

# INTERSECTIONS OF LARGE-RADIUS CIRCLES WITH THE FOUR-CORNER CANTOR SET: ESTIMATES FROM BELOW OF THE BUFFON NOODLE PROBABILITY FOR UNDERCOOKED NOODLES

MATTHEW BOND AND ALEXANDER VOLBERG

ABSTRACT. Let  $\mathcal{C}_n$  be the  $n$ -th generation in the construction of the middle-half Cantor set. The Cartesian square  $\mathcal{K}_n$  of  $\mathcal{C}_n$  consists of  $4^n$  squares of side-length  $4^{-n}$ . The chance that a long needle thrown at random in the unit square will meet  $\mathcal{K}_n$  is essentially the average length of the projections of  $\mathcal{K}_n$ , also known as the Favard length of  $\mathcal{K}_n$ . A result due to Bateman and Volberg [1] shows that a lower estimate for this Favard length is  $c \frac{\log n}{n}$ .

We may bend the needle at each stage, giving us what we will call a noodle, and ask whether the uniform lower estimate  $c \frac{\log n}{n}$  still holds for these so-called Buffon noodle probabilities. If so, we call the sequence of noodles undercooked. We will define a few classes of noodles and prove that they are undercooked. In particular, we are interested in the case when the noodles are circular arcs of radius  $r_n$ . We will show that if  $r_n \geq 4^{\frac{n}{5}}$ , then the circular arcs are undercooked noodles.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $C_r(z) := \{z + re^{i\theta} : \theta \in [0, 2\pi]\}$ . We are interested in the Lebesgue plane measure of the set  $A_{n,r} := \{z : C_r(z) \cap \mathcal{K}_n \neq \emptyset\}$ .

$$|A_{n,r}| = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\infty \chi_{A_{n,r}}(\rho e^{i\theta}) \rho \, d\rho d\theta \geq (r-2) \int_0^{2\pi} \int_{r-2}^{r+2} \chi_{A_{n,r}}(\rho e^{i\theta}) \, d\rho d\theta.$$

The last integrand above (excluding the  $r-2$ ) can be thought of as a small translated distortion of the integrand of  $Fav(\mathcal{K}_n)$ . We will describe the distortion and show that for  $r$  large enough,  $\mathcal{K}_n$  is sufficiently coarse for the argument of Bateman-Volberg to yield the same lower bound.

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 28A80. FractalsSecondary: 28A75, Length, area, volume, other geometric measure theory 60D05, Geometric probability, stochastic geometry, random sets 28A78 Hausdorff and packing measures.

To this end, let  $T : \mathbb{C} \times S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , where we'll write for convenience

$$T_\theta(z) := T(z, e^{i\theta})$$

Then define, for any  $E \subset \mathbb{C}$ ,

$$Fav_T(E) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int Proj_\theta(T_\theta(E)) d\theta. \quad (1.1)$$

It remains to write

$$\int \chi_{A_{n,r}}(\rho e^{i\theta}) d\rho = |Proj_\theta(\sigma_\theta(\mathcal{K}_n))|$$

for the appropriate choice of  $\sigma$ . Define

$$f_r(y) := \begin{cases} r - \sqrt{r^2 - y^2}, & |y| \leq 2 \\ r - \sqrt{r^2 - 4}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

Then define  $\sigma_0(x, y) := (x - f_r(y), y)$ , and  $\sigma_\theta := R_{-\theta} \circ \sigma_0 \circ R_\theta$ , where  $R_\theta$  is clockwise rotation by the angle  $\theta$ . Then  $(\rho+r)e^{i\theta} \in A_{n,r}$  iff  $\sigma_\theta$  carries some point of  $\mathcal{K}_n$  to the line perpendicular to  $\theta$  at  $\rho e^{i\theta}$ , i.e.,  $\chi_{A_{n,r}}((\rho+r)e^{i\theta}) = \chi_{Proj_\theta(\sigma_\theta(\mathcal{K}_n))}(\rho e^{i\theta})$ .

Thus

$$|A_{n,r}| \geq 2\pi(r-2)Fav_\sigma(\mathcal{K}_n) \quad (1.3)$$

Above,  $f_r$  is an example of a **noodle**, which is a parameterized family of real functions. For any noodle  $g$ , we may define  $\sigma_\theta^g$  from  $g$  in the same manner that we defined  $\sigma_\theta$  from  $f$ . The symbol  $\sigma_\theta$  suppresses  $f$  and  $r$  from the notation, but we will refer to them explicitly as needed.

## 2. Bateman-Volberg revisited

Let us review briefly the argument of Bateman and Volberg [1] which proves that  $Fav(\mathcal{K}_n) \geq \frac{C \log n}{n}$ . Below, we will fix an  $n$ , and none of the constants will depend on  $n$ . We rotate the axes, defining  $\theta = 0$  to be the direction  $\arctan(1/2)$ , because  $\mathcal{K}_n$  projects onto this direction nicely: the projected squares together fill out a single connected interval, and the projected squares intersect only on their endpoints. These almost-disjoint projected intervals induce a 4-adic structure on the interval.

For each square  $Q$  of size  $4^{-n}$  in  $\mathcal{K}_n$ ,  $\chi_{Q,\theta}(x)$  is the characteristic function of the projection onto the direction  $\theta$ . Put  $f_{n,\theta}(x) = \sum_{Q, \ell(Q)=4^{-n}} \chi_{Q,\theta}(x)$ . That is,  $f_{n,\theta}(x)$  denotes the number of squares of length  $4^{-n}$  whose orthogonal projection on line  $L_\theta$  contain a point  $x$  of this line. Let us denote the support of  $f_{n,\theta}(x)$  by  $E_{n,\theta}$ , and let  $|E_{n,\theta}|$  denote its length.

Let  $J_j := (\arctan(4^{-j}), \arctan(4^{-j+1}))$ . (The count starts from the special direction chosen above.) The central computation of Bateman-Volberg centers around a partitioning of an estimate of  $Fav(\mathcal{K}_n)$  into conical neighborhoods  $J_j \times \mathbb{R}$ :

$$\int_{J_j} |E_{n,\theta}| d\theta \geq \frac{(\int_{J_j} \int f_{n,\theta} dx d\theta)^2}{\int_{J_j} \int f_{n,\theta}^2 dx d\theta}$$

Here we used the Cauchy inequality on  $f_{n,\theta}$  and  $\chi_{E_{n,\theta}}$ .

Trivially,  $\int_{J_j} \int f_{n,\theta} dx d\theta \leq C4^{-j}$ . The interesting part of Bateman-Volberg amounts to showing that our partition has been chosen such that we may conclude that  $\int_{J_j} \int f_{n,\theta}^2 dx d\theta \leq Cn4^{-2j}$  for the approximately  $\log n$  many values of  $j$  ( $3 < j < \log n$ ), so that  $\int_{J_j} |E_{n,\theta}| d\theta > C/n$ , and summing over  $j$  yields  $Fav(\mathcal{K}_n) \geq \frac{C \log n}{n}$ . Now

$$f_{n,\theta}^2 = \sum_{Q,Q'} \chi_{Q,\theta} \chi_{Q',\theta} = \sum_{Q \neq Q'} \chi_{Q,\theta} \chi_{Q',\theta} + \sum_Q \chi_{Q,\theta}^2.$$

Integrating over  $J_j \times \mathbb{R}$ , the latter diagonal sum becomes  $C4^{-j} \leq Cn4^{-2j}$  (the inequality uses  $j < \log n$ ). When estimating the other integral, things become combinatorial - most of these terms are identically 0 in  $J_j \times \mathbb{R}$ . So define  $A_{j,k}$  to be the set of pairs  $P = (Q, Q')$  of Cantor squares such that in our special coordinate system, the centers  $q$  and  $q'$  of  $Q$  and  $Q'$  have vertical distance  $4^{-k-1} \leq |y_q - y_{q'}| \leq 4^{-k}$  and satisfy the condition on horizontal spacing  $4^{-j-1} \leq \left| \frac{x_p - x_{p'}}{y_p - y_{p'}} \right| \leq 4^{-j}$ . We can think of  $4^{-j}$  as being  $\tan(\theta)$  for  $\theta$  such that the squares  $Q, Q'$  overlap in the projection onto  $\theta$ . In Bateman-Volberg [1], it was proved that

$$|A_{j,k}| \leq C4^{2n-k-2j} \quad (2.1)$$

For any  $(j, k)$  pair, it is immediate that the integral  $\rho_P := \int_0^{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi_{Q,\theta} \chi_{Q',\theta} d\theta dx$  satisfies  $\rho_P \leq 4^{k-2n}$ , and the integrand is supported only for angles belonging to  $J_{j-1}, J_j$ , and  $J_{j+1}$ . So we fix  $j$  and sum over  $k$  to get

$$\int_{J_j \times \mathbb{R}} \sum_{Q \neq Q'} \chi_{Q,\theta} \chi_{Q',\theta} d\theta dx \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n-j+1} \max\{\rho_P : P \in A_{j',k} \text{ for } |j' - j| \leq 1\} (|A_{j-1,k}| + |A_{j,k}| + |A_{j+1,k}|) \leq Cn4^{-2j}.$$

(Note above that  $j+k \leq n$ , since  $4^{-j-k}$  bounds the horizontal distance between centers of squares from above.)

This completes the proof of the result of Bateman and Volberg. We will need to remember some of the notations for later, and the estimate (2.1).

### 3. A simple lemma

Now we show that the  $\sigma_\theta$  in the integrand of  $Fav_\sigma(\mathcal{K}_n)$  hardly disturbs the angular sorting argument of Bateman-Volberg. We will need the following estimate on  $|f'_r(y)|$ :

$$|f'_r(y)| \leq \frac{4}{r} \quad (3.1)$$

because it gives us

$$Lip(\sigma_\theta - Id) \leq \frac{4}{r} \quad (3.2)$$

when we conjugate  $\sigma_0$  by the isometry  $R_\theta$ .

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be small enough. Let  $T : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be such that  $Lip(T - Id) < \varepsilon$ . Then  $\forall z, w \in \mathbb{C}$ ,*

$$|\arg(z - w) - \arg(T(z) - T(w))| < 2\varepsilon$$

(for appropriate choices of  $\arg$ ).

*Proof.* Write  $z - w = \rho e^{i\theta}$ , and let  $\alpha := \arg(z - w) - \arg(T(z) - T(w))$ .

$$\arg(T(z) - T(w)) = \arg((T - Id)(z) - (T - Id)(w) + (z - w)) = \arg(\lambda \rho e^{i\beta} + \rho e^{i\theta})$$

for some  $\lambda < \varepsilon, \beta \in [0, 2\pi]$ . So  $\arg(T(z) - T(w)) = \arg(\lambda e^{i\beta} + e^{i\theta})$

Then  $|\alpha| \leq \hat{\alpha}$ , where  $\tan(\hat{\alpha}) = \frac{\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon} \Rightarrow |\alpha| < 2\varepsilon$ . □

### 4. A FEW CLASSES OF UNDERCOOKED NOODLES

We say that  $T_n : \mathbb{C} \times S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is an **undercooking** of the plane if  $Fav_{T_n}(\mathcal{K}_n) \geq C \frac{\log n}{n}$ . Likewise, we say that  $\{r_n\}$  is **undercooked** if  $\sigma^{f_{r_n}}$  is an undercooking of the plane. In fact, this is the same as saying that  $f_{r_n}$  is an undercooked noodle.

**Theorem 2.** *If  $r_n \geq 4^{n/5}$ , then  $r_n$  is an undercooked sequence.*

First we will prove a more general result which is weaker in the sense that it does not give us the above theorem unless we strengthen the  $4^{n/5}$  in the hypothesis of the above theorem to  $4^n$ .

**Theorem 3.** *If  $T_n : \mathbb{C} \times S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  satisfies  $Lip(T_{n,\theta} - Id) < 4^{-n} \forall n, \theta$ , then  $T_n$  is an undercooking of the plane.*

Note that  $T_n$  need not be induced by a noodle.

5. A SORTING LEMMA AND THE WEAK  $\rho_P$  ESTIMATE

For any  $T : \mathbb{C} \times S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , define  $A_{j,k,T}$  by  $P = (Q, Q') \in A_{j,k,T}$  if and only if  $\exists \theta : (T_\theta(Q), T_\theta(Q')) \in A_{j,k}$

**Lemma 4. *Sorting Lemma***

Let  $T$  satisfy  $\text{Lip}(T_\theta - \text{Id}) < \frac{1}{8n}$ . Then  $\forall j < \log n$ ,  $|A_{j,k,T}| \leq C4^{2n-k-2j}$ .

*Proof.* Distances are preserved up to a multiple of  $1 \pm \frac{1}{n}$  under  $T$ , so for a  $j, k$  pair,  $k$  can change by at most one under  $T_\theta$ . Lemma 1 implies that angles are changed additively by at most  $\frac{1}{4n}$  under  $\sigma$ , so  $j$  can change by at most one if  $j \leq \log n$ . Thus Bateman-Volberg (2.1) gives us

$$|A_{j,k,T}| \leq \sum_{-1 \leq l, m \leq 1} |A_{j+l, k+m}| \leq C4^{2n-k-2j}.$$

□

Note that  $T = \sigma^f$  satisfies Lemma (4) for  $r > 32n$ , but this will NOT be sufficient for the  $\rho_P$  estimate.

Instead of  $f_{n,\theta}$  and  $\rho_P$ , consider

$$f_{n,\theta,T} := \sum_Q \chi_{T_\theta(Q),\theta} \text{ and } \rho_{P,T} := \int |Proj_\theta(T_\theta(Q)) \cap Proj_\theta(T_\theta(Q'))| d\theta$$

**Lemma 5. *Weak  $\rho_P$  Lemma***

Let  $T$  be as in Theorem 3. Then  $\rho_{P,T} \leq 4^{k-2n}$

*Proof.*  $T$  at most stretches by  $1 + 4^{-n}$ . We write  $4^{-n} = \frac{4}{r}$  both as an abstraction and to anticipate Theorem 2.

It is immediate that for two squares of size  $4^{-n}$  at distance  $\asymp 4^{-k}$  one has  $|\{\theta : Proj_\theta(Q) \cap Proj_\theta(Q')\}| \leq C4^{k-n}$ , so Lemma 1 implies

$$|\{\theta : Proj_\theta(T_\theta(Q)) \cap Proj_\theta(T_\theta(Q'))\}| \leq C(4^{k-n} + 1/r) \quad (5.1)$$

and here we use  $r \geq 4^n$  to conclude  $|\{\theta : Proj_\theta(T_\theta(Q)) \cap Proj_\theta(T_\theta(Q'))\}| \leq C4^{k-n}$ , and thus the lemma as the length of projections is obviously bounded by  $C4^{-n}$ .

□

## 6. PROOF THEOREMS 2 AND 3

Theorems 2 and 3 can now be proved in the spirit of Bateman-Volberg. However, Weak  $\rho_P$  lemma is much too weak for Theorem 2, an analogous strong  $\rho_P$  lemma will be needed in the case of Theorem 2. We will state that lemma now and prove it later.

**Lemma 6. Strong  $\rho_P$  Lemma**

Let  $r_n \geq 4^{n/5}$  (as in Theorem 20). Then  $\rho_{P,\sigma} \leq 4^{k-2n}$ .

Take this lemma for granted to finish the proof of Theorem 2.

Let  $\mathcal{P}_{j,T} := \bigcup_{k=0}^{n-j} A_{j,k,T}$ . Then  $\sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}_{j,T}} \rho_{P,T} \leq Cn4^{-2j}$  (Sorting and  $\rho_P$  Lemmas). Also, let  $E_{n,\theta,T} := \text{supp } f_{n,\theta,T}$ . A couple applications of the Cauchy inequality to  $f_{n,\theta,T}$  and  $\chi_{E_{n,\theta,T}}$  give us

$$\int_{J_j} |E_{n,\theta,T}| \geq \frac{(\int_{J_j} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_{n,\theta,T} dx d\theta)^2}{(\int_{J_j} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_{n,\theta,T}^2 dx d\theta)} \quad (6.1)$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{J_j} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_{n,\theta,T} dx d\theta &\approx 4^{-j} \quad (6.2) \\ \int_{J_j} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_{n,\theta,T}^2 dx d\theta &= \int_{J_j} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_{n,\theta,T} dx d\theta + \sum_{Q \neq Q'} \int_{J_j} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi_{T_\theta(Q)} \chi_{T_\theta(Q')} dx d\theta \\ &\leq C4^{-j} + \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}_{j-1} \cup \mathcal{P}_j \cup \mathcal{P}_{j+1}} \rho_{P,T} \leq C(4^{-j} + n4^{-2j}) \leq Cn4^{-2j}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality relies on  $j < \log n$ . So (6.1), (6.2) give us, together with the above,  $\int_{J_j} |E_{n,\theta,T}| d\theta \geq C/n$ . Summing over  $3 < j < \log n$ , we get the result.  $\square$

## 7. SOME USEFUL FACTS ABOUT SHEAR GROUP

We need to prove the Strong  $\rho_P$  Lemma. Before we proceed, a few facts about shear groups need to be stated. Below,  $g$  and  $h$  will be arbitrary noodles. Recall that  $\sigma_0^g(x, y) := (x - g(y), y)$ , and  $\sigma_\theta^g := R_{-\theta} \circ \sigma_0^g \circ R_\theta$ . First, there is this simple fact for arbitrary functions  $g$  and  $h$ :

$$\sigma_\theta^g \circ \sigma_\theta^h = \sigma_\theta^{g+h}$$

Next, we show how shears by linear noodles behave. For  $g(y) = b$ , we get

$$\text{supp}(Proj_\theta(\sigma_\theta^g(E_\theta))) = \text{supp}(Proj_\theta(E_\theta)) - b \quad (7.1)$$

For  $g(y) = my$ ,  $\alpha := \arctan m$ , we get

$$\text{supp}(Proj_\theta(\sigma_\theta^g(E_\theta))) = \left( R_\alpha \frac{\text{supp}(Proj_{\theta-\alpha}(E_\theta))}{\cos(\alpha)} \right) = (\sqrt{1+m^2}) R_\alpha \text{supp}(Proj_{\theta-\alpha}(E_\theta)) \quad (7.2)$$

For for  $g(y) = my + b$ , then, given a set  $A$  on the real line,

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \int_A \chi_{Proj_\theta(\sigma_\theta^g(E_\theta))}(x) dx d\theta = \sqrt{1+m^2} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+m^2}}(A+b)} \chi_{Proj_\theta(E_{\theta-\alpha})} dx d\theta \quad (7.3)$$

## 8. PROOF OF THE STRONG $\rho_P$ LEMMA

Recall:  $f(y) = r - \sqrt{r^2 - y^2}$ , and  $|f'(y)| < C/r$ . We also have  $f''(y) = \frac{r^2}{(r^2 - y^2)^{3/2}}$ , so  $|f''(y)| < C/r$ . Remember that  $\sigma_\theta$  refers to  $\sigma_\theta^f$  if no noodle is specified.

Remember that we still have (5.1):

$$|\{\theta : \sigma_\theta^f Q \cap \sigma_\theta^f Q'\}| \leq C(4^{k-n} + 1/r).$$

$r \geq 4^{n/5}$ , so we are done proving that this measure is bounded by  $C4^{k-n}$  for all  $k \geq 4n/5$ . So let  $k < 4n/5$ .

WLOG, the centers of  $Q$  and  $Q'$  are  $(0, 0)$  and  $(0, -L)$ . To see this, note that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{P,\sigma} &\approx \frac{1}{r} \int_0^{2\pi} \int \chi_{\{Q \cap C_r(\rho e^{i\theta}) \neq \emptyset\}} \chi_{\{Q' \cap C_r(\rho e^{i\theta}) \neq \emptyset\}} \rho d\rho d\theta \\ &= \frac{1}{r} \int_0^{2\pi} \int \chi_{\{Q^* \cap C_r(\rho e^{i\theta}) \neq \emptyset\}} \chi_{\{Q'^* \cap C_r(\rho e^{i\theta}) \neq \emptyset\}} \rho d\rho d\theta \approx \rho_{P^*,\sigma}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $P^*$  is the pair  $(Q, Q')$  translated and rotated to  $(Q^*, Q'^*)$  as in the WLOG condition. (The area of the set of centers of circles for which the indicated intersections occur is obviously invariant under translations and rotations of the plane, and the possible  $\rho$ -values for which the intersection occurs are restricted to an annulus of inner and outer radius  $\approx r$ . Thus, the  $\rho$  in  $\rho d\rho d\theta$  is  $\approx r$ , both before and after the translation and rotation described.)

As  $\theta$  ranges over all angles such that  $Q, Q'$  have intersecting  $\sigma_\theta$ -projections, the angle distortion of Lemma 1 says that such angles  $\theta$  satisfy  $|\theta| < \frac{C}{r} + C, 4^{k-n} < \frac{C}{r}$  (see 5.1) as  $k < 4n/5$ .

For these  $\theta$ , rotation  $R_\theta(Q)$  is in the band  $\delta \leq y \leq L + \delta$ , for  $\delta = 4^{-n} + L(1 - \cos(C/r))$ , giving  $\delta \leq C \max\{4^{-n}, L/r^2\} \leq C4^{-2/5n}$ . Transform the integral using the shear group. Let  $l(y)$  linearly approximate  $f(y)$  at  $y = L - \delta$ , with  $l(y) = my + b$ . Note that  $|b| \leq CL/r$ . Let  $\varepsilon(y) := f(y) - l(y)$  on  $[L - \delta, L + \delta]$  and extend  $\varepsilon$  continuously to be constant elsewhere. Then, with  $b' := b/\sqrt{1+m^2}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{P,\sigma} &= \int |Proj_\theta(\sigma_\theta^f(Q')) Proj_\theta(\sigma_\theta^f(Q))| d\theta \leq \int_0^{2\pi} \int_{-4^{-n}}^{4^{-n}} \chi_{Proj_\theta(\sigma_\theta^f(Q'))}(x) dx d\theta \\ &= \int_0^{2\pi} \int_{l_\theta \cap [-4^{-n}, 4^{-n}]} \chi_{Proj_\theta(\sigma_\theta^\varepsilon(Q'))} dx d\theta \leq C \int_0^{2\pi} \int_{l_\theta \cap [b' - 2 \cdot 4^{-n}, b' + 2 \cdot 4^{-n}]} \chi_{R_\alpha Proj_{\theta - \alpha}(\sigma_\theta^\varepsilon(Q'))} dx d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Changing variable, we see that this is at most

$$C \int_0^{2\pi} \int_{l_{\theta+\alpha} \cap [b' - 2 \cdot 4^{-n}, b' + 2 \cdot 4^{-n}]} \chi_{R_\alpha Proj_\theta(\sigma_{\theta+\alpha}^\varepsilon(Q'))} dx d\theta.$$

Let  $\Gamma := \{\theta : R_\alpha Proj_\theta(\sigma_{\theta+\alpha}^\varepsilon(Q')) \cap l_{\theta+\alpha} \cap [b' - 2 \cdot 4^{-n}, b' + 2 \cdot 4^{-n}] \neq \emptyset\}$ , and let  $z := (0, -L)$ . If  $\theta \in \Gamma$ , then  $R_\alpha Proj_\theta(\sigma_{\theta+\alpha}^\varepsilon(z)) \in l_{\theta+\alpha} \cap [b' - 3 \cdot 4^{-n}, b' + 3 \cdot 4^{-n}]$ .

Using  $|f''(y)| < C/r$ , we get  $|\varepsilon'(y)| < C\delta/r < CL/r^3 < C4^{-3/5n}$ . Then it follows that  $|\varepsilon(y)| < C\delta^2/r < CL^2/r^5 < C4^{-n}$ . So  $|\sigma_{\theta+\alpha}^\varepsilon(z) - z| < c4^{-n}$ , and hence  $|R_\alpha Proj_\theta(\sigma_{\theta+\alpha}^\varepsilon(z)) - R_\alpha Proj_\theta(z)| \leq C4^{-n} \forall \theta \in \Gamma$ . So

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma &\subseteq \{\theta : Proj_\theta(z) \in R_{-\alpha}(l_{\theta+\alpha} \cap [b' - C4^{-n}, b' + C4^{-n}])\} = \\ &\quad \{\theta' : L \sin \theta \in [b' - C4^{-n}, b' + C4^{-n}]\}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies:

$$|\Gamma| \leq C \{|\theta : \sin \theta \in [b/L - C4^{k-n}, b/L + C4^{k-n}]\}|. \quad (8.1)$$

Since  $b \ll L$  and  $k < 4n/5$ ,  $\sin \theta \approx \theta$ , and we get  $|\Gamma| \leq C4^{k-n}$ , completing the proof of the Strong  $\rho_P$  Lemma.  $\square$

## 9. GENERAL BUFFON NOODLE PROBABILITIES AND THE $\rho_P$ LEMMAS FOR ARBITRARY NOODLES

Let us define general noodle probabilities now. Let  $g_\tau(y) := g(y - \tau)$ . For a probability distribution  $P$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2 \times S^1$ , a set  $E \subset \mathbb{C}$ , and noodle  $g$ , we can define

$$Bu^g(E) = \int proj_\theta(\sigma_\theta^{g_\tau}(E))(x) dP(x, \tau, \theta).$$

We can choose an  $L > 10$ , say, and let  $P$  be normalized Lebesgue measure on  $(-2, 2) \times (-L, L) \times (0, 2\pi)$ , under which

$$Bu^g(E) = \frac{1}{16\pi L} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_{-L}^L |Proj_\theta(\sigma_\theta^{g_\tau}(E))| d\tau d\theta = \frac{1}{16\pi L} \int_{-L}^L Fav_{\sigma_\theta^{g_\tau}}(E) d\tau.$$

Having done this, we will say that a noodle  $g_n$  is **undercooked** if  $Bu^{g_n}(\mathcal{K}_n) \geq C \frac{\log n}{n}$ .



Next, we describe the portion of the domain of integration in which the noodle hits the center of a square  $Q$  at the same point  $-\tau_0$  of the noodle. That is, if  $Q$  has center  $z = \rho e^{i\theta_0}$ , consider  $\tilde{g} := g - g(-\tau_0)$  and  $\sigma_\theta^{\tilde{g}\tau_0}$ . For each  $\theta$ , we need to find the unique  $x_\theta$  and  $\tau_\theta$  such that the line centered at  $x_\theta e^{i\theta}$  and with positive axis in the  $\theta + \pi/2$  direction intersects  $z$  at  $y = \tau_\theta - \tau_0$ . In fact,  $x_\theta = |z|\cos(\theta - \theta_0)$  and  $\tau_\theta = \tau_0 - |z|\sin(\theta - \theta_0)$ . (Diagram)

Then when computing

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \int_{x_\theta-a}^{x_\theta+a} Proj_\theta(\sigma_\theta^{\tilde{g}\tau_\theta}(E))(x) dx d\theta,$$

WLOG  $z = 0$ . That is,

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \int_{x_\theta-a}^{x_\theta+a} Proj_\theta(\sigma_\theta^{\tilde{g}\tau_\theta}(E))(x) dx d\theta = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_{-a}^a Proj_\theta(\sigma_\theta^{\tilde{g}}(E-z))(x) dx d\theta. \quad (9.1)$$

So define  $\rho_{P,\sigma^g} = \int_{-L}^L \int_0^{2\pi} |Proj_\theta(\sigma_\theta^{g\tau}(Q)) Proj_\theta(\sigma_\theta^{g\tau}(Q'))| d\theta d\tau$ . We want  $\rho_{P,\sigma^g} < C4^{k-2n}$ .

For  $z = \text{center of } Q$ , and for fixed  $\tau_0$ , define  $D = \{\tau = \tau_0 - |z|\sin(\theta - \theta_0), |x - |z|\cos(\theta - \theta_0)| \leq C4^{-n}, \theta \in (0, 2\pi)\}$ . Then if  $I_D(\tau_0) := \int_D Proj_\theta(\sigma_\theta^{\tilde{g}\tau_0}(Q'))(x) dx d\theta$ , then  $\rho_{P,\sigma^g} \leq \int_{-L}^L I_D(\tau_0) d\tau_0$ . So because of (9.1), we are in the same case as the Strong  $\rho_P$  Lemma for circles, so long as the estimates  $|g_n(y)| < 1$ ,  $|g'_n(y)| < 4^{-n/5}$ , and  $|g''_n(y)| < 4^{-n/5}$  hold. Likewise, the Weak  $\rho_P$  Lemma generalizes here so long as  $|g_n(y)| < 1$  and  $|g'_n(y)| < 4^{-n}$ . In particular, in either case, such  $g_n$  are undercooked.

A careful examination of the Strong  $\rho_P$  Lemma shows that we can be slightly more flexible, requiring that the quantity  $\|g'_n(y)\|_\infty^4 \|g''_n(y)\|_\infty < 4^{-n}$  and  $|g'_n(y)| < 1/100$ , instead. Using this, we get, for example, the undercooked noodle  $g_n(y) = 4^{-n/2} \sin(4^{n/4}y)$ .

## 10. CLOSING REMARKS

The above arguments are very local in nature, and fail to allow any large-scale bending. It is currently unclear what other sequences may or may not be undercooked - even for constant sequences, it is unclear. Since the random Cantor sets of [14] decay in Favard length like  $C/n$  almost surely, perhaps if  $r$  is small compared to  $n$ , this "randomizes" the Cantor set to the point of making  $C/n$  an upper bound.

## REFERENCES

1. M. Bateman, A. Volberg, *An estimate from below for the Buffon needle probability of the four-corner Cantor set*, arXiv:math/0807.2953v1, 2008, pp. 1-11.
2. M. Bateman, N. Katz, *Keakeya sets in Cantor directions*, arXiv:math/0609187v1, 2006, pp. 1-10.
3. M. Bateman, *Keakeya sets and the directional maximal operators in the plane*, arXiv:math.CA/0703559v1, 2007, pp. 1-20.
4. A. S. Besicovitch, *Tangential properties of sets and arcs of infinite linear measure*, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **66** (1960), 353-359.
5. K. J. Falconer, *The geometry of fractal sets*. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, 85. C.U.P., Cambridge-New York, (1986).
6. U. Keich, *On  $L^p$  bounds for Keakeya maximal functions and the Minkowski dimension in  $\mathbb{R}^2$* , *Bull. London. Math. Soc.* **31** (1999), pp. 213-221.
7. R. Kenyon, *Projecting the one-dimensional Sierpinski gasket*, *Israel J. Math.* **97** (1997), 221-238.
8. J. C. Lagarias and Y. Wang, *Tiling the line with translates of one tile*, *Invent. Math.* **124** (1996), 341-365.
9. P. Mattila, *Orthogonal projections, Riesz capacities and Minkowski content*, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **39** (1990), 185-198.
10. P. Mattila, *Hausdorff dimension, projections, and the Fourier transform*, *Publ. Mat.*, **48** (2004), pp. 3-48.
11. P. Mattila, *Geometry of Sets and Measures in Euclidean Spaces*, Cambridge University Press, 1995.
12. F. Nazarov, Y. Peres, A. Volberg, *The power law for the Buffon needle probability of the four-corner Cantor set*, arXiv:0801.2942, 2008, pp. 1-15.
13. Y. Peres, K. Simon and B. Solomyak, *Self-similar sets of zero Hausdorff measure and positive packing measure*, *Israel J. Math.* **117** (2000), 353-379.
14. Y. Peres and B. Solomyak, *How likely is Buffon's needle to fall near a planar Cantor set?* *Pacific J. Math.* **204**, 2 (2002), 473-496.
15. I. J. Schoenberg, *On the Besicovitch-Perron solution of the Keakeya problem*, *Studies in mathematical analysis and related topics*,
16. T. Tao, *A quantitative version of the Besicovitch projection theorem via multiscale analysis*, pp. 1-28, arXiv:0706.2446v1 [math.CA] 18 Jun 2007.

MATTHEW BOND, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY. [bondmatt@msu.edu](mailto:bondmatt@msu.edu)

ALEXANDER VOLBERG, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY AND THE UNIVERSITY OF EDINBURGH. [volberg@math.msu.edu](mailto:volberg@math.msu.edu) AND [a.volberg@ed.ac.uk](mailto:a.volberg@ed.ac.uk)