

Solitons with Cubic and Quintic Nonlinearities Modulated in the Space and Time

A.T. Avelar^a, D. Bazeia^b, and W.B. Cardoso^a

^aInstituto de Física, Universidade Federal de Goiás, 74.001-970, Goiânia Goiás, Brazil

^bDepartamento de Física, Universidade Federal da Paraíba, 58.051-970, João Pessoa Paraíba, Brazil

This work deals with soliton solutions of the nonlinear Schroedinger equation with cubic and quintic nonlinearities. We extend the procedure put forward in a recent Letter and we solve the equation in the presence of linear background, and cubic and quintic interactions which are modulated in space and time. As a result, we show how a simple parameter can be used to generate brightlike or darklike localized nonlinear waves which oscillate in several distinct ways, driven by the space and time dependence of the parameters that control the trapping potential, and the cubic and quintic nonlinearities.

PACS numbers: 03.75.Lm, 05.45.Yv, 42.65.Tg

Generalities. – The nonlinear Schroedinger equation has been studied in a diversity of situations. The nonlinear interactions are usually of cubic nature, but there are systems which engender cubic and quintic (CQ) nonlinearities. The case of CQ nonlinearities opens new possibilities, and there are many interesting applications, specially in nonlinear optics, in fibers where the CQ nonlinearities may be used for instance to describe pulse propagation in double-doped optical fibers, when the type of dopant varies along the fiber, with the value and sign of the cubic and quintic parameters that control the nonlinearities being adjusted by properly choosing the characteristics of the two dopants [1]. There are other applications, and here we quote the Bose-Einstein condensates (BEC), where a diversity of nonlinearities may appear driven by controlled optical interactions [2].

A particularly important scenario for solitons concerns the nonlinear Schroedinger equation in the case of a single spatial dimension with a linear term and cubic nonlinearity. This equation can be extended to other forms. In particular, we can change the linear parameter to make it to trap the system. In this case it is named the Gross-Pitaevskii equation [3], and the linear parameter v is now modified to $v(x)$, with explicit dependence on the spatial coordinate x . Usually, the potential $v(x)$ is a background potential, and has the form of the harmonic potential, with the purpose of trapping the system in a finite region in space, or some spatially periodically oscillating pattern, if the purpose is to entrap the system into a periodic lattice. This equation has gained further importance recently, mainly because of its direct application to the study of BECs [4], in fibers and in photonic crystals and other periodic systems [5, 6].

In a recent work, Belmonte-Beitia, Pérez-García, Vekslerchik, and Konotop (BPVK) [7] have considered another equation

$$i \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial x^2} + v(x, t)\Psi + g(x, t)|\Psi|^2\Psi. \quad (1)$$

Here $v(x, t)$ and $g(x, t)$ may now vary, being functions with both space and time dependence, the first one being the trapping potential, and the second one describing the cubic nonlinearity. This work has introduced a very interesting method, which we refer to as the BPVK procedure. It is similar to

other methods [8, 9, 10] and is well explained in [7], so we do not review it here. Instead, we apply the procedure to another problem, focusing our attention on the CQ nonlinear equation

$$i \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial x^2} + v(x, t)\Psi + g_3(x, t)|\Psi|^2\Psi + g_5(x, t)|\Psi|^4\Psi. \quad (2)$$

where $v(x, t)$ is the trapping potential, and $g_3(x, t)$ and $g_5(x, t)$ control the nonlinear cubic and quintic interactions, respectively.

In the present work, we provide a way of extending the BPVK procedure to this new scenario, involving the presence of CQ nonlinearities. The results allow obtaining explicit solutions for some specific choices of parameters, leading us to interesting localized modes, including the possibility of obtaining both the bright and dark excitations, depending on the vanishing or not of the eigenvalue of the associated stationary nonlinear model, as we explain below.

The procedure. – The idea is to write the solution of (2) in the form

$$\Psi(x, t) = \rho(x, t)e^{i\phi(x, t)}\Phi(\zeta(x, t)), \quad (3)$$

in order to rewrite (2) into the stationary equation

$$\mu\Phi(\zeta) = -\frac{d^2\Phi(\zeta)}{d\zeta^2} + G_3|\Phi(\zeta)|^2\Phi(\zeta) + G_5|\Phi(\zeta)|^4\Phi(\zeta), \quad (4)$$

where μ is the eigenvalue of the nonlinear equation above, and G_3 and G_5 are real constants which control the CQ nonlinearities. The substitution of (3) into (2) leads to (4), but now we have to have

$$\rho \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial(\rho^2(\partial\phi/\partial x))}{\partial x} = 0, \quad (5a)$$

$$\frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial t} + 2 \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial x} = 0, \quad (5b)$$

$$\frac{\partial(\rho^2(\partial\zeta/\partial x))}{\partial x} = 0. \quad (5c)$$

We can introduce a new function $\xi(x, t)$ such that $\zeta(x, t) = F(\xi(x, t))$. In this case, we write $\xi(x, t) = \gamma(t)x + \delta(t)$. This choice is interesting because it allows to determine the width of the localized solution in the form $1/\gamma(t)$, and its center of

mass position as $-\delta(t)/\gamma(t)$. With the equations (5) we can obtain the new set of equations

$$\rho(x, t) = \sqrt{\frac{\gamma}{(\partial F(\xi)/\partial \xi)}}, \quad (6a)$$

$$\phi(x, t) = -\frac{(\partial \gamma/\partial t)}{4\gamma}x^2 - \frac{(\partial \delta/\partial t)}{2\gamma}x + a(t), \quad (6b)$$

$$v(x, t) = \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} - \left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}\right)^2 - \frac{\mu \gamma^4}{\rho^4}, \quad (6c)$$

$$g_3(x, t) = G_3 \gamma^4 \rho^{-6}, \quad (6d)$$

$$g_5(x, t) = G_5 G_3^{-1} \rho^{-2} g_3(x, t) = G_5 \gamma^4 \rho^{-8}, \quad (6e)$$

where $a(t)$ is an arbitrary function of time. The choice of $F(\xi)$ is to be done in a way such that we get finite energy solutions of the CQ nonlinear equation.

This is the general procedure, and we note from (6e) that if we take the limit $G_5 \rightarrow 0$ we get to $g_5(x, t) \rightarrow 0$ and this leads us back to the case set forward in [7]. This result is robust. In fact, we have shown that the procedure can be extended to other nonlinearities: if in (2) we add the new term $g_7(x, t)|\Psi|^6\Psi$, etc, then in (4) we have to add $G_7|\Phi|^6\Phi$, etc, and after (6e) we should include the new expression

$$\begin{aligned} g_7(x, t) &= G_7 G_5^{-1} \rho^{-2} g_5(x, t) \\ &= G_7 G_3^{-1} \rho^{-4} g_3(x, t) = G_7 \gamma^4 \rho^{-10}, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

and so on. We will deal with the more general case in another work [11], and below we focus attention on the important case of CQ nonlinearities.

Specific nonlinearities. – Up the here, we have been very general. However, to illustrate the procedure with examples of interest, let us focus our attention into the case of specific nonlinearities. This is a nontrivial task, but we know that in the case of BECs with controlled optical interactions, we can have a diversity of nonlinearities [2]. Thus, here we suppose that the cubic nonlinearity is given explicitly by

$$g_3(x, t) = 1 - \lambda \exp(-\xi^2), \quad (8)$$

where λ is a parameter that can vary in the interval $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. This contribution is introduced to control the way the cubic nonlinearity goes from $1 - \lambda$ for $\xi = 0$ up to unit, for $\xi \rightarrow \pm\infty$. It indicates the strength of the cubic nonlinearity, and how it changes as a function of $\xi(x, t)$. In this case we have

$$\rho(x, t) = \left(\frac{G_3 \gamma^4}{1 - \lambda \exp(-\xi^2)}\right)^{1/6} \quad (9)$$

The quintic nonlinearity is now controlled by

$$g_5(x, t) = G_5 \left(\frac{G_3 \gamma}{1 - \lambda \exp(-\xi^2)}\right)^{-4/3} \quad (10)$$

and the potential given by equation (6c) has to have the form

$$v(x, t) = \omega^2 x^2 + f_1 x + f_2 - \mu \left(\frac{\gamma^2}{G_3} (1 - \lambda \exp(-\xi^2))\right)^{2/3} \quad (11)$$

and ω , f_1 , and f_2 , are time-dependent functions such that

$$\omega^2(t) = \gamma^4 + \frac{1}{4\gamma} \frac{d^2 \gamma}{dt^2} - \frac{1}{2\gamma^2} \left(\frac{d\gamma}{dt}\right)^2 \quad (12a)$$

$$f_1(t) = 2\gamma^3 \delta + \frac{1}{2\gamma} \frac{d^2 \delta}{dt^2} - \frac{1}{\gamma^2} \frac{d\gamma}{dt} \frac{d\delta}{dt} \quad (12b)$$

$$f_2(t) = \gamma^2 + \gamma^2 \delta^2 - \frac{1}{4\gamma^2} \left(\frac{d\delta}{dt}\right)^2 - \frac{da}{dt} \quad (12c)$$

We define $\chi = 1/\gamma$ in order to rewrite (12a) in the form of the Ermakov-Pinney equation [7, 12]

$$\frac{d^2 \chi}{dt^2} + 4\omega^2(t)\chi = \frac{4}{\chi^3} \quad (13)$$

This equation has solution for γ in the form

$$\gamma(t) = [2y_1^2(t) + 2y_2^2(t)/W^2]^{-1/2} \quad (14)$$

Here W is the Wronskian of the two linearly independent solutions y_1 e y_2 of the Mathieu equation

$$\frac{d^2 y}{dt^2} + 4\omega^2(t)y = 0 \quad (15)$$

See Ref. [12] for more details on this.

We now choose

$$\omega^2(t) = 1 + \varepsilon \cos(\omega_0 t) \quad (16)$$

in order to obtain analytical solutions. The above results are general and can be used to investigate explicit examples, as we consider below.

Bright and dark solitons. – An important issue which appears in the case of CQ nonlinearities is that we can solve the equation for bright and dark solitons, depending of the values and signals of the parameters that control the nonlinearities in a specific system. As the first example, let us consider the simpler case in which the stationary equation (4) has vanishing eigenvalue, $\mu = 0$. In this case, if we choose the cubic and quintic parameters as $G_3 = 2$ and $G_5 = -3$, the equation (4) becomes

$$\frac{d^2 \Phi(\zeta)}{d\zeta^2} = 2\Phi^3(\zeta) - 3\Phi^5(\zeta) \quad (17)$$

The solution has the simple analytical form

$$\Phi(\zeta) = 1/\sqrt{1 + \zeta^2} \quad (18)$$

This solution has the bell shape form, and it is of the bright type, as we plot in Fig. 1. To have the correct boundary condition, we have to set $\zeta \rightarrow \pm\infty$ as $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$, and so we have to choose $\zeta(x, t) = F(\xi(x, t))$ properly, in order to make the solution to behave according to the required boundary conditions. In this work we will take the choice $\zeta = F(\xi) = \xi^3$. We note that the solution (18) is localized, but it is thicker than the hyperbolic secant, which is also plotted in Fig. 1, for




FIG. 1: (Color on line) Plots of the bright soliton (18) (left panel, blue, solid line) and the $\text{sech}(\zeta)$ (red, dashed line), and of the dark soliton (20) (right panel, blue, solid line) and the $\tanh(\zeta)$ (red, dashed line).

comparison. The standard bright soliton is bell shaped and usually described by the hyperbolic secant. As we know, the form found above in (18) can also be obtained in models of relativistic scalar fields, but this will be reported in another work [13]. We will get into this case following the lines of the recent work [14], in which one suggests several distinct ways of modifying the bell shaped form of brightlike solutions, which are of direct interest for practical applications, as we will illustrate in our more detailed work [11].

To get to the dark like solution, let us now choose a non-vanishing eigenvalue, $\mu \neq 0$. In order to get explicitly simple analytical solution we consider, for instance, the case $\mu = 3$, $G_3 = 6$, and $G_5 = -3$. The equation (4) is now changed to

$$\frac{d^2\Phi(\zeta)}{d\zeta^2} = -3\Phi(\zeta) + 6\Phi^3(\zeta) - 3\Phi^5(\zeta) \quad (19)$$

The solution is given by

$$\Phi(\zeta) = \zeta/\sqrt{1+\zeta^2} \quad (20)$$

It has the form of a kink, as we show in Fig. 1, and so $|\Phi(\zeta)|^2$ is now of the dark type. It is interesting to note that this form of solution has also appeared in high energy physics, as reported before in [15]. It is thicker than the standard darklike soliton which is described by the hyperbolic tangent, which we also plot in Fig. 1, for comparison.

A. The case of bright solitons

The equation (17) leads to the brightlike solutions. In this case the wave function which solves the equation (2) gets to the form

$$\Psi(x, t) = \frac{\gamma^{2/3} 2^{1/6} e^{i\phi}}{\sqrt{1+\xi^6}} (1 - \lambda \exp(-\xi^2))^{-1/6} \quad (21)$$

where $\phi = \phi(x, t)$ is real, obtained via the equation (6b).

A specific solution can be constructed, which leads to resonant solitons. It is given by $\delta(t) = 0$, $\mu = 0$ and $a(t) = \int \gamma^2(t) dt$. In this case we get

$$v(x, t) = \omega^2(t)x^2 \quad (22a)$$

$$g_3(x, t) = 1 - \lambda \exp(-\xi^2) \quad (22b)$$

$$g_5(x, t) = -3(2\gamma)^{-4/3}(1 - \lambda \exp(-\xi^2))^{4/3} \quad (22c)$$




FIG. 2: (Color on line) Plots of the trapping potential $v(x, t)$ given by (22a) for the brightlike case (left panel) and by (24a) for the darklike case (right panel), for $\lambda = 0.5$, in the range $-3 < x < 3$ for the time evolution in the interval $0 < t < 20$.

In Fig. 2 we plot the trapping potential (22a) in the standard form, to show how it behaves as a function of space and time. This is to be compared with the trapping potential of the other case, for $\mu \neq 0$, where the dark solitons appear.

Solutions of the brightlike form nicely appear when one adequately chooses both ε and ω_0 in equation (16). In Fig. 3, we show the resonant and breathing solitons for the specific choices of parameters $\varepsilon = 0.5$ and $\omega_0 = 2$, and $\varepsilon = 0$, respectively. We can also get quasiperiodic and moving solitons, but we will leave this to the longer work [11].




FIG. 3: (Color on line) Plots of $|\Psi(x, t)|^2$ for the resonant bright soliton for $\varepsilon = 0.5$ and $\omega_0 = 1$ (left panel), and of the breathing bright soliton, for $\varepsilon = 0$ (right panel). We are using $\lambda = 0.5$ and the initial data for equation (13) are $\chi(0) = \sqrt{2}$ and $d\chi/dt(0) = 0$.

B. The case of dark solitons

As we have already seen, the equation (19) gives the darklike solutions. In this case, the equation (3) take the form

$$\Psi(x, t) = \frac{\gamma^{2/3} 6^{1/6} e^{i\phi}}{\sqrt{1+\xi^6}} \xi^3 (1 - \lambda \exp(-\xi^2))^{-1/6} \quad (23)$$

If we take $\delta(t) = 0$, $\mu \neq 0$ e $a(t) = \int \gamma^2(t) dt$, we get

$$v(x, t) = \omega^2(t)x^2 - \mu \left(\frac{1}{6} \gamma^2 (1 - \lambda \exp(-\xi^2)) \right)^{2/3} \quad (24a)$$

$$g_3(x, t) = 1 - \lambda \exp(-\xi^2) \quad (24b)$$

$$g_5(x, t) = -3(6\gamma)^{-4/3}(1 - \lambda \exp(-\xi^2))^{4/3} \quad (24c)$$




FIG. 4: (Color on line) Plots of $|\Psi(x, t)|^2$ for the resonant dark soliton for $\varepsilon = 0.5$ and $\omega_0 = 1$ (left panel) and of the breathing dark soliton, for $\varepsilon = 0$ (right panel). We are using $\lambda = 0.5$ and the initial data for (13) are $\chi(0) = \sqrt{2}$ and $d\chi/dt(0) = 0$.




FIG. 5: (Color on line) Plot of $|\Psi(x, t)|^2$ for the quasiperiodic dark soliton with $\varepsilon = 0.5$ and $\omega_0 = \sqrt{2}/2$ in (16) (left panel). We also plot its quasiperiodic profile in the right panel. We are using $\lambda = 0.5$ and the initial data for (13) are $\chi(0) = \sqrt{2}$ and $d\chi/dt(0) = 0$.

In Fig. 2 we plot the trapping potential (24a) in the standard form, to show how it behaves as a function of space and time. Here we see that for the darklike soliton, the trapping potential has a different behavior, if compared with the case of brightlike soliton – see Fig. 2. The presence of a nonvanishing μ modifies both the form and deepness of the trapping potential in the darklike case.

In the darklike case, we also have several soliton solutions. The darklike resonant solution appears with an appropriate choice for ε and ω_0 in equation (16), as we show in Fig. 4. We can also have the breathing soliton. It is obtained taking $\varepsilon = 0$ in (16). In this case we have $\omega(t) = 1$, and this leads us with $\gamma(t)$ given by $\gamma(t) = 2/\sqrt{1 + 15 \cos^2(2t)}$. The $|\Psi(x, t)|^2$ for darklike soliton of the breathing type is also shown in Fig. 4. If we take $\omega_0 = \sqrt{2}/2$ in (16), we can use the above $\gamma(t)$ to get to quasiperiodic solitons, as we show explicitly in Fig. 5.

Up to here we have chosen $\delta(t) = 0$, which fix the center of mass of the solution. However, we can choose $\delta(t) \neq 0$, in order to make the center of mass of the solution to move. For simplicity, we consider the case $\varepsilon = 0$ in (16), and take $\gamma(t)$ as above. Thus, we get to $f_1(t) = 0$ in (12b) and $f_2(t) = 0$ in (12c) with the introduction of $\tau(t) = \int \gamma^2(t) dt$, which leads to $\delta(t) = \cos(2\tau(t))$ and $a(t) = \tau(t) + (1/4) \sin(4\tau(t))$. With this, we get to the case of a moving dark soliton, as we show explicitly in Fig. 6.

Comments and conclusions. – In this work we have extended the procedure set forward in the recent Letter [7] to the case of cubic and quintic nonlinearities. As we have shown




FIG. 6: (Color on line) Plot of $|\Psi(x, t)|^2$ for the moving dark soliton (left panel). The center of mass motion is better seen from the profile at the right panel. We are using $\lambda = 0.5$ and the initial data for equation (13) are $\chi(0) = \sqrt{2}$ and $d\chi/dt(0) = 0$.

explicitly, the procedure which was originally implemented in the case of cubic nonlinearity is robust and can be generalized to higher (odd) nonlinearities very naturally, algorithmically. In the present study, we have focused attention on the nonlinear Schroedinger equation with both the cubic and quintic nonlinearities. The investigations show that the system can support bright or dark solitons, depending on the way we make the eigenvalue of the stationary nonlinear equation (4) to vanish, in the case of bright soliton, or not, in the case of dark soliton. In the specific case of dark solitons, we have shown how to find the solutions with the resonant, breathing, quasiperiodic and moving behavior. A more detailed discussion is under preparation [11].

The authors would like to thank CAPES, CNPQ and PRONEX-CNPq-FAPESQ for partial financial support.

-
- [1] S. Gatz and J. Herrmann, *Opt. Lett.* **17**, 484 (1992).
 - [2] M. Theis *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 123001 (2004).
 - [3] F. Dalfovo, S. Giorgini, L.P. Pitaevskii, and S. Stringari, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **71**, 463 (1999).
 - [4] L.P. Pitaevski and S. Stringari, *Bose-Einstein condensation* (Oxford, Oxford, 2003).
 - [5] Y. Kivshar and G.P. Agrawal, *Optical solitons: from fibers to photonic crystals* (Academic, New York, 2003).
 - [6] B.A. Malomed, *Soliton management in periodic systems* (Springer, New York, 2006).
 - [7] J. Belmonte-Beitia, V.M. Pérez-García, V. Vekslerchik, and V.V. Konotop, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, 164102 (2008).
 - [8] V.N. Serkin and A. Hasegawa, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85**, 4502 (2000).
 - [9] S. Chen and L. Yi, *Phys. Rev. E* **71**, 016606 (2005).
 - [10] V. M. Pérez-García, P. J. Torres, and V.V. Konotop, *Physica D* **221**, 31 (2006).
 - [11] A.T. Avelar, D. Bazeia, and W.B. Cardoso, in preparation.
 - [12] V.M. Pérez-García, P.J. Torres, and G.D. Montesinos, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **67**, 990 (2007).
 - [13] A.T. Avelar, D. Bazeia, and W.B. Cardoso, in preparation.
 - [14] A.T. Avelar, D. Bazeia, L. Losano, and R. Menezes, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **55**, 133 (2008).
 - [15] D. Bazeia, L. Losano, and J.M.C. Malbouisson, *Phys. Rev. D* **66**, 111701(R) (2002).