standard  $(\mathscr{F}_t)$ -Brownian motions under  $\mathbb{P}_x$ . Now it follows by [\[13,](#page-29-9) Theorem 13] that, with  $W^x$  =  $(W^x(t))_{t\geq0}$ , being the cylindrical Wiener process on H given by  $W^x =$  $(\beta_k^x e_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ , we have for every  $t \geq 0$ ,

<span id="page-18-2"></span><span id="page-18-0"></span>
$$
X(t) = e^{tA}x + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A} F_0(X(s))ds + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A} dW^x(s), \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s., (4.10)}
$$

that is, the tuple  $(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, (\mathscr{F}_t)_{t\geq 0}, \mathbb{P}_x, W^x, X)$  is a solution to

$$
\begin{cases}\nY(t) = e^{tA}Y(0) + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A}F_0(Y(s))ds + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A}dW(s), \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.,} \quad \forall \ t \ge 0, \\
\text{law } Y(0) = \delta_x(:=\text{Dirac measure in } x),\n\end{cases} \tag{4.11}
$$

in the sense of [\[13,](#page-29-9) page 4].

We note that the zero set in  $(4.10)$  is indeed independent of t, since all terms are continuous in  $t \mathbb{P}_x$ -a.s. because of  $(H2)(ii)$  and  $(4.7)$ .

**Claim** We have X-pathwise uniqueness for equation  $(4.11)$  (in the sense of [\[13,](#page-29-9) page 98]).

For any given cylindrical  $(\mathscr{F}'_t)$ -Wiener process W on a stochastic basis  $(\Omega', \mathscr{F}', (\mathscr{F}'_t)_{t\geq 0}, \mathbb{P}')$  let  $Y = Y(t)$ ,  $Z = Z(t)$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , be two solutions of [\(4.11\)](#page-18-0) such that  $\text{law}(Z)=\text{law}(Y)=\text{law}(X)$  and  $Y(0)=Z(0)$   $\mathbb{P}'$ -a.s.. Then by [\(4.7\)](#page-17-2)

$$
\mathbb{E}'\int_0^T |F_0(Y(s))|ds = \mathbb{E}'\int_0^T |F_0(Z(s))|ds = \mathbb{E}_x\int_0^T |F_0(X(s))|ds < \infty.
$$
\n(4.12)

(which, in particular implies by  $(4.11)$  and by  $(H2)(i)$  that both Y and Z have  $\mathbb{P}'$ -a.s. continuous sample paths). Hence applying [\[13,](#page-29-9) Theorem 13] again (but this time using the dual implication) we obtain for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ 

$$
\langle e_k, Y(t) - Z(t) \rangle = -\lambda_k \int_0^t \langle e_k, Y(s) - Z(s) \rangle ds
$$
  
+ 
$$
\int_0^t \langle e_k, \tilde{F}_0(Y(s)) - \tilde{F}_0(Z(s)) \rangle ds, \quad t \ge 0, \quad \mathbb{P}'\text{-a.s.}.
$$

Therefore, by the chain rule for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ 

$$
\langle e_k, Y(t) - Z(t) \rangle^2 = -2\lambda_k \int_0^t \langle e_k, Y(s) - Z(s) \rangle^2 ds
$$
  
+2\int\_0^t \langle e\_k, Y(s) - Z(s) \rangle \langle e\_k, \tilde{F}\_0(Y(s)) - \tilde{F}\_0(Z(s)) \rangle ds, t \ge 0, \mathbb{P}'-a.s..

Dropping the first term on the right hand side and summing up over  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ (which is justified by  $(4.11)$  and the continuity of Y and Z), we obtain from (H3) that

$$
|Y(t) - Z(t)|^2 \le 2 \int_0^t \langle Y(s) - Z(s), \tilde{F}_0(Y(s)) - \tilde{F}_0(Z(s)) \rangle ds
$$
  

$$
\le 2\omega \int_0^t |Y(s) - Z(s)|^2 ds, \quad t \ge 0, \quad \mathbb{P}'\text{-a.s.}.
$$

Hence, by Gronwall's lemma  $Y = Z \mathbb{P}'$ -a.s. and the Claim is proved.

By the Claim we can apply [\[13,](#page-29-9) Theorem 10, (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (3)] and then [13, Theorem 1] to conclude that equation [\(4.11\)](#page-18-0) has a strong solution (see [\[13,](#page-29-9) Definition 1) and that there is one strong solution with the same law as  $X$ , which hence by  $(4.7)$  has continuous sample paths a.s. Now all conditions in [\[13,](#page-29-9) Theorem 13.2] are fulfilled and, therefore, we deduce from it that on any stochastic basis  $(\Omega, \mathscr{F},(\mathscr{F}_t)_{t\geq0}, \mathbb{P})$  with  $(\mathscr{F}_t)$ -cylindrical Wiener process W on H and for  $x, y \in M$  there exist pathwise unique continuous strong solutions  $X(t, x)$ ,  $X(t, y)$ ,  $t > 0$ , to [\(4.11\)](#page-18-0) such that

$$
\mathbb{P} \circ X(\cdot, x)^{-1} = \mathbb{P}_x \circ X^{-1}
$$

and

$$
\mathbb{P} \circ X(\cdot, y)^{-1} = \mathbb{P}_y \circ X^{-1},
$$

in particular,  $X(0, x) = x$  and  $X(0, y) = y$  and

$$
\mathbb{P} \circ X(t, x)^{-1}(dz) = p_t(x, dz), \quad t \ge 0,\n\mathbb{P} \circ X(t, y)^{-1}(dz) = p_t(y, dz), \quad t \ge 0.
$$
\n(4.13)

In particular, we have proved (i). To prove (ii), below for brevity we set  $X := X(\cdot, x), X' := X(\cdot, y)$ . Then proceeding as in the proof of the Claim, by [\(1.13\)](#page-6-2) and noting that  $s^{-1}\Phi(s) \to \infty$  as  $s \to \infty$ , we obtain

$$
\frac{d}{dt} |X(t) - X'(t)|^2 \le a - \Phi_0(|X(t) - X'(t)|^2)
$$
\n(4.14)

for some constant  $a > 0$ , only depending on  $\omega$  and  $\Phi$ , where  $\Phi_0 = \frac{1}{2} \Phi$ . Now we consider two cases.

Case 1.  $|x - y|^2 \leq \Phi_0^{-1}(2a)$ .

Define  $f(t) := |X(t) - X'(t)|^2$ ,  $t \ge 0$ , and suppose there exists  $t_0 \in (0, \infty)$ such that

<span id="page-20-0"></span>
$$
f(t_0) > \Phi_0^{-1}(a).
$$

Then we can choose  $\delta \in [0, t_0]$  maximal such that

$$
f(t) > \Phi_0^{-1}(a), \quad \forall \ t \in (t_0 - \delta, t_0].
$$

Hence, because by  $(4.14)$  f is decreasing on every interval where it is larger than  $\Phi_0^{-1}(a)$ , we obtain that

$$
f(t_0 - \delta) \ge f(t_0) > \Phi_0^{-1}(a).
$$

Suppose  $t_0 - \delta > 0$ . Then  $f(t_0 - \delta) \leq \Phi_0^{-1}(a)$  by the continuity of f and the maximality of  $\delta$ . So, we must have  $t_0 - \delta = 0$ , hence

<span id="page-20-1"></span>
$$
f(t_0) \le f(t_0 - \delta) = f(0) = |x - y|^2 \le \Phi_0^{-1}(2a).
$$

So,

$$
|X(t) - X'(t)|^2 \le \Phi_0^{-1}(2a), \quad \forall \ t > 0.
$$
  
Case 2.  $|x - y|^2 > \Phi_0^{-1}(2a).$ 

Define  $t_0 = \inf\{t \ge 0 : |X(t) - X'(t)|^2 \le \Phi_0^{-1}(2a)\}\.$  Then by Case 1, starting at  $t = t_0$  rather than  $t = 0$  we know that

$$
|X(t) - X'(t)|^2 \le \Phi_0^{-1}(2a), \quad \forall \ t \ge t_0. \tag{4.15}
$$

Furthermore, it follows from [\(4.14\)](#page-20-0) that

$$
d|X(t) - X'(t)|^2 \le -\frac{1}{2}\Phi_0(|X(t) - X'(t)|^2)dt, \quad \forall \ t \le t_0.
$$

This implies

$$
\Psi(|X(t) - X'(t)|^2) \ge \frac{1}{2} \int_{|X(t) - X'(t)|^2}^{|x - y|^2} \frac{dr}{\Phi_0(r)} \ge \frac{t}{4}, \quad \forall \ t \le t_0.
$$

Therefore,

<span id="page-21-1"></span><span id="page-21-0"></span>
$$
|X(t) - X'(t)|^2 \le \Psi^{-1}(t/4), \quad \forall \ t \le t_0. \tag{4.16}
$$

Combining Case 1, [\(4.15\)](#page-20-1) and [\(4.16\)](#page-21-0) we conclude that

$$
|X(t) - X'(t)|^2 \le \Psi^{-1}(t/4) + \Phi_0^{-1}(2a), \quad \forall \ t > 0.
$$
 (4.17)

Combining [\(4.17\)](#page-21-1) with Theorem [1.6](#page-5-0) for all  $f \in B_b(H)$  we obtain

$$
(p_{t/2}|f|(X(t/2)))^2 \le (p_{t/2}f^2(X'(t/2)) \exp\left[\frac{\lambda(1+\Psi^{-1}(t/8))}{(1-e^{-\omega t/2})^2}\right], \quad \forall \ t > 0
$$

for some constant  $\lambda > 0$ . By Jensen's inequality and approximation it follows that for all  $f \in L^2(H, \mu)$ 

$$
(p_t|f|(x))^2 \le \mathbb{E}(p_{t/2}|f|(X(t/2)))^2
$$
  
 
$$
\le (p_t f^2(y)) \exp\left[\frac{\lambda(1+\Psi^{-1}(t/8))}{(1-e^{-\omega t/2})^2}\right], \quad \forall \ t > 0, \ \forall \ x, y \in M. \quad (4.18)
$$

But since  $H_0 = \text{supp }\mu$ , M is dense in  $H_0$ , hence by the continuity of  $p_t f$ (cf. Corollary [1.7\)](#page-5-1) [\(4.18\)](#page-21-2) holds for all  $x \in H_0$ ,  $y \in M$ . Since  $\mu(M) = 1$  this completes the proof by integrating both sides with respect to  $\mu(dy)$ .  $\Box$ 

Remark 4.2 We would like to mention that by using [\[2\]](#page-28-4) instead of [\[17\]](#page-29-8) we can drop the assumption that  $|F_0| \in L^2(H, \mu)$ . So, by [\(4.9\)](#page-18-1) and the proof above we can derive [\(4.8\)](#page-17-1) avoiding to assume the usually energy condition

<span id="page-21-2"></span>
$$
\int_0^t |F_0(X(s))|^2 ds < \infty, \quad \mathbb{P}_x\text{-a.s.}.
$$

Details will be included in a forthcoming paper. We would like to thank Tobias Kuna at this point from whom we learnt identity [\(4.9\)](#page-18-1) by private communication.

#### 5 Existence of measures satisfying (H4)

To prove existence of invariant measures we need to strengthen some of our assumptions. So, let us introduce the following conditions.

 $(H1)'$   $(A, D(A))$  is self-adjoint satisfying  $(1.2)$ . (H6) There exists  $\eta \in (\omega, \infty)$  such that

$$
\langle F_0(x) - F_0(y), x - y \rangle \le -\eta |x - y|^2, \quad \forall x, y \in D(F).
$$

**Remark 5.1** (i) Clearly,  $(H1)'$  implies  $(H1)$  and  $(H5)$ .  $(H1)'$  and  $(H2)(i)$ imply that  $(A, D(A))$  and thus also  $(1+\omega-A, D(A))$  has a discrete spectrum. Let  $\lambda_i \in (0,\infty)$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , be the eigenvalues of the latter operator. Then by (H2)

<span id="page-22-0"></span>
$$
\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i^{-1} < \infty. \tag{5.1}
$$

(ii) If we assume [\(5.1\)](#page-22-0), i.e. that  $(1 + \omega - A)^{-1}$  is trace class, then all what follows holds with (H2) replaced by (H2)(i). So,  $\sigma^{-1} \in L(H)$  is not needed in this case.

Let  $F_{\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha$  < 0, be as in Section 2. Then e.g. by [\[6,](#page-28-5) Theorem 3.2] equation [\(1.1\)](#page-1-1) with  $F_{\alpha}$  replacing  $F_0$  has a unique mild solution  $X_{\alpha}(t, x)$ ,  $t \geq 0$ . Since there exist  $\tilde{\eta} \in (\omega, \infty)$  and  $\alpha_0 > 0$  such that each  $F_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in (0, \alpha_0)$ , satisfies (H6) with  $\tilde{\eta}$  replacing  $\eta$ , by [\[6,](#page-28-5) Section 3.4]  $X_{\alpha}$  has a unique invariant measure  $\mu_{\alpha}$  on  $(H, \mathscr{B}(H))$  such that for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ 

$$
\sup_{\alpha \in (0,\alpha_0)} \int_H |x|^m \mu_\alpha(dx) < \infty. \tag{5.2}
$$

That these moments are indeed uniformly bounded in  $\alpha$ , follows from the proof of [\[6,](#page-28-5) Proposition 3.18] and the fact that  $\tilde{\eta} \in (\omega, \infty)$ .

Let  $N_Q$  denote the centered Gaussian measure on  $(H, \mathscr{B}(H))$  with covariance operator Q defined by

<span id="page-22-1"></span>
$$
Qx := \int_0^\infty e^{tA} \sigma e^{tA} x dt, \quad x \in H,
$$

which by  $(H2)(ii)$  is trace class.

Let  $W^{1,2}(H, N_Q)$  be defined as usual, that is as the completion of  $\mathscr{E}_A(H)$ with respect to the norm

<span id="page-23-3"></span>
$$
\|\varphi\|_{W^{1,2}} := \left(\int_H (\varphi^2 + |D\varphi|^2) dN_Q\right)^{1/2}, \quad \varphi \in \mathscr{E}_A(H),
$$

where  $D$  denotes first Fréchet derivative. By [\[9\]](#page-28-6) we know that

<span id="page-23-1"></span>
$$
W^{1,2}(H, N_Q) \subset L^2(H, N_Q), \quad \text{completely.} \tag{5.3}
$$

<span id="page-23-0"></span>**Theorem 5.2** Assume that (H1)', (H2), (H3) and (H6) hold and let  $\mu_{\alpha}, \alpha \in$  $(0, \alpha_0)$  be as above. Suppose that there exists a lower semi-continuous function  $G : H \to [0, \infty]$  such that

$$
\{G < \infty\} \subset D(F), \quad |F_0| \le G \text{ on } D(F) \text{ and } \sup_{\alpha \in (0,\alpha_0)} \int_H G^2 d\mu_\alpha < \infty. \tag{5.4}
$$

Then  $\{\mu_{\alpha}: \alpha \in (0, \alpha_0)\}\$ is tight and any limit point  $\mu$  satisfies (H4) and hence by Corollary [1.8](#page-5-2) all of these limit points coincide. Furthermore, for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ 

$$
\int_{H} (|F_0(x)|^2 + |x|^m) \mu(dx) < \infty \tag{5.5}
$$

and there exists  $\rho: H \to [0, \infty), \mathscr{B}(H)$ -measurable, such that  $\mu = \rho N_Q$  and  $\sqrt{\rho} \in W^{1,2}(H,\mu).$ 

**Proof.** We recall that by [\[3,](#page-28-3) Theorem 1.1] for each  $\alpha \in (0, \alpha_0)$ 

$$
\mu_{\alpha} = \rho_{\alpha} N_Q; \quad \sqrt{\rho_{\alpha}} \in W^{1,2}(H, N_Q)
$$
\n(5.6)

and as is easily seen from its proof, that

$$
\int_{H} |D\sqrt{\rho_{\alpha}}|^{2} dN_{Q} \leq \frac{1}{4} \int_{H} |F_{\alpha}|^{2} d\mu_{\alpha}.
$$
\n(5.7)

But by [\(2.3\)](#page-7-0) and [\(5.4\)](#page-23-1) the right hand side of [\(5.7\)](#page-23-2) is uniformly bounded in  $\alpha$ . Hence by [\(5.3\)](#page-23-3) there exists a zero sequence  $\{\alpha_n\}$  such that

<span id="page-23-4"></span><span id="page-23-2"></span>
$$
\sqrt{\rho_{\alpha_n}} \to \sqrt{\rho}
$$
 in  $L^2(H, N_Q)$  as  $n \to \infty$ ,

for some  $\sqrt{\rho} \in W^{1,2}(H, N_Q)$  and therefore, in particular,

$$
\rho_{\alpha_n} \to \rho \quad \text{in } L^1(H, N_Q) \text{ as } n \to \infty. \tag{5.8}
$$

Define  $\mu := \rho N_Q$  and  $\rho_n := \rho_{\alpha_n}, \ n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since G is lower semi-continuous and  $\mu_{\alpha_n} \to \mu$  as  $n \to \infty$  weakly, [\(5.2\)](#page-22-1) and [\(5.4\)](#page-23-1) imply

<span id="page-24-0"></span>
$$
\int_{H} (G^{2}(x) + |x|^{m}) \mu(dx) < \infty \quad \forall \ m \in \mathbb{N}.\tag{5.9}
$$

Hence by  $(5.4)$  both  $(H4)(i)$  and  $(H4)(ii)$  follow. So, it remains to prove  $(H4)(iii)$ .

Since  $\sigma$  is independent of  $\alpha$ , to show [\(5.9\)](#page-24-0) it is enough to prove that for all  $\varphi \in C_b(H)$ ,  $h \in D(A)$ 

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_H F_{\alpha_n}^h(x) \varphi(x) \mu_{\alpha_n}(dx) = \int_H F_0^h(x) \varphi(x) \mu(dx), \tag{5.10}
$$

where  $F_{\alpha}^{h} := \langle h, F_{\alpha} \rangle, \ \alpha \in [0, \alpha_0)$ . We have

<span id="page-24-1"></span>
$$
\left| \int_H F_{\alpha_n}^h \varphi d\mu_{\alpha_n} - \int_H F_0^h \varphi d\mu \right|
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq ||\varphi||_{\infty} \int_H |F_{\alpha_n}^h - F_0^h| \rho_n dN_Q + \int_H |F_0^h \varphi| |\rho_n - \rho| dN_Q. \quad (5.11)
$$

But by  $(2.3)$  and  $(5.4)$  we have

$$
\int_{H} |F_{\alpha_{n}}^{h} - F_{0}^{h}| \rho_{n} dN_{Q} \leq \int_{\{|G| \leq M\}} |F_{\alpha_{n}}^{h} - F_{0}^{h}| \rho_{n} dN_{Q} + \frac{2|h|}{M} \sup_{\alpha \in (0,\alpha_{0})} \int_{H} G^{2} d\mu_{\alpha}.
$$

Hence first letting  $n \to \infty$  then  $M \to \infty$  by [\(2.2\)](#page-7-1), [\(5.4\)](#page-23-1) and [\(5.8\)](#page-23-4) Lebesgue's generalized dominated convergence theorem implies that the first term on the right hand side of [\(5.11\)](#page-24-1) converges to 0. Furthermore, for every  $\delta \in (0,1)$ 

$$
\left| \int_H F_0^h \varphi d\mu_{\alpha_n} - \int_H F_0^h \varphi d\mu \right|
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq \left| \int_H \frac{F_0^h}{1 + \delta |F_0^h|} \varphi(\rho_n - \rho) dN_Q \right|
$$
  
\n
$$
+ \delta \|\varphi\|_{\infty} \left( \int_H |F_0^h|^2 d\mu_{\alpha_n} + \int_H |F_0^h|^2 d\mu \right). \quad (5.12)
$$

Since by  $(2.3)$  and  $(5.4)$ 

<span id="page-24-2"></span>
$$
\sup_{\alpha\in(0,\alpha_0)}\int_H|F_0^h|^2d\mu_\alpha<\infty,
$$

(H4)(iii) follows from [\(5.12\)](#page-24-2) by letting first  $n \to \infty$  and then  $\delta \to 0$ , since for fixed  $\delta > 0$  the first term in the right hand side converges to zero by [\(5.8\)](#page-23-4).  $\Box$ 

Example 5.3 Let  $H = L^2(0, 1), Ax = \Delta x, x \in D(A) := H^2(0, 1) \cap H_0^1(0, 1).$ Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  be decreasing such that for some  $c_3 > 0$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$
|f(s)| \le c_3(1+|s|^m), \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R}.\tag{5.13}
$$

Let  $s_i \in \mathbb{R}, i \in \mathbb{N}$ , be the set of all arguments where f is not continuous and define

$$
\bar{f}(s) = \begin{cases} [f(s_{i+}), f(s_{i-})], & \text{if } s = s_i \text{ for some } i \in \mathbb{N}, \\ f(s), & \text{else} \end{cases}
$$

Define

<span id="page-25-0"></span>
$$
F: D(F) \subset H \to 2^H, \ \ x \mapsto \bar{f} \circ x,
$$

where

$$
D(F) = \{ x \in H : \overline{f} \circ x \subset H \}.
$$

Then  $F$  is m-dissipative. Let  $F_0$  be defined as in Section 2.

Since  $A \leq \omega$  for some  $\omega < 0$ , it is easy to check that all conditions (H1)', (H2), (H3), (H6) with  $\eta = 0$  hold for any  $\sigma \in L(H)$  such that  $\sigma^{-1} \in L(H)$ . Define

$$
G(x) := \begin{cases} \left( \int_0^1 |x(\xi)|^{2m} d\xi \right)^{1/2} & \text{if } x \in L^{2m}(0, 1) \\ +\infty & \text{if } x \notin L^{2m}(0, 1). \end{cases}
$$

Then  $\{G < \infty\} \subset D(F)$  and  $|F_0| = |F_0|_{L^2(0,1)} \leq G$  on  $D(F)$ . Furthermore, by [\[7,](#page-28-0) (9.3)]

$$
\sup_{\alpha \in (0,\alpha_0)} \int_H G^2 d\mu_\alpha < \infty. \tag{5.14}
$$

Note that from [\[7,](#page-28-0) Hypothesis 9.5] only the first inequality, which clearly holds by  $(5.13)$  in our case, was used to prove [\[7,](#page-28-0)  $(9.3)$ ]. Hence all assumptions of Theorem [5.2](#page-23-0) above hold and we obtain the existence of the desired unique probability measure  $\mu$  satisfying (H4) in this case. We emphasize that no continuity properties of f and  $F_0$  are required. In particular, then all results stated in Section 1 except for Corollary 1.10(ii) hold in this case.

If moreover there exists an increasing positive convex function  $\Phi$  on  $[0, \infty)$ satisfying [\(1.12\)](#page-6-3) such that

$$
(f(s) - f(t))(s - t) \le c - \Phi(|s - t|^2), \quad s, t \in \mathbb{R},
$$

then by Jensen's inequality [\(1.13\)](#page-6-2) holds. Hence, by Corollary [1.10](#page-6-1) one obtains an explicit upper bound for  $||p_t||_{L^2(H,\mu)\to L^\infty(H,\mu)}$ . A natural and simple choice of  $\Phi$  is  $\Phi(s) = s^m$  for  $m > 1$ .

One can extend these results to the case, where  $(0, 1)$  above is replaced by a bounded open set in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $d = 2$  or 3 for  $\sigma = (-\Delta)^\gamma$ ,  $\gamma \in (\frac{d-2}{4})$  $\frac{-2}{4}$ ,  $\frac{1}{2}$  $(\frac{1}{2})$ , based on Remark [1.1\(](#page-2-0)iv).

Before to conclude we want to present a condition in the general case (i.e for any Hilbert space  $H$  as above) that implies [\(5.4\)](#page-23-1), hence by Theorem [5.2](#page-23-0) ensures the existence of a probability measure satisfying (H4) so that all results of Section 1 apply also to this case. As will become clear from the arguments below, such condition is satisfied if the eigenvalues of A grow fast enough in comparison with  $|F_0|$ . To this end we first note that by [\(5.1\)](#page-22-0) for  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  we can find  $q_i \in (0, \lambda_i)$ ,  $q_i \uparrow \infty$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} q_i^{-1} < \infty$  and  $\frac{q_i}{\lambda_i} \to 0$ as  $i \to \infty$ . Define  $\Theta : H \to [0, \infty]$  by

$$
\Theta(x) := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_i}{q_i} \langle x, e_i \rangle^2, \quad x \in H,
$$
\n(5.15)

where  $\{e_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$  is an eigenbasis of  $(1+\omega-A, D(A))$  such that  $e_i$  has eigenvalue  $\lambda_i$ . Then  $\Theta$  has compact level sets and  $|\cdot|^2 \leq \Theta$ .

Below we set

$$
H_n:=\text{lin span }\{e_1,\dots,e_n\}, \quad \pi_n:=\text{projection onto } H_n,
$$
  

$$
\tilde{A}:=A-(1+\omega)I, \quad D(\tilde{A}):=D(A), \tag{5.16}
$$

<span id="page-26-1"></span><span id="page-26-0"></span>
$$
\tilde{F}_0 := F_0 + (1 + \omega)I. \tag{5.17}
$$

We note that obviously  $H_n \subset \{\Theta < +\infty\}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Theorem 5.4** Assume that (H1)', (H2), (H3) and (H6) hold and let  $\mu_{\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha \in$  $(0, \alpha_0)$ , be as above. Suppose that  $\{\Theta \leq +\infty\} \subset D(F)$  and that for some  $C \in (0,\infty), m \in \mathbb{N}$ 

<span id="page-26-2"></span>
$$
|F_0(x)| \le C(1+|x|^m + \Theta^{1/2}(x)), \quad \forall \ x \in D(F). \tag{5.18}
$$

Then

<span id="page-26-3"></span>
$$
\sup_{\alpha \in (0,\alpha_0)} \int_H \Theta d\mu_\alpha < \infty \tag{5.19}
$$

and [\(5.4\)](#page-23-1) holds, so Theorem [5.2](#page-23-0) applies.

**Proof.** Consider the Kolmogorov operator  $L_{\alpha}$  corresponding to  $X_{\alpha}(t, x)$ ,  $t \geq$  $0, x \in H$ , which for  $\varphi \in \mathscr{F}C_b^2(\{e_n\})$ , i.e.,  $\varphi = g(\langle e_1, \cdot \rangle, ..., \langle e_N, \cdot \rangle)$  for some  $N \in \mathbb{N}, g \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , is given by

$$
L_{\alpha}\varphi(x) := \frac{1}{2} \text{ Tr} \left[ \sigma^2 D^2 \varphi(x) \right] + \langle x, AD\varphi(x) \rangle + \langle F_{\alpha}(x), D\varphi(x) \rangle, \quad x \in H, \ (5.20)
$$

where  $D^2$  denotes the second Fréchet derivative. Then, an easy application of Itô's formula shows that the  $L^1(H, \mu_\alpha)$ -generator of  $(P_t^\alpha)$  (given as before by  $P_t^{\alpha} f(x) = \mathbb{E}[f(X_{\alpha}(t,x))])$  is given on  $\mathscr{F}C_b^2({e_n})$  by  $L_{\alpha}$ . In particular,

$$
\int_H L_\alpha \varphi \, d\mu_\alpha = 0, \quad \forall \, \varphi \in \mathscr{F}C_b^2(\{e_n\}).
$$

By a simple approximation argument and [\(5.2\)](#page-22-1) we get for  $\alpha \in (0, \alpha_0)$  and

$$
\varphi_n(x) := \sum_{i=1}^n q_i^{-1} \langle x, e_i \rangle^2, \quad x \in H, \ n \in \mathbb{N},
$$

that also

<span id="page-27-0"></span>
$$
\int_{H} L_{\alpha} \varphi_n \, d\mu_{\alpha} = 0. \tag{5.21}
$$

But for all  $x \in H$ , with  $\tilde{F}_{\alpha}$  defined as  $\tilde{F}_0$  in [\(5.17\)](#page-26-1), we have

$$
L_{\alpha}\varphi_{n}(x) = -2\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\lambda_{i}}{q_{i}} \langle x, e_{i} \rangle^{2} + 2\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i}^{-1} \langle \tilde{F}_{\alpha}(x), e_{i} \rangle \langle x, e_{i} \rangle
$$
  
+ 
$$
\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} q_{i}^{-1} \langle \sigma_{n}e_{i}, \sigma_{n}e_{j} \rangle
$$
  

$$
\leq -2\Theta(\pi_{n}x) + 2\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i}^{-1} \langle \tilde{F}_{\alpha}(x), e_{i} \rangle^{2}\right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i}^{-1} \langle x, e_{i} \rangle^{2}\right)^{1/2}
$$
  
+ 
$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i}^{-1} |\sigma_{n}e_{i}|^{2}
$$
  

$$
\leq -2\Theta(\pi_{n}x) + c_{1}(1 + |x|^{m+1} + \Theta^{1/2}(x)|x|) + ||\sigma||^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} q_{i}^{-1}, \quad (5.22)
$$

<span id="page-27-1"></span>for some constant  $c_1$  independent of n and  $\alpha$ . Here we used [\(2.3\)](#page-7-0) and [\(5.18\)](#page-26-2). Now  $(5.21)$ ,  $(5.2)$  and  $(5.22)$  immediately imply that for some constant  $\tilde{c}_1$ 

$$
\sup_{\alpha\in(0,\alpha_0)}\int_H \Theta(x)\mu_{\alpha}(dx) \leq \sup_{\alpha\in(0,\alpha_0)}\tilde{c}_1\left(1+\int_H |x|^{m+2}\mu_{\alpha}(dx)\right) + \|\sigma\|^2 \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} q_i^{-1} < \infty.
$$

So, [\(5.19\)](#page-26-3) is proved, which by [\(5.18\)](#page-26-2) implies [\(5.4\)](#page-23-1) and the proof is complete.  $\Box$ 

AKNOWLEDGEMENT. The second named author would like to thank UCSD, in particular, his host Bruce Driver, for a very pleasant stay in La Jolla where a part of this work was done. The authors would like to thank Ouyang for his comments leading to a better constant involved in the Harnack inequality.

# <span id="page-28-1"></span>References

- [1] M. Arnaudon, A. Thalmaier and F.-Y. Wang, Harnack inequality and heat kernel estimates on manifolds with curvature unbounded below. Bull. Sci. Math. 130, no. 3, 223–233, 2006.
- <span id="page-28-4"></span>[2] L. Beznea, N. Boboc and M. Röckner, Markov processes associated with L<sup>p</sup>-resolvents and applications to stochastic differential equations in Hilbert spaces. J. Evolution Equ. 6, no. 4, 745-772, 2006.
- <span id="page-28-3"></span>[3] V. Bogachev, G. Da Prato and M. Röckner, *Regularity of invariant mea*sures for a class of perturbed Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operators, Nonlinear Diff. Equations Appl., 3, 261-268, 1996.
- [4] V. Bogachev and M. Röckner, *Elliptic equations for measures on infinite* dimensional spaces and applications, Probab. Theory Relat. Fields, 120, 445–496, 2001.
- <span id="page-28-2"></span>[5] S. Cerrai, Second order PDE's in finite and infinite dimensions. A probabilistic approach, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1762, Springer-Verlag, 2001.
- <span id="page-28-5"></span><span id="page-28-0"></span>[6] G. Da Prato Kolmogorov equations for stochastic PDEs, Birkhäuser, 2004.
- [7] G. Da Prato and M. Röckner, Singular dissipative stochastic equations in Hilbert spaces, Probab. Theory Relat. Fields, 124, 2, 261–303, 2002.
- [8] G. Da Prato and M. Röckner, *Erratum to: "Singular dissipative stochas*tic equations in Hilbert spaces", to appear in Probab. Theory Relat. Fields, Preprint 2008.
- <span id="page-28-6"></span>[9] G. Da Prato, P. Malliavin and D. Nualart,Compact families of Wiener functionals, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, 315, 1287–1291, 1992.
- <span id="page-29-7"></span><span id="page-29-5"></span>[10] G. Da Prato and J. Zabczyk, Stochastic equations in infinite dimensions, Cambridge University Press, 1992.
- <span id="page-29-4"></span>[11] W. Liu, Doctor-Thesis, Bielefeld University, 2008.
- <span id="page-29-9"></span>[12] Z. M. Ma and M. Röckner, *Introduction to the Theory of (Non Symmet*ric) Dirichlet Forms, Springer–Verlag, 1992.
- [13] M. Ondreját, Uniqueness for stochastic evolution equations in Banach spaces. Dissertationes Math. (Rozprawy Mat.) 426, 2004.
- <span id="page-29-6"></span><span id="page-29-3"></span>[14] S.-X. Ouyang, Doctor-Thesis, Bielefeld University, 2008.
- <span id="page-29-1"></span>[15] C. Prevot and M. Röckner, A concise course on stochastic partial differential equations, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer, 2007.
- <span id="page-29-8"></span>[16] M. Röckner and F.-Y. Wang, *Harnack and functional inequalities for* generalized Mehler semigroups. J. Funct. Anal. 203, no. 1, 237–261, 2003.
- [17] W. Stannat, (Nonsymmetric) Dirichlet operators on  $L^1$ : existence, uniqueness and associated Markov processes. Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) 28, no. 1, 99–140, 1999.
- <span id="page-29-2"></span><span id="page-29-0"></span>[18] F.-Y. Wang, Logarithmic Sobolev inequalities on noncompact Riemannian manifolds, Probability Theory Relat. Fields 109, 417–424, 1997.
- [19] F.-Y. Wang, Harnack inequality and applications for stochastic generalized porous media equations. Ann. Probab. 35, no. 4, 1333–1350, 2007.