

# On a generalized quantum SWAP gate

BY COLIN M. WILMOTT

*Institut für Theoretische Physik III, Heinrich-Heine-Universität, Düsseldorf,  
Germany*

The SWAP gate plays a central role in network designs for qubit quantum computation. However, there is a view to generalize qubit quantum computing to higher dimensional quantum systems. In this paper we construct a generalized SWAP gate using only instances of the generalized controlled-NOT gate to cyclically permute the states of  $d$  qudits for  $d$  prime.

**Keywords:** quantum computation; quantum circuits; quantum gates; modular binomial coefficients

## 1. Introduction

Of central importance to the theory of quantum computation is the role assumed by multiple qubit gates in establishing a basis for quantum network design. Moreover, the multiple qubit component that best establishes itself as the hallmark of quantum network design is the controlled-NOT (CNOT) gate. The CNOT gate possesses a fundamental importance in the theory of quantum computation assuming key roles in quantum measurement and quantum error correction. Barenco *et al.* (1995) have shown that the CNOT gate is a principal component in universal quantum gate constructions. Furthermore, when we note that our ability to preserve quantum coherence rests with our ability to successfully implement quantum computations, the CNOT gate further distinguishes itself as the hallmark multiple qubit gate as it is one of the few quantum gates to have been experimentally realized within its coherence time (Vatan & Williams 2004).

A standard feature of quantum computation is to any express multiple qubit gate in terms of single qubit gates and the CNOT gate (Barenco *et al.* 1995). An example of this is provided by the well-known SWAP gate which describes the quantum operation that permutes the states of two qubits. The SWAP gate is seen as an important component in the network design of Shor's algorithm (Fowler *et al.* 2004), and Liang & Li (2005) maintain that successfully implementing the SWAP gate is a necessary condition for the networkability of quantum computation. Recently, it has been asserted that there exist advantages in generalizing quantum computation to higher dimension basis systems (Grassl *et al.* 2003). Therefore, considering new quantum network designs may help to reveal the promise of qudit quantum computing. Indeed, such new designs design may be merit in itself.

In this paper we concern ourselves with the design of a quantum circuit to realize a generalized SWAP gate that cyclically permutes  $d$  qudit subsystems for  $d$  prime. We restrict ourselves to using only instances of the generalized CNOT gate. Section 2 introduces preliminary material as motivation for the design of quantum circuits which exploit the generalized CNOT gate. Section 3 introduces the design method for a quantum circuit to realize a generalized SWAP of  $d$  qudits for  $d$  prime. The




Figure 1. The SWAP gate illustrating the permutation of two qubits through the use of three CNOT gates. The system begins in the state  $|\psi\rangle \otimes |\phi\rangle$  and ends in the state  $|\phi\rangle \otimes |\psi\rangle$ .

analysis makes great use of modular binomial relationships to achieve the desired result. Finally, §4 revises the design method of the previous section to achieve certain permutations of  $d$  qudits for  $d$  other than prime.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  denote the  $d$ -dimensional complex Hilbert space  $\mathbb{C}^d$ . We fix each orthonormal basis state of the  $d$ -dimensional space to correspond to an element of ring  $\mathbb{Z}_d$  of integers modulo  $d$ . The basis  $\{|0\rangle, |1\rangle, \dots, |d-1\rangle\} \subset \mathbb{C}^d$  whose elements correspond to the column vectors of the identity matrix  $\mathbb{I}_d$  is called the computational basis. A *qudit* is a  $d$ -dimensional quantum state  $|\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$  written as  $|\psi\rangle = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \alpha_i |i\rangle$  where  $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $\sum_{i=0}^{d-1} |\alpha_i|^2 = 1$ . For a pair of qudits  $|\psi\rangle, |\phi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$ , the generalized CNOT gate is a two-qudit quantum gate that acts on the state  $|\psi\rangle \otimes |\phi\rangle \in \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}$ . The generalized CNOT gate has control qudit  $|\psi\rangle$  and target qudit  $|\phi\rangle$  and its action on the basis states  $|m\rangle \otimes |n\rangle \in \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}$  is given by

$$\text{CNOT } |m\rangle \otimes |n\rangle = |m\rangle \otimes |n \oplus m\rangle, \quad m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_d, \quad (2.1)$$

with  $\oplus$  denoting addition modulo  $d$ . Figure 1 illustrates the role played by the CNOT gate in describing the well-known SWAP gate for qubits.

We now introduce a generalized SWAP gate that cyclically permutes the states of  $d$  qudit subsystems for  $d$  prime. The construction process is restricted to using only instances of the generalized CNOT gate.

## 3. A generalized SWAP gate

We construct a generalized quantum SWAP gate that cyclically permutes the states of  $d$  qudit subsystems. Figure 2 illustrates a generalized quantum SWAP gate of  $d$  qudit subsystems. The design method is restricted to using only generalized CNOT gates. We suppose that the first quantum system  $\mathcal{A}_0$  prepared in the state  $|e_0\rangle_0$ , the second system  $\mathcal{A}_1$  prepared in the state  $|e_1\rangle_1$  and so forth, with the final system  $\mathcal{A}_{d-1}$  prepared in the state  $|e_{d-1}\rangle_{d-1}$ . The process describes a quantum network that uses only generalized CNOT gates to realize a generalized SWAP of  $d$  qudits for  $d$  prime with the result that the system  $\mathcal{A}_0$  is in the state  $|e_1\rangle_0$ , the system  $\mathcal{A}_1$  is in the state  $|e_2\rangle_1$  and so forth, until the system  $\mathcal{A}_{d-1}$  is in the state  $|e_0\rangle_{d-1}$ . We make use of the following result.

**Lemma 3.1.** (Rosen & Michaels 2000)  $\sum_{n=0}^k \binom{l+n}{n} = \binom{l+k+1}{k}$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $d = p$  be a prime. We provide an algorithm for the construction of a generalized SWAP gate using only instances of the generalized CNOT gate. The generalized SWAP gate has




Figure 2. A generalized SWAP gate composed entirely in terms of the generalized CNOT gate that cyclically permutes the states of  $d$  qudit subsystems.

*Input:*  $|e_k\rangle_k$ ;  $k = 0, \dots, d-1$   
*Output:*  $|e_{k+1}\rangle_k$ ;  $k = 0, \dots, d-2$ ,  $|e_0\rangle_{d-1}$ .

The generalized SWAP gate algorithm is described as follows:

*Input:*  $e_k := i_k^0$ ;  $k = 0, \dots, d-1$   
*Output:*  $i_k^{d+2} = e_{k+1}$ ;  $k = 0, \dots, d-1$ ,  $i_{d-1}^{d+2} = e_0$ .

**Stage 1** *Initialization*  $j = 0$ .

$$e_k := i_k^0$$

for  $k = 0, \dots, d-1$ . The algorithm initiates at stage 1, step  $j = 0$  by making the correspondence between a representative input element  $i_k^0$  of the algorithm and each standard basis state  $e_k$ .

**Stage 2**  $j = 1, \dots, d-1$ .

$$\begin{aligned} i_0^j &= i_0^{j-1} \\ i_k^j &= i_{k-1}^j + i_k^{j-1}; \quad k = 1, \dots, d-1. \end{aligned}$$

Stage 2 consists of  $d-1$  steps which repeat the sequence of gates of step  $j = 1$ . The sequence of gates at step  $j = 1$ , see figure 3, is targeted on systems  $\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_{d-1}$ . Each step of figure 3 is a composition of generalized CNOT gates acting on consecutive pairs of systems and is written as a shorthand form to represent a sequence of generalized CNOT gates as illustrated in figure 4. The algorithm process of step  $j = 1$  transforms the input sequence  $i_0^0, i_1^0, i_2^0, \dots, i_{d-1}^0$  to the state given by  $i_0^0, \sum_{k=0}^1 i_k^0, \sum_{k=0}^2 i_k^0, \dots, \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} i_k^0$ . Similarly, the algorithm at step  $j = 2$  takes the output from step  $j = 1$  as input and repeats the sequence of gates. The resulting state of the circuit at step  $j = 2$  is given by  $i_0^0, i_0^0 + \sum_{k=0}^1 i_k^0, i_0^0 + \sum_{k=0}^1 i_k^0 + \sum_{k=0}^2 i_k^0, \dots, i_0^0 + \sum_{k=0}^1 i_k^0 + \sum_{k=0}^2 i_k^0 + \dots + \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} i_k^0$ . This process continues to step  $j = d-1$ . Figure 5 illustrates initialization on the circuit and the subsequent  $d-1$  steps of stage 2.

**Stage 3**  $j = d$ .

$$\begin{aligned} i_0^d &= i_0^{d-1} \\ i_1^d &= i_1^{d-1} \\ i_k^d &= i_{k-2}^d + i_k^{d-1}; \quad k = 2, \dots, d-1. \end{aligned}$$

The sequence of values  $i_0^{d-1}, i_1^{d-1}, \dots, i_{d-1}^{d-1}$  corresponding to the final step of stage 2 are carried forward as an input sequence for stage 3, step  $j = d$ . The algorithm step keeps the values  $i_0^{d-1}, i_1^{d-1}$  and returns them as outcomes  $i_0^d, i_1^d$  for step  $j = d$ . The remaining systems are then targeted in an iterative process. For instance, the outcome  $i_2^d$  for step  $j = d$  is given as  $i_0^d + i_2^{d-1}$ . This value is then stored as the result  $i_2^d$  for  $e_2$  at stage 3. The outcome state





 Figure 3. Generalized SWAP gate; stage 2, steps  $j = 1, 2$ .


Figure 4. Generalized SWAP gate; stage 2. Algorithm description of operation step on successive states.




 Figure 5. Generalized SWAP gate; stage 2, steps  $j = 1, \dots, d - 1$ .

for  $\mathcal{A}_0, \mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2$  at stage 3 have thus been determined. To evaluate the result value for  $\mathcal{A}_3$ , the algorithm computes  $i_1^d + i_3^{d-1}$  and stores this value as the outcome  $i_3^d$  for stage 3. Figure 6 illustrates the process that determines the current state of the algorithm following stage 3, step  $j = d$  in diagrammatic shorthand form for the sequence of generalized CNOT gates.

**Stage 4**  $j = d + 1$ .

$$\begin{aligned} i_k^{d+1} &= i_k^d + i_{k+1}^d \\ i_{d-1}^{d+1} &= i_{d-1}^d; \quad k = 0, \dots, d - 2. \end{aligned}$$

Stage 4 consists of a single step,  $j = d + 1$ , whose primary algorithm operation acts as a generalized CNOT gate on the  $d - 1$  consecutive pairs of systems  $(\mathcal{A}_k, \mathcal{A}_{k+1})$  for  $k = 0, \dots, d - 2$ , computing  $(i_k^d + i_{k+1}^d)$  and storing these values as the outcome  $i_k^{d+1}$ . The value  $i_{d-1}^d$  is returned as the outcome  $i_{d-1}^{d+1}$ .

Figure 6. Generalized SWAP gate; stage 3, step  $j = d$ .Figure 7. Generalized SWAP gate; stage 4, step  $j = d + 1$ .

**Stage 5**  $j = d + 2$ .

$$i_k^{d+2} = i_k^{d+1}$$

$$i_{d-1}^{d+2} = i_{d-1}^{d+1} + \sum_{k=0}^{d-2} \eta_k i_k^{d+2}; \quad k = 0, \dots, d-2$$


with

$$\sum_{k=0}^{d-2} \eta_k i_k^{d+2} := \sum_{t=0}^{\lfloor \frac{d-2}{2} \rfloor} (d-1) i_{2t+1}^{d+2} + \sum_{t=0}^{\frac{d-3}{2}} i_{2t}^{d+2}. \quad (3.1)$$

Stage 5 concludes the algorithm with a set of gates targeted on system  $\mathcal{A}_{d-1}$  whose current state is represented by  $i_{d-1}^{d+1}$ . The values  $i_0^{d+2}, i_1^{d+2}, \dots, i_{d-2}^{d+2}$  for the respective systems  $\mathcal{A}_0, \mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_{d-2}$  are unchanged from their representative values  $i_0^{d+1}, i_1^{d+1}, \dots, i_{d-2}^{d+1}$  at step  $j = d + 1$ , and are returned as outcomes in the final state for step  $j = d + 2$ . The final state of  $\mathcal{A}_{d-1}$  is given by  $i_{d-1}^{d+2} = i_{d-1}^{d+1} + \sum_{k=0}^{d-2} \eta_k i_k^{d+2} = i_{d-1}^{d+1} + i_0^{d+1} + (d-1)i_1^{d+1} + i_2^{d+1} + (d-1)i_3^{d+1} + \dots + i_{d-3}^{d+1} + (d-1)i_{d-2}^{d+1}$ . Thus, for odd valued  $k$  there is a gate with  $i_k^{d+2}$  as control, and, for even valued  $k$  there are  $d-1$  gates with  $i_k^{d+2}$  as control. This is represented in figure 8.

*Proof.* We show that the generalized SWAP gate algorithm outputs  $i_k^{d+2} = e_{k+1}$  for  $k = 0, \dots, d+2$  and  $i_{d-1}^{d+2} = e_0$ . At step  $j = 0$ , we have it that,

$$e_k := i_k^0; \quad k = 0, \dots, d-1. \quad (3.2)$$


 Figure 8. Generalized SWAP gate; stage 5, step  $j = d + 2$ .

At stage 2, step  $j = 1$  the algorithm sets  $i_0^1 = i_0^0$  and computes  $i_1^1$  as  $i_1^1 = i_0^1 + i_1^0$ . Similarly,  $i_2^1 = i_1^1 + i_2^0 = i_0^0 + i_1^0 + i_2^0 = \sum_{m=0}^2 i_m^0$ . Therefore, for  $k = 1, \dots, d - 1$ , we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
 i_k^1 &= i_{k-1}^1 + i_k^0 \\
 &= i_{k-2}^1 + i_{k-1}^0 + i_k^0 \\
 &= i_{k-3}^1 + i_{k-2}^0 + i_{k-1}^0 + i_k^0 \\
 &= \dots \\
 &= i_0^1 + i_1^0 + i_2^0 + \dots + i_{k-3}^0 + i_{k-2}^0 + i_{k-1}^0 + i_k^0 \\
 &= \sum_{m=0}^k i_m^0.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Next, stage 2 step  $j = 2$ , repeats the set of gates of step 1. By definition  $i_0^2 = i_0^1 = i_0^0$ . The case for  $k = 1, \dots, d - 1$  follows from the algorithm step,

$$\begin{aligned}
 i_k^2 &= i_{k-1}^2 + i_k^1 \\
 &= i_{k-2}^2 + i_{k-1}^1 + i_k^1 \\
 &= \dots \\
 &= i_0^2 + i_1^1 + i_2^1 + \dots + i_{k-2}^1 + i_{k-1}^1 + i_k^1 \\
 &= i_0^0 + \sum_{m=0}^1 i_m^0 + \sum_{m=0}^2 i_m^0 + \dots + \sum_{m=0}^k i_m^0 \\
 &= \sum_{l=0}^k \sum_{m=0}^l i_m^0 \\
 &= \sum_{m=0}^k \sum_{l=m}^k i_m^0 \\
 &= \sum_{m=0}^k \sum_{l=0}^{k-m} i_m^0 \\
 &= \sum_{m=0}^k \binom{k-m+1}{1} i_m^0.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

For steps  $j = 1, \dots, d-1$ , we show by induction that  $i_k^j = \sum_{m=0}^k \binom{k-m+j-1}{j-1} i_m^0$ ,  $k = 0, \dots, d-1$ . We have shown that this is true for  $j = 1$ . Let  $1 \leq j < d-1$  and suppose that

$$i_k^j = \sum_{m=0}^k \binom{k-m+j-1}{j-1} i_m^0, \quad (3.5)$$

$k = 0, \dots, d-1$ . Now,  $i_0^{j+1} = i_0^j = i_0^0$ . For  $1 \leq k \leq d-1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} i_k^{j+1} &= i_k^j + i_{k-1}^{j+1} \\ &= i_k^j + i_{k-1}^j + i_{k-2}^{j+1} \\ &= \dots \\ &= i_k^j + i_{k-1}^j + \dots + i_2^j + i_1^j + i_0^{j+1} \\ &= i_k^j + i_{k-1}^j + \dots + i_2^j + i_1^j + i_0^j. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Since  $i_0^{j+1} = i_0^j$  follows from the algorithm step, we have it that  $i_k^{j+1} = \sum_{m=0}^k i_m^j$ . Hence, by the induction process,

$$\begin{aligned} i_k^{j+1} = \sum_{m=0}^k i_m^j &= i_0^0 + \sum_{l=0}^1 \binom{1-l+j-1}{j-1} i_l^0 + \sum_{l=0}^2 \binom{2-l+j-1}{j-1} i_l^0 + \dots \\ &\quad + \sum_{l=0}^k \binom{k-l+j-1}{j-1} i_l^0 \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^k \sum_{l=0}^m \binom{m-l+j-1}{j-1} i_l^0 \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^k \sum_{l=0}^k \binom{m-l+j-1}{j-1} i_l^0 \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^k \sum_{m=l}^k \binom{m-l+j-1}{j-1} i_l^0 \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^k \binom{k-l+j}{j} i_l^0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Therefore, the induction step is true for  $j+1$ ,

$$i_k^{j+1} = \sum_{m=0}^k \binom{k-m+j}{j} i_m^0, \quad (3.8)$$

and the result for stage 2 follows.

The algorithm at stage 3, step  $j = d$  yields that

$$\begin{aligned} i_0^d &= i_0^{d-1} = i_0^0 \\ i_1^d &= i_1^{d-1} = \sum_{m=0}^1 \binom{1-m+d-2}{d-2} i_m^0 = (d-1)i_0^0 + i_1^0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

Implementing the algorithm step  $i_k^d = i_{k-2}^d + i_k^{d-1}$  for  $k = 2, \dots, d-1$ , we have it that

$$\begin{aligned} i_2^d &= i_0^d + i_2^{d-1} = i_0^0 + \sum_{m=0}^2 \binom{2-m+d-2}{d-2} i_m^0 \\ i_3^d &= i_1^d + i_2^{d-1} = \sum_{m=0}^1 \binom{1-m+d-2}{d-2} i_m^0 + \sum_{m=0}^3 \binom{3-m+d-2}{d-2} i_m^0 \\ i_4^d &= i_2^d + i_4^{d-1} = i_0^0 + \sum_{m=0}^2 \binom{2-m+d-2}{d-2} i_m^0 + \sum_{m=0}^4 \binom{4-m+d-2}{d-2} i_m^0 \\ &\dots \end{aligned}$$

In particular, for odd valued  $k$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} i_k^d &= \sum_{t=0}^{\frac{k-1}{2}} \sum_{m=0}^{2t+1} \binom{2t+1-m+d-2}{d-2} i_m^0 \\ &= \sum_{t=0}^{\frac{k-1}{2}} \sum_{m=2t}^{2t+1} \binom{2t+1-m+d-2}{d-2} i_m^0 \quad (\text{as } d \text{ is prime}) \\ &= \sum_{t=0}^{\frac{k-1}{2}} (d-1) i_{2t}^0 + i_{2t+1}^0, \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

and, similarly, for even valued  $k$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} i_k^d &= \sum_{t=0}^{\frac{k}{2}} \sum_{m=0}^{2t} \binom{2t-m+d-2}{d-2} i_m^0 \\ &= \sum_{t=0}^{\frac{k}{2}} \sum_{m=2t}^{2t} \binom{2t-m+d-2}{d-2} i_m^0 \quad (\text{as } d \text{ is prime}) \\ &= \sum_{t=0}^{\frac{k}{2}} (d-1) i_{2t-1}^0 + i_{2t}^0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

The next stage, stage 4, step  $j = d+1$ , of the algorithm is given by  $i_k^{d+1} = i_k^d + i_{k+1}^d$  for  $k = 0, \dots, d-2$ . Let us consider the value  $i_k^{d+1}$ . There are two cases to note. For even valued  $k$ , we have it that

$$\begin{aligned} i_k^d &= i_{k-2}^d + i_k^{d-1} \\ &= i_{k-4}^d + i_{k-2}^{d-1} + i_k^{d-1} \\ &= \dots \\ &= \sum_{t=0}^{\frac{k}{2}} i_{2t}^{d-1} \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

while

$$i_{k+1}^d = i_{k-1}^d + i_{k+1}^{d-1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= i_{k-3}^d + i_{k-1}^{d-1} + i_{k+1}^{d-1} \\
&= \dots \\
&= \sum_{t=0}^{\lfloor \frac{k+1}{2} \rfloor} i_{2t+1}^{d-1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

Therefore,  $i_k^{d+1} = \sum_{t=0}^{k+1} i_t^{d-1}$  for even valued  $k$ . Correspondingly, for odd valued  $k$ ,  $i_k^d = \sum_{t=0}^{\frac{k-1}{2}} i_{2t+1}^{d-1}$  while  $i_{k+1}^d = \sum_{t=0}^{\frac{k+1}{2}} i_{2t}^{d-1}$  and thus  $i_k^{d+1} = \sum_{t=0}^{k+1} i_t^{d-1}$ . Hence, we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
i_k^{d+1} &= \sum_{t=0}^{k+1} i_t^{d-1} \\
&= \sum_{l=0}^{k+1} \sum_{m=0}^t \binom{t-m+d-2}{d-2} i_m^0 \\
&= \sum_{m=0}^{k+1} \sum_{l=m}^{k+1} \binom{l-m+d-2}{d-2} i_m^0 \\
&= \sum_{m=0}^{k+1} \binom{k-m+d}{d-1} i_m^0 \\
&= i_{k+1}^0 \pmod{d}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

For prime dimensions,  $d = p$ , recall that under arithmetic modulo  $d$ , the coefficients  $\binom{k-m+d}{d-1}$  vanish for  $m \neq k+1$ . Therefore, we deduce that  $i_k^{d+1} = i_{k+1}^0$  for  $k = 0, \dots, d-2$ . When  $k = d-1$ , we have

$$i_{d-1}^{d+1} = i_{d-1}^d = \sum_{t=0}^{\frac{d-1}{2}} \sum_{m=0}^{2t} \binom{2t-m+d-2}{d-2} i_m^0. \tag{3.15}$$

The generalized SWAP gate algorithm concludes following stage 5, step  $j = d+2$  with the implementation of a sequence of gates targeted on  $i_{d-1}^{d+1}$ . For  $k = 0, \dots, d-2$ , we have the result

$$i_k^{d+2} = i_k^{d+1} = i_{k+1}^0 \pmod{d}. \tag{3.16}$$

For  $k = d-1$ , the value  $i_{d-1}^{d+2}$  is given as

$$\begin{aligned}
i_{d-1}^{d+2} &= i_{d-1}^{d+1} + \sum_{k=0}^{d-2} \eta_k i_k^{d+2} \\
&= \sum_{t=0}^{\frac{d-1}{2}} \sum_{m=0}^{2t} \binom{2t-m+d-2}{d-2} i_m^0 + \sum_{k=0}^{d-2} \eta_k i_k^{d+2}.
\end{aligned}$$

To see that this yields the desired result (i.e.,  $i_{d-1}^{d+2} \pmod{d} = i_0^0$ ), we consider the value  $i_{d-1}^{d+1} \pmod{d}$ .

**Lemma 3.3.**  $i_{d-1}^{d+1} = \sum_{t=0}^{\frac{d-1}{2}} i_{2t}^0 + \sum_{t=0}^{\frac{d-1}{2}-1} (d-1) i_{2t+1}^0 \pmod{d}$ .

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} i_{d-1}^{d+1} &= \sum_{t=0}^{\frac{d-1}{2}} \sum_{m=0}^{2t} \binom{2t-m+d-2}{d-2} i_m^0 \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{d-1} \sum_{t=\lceil \frac{m}{2} \rceil}^{\frac{d-1}{2}} \binom{2t-m+d-2}{d-2} i_m^0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

Since  $\binom{2t-m+d-2}{d-2} = 0 \pmod{d}$  for  $t > \lceil \frac{m}{2} \rceil$  then

$$\begin{aligned} i_{d-1}^{d+1} &= \sum_{m=0}^{d-1} \binom{2\lceil \frac{m}{2} \rceil - m + d - 2}{d-2} i_m^0 \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^{\frac{d-1}{2}} i_{2l}^0 + \sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor \frac{d-2}{2} \rfloor} (d-1) i_{2l+1}^0 \pmod{d}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

Thus,  $i_{d-1}^{d+1} = \sum_{t=0}^{\frac{d-1}{2}} i_{2t}^0 + \sum_{t=0}^{\lfloor \frac{d-2}{2} \rfloor} (d-1) i_{2t+1}^0 \pmod{d}$ .  $\square$

Finally, by definition of stage 5, we have  $\sum_{k=0}^{d-2} \eta_k i_k^{d+2} = \sum_{t=0}^{\lfloor \frac{d-2}{2} \rfloor} (d-1) i_{2t+1}^{d+2} + \sum_{t=0}^{\frac{d-3}{2}} i_{2t}^{d+2}$ . The value of  $i_{d-1}^{d+2}$  is then given by

$$\begin{aligned} i_{d-1}^{d+2} &= i_{d-1}^{d+1} + \sum_{k=0}^{d-2} \eta_k i_k^{d+2} \\ &= \sum_{t=0}^{\lfloor \frac{d-1}{2} \rfloor} i_{2t}^0 + \sum_{t=0}^{\lfloor \frac{d-2}{2} \rfloor} (d-1) i_{2t+1}^0 + \sum_{t=0}^{\lfloor \frac{d-2}{2} \rfloor} i_{2t+1}^0 + \sum_{t=1}^{\lfloor \frac{d-1}{2} \rfloor} (d-1) i_{2t}^0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

Consequently, we have the desired result  $i_{d-1}^{d+2} \pmod{d} = i_0^{d+2} = i_0^0$ . This completes the proof of theorem 3.2 ensuring that the generalized SWAP gate algorithm cyclically permutes the input sequence  $i_k^0 = e_k, k = 0, \dots, d-1$  to the output sequence  $i_k^{d+2} = e_{k+1}, k = 0, \dots, d-2$  with  $i_{d-1}^{d+2} = e_0$ .  $\square$

We now show that if the generalized SWAP gate network swaps an input basis state then the generalized SWAP gate will swap all possible  $d^d$  sequences of input states.

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}_0, \dots, \mathcal{A}_{d-1}$  be  $d$ -dimensional systems with bases  $|e_0\rangle_j, |e_1\rangle_j, \dots, |e_{d-1}\rangle_j, j = 0, \dots, d-1$ , where  $e_0, \dots, e_{d-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_d$ . Let  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_0 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{A}_{d-1}$ . If a network implements a generalized SWAP on each basis state  $|a_0 a_1 \dots a_{d-1}\rangle = |a_0\rangle_0 \otimes |a_1\rangle_1 \otimes \dots \otimes |a_{d-1}\rangle_{d-1}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  where  $a_0, \dots, a_{d-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_d$  then the network implements a generalized SWAP on any input state  $|\psi\rangle = |\psi_0\rangle_0 \otimes |\psi_1\rangle_1 \otimes \dots \otimes |\psi_{d-1}\rangle_{d-1}$ .*




Figure 9. A SWAP gate for qutrits. The circuit design describes the cyclical permutation of three qutrit states.

*Proof.* Let  $|\psi_j\rangle_j = \sum_{k_j=0}^{d-1} \alpha_{jk_j} |e_{k_j}\rangle_j$ ,  $j = 0, \dots, d-1$ . Then

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{k_0=0}^{d-1} \cdots \sum_{k_{d-1}=0}^{d-1} \alpha_{0k_0} \cdots \alpha_{(d-1)k_{d-1}} |k_0 \dots k_{d-1}\rangle. \quad (3.20)$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{SWAP } |\psi\rangle &= \sum_{k_0=0}^{d-1} \cdots \sum_{k_{d-1}=0}^{d-1} \alpha_{0k_0} \cdots \alpha_{(d-1)k_{d-1}} \text{SWAP } |k_0 \dots k_{d-1}\rangle \\ &= \sum_{k_0=0}^{d-1} \cdots \sum_{k_{d-1}=0}^{d-1} \alpha_{0k_0} \cdots \alpha_{(d-1)k_{d-1}} |k_1 \dots k_{d-1} k_0\rangle \\ &= \sum_{k_1=0}^{d-1} \cdots \sum_{k_{d-1}=0}^{d-1} \sum_{k_0=0}^{d-1} \alpha_{1k_1} \cdots \alpha_{(d-1)k_{d-1}} \alpha_{0k_0} |k_1 \dots k_{d-1} k_0\rangle \\ &= |\psi_1\rangle_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes |\psi_{d-1}\rangle_{d-2} \otimes |\psi_0\rangle_{d-1} \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

as required.  $\square$

As an example figure 9 provides the circuit design of a generalized SWAP restricted to qutrits. The quantum circuit presented comprises of ten two-qutrit CNOT gates and represents a concise summary of the main design features of the generalized SWAP gate algorithm.

#### 4. On a generalized SWAP gate for $d$ other than prime

In this section we consider the question of revising the algorithm construction of §3 to induce a set of cyclic permutations of  $d$  qudits for  $d$  other than prime. However, we show that such a revision is not possible as it induces an unavoidable sign change in one subsystem. This question was motivated by the case  $d = 4$  wherein we consider if it was possible to cyclically permute the states of four 4-dimensional subsystem using only instances of the CNOT gate.

Let us consider a revised quantum circuit algorithm possessing a stage 1 and stage 2 identical to the generalized swap algorithm of §3. By equation (3.5) (i.e., stage 2 of generalized SWAP algorithm with step  $j = d-1$ ), the state of the algorithm is  $i_0^{d-1} = i_0^0$ , and  $i_k^{d-1} = \sum_{m=0}^k \binom{k-m+d-2}{d-2} i_m^0$  for  $k = 1, \dots, d-1$ . Next,

we seek the particular algorithm state output

$$\begin{pmatrix} i_0^0 \\ (d-1)i_0^0 + i_1^0 \\ i_0^0 + (d-1)i_1^0 + i_2^0 \\ \vdots \\ (d-1)i_0^0 + i_1^0 + (d-1)i_2^0 + \cdots + i_{d-1}^0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (4.1)$$

on systems  $\mathcal{A}_0, \dots, \mathcal{A}_{d-1}$ , respectively. Outcome (4.1) is generated by the algorithm process of §3 for  $d$  prime. However, achieving outcome (4.1) for  $d$  other than prime requires that stage 3, step  $j = d$  of §3 be revised. By revising stage 3, step  $j = d$  and taking  $i_k^{d-1}$  with the following linear combination

$$\sum_{s=0}^{k-2} a_s i_{k-2-s}^{d-1} = \sum_{s=0}^{k-2} \left( a_s \sum_{m=0}^{(k-2)-s} \binom{(k-2)-s-m+d-2}{d-2} i_m^0 \right), \quad (4.2)$$

where

$$a_s = d - \left[ \binom{s+2+d-2}{d-2} + \sum_{t=0}^{s-1} a_t \binom{s-t+d-2}{d-2} \right] + (-1)^s, \quad (4.3)$$

we then obtain outcome (4.1).

**Theorem 4.1.** *For  $d$  other than prime, the algorithm process at stage 3, step  $j = d$  given by*

$$\begin{aligned} i_k^d &= i_k^{d-1} + \sum_{s=0}^{k-2} a_s i_{k-2-s}^{d-1} \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^k \binom{k-m+d-2}{d-2} i_m^0 + \sum_{s=0}^{k-2} \left( a_s \sum_{m=0}^{(k-2)-s} \binom{(k-2)-s-m+d-2}{d-2} i_m^0 \right), \end{aligned}$$

for  $k = 0, \dots, d-1$ , returns outcome (4.1).

*Proof.* For  $k = 0, 1$ , we have it that  $i_0^d = i_0^{d-1}$  and  $i_1^d = i_1^{d-1}$ . Thus, the states  $e_0$  and  $e_1$  are given as  $i_0^0$  and  $(d-1)i_0^0 + i_1^0$  respectively. The state  $e_2$  is written as

$$\begin{aligned} i_2^d &= \sum_{m=0}^2 \binom{2-m+d-2}{d-2} i_m^0 + a_0 i_0^0 \\ &= \left( \binom{d}{d-2} + \left( d - \binom{d}{d-2} + 1 \right) \right) i_0^0 + (d-1)i_1^0 + i_2^0 \\ &= i_0^0 + (d-1)i_1^0 + i_2^0 \pmod{d}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

We show by induction that, for  $k = 0 \pmod{2}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} i_k^d &= \sum_{m=0}^k \binom{k-m+d-2}{d-2} i_m^0 + \sum_{s=0}^{k-2} \left( a_s \sum_{m=0}^{(k-2)-s} \binom{(k-2)-s-m+d-2}{d-2} i_m^0 \right) \\ &= i_0^0 + (d-1)i_1^0 + i_2^0 + \cdots + (d-1)i_{k-1}^0 + i_k^0 \pmod{d} \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$




Figure 10. Stage 3, step  $j = d$ . Circuit description representing the modified stage of the generalized SWAP gate for  $d$  other than prime.

and for  $k \neq 0 \pmod{2}$ ,

$$i_k^d = (d-1)i_0^0 + i_1^0 + (d-1)i_2^0 + \cdots + (d-1)i_{k-1}^0 + i_k^0 \pmod{d}. \quad (4.6)$$

We have shown that this is true for  $k = 0, 1, 2$ . Suppose  $0 \leq k \leq d-2$  and further suppose that

$$\begin{aligned} i_k^d &= \sum_{m=0}^k \binom{k-m+d-2}{d-2} i_m^0 + \sum_{s=0}^{k-2} \left( a_s \sum_{m=0}^{(k-2)-s} \binom{(k-2)-s-m+d-2}{d-2} i_m^0 \right) \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^k (-1)^{k-m} i_m^0 \\ &= i_0^0 + (d-1)i_1^0 + i_2^0 + \cdots + (d-1)i_{k-1}^0 + i_k^0 \pmod{d} \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

for  $k = 0 \pmod{2}$ , and

$$i_k^d = (d-1)i_0^0 + i_1^0 + (d-1)i_2^0 + \cdots + (d-1)i_{k-1}^0 + i_k^0 \pmod{d} \quad (4.8)$$

for  $k \neq 0 \pmod{2}$ . Therefore, for  $j = d$ , we have,

$$\begin{aligned} i_{k+1}^d &= \sum_{m=0}^{k+1} \binom{k+1-m+d-2}{d-2} i_m^0 + \sum_{s=0}^{k-1} \left( a_s \sum_{m=0}^{(k-1)-s} \binom{(k-1)-s-m+d-2}{d-2} i_m^0 \right) \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^k \left( \binom{k-m+d-2}{d-2} i_{m+1}^0 + \binom{k+1+d-2}{d-2} i_0^0 \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{s=0}^{k-1} a_s \left( \left( \sum_{m=0}^{(k-2)-s} \binom{(k-2)-s-m+d-2}{d-2} i_{m+1}^0 \right) + \binom{(k-1)-s+d-2}{d-2} i_0^0 \right) \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^k (-1)^{k-m} i_{m+1}^0 + \left( \binom{k+1+d-2}{d-2} + \sum_{s=0}^{k-1} a_s \binom{k-1-s+d-2}{d-2} \right) i_0^0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

Recall that the binomial coefficients of  $i_k^{d-1} = \sum_{m=0}^k \binom{k-m+d-2}{d-2} i_m^0$  are precisely those coefficients of  $i_{k+1}^{d-1} = \sum_{m=0}^{k+1} \binom{k+1-m+d-2}{d-2} i_m^0$  for  $m = 1, \dots, k+1$ . Hence, the particular combination of systems  $\mathcal{A}_{(k-2)-s}$  that return the state  $i_k^d = i_0^0 + (d-1)i_1^0 + i_2^0 + \dots + (d-1)i_{k-1}^0 + i_k^0 \pmod{d}$  is the combination that yields the a similar sequence on  $i_{k+1}^d$  for  $m = 1, \dots, k+1$ . With  $k = 0 \pmod{2}$ , then for  $i_{k+1}^d$  we require that the scalar value for  $i_0^0$  degenerates to  $d-1 \pmod{d}$ . Thus, for  $m = 0$  and by definition of  $a_s$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left( \binom{(k+1)+d-2}{d-2} + \sum_{s=0}^{k-1} a_s \binom{(k-1)-s+d-2}{d-2} \right) i_0^0 \\
&= \left( \binom{(k+1)+d-2}{d-2} + \sum_{s=0}^{k-2} \left( a_s \binom{(k-1)-s+d-2}{d-2} \right) + a_{k-1} \right) i_0^0 \\
&= \left( \binom{(k+1)+d-2}{d-2} + \sum_{s=0}^{k-2} a_s \binom{(k-1)-s+d-2}{d-2} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left( d - \left[ \binom{(k+1)+d-2}{d-2} + \sum_{s=0}^{k-2} a_s \binom{(k-1)-s+d-2}{d-2} \right] + (-1)^{k+1} \right) \right) i_0^0 \\
&= (-1)^{k+1} i_0^0 \pmod{d}. \tag{4.10}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $i_{k+1}^d = \sum_{m=0}^{k+1} (-1)^{k+1-m} i_m^0 \pmod{d}$ , and the result follows.  $\square$

We continue with stage 4 of the generalized SWAP gate algorithm of §3;

$$i_k^{d+1} = i_k^d + i_{k+1}^d \tag{4.11}$$

for  $k = 0, \dots, d-2$  and

$$i_{d-1}^{d+1} = i_{d-1}^d + \sum_{m=0}^{d-2} (-1)^{d-1-s} i_m^0. \tag{4.12}$$



Finally, revising stage 5 of §3 so that  $\sum_{k=1}^{d-1} \eta_k^* i_k^{d+2} = \sum_{t=0}^{\lfloor \frac{d-1}{2} \rfloor} (d-1) i_{2t+1}^0 + \sum_{t=0}^{\frac{d-2}{2}} i_{2t}^0$ , we obtain the state

$$(i_1^0, i_2^0, i_3^0, \dots, i_{d-1}^0, (d-1)i_0^0). \tag{4.13}$$

Unfortunately, we have not achieved a generalized SWAP for  $d$  other than prime as the revised algorithm has produced a state that includes a sign change (i.e.,  $-1 \pmod{d}$ ) in subsystem  $\mathcal{A}_{d-1}$ . The following argument shows that a different algorithm would be required. On obtaining the outcome (4.13), for  $d$  other than prime, no sequence of generalized CNOT gates will return the desired cyclic permutation. To show this claim, consider the more general case of outcome (4.13) given by the revised algorithm;

$$(\xi i_1^0, \xi i_2^0, \xi i_3^0, \dots, \xi i_{d-1}^0, (d-\xi) i_0^0). \tag{4.14}$$

Consider the pairs  $(\xi i_k^0, \xi i_{k+1}^0)$  for  $k \in \{1, \dots, d-3\}$  together with the final pair  $(\xi i_{d-1}^0, (d-\xi) i_0^0)$ . Given  $(\xi i_k^0, \xi i_{k+1}^0)$  for  $k \in \{1, \dots, d-3\}$ , and a CNOT mapping

Figure 11.  $P_\xi - 1$  generalized CNOT gates on pairs  $(e_k, e_{k+1})$ ,  $k \in \{0, \dots, d-3\}$ Figure 12.  $d - \xi$  generalized CNOT gates on pairs  $(e_k, e_{k+1})$ ,  $k \in \{0, \dots, d-3\}$ .

that targets  $e_{k+1}$ , we have it that  $(\xi i_k^0, \xi i_{k+1}^0) \mapsto (\xi i_k^0, \xi i_k^0 + \xi i_{k+1}^0)$ . Denote by  $P_\xi$  the inverse of  $\xi \pmod{d}$ , whence,  $P_\xi \xi = 1 \pmod{d}$ . Applying  $P_\xi - 1$  gates, see figure 11, to target  $\xi i_k^0$  in each pair yields

$$\begin{aligned} (\xi i_k^0, \xi i_k^0 + \xi i_{k+1}^0) &\mapsto (P_\xi \xi i_k^0 + (P_\xi - 1) \xi i_{k+1}^0, \xi i_k^0 + \xi i_{k+1}^0) \\ &= (i_k^0 + (1 - \xi) i_{k+1}^0, \xi i_k^0 + \xi i_{k+1}^0). \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

In eliminating  $\xi i_k^0$  in equation (4.15), we apply  $d - \xi$  gates that target  $\xi i_k^0 + \xi i_{k+1}^0$ ;

$$\begin{aligned} (i_k^0 + (1 - \xi) i_{k+1}^0, \xi i_k^0 + \xi i_{k+1}^0) &\mapsto (i_k^0 + (1 - \xi) i_{k+1}^0, \xi i_k^0 + \xi i_{k+1}^0 + (d - \xi)(i_k^0 + \\ &\quad (1 - \xi) i_{k+1}^0) \\ &= (i_k^0 + (1 - \xi) i_{k+1}^0, \xi i_{k+1}^0 + (d - \xi)(1 - \xi) i_{k+1}^0) \\ &= (i_k^0 + (1 - \xi) i_{k+1}^0, \xi i_{k+1}^0 + (-\xi + \xi^2) i_{k+1}^0) \\ &= (i_k^0 + (1 - \xi) i_{k+1}^0, \xi^2 i_{k+1}^0). \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

Similarly, applying the set gates as outlined in figures 11 and 12 to the pair  $(\xi i_{d-1}^0, (d - \xi) i_0^0)$ , we obtain  $(i_{d-1}^0 + (\xi - 1) i_0^0, -\xi^2 i_0^0)$ . Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\xi i_1^0, \xi i_2^0, \dots, \xi i_{d-3}^0, \xi i_{d-2}^0, \xi i_{d-1}^0, (d - \xi) i_0^0) &\mapsto \\ (i_1^0 + (1 - \xi) i_2^0, \xi^2 i_2^0, \dots, i_{d-3}^0 + (1 - \xi) i_{d-2}^0, \xi^2 i_{d-2}^0, i_{d-1}^0 + (\xi - 1) i_0^0, -\xi^2 i_0^0). \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

Since the scalar values  $\xi^2$  and  $-\xi^2$  can not be both 1  $\pmod{d}$ , it seems that any mapping will fail to return a state with scalars all equal to unity. This might suggest that a generalized SWAP gate composed entirely in terms of generalized CNOT gates may not be possible for  $d$  other than prime.

## 5. Conclusion

We discussed the construction of a generalized SWAP gate that cyclically permutes the states of  $d$  qudit subsystems for  $d$  prime. The design restricted itself to only using instances of the generalized CNOT gate, and the analysis made great use of modular binomial relationships. Lastly, we illustrated how the generalized SWAP

gate design may be revised to yield certain permutations of  $d$  qudits for  $d$  other than prime.

It is a pleasure to acknowledge assistance received from Prof. Peter Wild. The author would also like to thank Prof. Matthew G. Parker and Prof. Rüdiger Schack for helpful comments and suggestions. This work was completed while at the Dept. of Mathematics, Royal Holloway, University of London.

## References

- Barenco, A., Bennett, C. H., Cleve, R., DiVincenzo, D. P., Margolus, N., Shor, P., Sleator, T., Smolin, J. & Weinfurter, H. 1995 Elementary Gates for Quantum Computation. *Phys. Rev. A* **52**, 3457-3488.
- Fowler, A. G., Dervitt, S. J. & Hollenberg, L. C. L. 2004 Implementation of Shor's algorithm on a linear nearest neighbour qubit array. *Quant. Info. Comput.* **4**, 237-251.
- Grassl, M., Rötteler, M. & Beth. T. 2003 Efficient Quantum Circuits for Non-Qubit Quantum Error-Correcting Codes. *Int. J. Found. Comput. Sci.* **14**, 5 757-775
- Liang, L. & Li, C. 2005 Realization of quantum SWAP gate between flying and stationary qubits. *Phys. Rev. A* **72**, 024303.
- Rosen, K. H. & Michaels, J. G. 2000 *Handbook of Discrete and Combinatorial Mathematics*. CRC Press.
- Shor, P. W. 1997 Polynomial-Time Algorithms for Prime Factorization and Discrete Logarithms on a Quantum Computer. *SIAM J. Comput.* **26**, 1484-1509.
- Vatan, F. & Williams, C. 2004 Optimal quantum circuits for general two-qubit gates. *Phys. Rev. A* **69**, 032315.