

Black Hole Partition Function using Hybrid Formalism of Superstrings

B. Chandrasekhar

Instituto de Física Teórica, Universidade Estadual Paulista,
Rua Pamplona, 145, 01405-900, São Paulo, Brasil
chandra@ift.unesp.br

Abstract

The hybrid formalism of superstrings on $AdS_2 \times S^2$, defined as a sigma model on the coset supermanifold $PSU(1,1|2)/U(1) \times U(1)$ with a WZ term, is used to calculate the instanton partition function of IIA superstrings on the euclidean attractor geometry $AdS_2 \times S^2 \times CY_3$. A nilpotent BRST operator is constructed and the sigma model action is shown to be closed. The BRST operator is then used to regularize the divergence over the AdS_2 part and the path integral is shown to result in the topological string partition function.

1 Introduction

In [1], OSV conjectured a relationship of the form:

$$Z_{\text{BH}} = |Z_{\text{top}}|^2 \quad (1)$$

where Z_{BH} is the (indexed) entropy of four dimensional BPS black holes in Type II Calabi-Yau compactifications and Z_{top} is the topological string partition function evaluated at the attractor point in the moduli space. One way to view the relationship is to think of it as an asymptotic expansion in the limit of large black hole charges. In this limit, Z_{BH} receives all order perturbative contributions from the F-term corrections in the low energy effective action of the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity. For the Type IIA superstrings on Calabi-Yau three folds, these are of the general form:

$$\int d^4x d^4\theta (W_{\alpha\beta} W^{\alpha\beta})^h F_h(X^\Lambda). \quad (2)$$

where X^Λ are the vector multiplet fields and W^{2g} involves the graviphoton field strength and the Weyl tensor. These F-term corrections are in turn captured by the topological string amplitudes F_h [2, 3]. Together, with the fact that the BPS black hole entropy gets corrected in the presence of these terms via attractor mechanism [4]-[9], and that the supergravity partition function defines a mixed thermodynamic ensemble, provides the link (1) [1]. Several refinements of the relationship (1) have been suggested in literature [10]-[27].

In particular, in [28], an M-theory lift was used to calculate the black hole partition function at low temperatures as a dilute-gas sum over BPS wrapped membranes in $AdS_3 \times S^2 \times CY_3$, via the MSW CFT [29] and agrees with the Gopakumar-Vafa partition function [30, 31] (see related discussion in [32]). The relation (1) is then obtained after doing a modular transformation to go to high temperatures. Further, in [33] a computation of the black hole partition function without the need for a modular transformation was presented. In this method, first an M-theory lift of the IIA attractor geometry leads to a quotient of $AdS_3 \times S^2 \times CY_3$, whose asymptotic boundary is a torus. Then, using AdS_3/CFT_2 duality, it is shown that the partition function of IIA theory on the attractor geometry is equivalent to the partition function of the black hole. Starting from the euclidean Calabi-Yau attractor geometry for the black hole, carrying D0-D2-D4 charges q_0, q_A (electric), p^A (magnetic) respectively, it was argued that [33]:

$$Z_{\text{IIA}}(\phi^0, \phi^A, p^A) = Z_{\text{BH}} = Tr_R(-)^F e^{-\frac{4\pi^2}{\phi^0} L_0 - q_A \frac{\phi^A}{\phi^0}} \quad (3)$$

where ϕ^0, ϕ^A are potentials conjugate to the D0-D2 charges. The trace on the right hand side runs over the black hole microstates computed in the CFT on the boundary torus dual to the M-theory lift of the IIA attractor geometry. In essence, the black hole partition function can be evaluated in terms of the type IIA partition function on $AdS_2 \times S^2 \times CY_3$ geometry [34].

In [33], the Green-Schwarz formalism of superstrings was used to set up such a calculation, based on the general procedure for computing instanton generated superpotential and higher derivative F-terms in the low energy effective action of string theory [35]. The idea is to evaluate the IIA partition function on euclidean $AdS_2 \times S^2 \times \mathcal{M}$, in a perturbative string loop expansion, where \mathcal{M} is the moduli space of world-sheet instantons which wrap isolated holomorphic curves inside the Calabi-Yau. At genus g , the $AdS_2 \times S^2$ path integral receives contributions from genus g (anti)instantons which wrap (anti)holomorphic cycles in the Calabi-Yau and sit at the center of AdS_2 and (north)south poles of S^2 . The instantons typically break some supersymmetries and one has to integrate over the resulting zero modes in the path integral. The analysis of [33] involved regularizing the divergence coming from such integrals using κ -symmetry (see [36, 37, 38] for a different approach). Furthermore, the Calabi-Yau part of the instanton partition function was assumed to produce the topological string partition function and using some input from supergravity, the relation (1) was obtained.

The purpose of this paper is to use the hybrid formalism [39] to calculate the partition function of IIA superstrings on $AdS_2 \times S^2$ with Ramond-Ramond flux. There are several advantages of this approach over the Green-Schwarz formalism. First, the calculation of scattering amplitudes in hybrid formalism can be performed in a superpoincare covariant manner and is relevant for describing $d = 4, N = 2$ theories. The calculation of superspace low energy effective actions in Calabi-Yau compactifications and their connection to topological string amplitudes can be derived nicely [40, 41]. Another important reason is that studying string propagation in Ramond-Ramond backgrounds is in general difficult in the traditional RNS formalism due to the need to introduce spin fields. In the Green-Schwarz formalism, covariant quantization is problematic, except in light-cone gauge. To address these perennial issues, several covariant quantization techniques have been developed over the last few years by Berkovits and collaborators. In ten dimensions, the pure spinor formalism [42] has led to a remarkable simplification of the calculation of superstrings loop amplitudes in flat space and their equivalence to the RNS formalism has been fully demonstrated (see e.g., [43, 44, 45, 46, 47] and the non-

minimal approach [48, 49, 50, 51]). The Formulation of the pure spinor superstring in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ background [42, 52, 53], following earlier studies on hybrid formalism in $AdS_3 \times S^3$ [54, 55] and in $AdS_2 \times S^2$ [56] backgrounds, is being actively used in a world-sheet approach to the Maldacena conjecture [57, 58, 59].

There have been innumerable applications of the hybrid formalism of superstrings in the presence of RR-backgrounds, to list a few, scattering amplitudes in lower dimensions [41, 60], in obtaining C-deformation [61] from superstrings in graviphoton backgrounds [62], emergence of non(anti)-commutative superspace [63, 64], for Calabi-Yau compactifications to two dimensions [65] and more recently, in the study of flux vacua from the world-sheet point of view [66, 67].

We use the formulation of hybrid superstrings in the near horizon geometry of extremal black holes in four dimensions, presented in [56]. It was shown that, two-dimensional sigma models based on the coset supermanifold $\frac{PSU(1,1|2)}{U(1) \times U(1)}$ with Wess-Zumino term, provide an elegant description of superstrings propagating on $AdS_2 \times S^2$ background with Ramond-Ramond flux. It is also desirable to have a world-sheet perspective on our understanding of the derivation of OSV relation (1); as mentioned earlier, the world-sheet approaches to large N topological string dualities are playing a special role in our understanding of the Maldacena conjecture [59]. For the present case, the connection of low energy F-terms and the topological string amplitudes can be understood nicely in the hybrid approach [41] (see [68] for a review). More importantly, in hybrid approach, the dilaton in type II theories, couples to the $N = (2, 2)$ worldsheet supercurvature via the Fradkin-Tseytlin term in the action [40]. This has the advantage that the scattering amplitudes have a well defined dependence on the string coupling constant. This will be important while trying to get the right factors of topological string coupling in the IIA partition function.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In section-2, we review the hybrid formalism of superstrings in flat space, and how the low energy F-terms arise from considering graviton-graviphoton scattering amplitudes using $N = 4$ topological strings. In section-3.1, we discuss the $\frac{PSU(1,1|2)}{U(1) \times U(1)}$ sigma model and write down the lowest order terms in the $AdS_2 \times S^2$ background, using the expressions for left-invariant currents. In section 3.2, the calculation of world-sheet instanton contributions to the IIA superstring partition function on $AdS_2 \times S^2 \times CY_3$ is set up and the connection to OSV relation is discussed.

2 Hybrid Formalism of Superstrings

The fundamental definition of $N = 2$ superconformal theory describing hybrid superstrings in four dimensions is by a field redefinition of $N = 1$ RNS matter and ghost variables [69, 70, 71, 39]. The $N = 2, c = 6$ system obtained after field redefinition is twisted and splits into a four dimensional $c = -3, N = 2$ superconformal field theory, coupled to an internal six dimensional $c = 9, N = 2$ superconformal theory. Now, one can proceed in two ways to define physical states and calculate scattering amplitudes. The $c = 6, N = 2$ generators can be untwisted and coupled to a $c = -6, N = 2$ ghost system to write down a BRST operator. Another way is to embed this $N = 2$ system in small $N = 4$ algebra and use the $N = 4$ topological method, where there is no need to introduce ghost variables. The general four dimensional and six dimensional actions in arbitrary curved backgrounds with Ramond-Ramond flux, have been discussed in [40] and [54], respectively. Below, we review the flat four dimensional case and consider the $AdS_2 \times S^2$ case in the next section.

2.1 Flat Space-time

For the case of type IIA superstrings compactified on Calabi-Yau 3-folds, the hybrid variables are as follows [56]. The four dimensional part consists of four bosonic and sixteen fermionic spacetime variables given as: X^m for $m = 0$ to 3 and $(\theta_L^\alpha, p_{L\alpha}), (\bar{\theta}_L^{\dot{\alpha}}, \bar{p}_{L\dot{\alpha}}), (\theta_R^\alpha, p_{R\alpha}), (\bar{\theta}_R^{\dot{\alpha}}, \bar{p}_{R\dot{\alpha}})$ where $\alpha, \dot{\alpha} = 1, 2$. The six dimensional part has six bosonic variables Y^j, \bar{Y}_j for $j = 1$ to 3 and their associated superpartners, left-moving fermions $(\psi_L^j, \bar{\psi}_{Lj})$ and right-moving fermions $(\psi_R^j, \bar{\psi}_{Rj})$ corresponding to the Calabi-Yau. Finally, one has a chiral boson ρ_L and an anti-chiral boson ρ_R . The worldsheet action corresponding to these fields in the superconformal gauge can be divided into three parts as:

$$S = S_{4d} + S_{cy} + S_{\rho_L, \rho_R}. \quad (4)$$

The four dimensional part of the action in flat superspace is:

$$S = \frac{1}{\alpha'} \int dzd\bar{z} \left[\frac{1}{2} \bar{\partial} X^m \partial X_m + p_{L\alpha} \bar{\partial} \theta_L^\alpha + \bar{p}_{L\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\partial} \bar{\theta}_L^{\dot{\alpha}} + p_{R\alpha} \partial \theta_L^\alpha + \bar{p}_{R\dot{\alpha}} \partial \bar{\theta}_R^{\dot{\alpha}} \right], \quad (5)$$

The Calabi-Yau part is:

$$S_{cy} = \frac{1}{\alpha'} \int dzd\bar{z} \left(\bar{\partial} Y^j \partial \bar{Y}_j + \psi_L^j \bar{\partial} \bar{\psi}_{Lj} + \psi_R^j \bar{\partial} \bar{\psi}_{Rj} \right), \quad (6)$$

and S_{ρ_L, ρ_R} stands for the action of the chiral and anti-chiral bosons. For the present case, all the worldsheet fields satisfy periodic boundary conditions. The free field OPE's corresponding to the above action are:

$$\begin{aligned}
X^m(y)X^n(z) &\rightarrow -\alpha'\eta^{mn} \ln|y-z|^2, \\
p_{L\alpha}(y)\theta_L^\beta(z) &\rightarrow \frac{\alpha'\delta_\alpha^\beta}{y-z}, \quad \bar{p}_{L\dot{\alpha}}(y)\bar{\theta}_L^{\dot{\beta}}(z) \rightarrow \frac{\alpha'\delta_{\dot{\alpha}}^{\dot{\beta}}}{y-z}, \\
p_{R\alpha}(y)\theta_R^\beta(z) &\rightarrow \frac{\alpha'\delta_\alpha^\beta}{\bar{y}-\bar{z}}, \quad \bar{p}_{R\dot{\alpha}}(y)\bar{\theta}_R^{\dot{\beta}}(z) \rightarrow \frac{\alpha'\delta_{\dot{\alpha}}^{\dot{\beta}}}{\bar{y}-\bar{z}}, \\
\rho_L(y)\rho_L(z) &\rightarrow -\ln(y-z), \quad \rho_R(y)\rho_R(z) \rightarrow -\ln(\bar{y}-\bar{z})
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

The worldsheet action (4) is manifestly conformally invariant from the conformal dimensions of the fields. The action (4) further has an $N = 2$ superconformal invariance realized nonlinearly, the left moving generators of which are:

$$\begin{aligned}
T_L &= \frac{1}{2}\partial X^m\partial X_m + p_{L\alpha}\partial\theta_L^\alpha + \bar{p}_{L\dot{\alpha}}\partial\bar{\theta}_L^{\dot{\alpha}} + \frac{\alpha'}{2}\partial\rho_L\partial\rho_L \\
&\quad + \partial Y^j\partial\bar{Y}_j + \frac{1}{2}(\psi_L^j\partial\bar{\psi}_{Lj} + \bar{\psi}_{Lj}\partial\psi_L^j), \\
G_L^- &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha'}}e^{\rho_L}(d_L)^2 + \psi_L^j\partial\bar{Y}_j, \\
G_L^+ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha'}}e^{-\rho_L}(\bar{d}_L)^2 + \bar{\psi}_{Lj}\partial Y^j, \\
J_L &= \alpha'\partial\rho_L + \psi_L^j\bar{\psi}_{Lj},
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned}
d_{L\alpha} &= p_{L\alpha} + i\sigma_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^m\bar{\theta}_L^{\dot{\alpha}}\partial X_m - \frac{1}{2}(\bar{\theta}_L)^2\partial\theta_{L\alpha} + \frac{1}{4}\theta_{L\alpha}\partial(\bar{\theta}_L)^2, \\
\bar{d}_{L\dot{\alpha}} &= \bar{p}_{L\dot{\alpha}} + i\sigma_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^m\theta_L^\alpha\partial X_m - \frac{1}{2}(\theta_L)^2\partial\bar{\theta}_{L\dot{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{4}\bar{\theta}_{L\dot{\alpha}}\partial(\theta_L)^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

and $(d_L)^2$ means $\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}d_{L\alpha}d_{L\beta}$. The right-moving $N = 2$ generators are given as:

$$\begin{aligned}
T_R &= \frac{1}{2}\bar{\partial}X^m\bar{\partial}X_m + p_{R\alpha}\bar{\partial}\theta_R^\alpha + \bar{p}_{R\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\partial}\bar{\theta}_R^{\dot{\alpha}} + \frac{\alpha'}{2}\bar{\partial}\rho_R\bar{\partial}\rho_R \\
&\quad + \bar{\partial}Y^j\bar{\partial}\bar{Y}_j + \frac{1}{2}(\psi_R^j\bar{\partial}\bar{\psi}_{Rj} + \bar{\psi}_{Rj}\bar{\partial}\psi_R^j), \\
G_R^- &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha'}}e^{\rho_R}(d_R)^2 + \bar{\psi}_{Rj}\bar{\partial}Y^j, \\
G_R^+ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha'}}e^{-\rho_R}(\bar{d}_R)^2 + \psi_R^j\bar{\partial}\bar{Y}_j, \\
J_R &= \alpha'\bar{\partial}\rho_R - \psi_R^j\bar{\psi}_{Rj},
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

with,

$$d_{R\alpha} = p_{R\alpha} + i\sigma_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^m\bar{\theta}_R^{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\partial}X_m - \frac{1}{2}(\bar{\theta}_R)^2\bar{\partial}\theta_{R\alpha} + \frac{1}{4}\theta_{R\alpha}\bar{\partial}(\bar{\theta}_R)^2,$$

$$\bar{d}_{R\dot{\alpha}} = \bar{p}_{R\dot{\alpha}} + i\sigma_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^m \theta_R^\alpha \bar{\partial} X_m - \frac{1}{2}(\theta_R)^2 \bar{\partial} \bar{\theta}_{R\dot{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{4} \bar{\theta}_{R\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\partial} (\theta_R)^2, \quad (11)$$

The set of operators $d_{L\alpha}, \bar{d}_{L\dot{\alpha}}$,

$$\Pi_L^m = \partial X^m - \frac{i}{2} \sigma_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^m (\theta_L^\alpha \partial \bar{\theta}_L^{\dot{\alpha}} + \bar{\theta}_L^{\dot{\alpha}} \partial \theta_L^\alpha), \quad \Pi_R^m = \bar{\partial} X^m - \frac{i}{2} \sigma_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^m (\theta_R^\alpha \bar{\partial} \bar{\theta}_R^{\dot{\alpha}} + \bar{\theta}_R^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\partial} \theta_R^\alpha), \quad (12)$$

as shown in [72], satisfy the algebra:

$$d_{L\alpha}(y) \bar{d}_{L\dot{\alpha}}(z) \rightarrow 2i\alpha' \frac{\Pi_{L\alpha\dot{\alpha}}}{y-z}, \quad d_{L\alpha}(y) d_{L\beta}(z) \rightarrow \text{reg.}, \quad \bar{d}_{L\dot{\alpha}}(y) \bar{d}_{L\dot{\beta}}(z) \rightarrow \text{reg.}, \quad (13)$$

with similar expressions for the right-moving part, and commute with the spacetime-supersymmetry generators of the action:

$$\begin{aligned} q_{L\alpha} &= \int dz Q_{L\alpha} \quad \text{where} \quad Q_{L\alpha} = p_{L\alpha} - i\sigma_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^m \bar{\theta}_L^{\dot{\alpha}} \partial X_m - \frac{1}{4} (\bar{\theta}_L)^2 \partial \theta_{L\alpha}, \\ \bar{q}_{L\dot{\alpha}} &= \int dz \bar{Q}_{L\dot{\alpha}} \quad \text{where} \quad \bar{Q}_{L\dot{\alpha}} = \bar{p}_{L\dot{\alpha}} - i\sigma_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^m \theta_{L\alpha} \partial X_m - \frac{1}{4} (\theta_L)^2 \partial \bar{\theta}_{L\dot{\alpha}}. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Further, $q_{R\alpha}$ and $\bar{q}_{R\dot{\alpha}}$ are obtained by replacing L with R and ∂ with $\bar{\partial}$. Thus, eqns. (9) and (14) contain the world-sheet versions of the space-time superspace covariant derivatives and supersymmetry generators, respectively.

As has been formulated by Berkovits and Vafa in [41], an elegant way to define physical states and calculate scattering amplitudes is via an $N = 4$ topological method. The $N = 4$ topological method can be used to calculate scattering amplitudes for any $N = 2$ system which has a critical central charge $\hat{c} = 2$. Since, the hybrid description of the superstring discussed above is a critical $N = 2$ system, the $N = 4$ topological method can be applied. Below, we briefly mention a few results from [41] for later use.

The $N = 2$ topological strings consisting of twisted $N = 2$ world-sheet supersymmetry are modeled after the $N = 0$ bosonic strings. In a similar vein, $N = 4$ topological strings consisting of a twisted small $N = 4$ world-sheet supersymmetry algebra, are modeled after $N = 2$ strings. The small $N = 4$ superconformal algebra can be viewed as an $N = 2$ algebra (T, G^\pm, J) described above, with two additional currents of charge ± 2 denoted by J^{++} and J^{--} , which together with J satisfy an $SU(2)_c$ algebra, where the subscript c stands for color. Under this $SU(2)_c$, G^- and G^+ generate two new supercurrents \tilde{G}^+ and \tilde{G}^- . The OPE's of the algebra are given in [41] and one sees that there are two doublets (G^+, \tilde{G}^-) and (\tilde{G}^+, G^-) . There is also an $SU(2)_f$ flavor which rotates these doublets and leaves the $N = 4$ unchanged. Explicitly, the flavor rotation is [41]:

$$\widehat{\tilde{G}^+}(u) = u_1 \tilde{G}^+ + u_2 G^+, \quad \widehat{G^-}(u) = u_1 G^- - u_2 \tilde{G}^-$$

$$\widehat{\tilde{G}}^-(u) = u_2^* \tilde{G}^- - u_1^* G^-, \quad \widehat{G}^+(u) = u_2^* G^+ + u_1^* \tilde{G}^+, \quad (15)$$

where, $|u_1|^2 + |u_2|^2 = 1$ and the complex conjugate of u_a is $\epsilon^{ab} u_b^*$

Twisted $N = 4$ algebra can be constructed analogous to the $N = 2$ case and results in a shift of spin by half the $U(1)$ charge of generators. For a particular twist, in the twisted theory the spins of generators are, $(G^+, \tilde{G}^+, G^-, \tilde{G}^-, J^{++}, J^{--}) \rightarrow (1, 1, 2, 2, 0, 2)$. For instance, a chiral field of $U(1)$ charge $+1$ and dimension $\frac{1}{2}$ will have dimension 0 in the twisted theory. The physical state conditions of the RNS string have a direct analogue in the $N = 4$ language. A field ϕ is physical if it satisfies $G^+ \phi = \tilde{G}^+ \phi = 0$, $\phi \sim \phi + G^+ \tilde{G}^+ \chi$.

The flavor rotated topological partition function is constructed as [41]:

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \sum_{n=-2h+2}^{2h-2} \frac{(4h-4)!}{(2h-2+n)!(2h-2-n)!} F_h^n u_1^{2h-2+n} u_2^{2h-2-n} \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{M}_h} \langle |\widehat{G}^-(\mu_1) \dots \widehat{G}^-(\mu_{3h-3})|^2 [\int \widehat{G}^+ \widehat{G}^+]^{h-1} \int J \bar{J} \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where μ 's denote the beltrami differentials and the $\int J$ type of insertions are needed to ensure that the path integral does not vanish trivially. Also, the $\int \widehat{G}^+$ insertions in the path integral allow the use of $N = 2$ topological strings in the calculation of amplitudes.

Now, one constructs unintegrated and integrated vertex operators and calculates scattering amplitudes for any critical $N = 2$ strings. A case relevant for the present situation is the scattering of $2h - 2$ chiral graviphotons and two gravitons in flat four dimensional space-time. This calculation was performed in [41] and contributes terms of the kind in eqn. (2) for the low energy effective action of type II strings [3, 2]. The F_h in eqn. (2) denotes the topological string amplitude. In [35] (see also [73, 74, 75]), a physical gauge formalism was used to study in a general situation, what kind of terms in the low energy effective action of superstrings can be generated by worldsheet instantons which wrap holomorphic curves C in the Calabi-Yau. The A-model computation consists of counting the bosonic and fermionic zero modes contributing to the instanton partition function. In this case, the instanton that contributes is isolated and of genus $h \geq 1$. One also needs to bring down appropriate number of insertions of interaction terms involving Weyl supermultiplets from the world volume of the instanton. The final result is [35]:

$$\delta S = \int d^4 x d^4 \theta (W^2)^g \exp \left(-\frac{A(C)}{2\pi\alpha'} + i \int_C B \right).$$

where the sum over holomorphic curves C , accompanied by the exponential factor denoting the area of the worldsheet, defines the A -model partition function F_h at genus h . This is equivalent to the computation in [41], since in flat space-time, one needs graviton-graviphoton insertions in the path integral to generate low energy F-terms.

3 Hybrid Formalism in $AdS_2 \times S^2$

In [56] it was shown that the two-dimensional sigma models based on the coset supermanifold $PSU(1,1|2)/U(1) \times U(1)$ can be used to quantize superstrings on $AdS_2 \times S^2$ in RR-backgrounds. One of the main advantages of the hybrid approach is that 4D super-poincare invariance and the $N = 2$ target space-time supersymmetry can be made manifest even in the presence of RR-fields. For instance, like in Green-Schwarz formalism, the model has fermions which are spinors in target space and scalars on the world-sheet allowing a simple treatment of RR-fields. Second, like in RNS formalism, the action is quadratic in flat-space allowing for quantization. As mentioned earlier, a first principle definition of the world-sheet variables in hybrid formalism is through a redefinition of RNS world-sheet variables. This follows from the fact that any critical $N = 1$ string can be embedded in an $N = 2$ string [70].

For type II superstrings, the four κ -symmetries of the Green-Schwarz action are replaced by a critical world-sheet $N = (2, 2)$ superconformal invariance. These local $N = 2$ superconformal generators are nothing but the twisted RNS world-sheet generators. The important difference with RNS approach is that the vertex operators for RR-fields do not have square-root cuts with supersymmetry generators and there is no need to sum over spin structures on the world-sheet [39].

3.1 $AdS_2 \times S^2$ Sigma Model

The $AdS_2 \times S^2$ sigma model action is constructed as a gauged principle chiral field [76, 56]. Let $g(x) \in G$ denote a map from the worldsheet into the supergroup G , with the current $J = g^{-1}dg$ valued in the Lie algebra G . The sigma model action on the coset space is constructed by gauging a subgroup H , whose Lie algebra is \mathcal{H}_0 . To construct a string theory on $AdS_2 \times S^2$, one has to quotient the $G \equiv PSU(1,1|2)$ group by (the right action of) bosonic subgroups $H \equiv U(1) \times U(1)$. This subgroup is in fact the invariant locus of a \mathbf{Z}_4 automorphism, the existence of which, plays a crucial role in the construction. In effect, a \mathbf{Z}_4 decomposition of the

$PSU(1, 1|2)$ Lie algebra \mathcal{G} can be written as [56]:

$$\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{H}_0 \oplus \mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2 \oplus \mathcal{H}_3 ,$$

where the subspace \mathcal{H}_k is the eigenspace of the \mathbf{Z}_4 generator Ω with eigenvalue $(i)^k$. The subspaces \mathcal{H}_1 and \mathcal{H}_3 contain all the fermionic generators of the algebra \mathcal{G} and $\mathcal{H}_0, \mathcal{H}_2$ contain the bosonic generators of \mathcal{G} .

The $AdS_2 \times S^2$ sigma model action is a sum of the action on the coset supermanifold $PSU(1, 1|2)/U(1) \times U(1)$ and a Wess-Zumino term and is given as [56]:

$$S_{AdS_2 \times S^2} = \frac{1}{\pi \lambda^2} \int d^2 x \text{Str} \left(J^2 \bar{J}^2 + \frac{3}{2} J^1 \bar{J}^3 + \frac{1}{2} \bar{J}^1 J^3 \right). \quad (17)$$

where the left-invariant currents are given as,

$$\begin{aligned} J^{1,3} &= (g^{-1} \partial g)^\alpha T_\alpha^{R,L} + (g^{-1} \partial g)^{\dot{\alpha}} T_{\dot{\alpha}}^{R,L}, \\ J^2 &= (g^{-1} \partial g)^m T_m \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

where $T_m, T_{\alpha, \dot{\alpha}}^{R,L}$ denote the $PSU(1, 1|2)$ generators corresponding to translations and supersymmetry. \bar{J} 's can be obtained by replacing ∂ with $\bar{\partial}$ and Str stands for supertrace over the $PSU(1, 1|2)$ matrices, the algebra of which is given in eqn. (26). The action in eqn. (17) has invariance under global $PSU(1, 1|2)$ transformations which are realized by left-multiplication as $\delta g = (\Sigma^A T_A)g$. There is also an invariance under local $U(1) \times U(1)$ gauge transformations, which is realized on $g(x, \theta)$ by a right multiplication as $\delta g = g\Lambda(x)$.

The supersymmetry transformations (up to a local Lorentz rotation) of the superspace coordinates are achieved by a global left action of the superalgebra on the coset superspace, where as the κ -supersymmetry corresponds to a local right action on the same coset superspace. The κ -supersymmetry is explicitly broken in the present case and is replaced by world-sheet superconformal invariance [77]. It was further shown that the sigma model on the coset space G/H with the Wess-Zumino term is one-loop conformally invariant provided that the group G is Ricci flat and H is the fixed locus of a Z_4 automorphism of G . For instance, J^1 decomposes under $H = U(1) \times U(1)$ as:

$$J^1 \equiv J_{++}^R, J_{--}^R, J_{-+}^R, J_{+-}^R \quad (19)$$

Now, in terms of the decomposition given in (19), the fermionic generators of the $N = 2$ superconformal algebra in $AdS_2 \times S^2$ can be constructed as [56]:

$$G_R^- = \int dz (e^{\rho_R} J_{++}^R J_{--}^R), \quad G_R^+ = \int dz (e^{-\rho_R} J_{+-}^R J_{-+}^R) \quad (20)$$

and also the left moving part involving J^3 . The transformations of various fields under these generators are obtained by a global right multiplication of g . These generators have appropriate flat space limits; for instance, $J_{+, -}^L \rightarrow d_{L\alpha}$ and $J_{+, -}^L \rightarrow \bar{d}_{L\dot{\alpha}}$. Note that unlike in flat space, the left-handed currents also have dependence on anti-holomorphic coordinates. This superconformal theory is the one discussed in section-2 in flat space, satisfying $c = -3, N = 2$ algebra. It was argued in [56] that the generators in (20) remain holomorphic even at the quantum level. One can now add a $c = 9, N = 2$ internal theory describing the Calabi-Yau to construct a critical $c = 6, N = 2$ theory. Embedding this $c = 6$ theory in an $N = 4$ algebra as discussed earlier, one can calculate scattering amplitudes in $AdS_2 \times S^2 \times CY_3$.

For future reference, it is useful to write the action by introducing auxiliary fields as:

$$\begin{aligned}
S &= \frac{1}{\alpha'} \int d^2 z \left[\frac{1}{2} \eta_{cd} J^c \bar{J}^d - \frac{1}{4Ng_s} \delta_{\alpha_L \beta_R} (J^{\alpha_L} \bar{J}^{\beta_R} - \bar{J}^{\alpha_L} J^{\beta_R}) \right. \\
&- \frac{1}{4Ng_s} \delta_{\dot{\alpha}_L \dot{\beta}_R} (J^{\dot{\alpha}_L} \bar{J}^{\dot{\beta}_R} - \bar{J}^{\dot{\alpha}_L} J^{\dot{\beta}_R}) + d_{\alpha_L} \bar{J}^{\alpha_L} + \bar{d}_{\dot{\alpha}_L} \bar{J}^{\dot{\alpha}_L} + d_{\alpha_R} J^{\alpha_R} + \bar{d}_{\dot{\alpha}_R} J^{\dot{\alpha}_R} \\
&\left. + Ng_s d_{\alpha_L} d_{\beta_R} \delta^{\alpha_L \beta_R} + Ng_s \bar{d}_{\dot{\alpha}_L} \bar{d}_{\dot{\beta}_R} \delta^{\dot{\alpha}_L \dot{\beta}_R} \right] \quad (21)
\end{aligned}$$

Using the following constraint equations,

$$d_{\alpha_L} = \frac{1}{Ng_s} \delta_{\alpha_L \beta_R} J^{\beta_R}, \quad d_{\alpha_R} = -\frac{1}{Ng_s} \delta_{\beta_L \alpha_R} \bar{J}^{\beta_L}, \quad (22)$$

and performing the scaling,

$$E_M^c \rightarrow (Ng_s)^{-1} E_M^c, \quad E_M^{\alpha_L, R}, E_M^{\dot{\alpha}_L, R} \rightarrow (Ng_s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} E_M^{\alpha_L, R}, E_M^{\dot{\alpha}_L, R} \quad (23)$$

one arrives at the action:

$$\begin{aligned}
S &= \frac{1}{\alpha' N^2 g_s^2} \int d^2 z \left[\frac{1}{2} \eta_{cd} J^c \bar{J}^d - \frac{1}{4} \delta_{\alpha_L \beta_R} (J^{\alpha_L} \bar{J}^{\beta_R} + 3 \bar{J}^{\alpha_L} J^{\beta_R}) \right. \\
&\left. - \frac{1}{4} \delta_{\dot{\alpha}_L \dot{\beta}_R} (J^{\dot{\alpha}_L} \bar{J}^{\dot{\beta}_R} + 3 \bar{J}^{\dot{\alpha}_L} J^{\dot{\beta}_R}) \right]. \quad (24)
\end{aligned}$$

The above $PSU(1, 1|2)$ invariant action can be written in a more familiar form for type IIA superstrings on $AdS_2 \times S^2$ with Ramond-Ramond fluxes in a manifestly space-time supersymmetric manner using the following identifications [56],

$$J^c \equiv \Pi^c, \quad J^{\alpha_L, R} \equiv \Pi^{\alpha_L, R}, \quad J^{\dot{\alpha}_L, R} \equiv \Pi^{\dot{\alpha}_L, R}, \quad (25)$$

and similarly for \bar{J} 's as well. Here, $\Pi_j^A = E_M^A \partial_j Z^M$, $Z^M = (X^m, \theta_L^\mu, \bar{\theta}_L^\mu, \theta_R^\mu, \bar{\theta}_R^\mu)$, supervierbein E_M^A and A takes the tangent-superspace values $[c, \alpha_L, \dot{\alpha}_L, \alpha_R, \dot{\alpha}_R]$.

It will further be useful to write the action (24) in manner in which the $U(1) \times U(1)$ charges are manifest. Let us start by writing down the $PSU(1, 1|2)$ algebra relations. The generators of the bosonic subgroup $SO(2, 1) \times SO(3)$ are $M, T_{\neq 0}, T_{=0}, N, T_{0\neq}, T_{0=}$, where the subscripts denotes charges under $U(1) \times U(1)$. The fermionic generators are T_{++}^i, T_{--}^i and T_{+-}^i, T_{-+}^i where $i, j = L, R$. Thus, the relevant relations of the $PSU(1, 1|2)$ algebra are:

$$\begin{aligned} [T_{\neq 0}, T_{=0}] &= M, & [T_{0\neq}, T_{0=}] &= N, & \{T_{++}^i, T_{+-}^j\} &= \delta^{ij} T_{\neq 0}, \\ \{T_{++}^i, T_{-+}^j\} &= \delta^{ij} T_{0\neq}, & \{T_{--}^i, T_{+-}^j\} &= \delta^{ij} T_{0=}, & \{T_{--}^i, T_{-+}^j\} &= \delta^{ij} T_{=0}, \\ \{T_{++}^i, T_{--}^j\} &= \epsilon^{ij} (N - M), & \{T_{-+}^i, T_{+-}^j\} &= \epsilon^{ij} (N + M), \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

where $\epsilon^{LR} = 1 = -\epsilon^{RL}$. Using the above generators, the action in (24) can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \frac{1}{\alpha' N^2 g^2} \int d^2 z \left[\frac{1}{2} (J^{\neq 0} \bar{J}^{\neq 0} - J^{0\neq} \bar{J}^{0\neq} + J^{=0} \bar{J}^{\neq 0} - J^{0=} \bar{J}^{0\neq}) \right. \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{4} (J_L^- \bar{J}_R^{++} + 3\bar{J}_L^- J_R^{++} + J_L^{++} \bar{J}_R^- + 3\bar{J}_L^{++} J_R^-) \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{4} (J_L^{+-} \bar{J}_R^{-+} + 3\bar{J}_L^{+-} J_R^{-+} + J_L^{-+} \bar{J}_R^{+-} + 3\bar{J}_L^{-+} J_R^{+-}) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

Using the parameterization of the group element as $g = \exp(XT + \theta_L T_L + \theta_R T_R)$, the left-invariant currents are:

$$\begin{aligned} J^{\neq 0} &= \partial X^{\neq 0} + \frac{1}{2} (\partial \theta_L^{++} \theta_L^{+-} + \partial \theta_L^{+-} \theta_L^{++} + L \leftrightarrow R) + \dots, \\ J^{=0} &= \partial X^{=0} + \frac{1}{2} (\partial \theta_L^{--} \theta_L^{-+} + \partial \theta_L^{-+} \theta_L^{--} + L \leftrightarrow R) + \dots, \\ J^{0\neq} &= \partial X^{0\neq} + \frac{1}{2} (\partial \theta_L^{++} \theta_L^{-+} + \partial \theta_L^{-+} \theta_L^{++} + L \leftrightarrow R) + \dots, \\ J^{0=} &= \partial X^{0=} + \frac{1}{2} (\partial \theta_L^{--} \theta_L^{+-} + \partial \theta_L^{+-} \theta_L^{--} + L \leftrightarrow R) + \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

and the barred ones can be obtained similarly. The left-handed currents for instance are:

$$\begin{aligned} J_L^{++} &= \partial \theta_L^{++} + \frac{1}{2} (-\partial X^{\neq 0} \theta_R^{-+} + \partial \theta_R^{-+} X^{\neq 0} + \partial X^{0\neq} \theta_R^{+-} - \partial \theta_R^{+-} X^{0\neq}) + \dots, \\ J_L^{--} &= \partial \theta_L^{--} + \frac{1}{2} (-\partial X^{=0} \theta_R^{+-} + \partial \theta_R^{+-} X^{=0} + \partial X^{0=} \theta_R^{-+} - \partial \theta_R^{-+} X^{0=}) + \dots, \\ J_L^{+-} &= \partial \theta_L^{+-} + \frac{1}{2} (\partial X^{\neq 0} \theta_R^{--} - \partial \theta_R^{--} X^{\neq 0} - \partial X^{0=} \theta_R^{++} + \partial \theta_R^{++} X^{0=}) + \dots, \\ J_L^{-+} &= \partial \theta_L^{-+} + \frac{1}{2} (\partial X^{=0} \theta_R^{++} - \partial \theta_R^{++} X^{=0} - \partial X^{0\neq} \theta_R^{--} + \partial \theta_R^{--} X^{0\neq}) + \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

and the right-handed currents and barred ones can be obtained similarly. Thus, the first few terms relevant for us in the action (27) are:

$$S = \int d^2 z (\partial X^{\neq 0} \bar{\partial} X^{=0} - \partial X^{0\neq} \bar{\partial} X^{0=} - \partial \theta_L^{++} \bar{\partial} \theta_R^{--} - \partial \theta_L^{--} \bar{\partial} \theta_R^{++})$$

$$+ \partial\theta_L^{+-}\bar{\partial}\theta_R^{-+} + \partial\theta_L^{-+}\bar{\partial}\theta_R^{+-} + \dots) \quad (30)$$

The OPE's corresponding to the action (30) are:

$$\begin{aligned} X^{\neq 0}(y)X^{\neq 0}(z) &\sim -\ln|y-z|, & X^{0\neq}(y)X^{0\neq}(z) &\sim +\ln|y-z|, \\ \theta_L^{++}(y)\theta_R^{--}(z) &\sim \ln|y-z|, & \theta_L^{--}(y)\theta_R^{++}(z) &\sim \ln|y-z|, \\ \theta_L^{+-}(y)\theta_R^{-+}(z) &\sim -\ln|y-z|, & \theta_L^{-+}(y)\theta_R^{+-}(z) &\sim -\ln|y-z|. \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

Notice that the auxiliary fields have been integrated out in the action in (30). There are new OPE's among the fermionic coordinates in the $AdS_2 \times S^2$ background, as in the $AdS_3 \times S^3$ case [55].

3.2 Z_{BH}

The extremal black holes we consider in four dimensions are solutions of $N = 2$ supergravity coupled to n_V vector multiplets with magnetic and electric charges p^Λ, q_Λ . The asymptotic values of the moduli fields X^Λ , $\Lambda = 0, 1, \dots, n_V$ of the vector multiplets are arbitrary in the black hole solution. Near the horizon of the black hole, the values of the moduli fields are constant and fixed by the attractor equations [4]:

$$p^\Lambda = Re[CX^\Lambda], \quad q_\Lambda = Re[CF_\Lambda] \quad (32)$$

where, $F_\Lambda = \frac{\partial F}{\partial X^\Lambda}$ and C is a complex constant. In the presence of higher derivative F-terms in the action, encoded in the prepotential:

$$F(X^\Lambda, W^2) = \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} F_h(X^\Lambda)W^{2h}, \quad (33)$$

where F_h are computed by the topological string amplitude and W^2 contains the square of the anti-self-dual graviphoton field strength, the attractor equations are modified due to [7, 8, 9]:

$$C^2W^2 = 256, \quad (34)$$

in the gauge $K = 0, C = 2Q$. Here, K denotes the Kahler potential and Q is a complex combination of electric and magnetic graviphoton charges. Thus, the black hole partition function takes the form [1]:

$$\ln Z_{BH} = -4\pi Q^2 \left[\sum_h F_h \left(\frac{p^\Lambda + i\frac{\phi^\Lambda}{\pi}}{2Q} \right) \left(\frac{8}{Q} \right)^{2h} \right]. \quad (35)$$

where, one uses $X^\Lambda = \frac{p^\Lambda + i\frac{\phi^\Lambda}{\pi}}{2Q}$.

The black hole partition function is calculated as a perturbative expansion of the type IIA partition function on the euclidean attractor geometry $AdS_2 \times S^2 \times CY$, where, at genus h , the relevant insertions are provided by instantons [33]. The instantons in question, come from world-sheets which wrap non-trivial curves C in the Calabi-Yau three-fold X , with legs in the four dimensional space-time. Such non-perturbative effects on the string world-sheet lead to several interesting effects in the low energy effective action of string theory as pioneered in [78, 79] using the RNS formalism.

More recently, the Green-Schwarz formalism has been used to study such effects [35]. The criteria for a world-sheet instanton wrapping C in X , to generate a superpotential term in the low energy effective action is that C has to be rational (genus zero) and isolated holomorphic curve in X [78]. On the other hand, curves of higher genus generate higher derivative F -terms in the effective action. In the present case, we concentrate on the instantons which are holomorphic, isolated, of genus $h \geq 1$. In this case, the world-sheet instanton contributions to the type IIA partition function can be calculated [33], with the near horizon geometry:

$$ds^2 = 4l^2 \left(\frac{dr^2 + r^2 d\theta^2}{(1-r^2)^2} + \frac{1}{4} d\Omega_2^2 \right),$$

where the AdS_2 radius is $l = g_s \sqrt{\alpha'} \frac{\phi^0}{\pi}$, and the RR-field, $F^{(2)} = \frac{2i\phi^0}{\pi} \frac{2rd\theta \wedge dr}{(1-r^2)^2}$ is imaginary due to analytic continuation to euclidean space. We will also require the attractor values of the moduli fields and the topological string coupling constant, $t^A = \frac{\pi p^A + i\phi^A}{i\phi^0}$, $g_{top} = \frac{4\pi^2}{\phi^0}$.

The instanton partition function can then be written in a factorized form as:

$$Z_{IIA} = Z_{AdS_2 \times S^2} \cdot Z_{CY},$$

and also including the partition function of the chiral boson. In the present situation, the instanton contributes four bosonic zero modes coming from the breaking of translation isometries and their eight supersymmetric partners. From the $PSU(1, 1|2)$ sigma model point of view, the left-multiplication symmetry corresponding to the generators T_m and $T_{\alpha, \dot{\alpha}}$ is broken by the instanton expectation value. Thus, one has to integrate over these bosonic and fermionic variables. In addition, the $AdS_2 \times S^2$ instanton action also contributes zero modes for integration over d_L, d_R variables. Therefore, one does not need additional graviton-graviphoton vertex operators to soak up the zero modes of these variables, unlike in flat space [41].

The instanton partition function can be calculated using the $N = 4$ topological

method as:

$$Z_{IIA}^1 = \left| \int du \sum_{n_I=2-2h}^{2h-2} (u_2^*)^{2h-2+n_I} (u_1^*)^{2g-2-n_I} \right|^2$$

$$\prod_{j=1}^{3h-3} \int d^2 m_j \prod_{i=1}^h \int d^2 v_i < \left| \prod_{i=1}^{h-1} \widehat{G}^+(v_i) J(v_h) \left(\int \mu_j \widehat{G}^- \right) \right|^2 > \Big|_{\text{instanton}} \quad (36)$$

where the left and right-handed parts are,

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{G}_L^+ &= u_1^L (e^{2\rho_L + J_{CY}^L} (d_L)^2 + e^{\rho_L} \widetilde{G}_{CY}^{++L}) + u_2^L (e^{-\rho_L} (\bar{d}_L)^2 + G_{CY}^{+L}), \\ \widehat{G}_R^+ &= u_1^R (e^{2\rho_R - J_{CY}^R} (d_R)^2 + e^{\rho_R} \widetilde{G}_{CY}^{--R}) + u_2^R (e^{-\rho} (\bar{d})^2 + G_{CY}^{-R}), \\ \widehat{G}_L^- &= u_1^L (e^{\rho_L} (d_L)^2 + G_{CY}^{-L}) - u_2^L (e^{-2\rho_L - J_{CY}^L} (\bar{d}_L)^2 + e^{-\rho_L} \widetilde{G}_{CY}^{--L}) \\ \widehat{G}_R^- &= u_1^R (e^{\rho_R} (d_r)^2 + G_{CY}^{+R}) - u_2^R (e^{-2\rho_R + J_{CY}^R} (\bar{d}_R)^2 + e^{-\rho_R} \widetilde{G}_{CY}^{++R}). \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

Here, for instance, in \widehat{G}^{+L} , $e^{2\rho_L + J_{CY}^L} (d_L)^2$ piece comes from $\widetilde{G}^{+L} \equiv [\not{J} J_L^{++}, G_L^-]$, where $J_L^{++} \equiv e^{\int z} J_L$ and $\widetilde{G}_{CY}^{\pm\pm L}$ is the residue of the pole in the OPE of $e^{\pm J_{CY}^L}$ with $G_{CY}^{\mp L}$. The integration over u can be done using,

$$\int du (u_1^{2h-2+m} u_2^{2h-2-m}) (u_2^*)^{2h-2+n} (u_1^*)^{2h-2-n} = \delta_{mn} (2h-2+m)! (2h-2-n)!. \quad (38)$$

Some comments are in order. Since, there are an $SU(2)_f$ worth equivalent ways of picking an $N=2$, inside an $N=4$, the topological partition function is a function (u_1, u_2) . The n_I in eqn. (36) can be used to pick a particular choice. Comparing with the case of flat space [41], we choose this to be $h-1$ to get the required topological string amplitude in the $AdS_2 \times S^2$ partition function. Now, to perform integration over d_L^α and d_R^β , which have conformal weights $(1,0)$ and $(0,1)$ respectively, we need $2h$ zero modes on a genus h Riemann surface. These zero modes in flat space come from appropriate number of graviphoton-graviton vertex operators insertions, as in the analysis of [41]. However, in $AdS_2 \times S^2$, $2h$ factors of $d_L^\alpha d_R^\beta W_{\alpha\beta}$ can be pulled from the instanton world volume action to get a non-zero result.

If m denotes the number of ways of choosing the n_I , then the contribution to eqn. (36) coming from \widehat{G}^+ and \widehat{G}^- is as follows: m of \widetilde{G}^+ 's, $h-1-m$ of G^- 's and m of \widetilde{G}^- 's, $3h-3-m$ of G^- 's, respectively. Thus, the ρ and J_{CY} , charges can be saturated by choosing [41] $G_{L,Ad}^+$, $\widetilde{G}_{L,Ad}^-$, $\widetilde{G}_{L,CY}^+$, $G_{L,CY}^-$ in the left-moving sector and $G_{R,Ad}^+$, $\widetilde{G}_{R,Ad}^-$, $\widetilde{G}_{R,CY}^+$, $G_{R,CY}^-$ in the right-moving sector. Then, the non-vanishing contribution to the expression for the instanton partition function is:

$$Z_{IIA}^1 = \prod_{j=1}^{3h-3} \int d^2 m_j \prod_{i=1}^h \int d^2 v_i < \left| \prod_{i=1}^m e^\rho \widetilde{G}_{CY}^{++} \prod_{i=m+1}^{h-1} G^+ J(v_h) \right.$$

$$\times \prod_{j=1}^m \left(\int \mu_j \tilde{G}_L^- \right) \prod_{k=m+1}^{3h-3} \left(\int \mu_k G_{CY}^- \right)^2 > \Big|_{\text{instanton}} . \quad (39)$$

Now, the regularization over the negative energy field ρ , in effect, results in the locations of the poles of $e^\rho \tilde{G}_{CY}^{++}$ coming from \tilde{G}^+ to be sewn in with those of $e^{-2\rho-f J_{CY}} (\bar{d})^2$ coming from \tilde{G}^- , giving, $e^{-\rho} (\bar{d})^2$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{IIA}^I &= \prod_{j=1}^{3h-3} \int d^2 m_j \det(\text{Im}\tau) |\det \omega^k(v_i)|^2 < \prod_{i=m+1}^{h-1} e^{-\rho_L} (\bar{d}_L)^2 J_L e^{-\rho_R} (\bar{d}_R)^2 J_R \\ &\times \prod_{j=1}^m e^{-\rho_L} (\bar{d}_L)^2 \left(\int \mu_j G_{CY}^{-L} \right) e^{-\rho_R} (\bar{d}_R)^2 \left(\int \bar{\mu}_j G_{CY}^{+R} \right) \\ &\times \prod_{k=m+1}^{3h-3} \left(\int \mu_k^L G_{CY}^{-L} \right) \left(\int \mu_k^R G_{CY}^{+R} \right) > \Big|_{\text{instanton}} . \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

Here, τ is the period matrix and ω^k are h holomorphic one forms on Σ_h . The factor of $\det(\text{Im}\tau) |\det \omega^k(v_i)|^2$ comes the Jacobian of change of variables, allowing the v_i 's to be any h points on Σ_h . To do the integrations over $\bar{\theta}$'s, ρ 's, and \bar{d} 's, an identity $1 = \int |G^+(\bar{\theta}^2 e^\rho)|^2$ is inserted in the path integral. Then, one pulls the G^+ counter off the term $\bar{\theta}^2 e^\rho$, till it hits J . This then also facilitates the integration over all the \bar{d}_L and \bar{d}_R variables.

The choice of this identity operator coincides with the fact that the instanton is a holomorphic map in the Calabi-Yau and satisfies:

$$\bar{\partial} Y^i = 0. \quad (41)$$

Using this map, the generators G^- annihilate the instanton configuration. For the holomorphic instanton, we make an A-twist, where, the generators G_{CY}^{-L} and G_{CY}^{+R} acquire spin 2. Another choice of the identity operator below, will result in the anti-holomorphic map and in the anti-instanton partition function. Thus, performing rest of the integrations as in [41], we have:

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{IIA}^I &= \prod_{j=1}^{3h-3} \int d^2 m_j \det(\text{Im}\tau) |\det \omega^k(v_i)|^2 < \Big| \prod_{i=m+1}^h e^{-\rho} (\bar{d})^2(v_i) \\ &\times \prod_{j=1}^m \left(\int \mu_j G_{CY}^- e^{-\rho} (\bar{d})^2 \right)^2 \prod_{k=m+1}^{3h-3} \left(\int \mu_k^L G_{CY}^{-L} \right) \left(\int \mu_k^R G_{CY}^{+R} \right) \\ &\times (|\bar{\theta}^2 e^\rho|^2) \left(\prod_{r=1}^{2h} \int d^2 z_r d_L^\alpha d_R^\beta W_{\alpha\beta} \right) > . \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

where, to get a non-zero result, instanton contribution is included in the last line. Notice that the $(\rho, \bar{p}, \bar{\theta})$ integrals can now be performed as in flat space.

Here, the anti-self dual chiral superfield $W_{\alpha\beta}$ represents the Weyl multiplet with weight 1. The scalar chiral superfield W^2 of weyl weight 2 contains the graviphoton field strengths and curvature tensors in its lowest and highest components and appears in the low energy F -terms, contributing to the black hole entropy. In the $AdS_2 \times S^2$ background, the Weyl curvature vanishes and hence, one is left with a simple absorbtion of the theta integrals. Now, using the attractor value of W^2 as g_{top}^2 coming from the value of the graviphoton field strength, one arrives at:

$$Z_{IIA}^I = g_{\text{top}}^{2h} \int d^4 X d^2 \theta_L d^2 \theta_R \prod_{j=1}^{3h-3} \int d^2 m_j < \prod_{j=1}^{3h-3} \left(\int \mu_j^L G_{CY}^{-L} \right) \left(\int \mu_j^R G_{CY}^{+R} \right) >, \quad (43)$$

where the A-model topological string amplitude is:

$$F_h = \prod_{j=1}^{3h-3} \int d^2 m_j < \prod_{j=1}^{3h-3} \left(\int \mu_j^L G_{CY}^{-L} \right) \left(\int \mu_j^R G_{CY}^{+R} \right) >. \quad (44)$$

Notice that, out of the eight fermionic zero modes required for a non zero result, the $|\bar{\theta}|^2$ has been used to absorb the $(\bar{\theta}_{L\dot{\alpha}}, \bar{\theta}_{R\dot{\alpha}})$ integrals. One is left with the integrations over four bosonic and fermionic variables in (43).

Let us also mention that a similar analysis as above leads to the following result for the anti-instanton partition function:

$$Z_{IIA}^{AI} = g_{\text{top}}^{2h} \int d^4 X d^2 \bar{\theta}_L d^2 \bar{\theta}_R \prod_{j=1}^{3h-3} \int d^2 m_j < \prod_{j=1}^{3h-3} \left(\int \mu_j^L G_{CY}^{+L} \right) \left(\int \mu_j^R G_{CY}^{-R} \right) >, \quad (45)$$

where the A-model topological string amplitude is:

$$\bar{F}_h = \prod_{j=1}^{3h-3} \int d^2 m_j < \prod_{j=1}^{3h-3} \left(\int \mu_j^L G_{CY}^{+L} \right) \left(\int \mu_j^R G_{CY}^{-R} \right) >. \quad (46)$$

In this case, to do the integration over the $(\theta_{L\alpha}, \theta_{R\alpha})$ zero modes, $1 = \int |G^-(\theta^2 e^{-\rho})|^2$ is inserted in the path integral and the counter pulling argument is repeated. Thus, one is left with the integration over four X_m and $(\bar{\theta}_{L\dot{\alpha}}, \bar{\theta}_{R\dot{\alpha}})$ zero modes in (45).

Since, there is no action for X_m in eqns. (43) and (45), the integration gives rise to an infinite space-time volume of AdS_2 . Also, since there is no action for $(\theta_{L\alpha}, \theta_{R\alpha})$ zero modes in the case of instantons and $(\bar{\theta}_{L\dot{\alpha}}, \bar{\theta}_{R\dot{\alpha}})$ in the case of anti-instantons, the result is zero. Below, we use a localization procedure similar to [33] to solve this problem.

Let us note that, in the Green-Schwarz treatment [33], due to the presence of κ -symmetry, a world-sheet instanton preserves four out of eight space-time supersymmetries. In particular, instantons and anti-instantons at the opposite poles of S^2 preserve same amount of supersymmetry and this fact has been used to calculate the partition function. In the hybrid description of the superstring such (anti)instantons break all space-time supersymmetries, since one cannot perform a compensating κ -transformation to be in the gauge. Instead, one has superconformal invariance, which can be used to write down a operator which renders the partition function finite. The advantage of the hybrid description lies in the fact that supersymmetry is not explicitly used in the calculation of the partition function.

In the present case, the essence of the localization procedure is to use a BRST operator to make a semi-classical expansion of the path integral around the saddle points. This is possible, because the $PSU(1, 1|2)$ sigma model action can be shown to be exact under a set of BRST operators constructed from the left-invariant currents. Thus, if the action S is Q -closed and $Q^2 = 0$, then one can deform the action by adding another BRST exact term as:

$$S = S + tI \quad (47)$$

where $I = QV$ is an arbitrary parameter for some choice of V . Adding such a term does not change the cohomology and the parameter t can be varied freely [80]. In particular, as $t \rightarrow \infty$, the theory localizes to the critical points of QV . Thus, one can integrate over these critical points to render the path integral finite, and the saddle points are genus h (anti)instantons, which are (anti)chiral at the (north)south poles of S^2 . We first write down a BRST operator for the case of anti-instantons and show that the sigma model action is \bar{Q} -closed and then give the result for the case of instantons.

Thus, for the case of anti-instantons, the anti-holomorphy condition in the Calabi-Yau results in it being annihilated by G^+ 's. Thus, the BRST operator is constructed from the anti-chiral set of generators as:

$$\bar{Q} = \int (e^{\rho_L} J_L^{++} J_L^{--}) + \int (e^{\rho_R} J_R^{++} J_R^{--}) \quad (48)$$

The operator \bar{Q} is nilpotent, as it is constructed from a sum of G_L^- and G_R^- , which have regular OPE's and satisfy the $N = 2$ algebra [56]. Also, they are constructed from covariantly holomorphic and anti-holomorphic H-invariant combination of currents [56]. The action of \bar{Q} on the group element g is to transform it by a right multiplication as:

$$\Lambda \bar{Q}(g) = g (\Lambda_L^{++} e^{\rho_L} J_L^{--} + \Lambda_L^{--} e^{\rho_L} J_L^{++}) + g (\Lambda_R^{++} e^{\rho_R} J_R^{--} + \Lambda_R^{--} e^{\rho_R} J_R^{++}) \quad (49)$$

Now, one can show that the $PSU(1, 1|2)$ sigma model action in (27) is closed under the BRST operator (48). To check this, we follow the procedure used in [53], and start by rewriting the sigma model action as:

$$\begin{aligned}
S = & \int d^2z \left[\frac{1}{2} (J^{\neq 0} \bar{J}^{\neq 0} - J^{0\neq} \bar{J}^{0\neq} + J^{\neq 0} \bar{J}^{0\neq} - J^{0\neq} \bar{J}^{0\neq}) + \frac{1}{2} (-J_L^- \bar{J}_R^{++} \right. \\
& - \bar{J}_L^- J_R^{++} - J_L^{++} \bar{J}_R^- - \bar{J}_L^{++} J_R^-) + \frac{1}{2} (J_L^{+-} \bar{J}_R^{-+} + \bar{J}_L^{+-} J_R^{-+} + J_L^{-+} \bar{J}_R^{+-} \\
& + \bar{J}_L^{-+} J_R^{+-}) + \frac{1}{4} (J_L^- \bar{J}_R^{++} - \bar{J}_L^- J_R^{++} + J_L^{++} \bar{J}_R^- - \bar{J}_L^{++} J_R^-) \\
& \left. + \frac{1}{4} (-J_L^{+-} \bar{J}_R^{-+} + \bar{J}_L^{+-} J_R^{-+} - J_L^{-+} \bar{J}_R^{+-} + \bar{J}_L^{-+} J_R^{+-}) \right] \quad (50)
\end{aligned}$$

Now, under the BRST operator (48), the variations of the terms in first and the third brackets in (50) can be shown to cancel each other. The variation of the terms in second bracket is,

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{1}{2} [\nabla(\Lambda e^{\rho L} \bar{J}_L^-) \bar{J}_R^{++} + J_L^- \bar{\nabla}(\Lambda e^{\rho R} J_R^{++}) + \bar{\nabla}(\Lambda e^{\rho L} \bar{J}_L^-) J_R^{++} \\
& + \bar{J}_L^- \nabla(\Lambda e^{\rho R} J_R^{++}) + \nabla(\Lambda e^{\rho L} \bar{J}_L^-) \bar{J}_R^- + J_L^{++} \bar{\nabla}(\Lambda e^{\rho R} J_R^-) \\
& + \bar{\nabla}(\Lambda e^{\rho L} \bar{J}_L^-) J_R^- + \bar{J}_L^{++} \nabla(\Lambda e^{\rho R} J_R^-)] , \quad (51)
\end{aligned}$$

and the variations of the terms in the fourth and fifth brackets are respectively:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{4} [\nabla(\Lambda e^{\rho L} \bar{J}_L^-) \bar{J}_R^{++} + J_L^- \bar{\nabla}(\Lambda e^{\rho R} J_R^{++}) - \bar{\nabla}(\Lambda e^{\rho L} \bar{J}_L^-) J_R^{++} \\
& - \bar{J}_L^- \nabla(\Lambda e^{\rho R} J_R^{++}) + \nabla(\Lambda e^{\rho L} \bar{J}_L^-) \bar{J}_R^- + J_L^{++} \bar{\nabla}(\Lambda e^{\rho R} J_R^-) \\
& - \bar{\nabla}(\Lambda e^{\rho L} \bar{J}_L^-) J_R^- - \bar{J}_L^{++} \nabla(\Lambda e^{\rho R} J_R^-)] , \quad (52)
\end{aligned}$$

and,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{4} [-(J^{\neq 0} \Lambda e^{\rho R} J_R^- - J^{0\neq} \Lambda e^{\rho R} J_R^{++}) \bar{J}_R^{-+} - J_L^{+-} (\bar{J}^{0\neq} \Lambda e^{\rho L} \bar{J}_L^- - \bar{J}^{\neq 0} \Lambda e^{\rho L} \bar{J}_L^{++}) \\
& - (-J^{0\neq} \Lambda e^{\rho R} J_R^- + J^{\neq 0} \Lambda e^{\rho R} J_R^{++}) \bar{J}_R^{-+} - J_L^{-+} (\bar{J}^{0\neq} \Lambda e^{\rho L} \bar{J}_L^{++} - \bar{J}^{\neq 0} \Lambda e^{\rho L} \bar{J}_L^-) \\
& + (\bar{J}^{\neq 0} \Lambda e^{\rho R} J_R^- - \bar{J}^{0\neq} \Lambda e^{\rho R} J_R^{++}) J_R^{-+} + \bar{J}_L^{-+} (J^{0\neq} \Lambda e^{\rho L} \bar{J}_L^- - J^{\neq 0} \Lambda e^{\rho L} \bar{J}_L^{++}) \\
& + (-\bar{J}^{0\neq} \Lambda e^{\rho R} J_R^- + \bar{J}^{\neq 0} \Lambda e^{\rho R} J_R^{++}) J_R^{-+} \\
& + \bar{J}_L^{-+} (J^{0\neq} \Lambda e^{\rho L} \bar{J}_L^{++} - J^{\neq 0} \Lambda e^{\rho L} \bar{J}_L^-)] . \quad (53)
\end{aligned}$$

Now, one makes use of the Maurer-Cartan equations coming from $\partial \bar{J} - \bar{\partial} J + [J, \bar{J}] = 0$. For instance, we have:

$$\nabla \bar{J}_L^- - \bar{\nabla} J_L^- + [J^{\neq 0}, \bar{J}_R^{-+}] + [J_R^{-+}, \bar{J}^{\neq 0}] + [J^{0\neq}, \bar{J}_R^{-+}] + [J_R^{-+}, \bar{J}^{0\neq}] = 0, \quad (54)$$

where the covariant derivative, $\nabla J = \partial J + [J_0, J]$ with J_0 denoting the generators in the subspace \mathcal{H}_0 , and similarly for $\bar{\nabla}$.

Using such equations in (53) one can show that the equations (52) and (53) are exactly equal. Thus, from eqns. (51) + (52) + (53), we get the full variation of (50) to be:

$$- [\bar{\nabla}(\Lambda e^{\rho_L} \bar{J}_L^-) J_R^{++} + \bar{J}_L^- \nabla(\Lambda e^{\rho_R} J_R^{++}) + \bar{\nabla}(\Lambda e^{\rho_L} \bar{J}_L^{++}) J_R^{--} + \bar{J}_L^{++} \nabla(\Lambda e^{\rho_R} J_R^{--})] , \quad (55)$$

which can be written as:

$$-\Lambda \bar{Q} [\bar{J}_L^- J_R^{++} + \bar{J}_L^{++} J_R^{--}] . \quad (56)$$

Now, to do the X_m and $(\bar{\theta}_{L\dot{\alpha}}, \bar{\theta}_{R\dot{\alpha}})$ integrations in (45), for the anti-instanton partition function, a BRST exact operator is constructed as follows. We require an operator I , such that $\bar{Q} \bar{I} = 0$ and $\bar{I} = \bar{Q} \bar{V}$ and which facilitates a simple gaussian integration over the variables. Below, it is shown that the general form of such an operator is given by:

$$\bar{V} = (a(X_m)^2 + b \epsilon_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \bar{\theta}_L^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\theta}_R^{\dot{\beta}}) (e^{-\rho_L} \theta_R^2 + e^{-\rho_R} \theta_L^2) \quad (57)$$

where $m = 0, 1, 2, 3$, and the constants a and b , are fixed by the BRST procedure.

Based on the general structure (57), for the anti-instanton partition function, one uses the $AdS_2 \times S^2$ algebra to notice the fact that the relations,

$$\int e^{\rho_L} J_L^{++} J_L^{--} (e^{-\rho_L} \theta_R^2) = 1, \quad \int e^{\rho_R} J_R^{++} J_R^{--} (e^{-\rho_R} \theta_L^2) = 1. \quad (58)$$

render the following choice of the operator,

$$\bar{V} = -\frac{1}{2} [(X^{\neq 0} X^{=0} - X^{0\neq} X^{0=} + \theta_L^{+-} \theta_R^{-+} - \theta_L^{-+} \theta_R^{+-}) (e^{-\rho_L} \theta_R^2 + e^{-\rho_R} \theta_L^2)] , \quad (59)$$

a trivial deformation of the theory. To see this, one first notes that:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{Q} \bar{V} &= -\frac{1}{2} [\bar{Q}(X^{\neq 0} X^{=0} - X^{0\neq} X^{0=} + \theta_L^{+-} \theta_R^{-+} - \theta_L^{-+} \theta_R^{+-})] (e^{-\rho_L} \theta_R^2 + e^{-\rho_R} \theta_L^2) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} (X^{\neq 0} X^{=0} - X^{0\neq} X^{0=} + \theta_L^{+-} \theta_R^{-+} - \theta_L^{-+} \theta_R^{+-}) [\bar{Q}(e^{-\rho_L} \theta_R^2 + e^{-\rho_R} \theta_L^2)] \end{aligned} \quad (60)$$

Now, it can be shown that,

$$\bar{Q}(X^{\neq 0} X^{=0} - X^{0\neq} X^{0=} + \theta_L^{+-} \theta_R^{-+} - \theta_L^{-+} \theta_R^{+-}) = 0. \quad (61)$$

To check this explicitly, one can calculate how the left-invariant currents rotate various coordinates appearing in (59). Thus, under J_L^{--} and J_L^{++} , we have:

$$\delta \theta_L^{--} = \epsilon_L^{--} ,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta\theta_L^{+-} &= \epsilon_L^{-} X^{\neq 0}, & \delta\theta_L^{-+} &= -\epsilon_L^{-} X^{0\neq}, \\
\delta X^{\neq 0} &= -\epsilon_L^{-} \theta_R^{-+},
\end{aligned} \tag{62}$$

and,

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta\theta_L^{++} &= \epsilon_L^{++}, \\
\delta\theta_L^{+-} &= -\epsilon_L^{++} X^{0=}, & \delta\theta_L^{-+} &= \epsilon_L^{++} X^{\neq 0} \\
\delta X^{\neq 0} &= -\epsilon_L^{++} \theta_R^{+-}, & \delta X^{0\neq} &= \epsilon_L^{++} \theta_R^{-+},
\end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

and the transformations with respect to $\epsilon_R^{++}, \epsilon_R^{-}$ can be obtained similarly. So, the first term in (60) drops out. Using the relations in (58), one gets:

$$\bar{I} = -(X^{\neq 0} X^{\neq 0} - X^{0\neq} X^{0=} + \theta_L^{+-} \theta_R^{-+} - \theta_L^{-+} \theta_R^{+-}) \tag{64}$$

This is the required operator, as it also satisfies $\bar{Q}\bar{I} = 0$, as already shown above.

The BRST exact term for the case of instantons can be written down in a similar manner. In this case, we need to do integrations over four X_m and $(\theta_{L\alpha}, \theta_{R\alpha})$ zero modes in (43). Thus, the BRST operator one makes use of is:

$$Q = \int (e^{-\rho_L} J_L^{+-} J_L^{-+}) + \int (e^{-\rho_R} J_R^{+-} J_R^{-+}) \tag{65}$$

The action can again be shown to be closed under Q as shown for the case of anti-instantons. Thus, one now adds a term coming from,

$$I = -(X^{\neq 0} X^{\neq 0} - X^{0\neq} X^{0=} + \theta_L^{+-} \theta_R^{--} - \theta_L^{--} \theta_R^{+-}), \tag{66}$$

to the path integral in (43) as:

$$\int d^4 X d^2 \theta_L d^2 \theta_R e^{-tI}, \tag{67}$$

Note that I satisfies $QI = 0$ and $I = QV$ for a suitable V . To proceed, one makes a euclidean rotation to write the bosonic part of I as $X^{\neq 0} X^{\neq 0} + X^{0\neq} X^{0=}$. The euclidean $AdS_2 \times S^2$ can be taken as in [33] to be,

$$ds^2 = \frac{4dw d\bar{w}}{(1-w\bar{w})^2} + \frac{4dz d\bar{z}}{(1+z\bar{z})^2}, \tag{68}$$

and using the embedding,

$$X^{\neq 0} X^{\neq 0} = \frac{|\omega|^2}{(1-|\omega|^2)^2}, \quad X^{0\neq} X^{0=} = \frac{|z|^2}{(1+|z|^2)^2}, \tag{69}$$

the integral in (67) is,

$$\int d^2\omega d^2z d\theta_L^{++} d\theta_L^{--} d\theta_R^{--} d\theta_R^{++} e^{-t\left(\frac{(|\omega|^2+|z|^2)((1+|\omega|^2|z|^2)}{(1-|\omega|^2)^2(1+|z|^2)^2)}-\theta_L^{++}\theta_R^{--}+\theta_L^{--}\theta_R^{++}\right)} \quad (70)$$

Now, since $QI = 0$, the expectation value of any Q-invariant operator is zero, as can be seen by differentiating with respect to t . Thus, the parameter t can be varied freely. Consider, $t \rightarrow \infty$ limit; the leading contributions to (70) come from the center of AdS_2 and the south pole of S^2 , i.e., $|\omega| = 0, |z| = 0$. Thus, the bosonic integral becomes $\int d^2\omega d^2z e^{-t(|\omega|^2+|z|^2)}$, giving the regularized value $\sim \pi^2/t^2$. This then also localizes the fermionic path integral to these locations as well, giving the regularized value:

$$\sim \int d\theta_L^{++} d\theta_L^{--} d\theta_R^{--} d\theta_R^{++} t^2(\theta_L^{++}\theta_R^{--}\theta_L^{--}\theta_R^{++}) = t^2 \quad (71)$$

Thus, the t dependent regularized pieces of the bosonic and fermionic integrations cancel, resulting in a constant. This constant is determined from the supergravity matching of Z_{BH} and Z_{top} as in [33] and comes out as g_{top}^{-2} . Using this in eqn.(43), the final result is

$$\sum_h g_{top}^{2h-2} F_h, \quad (72)$$

which is F_{top} . Z_{top} corresponds to the A-model topological string partition function, obtained from F_{top} .

For the case of anti-instanton, one uses $u = 1/z$ and localizes the integration region to $|w| = 0, |u| = 0$, i.e., the center of AdS_2 and the north pole of S^2 . The final result for the anti-instanton partition function comes out as:

$$Z_{IIA}^{AI} = g_{top}^{2h-2} \bar{F}_h \quad (73)$$

where, the right hand side is the anti-topological string free energy, which exponentiated, gives the partition function \bar{Z}_{top} .

Therefore, the full black hole partition function is obtained from F_{top} in (72) and \bar{F}_{top} in (73), coming from instantons and anti-instantons respectively, to be $|Z_{top}|^2$ and one recovers the relation (1). We note that supersymmetry was not used explicitly in the calculation of the type IIA partition function and the subsequent emergence of topological string partition function. The localization procedure relied completely on the BRST method. This adds further evidence, that supersymmetry is not necessarily the main ingredient in the entropy of extremal black holes, which have AdS_2 factor as the near horizon geometry.

Acknowledgments: I thank Nathan Berkovits for suggesting the problem, helpful discussions, for sharing his insights at crucial stages and for a careful reading of the draft. I thank the FAPESP grant 05/52888-9 for financial aid and IOP, Bhubaneswar for hospitality. I also thank Kuntala Bhattacharjee for her support during the course of this work.

References

- [1] H. Ooguri, A. Strominger and C. Vafa, “Black hole attractors and the topological string,” *Phys. Rev. D* **70**, 106007 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0405146].
- [2] M. Bershadsky, S. Cecotti, H. Ooguri and C. Vafa, “Kodaira-Spencer theory of gravity and exact results for quantum string amplitudes,” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **165**, 311 (1994) [arXiv:hep-th/9309140].
- [3] I. Antoniadis, E. Gava, K. S. Narain and T. R. Taylor, “Topological amplitudes in string theory,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **413**, 162 (1994) [arXiv:hep-th/9307158].
- [4] S. Ferrara, R. Kallosh and A. Strominger, “N=2 extremal black holes,” *Phys. Rev. D* **52**, 5412 (1995) [arXiv:hep-th/9508072].
- [5] A. Strominger, “Macroscopic Entropy of $N = 2$ Extremal Black Holes,” *Phys. Lett. B* **383**, 39 (1996) [arXiv:hep-th/9602111].
- [6] S. Ferrara and R. Kallosh, “Supersymmetry and Attractors,” *Phys. Rev. D* **54**, 1514 (1996) [arXiv:hep-th/9602136].
- [7] G. Lopes Cardoso, B. de Wit and T. Mohaupt, “Corrections to macroscopic supersymmetric black-hole entropy,” *Phys. Lett. B* **451**, 309 (1999) [arXiv:hep-th/9812082].
- [8] G. Lopes Cardoso, B. de Wit and T. Mohaupt, “Deviations from the area law for supersymmetric black holes,” *Fortsch. Phys.* **48**, 49 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/9904005].
- [9] G. Lopes Cardoso, B. de Wit and T. Mohaupt, “Macroscopic entropy formulae and non-holomorphic corrections for supersymmetric black holes,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **567**, 87 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/9906094].
- [10] C. Vafa, “Two dimensional Yang-Mills, black holes and topological strings,” [arXiv:hep-th/0406058].

- [11] A. Dabholkar, “Exact counting of black hole microstates,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94**, 241301 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0409148].
- [12] M. Aganagic, H. Ooguri, N. Saulina and C. Vafa, “Black holes, q-deformed 2d Yang-Mills, and non-perturbative topological strings,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **715**, 304 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0411280].
- [13] E. P. Verlinde, “Attractors and the holomorphic anomaly,” [arXiv:hep-th/0412139].
- [14] G. Lopes Cardoso, B. de Wit, J. Kappeli and T. Mohaupt, “Asymptotic degeneracy of dyonic $N = 4$ string states and black hole entropy,” *JHEP* **0412**, 075 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0412287].
- [15] A. Dabholkar, F. Denef, G. W. Moore and B. Pioline, “Exact and asymptotic degeneracies of small black holes,” *JHEP* **0508**, 021 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0502157].
- [16] H. Ooguri, C. Vafa and E. P. Verlinde, “Hartle-Hawking wave-function for flux compactifications,” *Lett. Math. Phys.* **74**, 311 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0502211].
- [17] M. Aganagic, A. Neitzke and C. Vafa, “BPS microstates and the open topological string wave function,” [arXiv:hep-th/0504054].
- [18] R. Dijkgraaf, R. Gopakumar, H. Ooguri and C. Vafa, “Baby universes in string theory,” *Phys. Rev. D* **73**, 066002 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0504221].
- [19] A. Sen, “Black hole entropy function and the attractor mechanism in higher derivative gravity,” *JHEP* **0509**, 038 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0506177].
- [20] A. Dabholkar, F. Denef, G. W. Moore and B. Pioline, “Precision counting of small black holes,” *JHEP* **0510**, 096 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0507014].
- [21] D. Shih and X. Yin, “Exact black hole degeneracies and the topological string,” *JHEP* **0604**, 034 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0508174].
- [22] M. Aganagic, D. Jafferis and N. Saulina, “Branes, black holes and topological strings on toric Calabi-Yau manifolds,” *JHEP* **0612**, 018 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0512245].
- [23] M. Gunaydin, A. Neitzke, B. Pioline and A. Waldron, “BPS black holes, quantum attractor flows and automorphic forms,” *Phys. Rev. D* **73**, 084019 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0512296].

- [24] G. Lopes Cardoso, B. de Wit, J. Kappeli and T. Mohaupt, “Black hole partition functions and duality,” JHEP **0603**, 074 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0601108].
- [25] D. Jafferis, “Crystals and intersecting branes,” [arXiv:hep-th/0607032].
- [26] D. Gaiotto, A. Strominger and X. Yin, “The M5-brane elliptic genus: Modularity and BPS states,” JHEP **0708**, 070 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0607010].
- [27] R. Dijkgraaf, J. M. Maldacena, G. W. Moore and E. P. Verlinde, “A black hole farey tail,” [arXiv:hep-th/0005003].
- [28] D. Gaiotto, A. Strominger and X. Yin, “From AdS(3)/CFT(2) to black holes / topological strings,” JHEP **0709**, 050 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0602046].
- [29] J. M. Maldacena, A. Strominger and E. Witten, “Black hole entropy in M-theory,” JHEP **9712**, 002 (1997) [arXiv:hep-th/9711053].
- [30] R. Gopakumar and C. Vafa, “M-theory and topological strings. I,” [arXiv:hep-th/9809187].
- [31] R. Gopakumar and C. Vafa, “M-theory and topological strings. II,” [arXiv:hep-th/9812127].
- [32] J. de Boer, M. C. N. Cheng, R. Dijkgraaf, J. Manschot and E. Verlinde, “A farey tail for attractor black holes,” JHEP **0611**, 024 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0608059].
- [33] C. Beasley, D. Gaiotto, M. Guica, L. Huang, A. Strominger and X. Yin, “Why $Z(\text{BH}) = -Z(\text{top})^{**2}$,” [arXiv:hep-th/0608021].
- [34] M. Guica and A. Strominger, “Cargese lectures on string theory with eight supercharges,” Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **171**, 39 (2007) [arXiv:0704.3295 [hep-th]].
- [35] C. Beasley and E. Witten, “New instanton effects in string theory,” JHEP **0602**, 060 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0512039].
- [36] A. Sen, “Entropy Function and AdS(2)/CFT(1) Correspondence,” [arXiv:0805.0095 [hep-th]].
- [37] R. K. Gupta and A. Sen, “Ads(3)/CFT(2) to Ads(2)/CFT(1),” [arXiv:0806.0053 [hep-th]].

- [38] A. Sen, “Quantum Entropy Function from AdS(2)/CFT(1) Correspondence,” [arXiv:0809.3304 [hep-th]].
- [39] N. Berkovits, “A new description of the superstring,” [arXiv:hep-th/9604123].
- [40] N. Berkovits and W. Siegel, “Superspace Effective Actions for 4D Compactifications of Heterotic and Type II Superstrings,” Nucl. Phys. B **462**, 213 (1996) [arXiv:hep-th/9510106].
- [41] N. Berkovits and C. Vafa, “N=4 topological strings,” Nucl. Phys. B **433**, 123 (1995) [arXiv:hep-th/9407190].
- [42] N. Berkovits, “Super-Poincare covariant quantization of the superstring,” JHEP **0004**, 018 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/0001035].
- [43] N. Berkovits, “Relating the RNS and pure spinor formalisms for the superstring,” JHEP **0108**, 026 (2001) [arXiv:hep-th/0104247].
- [44] N. Berkovits, “Multiloop amplitudes and vanishing theorems using the pure spinor formalism for the superstring,” JHEP **0409**, 047 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0406055].
- [45] N. Berkovits and D. Z. Marchioro, “Relating the Green-Schwarz and pure spinor formalisms for the superstring,” JHEP **0501**, 018 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0412198].
- [46] C. R. Mafra, “Four-point one-loop amplitude computation in the pure spinor formalism,” JHEP **0601**, 075 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0512052].
- [47] N. Berkovits and C. R. Mafra, “Equivalence of two-loop superstring amplitudes in the pure spinor and RNS formalisms,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 011602 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0509234].
- [48] N. Berkovits, “Pure spinor formalism as an N = 2 topological string,” JHEP **0510**, 089 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0509120].
- [49] N. Berkovits and C. R. Mafra, “Some superstring amplitude computations with the non-minimal pure spinor formalism,” JHEP **0611**, 079 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0607187].
- [50] N. Berkovits, “New higher-derivative R**4 theorems,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 211601 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0609006].

- [51] N. Berkovits and N. Nekrasov, “Multiloop superstring amplitudes from non-minimal pure spinor formalism,” JHEP **0612**, 029 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0609012].
- [52] N. Berkovits and O. Chandia, “Superstring vertex operators in an AdS(5) x S(5) background,” Nucl. Phys. B **596**, 185 (2001) [arXiv:hep-th/0009168].
- [53] N. Berkovits, “Quantum consistency of the superstring in AdS(5) x S(5) background,” JHEP **0503**, 041 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0411170].
- [54] N. Berkovits, “Quantization of the type II superstring in a curved six-dimensional background,” Nucl. Phys. B **565**, 333 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/9908041].
- [55] N. Berkovits, C. Vafa and E. Witten, “Conformal field theory of AdS background with Ramond-Ramond flux,” JHEP **9903**, 018 (1999) [arXiv:hep-th/9902098].
- [56] N. Berkovits, M. Bershadsky, T. Hauer, S. Zhukov and B. Zwiebach, “Superstring theory on AdS(2) x S(2) as a coset supermanifold,” Nucl. Phys. B **567**, 61 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/9907200].
- [57] N. Berkovits, “A New Limit of the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ Sigma Model,” JHEP **0708**, 011 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0703282].
- [58] N. Berkovits, “Perturbative Super-Yang-Mills from the Topological $AdS_5 \times S^5$ Sigma Model,” [arXiv:0806.1960 [hep-th]].
- [59] N. Berkovits and C. Vafa, “Towards a Worldsheet Derivation of the Maldacena Conjecture,” JHEP **0803**, 031 (2008) [arXiv:0711.1799 [hep-th]].
- [60] N. Berkovits and B. C. Vallilo, “One loop N-point superstring amplitudes with manifest $d = 4$ supersymmetry,” Nucl. Phys. B **624**, 45 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0110168].
- [61] H. Ooguri and C. Vafa, “The C-deformation of gluino and non-planar diagrams,” Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **7**, 53 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0302109].
- [62] N. Berkovits and N. Seiberg, “Superstrings in graviphoton background and $N = 1/2 + 3/2$ supersymmetry,” JHEP **0307**, 010 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0306226].

- [63] N. Seiberg, “Noncommutative superspace, $N = 1/2$ supersymmetry, field theory and string theory,” *JHEP* **0306**, 010 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0305248].
- [64] J. de Boer, P. A. Grassi and P. van Nieuwenhuizen, “Non-commutative superspace from string theory,” *Phys. Lett. B* **574**, 98 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0302078].
- [65] N. Berkovits, S. Gukov and B. C. Vallilo, “Superstrings in 2D backgrounds with R-R flux and new extremal black holes,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **614**, 195 (2001) [arXiv:hep-th/0107140].
- [66] W. D. . Linch and B. C. Vallilo, “Hybrid formalism, supersymmetry reduction, and Ramond-Ramond fluxes,” *JHEP* **01**, 099 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0607122].
- [67] W. D. . Linch, J. McOrist and B. C. Vallilo, “Type IIB Flux Vacua from the String Worldsheet,” [arXiv:0804.0613 [hep-th]].
- [68] J. Kappeli, S. Theisen and P. Vanhove, “Hybrid formalism and topological amplitudes,” [arXiv:hep-th/0607021].
- [69] N. Berkovits, “The Ten-dimensional Green-Schwarz superstring is a twisted Neveu-Schwarz-Ramond string,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **420**, 332 (1994) [arXiv:hep-th/9308129].
- [70] N. Berkovits and C. Vafa, “On the Uniqueness of string theory,” *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **9**, 653 (1994) [arXiv:hep-th/9310170].
- [71] N. Berkovits, “Covariant quantization of the Green-Schwarz superstring in a Calabi-Yau background,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **431**, 258 (1994) [arXiv:hep-th/9404162].
- [72] W. Siegel, “Classical Superstring Mechanics,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **263**, 93 (1986).
- [73] I. Antoniadis, E. Gava, K. S. Narain and T. R. Taylor, “Effective mu term in superstring theory,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **432** (1994) 187 [arXiv:hep-th/9405024].
- [74] I. Antoniadis, E. Gava, K. S. Narain and T. R. Taylor, “Topological Amplitudes in Heterotic Superstring Theory,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **476**, 133 (1996) [arXiv:hep-th/9604077].
- [75] I. Antoniadis, K. S. Narain and T. R. Taylor, “Open string topological amplitudes and gaugino masses,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **729**, 235 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0507244].

- [76] M. Bershadsky, S. Zhukov and A. Vaintrob, “ $PSL(n|n)$ sigma model as a conformal field theory,” Nucl. Phys. B **559**, 205 (1999) [arXiv:hep-th/9902180].
- [77] N. Berkovits, “ICTP lectures on covariant quantization of the superstring,” [arXiv:hep-th/0209059].
- [78] M. Dine, N. Seiberg, X. G. Wen and E. Witten, “Nonperturbative Effects on the String World Sheet,” Nucl. Phys. B **278**, 769 (1986).
- [79] M. Dine, N. Seiberg, X. G. Wen and E. Witten, “Nonperturbative Effects on the String World Sheet. 2,” Nucl. Phys. B **289**, 319 (1987).
- [80] E. Witten, “Topological Sigma Models,” Commun. Math. Phys. **118**, 411 (1988).