

# Relating computational complexity and quantum spectral complexity

David R. Mitchell\*  
 Box 60986, Pasadena, CA 91116  
 (Dated: October 16, 2021)

We present a conjecture and hypothesis regarding complex spectral measures of computations of varying degrees of difficulty. Earlier work based on the study of quantal chaotic and weakly disordered systems has established that irregular spectral fluctuations have a distribution predicted by random matrix theory, while regular spectral fluctuations are given by the Poisson distribution. We elucidate the correlation between random matrix theory and computational complexity and formalize the idea to a conjecture and experimental prediction regarding spectral regularity and computational complexity (NP-complete class) viz. the quantum adiabatic computation algorithm. We show how the result takes the form of a correspondence principle for computational systems between the quantum and classical domains.

PACS numbers: 03.67.-a, 03.67.Lx, 05.45.Mt, 05.45.Pq

## INTRODUCTION

In this letter, we examine the correlation between spectral complexity and classical computational complexity. We advance an experimental hypothesis relating the areas of spectral complexity of a quantum adiabatic computational process and the classical computational complexity of the associated classical (traditional) computation.

Important to the study of adiabatic quantum computational processes is the spectral order/disorder transition dependent on an entirely new variable, classical computational complexity[1]. This global change in spectral statistics parallels the correspondence between the global change in spectral complexity and classical dynamical order as measured by the Liapunov dynamical exponent central to the study of quantal chaos in the 1980s and 1990s [2][3], extending ideas regarding the Bohr Correspondence Principle as applied to classically chaotic systems.

In discussing quantum computational processes, it is useful to consider the classical computation as the ‘classical’ analog, in much the same way that classical motion serves as a classical analog to certain quantum systems, e.g. few body atomic systems. When phrased in such a manner, experimental predictions relating classical and quantum computation reveal interesting correspondences between the two classes of systems.

This paper is organized as follows: we begin with an examination Random Matrix Theory and spectral measures followed by a discussion of the quantum adiabatic computational algorithm. We present, in Fig. 2, the central result, after which we formalize these findings into a conjecture regarding adiabatic quantum computation and associated predictions for experiment.

## BACKGROUND

Random Matrix Theory (RMT) [4] has been useful in describing the the statistical fluctuations of spectra in chaotic [3] or complex systems, as well as in the quantum computation of NP-Complete class problems [1]. Historically, RMT [5] has found application describing global spectral characteristics of a variety of complex systems such as compound nuclei[6], weakly disordered systems from condensed matter physics [7], atomic systems possessing a chaotic classical analog [8], and even number theory in the distribution of the zeros of the Riemann zeta function[9][10][11].

RMT is a statistical description of complex quantum systems in which detailed knowledge about particle interactions is abandoned in favor of a general ensemble description with the only restriction due to physical symmetries. Systems conserving time reversal symmetry are described by the Gaussian orthogonal ensemble (GOE), while systems with broken time reversal symmetry are described by the Gaussian unitary ensemble (GUE).

When examining the global spectral character of a system, the average level density  $\rho(E)$ , a model dependent property, is normalized to unity. When so normalized, the resulting *unfolded* spectra assume universal properties, and the spectra from a large variety of physical systems may be compared. The spectral nearest-neighbor spacing (NNS) fluctuation distribution assumes a universal form that may compared with the *statistical* properties of the experimental levels of interest. Whether the specific distribution assumes universal properties depends on the presence of quantal symmetries in the system, and, in the case of quantal systems with classical analogs, RMT spectral statistics correlate with the presence of classical nonlinearities as measured, for example, by the Liapunov exponents.

The NNS distribution typical for complex quantum and disordered systems is given by the Wigner distribution [4, 5] as predicted by RMT. Such distributions are

---

\*permanent E-mail address: davidmitchell@aya.yale.edu

typical for complex systems without quantal symmetries, which results in highly irregular energy spectra. Conversely, Hamiltonians corresponding to classical systems subject to symmetries and conservation laws typically display *regular* energy spectra and *Poissonian* nearest-neighbor level separations.

The spectral regularity may be quantified by a single parameter, the Brody parameter  $q$  [12], that interpolates between a regular Poisson spectrum ( $q = 0$ ) and an irregular (quantum chaotic) Wigner distribution ( $q = 1$ ) [12]. A renormalized spectrum having Brody parameter  $q$  is characterized by the following NNS probability distribution for level spacing  $\delta$ , for the GOE:

$$p_q(\delta) = (1+q)\beta\delta^q \exp(-\beta\delta^{1+q}), \quad \beta = \left[ \Gamma\left(\frac{2+q}{1+q}\right) \right]^{1+q}. \quad (1)$$

One parameter disordered spectra are governed by the theory of Gaussian processes (GP) that have the property of universality when the parameter  $x$  is rescaled by the generalized conductance of unfolded energies,  $\epsilon_i$ ,  $x \rightarrow \sqrt{\frac{\partial\epsilon_i}{\partial x}} x$  as shown in the context of RMT [13] and supersymmetry models [14]. When extended to multiparameter disordered spectra, the universal results extend to the spectral density of phase-generating topological (Berry) points, a dimensionless constant originally found in the context of a complex nuclear model, characteristic to *all* two-parameter quantum complex systems (disordered and chaotic) and equal to 0.94(10)[15]. Such spectra are characterized by an abundance of avoided level crossings, such as shown in Fig. 1.

The GP can be defined by its first two moments[13],

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{H_{ij}(x)} &= 0, \\ \overline{H_{ij}(x)H_{kl}(x')} &= \frac{\omega^2}{2\beta} f(x-x') g_{ij,kl}^{(\beta)} \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where  $g_{ij,kl}^{(\beta=1)} = \delta_{ik}\delta_{jl} + \delta_{il}\delta_{jk}$ ,  $g_{ij,kl}^{(\beta=2)} = 2\delta_{il}\delta_{jk}$ ,  $\omega$  is related to the Hamiltonian dimension and mean level spacing, and  $f(x)$  is normalized such that  $f(0) = 1$ .  $\beta = 1$  is the GOE case, consisting of  $H(x)$  that are real symmetric matrices, and  $\beta = 2$  is the GUE case consisting of  $H(x)$  that are complex Hermitian matrices.

A natural extension of RMT, Gaussian processes have been examined in the context of quantum dissipation [16][17][18], with a major component of the dissipation resulting from Landau-Zener nonadiabatic level transitions [19] at avoided level crossings[20]. This aspect is important to the adiabatic quantum computation algorithm, as algorithmic success requires the evolution of the system while remaining in the ground state, without such transitions. As a result, interpolation through irregular spectral regions have a higher probability of transition and the interpolation must be slowed in this region when employing this approach [1] to prevent level transitions. From a computational standpoint, algorithmic

cost increases with spectral complexity characterized by the onset of random matrix (Wigner) statistics.

## THE QUANTUM ADIABATIC COMPUTATION ALGORITHM

The adiabatic algorithm [21] allows for a quantum adiabatic process to perform a computation of an NP-Complete problem, the 3-SAT boolean satisfiability problem. The time dependent Hamiltonian for such a process with an interpolation time  $T$  takes the form

$$H\left(\frac{t}{T}\right) = \left(1 - \frac{t}{T}\right)H_b + \frac{t}{T}H_p, \quad (3)$$

where the Hamiltonian  $H_b$  has the property that the ground state is easily constructed, and the Hamiltonian  $H_p$  has the property that the ground state encodes the solution to the particular instance of the 3-SAT problem under investigation.

Starting with the ground state eigenstate of  $H_b$ , the approach allows for the calculation of a nontrivial computation problem through adiabatic interpolation of the ground state. Upon completion of the ground state interpolation, the state encodes the solution to the 3-SAT problem, which can be read through projective measurement of the state. This approach has been shown to be equivalent to ‘traditional’ circuit models of quantum computation[22], resulting in a generally accepted speedup over classical approaches.

The traditional measure of algorithmic complexity using classical computational measures involving either the Davis-Putnam [24] or the GSAT algorithm[25], shows a marked transition at a given clause-to-variable ratio,  $f \sim 4.2$ , indicating a phase transition from easy to hard solubility [26] in the large  $n$  limit. For problem sizes having  $n \lesssim 20$ , problem sizes typical in numerical simulation, the transition phenomenon still exists, though the transition point was less well defined and somewhat displaced from its asymptotic value.

## RESULTS

The spectral analysis involved the determining the NNS distribution of the Hamiltonian  $H(t)$ , Eq. (3), at 100 points in the interpolation of a 3-SAT Hamiltonian with  $n = 8$  variables having matrix dimension  $N = 256$  and varying clause-to-variable ratio  $f$ . The results revealed a systematic change in the spectral regularity (Brody measure  $q$ ) of the instantaneous Hamiltonian during the course of the adiabatic quantum algorithm. An example of this change can be seen in the unfolded spectrum of a problem instance having  $N = 8$ ,  $f = 2$ , shown in Fig. 1.




FIG. 1: Spectrum of irregular unfolded energies of the instantaneous 3-SAT quantum computational Hamiltonian for  $N=8$   $m=16$  clauses in the  $N \sim [40, 60]$  region. The predominance of avoided level crossings is characteristic of complex (irregular) spectra.

In the initial phase of the interpolation for hard-to-solve problem instances, the statistical NNS fluctuations conform to a regular, Poisson-type distribution. Later in the interpolation, the fluctuations instead conform to an irregular Wigner-type distribution. It was found that such irregular spectra only occur for computationally *hard* problem instances having ( $f \gtrsim 1$ ). The computational cost is related to the maximal spectral complexity, and this quantity was averaged over 20 simulations of each clause-to-variable ratio  $f$ . Fig. 2 summarizes these quantum simulation results, plotting spectral complexity (Brody measure) versus computational complexity (clause-to-variable ratio). For easy-to-solve computational problem instances ( $f$  small), the spectral complexity was found to be zero. For hard-to-solve instances of the 3-SAT problem the spectral complexity is nonzero, the correlation between the “classical” computational complexity and the quantum spectral complexity being shown in Fig. 2. We note that if the computational complexity is used as a proxy for computational cost, the system appears to go through an easy-hard transition in the region  $1 \lesssim f \lesssim 4$  and diminishes somewhat in cost for  $f \gtrsim 5$ , similar in form to the cost profile for traditional 3-SAT computational cost profiles [1]. Additional studies for  $n$  closer to the asymptotic region ( $n \gtrsim 20$ ) would be required to examine the asymptotic quantum transition and comparing the the classical and quantum cost profiles in detail. While the current results are for the  $n = 8$  non-asymptotic regime, the global spectral change correlated with computational complexity as measured by clause-to-variable ratio was found to be significant. The transition to irregular spectra dependent on problem complexity appears to be a universal phenomenon. This is discussed in more detail in the next section.




FIG. 2: Spectral Complexity, maximum Brody parameter, as a function of the classical computational complexity, clause-to-variable ratio, of the 3-SAT problem for  $N=8$ .

## DISCUSSION

Recent work [21] showed that an NP-Complete problem can be converted into an adiabatic quantum mechanical process. This marked a new approach to quantum computation that was shown equivalent to existing methods involving circuits composed of quantum bits (qubits) [22].

On analysis of such adiabatic processes, we find that any physical process described by RMT is either a complex system, a quantal chaotic system, or now, a third possibility: quantum complex spectra and Gaussian Processes can represent classically computationally hard problems that are formulated in terms of quantum processes.

If the problem solved through adiabatic quantum computation is an easy instance (small clause-to-variable ratio) of the NP-class, the spectrum is orderly (Poisson), and if the computation is a hard instance then it results in a Gaussian Process having Wigner statistics.

In light of these data and considerations, we advance the following:

**Conjecture 1** *When formulated in terms of an adiabatic quantum computation, all computationally ‘hard’ NP-complete complexity class 3-SAT problems traverse a region characterized by an irregular spectrum.*

Since quantum adiabatic computing is equivalent to the circuit model of quantum computing (based on quantum bits) [22], this conjecture applies to all quantum computationally hard circuit model problems as well.

The prediction for experiment associated with this conjecture is the following:

**Hypothesis 1** *There exists no classically ‘hard’ instance of an NP-complete complexity class problem which, when formulated in terms of an adiabatic quantum computation, results in a simple spectrum (Poisson level spacing distribution) at all interpolation points in the computation.*

The experimental determination can be made by examining the spectrum at intermediate points in the interpolation for problem instances having fixed  $n$  and varying clause-to-variable ratio. This hypothesis would be *disproved* if a system were found that has the properties of (1) being NP-Complete, (2) computationally complex (hard-to-solve instance) determined by classical measures such as clause-to-variable ratio, and (3) the property of having a regular spectrum (Brody parameter  $q = 0$ ) at all interpolation points. Such a system would correspond to an NP complete, hard-to-solve problem instance possessing a regular spectrum, which would require no interpolative slow down due to irregular (complex) spectral effects.

## CONCLUSIONS

We have identified hard-to-solve instances of NP-Complete quantum adiabatic computation processes as having parameter regions belonging to the class of Gaussian processes. Once this identification is made, a variety of universal results follow relating primarily to two-point correlation functions of wavefunctions and eigenvalues. Notable to the quantum adiabatic computation algorithm is the correlation between quantum spectral complexity and ‘classical’ computational complexity, and the formulation of a quantitative correspondence between the two systems.

The idea of a physical correspondence principle connecting quantum spectral complexity and classical computational complexity is sensible in light of the understanding that computational information is a physical resource [27]. Such a principle, if shown robust, could be a physical manifestation of the P/NP conjecture, would allow experimental confirmation of the present conjecture, and would supplement existing research on the Bohr Correspondence Principle related to mechanics.

**Acknowledgements** This research was initiated as a research scholar at the Kavli Institute for Theoretical Physics (KITP), University of California, Santa Barbara, NSF Grant No. PHY99-07949.

---

[1] D. R. Mitchell, Christoph Adami, Waynn Lue, and Colin Williams, Random matrix model of quantum computing, *Phys. Rev. A* **71** (2005) 052324.

- [2] O. Bohigas, Random matrices and chaotic dynamics, in *Chaos and Quantum Physics*, M. Giannoni, A. Voros, and J. Zinn-Justin, eds. (North-Holland, New York, 1991), pp. 87-199.; O. Bohigas, Chaotic motion and random matrix theories, in *Mathematical and Computational Methods in Nuclear Physics*, edited by J. S. DeGesa et al. (Proceedings, Granada, Spain, 1983) Lecture Notes in Physics Vol. 209, pp. 1-99, Springer Verlag, Berlin (1984).
- [3] O. Bohigas, M. J. Giannoni, and C. Schmidt, Characterization of chaotic quantum spectra and universality of level fluctuation laws, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **52** (1984) 1-4. O. Bohigas and M. J. Giannoni.
- [4] M.L. Mehta, *Random Matrices*, (Academic Press, San Diego, 1991).
- [5] T. A. Brody, J. Flores, J. B. French, P. A. Mello, A. Pandey, and S. S. M. Wong, Random matrix physics: Spectrum and strength fluctuations, *Reviews of Modern Physics* **53**, 385 (1981).
- [6] E.P. Wigner, Random matrices in physics, *SIAM Review* **9**, 1-23 (1967).
- [7] B.L. Altshuler and B.I. Shklovskii, *Sov. Phys. JETP* **91** (1986) 220.
- [8] C. Iu, G.R. Welch, M.M. Kash, D. Kleppner, D. Delande, J.C. Gay, *Physical Review Letters*, **66**, 145 (1991); C. Iu, G.R. Welch, M.M.Kash, L. Hsu, D. Kleppner, *Physical Review Letters*, (1989).
- [9] H. L. Montgomery, The Pair Correlation of zeros of the zeta function, in it Proceedings of the Symposium on Pure Mathematics 24, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I. (1973) pp. 181-193.
- [10] E. C. Titchmarsh, *The Theory of the Riemann Zeta Function*, Chapter 10, Clarendon Press, Oxford (1951).
- [11] A. M. Odlyzko, On the distribution of spacings between zeros of the zeta function, *Math. Comput.* **48** (1987) 273-308; The  $10^{20}$ -th zero of the Riemann zeta function and 70 million of its neighbors, AT&T Bell Lab preprint (1989).
- [12] T. A. Brody, Statistical measure for repulsion of energy levels, *Lett. Nuovo Cimento* **7**, 482 (1973).
- [13] D. Mitchell, Y. Alhassid, and D. Kusnezov, Gaussian processes and universal parametric decorrelations of wavefunctions, *Phys. Lett. A* **215**, 21 (1996).
- [14] B.D. Simons and B.L. Altshuler, *Phys. Rev. Lett* **70** (1993) 4063; **72** (1994) 64; *Nucl. Phys. B* **409** (1993) 487.
- [15] Dimitri Kusnezov and David Mitchell, Universal predictions for statistical nuclear correlations, *Phys. Rev. C* **54** (1996) 147; David Mitchell and Dimitri Kusnezov, Topological Dependence of Universal Correlations in Multiparameter Hamiltonians, *Phys Rev E* **54** (1996) 6207.
- [16] M. Wilkinson, Statistical aspects of dissipation by Landau-Zener transitions, *J. Phys. A* **21**, 4021 (1988).
- [17] M. Wilkinson, Diffusion and dissipation in complex quantum systems, *Phys. Rev. A* **41**, 4645 (1990).
- [18] A. Bulgac, G. DoDang, and D. Kusnezov, Random matrix approach to quantum dissipation, *Phys. Rev. E* **54**, 3468 (1996).
- [19] G. Zener, Non-adiabatic crossing of energy levels, *Proc. Roy. Soc. A* **137**, 696 (1932).
- [20] L. Schiff, *Quantum Mechanics*, (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1955).
- [21] E. Farhi, J. Goldstone, S. Gutmann, J. Lapan, A. Lundgren, and D. Preda. A quantum adiabatic evolution algorithm applied to random instances of an NP-complete

- problem, *Science* **292**, 472-475 (2002). E. Farhi, J. Goldstone, S. Gutmann, and M. Sipser, Quantum computation by adiabatic evolution, [quant-ph/0001106](https://arxiv.org/abs/quant-ph/0001106) (2000).
- [22] D. Aharonov, W. van Dam, J. Kempe, Z. Landau, S. Lloyd, O. Regev, Adiabatic Quantum Computation is Equivalent to Standard Quantum Computation, *SIAM Journal of Computing*, Vol. 37, Issue 1, p. 166-194 (2007), conference version in Proc. 45th FOCS, p. 42-51 (2004)
- [23] F. J. Dyson, in *Statistical Theories of Spectra: Fluctuations*, C. E Porter, ed., (Academic Press, New York, 1965).
- [24] M. Davis, G. Logemann, and D. Loveland, *Comm. ACM* **5**, 394 (1962).
- [25] B. Selman, H. J. Levesque, and D. G. Mitchell, A new method for solving hard satisfiability problems, in *Proceedings of the Tenth National Conference on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI-92)*, 440-446. (AAAI Press/MIT Press, 1992).
- [26] S. Kirkpatrick and B. Selman, Critical behavior in the satisfiability of random Boolean expressions, *Science* **264**, 1297-1301 (1994).
- [27] R. Landauer, Irreversibility and heat generation in the computing process, *IBM J. Res. Dev.*, 5:183, 1961.