

# The complete $AdS_4 \times CP^3$ superspace for the type IIA superstring and D-branes

---

Jaume Gomis\*, Dmitri Sorokin† and Linus Wulff†

\*Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics  
Waterloo, Ontario N2L 2Y5, Canada

†Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Padova,  
via F. Marzolo 8, 35131 Padova, Italia

ABSTRACT: We lift the bosonic  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$  solution of type IIA supergravity preserving 24 supersymmetries to a  $D = 10$  superspace which has 32 Grassmann-odd directions. The type IIA superspace is obtained from  $D = 11$  via dimensional reduction of the coset superspace  $OSp(8|4)/SO(7) \times SO(1,3)$  by realizing the latter as a Hopf fibration over the former. This construction generalizes to superspace the Hopf fibration of  $S^7$  as a  $U(1)$  bundle over  $CP^3$ , and is suitable for writing the explicit form of Green–Schwarz–type actions encoding the dynamics of the type IIA string and branes in the  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$  superbackground. We show that the  $OSp(6|4)/U(3) \times SO(1,3)$  supercoset string action describes only a subsector of the complete Green–Schwarz superstring. Thus, even though the superstring equations of motion in the  $OSp(6|4)/U(3) \times SO(1,3)$  subsector are classically integrable, the fact that the full  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$  superspace is not a supercoset requires the use of more general methods to determine whether the superstring in the complete  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$  superbackground is classically integrable.

---

\*jgomis@perimeterinstitute.ca

†dmitri.sorokin@pd.infn.it, linus.wulff@pd.infn.it

---

## Contents

<b>1. Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2. <math>AdS_4 \times CP^3</math> superspace with 32 Grassmann-odd directions</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>3. Actions for the type IIA superstring and D-branes in the complete <math>AdS_4 \times CP^3</math> superspace</b>	<b>8</b>
3.1 Type IIA Green-Schwarz superstring	8
3.1.1 Classical Integrability of Green-Schwarz Action in $AdS_4 \times CP^3$ superspace	10
3.2 Type IIA D-branes	12
<b>4. Coset space realization of <math>S^7</math> as a fibration over <math>CP^3</math></b>	<b>13</b>
<b>5. Lifting the <math>S^7</math> Hopf fibration to <math>D = 11</math> superspace</b>	<b>17</b>
5.1 Hopf fibration of the $OSp(6 4)/U(3) \times SO(1,3)$ supercoset	18
5.2 $U(1)$ bundle structure of the $OSp(8 4)/SO(7) \times SO(1,3)$ supercoset	20
5.3 Hopf fibration form of the $OSp(8 4)/SO(7) \times SO(1,3)$ geometry and its reduction to type IIA superspace	23
<b>6. Conclusion</b>	<b>26</b>
<b>Appendix A. Main notation and conventions.</b>	<b>27</b>
<b>Appendix B. <math>OSp(8 4)</math>, <math>OSp(2 4)</math> and <math>OSp(6 4)</math>.</b>	<b>30</b>
<b>Appendix C. <math>SU(3) \times U(1)</math> embeddings into <math>SO(6)</math>.</b>	<b>32</b>

---

## 1. Introduction

Recent progress in understanding the  $AdS_4/CFT_3$  correspondence has been triggered by the construction of Bagger-Lambert-Gustavsson-type models based on tri-algebras [1, 2, 3] and by the model due to Aharony, Bergman, Jafferis and Maldacena (ABJM) [4].<sup>1</sup> These new models — based on 3-dimensional  $\mathcal{N}$ -extended superconformal Chern-Simons gauge theories coupled to scalar supermultiplets — have been conjectured to provide an effective low energy description of multiple coincident M2-branes in M-theory, with the ABJM theory at level  $k$  describing the physics of multiple M2-branes on an  $R^8/Z_k$  orbifold

---

<sup>1</sup>The ABJM Lagrangian is a special case of the  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  superconformal Chern-Simons theories written down in [5].

[4]. These novel three dimensional theories provide us with new tools for studying the  $AdS_4/CFT_3$  duality from the boundary field theory point of view, and may shed new light on the landscape of  $AdS_4$  vacua in string theory.

The  $\mathcal{N} = 6$  Chern-Simons theory with gauge group  $U(N)_k \times U(N)_{-k}$  constructed in [4] describes M-theory on  $AdS_4 \times S^7/Z_k$ . There is a region in the parameter space of the ABJM theory<sup>2</sup> where the bulk description is given in terms of perturbative type IIA string theory on the  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$  background, which preserves 24 out of 32 supersymmetries. Therefore, in order to study this new type of holographic correspondence using the bulk description, one needs an explicit form of the superstring action on the type IIA superspace whose bosonic body is  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$ . Likewise, writing down the action of D-branes on the  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$  superbackground is useful, as D-branes in  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$  play an important role in the duality, since they describe various local and non-local operators in the dual gauge theory [4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10]. Of course, the Green–Schwarz–type form of the superstring action and superbrane actions in generic superbackgrounds are well known [11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18]. The challenge is to obtain the explicit form of the superstring and superbrane actions<sup>3</sup> for the various  $AdS_4/CFT_3$  superbackgrounds, by finding the explicit dependence of the supervielbeins, NS–NS and RR superfields on the 32 fermionic coordinates of the type IIA superbackground of interest.

Analogous demand for explicit actions for the superstring and branes arose in the early studies of the  $AdS_5/CFT_4$  and  $AdS_4/CFT_3$  correspondence. In the maximally supersymmetric  $AdS_5 \times S^5$  superbackground, the supergeometry is described by the coset superspace  $SU(2, 2|4)/SO(5) \times SO(1, 4)$ , and the explicit form of the action for the type IIB superstring was found in [20, 21] while the D3–brane action was constructed in [22]. Analogous actions were derived for the M2–brane [23] and the M5–brane [24, 25] in the  $AdS_4 \times S^7$  and  $AdS_7 \times S^4$  superbackgrounds respectively, which are described by the supercosets  $OSp(8|4)/SO(7) \times SO(1, 3)$  and  $OSp(6, 2|4)/SO(4) \times SO(1, 6)$ .

The construction of the superstring and brane actions in the  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$  background is significantly more complicated, as the background preserves only 24 out of the 32 supersymmetries of type IIA supergravity. A coset superspace whose isometries are those of the  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$  vacuum is  $OSp(6|4)/U(3) \times SO(1, 3)$ . Its bosonic body is the desired  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$  geometry and its Grassmann–odd subspace is 24–dimensional. Therefore,  $OSp(6|4)/U(3) \times SO(1, 3)$  is a particular solution of the type IIA supergravity constraints which can be regarded as a submanifold in the general  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$  IIA superspace, whose Grassmann–odd sector is 32–dimensional.

A sigma–model action for the superstring propagating in the  $OSp(6|4)/U(3) \times SO(1, 3)$  submanifold of the complete type IIA superspace was constructed and analyzed in [26, 27, 28, 29, 30]. This action can be regarded as the Green–Schwarz action for the superstring in an  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$  superspace with 32 fermionic directions in which the 16–parameter kappa–symmetry has been partially fixed in order to eliminate the 8 fermionic coordinates of the string corresponding to the 8 broken supersymmetries. With this interpretation,

---

<sup>2</sup>Corresponding to  $N^2 \gg \lambda^{5/2}$ , where  $\lambda$  is the 't Hooft coupling of the ABJM theory.

<sup>3</sup>The superstring action to quadratic order in the fermionic coordinates is known in an arbitrary superbackground [19].

only 24 fermionic modes on the string worldsheet remain and these are described by the sigma-model based on the  $OSp(6|4)/U(3) \times SO(1,3)$  supercoset. This fixing of kappa-symmetry restricts the motion of the string to a submanifold of bosonic dimension 10 and fermionic dimension 24 in the total type IIA superspace. As already noted in [26], the  $OSp(6|4)/U(3) \times SO(1,3)$  sigma-model action does not describe all possible motions of the string in the  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$  superspace. In particular, if the string moves entirely in  $AdS_4$ , the number of kappa-symmetries of this sigma-model gets increased from 8 to 12. This indicates that this dynamical sector of the theory cannot be attained from the gauge choice for fixing kappa-symmetry of the Green-Schwarz string action that yields the coset superspace. In this sector of the theory, four of the modes associated with the eight broken supersymmetries are dynamical fermionic degrees of freedom of the superstring. The reason behind this is that when the string moves entirely in  $AdS_4$ , its kappa-symmetry projector commutes with the projector which singles out the 8 broken supersymmetries, and therefore it cannot eliminate all the corresponding fermionic modes but only half of them.

Therefore, the study of the general classical and quantum motion of the superstring in  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$  cannot be achieved using the  $OSp(6|4)/U(3) \times SO(1,3)$  supercoset. We need to find an action that includes the extra dynamical fermionic modes. On general grounds, this is given by the Green-Schwarz superstring action in the  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$  superspace with 32 Grassmann-odd coordinates coupled to a corresponding NS-NS 2-form superfield depending on 32  $\theta$ s. In this paper we present this action.

Likewise, a D2-brane which is embedded purely in an  $AdS_4$  subspace<sup>4</sup> of  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$  cannot be described by the D2-brane action based on the  $OSp(6|4)/U(3) \times SO(1,3)$  supercoset, since the embedding is incompatible with the kappa-symmetry gauge fixing<sup>5</sup> of the corresponding Green-Schwarz-type D2-brane action [14, 15, 16]. Other examples of this situation are D2- and D4-branes partially moving in  $AdS_4$  and wrapping the 2-cycle in  $CP^3$  associated with the  $CP^3$  Kähler form  $J$ . Thus, to describe a general D-brane configuration in  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$  one needs once again an explicit form of its action in the  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$  superspace with 32 Grassmann-odd coordinates coupled to the corresponding NS-NS and RR superfields depending on 32  $\theta$ s.

The main result of this paper is the explicit construction of the complete  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$  superspace including all of the 32 Grassmann-odd coordinates. Unlike for most of the supergeometries studied previously in the literature, this type IIA  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$  superspace is not a coset superspace, but we can nevertheless completely characterize its supergeometry. Having determined the supervielbeins of this superspace and the corresponding NS-NS and RR gauge superfields, we explicitly write down the general Green-Schwarz-type actions for the type IIA superstring and D-branes in  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$ . We analyze the classical equations of motion of the superstring in different submanifolds of the  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$  superspace. On the submanifold described by the  $OSp(6|4)/U(3) \times SO(1,3)$  coset superspace, the classical

---

<sup>4</sup>An example of this situation is the D2-brane with  $AdS_2 \times S^1 \subset AdS_4$  worldvolume [7], which corresponds to a disorder loop operator in the ABJM theory, and another example is the D2-brane at the Minkowski boundary of  $AdS_4$ .

<sup>5</sup>The discussion of the problem of fixing  $\kappa$ -symmetry in the D0- and D2-brane actions in  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$  superspaces has been done in collaboration with P. Fré and P.A. Grassi.

superstring equations of motion are integrable [26, 27], generalizing the corresponding result found by Bena, Polchinski and Roiban for the type IIB superstring propagating on the  $AdS_5 \times S^5$  supercoset [31]. However, we find that there is a submanifold in the  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$  superspace that is described by a “twisted”  $OSp(2|4)/SO(2) \times SO(1, 3)$  superspace, which is not a supercoset, and the ingredients used to prove integrability found in [31] do not directly apply to this sector of the theory. Therefore, it remains an important open problem to determine whether the complete set of classical equations of motion of the Green-Schwarz superstring propagating on the  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$  superspace is classically integrable. The fact that the  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$  superspace with 32 fermionic directions is not a supercoset requires more general techniques to prove classical integrability.

The explicit form of the supervielbeins and superconnections describing the  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$  superspace are obtained by performing the Kaluza–Klein reduction of the supergeometry of the supercoset  $OSp(8|4)/SO(7) \times SO(1, 3)$ , which is a solution of the D=11 superfield supergravity constraints corresponding to the maximally supersymmetric  $AdS_4 \times S^7$  vacuum of eleven dimensional supergravity. It is well known since the first intensive studies of flux compactifications of D=10 and D=11 supergravities that type IIA supergravity vacua<sup>6</sup> can be lifted to corresponding bosonic solutions of D=11 supergravity by constructing  $U(1)$  fibrations over the ten dimensional manifold characterizing the type IIA supergravity solutions [38, 39, 40]. For example, the 7–sphere is a  $U(1)$  Hopf fibration over  $CP^3$ , and therefore the  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$  solution of the bosonic equations of type IIA supergravity [35] is directly related to the Freund–Rubin  $AdS_4 \times S^7$  solution of the bosonic  $D = 11$  supergravity equations of motion by reducing along the  $U(1)$ –fiber direction of the  $S^7$  [39, 40]. For recent generalizations of these old results to the description of new compactified type IIA vacua see *e.g.* [41, 42, 43, 44].

Extending the Kaluza-Klein reduction to superspace is much more subtle. When the Hopf fibration of  $AdS_4 \times S^7$  is lifted to  $D = 11$  superspace, such that  $AdS_4 \times S^7$  becomes the bosonic subspace of the  $OSp(8|4)/SO(7) \times SO(1, 3)$  supercoset, the supervielbeins of the supercoset do not come in a form suitable for performing the dimensional reduction of the D=11 superspace down to the type IIA D=10 superspace (see [45] for the general prescription for performing such a superspace reduction and [46] for more details). As we shall show, to get the  $OSp(8|4)/SO(7) \times SO(1, 3)$  supervielbeins in the Kaluza–Klein–like form one should perform a “twist” of their components along the  $AdS_4$  and the  $U(1)$ –fiber directions, or in other words perform a local Lorentz rotation in the 5–dimensional

---

<sup>6</sup>Let us here make the historical remark that the compactified vacuum solutions of type IIA supergravity corresponding to a direct product of  $AdS_4$  and a compact manifold  $M^6$  [32, 33, 34, 35] were obtained by a combination of two mechanisms of spontaneous (flux) compactification proposed in 1980. One of the mechanisms was due to Freund and Rubin [36] in which the compactification of a D–dimensional theory into an  $AdS_n \times M^{D-n}$  manifold takes place as a result of the interaction of gravity with a closed  $n$ –form or  $(D - n)$ –form field strength of an antisymmetric gauge field. Another mechanism was proposed by Volkov and Tkach [37]. Volkov and Tkach showed that in an interacting theory of gravity with Yang–Mills fields the compactification of extra dimensions may take place into coset spaces when components of the Yang–Mills fields take the same values as some components of the spin connection of the compactified manifold. The field strengths of the vacuum configurations of the Yang–Mills fields are (using the modern terminology) topologically nontrivial fluxes supported by compact subspaces.

subspace tangent to  $AdS_4$  and the  $U(1)$ -fiber direction along  $S^7$ . We should stress that such a transformation is not part of the isometry of the  $AdS_4 \times S^7$  solution and should be regarded as an appropriate choice of a different supervielbein basis of  $OSp(8|4)/SO(7) \times SO(1,3)$  which has the Kaluza-Klein form compatible with the Hopf fibration. Note that by orbifolding the  $OSp(8|4)/SO(7) \times SO(1,3)$  supercoset by  $Z_k \subset U(1)$ , where  $U(1)$  is the commutant of  $SU(4)$  in  $SO(8)$ , one gets the supergeometry corresponding to the superspace with an  $AdS_4 \times S^7/Z_k$  bosonic subspace, a background of eleven dimensional supergravity which preserves 24 supersymmetries (for  $k > 2$ ) and is the near horizon geometry of N M2-branes probing the  $C^4/Z_k$  singularity.

Having obtained the complete supergeometry with 32 fermionic directions describing the  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$  solution of type IIA supergravity, one can then use it to write down the Green-Schwarz-type actions for the type IIA superstring and D-branes (or the pure spinor action for the superstring) depending on all 32 fermions. This gives the complete and consistent description of these objects in the type IIA  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$  superbackground. The complete form of the Green-Schwarz action provides a systematic framework in which to study the  $AdS_4/CFT_3$  correspondence and other problems.

The plan of the rest of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, for the reader's convenience, we summarize our results and write down the explicit supergeometry for the type IIA  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$  background. The details of our computations appear in the rest of the paper. In Section 3 we write down the actions for the superstring and D-branes in this superbackground. We also analyze the motion of the string in submanifolds of the  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$  superspace and note that the string equations of motion in a certain subspace are integrable [26, 27]. We find, however, that there is a submanifold in superspace for which the criteria found to prove integrability in [31] are not satisfied. So whether the Green-Schwarz superstring in  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$  is integrable remains to be proven. In Section 4 we describe a coset space realization of  $S^7$  as a  $U(1)$  bundle over  $CP^3$ . In Section 5 we lift the Hopf fibration description of the  $S^7$  to  $D = 11$  superspace and show that the associated supervielbeins and superconnections can be brought to the Kaluza-Klein form by performing a particular local Lorentz transformation, which allows us to read off the supergeometry for the type IIA  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$  background. The main notation, conventions and some computations are presented in the Appendices A-C.

## 2. $AdS_4 \times CP^3$ superspace with 32 Grassmann-odd directions

In this Section we summarize our main result, namely, the construction of the superspace which has 32 Grassmann-odd directions, contains  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$  as its bosonic part and solves the type IIA supergravity constraints [47, 14, 16, 46]. The derivation of this result is given in Sections 4-5.

The type IIA superspace of interest is parametrized by 10 bosonic coordinates  $X^M = (x^m, y^{m'})$ , where  $x^m$  ( $m = 0, 1, 2, 3$ ) and  $y^{m'}$  ( $m' = 1, \dots, 6$ ) parametrize  $AdS_4$  and  $CP^3$  respectively, and by 32-fermionic coordinates  $\theta^\mu = (\theta^{\mu\mu'})$ , which combine into the supercoordinates  $Z^{\mathcal{M}} = (x^m, y^{m'}, \theta^{\mu\mu'})$ . The spinor indices  $\mu = 1, 2, 3, 4$ , and  $\mu' = 1, \dots, 8$  label, respectively, an  $SO(2,3)$  and  $SO(6)$  spinor representation.

The 32 fermionic coordinates  $\theta^{\mu\mu'}$  split into 24 coordinates  $\vartheta^{\mu m'}$ , which correspond to the 24 unbroken supersymmetries of the  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$  background, and 8 coordinates  $v^{\mu i}$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) corresponding to the 8 broken supersymmetries.<sup>7</sup>

The type IIA supervielbeins are<sup>8</sup>

$$\mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{A}} = dZ^{\mathcal{M}} \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{A}}(Z) = (\mathcal{E}^A, \mathcal{E}^{\underline{\alpha}}), \quad (2.1)$$

where

$$\mathcal{E}^A(Z) = (\mathcal{E}^a, \mathcal{E}^{a'}) \quad a = 0, 1, 2, 3, \quad a' = 1, \dots, 6 \quad (2.2)$$

are the vector supervielbeins in the tangent space of  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$  and

$$\mathcal{E}^{\underline{\alpha}}(Z) = \mathcal{E}^{\alpha\alpha'} = (\mathcal{E}^{\alpha\alpha'}, \mathcal{E}^{\alpha i}) \quad \alpha = 1, 2, 3, 4, \quad \alpha' = 1, \dots, 8, \quad i = 1, 2 \quad (2.3)$$

are the fermionic supervielbeins which split into 24 along the unbroken supersymmetry directions and eight along the broken ones. (The spinor indices  $\alpha = 1, 2, 3, 4$ , and  $\alpha' = 1, \dots, 8$  label, respectively, an  $SO(1, 3)$  and a  $U(3)$  representation.) The supervielbeins (2.2) and (2.3) are expressed in terms of the supervielbeins  $E^A(x, y, \vartheta)$ ,  $E^{\alpha\alpha'}(x, y, \vartheta)$  and the  $U(1)$  connection  $A(x, y, \vartheta)$  of the  $OSp(6|4)/U(3) \times SO(1, 3)$  supercoset, whose fermionic coordinates are  $\vartheta^{\alpha\alpha'}$ , but the former also depend on the 8 additional fermionic coordinates  $v^{\alpha i}$  as follows<sup>9</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}^{a'}(x, y, \vartheta, v) &= e^{\frac{1}{3}\phi(v)} \left( E^{a'}(x, y, \vartheta) - 2v \frac{\sinh m}{m} \gamma^{a'} \gamma^5 E(x, y, \vartheta) \right), \\ \mathcal{E}^a(x, y, \vartheta, v) &= e^{\frac{1}{3}\phi(v)} \left( E^b(x, y, \vartheta) - 4v \gamma^b \frac{\sinh^2 \mathcal{M}/2}{\mathcal{M}^2} Dv \right) \Lambda_b^a(v) \\ &\quad - e^{-\frac{1}{3}\phi(v)} \left( A(x, y, \vartheta) - 4iv \varepsilon \gamma^5 \frac{\sinh^2 \mathcal{M}/2}{\mathcal{M}^2} Dv \right) E_7^a(v), \\ \mathcal{E}^{\alpha i}(x, y, \vartheta, v) &= e^{\frac{1}{6}\phi(v)} \left( \frac{\sinh \mathcal{M}}{\mathcal{M}} Dv \right)^{\beta j} S_{\beta j}^{\alpha i}(v) - i e^{\phi(v)} \mathcal{A}_1(x, y, \vartheta, v) (\gamma^5 \varepsilon \lambda(v))^{\alpha i}, \\ \mathcal{E}^{\alpha\alpha'}(x, y, \vartheta, v) &= e^{\frac{1}{6}\phi(v)} E^{\gamma b'}(x, y, \vartheta) \left( \delta_{\gamma}^{\beta} - 8 \left( i \gamma^5 v \frac{\sinh^2 m/2}{m^2} \right)_{\gamma i} v^{\beta i} \right) S_{\beta b'}^{\alpha\alpha'}(v), \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

where  $E(x, y, \vartheta)$  in the second term of the first expression is the spinor one-form  $E^{\gamma b'}(x, y, \vartheta)$  which also appears in the last expression of (2.4).

<sup>7</sup>This splitting is carried out by applying the projectors (5.1) and (5.4) on  $\theta^{\underline{\mu}}$  (See Appendices A and C for more details).

<sup>8</sup>Our convention for the essential torsion constraint of IIA supergravity is  $T_{\alpha\beta}^A = 2\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^A$ . This choice is related to the form of the  $OSp(8|4)$  algebra (Appendix B, eq. (B.7)) and differs from that of [46] by the factor  $2i$ .

<sup>9</sup>These are the formulas for the case when  $k$ , corresponding to the order of the  $Z_k$  orbifold of the  $S^7$  and the type IIA RR two-form flux through  $CP^3$ , is set to  $k = 1$ . The formulas for general  $k$  are obtained by making the following rescaling:  $\Phi \rightarrow \frac{1}{k}\Phi$ ,  $E_7^a \rightarrow \frac{1}{k}E_7^a$  and  $e^{\frac{2}{3}\phi} \rightarrow \frac{1}{k}e^{\frac{2}{3}\phi}$ .

The type IIA RR one-form gauge superfield is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_1(x, y, \vartheta, v) = e^{-\frac{4}{3}\phi(v)} & \left[ \left( A(x, y, \vartheta) - 4iv \varepsilon \gamma^5 \frac{\sinh^2 \mathcal{M}/2}{\mathcal{M}^2} Dv \right) \Phi(v) \right. \\ & \left. + \left( E^a(x, y, \vartheta) - 4v \gamma^a \frac{\sinh^2 \mathcal{M}/2}{\mathcal{M}^2} Dv \right) E_{7a}(v) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

with the field strength  $F_2 = d\mathcal{A}_1$ . The RR four-form and NS-NS three-form superfield strengths are given by

$$F_4 = d\mathcal{A}_3 - \mathcal{A}_1 H_3 = -\frac{1}{4!} \mathcal{E}^d \mathcal{E}^c \mathcal{E}^b \mathcal{E}^a \left( 6e^{-2\phi} \Phi \varepsilon_{abcd} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{E}^B \mathcal{E}^A \mathcal{E}^\beta \mathcal{E}^\alpha e^{-\phi} (\Gamma_{AB})_{\underline{\alpha}\beta} \quad (2.6)$$

$$H_3 = dB_2 = -\frac{1}{3!} \mathcal{E}^c \mathcal{E}^b \mathcal{E}^a \left( 6e^{-\phi} \varepsilon_{abcd} E_7^d \right) + \mathcal{E}^A \mathcal{E}^\beta \mathcal{E}^\alpha (\Gamma_A \Gamma_{11})_{\underline{\alpha}\beta} - \mathcal{E}^B \mathcal{E}^A \mathcal{E}^\alpha (\Gamma_{AB} \Gamma^{11} \lambda)_{\underline{\alpha}},$$

where  $\Gamma_A$  and  $\Gamma_{11}$  are  $32 \times 32$  gamma-matrices which in the  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$  background are convenient to represent as a direct product of  $4 \times 4$  and  $8 \times 8$  gamma-matrices (see eq. (A.8) of Appendix A).

The gauge potentials of (2.6), which appear in the superstring and D-brane actions, can be computed by a standard procedure as follows:

$$B_2 = b_2 + \int_0^1 dt i_\theta H_3(x, y, t\theta), \quad \theta = (\vartheta, v) \quad (2.7)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_3 = a_3 + \int_0^1 dt i_\theta (F_4 + \mathcal{A}_1 H_3)(x, y, t\theta), \quad (2.8)$$

where  $b_2$  and  $a_3$  are the purely bosonic parts of the gauge potentials and  $i_\theta$  means the inner product with respect to  $\theta^{\mu\mu'}$ . Note that  $b_2$  is pure gauge in the  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$  solution.<sup>10</sup>

In eqs. (2.4)–(2.5)

$$Dv = \left( d + iE^a(x, y, \vartheta) \gamma^5 \gamma_a - \frac{1}{4} \Omega^{ab}(x, y, \vartheta) \gamma_{ab} \right) v, \quad (2.9)$$

where  $E^{a'}$  ( $E^a$ ) and  $\Omega^{ab}$  ( $\Omega^{ab}$ ) are, respectively, the  $CP^3$  and  $AdS_4$  part of the supervielbein and the  $SO(1, 3)$  connection of the  $OSp(6|4)/U(3) \times SO(1, 3)$  supercoset, while  $E^{\alpha\alpha'}$  ( $E^{\alpha\alpha'}$ ) is its spinorial supervielbein.  $A(x, y, \vartheta)$  is the  $U(1)$  connection on the  $OSp(6|4)/U(3) \times SO(1, 3)$  supercoset, which corresponds to the RR one-form gauge potential for this type IIA supergravity solution, while in the complete superspace it is given by (2.5). All these quantities are known explicitly and can be taken in any suitable form, which one can find, e.g. in [21, 26, 27, 28, 30] or in our Appendix A, eq. (A.10). An appropriate choice of the supercoset representatives may drastically simplify their fermionic dependence (see e.g. [25]).

---

<sup>10</sup>To derive eqs. (2.7) and (2.8) one should use the fact that the coordinate variation of a differential superform  $A(Z) = A(X, \theta)$  is  $\delta A = i_{\delta Z} dA + d(i_{\delta Z} A)$ . Then, rescaling  $\theta \rightarrow t\theta$  in  $A(X, \theta)$  and taking the derivative with respect to  $t$ , we have  $\frac{d}{dt} A(X, t\theta) = i_\theta dA + d(i_\theta A)$ , which upon integration over  $t$  gives eqs. (2.7) and (2.8), up to pure gauge terms.



The quantities  $\Lambda_a{}^b(v)$  and  $S_{\alpha\alpha'}{}^{\beta\beta'}(v)$  appearing in the above equations have the form

$$\Lambda_a{}^b = \delta_a{}^b - \frac{e^{-\frac{2}{3}\phi}}{e^{\frac{2}{3}\phi} + \Phi} E_{7a} E_7{}^b$$

$$S = \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{3}\phi}}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \sqrt{e^{\frac{2}{3}\phi} + \Phi} - \frac{E_7{}^a \Gamma_a \Gamma_{11}}{\sqrt{e^{\frac{2}{3}\phi} + \Phi}} \right).$$
(2.10)

They generate the Lorentz transformation in the  $OSp(8|4)/SO(7) \times SO(1, 3)$  supergeometry which brings the  $D = 11$  superspace into the Kaluza–Klein form required to perform its dimensional reduction to the  $D = 10$  superspace (see Section 5).

The function  $\phi(v)$  is the dilaton superfield of the full type IIA superspace solution under consideration. The dilaton superfield depends only on the 8 Grassmann coordinates  $v^{\alpha i}$  and has the following expression in terms of  $E_7{}^a(v)$  and  $\Phi(v)$

$$e^{\frac{2}{3}\phi(v)} = \sqrt{\Phi^2 + E_7{}^a E_7{}^b \eta_{ab}}.$$
(2.11)

The fermionic field  $\lambda^{\alpha i}(v)$  describes the non-zero components of the dilatino superfield, which is defined by the equation [46]

$$\lambda_{\alpha i} = -\frac{1}{3} D_{\alpha i} \phi(v).$$
(2.12)

Other quantities appearing in eqs. (2.4)–(2.12), namely  $\mathcal{M}$ ,  $m$ ,  $\Phi(v)$  and  $E_7{}^a(v)$ , whose geometrical and group-theoretical meaning is explained in Section 5, are explicitly given in eqs. (5.30), (5.31).

One can notice that a distinctive feature of the  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$  IIA superspace with 32 Grassmann-odd directions compared to the coset superspace  $OSp(6|4)/U(3) \times SO(1, 3)$  with only 24 Grassmann-odd directions is that in the full superspace solution the dilaton, dilatino and the NS–NS 3-form superfield have non-zero values, and depend on the 8 fermionic coordinates which correspond to broken supersymmetries of the  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$  IIA supergravity solution.

For brevity we do not present here the explicit form of the superconnections of the  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$  superspace, since they are not required for the construction of the superstring and brane actions. When necessary, they can be directly recovered from the Cartan forms of  $OSp(8|4)/SO(8) \times SO(1, 3)$ , as explained in Section 5.

### 3. Actions for the type IIA superstring and D-branes in the complete $AdS_4 \times CP^3$ superspace

#### 3.1 Type IIA Green–Schwarz superstring

The Green–Schwarz superstring action [11] in a generic supergravity background is well-known [12] and its Nambu–Goto form is

$$S = -T \int d^2\xi \sqrt{-\det(\mathcal{E}_i^A \mathcal{E}_j^B \eta_{AB})} + T \int B_2(\xi), \quad (3.1)$$

where  $T$  is the string tension,  $\xi^i$  ( $i = 0, 1$ )<sup>11</sup> are the string worldsheet coordinates,  $\mathcal{E}_i^A = \partial_i Z^{\mathcal{M}}(\xi) \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{M}}^A$  is the worldsheet pullback of the vector supervielbeins of type IIA supergravity and  $B_2(\xi) = \frac{1}{2} d\xi^i d\xi^j \partial_i Z^{\mathcal{N}} \partial_j Z^{\mathcal{M}} B_{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{N}}(Z)$  is the worldsheet pullback of the NS-NS two-form superfield.

Provided that the superbackground satisfies the IIA supergravity constraints, the action (3.1) is invariant under kappa-symmetry transformations of the superstring coordinates  $Z^{\mathcal{M}}(\xi)$  which for all known superbranes have the following generic form

$$\delta_\kappa Z^{\mathcal{M}} \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{M}}^{\underline{\alpha}} = \frac{1}{2} (1 + \bar{\Gamma})_{\underline{\beta}}^{\underline{\alpha}} \kappa^{\underline{\beta}}(\xi), \quad \underline{\alpha} = 1, \dots, 32 \quad (3.2)$$

$$\delta_\kappa Z^{\mathcal{M}} \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{M}}^A = 0, \quad A = 0, 1, \dots, 9 \quad (3.3)$$

where  $\kappa^{\underline{\alpha}}(\xi)$  is a 32-component spinor parameter and  $\frac{1}{2}(1 + \bar{\Gamma})_{\underline{\beta}}^{\underline{\alpha}}$  is a spinor projection matrix (such that  $\bar{\Gamma}^2 = 1$ ) specific to each type of superbrane.

In the case of the type IIA superstring the matrix  $\bar{\Gamma}$  is

$$\bar{\Gamma} = \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{-\det g_{ij}}} \epsilon^{ij} \mathcal{E}_i^A \mathcal{E}_j^B \Gamma_{AB} \Gamma_{11}, \quad (3.4)$$

where

$$g_{ij}(\xi) = \mathcal{E}_i^A \mathcal{E}_j^B \eta_{AB} \quad (3.5)$$

is the induced metric on the worldsheet of the string.

To describe the type IIA superstring in the complete  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$  superspace we should just substitute into the above equations the explicit form of the vector supervielbeins  $\mathcal{E}^A$  and the NS-NS two-form  $B_2$  given in eqs. (2.4) and (2.7).

Note that kappa-symmetry allows one to eliminate 16 out of 32 fermionic degrees of freedom of the superstring. It can be used to simplify and reduce the form of the supervielbein pullbacks and, as a consequence, the form of the string action. For example, one might be willing, by using kappa-symmetry, to get rid of the 8 fermionic coordinates  $v^{\alpha i}$  corresponding to the 8 broken supersymmetries of  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$ . As a result of such a partial gauge fixing, one arrives at the superstring action of [26, 27, 30], which can be described by a sigma-model on the  $OSp(6|4)/U(3) \times SO(1, 3)$  supercoset. However, the kappa-symmetry gauge fixing which completely eliminates  $v^{\alpha i}$  is only possible when the kappa-symmetry projector (3.2), (3.4) does *not* commute with the projector  $\mathcal{P}_2$ , eq. (5.4), which singles out 8 out of 32 fermionic coordinates. This is not the case, for example, when the string moves entirely in the  $AdS_4$  space. In this case  $[\bar{\Gamma}, \mathcal{P}_2] = 0$ , and kappa-symmetry can only eliminate half of the eight  $v^{\alpha i}$ 's. Hence, such configurations of the string in  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$  cannot be described by the sigma-model action based on the  $OSp(6|4)/U(3) \times SO(1, 3)$  supercoset and one should use the action (3.1) in the full superspace.

---

<sup>11</sup>Since we have exhausted a finite number of letters which are at our disposal to define different types of indices, here we use the letters  $i, j$  to denote the worldvolume indices. We believe that this will not cause confusion with the same letters used in the previous Section to define  $SO(2) \subset SO(8)$  indices.

### 3.1.1 Classical Integrability of Green-Schwarz Action in $AdS_4 \times CP^3$ superspace

The explicit form of the Green-Schwarz action in  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$  allows for the study of the most general solution to the string equations of motion in this background. Furthermore, having the complete action in superspace provides us with a systematic framework in which to compute quantum corrections to any classical string solution. Classical string solutions together with their quantum corrections play an important role in the AdS/CFT correspondence, as they correspond to certain “long” operators in the gauge theory (see *e.g.* [48, 49]).

In [31] it has been shown that the Green-Schwarz action in  $AdS_5 \times S^5$  [20] is classically integrable.<sup>12</sup> This can be proven by explicitly constructing a Lax connection representation of the superstring equations of motion, such that flatness of the Lax connection  $\mathcal{L}_i$

$$\partial_i \mathcal{L}_j - \partial_j \mathcal{L}_i - [\mathcal{L}_i, \mathcal{L}_j] = 0 \quad (3.6)$$

implies the superstring equations of motion. The crucial ingredients in the construction of the Lax connection are the Cartan forms in the  $AdS_5 \times S^5$  coset superspace  $SU(2, 2|4)/SO(1, 4) \times SO(5)$  and the existence of a  $Z_4$  automorphism of the  $SU(2, 2|4)$  algebra. One can then construct the conserved charges of the integrable model from the holonomy of the Lax connection (see [31] for more details).

The construction in [31] guarantees that any sigma-model based on a supercoset  $G/H$  is classically integrable as long as the superalgebra  $G$  admits a  $Z_4$  grading. This general construction provides a simple diagnostic for determining whether a large class of supercoset models are classically integrable. In this paper, however, we have shown that the complete  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$  Type IIA superspace is not given by a coset superspace. This implies that the technique introduced in [31] does not directly apply, as we cannot longer construct a candidate Lax connection  $\mathcal{L}_i$  from the Cartan forms of the supercoset. Nevertheless, we can study the various allowed motions of the superstring along submanifolds of the complete  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$  Type IIA superspace and analyze whether the equations of motion governing the allowed motions are classically integrable.

Wherever it is allowed, by partially fixing kappa-symmetry, we can set to zero the 8 fermionic coordinates  $v^{\alpha i}$  which correspond to the 8 supersymmetries broken by the  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$  background. This choice selects the submanifold  $\mathcal{M}_{10,24}$  in the complete  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$  superspace. In this submanifold, the superstring can move in the full  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$  bosonic subspace (the string must propagate, however, both in  $AdS_4$  and in  $CP^3$  in order to be compatible with the gauge fixing [26]) but the motion of the string is restricted to a 24 dimensional fermionic submanifold of the superspace spanned by the coordinates  $\vartheta^{\alpha\alpha'}$ . For these classical configurations, the complete  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$  superspace found in this paper reduces to the  $OSp(6|4)/U(3) \times SO(1, 3)$  coset superspace already considered in [26, 27, 28, 30]. For this family of classical solutions the Green-Schwarz action can be completely written down in terms of the Cartan forms of the  $OSp(6|4)/U(3) \times SO(1, 3)$  supercoset, very much like for the type IIB superstring action on the  $AdS_5 \times S^5$  coset superspace. Moreover, since the  $OSp(6|4)$  algebra admits a  $Z_4$  automorphism, the

---

<sup>12</sup>See [50] for earlier work considering the classical integrability of the bosonic sigma-model.

construction in [31] can be carried over to this case to show that the classical equations of motion of the superstring in the subspace  $\mathcal{M}_{10,24}$  of the complete  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$  superspace is integrable [26, 27].<sup>13</sup>

The gauge fixed action with  $v^{\alpha i} = 0$  is, however, incompatible with motions of the string *e.g.* purely in the  $AdS_4$  geometry, which constitute an important family of classical solutions (see *e.g.* [48]). One can study these motions of the string by considering a submanifold  $\mathcal{M}_{4,8}$  of the complete  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$  superspace. This submanifold is spanned by the  $AdS_4$  bosonic geometry and by the 8 dimensional fermionic space parametrized by the coordinates  $v^{\alpha i}$ .<sup>14</sup> It follows from our expressions for the  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$  superspace, that the submanifold  $\mathcal{M}_{4,8}$  can be associated with a “twisted coset” superspace  $OSp(2|4)/SO(2) \times SO(1, 3)$ , where the Cartan forms are rotated by a local Lorentz transformation in  $D = 11$  superspace, which was required to perform the Kaluza-Klein reduction to the  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$  superspace. The twisting reflects the fact that the fermionic coordinates of this superspace correspond to 8 broken supersymmetries. Thus this superspace does not have superisometries. The supervielbeins and the Abelian one-form superfield in this “twisted coset” superspace have the following form (see eqs. (2.4) and (2.5))

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}^a(x, v) &= e^{\frac{1}{3}\phi(v)} \left( e^b(x) - 4v\gamma^b \frac{\sinh^2 \mathcal{M}/2}{\mathcal{M}^2} Dv \right) \Lambda_b^a(v) \\ &\quad + 4i e^{-\frac{1}{3}\phi(v)} v \varepsilon \gamma^5 \frac{\sinh^2 \mathcal{M}/2}{\mathcal{M}^2} Dv E_7^a(v), \\ \mathcal{E}^{\alpha i}(x, v) &= e^{\frac{1}{6}\phi(v)} \left( \frac{\sinh \mathcal{M}}{\mathcal{M}} Dv \right)^{\beta j} S_{\beta j}^{\alpha i}(v) - e^{\phi(v)} \mathcal{A}_1(x, v) (i\gamma^5 \varepsilon \lambda(v))^{\alpha i}, \\ \mathcal{A}_1(x, v) &= e^{-\frac{4}{3}\phi(v)} \left[ \left( e^a(x) - 4v\gamma^a \frac{\sinh^2 \mathcal{M}/2}{\mathcal{M}^2} Dv \right) E_{7a} - 4iv \varepsilon \gamma^5 \frac{\sinh^2 \mathcal{M}/2}{\mathcal{M}^2} Dv \Phi(v) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

where

$$Dv = \left( d + ie^a(x) \gamma^5 \gamma_a - \frac{1}{4} \omega^{ab}(x) \gamma_{ab} \right) v, \quad (3.8)$$

and  $e^a(x)$  and  $\omega^{ab}(x)$  are the  $AdS_4$  vielbeins and connection respectively. The RR and NS–NS superfields in this four-dimensional supermanifold have the same form as in (2.6) but with the  $D=10$  supervielbeins replaced with eqs. (3.7).

For comparison, let us present the supervielbeins for the conventional supercoset

---

<sup>13</sup>In [51] the algebraic curve characterizing the classical solutions on this supercoset has been proposed.

<sup>14</sup>In this subspace the string worldsheet scalars  $y^{m'}$  are constant and  $\vartheta^{\alpha a'}$  are covariantly constant (Killing) spinors,  $D\vartheta = 0$  (on the worldsheet).

$OSp(2|4)/SO(2) \times SO(1,3)$

$$\mathcal{E}^a(x, v) = e^a(x) - 4v\gamma^a \frac{\sinh^2 \mathcal{M}/2}{\mathcal{M}^2} Dv,$$

$$\mathcal{E}^{\alpha i}(x, v) = \left( \frac{\sinh \mathcal{M}}{\mathcal{M}} Dv \right)^{\alpha i}, \quad (3.9)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_1(x, v) = -4iv \varepsilon \gamma^5 \frac{\sinh^2 \mathcal{M}/2}{\mathcal{M}^2} Dv.$$

Since the “twisted  $OSp(2|4)/SO(2) \times SO(1,3)$ ” supermanifold is not a coset superspace, the criteria used in [31] to prove integrability of the classical equations of motion do not directly apply to this superspace. Therefore, it remains an important open problem to determine whether our explicit form of the Green-Schwarz action when restricted to  $\mathcal{M}_{4,8}$  is classically integrable. The explicit expressions for the  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$  supergeometry found in this paper provides a framework in which this question can be investigated.

Understanding the classical and quantum integrability of the superstring equations of motion in the  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$  superspace also provides an important clue in determining whether the planar dilatation operator of the holographic dual ABJM  $\mathcal{N} = 6$  Chern-Simons theory is integrable to all orders in the 't Hooft coupling. Integrability of the two-loop ABJM dilatation operator has been exhibited in [53, 54, 56] and a conjecture for the all loop Bethe ansatz has been made in [57]. However, unlike for the maximally supersymmetric  $AdS_5/CFT_4$  duality, the magnon dispersion relation acquires non-trivial quantum corrections both at strong coupling as well as in the weak coupling regime [8, 54, 55, 57, 58, 9], significantly complicating the  $AdS_4/CFT_3$  analysis with respect to the case of the  $AdS_5/CFT_4$  duality. More work is needed to convincingly argue that the ABJM planar dilatation operator is exactly integrable. Determining whether the ABJM theory is exactly integrable in the planar limit and whether the Green-Schwarz superstring in the  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$  superspace is integrable remain two important problems to resolve in this new holographic correspondence.

### 3.2 Type IIA D-branes

The action for a Dp-brane ( $p = 0, 2, 4, 6, 8$ ) in a general type IIA supergravity background [14, 15, 16] in the string frame has the form

$$S = -T_p \int d^{p+1} \xi e^{-\phi} \sqrt{-\det(g_{ij} + \mathcal{F}_{ij})} + T_p \int e^{\mathcal{F}_2} \wedge \mathbb{A}|_{p+1}, \quad (3.10)$$

where  $T_p$  is the tension of the Dp-brane,

$$g_{ij}(\xi) = \mathcal{E}_i^A \mathcal{E}_j^B \eta_{AB} \quad i, j = 0, \dots, p \quad (3.11)$$

is the induced metric on the Dp-brane worldvolume and

$$\mathcal{F}_2 = d\mathcal{V} - B_2 \quad (3.12)$$

is the field strength of the worldvolume Born–Infeld gauge field  $\mathcal{V}_i(\xi)$  extended by the pullback of the NS–NS two–form. In the second term of eq. (3.10), the Wess–Zumino term,  $|_{p+1}$  means that we must pick only the terms which are  $(p+1)$ –forms in the D-brane worldvolume from the *formal* sum of the forms of different degrees

$$e^{\mathcal{F}_2} = 1 + \mathcal{F}_2 + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{F}_2 \mathcal{F}_2 + \frac{1}{3!} \mathcal{F}_2 \mathcal{F}_2 \mathcal{F}_2 + \frac{1}{4!} \mathcal{F}_2 \mathcal{F}_2 \mathcal{F}_2 \mathcal{F}_2 + \frac{1}{5!} \mathcal{F}_2 \mathcal{F}_2 \mathcal{F}_2 \mathcal{F}_2 \mathcal{F}_2 = \sum_{k=0}^5 \frac{1}{k!} (\mathcal{F}_2)^k, \quad (3.13)$$

$$\mathbb{A} = \mathcal{A}_1 + \mathcal{A}_3 + \mathcal{A}_5 + \mathcal{A}_7 + \mathcal{A}_9 = \sum_{n=0}^4 \mathcal{A}_{2n+1}, \quad (3.13)$$

where  $\mathcal{A}_n$  are the type IIA supergravity RR superforms and their Hodge duals.

The action (3.10) is invariant under the kappa–symmetry transformations (3.2)–(3.3) provided that the superbackground satisfies the type IIA supergravity constraints and the Born–Infeld field transforms as follows

$$\delta_\kappa \mathcal{V} = i_{\delta_\kappa} B_2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \delta_\kappa \mathcal{F}_2 = -i_{\delta_\kappa} H_3. \quad (3.14)$$

The explicit form of the kappa–symmetry projection matrix  $\Gamma$  is given in [14, 15, 16].

To describe the Dp–branes in the  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$  superbackground one should substitute into the above expressions the explicit form of the supervielbeins, NS–NS and RR forms given in (2.4), (2.7), (2.5) and (2.8). As in the superstring case, one can verify that for the D0–brane and a D2–brane moving entirely in the  $AdS_4$  space, the corresponding kappa–symmetry projector commutes with the projector  $\mathcal{P}_2$  (5.4) which singles out the 8 fermionic coordinates  $v^{\alpha i}$  in superspace. For these configurations, kappa–symmetry cannot eliminate all eight  $v^{\alpha i}$ ’s, but only half of them, just like for the case of the superstring moving entirely in  $AdS_4$ . Therefore, such configurations of D0 and D2-branes cannot be described by sigma–models based on the supercoset  $OSp(6|4)/U(3) \times SO(1,3)$ , and one should use the complete IIA superspace constructed in this paper.<sup>5</sup> In particular, one should use this complete superspace for studying the  $AdS_4/CFT_3$  correspondence for the D2–branes placed at the boundary of  $AdS_4$  as well as for the D2–branes corresponding to vortex loop operators in the boundary field theory [7].

Other examples of brane configurations for which kappa–symmetry cannot completely remove the 8 ‘broken’ fermionic coordinates are D2– and a D4–branes wrapping the 2–cycle of  $CP^3$  associated with the  $CP^3$  Kähler form  $J$  and moving in  $AdS_4$ .

In the next sections we shall explain in detail the construction of the complete type IIA  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$  superspace which we summarized in Section 2.

#### 4. Coset space realization of $S^7$ as a fibration over $CP^3$

We construct the complete  $D = 10$   $AdS_4 \times CP^3$  superspace by dimensional reduction of the  $D = 11$  supercoset  $OSp(8|4)/SO(7) \times SO(1,3)$  whose supervielbeins and superconnection have a fiber bundle form, generalizing to superspace the Hopf fibration form of the metric and connection of the 7–sphere. So let us start by reviewing the Hopf fiber bundle structure of the 7–sphere by considering it as a coset space.

$S^7$  can be realized as the symmetric space  $SO(8)/SO(7)$ , however this realization does not provide us directly with the desired Hopf fibration form of its vielbein and connection. The coset realization of  $S^7$  exhibiting its structure as a Hopf fibration over  $CP^3$  is the coset space  $\frac{SU(4) \times U(1)}{SU(3) \times U'(1)}$ . Note that this is not a symmetric space.<sup>15</sup> On the other hand,  $CP^3$  is a symmetric space realized as the coset  $\frac{SU(4)}{SU(3) \times U(1)}$ . The isometry group  $SU(4) \times U(1) \simeq SO(6) \times SO(2)$  of the coset  $\frac{SU(4) \times U(1)}{SU(3) \times U'(1)}$  should be considered as a subgroup of  $SO(8)$ ,  $SU(3)$  is a subgroup of  $SU(4)$  and  $U'(1)$ , in the denominator, is generated as follows. Let  $T_2$  be the generator of  $U(1) \simeq SO(2)$  in the numerator of the coset  $\frac{SU(4) \times U(1)}{SU(3) \times U'(1)}$  and let  $T_1$  be the  $U(1)$  subgroup of  $SU(4)$  which commutes with  $SU(3)$ . Then the stability subgroup  $U'(1)$  is generated by

$$T' = \frac{3}{4}(T_2 - T_1) \quad (4.1)$$

and the generator

$$P_7 = \frac{1}{4}(3T_1 + T_2) \quad (4.2)$$

corresponds to the 7th ( $U(1)$ -fiber) direction of  $S^7$ . The inverse expressions are

$$T_1 = P_7 - \frac{1}{3}T', \quad T_2 = P_7 + T'. \quad (4.3)$$

In terms of generators of the  $SO(8)$  algebra (See Appendices), the above generators are

$$T' = -\frac{1}{2}J^{a'b'}M_{a'b'}, \quad P_7 = -M_{78} \quad (4.4)$$

where  $M_{a'b'}$  are the  $SO(6)$  generators and  $J_{a'b'}$  are the components of the Kähler form on  $CP^3$  satisfying the relations

$$J_{a'b'} = -J_{b'a'}, \quad J_{a'c'}J^{c'b'} = -\delta_{a'b'}, \quad \epsilon_{a'b'c'd'e'f'}J^{a'b'}J^{c'd'} = 8J_{e'f'}. \quad (4.5)$$

To get the ‘fiber bundle form’ of the vielbein and connection of the 7-sphere we choose the following coset representative of  $\frac{SU(4) \times U(1)}{SU(3) \times U'(1)}$

$$K = e^{y^{m'}P_{m'}}e^{zT_2} = e^{y^{m'}P_{m'}}e^{zP_7}e^{zT'}, \quad (4.6)$$

where  $P_{m'}$  are the generators corresponding to the coset  $CP^3 = \frac{SU(4)}{SU(3) \times U(1)}$  parametrized by coordinates  $y^{n'}$  ( $n' = 1, \dots, 6$ ) and  $z$  is the  $U(1)$  fiber coordinate of  $S^7$  (associated with the generator  $P_7$ ) so that  $(y^{n'}, z)$  are the seven local coordinates on the  $S^7$ . Note that  $e^{zT'}$  in (4.6) plays the role of a compensating local transformation of the stability subgroup  $U'(1)$ .

The commutators of  $P_{a'}$  close on the  $SU(3)$  generators  $L_I$  ( $I = 1, \dots, 8$ ) and the  $U(1)$  generator  $T_1$ . Altogether  $P_{a'}$ ,  $L_I$  and  $T_1$  form the  $SU(4)$  algebra

$$[P_{a'}, P_{b'}] = C_{a'b'}{}^I L_I + 2J_{a'b'} T_1, \quad [P_{a'}, L_I] = C_{a'I}{}^{b'} P_{b'}, \quad [P_{a'}, T_1] = -\frac{4}{3} J_{a'b'} P^{b'}, \quad (4.7)$$

---

<sup>15</sup>A nice concise review of geometry of coset spaces the reader may find *e.g.* in [59].

$$[L_I, L_J] = C_{IJ}{}^K L_K, \quad [L_I, T_1] = 0, \quad (4.8)$$

where  $C_{Ia'b'}$ ,  $C_{IJK}$  and  $2J_{a'b'}$  are the structure constants of the  $SU(4)$  algebra. In terms of  $SO(8)$  generators,  $T_1$  was given in (4.3)-(4.4), and  $P_{a'}$  and  $C_{a'b'}{}^I L_I$  are (See Appendix C for more details)

$$P_{a'} = -M_{a'8} + J_{a'}{}^{b'} M_{b'7}, \quad (4.9)$$

$$C_{a'b'}{}^I L_I = (\delta_{a'}{}^{c'} \delta_{b'}{}^{d'} + J_{a'}{}^{c'} J_{b'}{}^{d'}) M_{c'd'} - \frac{1}{3} J_{a'b'} J^{c'd'} M_{c'd'}. \quad (4.10)$$

The Cartan form  $K^{-1}dK$  determines the vielbeins and the  $SU(3) \times U'(1)$  connections on  $\frac{SU(4) \times U(1)}{SU(3) \times U'(1)}$

$$\begin{aligned} K^{-1}dK &= dy^{n'} e_{n'}{}^{a'}(y) P_{a'} + (dz + dy^{n'} A_{n'}(y)) P_7 \\ &\quad + dy^{n'} \omega_{n'}{}^I(y) L_I + (dz - \frac{1}{3} dy^{n'} A_{n'}(y)) T', \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

where

$$e^{\hat{a}'} = (e^{a'}, e^7), \quad e^{a'} = dy^{n'} e_{n'}{}^{a'}(y), \quad e^7 = dz + dy^{n'} A_{n'}(y) \quad (4.12)$$

are the  $S^7$  vielbeins, with  $e^{a'}(y)$  and  $A(y)$  being associated with the vielbein and  $U(1)$  connection on  $CP^3$ , and

$$\omega^I = dy^{n'} \omega_{n'}{}^I(y), \quad \omega' = dz - \frac{1}{3} dy^{n'} A_{n'}(y) \quad (4.13)$$

are the  $SU(3)$  and  $U'(1)$  connections respectively.

With the connections defined as in eq. (4.13), the coset space  $\frac{SU(4) \times U(1)}{SU(3) \times U'(1)}$  has torsion. This is because its stability subgroup  $U'(1)$  is associated with the generator  $T'$  defined in eq. (4.1). One can see this analyzing the Maurer–Cartan equation

$$d(K^{-1}dK) - (K^{-1}dK)(K^{-1}dK) = 0 \quad (4.14)$$

from which follows, in particular, that

$$D e^{a'} \equiv d e^{a'} + e^{b'} \omega^I C_{Ib'}{}^{a'} - e^{b'} J_{b'}{}^{a'} \omega' = -e^{b'} e^7 J_{b'}{}^{a'} = \frac{1}{2} e^{\hat{b}'} e^{\hat{c}'} T_{\hat{c}'\hat{b}'}{}^{a'}, \quad (4.15)$$

$$d e^7 = e^{b'} e^{c'} J_{b'c'} = \frac{1}{2} e^{\hat{b}'} e^{\hat{c}'} T_{\hat{c}'\hat{b}'}{}^7, \quad (4.16)$$

where  $T_{\hat{b}'\hat{c}'}{}^{\hat{a}'}$  ( $\hat{a}' = (a', 7)$  etc.) are the components of the torsion tensor of the coset manifold  $\frac{SU(4) \times U(1)}{SU(3) \times U'(1)}$ . To make the geometry on this manifold torsion-free, as in the standard Riemannian case, we should redefine its connection as follows

$$\Omega^{\hat{a}'\hat{b}'} = (\Omega^{a'b'}, \Omega^{a'7}), \quad (4.17)$$

where

$$\Omega^{a'b'} = \omega^I C_I{}^{a'b'} - \omega' J^{a'b'} = \omega^{a'b'} - e^7 J^{a'b'}, \quad \Omega^{a'7} = -\Omega^{7a'} = e^{b'} J_{b'}{}^{a'} \quad (4.18)$$



while

$$\omega^{a'b'} = \omega^I C_I^{a'b'} + \frac{4}{3} dx^{n'} A_{n'} J^{a'b'} \quad (4.19)$$

is the Riemannian  $U(3)$  connection on  $CP^3$ .

One can show that the curvature of the  $\frac{SU(4) \times U(1)}{SU(3) \times U'(1)}$  coset associated with the connection (4.17) is

$$d\Omega^{\hat{a}'\hat{b}'} + \Omega^{\hat{a}'\hat{c}'} \Omega^{\hat{c}'\hat{b}'} = e^{\hat{a}'} e^{\hat{b}'}, \quad (4.20)$$

where the vielbeins  $e^{\hat{a}'}$  were defined in (4.12). We see that the curvature (4.20) is that of the round  $S^7$  sphere.<sup>16</sup> This completes the demonstration that the Hopf fibration over  $CP^3$  associated with the coset space  $\frac{SU(4) \times U(1)}{SU(3) \times U'(1)}$  and endowed with the Riemann connection and curvature is the 7–sphere having  $SO(8)$  isometry, which is enhanced with respect to the initial  $SU(4) \times U(1)$  manifest symmetry of the coset.

The  $U(1)$  bundle realization (4.12) of the vielbeins of  $S^7$  is very convenient for performing the Kaluza–Klein dimensional reduction of the  $AdS_4 \times S^7$  solution of  $D = 11$  supergravity down to the corresponding  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$  solution of IIA  $D = 10$  supergravity [39, 40]

$$D = 11 : \quad e^{\hat{A}} = (e^a, e^{\hat{a}'} ) \quad \Rightarrow \quad D = 10 : \quad e^A = (e^a, e^{a'}), \quad (4.21)$$

where  $e^a = dx^m e_m^a(x)$  ( $a = 0, 1, 2, 3$ ) and  $x^m$  ( $m = 0, 1, 2, 3$ ) are  $AdS_4$  vielbeins and coordinates respectively,  $e^{\hat{a}'}$  are the  $S^7$  vielbeins (4.12) and  $e^{a'} = dy^{n'} e_{n'}^{a'}(y)$  are the  $CP^3$  vielbeins.

For further comparison with the superspace case, it is important to note that in the given realization, the components  $e_{\hat{B}}^{\hat{A}}(x, y)$  of the  $D = 11$  vielbeins of  $AdS_4 \times S^7$  do not depend on the  $U(1)$  bundle coordinate  $z$  and that their components  $e_7^a$  and  $e_7^{a'}$  vanish

$$e_7^a = 0, \quad e_7^{a'} = 0. \quad (4.22)$$

Such a choice of the vielbein directly corresponds to the Kaluza–Klein ansatz for the compactification on a circle  $S^1$  and  $A_{m'}(y)$  is associated with the potential of an Abelian gauge field in the reduced theory.

In our case the field strength of  $A_{m'}(y)$  is the flux proportional to the Kähler form  $J_{a'b'}$  on  $CP^3$

$$dA = F_2 = \frac{1}{2} e^{a'} e^{b'} F_{b'a'} = e^{a'} e^{b'} J_{a'b'}. \quad (4.23)$$

Together with the  $F_4$  flux whose non–zero components are along  $AdS_4$ , with  $F_{abcd} = -6 \varepsilon_{abcd}$ , the  $F_2$  flux completes (the bosonic part of) the compactification of IIA type supergravity on  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$ .

It should be noted that the Kaluza–Klein condition analogous to (4.22) is always required in order for the action and equations of motion of the dimensionally reduced theory to have a conventional gauge structure, describing the interactions of an Abelian gauge field with gravity. In general, it can always be achieved by performing an appropriate local Lorentz transformation of the vielbeins in the original  $D + 1$ –dimensional theory such

---

<sup>16</sup>We put the radius of  $S^7$  and the corresponding size of  $CP^3$  to be one. The  $AdS_4$  radius of the  $D = 11$  and  $D = 10$  solution is  $1/2$  of that of the compact manifold.

that their components with one world index along the compactified direction and  $D$  indices along the reduced  $D$ -dimensional tangent space vanish (as in eq. (4.22)).

In the case of the Kaluza–Klein dimensional reduction of the bosonic space  $AdS_4 \times S^7$  to ten dimensions, we have arrived at the Kaluza–Klein ansatz corresponding to the representation of the  $S^7$  as a Hopf fibration over  $CP^3$ . As we shall see, this is not the case when the Hopf fibration is lifted to the  $D=11$  supermanifold  $OSp(8|4)/SO(7) \times SO(1,3)$  having 32 fermionic directions. An additional local Lorentz transformation, which is *not* part of the  $OSp(8|4)$  isometries, will be required to bring the supervielbeins of this supermanifold to the Kaluza–Klein form, thus allowing us to perform its dimensional reduction to the  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$  type IIA supergravity solution in  $D = 10$  superspace with 32 fermionic coordinates.

## 5. Lifting the $S^7$ Hopf fibration to $D = 11$ superspace

The superfield descriptions of type IIA  $D = 10$  and of  $D = 11$  supergravity are based on a superspace with 32 fermionic coordinates which in the  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$  and  $AdS_4 \times S^7$  backgrounds can be described by spinors  $\theta^{\alpha\alpha'}$  carrying  $AdS_4$  Majorana spinor indices ( $\alpha = 1, 2, 3, 4$ ) and the indices ( $\alpha' = 1, \dots, 8$ ) of an 8-dimensional spinor representation of  $SO(6)$  or  $SO(8)$ , respectively. In the  $AdS_4 \times S^7$  solution of  $D = 11$  supergravity,  $\theta^{\alpha\alpha'}$  are the coordinates of the coset supermanifold  $OSp(8|4)/SO(7) \times SO(1,3)$  associated with the 32 Grassmann-odd generators  $Q_{\alpha\alpha'}$  of  $OSp(8|4)$  (for a detailed description see *e.g.* [23, 24]).

On the other hand, the coset supermanifold  $OSp(6|4)/U(3) \times SO(1,3)$  (for its detailed description see *e.g.* [26, 27, 28, 30]) is parametrized by ten bosonic coordinates  $X^M = (x^m, y^{m'})$  corresponding to its bosonic body  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$  and by 24 fermionic coordinates  $\vartheta^{\alpha\alpha'}$ , where again  $\alpha = 1, 2, 3, 4$  are the  $AdS_4$  Majorana spinor indices and  $\alpha' = 1, \dots, 6$  are the indices of a 6-dimensional representation of  $SO(6) \simeq SU(4)$ . The 24 fermionic coordinates are associated with the 24 Grassmann-odd generators  $Q_{\alpha\alpha'}$  of the  $OSp(6|4)$  algebra.

The 24 generators  $Q_{\alpha\alpha'}$  and the corresponding fermionic coordinates  $\vartheta^{\alpha\alpha'}$  can be obtained from the 32 Grassmann-odd generators  $Q_{\alpha\alpha'}$  of  $OSp(8|4)$  and the coordinates  $\theta^{\alpha\alpha'}$  by acting on the  $SO(8)$  spinor indices with the projection matrix  $\mathcal{P}_6$  introduced in [39] (see [30] and Appendices B and C.2 for more details)

$$\mathcal{P}_6 = \frac{1}{8}(6 - J), \quad (5.1)$$

where  $J$  is the  $8 \times 8$  symmetric matrix

$$J = -iJ_{a'b'} \gamma^{a'b'} \gamma^7 \quad \text{such that} \quad J^2 = 4J + 12, \quad (5.2)$$

with  $\gamma_{\alpha'\beta'}^{a'}$  ( $a' = 1, \dots, 6$ ) and  $\gamma_{\alpha'\beta'}^7$  being seven  $8 \times 8$  gamma matrices (see Appendix A).

The matrix  $J$  has six eigenvalues  $-2$  and two eigenvalues  $6$ , *i.e.* its diagonalization is given by

$$J = \text{diag}(-2, -2, -2, -2, -2, -2, 6, 6). \quad (5.3)$$

Therefore, the projector (5.1) when acting on an 8–dimensional spinor annihilates 2 components and preserves 6 of its components, while the complementary projector

$$\mathcal{P}_2 = \frac{1}{8}(2 + J), \quad \mathcal{P}_2 + \mathcal{P}_6 = \mathbf{1} \quad (5.4)$$

annihilates 6 and preserves 2 spinor components.

Thus the generators

$$(\mathcal{P}_6 Q)_{\alpha\alpha'} \iff Q_{\alpha\alpha'}, \quad \alpha' = 1, \dots, 6 \quad (5.5)$$

have 24 non–zero components and can be associated with the 24 Grassmann–odd generators  $Q_{\alpha\alpha'}$  of  $OSp(6|4)$ . Accordingly, the 24 fermionic variables

$$(\mathcal{P}_6 \theta)^{\alpha\alpha'} \iff \vartheta^{\alpha\alpha'}, \quad \alpha' = 1, \dots, 6 \quad (5.6)$$

can be associated with the 24 fermionic coordinates  $\vartheta^{\alpha\alpha'}$  of  $OSp(6|4)/U(3) \times SO(1, 3)$ .

On the other hand, acting on  $Q_{\alpha\alpha'}$  with the projector  $\mathcal{P}_2$  (5.4) one gets 8 generators

$$(\mathcal{P}_2 Q)_{\alpha\alpha'} \iff \mathcal{Q}_{\alpha i}, \quad i = 7, 8 \quad (5.7)$$

which correspond to the eight supersymmetries broken by the  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$  background. The associated 8 fermionic coordinates of the type IIA superspace are

$$(\mathcal{P}_2 \theta)^{\alpha\alpha'} \iff v^{\alpha i}, \quad i = 7, 8. \quad (5.8)$$

Note that the eight operators  $\mathcal{Q}_{\alpha i}$  generate an  $OSp(2|4)$  subalgebra of  $OSp(8|4)$  (see Appendices B and C.2 for more details)

$$\{\mathcal{Q}_{\alpha i}, \mathcal{Q}_{\beta j}\} = -2i\epsilon_{ij} \gamma_{\alpha\beta}^5 T_2 - 2\delta_{ij} (\gamma_{\alpha\beta}^a P_a - i(\gamma^5 \gamma^{ab})_{\alpha\beta} M_{ab}), \quad (5.9)$$

$$[M_{ab}, \mathcal{Q}_{\alpha i}] = -\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{Q}_{\beta i} (\gamma_{ab})^\beta{}_\alpha, \quad [P_a, \mathcal{Q}_{\alpha i}] = i \mathcal{Q}_{\beta i} (\gamma^5 \gamma_a)^\beta{}_\alpha, \quad [T_2, \mathcal{Q}_{\alpha i}] = 2\epsilon_{ij} \mathcal{Q}_{\alpha j},$$

where  $T_2$  is the  $U(1) \simeq SO(2)$  generator of  $SO(8)$  in  $OSp(8|4)$  which commutes with  $OSp(6|4)$ , so that  $OSp(6|4) \times SO(2)$  is a subgroup of  $OSp(8|4)$ . Recall that we have introduced the generator  $T_2$  in Section 4.

The generators  $P_a$  and  $M_{ab}$  form the  $Sp(4) \simeq Spin(2, 3)$  algebra

$$[P_a, P_b] = -4M_{ab}, \quad [M_{ab}, M_{cd}] = \eta_{ac} M_{bd} + \eta_{bd} M_{ac} - \eta_{bc} M_{ad} - \eta_{ad} M_{bc}. \quad (5.10)$$

$$[M_{ab}, P_c] = \eta_{ac} P_b - \eta_{bc} P_a. \quad (5.11)$$

### 5.1 Hopf fibration of the $OSp(6|4)/U(3) \times SO(1, 3)$ supercoset

Let us now lift the  $OSp(6|4)/U(3) \times SO(1, 3)$  solution of IIA supergravity to  $D = 11$  by constructing a  $U(1)$  bundle over this supermanifold along the lines of the Hopf fibration of  $S^7$  discussed in Section 4. This is realized by constructing a coset superspace

$$\frac{OSp(6|4) \times U(1)}{SU(3) \times U'(1) \times SO(1, 3)}, \quad (5.12)$$

having 11 bosonic and 24 fermionic directions. In (5.12)  $U(1)$  is generated by  $T_2$  and  $U'(1)$  is generated by  $T' = \frac{3}{4}(T_2 - T_1)$  (see eq. (4.1)). We take a coset representative of this superspace in the following form

$$K_{11,24}(x, y, z, \vartheta) = K_{10,24}(x, y, \vartheta) e^{z T_2} \quad (5.13)$$

where  $K_{10,24}(x, y, \vartheta)$  is a coset representative of  $OSp(6|4)/U(3) \times SO(1, 3)$  which can be taken in any convenient form, *e.g.* in one of those considered in [26, 27, 28, 30] (or Appendix A).

The supervielbeins and superconnections of the supercoset (5.12) are encoded in the  $OSp(6|4) \times U(1)$  Cartan form

$$K_{11,24}^{-1} dK_{11,24} = K_{10,24}^{-1} dK_{10,24} + dz T_2, \quad (5.14)$$

where the  $OSp(6|4)$  Cartan form

$$\begin{aligned} K_{10,24}^{-1} dK_{10,24} = & E^a(x, y, \vartheta) P_a + E^{a'}(x, y, \vartheta) P_{a'} + E^{\alpha\alpha'}(x, y, \vartheta) Q_{\alpha\alpha'} \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \Omega^{ab}(x, y, \vartheta) M_{ab} + \Omega^I(x, y, \vartheta) L_I + A(x, y, \vartheta) T_1 \end{aligned} \quad (5.15)$$

contains the supervielbeins and superconnections of  $OSp(6|4)/U(3) \times SO(1, 3)$  whose explicit form can be found in [26, 27, 28, 30] (or Appendix A). The  $SU(3) \times U(1)$  generators  $L_I$  and  $T_1$  have been introduced in Section 4.

Now, as in the case of the 7–sphere, eq. (4.11), we single out proper supervielbeins and superconnections of the supercoset (5.12) as follows

$$\begin{aligned} K_{11,24}^{-1} dK_{11,24} = & E^a(x, y, \vartheta) P_a + E^{a'}(x, y, \vartheta) P_{a'} + (dz + A(x, y, \vartheta)) P_7 + E^{\alpha\alpha'}(x, y, \vartheta) Q_{\alpha\alpha'} \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \Omega^{ab}(x, y, \vartheta) M_{ab} + \Omega^I(x, y, \vartheta) L_I + (dz - \frac{1}{3} A(x, y, \vartheta)) T'. \end{aligned} \quad (5.16)$$

Given that

$$Z^{\tilde{\mathcal{M}}} = (x^m, y^{m'}, \vartheta^{\alpha\alpha'}) \quad (5.17)$$

are the supercoordinates parametrizing  $OSp(6|4)/U(3) \times SO(1, 3)$  and that  $z$  is the coordinate of the Hopf fiber, the 11 bosonic and 24 fermionic supervielbeins are given by

$$E^{\hat{A}} = (E^a, E^{\hat{a}'}), \quad E^a = dZ^{\tilde{\mathcal{M}}} E_{\tilde{\mathcal{M}}}^a(x, y, \vartheta), \quad E^{\hat{a}'} = dZ^{\tilde{\mathcal{M}}} E_{\tilde{\mathcal{M}}}^{\hat{a}'}(x, y, \vartheta) = (E^{a'}, E^7), \quad (5.18)$$

$$E^7 = dz + dZ^{\tilde{\mathcal{M}}} A_{\tilde{\mathcal{M}}}(x, y, \vartheta)$$

while the 24 fermionic supervielbeins are

$$E^{\alpha\alpha'} = dZ^{\tilde{\mathcal{M}}} E_{\tilde{\mathcal{M}}}^{\alpha\alpha'}(x, y, \vartheta). \quad (5.19)$$

The connections of the stability group  $U(3) \times SO(1, 3)$  are given in the last line of (5.16).

We see that the components of the supervielbeins and connections do not depend on the 11th coordinate  $z$ , which appears only in the differential of the  $E^7$  vielbein. Moreover, the supervielbein components  $dz E_7^A = (dz E_7^a, dz E_7^{a'}, dz E_7^{\alpha\alpha'})$  are all zero. Thus, the realization of the coset supermanifold (5.12) considered above has a Hopf fibration structure generalizing that of the 7–sphere. The dimensional reduction of this supermanifold to  $D = 10$  is then straightforward. One must just project it orthogonally to the  $U(1)$  fiber direction, *i.e.* to pick  $E^a, E^{a'}$  and  $E^{\alpha\alpha'}$  as the supervielbeins of the  $D = 10$  superspace and to consider  $dZ^{\tilde{M}} A_{\tilde{M}}(x, y, \vartheta)$  as the RR one–form potential of the type IIA supergravity theory. Note that in this reduced type IIA superspace solution, the dilaton superfield is constant and the dilatino superfield vanishes.

The difference with respect to the purely bosonic case is that whereas the  $S^7$  fibration has an enhanced  $SO(8)$  isometry, the isometry supergroup of the supermanifold (5.12) is still  $OSp(6|4) \times U(1)$ , since  $SO(8)$  is not its subgroup. The extension to  $SO(8)$  and, hence, to  $OSp(8|4)$  requires the introduction of 8 additional Grassmann–odd generators.

On the other hand, it can be directly verified that the  $D = 11$  superspace with 24 fermionic directions considered above is a solution of superfield constraints of  $D = 11$  supergravity (and, hence, of its equations of motion). It thus provides a description of the maximally supersymmetric  $AdS_4 \times S^7$  solution in a reduced superspace which can be regarded as a sub-superspace of  $OSp(8|4)/SO(7) \times SO(1, 3)$ .

## 5.2 $U(1)$ bundle structure of the $OSp(8|4)/SO(7) \times SO(1, 3)$ supercoset

Let us now extend the supercoset (5.12) to the full  $OSp(8|4)/SO(7) \times SO(1, 3)$  supercoset. This is achieved by taking the following group element of  $OSp(8|4)$  as the coset representative of  $OSp(8|4)/SO(7) \times SO(1, 3)$

$$K_{11,32}(x, y, z, \theta) = K_{11,24}(x, y, z, \vartheta) e^{v^{\alpha i} Q_{\alpha i}} = K_{10,24}(x, y, \vartheta) e^{z T_2} e^{v^{\alpha i} Q_{\alpha i}}, \quad (5.20)$$

where  $K_{11,24}(x, y, z, \vartheta)$  is the same coset representative as in (5.13) and  $\theta = (\vartheta, v)$  are the 32–component fermionic coordinates which, using the projectors (5.1) and (5.4), split into 24–component  $\vartheta$ 's and 8–component  $v$ 's. Note that the group element  $e^{v^{\alpha i} Q_{\alpha i}}$  can be regarded as the representative of the purely fermionic supercoset  $\frac{OSp(2|4)}{SO(2) \times SO(2, 3)}$ .

The  $OSp(8|4)$ –valued Cartan form constructed with (5.20) is

$$\begin{aligned} K_{11,32}^{-1} dK_{11,32} &= e^{-v \mathcal{Q}} (K_{11,24}^{-1} dK_{11,24}) e^{v \mathcal{Q}} + e^{-v \mathcal{Q}} d e^{v \mathcal{Q}} \\ &= e^{-v \mathcal{Q}} (K_{10,24}^{-1} dK_{10,24}) e^{v \mathcal{Q}} + dz e^{-v \mathcal{Q}} T_2 e^{v \mathcal{Q}} + e^{-v \mathcal{Q}} d e^{v \mathcal{Q}} \end{aligned} \quad (5.21)$$

or, using the commutation relations (5.9) and the form of  $K_{10,24}^{-1} dK_{10,24}$  (5.15)

$$\begin{aligned} K_{11,32}^{-1} dK_{11,32} &= E^a(x, y, \vartheta) e^{-v \mathcal{Q}} P_a e^{v \mathcal{Q}} + E^{\alpha\alpha'}(x, y, \vartheta) e^{-v \mathcal{Q}} Q_{\alpha\alpha'} e^{v \mathcal{Q}} + E^{a'}(x, y, \vartheta) P_{a'} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \Omega^{ab}(x, y, \vartheta) e^{-v \mathcal{Q}} M_{ab} e^{v \mathcal{Q}} + \Omega^I(x, y, \vartheta) L_I + A(x, y, \vartheta) T_1 \\ &\quad + dz e^{-v \mathcal{Q}} T_2 e^{v \mathcal{Q}} + e^{-v \mathcal{Q}} d e^{v \mathcal{Q}}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.22)$$

Note that the supervielbein and connection terms in (5.22) corresponding to the  $SU(4)$  generators  $P_{a'}$ ,  $L_I$  and  $T_1$  do not receive contributions from  $v^{\alpha i}$ , since these generators commute with  $\mathcal{Q}_{\alpha i}$ .

Furthermore, we can expand the Cartan form (5.22) in the basis of the  $OSp(8|4)$  generators. The expansion contains generators along the  $AdS_4$ ,  $CP^3$  and  $z$  directions, along the generators of their stability group  $SO(1,3) \times SU(3) \times U'(1)$  and the rest. It is given by

$$\begin{aligned} K_{11,32}^{-1} dK_{11,32} &= E_{11,32}^a P_a + E_{11,32}^{a'} P_{a'} + E_{11,32}^7 P_7 + E_{11,32}^{\alpha i} \mathcal{Q}_{\alpha i} + E_{11,32}^{\alpha a'} \mathcal{Q}_{\alpha a'} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \Omega_{11,32}^{ab} M_{ab} + \Omega_{10,24}^I L_I + \Omega'_{11,32} T' + \tilde{\Omega}_{11,32}^{a'i} \tilde{M}_{a'i}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.23)$$

where, we remind the reader that  $P_7$  and  $T'$  were defined in (4.1) and (4.2), while

$$\tilde{M}_{a'i} \Leftrightarrow \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{P}_6 \gamma^{\tilde{a}'\tilde{b}'} \mathcal{P}_2 M_{\tilde{a}'\tilde{b}'} + \frac{i}{2} \mathcal{P}_6 \gamma^{a'} \mathcal{P}_2 P_{a'}, \quad (5.24)$$

with  $M_{\tilde{a}'\tilde{b}'}$  being the generators of  $SO(8)$  (see Appendix B).  $\tilde{M}_{a'i}$  are the generators which complete the  $SO(6) \times SO(2)$  algebra to  $SO(8)$ . They differ from the generators  $M_{a'i}$  introduced in Appendix B, eqs. (B.21)–(B.23), by the shift along the  $CP^3$  translations generated by  $P_{a'} = -M_{a'8} + J_{a'b'} M_{b'7}$ . The reason for this redefinition is that the commutators of the generators  $M_{a'i}$ , defined in eqs. (B.22), produces the generators of the  $SO(6) \times SO(2)$  subgroup of the  $SO(8)$  group, and, in particular the  $CP^3$  coset generators  $P_{a'}$ . Thus,  $M_{a'i}$  themselves cannot be regarded as generators belonging to the structure group  $SO(7)$  of the 7–sphere. The commutators of the  $SO(7)$  generators should not produce the translations along  $S^7$ . Therefore, to make  $M_{a'i}$  part of  $SO(7)$  one must redefine them as in eq. (5.24). This redefinition results in the appearance of the additional (second) term in the expression for the supervielbein  $E_{11,32}^{a'}$  in eq. (5.25) below.

All functions of  $v^{\alpha i}$  in (5.23) can be explicitly computed using the commutation relations (5.9), (B.21) and (B.23) and applying the method described *e.g.* in [21, 22, 23, 24]. The supervielbeins we get are

$$\begin{aligned} E_{11,32}^a &= E_{10,24}^a - 4v\gamma^a \frac{\sinh^2 \mathcal{M}/2}{\mathcal{M}^2} Dv + dz E_7^a(v), \\ E_{11,32}^{a'} &= E_{10,24}^{a'} - 2v \frac{\sinh m}{m} \gamma^{a'} \gamma^5 E_{10,24}, \\ E_{11,32}^7 &= dz \Phi(v) + A_{10,24} - 4iv \varepsilon \gamma^5 \frac{\sinh^2 \mathcal{M}/2}{\mathcal{M}^2} Dv, \\ E_{11,32}^{\alpha i} &= \left( \frac{\sinh \mathcal{M}}{\mathcal{M}} (Dv - 2dz \varepsilon v) \right)^{\alpha i}, \\ E_{11,32}^{\alpha a'} &= E_{10,24}^{\alpha a'} - 8E_{10,24}^{\beta a'} \left( i\gamma^5 v \frac{\sinh^2 m/2}{m^2} \right)_{\beta i} v^{\alpha i}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.25)$$

the  $SO(1,3)$  connection is

$$\Omega_{11,32}^{ab} = \Omega_{10,24}^{ab} + 8iv\gamma^{ab}\gamma^5 \frac{\sinh^2 \mathcal{M}/2}{\mathcal{M}^2} (Dv - 2dz \varepsilon v), \quad (5.26)$$

the one-form  $\tilde{\Omega}^{a'i}$  is

$$\tilde{\Omega}_{11,32}^{a'i} = 4E_{10,24}^{\alpha a'} \left( i\gamma^5 v \frac{\sinh m}{m} \right)_\alpha^i \quad (5.27)$$

and the one-form  $\Omega'_{11,32}$  is

$$\Omega'_{11,32} = dz \Phi(v) - \frac{1}{3} A_{10,24} - 4iv \varepsilon \gamma^5 \frac{\sinh^2 \mathcal{M}/2}{\mathcal{M}^2} Dv. \quad (5.28)$$

The  $SO(7)$  connection in the considered realization of the supercoset  $OSp(8|4)/SO(7) \times SO(1,3)$  can be computed from (5.23) and has the form

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \Omega_{11,32}^{a'b'} M_{a'b'} + \Omega_{11,32}^{a'7} M_{a'7} &= (E_{11,32}^{b'} + 4v \frac{\sinh m}{m} \gamma^{b'} \gamma^5 E_{10,24}) J_{b'a'} M_{a'7} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} (\Omega_{10,24}^{a'b'} - E_{11,32}^7 J^{a'b'} - 2iv \frac{\sinh m}{m} \gamma^{a'b'} \gamma^5 E_{10,24}) M_{a'b'}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.29)$$

The functions appearing in (5.25)–(5.28) are defined as<sup>17</sup>

$$(\mathcal{M}^2)^{\alpha i}{}_{\beta j} = 4i(\varepsilon v)^{\alpha i} (v \varepsilon \gamma^5)_{\beta j} - 2i(\gamma^5 \gamma^a v)^{\alpha i} (v \gamma_a)_{\beta j} - i(\gamma^{ab} v)^{\alpha i} (v \gamma_{ab} \gamma^5)_{\beta j}, \quad (5.30)$$

$$(m^2)^{ij} = -4iv^i \gamma^5 v^j,$$

$$E_7^a(v) = 8v \gamma^a \frac{\sinh^2 \mathcal{M}/2}{\mathcal{M}^2} \varepsilon v, \quad (5.31)$$

$$\Phi(v) = 1 + 8iv \varepsilon \gamma^5 \frac{\sinh^2 \mathcal{M}/2}{\mathcal{M}^2} \varepsilon v$$

and

$$Dv = \left( d + iE_{10,24}^a \gamma^5 \gamma_a - \frac{1}{4} \Omega_{10,24}^{ab} \gamma_{ab} \right) v. \quad (5.32)$$

All quantities in (5.25)–(5.32) labeled as  $E_{10,24}$ ,  $\Omega_{10,24}$  *etc.* are the ones which describe the supercoset  $OSp(6|4)/U(3) \times SO(1,3)$  and are explicitly known (see *e.g.* [21, 26, 27, 28, 30] and Appendix A).

Analyzing eqs. (5.22)–(5.32) we observe, in particular, that due to the multiplication by  $e^{vQ}$  in (5.22), the  $AdS_4$  supervielbeins and the  $SO(1,3)$  superconnections (5.15) corresponding to the supercoset  $OSp(6|4)/U(3) \times SO(1,3)$  acquire non-trivial dependence on the 8 additional fermionic variables  $v^{\alpha i}$ . In the first line of (5.22) and in (5.23) there are also terms with components of the superconnection corresponding to the generators (5.24) which extend the  $SO(6) \times SO(2)$  algebra to  $SO(8)$  because of the non-trivial anti-commutators of the 24 supersymmetry generators  $Q_{\alpha a'}$  with the 8 supersymmetry generators  $Q_{\alpha i}$  (eqs. (B.21)–(B.23)).

We also observe that, in contrast to the cases discussed in Sections 4 and 5.1, the  $U(1)$ -bundle realization of the  $OSp(8|4)/SO(7) \times SO(1,3)$  supercoset geometry in (5.23) does not

---

<sup>17</sup>Note that only positive even powers of  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $m$  appear in the above expressions when they are expanded.

allow for its direct dimensional reduction to a  $D = 10$  superspace because of the presence of the term  $dz E_7^a(v)$ . This term contributes to the components of the supervielbein along the directions tangent to  $AdS_4$  and has a ‘leg’ along the compactified direction parametrized by the  $z$ -coordinate.<sup>18</sup> As we discussed in the end of Section 4, to perform the Kaluza–Klein dimensional reduction such components of the (super)vielbein must be put to zero.

From the supervielbeins in (5.25) we can also construct the supergeometry corresponding to the superspace with  $AdS_4 \times S^7/Z_k$  bosonic body, a background of eleven dimensional supergravity which preserves 24 supersymmetries (for  $k > 2$ ) and is the near horizon geometry of  $N$  M2-branes probing the  $C^4/Z_k$  singularity. Geometrically, this superspace is obtained by orbifolding the  $OSp(8|4)/SO(7) \times SO(1,3)$  supercoset geometry by  $Z_k \subset U(1)$ , where  $U(1)$  is the commutant of  $SU(4)$  in  $SO(8)$ . The corresponding supervielbeins are simply obtained from those in (5.25) by replacing  $z \rightarrow z/k$ .

### 5.3 Hopf fibration form of the $OSp(8|4)/SO(7) \times SO(1,3)$ geometry and its reduction to type IIA superspace

To eliminate the term  $dz E_7^a(v)$  from the  $OSp(8|4)/SO(7) \times SO(1,3)$  supervielbein we should perform an appropriate local Lorentz rotation in the 5-plane  $(E^a, E^7)$  tangential to  $AdS_4 \times S^1$ , where  $S^1$  is the  $U(1)$  fiber direction in  $S^7$ . Obviously, such a transformation is not an isometry of the coset supermanifold  $OSp(8|4)/SO(7) \times SO(1,3)$  and should therefore be regarded simply as a change of local frame. Upon this Lorentz transformation we shall get the  $D = 11$  supervielbeins in a form which will allow us to directly identify the corresponding  $D = 10$  supervielbeins, the RR one-form gauge superfield and the dilaton superfield of type IIA supergravity.

Let  $E^{\hat{A}} = (E^a, E^{a'}, E^7)$  be the 11 bosonic components of the  $OSp(8|4)/SO(7) \times SO(1,3)$  supervielbein given in (5.25). To eliminate the  $dz E_7^a(v)$  component of  $E^a$  we perform the following Lorentz transformation

$$\underline{\mathcal{E}}^a = E^b \Lambda_b^a(v) + E^7 \Lambda_7^a(v), \quad \underline{\mathcal{E}}^7 = E^b \Lambda_b^7(v) + E^7 \Lambda_7^7(v), \quad (5.33)$$

where the parameters  $\Lambda_{\hat{b}}^{\hat{a}}(v)$  ( $\hat{a} = (a, 7) = 0, 1, 2, 3, 7$ ) depend on the 8 fermionic coordinates  $v^{\alpha i}$  and satisfy the 5-dimensional Lorentz group orthogonality conditions

$$\Lambda_{\hat{a}}^{\hat{c}} \Lambda_{\hat{b}}^{\hat{d}} \eta_{\hat{c}\hat{d}} = \eta_{\hat{a}\hat{b}}, \quad (5.34)$$

or in components

$$\Lambda_a^c \Lambda_b^d \eta_{cd} + \Lambda_a^7 \Lambda_b^7 = \eta_{ab}, \quad \Lambda_7^c \Lambda_7^d \eta_{cd} + (\Lambda_7^7)^2 = 1, \quad \Lambda_7^c \Lambda_a^d \eta_{cd} + \Lambda_7^7 \Lambda_a^7 = 0 \quad (5.35)$$

and

$$\Lambda_a^c \Lambda_b^d \eta^{ab} + \Lambda_7^c \Lambda_7^d = \eta^{cd}, \quad \Lambda_c^7 \Lambda_d^7 \eta^{cd} + (\Lambda_7^7)^2 = 1, \quad \Lambda_c^7 \Lambda_d^a \eta^{cd} + \Lambda_7^7 \Lambda_7^a = 0. \quad (5.36)$$

---

<sup>18</sup>A somewhat amusing remark is that the term  $dz E_7^a(v)$ , in a certain sense, ‘mixes’ the  $AdS_4$  geometry with the  $U(1)$  fiber direction of the  $S^7$ . On the other hand, the more ‘natural’ terms like  $dz E_7^{a'}(v)$  along the  $CP^3$  tangent space, which would mix the Hopf fiber direction with  $CP^3$ , are absent. They would correspond to some vielbein components on the  $S^7$ .



In addition  $\Lambda_{\hat{b}}^{\hat{a}}(v)$  is determined by the requirement that the  $\underline{\mathcal{E}}_7^a$  component of the transformed supervielbein vanishes and that at  $v = 0$  it reduces to the unit matrix

$$\Lambda_{\hat{b}}^{\hat{a}}(v)|_{v=0} = \delta_{\hat{b}}^{\hat{a}}, \quad \underline{\mathcal{E}}_7^a = E_7^b \Lambda_b^a + \Phi \Lambda_7^a = 0, \quad (5.37)$$

where  $\Phi(v) := E_7^7$ ,  $\Phi(0) = 1$  (see eq. (5.31)). From eq. (5.37) we find that

$$\Lambda_7^a(v) = -\frac{1}{\Phi(v)} E_7^b(v) \Lambda_b^a(v). \quad (5.38)$$

Then, solving the orthogonality conditions (5.35) and (5.36) we find the expressions for the parameters of the Lorentz transformation in terms of  $E_7^a(v)$  and  $\Phi(v)$

$$\Lambda_7^7 = \frac{\Phi}{\sqrt{\Phi^2 + E^2}}, \quad (5.39)$$

$$\Lambda_a^7 = \frac{E_7^a}{\sqrt{\Phi^2 + E^2}}, \quad (5.40)$$

where  $E^2 \equiv E_7^a E_7^b \eta_{ab}$ , and

$$\Lambda_a^c \Lambda_b^d \eta_{cd} = \eta_{ab} - \frac{E_7^a E_7^b}{\Phi^2 + E^2}, \quad (5.41)$$

$$\Lambda_a^b = \delta_a^b - E_7^a E_7^b \frac{\sqrt{\Phi^2 + E^2} - \Phi}{E^2 \sqrt{\Phi^2 + E^2}} \Rightarrow \det \Lambda_a^b = \frac{\Phi}{\sqrt{\Phi^2 + E^2}}.$$

Finally eq. (5.38) can be rewritten as

$$\Lambda_7^a = -\frac{E_7^a}{\sqrt{\Phi^2 + E^2}}. \quad (5.42)$$

One can notice that  $\Lambda_{\hat{b}}^{\hat{a}}$  depend only on the vector parameter  $\frac{1}{\Phi} E_7^a$  and thus can be regarded as a kind of ‘‘Lorentz boost’’ along the  $S^7$  fiber direction.

The following ten components of the Lorentz transformed  $D = 11$  supervielbeins

$$\underline{\mathcal{E}}^A(x, y, \vartheta, v) = (\underline{\mathcal{E}}^a, \underline{\mathcal{E}}^{a'}), \quad A = 0, 1, \dots, 9; \quad a = 0, 1, 2, 3; \quad a' = 1, \dots, 6 \quad (5.43)$$

$$\underline{\mathcal{E}}^a = E^b \Lambda_b^a(v) + E^7 \Lambda_7^a(v), \quad \underline{\mathcal{E}}^{a'} = E^{a'}$$

form an appropriate bosonic supervielbein of the complete  $(32 - \theta)$  superfield solution of type IIA supergravity corresponding to the  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$  vacuum. The IIA dilaton superfield is

$$e^{\frac{2}{3}\phi(v)} = \Phi \Lambda_7^7 + E_7^a \Lambda_a^7 = \sqrt{\Phi^2 + E_7^a E_7^b \eta_{ab}}. \quad (5.44)$$

One can notice that the dilaton superfield of this type IIA solution depends only on the eight fermionic coordinates  $v^{\alpha i}$  which correspond to the broken supersymmetries of the  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$  background.

In addition to the Lorentz rotation of the vector supervielbeins, we should also perform a corresponding Lorentz rotation of the components of the connections and of the spinor

supervielbeins  $E^{\alpha a'}$  and  $E^{\alpha i}$ . In particular, the Lorentz rotation of the connection components  $\Omega^{a'7}$  will produce a “mixed”  $AdS_4$ – $CP^3$  term  $\Omega^{a'a} = \Omega^{a'7}\Lambda_7^a$  which transforms as a tensor under  $U(3) \times SO(1,3)$  and hence can be absorbed into a redefined torsion of the type IIA superspace.

As far as the Lorentz rotation of the spinor supervielbeins is concerned, it is worth noting that the Lorentz rotation of the spinors associated with (5.34)–(5.36) is generated by the gamma–matrices  $\Gamma^a\Gamma^{11} = \gamma^a\gamma^5 \otimes \gamma^7$  which commute with the projectors (5.1) and (5.4) and thus does not mix the 24 and 8–component spinors. The explicit form of the Lorentz rotation acting on spinors,  $S_{\underline{\alpha}}^{\underline{\beta}}(v)$  ( $\underline{\alpha} = (\alpha\alpha')$ ), can be derived using the well known relations between the vector and spinor representations of the Lorentz group

$$S^{-1}\Gamma^{\hat{a}}S = \Gamma^{\hat{b}}\Lambda_{\hat{b}}^{\hat{a}}, \quad S_{\underline{\alpha}}^{\underline{\gamma}}S_{\underline{\beta}}^{\underline{\delta}}C_{\underline{\gamma}\underline{\delta}} = C_{\underline{\alpha}\underline{\beta}}, \quad (5.45)$$

where  $\Gamma^{\hat{a}} = (\Gamma^a, \Gamma^{11})$  are  $32 \times 32$  gamma–matrices defined in (A.8) and  $C = C \otimes C'$  is the corresponding charge conjugation matrix.

Since the Lorentz transformation giving rise to supervielbeins and connections compatible with the KK ansatz corresponds to a Lorentz rotation with the “velocity” parameter  $w^a = E_7^a/\Phi$ , the corresponding matrix acting on the fermions (5.45) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{w^a}{|w|}\Gamma_a\Gamma_{11}\tan^{-1}|w|\right) \quad (w^a = E_7^a/\Phi) \\ &= 2^{-1/2}(1+w^2)^{-1/4}\left(\sqrt{\sqrt{1+w^2}+1} - \frac{w^a}{|w|}\Gamma_a\Gamma_{11}\sqrt{\sqrt{1+w^2}-1}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.46)$$

Performing the Lorentz rotation described above, the  $D = 11$  supervielbeins (upon a Weyl rescaling) acquire a form which is suitable for the dimensional reduction to  $D = 10$  superspace in the string frame [45, 46]:

$$\underline{\mathcal{E}}^{\hat{A}} = (e^{-\frac{1}{3}\phi}\mathcal{E}^A, \underline{\mathcal{E}}^{11}), \quad \underline{\mathcal{E}}^{11} = e^{\frac{2}{3}\phi}(dz + \mathcal{A}_1), \quad (5.47)$$

$$\underline{\mathcal{E}}^{\underline{\alpha}} = e^{-\frac{1}{6}\phi}\mathcal{E}^{\underline{\alpha}} + e^{\frac{1}{6}\phi}\underline{\mathcal{E}}^{11}(\Gamma^{11}\lambda)^{\underline{\alpha}},$$

where the index 11 is identified with the index 7 of the  $U(1)$  fiber direction of  $S^7$  and

$$\mathcal{A}_1(x, y, \vartheta, v) = e^{-\frac{2}{3}\phi(v)}dZ^{\mathcal{M}}(E_{\mathcal{M}}^a\Lambda_a{}^7 + E_{\mathcal{M}}{}^7\Lambda_7{}^7). \quad (5.48)$$

The one forms  $\mathcal{E}^A(x, y, \theta) = (\mathcal{E}^A, \mathcal{E}^{\underline{\alpha}})$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_1(x, y, \vartheta, v)$ , the spinor superfield  $\lambda_{\underline{\alpha}}(x, y, \theta)$ , with non-zero components

$$\lambda_{\alpha i} = -\frac{1}{3}D_{\alpha i}\phi(v), \quad (5.49)$$

and the scalar superfield  $\phi(x, y, \theta)$  do not depend on the 11th coordinate  $z$ . They describe, respectively, the supervielbeins, the RR one–form gauge superfield, the dilatino and the dilaton superfields of type IIA supergravity in the *string frame*, eqs. (2.4) and (2.5). The RR field strength  $F_4$  and the NS–NS field strength  $H_3$  given in eqs. (2.6) are obtained from

the  $D = 11$  four-form field strength by the conventional dimensional reduction described in [46]. By construction they solve the type IIA supergravity constraints and describe the  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$  background which preserves 24 supersymmetries. The explicit form of these and other relevant IIA superfields has been given in Section 2. Using this  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$  supergeometry, we can write down the complete Green–Schwarz–type action for the superstring and D–branes on this background (Section 3).

## 6. Conclusion

We have constructed the complete type IIA superspace with 32 fermionic coordinates which describes the  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$  vacuum solution of IIA supergravity preserving 24 supersymmetries in terms of superfields depending on 32 fermionic coordinates. Our construction guarantees that the geometry of this superspace and the vacuum configurations of NS–NS and RR superfields living in it solve the type IIA supergravity constraints (and therefore the full set of type IIA equations of motion).<sup>19</sup> An important qualitative difference with previous constructions of supergeometries is that the  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$  superspace is not a coset space and that the type IIA  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$  superbackground is not maximally supersymmetric.

Having the explicit form of the type IIA  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$  supergeometry has allowed us to write down the Green–Schwarz–type action for the superstring and D–branes propagating in this background. This provides us with a concrete framework in which to study the most general classical and quantum dynamics of these branes. These actions complete to the full 32–component superspace the string sigma–model actions based on the  $OSp(6|4)/U(3) \times SO(1, 3)$  supercoset constructed and studied in [26, 27, 28, 30].

We have analyzed the integrability of the classical equations of motion of the superstring in different submanifolds of the full  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$  superspace. For the submanifold described by the  $OSp(6|4)/U(3) \times SO(1, 3)$  supercoset, the classical equations of motion are integrable, as already has been shown in [26, 27] following the integrability criteria for sigma–models based on supercosets discovered by Bena, Polchinski and Roiban [31]. We have also considered the supergeometry corresponding to the “complementary” submanifold in  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$  superspace. Here we find that this sector of the theory is not based on a supercoset, but on a “twisted”  $OSp(2|4)/SO(2) \times SO(1, 3)$  superspace, whose supergeometry we have explicitly constructed by restricting the total superspace to this submanifold. Whether the equations of motion in this sector of the theory are classically integrable remains an important open problem. The fact that the complete  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$  superspace is not a coset space requires that more general methods are used to prove whether the superstring equations of motion are classically integrable. The explicit construction in this paper of the geometry for the  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$  superspace provides a framework in which to study this problem.

---

<sup>19</sup>As an alternative procedure of deriving this supergeometry one might try to directly solve the type IIA supergravity constraints up to the 32-nd order in fermionic variables taking the 24–component  $OSp(6|4)/U(3) \times SO(1, 3)$  solution as the initial condition.

Another important question for the future is to understand whether the classical dynamics of the string worldsheet can be encoded in the Hamiltonian describing the spectrum of anomalous dimensions of the holographic dual ABJM theory, extending to this holographic correspondence the analogous results found for the  $AdS_5/CFT_4$  correspondence. It also remains a challenge to find more arguments in favour of the exact integrability of the planar dilatation operator in the ABJM theory. The ultimate fate of the classical integrability of the Green-Schwarz superstring action in  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$  and the integrability of the planar ABJM dilatation operator are likely to be related, and remain amongst the most important open problems in this new holographic correspondence.

## Acknowledgments

J.G. would like to thank Joaquim Gomis and D.S. would like to thank I. Bandos, M. Cederwall, P.A. Grassi, B. Nilsson, P. Pasti, M. Tonin and M. Vasiliev for valuable discussions. D.S. is thankful to the Perimeter Institute for kind hospitality and financial support of his stay in which the main part of this work was accomplished. Research at Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics is supported in part by the Government of Canada through NSERC and by the Province of Ontario through MRI. J.G. also acknowledges further support from an NSERC Discovery Grant. Work of D.S. and L.W. was partially supported by the INFN Special Initiative TV12, by the European Commission FP6 program MRTN-CT-2004-005104 and by the INTAS Project Grant 05-100008-7928 in which D.S. and L.W. were associated to the Department of Physics ‘‘Galileo Galilei’’ of Padova University. D.S. was also partially supported by an Excellence Grant of Fondazione Cariparo and the grant FIS2008-1980 of the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation.

## Appendix A. Main notation and conventions.

The convention for the ten and eleven dimensional metrics is the ‘almost plus’ signature  $(-, +, \dots, +)$ . Generically, the tangent space vector indices are labeled by letters from the beginning of the Latin alphabet, while letters from the middle of the Latin alphabet stand for curved (world) indices. The spinor indices are labeled by Greek letters.

### $AdS_4$ space

$AdS_4$  is parametrized by the coordinates  $x^m$  and its vielbeins are  $e^a = dx^m e_m^a(x)$ ,  $m = 0, 1, 2, 3$ ;  $a = 0, 1, 2, 3$ . The  $D = 4$  gamma–matrices satisfy:

$$\{\gamma^a, \gamma^b\} = 2\eta^{ab}, \quad \eta^{ab} = \text{diag}(-, +, +, +), \quad (\text{A.1})$$

$$\gamma^5 = i\gamma^0 \gamma^1 \gamma^2 \gamma^3, \quad \gamma^5 \gamma^5 = 1. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

The charge conjugation matrix  $C$  is antisymmetric, the matrices  $(\gamma^a)_{\alpha\beta} \equiv (C\gamma^a)_{\alpha\beta}$  and  $(\gamma^{ab})_{\alpha\beta} \equiv (C\gamma^{ab})_{\alpha\beta}$  are symmetric and  $\gamma_{\alpha\beta}^5 \equiv (C\gamma^5)_{\alpha\beta}$  is antisymmetric, with  $\alpha, \beta = 1, 2, 3, 4$  being the indices of a 4–dimensional spinor representation of  $SO(1, 3)$  or  $SO(2, 3)$ .

### $CP^3$ space

$CP^3$  is parametrized by the coordinates  $y^{m'}$  and its vielbeins are  $e^{a'} = dy^{m'} e_{m'}^{a'}(y)$ ,  $m' = 1, \dots, 6$ ;  $a' = 1, \dots, 6$ . The  $D = 6$  gamma-matrices satisfy:

$$\{\gamma^{a'}, \gamma^{b'}\} = 2\delta^{a'b'}, \quad \delta^{a'b'} = \text{diag}(+, +, +, +, +, +), \quad (\text{A.3})$$

$$\gamma^{\hat{7}} = \frac{i}{6!} \epsilon_{a'_1 a'_2 a'_3 a'_4 a'_5 a'_6} \gamma^{a'_1} \dots \gamma^{a'_6} \quad \gamma^{\hat{7}} \gamma^{\hat{7}} = 1. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

The charge conjugation matrix  $C'$  is symmetric and the matrices  $(\gamma^{a'})_{\alpha'\beta'} \equiv (C \gamma^{a'})_{\alpha'\beta'}$  and  $(\gamma^{a'b'})_{\alpha'\beta'} \equiv (C' \gamma^{a'b'})_{\alpha'\beta'}$  are antisymmetric, with  $\alpha', \beta' = 1, \dots, 8$  being the indices of an 8-dimensional spinor representation of  $SO(6)$  or  $SO(8)$ .

### Seven-sphere

$S^7$  is parametrized by the coordinates  $\hat{y}^{\hat{m}'} = (y^{m'}, z)$ , where  $z$  stands for the coordinate of the Hopf fiber in the description of  $S^7$  as a  $U(1)$  bundle over  $CP^3$ , and its vielbeins are  $e^{\hat{a}'} = d\hat{y}^{\hat{m}'} e_{\hat{m}'}^{\hat{a}'}(\hat{y})$ ,  $\hat{m}' = (m', 7)$ ;  $\hat{a}' = (a', 7)$ . The  $D = 7$  gamma-matrices are given by

$$\gamma^{\hat{a}'} = (\gamma^{a'}, \gamma^{\hat{7}}), \quad (\text{A.5})$$

and satisfy the Clifford algebra

$$\{\gamma^{\hat{a}'}, \gamma^{\hat{b}'}\} = 2\delta^{\hat{a}'\hat{b}'}, \quad \delta^{\hat{a}'\hat{b}'} = \text{diag}(+, +, +, +, +, +, +). \quad (\text{A.6})$$

### Type IIA $AdS_4 \times CP^3$ superspace

The type IIA superspace whose bosonic body is  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$  is parametrized by 10 bosonic coordinates  $X^M = (x^m, y^{m'})$  and 32-fermionic coordinates  $\theta^\mu = (\theta^{\mu\mu'})$  ( $\mu = 1, 2, 3, 4$ ;  $\mu' = 1, \dots, 8$ ). These combine into the superspace supercoordinates  $Z^{\mathcal{M}} = (x^m, y^{m'}, \theta^{\mu\mu'})$ . The type IIA supervielbeins are

$$\mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{A}} = dZ^{\mathcal{M}} \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{A}}(Z) = (\mathcal{E}^A, \mathcal{E}^\alpha), \quad \mathcal{E}^A(Z) = (\mathcal{E}^a, \mathcal{E}^{a'}), \quad \mathcal{E}^\alpha(Z) = \mathcal{E}^{\alpha\alpha'}. \quad (\text{A.7})$$

The  $D = 10$  gamma-matrices  $\Gamma^A$  are given by

$$\{\Gamma^A, \Gamma^B\} = 2\eta^{AB}, \quad \Gamma^A = (\Gamma^a, \Gamma^{a'}), \quad (\text{A.8})$$

$$\Gamma^a = \gamma^a \otimes \mathbf{1}, \quad \Gamma^{a'} = \gamma^5 \otimes \gamma^{a'}, \quad \Gamma^{11} = \gamma^5 \otimes \gamma^{\hat{7}}, \quad a = 0, 1, 2, 3; \quad a' = 1, \dots, 6.$$

The charge conjugation matrix is  $\mathcal{C} = C \otimes C'$ .

### Torsion constraint

Our convention for the essential constraint on the torsion  $D\mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{A}} = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{B}} T_{\mathcal{BC}}^{\mathcal{A}}$  of IIA supergravity is  $T_{\underline{\alpha}\underline{\beta}}^{\underline{A}} = 2\Gamma_{\underline{\alpha}\underline{\beta}}^{\underline{A}}$ . This choice is related to the form of the  $OSp(8|4)$  algebra (Appendix B, eq. (B.7)) and differs from that of [46] by the factor  $2i$ .

## Explicit form of the vielbeins and connections of $OSp(6|4)/U(3) \times SO(1,3)$

The Cartan form is

$$\begin{aligned} K_{10,24}^{-1} dK_{10,24} &= E_{10,24}^a P_a + E_{10,24}^{a'} P_{a'} + E_{10,24}^{\alpha a'} Q_{\alpha a'} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \Omega_{10,24}^{ab} M_{ab} + \frac{1}{2} \Omega_{10,24}^{a'b'} (L_{a'b'} - \frac{1}{6} J_{a'b'} J^{c'd'} L_{c'd'}) + A_{10,24} T_1. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.9})$$

Computing these quantities explicitly using the commutation relations (B.15), the form of the  $SU(4)$  generators of Appendix C.2 and applying the method described *e.g.* in [21, 22, 23, 24] one finds

$$\begin{aligned} E_{10,24}^a &= e^a(x) - 4\vartheta \gamma^a \frac{\sinh^2 \mathcal{M}_{24}/2}{\mathcal{M}_{24}^2} D_{24}\vartheta, \\ E_{10,24}^{a'} &= e^{a'}(y) - 4\vartheta \gamma^{a'} \gamma^5 \frac{\sinh^2 \mathcal{M}_{24}/2}{\mathcal{M}_{24}^2} D_{24}\vartheta, \\ E_{10,24}^{\alpha a'} &= \left( \frac{\sinh \mathcal{M}_{24}}{\mathcal{M}_{24}} D_{24}\vartheta \right)^{\alpha a'}, \\ \Omega_{10,24}^{ab} &= \omega^{ab}(x) + 8i\vartheta \gamma^{ab} \gamma^5 \frac{\sinh^2 \mathcal{M}_{24}/2}{\mathcal{M}_{24}^2} D_{24}\vartheta, \\ \Omega_{10,24}^{a'b'} &= \omega^{a'b'}(y) - 4i\vartheta (\gamma^{a'b'} - iJ^{a'b'} \gamma^7) \gamma^5 \frac{\sinh^2 \mathcal{M}_{24}/2}{\mathcal{M}_{24}^2} D_{24}\vartheta, \\ A_{10,24} &= \frac{1}{8} J_{a'b'} \Omega_{10,24}^{a'b'} = A(y) - 4\vartheta \gamma^7 \gamma^5 \frac{\sinh^2 \mathcal{M}_{24}/2}{\mathcal{M}_{24}^2} D_{24}\vartheta, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.10})$$

where

$$(\mathcal{M}_{24}^2)^{\alpha a' \beta b'} = 4i\vartheta \gamma_{b'}^{\alpha} (\vartheta^{a'} \gamma^5)_{\beta} - 4i\delta_{b'}^{a'} \vartheta^{\alpha c'} (\vartheta \gamma^5)_{\beta c'} - 2i(\gamma^5 \gamma^a \vartheta)^{\alpha a'} (\vartheta \gamma_a)_{\beta b'} - i(\gamma^{ab} \vartheta)^{\alpha a'} (\vartheta \gamma_{ab} \gamma^5)_{\beta b'}. \quad (\text{A.11})$$

The derivative appearing in the above equations is defined as

$$D_{24}\vartheta = \mathcal{P}_6 \left( d + ie^a \gamma^5 \gamma_a + ie^{a'} \gamma_{a'} - \frac{1}{4} \omega^{ab} \gamma_{ab} - \frac{1}{4} \omega^{a'b'} \gamma_{a'b'} \right) \vartheta, \quad (\text{A.12})$$

where  $e^a(x)$ ,  $e^{a'}(y)$ ,  $\omega^{ab}(x)$ ,  $\omega^{a'b'}(y)$  and  $A(y)$  are the vielbeins and connections of the bosonic  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$  solution (see Section 4).

The  $U(3)$ -connection  $\Omega_{10,24}^{a'b'} = \Omega_{SU(3)}^{a'b'} + \frac{4}{3} A_{10,24} J^{a'b'}$  satisfies the condition

$$(P^-)_{a'b'}{}^{c'd'} \Omega_{c'd'} = \frac{1}{2} (\delta_{[a'}{}^{c'} \delta_{b']}{}^{d'} - J_{[a'}{}^{c'} J_{b']}{}^{d'}) \Omega_{c'd'} = 0, \quad (\text{A.13})$$

where  $J_{a'b'}$  is the Kähler form on  $CP^3$ . Remember also that  $\vartheta = \mathcal{P}_6 \theta$  (see eqs. (C.8) and (C.12)).

### Superspace $OSp(8|4)/SO(7) \times SO(1,3)$

Its bosonic body is  $AdS_4 \times S^7$  and it is parametrized by the supercoordinates  $\hat{Z}^{\hat{M}} = (Z^{\mathcal{M}}, z) = (x^m, y^{m'}, z, \theta^{\mu\mu'})$ . The corresponding supervielbeins are

$$E_{11|32}^{\hat{A}} = d\hat{Z}^{\hat{M}} \hat{E}_{\hat{M}}^{\hat{A}}(\hat{Z}) = dZ^{\mathcal{M}} \hat{E}_{\mathcal{M}}^{\hat{A}}(\hat{Z}) + dz \hat{E}_7^{\hat{A}}(\hat{Z}) = (\hat{E}^A, \hat{E}^7, \hat{E}^{\alpha\alpha'}). \quad (\text{A.14})$$

The label 7 stands for the 7th direction along  $S^7$  and 11-th direction of  $D = 11$ .

### Appendix B. $OSp(8|4)$ , $OSp(2|4)$ and $OSp(6|4)$ .

#### $OSp(8|4)$ superalgebra<sup>20</sup>

This superalgebra consists of the following:

#### $SO(2,3) \simeq Sp(4)$ subalgebra

$$[P_a, P_b] = -4M_{ab}, \quad [M_{ab}, M_{cd}] = \eta_{ac} M_{bd} + \eta_{bd} M_{ac} - \eta_{bc} M_{ad} - \eta_{ad} M_{bc}, \quad (\text{B.1})$$

$$[M_{ab}, P_c] = \eta_{ac} P_b - \eta_{bc} P_a \quad (\text{B.2})$$

where  $P_a$  are the generators of  $AdS_4$  translations and  $M_{ab}$  are the generators of  $SO(1,3)$ .

#### $SO(8)$ subalgebra

$$[M_{\tilde{a}'\tilde{b}'}, M_{\tilde{c}'\tilde{d}'}] = \delta_{\tilde{a}'\tilde{c}'} M_{\tilde{b}'\tilde{d}'} - \delta_{\tilde{b}'\tilde{c}'} M_{\tilde{a}'\tilde{d}'} + \delta_{\tilde{b}'\tilde{d}'} M_{\tilde{a}'\tilde{c}'} - \delta_{\tilde{a}'\tilde{d}'} M_{\tilde{b}'\tilde{c}'}. \quad (\text{B.3})$$

where

$$M_{\tilde{a}'\tilde{b}'} = (M_{a'b'}, M_{a'7}, M_{a'8}, M_{78}), \quad (\text{B.4})$$

and  $M_{a'b'}$  ( $a', b' = 1, \dots, 6$ ) are the generators of  $SO(6)$ .

#### Supersymmetry generators $Q_{\alpha\alpha'}$ in $OSp(8|4)$

$$[P_a, Q_{\alpha\alpha'}] = i(Q_{\alpha'} \gamma^5 \gamma_a)_\alpha, \quad [M_{ab}, Q_{\alpha\alpha'}] = -\frac{1}{2}(Q_{\alpha'} \gamma_{ab})_\alpha, \quad (\text{B.5})$$

$$[M_{\tilde{a}'\tilde{b}'}, Q_{\alpha\alpha'}] = -\frac{1}{2}(Q_\alpha \tilde{\gamma}_{\tilde{a}'\tilde{b}'})_{\alpha'}, \quad (\text{B.6})$$

$$\{Q_{\alpha\alpha'}, Q_{\beta\beta'}\} = -2C'_{\alpha'\beta'} (\gamma_{\alpha\beta}^a P_a - i(\gamma^5 \gamma^{ab})_{\alpha\beta} M_{ab}) - i\gamma_{\alpha\beta}^5 (\tilde{\gamma}^{\tilde{a}'\tilde{b}'})_{\alpha'\beta'} M_{\tilde{a}'\tilde{b}'}, \quad (\text{B.7})$$

where  $\alpha = 1, 2, 3, 4$  are  $Spin(2,3)$  indices and  $\alpha' = 1, \dots, 8$  are  $Spin(8)$  indices. We remind the reader that the matrices  $C'_{\alpha'\beta'}$ ,  $\gamma_{\alpha\beta}^a = (C\gamma^a)_{\alpha\beta}$  and  $\gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{ab} \equiv (C\gamma^{ab})_{\alpha\beta}$  are symmetric in spinor indices and the matrices  $C_{\alpha\beta}$ ,  $\gamma_{\alpha\beta}^5 \equiv (C\gamma^5)_{\alpha\beta}$  and  $(\tilde{\gamma}^{\tilde{a}'\tilde{b}'})_{\alpha'\beta'}$  are antisymmetric. The  $8 \times 8$  matrices  $\tilde{\gamma}^{\tilde{a}'\tilde{b}'}$  – which generate  $SO(8)$  – are given by

$$\tilde{\gamma}^{\tilde{a}'\tilde{b}'} = -\tilde{\gamma}^{\tilde{b}'\tilde{a}'} = (\gamma^{a'b'}, \gamma^{a'7}, \gamma^{a'8}, \gamma^{78}), \quad \gamma^{a'8} \equiv i\gamma^{a'}, \quad \gamma^{78} \equiv i\gamma^7. \quad (\text{B.8})$$

<sup>20</sup>Our conventions are similar to those in [60] modulo the minus sign in the definition of the generators of  $SO(1,3)$  and  $SO(8)$ .

### $OSp(2|4)$ superalgebra

This algebra has 8 Grassmann-odd generators  $\mathcal{Q}_{\alpha i}$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) which obey the following (anti)commutation relations

$$[P_a, \mathcal{Q}_{\alpha i}] = i(\mathcal{Q}_i \gamma^5 \gamma_a)_\alpha, \quad [M_{ab}, \mathcal{Q}_{\alpha i}] = -\frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{Q}_i \gamma_{ab})_\alpha, \quad (\text{B.9})$$

$$[T_2, \mathcal{Q}_{\alpha i}] = 2\epsilon_i^j \mathcal{Q}_{\alpha j}, \quad (\text{B.10})$$

$$\{\mathcal{Q}_{\alpha i}, \mathcal{Q}_{\beta j}\} = -2\delta_{ij}(\gamma_{\alpha\beta}^a P_a - i(\gamma^5 \gamma^{ab})_{\alpha\beta} M_{ab}) - 2i\gamma_{\alpha\beta}^5 \epsilon_{ij} T_2, \quad (\text{B.11})$$

where  $P_a$  and  $M_{ab}$  are the generators of  $SO(2, 3)$  and  $T_2$  is the generator of  $SO(2)$  and  $\epsilon_{ij} = -\epsilon_{ji}$ ,  $\epsilon_{12} = 1$ .

As a subalgebra of  $OSp(8|4)$  the superalgebra  $OSp(2|4)$  can be obtained from eqs. (B.5)–(B.7) by singling out 8 fermionic generators  $\mathcal{Q}_{\alpha i}$  from the 32 generators  $Q_{\alpha\alpha'}$  by applying to the latter the projector  $\mathcal{P}_2$  which has two non-zero eigenvalues (see Appendix C.2 for more details)

$$\mathcal{P}_2 = \frac{1}{8}(2 + J), \quad J = -iJ_{a'b'} \gamma^{a'b'} \gamma^7, \quad (\text{B.12})$$

$$(\mathcal{P}_2 Q)_{\alpha\alpha'} \iff \mathcal{Q}_{\alpha i}, \quad (\text{B.13})$$

where  $J_{a'b'}$  are components of the Kähler form on  $CP^3$ . Thus, there is the following correspondence between the quantities appearing in (B.5)–(B.8) and in (B.9)–(B.11)

$$T_2 = -\frac{1}{2}(J^{a'b'} M_{a'b'} + 2M_{78}), \quad (\mathcal{P}_2 C' \mathcal{P}_2)_{\alpha'\beta'} \iff \delta_{ij}, \quad (\mathcal{P}_2 \gamma^7 \mathcal{P}_2)_{\alpha'\beta'} \iff i\epsilon_{ij}. \quad (\text{B.14})$$

### $OSp(6|4)$ superalgebra

This algebra has 24 Grassmann-odd generators  $Q_{\alpha a'}$  ( $a' = 1, \dots, 6$ ) which obey the following (anti)commutation relations

$$[P_a, Q_{\alpha a'}] = i(Q_{a'} \gamma^5 \gamma_a)_\alpha, \quad [M_{ab}, Q_{\alpha a'}] = -\frac{1}{2}(Q_{a'} \gamma_{ab})_\alpha,$$

$$[M_{a'b'}, Q_{\alpha c'}] = \delta_{a'c'} Q_{\alpha b'} - \delta_{b'c'} Q_{\alpha a'}, \quad (\text{B.15})$$

$$\{Q_{\alpha a'}, Q_{\beta b'}\} = -2\delta_{a'b'}(\gamma_{\alpha\beta}^a P_a - i(\gamma^5 \gamma^{ab})_{\alpha\beta} M_{ab}) - 4i\gamma_{\alpha\beta}^5 M_{a'b'},$$

where  $P_a$  and  $M_{ab}$  are the generators of  $SO(2, 3)$  and  $M_{a'b'}$  are the generators of  $SO(6)$

$$[M_{a'b'}, M_{c'd'}] = \delta_{a'c'} M_{b'd'} - \delta_{b'c'} M_{a'd'} + \delta_{b'd'} M_{a'c'} - \delta_{a'd'} M_{b'c'}. \quad (\text{B.16})$$

As a subalgebra of  $OSp(8|4)$  the superalgebra  $OSp(6|4)$  can be obtained from eqs. (B.5)–(B.7) by singling out 24 fermionic generators  $Q_{\alpha a'}$  from the 32 generators  $Q_{\alpha\alpha'}$  by



applying to the latter the projector  $\mathcal{P}_6$  which has six non-zero eigenvalues (see Appendix C.2 for more details)

$$\mathcal{P}_6 = \frac{1}{8}(6 - J), \quad J = -iJ_{a'b'} \gamma^{a'b'} \gamma^7, \quad (\text{B.17})$$

$$(\mathcal{P}_6 Q)_{\alpha\alpha'} \iff Q_{\alpha\alpha'}. \quad (\text{B.18})$$

Thus, there is the following correspondence between the  $SO(8)$  generators appearing in (B.5)–(B.8) and the  $SO(6)$  generators appearing in (B.15)

$$\frac{1}{4}(\mathcal{P}_6 \tilde{\gamma}^{\tilde{a}'\tilde{b}'} \mathcal{P}_6)_{\alpha'\beta'} M_{\tilde{a}'\tilde{b}'} \iff M_{a'b'}, \quad (\mathcal{P}_6 C' \mathcal{P}_6)_{\alpha'\beta'} \iff \delta_{a'b'}. \quad (\text{B.19})$$

In particular, the generator  $T_1$  of the  $U(1)$  subgroup of the  $CP^3$  structure group, which appeared in Sections 4 and 5, is

$$T_1 = \frac{1}{6}J^{a'b'} M_{a'b'} - M_{78}. \quad (\text{B.20})$$

#### $OSp(8|4)$ closure of $Q_{\alpha\alpha'}$ and $Q_{\alpha i}$

The anticommutator of  $Q_{\alpha\alpha'}$  and  $Q_{\alpha i}$

$$\{Q_{\alpha\alpha'}, Q_{\beta i}\} = -4i \gamma_{\alpha\beta}^5 M_{a'i} \quad (\text{B.21})$$

produces the generators

$$M_{a'i} = (M_{a'7}, M_{a'8}) \iff \frac{1}{4}(\mathcal{P}_6 \tilde{\gamma}^{\tilde{a}'\tilde{b}'} \mathcal{P}_2)_{\alpha'\beta'} M_{\tilde{a}'\tilde{b}'} \quad (\text{B.22})$$

that correspond to the coset  $SO(8)/SO(6) \times SO(2)$  and thus complement the  $SO(6) \times SO(2)$  generators  $M_{a'b'}$  and  $T_2$  (which can be associated with (redefined)  $M_{78}$ ) to complete the full  $SO(8)$  algebra. Finally, the  $OSp(2|4)$  and  $OSp(6|4)$  superalgebras complete the full  $OSp(8|4)$  superalgebra with the following commutation relations

$$[M_{a'i}, Q_{\alpha b'}] = \delta_{a'b'} Q_{\alpha i}, \quad [M_{a'i}, Q_{\alpha j}] = -\delta_{ij} Q_{\alpha a'}. \quad (\text{B.23})$$

## Appendix C. $SU(3) \times U(1)$ embeddings into $SO(6)$ .

### C.1 $SU(3) \times U(1)$ embedding into $SO(6)$ and the $CP^3$ coset generators

Let  $M_{a'b'} = -M_{b'a'}$  ( $a', b' = 1, \dots, 6$ ) be the 15 generators of the  $SO(6)$  algebra (B.16).

Let  $J_{a'b'} = -J_{b'a'}$  be a constant antisymmetric matrix (determining the components of the Kähler form on  $CP^3$ ) satisfying the relations

$$J_{a'b'} = -J_{b'a'}, \quad J_{a'c'} J^{c'b'} = -\delta_{a'b'}, \quad \epsilon_{a'b'c'd'e'f'} J^{a'b'} J^{c'd'} = 8 J_{e'f'}. \quad (\text{C.1})$$

Let  $(P^\pm)_{a'b'}{}^{c'd'}$  be the following  $15 \times 15$  projection matrices

$$(P^\pm)_{a'b'}{}^{c'd'} = \frac{1}{2}(\delta_{[a'c'} \delta_{b']}{}^{d'} \pm J_{[a'c'} J_{b']}{}^{d'}), \quad P^+ + P^- = \mathbf{1}. \quad (\text{C.2})$$

The matrix  $P^+$  has 9 non-zero eigenvalues and the matrix  $P^-$  has 6 non-zero eigenvalues.

Then the generators

$$L_{a'b'} = (P^+)_{a'b'}{}^{c'd'} M_{c'd'} \quad (\text{C.3})$$

form the algebra  $U(3) = SU(3) \times U(1) \subset SO(6)$  with  $SU(3)$  generated by

$$L_{a'b'} - \frac{1}{6} J_{a'b'} J^{c'd'} M_{c'd'} \quad (\text{C.4})$$

and the  $U(1)$  generated by

$$T' = -\frac{1}{2} J^{c'd'} M_{c'd'}. \quad (\text{C.5})$$

The remaining generators of  $SU(4) \simeq Spin(6)$ , namely

$$K_{a'b'} = (P^-)_{a'b'}{}^{c'd'} M_{c'd'} \quad (\text{C.6})$$

form the coset space  $CP^3 = SU(4)/SU(3) \times U(1)$ . They have the following generic form of the commutation relations

$$[K, K] = L, \quad [K, L] = K. \quad (\text{C.7})$$

For the construction of the  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$  superspace we have, however, used a different realization of the  $SU(4)$  algebra introduced below.

## C.2 $SU(3) \times U(1)$ embedding into $Spin(6)$ and its extension to $SU(4)$ and $Spin(8)$ via $Spin(7)$ .

The necessity of understanding such an embedding is caused by the fact that the 24 fermionic generators  $Q$  of the  $OSp(6|4)$  superalgebra (which is the super-isometry of the  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$  solution of IIA supergravity preserving 24 supersymmetries) have a natural realization as a direct product of 4-dimensional spinors of  $Sp(4) \simeq Spin(2, 3)$  and 6-dimensional vectors of  $SO(6)$ , *i.e.*  $Q_{\alpha a'}$  carry the  $Spin(2, 3)$  spinor indices  $\alpha = 1, 2, 3, 4$  and  $SO(6)$  vector indices  $a' = 1, \dots, 6$ . The structure of the  $OSp(6|4)$  superalgebra is given in eqs. (B.15).

At the same time the fermionic variables  $\theta^\alpha$  of IIA supergravity carry 32-component spinor indices of  $Spin(1, 9)$  which in the  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$  background naturally split into 4-dimensional  $Spin(1, 3)$  indices and 8-dimensional spinor indices of  $Spin(6)$ , *i.e.*  $\theta^\alpha = \theta^{\alpha\alpha'}$  ( $\alpha = 1, 2, 3, 4$ ;  $\alpha' = 1, \dots, 8$ ). 24 of these  $\theta$ 's should correspond to the unbroken supersymmetries of the  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$  background generated by the 24  $Q_{\alpha a'}$ .

These 24  $\theta$  are singled out by a projector introduced in [39] which is constructed using the Kähler form (C.1) and seven  $8 \times 8$  antisymmetric gamma-matrices (A.3). The  $8 \times 8$  projector matrix has the following form

$$\mathcal{P}_6 = \frac{1}{8}(6 - J), \quad (\text{C.8})$$

where the  $8 \times 8$  matrix

$$J = -iJ_{a'b'} \gamma^{a'b'} \gamma^7 \quad \text{such that} \quad J^2 = 4J + 12 \quad (\text{C.9})$$

has six eigenvalues  $-2$  and two eigenvalues  $6$ , *i.e.* its diagonalization results in

$$J = \text{diag}(-2, -2, -2, -2, -2, -2, 6, 6). \quad (\text{C.10})$$

Therefore, the projector (C.8) when acting on an 8-dimensional spinor annihilates 2 and leaves 6 of its components, while the complementary projector

$$\mathcal{P}_2 = \frac{1}{8}(2 + J), \quad \mathcal{P}_2 + \mathcal{P}_6 = \mathbf{1} \quad (\text{C.11})$$

annihilates 6 and leaves 2 spinor components.

Thus the spinor

$$\vartheta^{\alpha\alpha'} = (\mathcal{P}_6 \theta)^{\alpha\alpha'} \iff \vartheta^{\alpha\alpha'} \quad \alpha' = 1, \dots, 6 \quad (\text{C.12})$$

has 24 non-zero components and the spinor

$$v^{\alpha\alpha'} = (\mathcal{P}_2 \theta)^{\alpha\alpha'} \iff v^{\alpha i} \quad i = 1, 2 \quad (\text{C.13})$$

has 8 non-zero components. The latter corresponds to the eight supersymmetries broken by the  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$  background.

We would like to relate the 24-component fermionic variable  $\vartheta^{\alpha\alpha'}$  to the Grassmann-odd generators  $Q_{\alpha\alpha'}$  taking values in the 6-dimensional vector representation of  $Spin(6) \simeq SU(4)$ . To this end, remember that the original fermionic variable  $\theta^{\alpha\alpha'}$  takes values in the 8-dimensional spinor representation of  $Spin(6) \simeq SU(4)$ , generated by the antisymmetric product of 6 gamma-matrices  $\gamma^{a'}$

$$M_{a'b'} = -\frac{1}{2} \gamma_{a'b'}, \quad \gamma_{a'b'} \equiv \frac{1}{2} (\gamma_{a'} \gamma_{b'} - \gamma_{b'} \gamma_{a'}). \quad (\text{C.14})$$

The projected spinor (C.12) will therefore transform by the generators of the form

$$L_{a'b'} = -\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{P}_6 \gamma_{a'b'} \mathcal{P}_6. \quad (\text{C.15})$$

The question is what algebra is generated by (C.15)? Naively, one might think that it is again  $Spin(6) \sim SU(4)$ . However, it turns out that only the generators of the  $U(3)$  subgroup of  $Spin(6)$  survive under the action of the projector  $\mathcal{P}_6$ . Namely, using the (anti)commutation relation of  $J$  (defined in (C.9)) with  $\gamma^{a'}$

$$J \gamma^{a'} + \gamma^{a'} J = -4i J^{a' b'} \gamma^{b'} \gamma^7, \quad [\gamma_{a'b'}, J] = 8i J_{[a' c'} \gamma_{b']c'} \gamma^7 \quad (\text{C.16})$$

one can show that the following identities hold

$$L_{a'b'} = -\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{P}_6 \gamma_{a'b'} \mathcal{P}_6 = -\frac{1}{2} (P^+)_{a'b'}{}^{c'd'} \mathcal{P}_6 \gamma_{c'd'} \mathcal{P}_6, \quad (P^-)_{a'b'}{}^{c'd'} \mathcal{P}_6 \gamma_{c'd'} \mathcal{P}_6 = 0, \quad (\text{C.17})$$

$$\mathcal{P}_6 \gamma_{a'b'} \mathcal{P}_2 = (P^-)_{a'b'}{}^{c'd'} \mathcal{P}_6 \gamma_{c'd'} \mathcal{P}_2, \quad (P^+)_{a'b'}{}^{c'd'} \mathcal{P}_6 \gamma_{c'd'} \mathcal{P}_2 = 0, \quad (\text{C.18})$$

where  $P^\pm$  were defined in (C.2). Thus, in view of the consideration of Subsection Appendix C.  $SU(3) \times U(1)$  embeddings into  $SO(6)$  the operators (C.15) indeed generate the  $U(3)$  algebra, their  $SU(3)$  and  $U(1)$  subalgebras being generated, respectively, by<sup>21</sup>

$$C_{a'b'}{}^I L_I = 2L_{a'b'} - \frac{i}{3} J_{a'b'} \mathcal{P}_6 \gamma^7 \mathcal{P}_6 \quad (\text{C.19})$$

and

$$T' = \frac{1}{4} J_{a'b'} \mathcal{P}_6 \gamma^{a'b'} \mathcal{P}_6 = -\frac{i}{2} \mathcal{P}_6 \gamma^7 \mathcal{P}_6 \quad (\text{C.20})$$

(compare eqs. (C.19) and (C.20) with (C.4) and (C.5)).

Note that the  $CP^3$  coset space generators (C.6) do not survive under the  $\mathcal{P}_6$  projection. We should therefore find another way to extend the  $U(3)$  generators (C.15) to  $Spin(6) \simeq SU(4)$ . It turns out that the matrices  $\mathcal{P}_6 \gamma_{a'} \gamma^7 \mathcal{P}_6$  do this job, i.e. they correspond to the six generators of the coset space  $CP^3 = SU(4)/U(3)$ . Indeed, using the identities

$$\tilde{P}_{a'} = -\mathcal{P}_6 \gamma_{a'} \gamma^7 \mathcal{P}_6 = -\frac{1}{2} (\delta_{a'}{}^{b'} - i J_{a'}{}^{b'} \gamma^7) \mathcal{P}_6 \gamma_{b'} \gamma^7 \mathcal{P}_6, \quad (\text{C.21})$$

$$\mathcal{P}_6 \gamma_{a'} \mathcal{P}_2 = \frac{1}{2} (\delta_{a'}{}^{b'} + i J_{a'}{}^{b'} \gamma^7) \mathcal{P}_6 \gamma_{b'} \mathcal{P}_2, \quad \mathcal{P}_2 \gamma_{a'} \mathcal{P}_6 = \frac{1}{2} (\delta_{a'}{}^{b'} + i J_{a'}{}^{b'} \gamma^7) \mathcal{P}_2 \gamma_{b'} \mathcal{P}_6 \quad (\text{C.22})$$

and

$$\mathcal{P}_2 \gamma_{a'} \mathcal{P}_2 = 0 \quad (\text{C.23})$$

one can show that  $\tilde{P}_{a'}$ , defined in (C.21), and the  $U(3)$  generators  $L_{a'b'}$ , defined in eq.(C.15), form the following realization of the  $Spin(6) \simeq SU(4)$  algebra

$$[\tilde{P}_{a'}, \tilde{P}_{b'}] = 2L_{a'b'}, \quad [\tilde{P}_{a'}, L_{b'c'}] = (\delta_{a'b'} - i J_{a'b'} \gamma^7) \tilde{P}_{c'} - (\delta_{a'c'} - i J_{a'c'} \gamma^7) \tilde{P}_{b'}. \quad (\text{C.24})$$

Note that instead of the generators  $\tilde{P}_{a'}$  defined in (C.21) one can equivalently use the generators

$$P_{a'} = J_{a'}{}^{b'} \tilde{P}_{b'} = i \mathcal{P}_6 \gamma_{a'} \mathcal{P}_6 \quad (\text{C.25})$$

as the  $CP^3$  translations, as we actually do in the main part of the paper.

The six generators  $-\frac{1}{2} \gamma_{a'} \gamma^7$  extend  $Spin(6) \simeq SU(4)$  to  $Spin(7)$

$$M_{\hat{a}'\hat{b}'} = (M_{a'b'}, M_{a'7}), \quad M_{a'7} = -M_{7a'} = -\frac{1}{2} \gamma_{a'} \gamma^7, \quad \hat{a}' = (a', 7) \quad (\text{C.26})$$

$$[M_{\hat{a}'\hat{b}'}, M_{\hat{c}'\hat{d}'}] = \delta_{\hat{a}'\hat{c}'} M_{\hat{b}'\hat{d}'} - \delta_{\hat{b}'\hat{c}'} M_{\hat{a}'\hat{d}'} + \delta_{\hat{b}'\hat{d}'} M_{\hat{a}'\hat{c}'} - \delta_{\hat{a}'\hat{d}'} M_{\hat{b}'\hat{c}'}. \quad (\text{C.27})$$

Note also that the following matrices further extend the  $Spin(7)$  algebra (C.26) to  $Spin(8)$

$$M_{a'8} = -M_{8a'} \equiv -\frac{i}{2} \gamma_{a'}, \quad M_{78} \equiv -\frac{i}{2} \gamma^7. \quad (\text{C.28})$$

Namely, the  $Spin(8)$  algebra is generated by

$$\mathcal{M}_{\hat{a}'\hat{b}'} = (M_{a'b'}, M_{a'7}, M_{a'8}, M_{78}), \quad (\text{C.29})$$

---

<sup>21</sup>Note that in the main text, for brevity, the  $SU(3)$  generators associated with (C.19) are denoted by  $L_I$  (see e.g. eqs. (4.7)–(4.11), (5.15)–(5.16) and (5.22)).

where  $M_{a'8}$  and  $M_{78}$ , defined in (C.28), correspond to an  $S^7$ -sphere coset  $SO(8)/SO(7)$ .

In terms of the generators  $M_{a'7}$  and  $M_{a'8}$ , the  $CP^3$  generators (C.21) or (C.25) are given by

$$\tilde{P}_{a'} = M_{a'7} + J_{a'b'} M_{b'8}, \quad P_{a'} = -M_{a'8} + J_{a'b'} M_{b'7}.$$

Thus, to reduce 8-component spinors to 6-component “vectors” taking values in the corresponding representation of  $Spin(6) \simeq SU(4)$  one should start with the 8-component spinor representations of the  $Spin(7)$  algebra (C.26) and apply to them the projector  $\mathcal{P}_6$  (C.8).

What about the  $\mathcal{P}_2$  projection of  $\gamma_{a'b'}$ ? It has the form similar to eq. (C.17)

$$\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{P}_2 \gamma_{a'b'} \mathcal{P}_2 = \frac{1}{2} (P^+)_{a'b'}{}^{c'd'} \mathcal{P}_2 \gamma_{c'd'} \mathcal{P}_2, \quad (\text{C.30})$$

but now one should remember that  $\mathcal{P}_2$  has only 2 non-zero eigenvalues and, hence, the matrix  $\mathcal{P}_2 \gamma_{a'b'} \mathcal{P}_2$  is effectively a  $2 \times 2$  *antisymmetric* matrix (in spinor indices). Since there is only one independent  $2 \times 2$  antisymmetric matrix, the matrices (C.30) belong to an  $SO(2) \simeq U(1)$  algebra which commutes with the  $SU(4) \simeq SO(6)$  algebra generated by (C.15) and (C.21).

Thus, the generic form of the matrix (C.30) is  $X_{a'b'} \epsilon_{ij}$ , where  $X_{a'b'}$  and  $\epsilon_{ij}$  is an antisymmetric  $6 \times 6$  and  $2 \times 2$  matrix, respectively. Since the only  $U(3)$ -invariant antisymmetric  $6 \times 6$  matrix is  $J_{a'b'}$ , the matrices (C.30) actually reduce to

$$-\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{P}_2 \gamma_{a'b'} \mathcal{P}_2 = -\frac{i}{12} J_{a'b'} (\mathcal{P}_2 J \gamma^7 \mathcal{P}_2) = -\frac{i}{2} J_{a'b'} (\mathcal{P}_2 \gamma^7 \mathcal{P}_2), \quad (\text{C.31})$$

which can also be checked directly using an explicit form of the  $\gamma^a$ -matrices. The Abelian algebra generated by the  $2 \times 2$  antisymmetric matrix  $-\frac{i}{2} \mathcal{P}_2 \gamma^7 \mathcal{P}_2$  can be associated with the  $SO(2)$  subalgebra of  $SO(8)$  which commutes with  $SO(6)$  generated by eq. (C.24).

## References

- [1] J. Bagger and N. Lambert, “Modeling multiple M2’s,” Phys. Rev. D **75** (2007) 045020 [arXiv:hep-th/0611108];  
J. Bagger and N. Lambert, “Gauge Symmetry and Supersymmetry of Multiple M2-Branes,” Phys. Rev. D **77** (2008) 065008 [arXiv:0711.0955 [hep-th]];  
J. Bagger and N. Lambert, “Comments On Multiple M2-branes,” JHEP **0802** (2008) 105 [arXiv:0712.3738 [hep-th]].
- [2] A. Gustavsson, “Algebraic structures on parallel M2-branes,” arXiv:0709.1260 [hep-th].
- [3] J. Gomis, G. Milanesi and J. G. Russo, “Bagger-Lambert Theory for General Lie Algebras,” JHEP **0806** (2008) 075 [arXiv:0805.1012 [hep-th]];  
S. Benvenuti, D. Rodriguez-Gomez, E. Tonni and H. Verlinde, “N=8 superconformal gauge theories and M2 branes,” arXiv:0805.1087 [hep-th];  
P. M. Ho, Y. Imamura and Y. Matsuo, “M2 to D2 revisited,” JHEP **0807**, 003 (2008) [arXiv:0805.1202 [hep-th]];  
M. A. Bandres, A. E. Lipstein and J. H. Schwarz, “Ghost-Free Superconformal Action for Multiple M2-Branes,” JHEP **0807** (2008) 117 [arXiv:0806.0054 [hep-th]];

- J. Gomis, D. Rodriguez-Gomez, M. Van Raamsdonk and H. Verlinde, “Supersymmetric Yang-Mills Theory From Lorentzian Three-Algebras,” *JHEP* **0808** (2008) 094 [arXiv:0806.0738 [hep-th]];
- B. Ezhuthachan, S. Mukhi and C. Papageorgakis, “D2 to D2,” *JHEP* **0807**, 041 (2008) [arXiv:0806.1639 [hep-th]];
- H. Verlinde, “D2 or M2? A Note on Membrane Scattering,” arXiv:0807.2121 [hep-th].
- [4] O. Aharony, O. Bergman, D. L. Jafferis and J. Maldacena, “N=6 superconformal Chern-Simons-matter theories, M2-branes and their gravity duals,” *JHEP* **0810** (2008) 091 [arXiv:0806.1218 [hep-th]].
- [5] D. Gaiotto and E. Witten, “Janus Configurations, Chern-Simons Couplings, And The Theta-Angle in N=4 Super Yang-Mills Theory,” arXiv:0804.2907 [hep-th];  
K. Hosomichi, K. M. Lee, S. Lee, S. Lee and J. Park, “N=4 Superconformal Chern-Simons Theories with Hyper and Twisted Hyper Multiplets,” *JHEP* **0807**, 091 (2008) [arXiv:0805.3662 [hep-th]].
- [6] N. Drukker, J. Plefka and D. Young, “Wilson loops in 3-dimensional N=6 supersymmetric Chern-Simons Theory and their string theory duals,” arXiv:0809.2787 [hep-th].  
For Wilson loops in ABJM see also:  
B. Chen and J. B. Wu, “Supersymmetric Wilson Loops in N=6 Super Chern-Simons-matter theory,” arXiv:0809.2863 [hep-th];  
S. J. Rey, T. Suyama and S. Yamaguchi, “Wilson Loops in Superconformal Chern-Simons Theory and Fundamental Strings in Anti-de Sitter Supergravity Dual,” arXiv:0809.3786 [hep-th].
- [7] N. Drukker, J. Gomis and D. Young, “Vortex Loop Operators, M2-branes and Holography,” arXiv:0810.4344 [hep-th].
- [8] T. Nishioka and T. Takayanagi, “Fuzzy Ring from M2-brane Giant Torus,” *JHEP* **0810**, 082 (2008) [arXiv:0808.2691 [hep-th]].
- [9] D. Berenstein and D. Trancanelli, “Three-dimensional N=6 SCFT’s and their membrane dynamics,” arXiv:0808.2503 [hep-th].
- [10] J. Kluson and K. L. Panigrahi, “Defects and Wilson Loops in 3d QFT from D-branes in AdS(4) x CP\*\*3,” arXiv:0809.3355 [hep-th].
- [11] M. B. Green and J. H. Schwarz, “Covariant Description Of Superstrings,” *Phys. Lett. B* **136** (1984) 367;  
M. B. Green and J. H. Schwarz, “Properties Of The Covariant Formulation Of Superstring Theories,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **243** (1984) 285.
- [12] M. T. Grisaru, P. S. Howe, L. Mezincescu, B. Nilsson and P. K. Townsend, “N=2 Superstrings in a Supergravity Background,” *Phys. Lett. B* **162** (1985) 116.
- [13] E. Bergshoeff, E. Sezgin and P. K. Townsend, “Supermembranes and eleven-dimensional supergravity,” *Phys. Lett. B* **189** (1987) 75;  
E. Bergshoeff, E. Sezgin and P. K. Townsend, “Properties of the Eleven-Dimensional Super Membrane Theory,” *Annals Phys.* **185** (1988) 330.
- [14] M. Cederwall, A. von Gussich, B. E. W. Nilsson and A. Westerberg, “The Dirichlet super-three-brane in ten-dimensional type IIB supergravity,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **490** (1997) 163 [arXiv:hep-th/9610148];

- M. Cederwall, A. von Gussich, B. E. W. Nilsson, P. Sundell and A. Westerberg, “The Dirichlet super-p-branes in ten-dimensional type IIA and IIB supergravity,” Nucl. Phys. B **490** (1997) 179 [arXiv:hep-th/9611159].
- [15] M. Aganagic, C. Popescu and J. H. Schwarz, “D-brane actions with local kappa symmetry,” Phys. Lett. B **393** (1997) 311 [arXiv:hep-th/9610249].
- [16] E. Bergshoeff and P. K. Townsend, “Super D-branes,” Nucl. Phys. B **490** (1997) 145 [arXiv:hep-th/9611173].
- [17] I. A. Bandos, D. P. Sorokin and M. Tonin, “Generalized action principle and superfield equations of motion for  $D = 10$  D p-branes,” Nucl. Phys. B **497** (1997) 275 [arXiv:hep-th/9701127].
- [18] I. A. Bandos, K. Lechner, A. Nurmagambetov, P. Pasti, D. P. Sorokin and M. Tonin, “Covariant action for the super-five-brane of M-theory,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **78** (1997) 4332 [arXiv:hep-th/9701149];  
M. Aganagic, J. Park, C. Popescu and J. H. Schwarz, “World-volume action of the M-theory five-brane,” Nucl. Phys. B **496** (1997) 191 [arXiv:hep-th/9701166].
- [19] M. Cvetič, H. Lu, C. N. Pope and K. S. Stelle, “T-duality in the Green-Schwarz formalism, and the massless/massive IIA duality map,” Nucl. Phys. B **573**, 149 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/9907202].
- [20] R. R. Metsaev and A. A. Tseytlin, “Type IIB superstring action in  $AdS(5) \times S(5)$  background,” Nucl. Phys. B **533** (1998) 109 [arXiv:hep-th/9805028].
- [21] R. Kallosh, J. Rahmfeld and A. Rajaraman, “Near horizon superspace,” JHEP **9809** (1998) 002 [arXiv:hep-th/9805217].
- [22] R. R. Metsaev and A. A. Tseytlin, “Supersymmetric D3 brane action in  $AdS(5) \times S^{*5}$ ,” Phys. Lett. B **436** (1998) 281 [arXiv:hep-th/9806095].
- [23] B. de Wit, K. Peeters and J. Plefka, “Superspace geometry for supermembrane backgrounds,” Nucl. Phys. B **532** (1998) 99 [arXiv:hep-th/9803209];  
B. de Wit, K. Peeters, J. Plefka and A. Sevrin, “The M-theory two-brane in  $AdS(4) \times S(7)$  and  $AdS(7) \times S(4)$ ,” Phys. Lett. B **443** (1998) 153 [arXiv:hep-th/9808052].
- [24] P. Claus, “Super M-brane actions in  $AdS(4) \times S(7)$  and  $AdS(7) \times S(4)$ ,” Phys. Rev. D **59** (1999) 066003 [arXiv:hep-th/9809045].
- [25] P. Pasti, D. P. Sorokin and M. Tonin, “On gauge-fixed superbrane actions in AdS superbackgrounds,” Phys. Lett. B **447** (1999) 251 [arXiv:hep-th/9809213].
- [26] G. Arutyunov and S. Frolov, “Superstrings on  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$  as a Coset Sigma-model,” JHEP **0809** (2008) 129 [arXiv:0806.4940 [hep-th]].
- [27] B. j. Stefanski, “Green-Schwarz action for Type IIA strings on  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$ ,” arXiv:0806.4948 [hep-th].
- [28] P. Fré and P. A. Grassi, “Pure Spinor Formalism for  $Osp(N|4)$  backgrounds,” arXiv:0807.0044 [hep-th].
- [29] G. Bonelli, P. A. Grassi and H. Safaai, JHEP **0810**, 085 (2008) [arXiv:0808.1051 [hep-th]].
- [30] R. D’Auria, P. Fré, P. A. Grassi and M. Trigiante, “Superstrings on  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$  from Supergravity,” arXiv:0808.1282 [hep-th].

- [31] I. Bena, J. Polchinski and R. Roiban, “Hidden symmetries of the AdS(5) x S\*\*5 superstring,” Phys. Rev. D **69**, 046002 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0305116].
- [32] S. Watamura, “Spontaneous Compactification of D = 10 Maxwell-Einstein Theory Leads to SU(3) X SU(2) X U(1) Gauge Symmetry,” Phys. Lett. B **129** (1983) 188.
- [33] D. V. Volkov, D. P. Sorokin and V. I. Tkach, “Mechanisms of Spontaneous Compactification of N=2, D = 10 Supergravitation,” JETP Lett. **38**, 481 (1983) [Pisma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **38**, 397 (1983)].  
D. V. Volkov, D. P. Sorokin and V. I. Tkach, “Spontaneous Compactification into Symmetric Spaces with Nonsimple Holonomy Group,” Theor. Math. Phys. **61** (1985) 1117 [Teor. Mat. Fiz. **61** (1984) 241].  
D. V. Volkov, D. P. Sorokin and V. I. Tkach, “Spontaneous Compactification of Subspaces in Supergravity with D = 10, D = 11,” Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **39** (1984) 823 [Yad. Fiz. **39** (1984) 1306].
- [34] I. C. G. Campbell and P. C. West, “N=2 D=10 Nonchiral Supergravity and its Spontaneous Compactification,” Nucl. Phys. B **243** (1984) 112.
- [35] S. Watamura, “Spontaneous Compactification and Cp(N): SU(3) x SU(2) x U(1), sin<sup>2</sup>θ<sub>W</sub>, g(3)/g(2) and SU(3) Triplet Chiral Fermions in Four-Dimensions,” Phys. Lett. B **136** (1984) 245.
- [36] P. G. O. Freund and M. A. Rubin, “Dynamics of Dimensional Reduction,” Phys. Lett. B **97**, 233 (1980).
- [37] L. V. Volkov and V. I. Tkach, “Spontaneous compactification of subspace due to interaction of the Einstein fields with the gauge fields,” JETP Lett. **32**, 668 (1980) [Pisma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **32**, 681 (1980)];  
D. V. Volkov and V. I. Tkach, “Spontaneous Compactification of Subspaces,” Theor. Math. Phys. **51**, 427 (1982) [Teor. Mat. Fiz. **51**, 171 (1982)].
- [38] F. Giani and M. Pernici, “N=2 Supergravity in Ten-Dimensions,” Phys. Rev. D **30** (1984) 325.
- [39] B. E. W. Nilsson and C. N. Pope, “Hopf Fibration of Eleven-Dimensional Supergravity,” Class. Quant. Grav. **1** (1984) 499.
- [40] D. P. Sorokin, V. I. Tkach and D. V. Volkov, “Kaluza-Klein Theories And Spontaneous Compactification Mechanisms Of Extra Space Dimensions,” In *\*Moscow 1984, Proceedings, Quantum Gravity\**, 376-392;  
D. P. Sorokin, V. I. Tkach and D. V. Volkov, “On the Relationship between Compactified Vacua of D = 11 and D = 10 Supergravities,” Phys. Lett. B **161**, 301 (1985).
- [41] G. Aldazabal and A. Font, “A second look at N=1 supersymmetric AdS<sub>4</sub> vacua of type IIA supergravity,” JHEP **0802** (2008) 086 [arXiv:0712.1021 [hep-th]].
- [42] A. Tomasiello, “New string vacua from twistor spaces,” Phys. Rev. D **78** (2008) 046007 [arXiv:0712.1396 [hep-th]];  
D. L. Jafferis and A. Tomasiello, “A simple class of N=3 gauge/gravity duals,” JHEP **0810** (2008) 101 [arXiv:0808.0864 [hep-th]].
- [43] P. Koerber, D. Lust and D. Tsimpis, JHEP **0807** (2008) 017 [arXiv:0804.0614 [hep-th]].
- [44] D. Martelli and J. Sparks, “Notes on toric Sasaki-Einstein seven-manifolds and AdS<sub>4</sub>/CFT<sub>3</sub>,” arXiv:0808.0904 [hep-th].



- [45] M. J. Duff, P. S. Howe, T. Inami and K. S. Stelle, “Superstrings in  $D = 10$  from supermembranes in  $D = 11$ ,” *Phys. Lett. B* **191** (1987) 70.
- [46] P. S. Howe and E. Sezgin, “The supermembrane revisited,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **22** (2005) 2167 [arXiv:hep-th/0412245].
- [47] J. L. Carr, S. J. J. Gates and R. N. Oerter, “ $D = 10$ ,  $N=2a$  Supergravity In Superspace,” *Phys. Lett. B* **189** (1987) 68.
- [48] S. S. Gubser, I. R. Klebanov and A. M. Polyakov, “A semi-classical limit of the gauge/string correspondence,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **636**, 99 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0204051].
- [49] S. Frolov and A. A. Tseytlin, “Semiclassical quantization of rotating superstring in  $AdS(5) \times S(5)$ ,” *JHEP* **0206**, 007 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0204226];  
S. Frolov and A. A. Tseytlin, “Multi-spin string solutions in  $AdS(5) \times S^5$ ,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **668**, 77 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0304255];  
S. A. Frolov, I. Y. Park and A. A. Tseytlin, “On one-loop correction to energy of spinning strings in  $S(5)$ ,” *Phys. Rev. D* **71**, 026006 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0408187];  
S. Frolov, A. Tirziu and A. A. Tseytlin, “Logarithmic corrections to higher twist scaling at strong coupling from  $AdS/CFT$ ,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **766** (2007) 232 [arXiv:hep-th/0611269].
- [50] G. Mandal, N. V. Suryanarayana and S. R. Wadia, “Aspects of semiclassical strings in  $AdS(5)$ ,” *Phys. Lett. B* **543**, 81 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0206103].
- [51] N. Gromov and P. Vieira, “The  $AdS_4/CFT_3$  algebraic curve,” arXiv:0807.0437 [hep-th].
- [52] H. Eichenherr and M. Forger, “Higher Local Conservation Laws For Nonlinear Sigma Models On Symmetric Spaces,” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **82**, 227 (1981).
- [53] J. A. Minahan and K. Zarembo, “The Bethe ansatz for superconformal Chern-Simons,” *JHEP* **0809**, 040 (2008) [arXiv:0806.3951 [hep-th]];
- [54] D. Gaiotto, S. Giombi and X. Yin, “Spin Chains in  $N=6$  Superconformal Chern-Simons-Matter Theory,” arXiv:0806.4589 [hep-th];
- [55] G. Grignani, T. Harmark and M. Orselli, “The  $SU(2) \times SU(2)$  sector in the string dual of  $N=6$  superconformal Chern-Simons theory,” arXiv:0806.4959 [hep-th];  
G. Grignani, T. Harmark, M. Orselli and G. W. Semenoff, “Finite size Giant Magnons in the string dual of  $N=6$  superconformal Chern-Simons theory,” arXiv:0807.0205 [hep-th];  
D. Astolfi, V. G. M. Puletti, G. Grignani, T. Harmark and M. Orselli, “Finite-size corrections in the  $SU(2) \times SU(2)$  sector of type IIA string theory on  $AdS(4) \times CP(3)$ ,” arXiv:0807.1527 [hep-th].
- [56] D. Bak and S. J. Rey, “Integrable Spin Chain in Superconformal Chern-Simons Theory,” *JHEP* **0810**, 053 (2008) [arXiv:0807.2063 [hep-th]].
- [57] N. Gromov and P. Vieira, “The all loop  $AdS_4/CFT_3$  Bethe ansatz,” arXiv:0807.0777 [hep-th].
- [58] C. Ahn and R. I. Nepomechie, “ $N=6$  super Chern-Simons theory S-matrix and all-loop Bethe ansatz equations,” *JHEP* **0809** (2008) 010 [arXiv:0807.1924 [hep-th]].
- [59] F. Müller–Hoissen and Richard Stückl, Coset spaces and ten–dimensional unified theories. *Class. Quant. Grav.* **5** (1988) 27.
- [60] M. Hatsuda, K. Kamimura and M. Sakaguchi, “Super-PP-wave algebra from super- $AdS \times S$  algebras in eleven-dimensions,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **637** (2002) 168 [arXiv:hep-th/0204002].