

# Scale invariant entanglement at quantum phase transitions

H. Wichterich,<sup>1</sup> J. Molina-Vilaplana,<sup>2</sup> and S. Bose<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> *Dept. of Physics and Astronomy, University College London,  
Gower Street, WC1E 6BT London, United Kingdom*

<sup>2</sup> *Dept. of Systems Engineering and Automation, Technical University of Cartagena,  
Campus Muralla del Mar s/n., 30.202 Cartagena, Murcia, Spain*

We compute the entanglement between separated blocks in certain spin models showing that at criticality it is invariant with respect to simultaneous scale transformations of the separation and the length of the blocks. This entanglement, as a function of the ratio of the separation to the length, can be written as a product of a power law and an exponential decay which interpolates between the entanglement of individual spins and blocks of spins. It captures features of correlation functions at criticality as well as the monogamous nature of entanglement. We exemplify invariant features of this entanglement to microscopic changes within the same universality class. As a corollary, this study estimates the entanglement between separated regions of those quantum fields to which the considered spin models map at criticality.

The truly *quantum* correlations or entanglement that exist in condensed matter systems [1] become particularly interesting at quantum phase transitions (QPT). QPTs occur at zero temperature as the relative strengths of the interactions in a many-body system are varied [2]. At a QPT, the entanglement between individual spins has, in general, a very limited range [3, 4], while that between adjacent blocks of spins diverges with the length of the blocks [5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10]. However, none of these types of entanglement capture the scale invariance expected at QPTs [11]. Here we compute the entanglement between separated blocks in certain spin models showing that at criticality it is invariant with respect to simultaneous scale transformations of the separation and the length of the blocks. This entanglement, as a function of the ratio of the separation to the length, can be written as a product of a power law and an exponential decay which interpolates between the entanglement of individual spins and blocks of spins. It captures features of correlation functions at criticality as well as the monogamous nature of entanglement. We exemplify invariant features of this entanglement to microscopic changes within the same universality class. The study automatically estimates the entanglement between regions of quantum fields to which the considered models map at criticality.

Correlations have long been a central object of study in many-body systems with attention recently drawn to entanglement – a unique form of correlation possible only in quantum mechanics. The fact that entanglement can naturally exist inside condensed matter systems [1, 12] has received recent experimental support [13]. This area has recently witnessed a series of intriguing discoveries on the interrelation between the ground state of a quantum many body system at a quantum critical point, conformal charges and entanglement [5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10], thereby establishing links between seemingly independent disciplines – quantum information, conformal field theory (CFT) and real space renormalization group (RG) and, among other effects, has fertilised the development




FIG. 1: Schematic of different types of entanglement at a quantum critical point. Panel (a): Critical behaviour of entanglement of pairs of spins, exhibiting very limited range. Panel (b): Entanglement entropy of two contiguous and complementary blocks of spins, obeying a universal scaling law at criticality. Panel (c): Entanglement between distant blocks of spins with a fixed ratio of separation and block size, is scale invariant at the critical point for sufficiently large blocks.

of novel simulation techniques at criticality [14]. Osterloh *et.al.* [3] studied the scaling of the pairwise entanglement of spins of a one dimensional (1D) spin model in the vicinity of a QPT. They showed that the concurrence [16]  $C(i, j)$ , which measures the entanglement of two spin-1/2 particles in a general mixed state  $\rho_{i,j}$  at positions  $i$  and  $j$  in the chain (see Fig. 1, panel (a)), exhibits “universality”: an independence to particulars of the microscopic interactions when varying within a wide class of models having the same symmetries. However, the major

contribution and the pivotal discovery to link the mentioned fields, particularly those of real space renormalisation group techniques and CFT, was done in [5, 6], where the divergence of entanglement entropy  $\mathcal{S}$  was linked to the geometric entropy in the corresponding (1+1) dimensional conformal quantum field. These numerical observations were later confirmed and put onto a rigorous analytical foundation in [7, 8, 9, 10]. The entanglement entropy shall be defined here as the von Neumann entropy  $\mathcal{S} = -\text{tr}(\rho \log_2 \rho)$  with respect to a partition of a 1D lattice into a block of  $L$  contiguous sites and its complement (see, e.g, Fig. 1, panel (b)). The state of the block is represented by the reduced density operator  $\rho$  which arises from averaging over those degrees of freedom in the ground state wave function  $|\psi\rangle$  not belonging to that block. While  $\mathcal{S}$  saturates away from criticality and for sufficiently large blocks, it diverges at a critical point as  $L$  goes to infinity, thereby obeying a universal scaling law [5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10]. In this letter we study the behaviour of entanglement of two noncomplementary regions of a 1D lattice, comprising an equal number of contiguous spins respectively, with respect to a scale transformation of block size and their separation at and in the vicinity of a quantum critical point (see, Fig. 1, panel (c)). To this end we confine our attention to the finite 1D XY model, consisting of a number  $N$  of spins  $\frac{1}{2}$  arranged on a regular lattice with nearest neighbour interactions and subject to an external magnetic field. The Hamiltonian of the spin chain reads

$$H = - \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \left( \frac{1+\gamma}{2} \sigma_k^x \sigma_{k+1}^x + \frac{1-\gamma}{2} \sigma_k^y \sigma_{k+1}^y \right) - \sum_{k=1}^N \lambda \sigma_k^z. \quad (1)$$

Here,  $\sigma^a$  ( $a = x, y, z$ ) denote the Pauli matrices and we further assume open boundary conditions. This model features a subtle symmetry at  $\lambda = \lambda_c = 1$  for all values of the anisotropy parameter  $\gamma$ , where the z-magnetization fails to be an analytic function of the field  $\lambda$ , and is further manifested by a power law decay of correlation functions [15], both properties being crucial signatures of quantum criticality. The XX model,  $\gamma = 0$ , is also critical for field values  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ . In segments of these critical regions the XY model in a transverse field can be categorised into different universality classes, i.e. Ising and XX universality class for ( $\gamma \in (0, 1], \lambda = 1$ ) and ( $\gamma = 0, \lambda \in [0, 1]$ ) respectively [6]. In view of these properties, we choose three critical points in the  $(\gamma, \lambda)$  diagram, *i.e.* (i) the critical Ising ( $\gamma = 1, \lambda = 1$ ), (ii) a critical XY ( $\gamma = 0.5, \lambda = 1$ ) and (iii) the critical XX at vanishing field ( $\gamma = 0, \lambda = 0$ ). Our results show that for (i)-(iii) distant entanglement of blocks is scale invariant, and further (i) and (ii) show a common universal behaviour with respect to this entanglement measure, whereas (iii) belongs to a different universality class. Previous computations of distant block entanglement in linear chains

of quantal harmonic oscillators have been conducted in [17]. Entanglement between noncomplementary regions in the vacuum mode of a continuous and massless Dirac field [18], when measured by means of the negativity (to be introduced below) was shown to persist for arbitrarily large separations. Moreover, its decay as function of the ratio distance  $L$  to typical scale of the regions  $R$  was found to decay no faster than  $\sim \exp[-(L/R)^2]$ . A similar bound  $\sim \exp[-(L/R)^3]$  was stated earlier for the corresponding scenario in a free scalar field [19].

Our approach is based on the matrix product state representation of the ground state  $|\psi\rangle$ , which is governed by means of the established Density Matrix Renormalisation Group (DMRG) technique [20]. We then extend this method to extract the reduced density operators  $\rho_{SE}$  of system (S) and environment (E) blocks, both of equal length  $\Delta$  and separated by a number  $x$  of consecutive spins (see Fig.1, panel (c)), from  $|\psi\rangle$ , as will be outlined in more detail in the Methods section below. The DMRG procedure optimises the decimated set of  $M$  basis states per block in such a way, that the maximum entanglement entropy  $\mathcal{S}$  of all possible bipartitions (which arise from cutting the chain at a particular bond  $l$  into left and right part) is retained, rendering the study of critical phenomena particularly delicate, due to the aforementioned fact that here  $\mathcal{S}$  does not saturate with block size. Therefore, the attempt to study the thermodynamic limit  $N \rightarrow \infty$  at criticality using DMRG is, in general, not viable. In certain models though (like the transverse XY and Ising models considered here) ground state properties, which are not easily accessible through analytic studies, can still be determined very accurately near or even at the phase transition for fairly large system sizes. That a critical ground state can be accurately described for a finite but large system using a matrix product state representation with fairly manageable dimensions  $M$  has been made more explicit for the Ising model in [21]. The key advantage of our procedure over previous studies is the fact that, under the conditions laid out above, DMRG faithfully reproduces the *actual* entanglement between the two separated blocks. We are neither presuming a scale invariant behaviour of the entanglement a priori [14] to compute the representation of the critical ground state of the quantum many body system nor are we constructing the system of size  $N$  by course-graining blocks of spins into an effective spin in a series of successive renormalisation steps [22] which would inevitably lead us to a scale-free point of whatever quantity we are interested in. By proceeding in the way exposed in the above paragraph and the method section we took particular care of avoiding artifactual results of the employed methodology in both representing the critical ground state accurately and constructing the actual system of  $N$  spins in which the computation of the actual quantum correlations should be carried out. Our observation of scale invariant behaviour can be considered as a justification




FIG. 2: Scaling of distant block entanglement with system size at and in the vicinity of the critical point  $\lambda - \lambda_c = 0$  of the transverse Ising model ( $\gamma = 1$  in Eq. 1). The data correspond to a fixed ratio  $\mu = \frac{2}{3}$ , and different system sizes  $N = 32$  (open circles),  $N = 64$  (squares),  $N = 128$  (diamonds) and  $N = 256$  (filled circles). The crossing of dashed lines highlights the converged, scale invariant point for  $N \gtrsim 128$ , which is  $(\lambda, \mathcal{N}) \cong (\lambda_c, 0.052)$  for this ratio. Inset: Finite size scaling analysis showing the logarithmic distance  $|\lambda_m - \lambda_c|$  of the field values  $\lambda_m$  maximising  $\mathcal{N}$  as a function of the logarithmic lattice size  $N$  (pluses) supplemented by a linear fit to these data revealing the slope  $-0.99 \pm 0.01$  (dashed line), which is a signature of the Ising critical exponent  $\nu = 1$ .

for a subsequent *ansatz*.

The reduced density operators  $\rho_{SE}$  carry the information on the non-classical correlations between the blocks  $S$  and  $E$ , as measured by the negativity  $\mathcal{N} \equiv (\sum_i |a_i| - 1)$  [23, 24] where  $a_i$  denote the eigenvalues of the partial transpose  $(\rho_{SE})^{T_S}$  of  $\rho_{SE}$  with respect to the subsystem  $S$ , and  $|\dots|$  is the absolute value. The measure  $\mathcal{N}$  is well suited and conveniently computable given a mixed state of the collection of spins inside the region  $S + E$ , as opposed to the entanglement entropy  $\mathcal{S}$  which is ill defined in this case. In the present setting  $\mathcal{N}$  depends on the size of the entangled regions  $\Delta$  and their separation  $x$ . We find that at the critical regions of the XY model, Eq.1,  $\mathcal{N}$  is a function of the ratio  $\mu \equiv \frac{x}{\Delta}$  only, and once boundary effects become negligible (for sufficiently large  $N$ ), is both scale invariant and shows universal behaviour. With increasing separation, the blocks will shrink and eventually become single sites for a fixed finite  $N$ , which correspond to the remotest pair of spins in the chain, whose entanglement is known to be next to zero in the ground state. So eventually for all our finite models, boundary effects become pronounced for large separations and small block sizes. Thus we focus on those values of  $\mu$  and  $N$ , where finite size effects are negligible, *i.e.*  $\mu \leq 3$  and sufficiently large  $N$ , where the latter constraint depends on the particular critical model under consideration (for the critical Ising we find  $N \gtrsim 128$ , whereas for the critical anisotropic XY with  $\gamma = 0.5$  we have  $N \gtrsim 256$ ). Not only do boundary effects become pronounced for large separations, but also




FIG. 3: Negativity  $\mathcal{N}$  as a function of the ratio  $\mu = \frac{x}{\Delta}$ , for the critical XX at vanishing field (diamonds), critical XY (open circles) and critical Ising (filled circles) models. The data for the critical XX model are fitted according to our ansatz, revealing the parameters  $h = 0.47$ ,  $\alpha = 0.99$  (dashed line). The critical Ising model is fitted accordingly, with parameters  $h = 0.38$ ,  $\alpha = 1.68$ . All data correspond to selected subsets of possible ratios  $\mu$ , in favour of visibility.

can typical values of  $\mathcal{N}$  no longer be resolved, due to the limited accuracy of the DMRG method. We checked the convergence of  $\mathcal{N}$  with the number of kept basis states per block  $M$ , and are able to produce accurate data reliable at least up to the 5th (3rd) decimal place for calculations in models of the Ising (XX) universality class.

Turning to our results shown in Fig. 2, we see that for a fixed ratio  $\mu$  the negativity  $\mathcal{N}(\rho_{SE})$  shows the distinctive feature of scale invariance at the critical point  $\lambda = \lambda_c = 1$  of the transverse Ising model. A similar behaviour can be observed for the critical XX and XY models considered here and for other ratios  $\mu$ . Finite size scaling provides a means to obtain critical exponents which, *e.g.*, govern the divergence of classical correlation length at a critical point, by extrapolating the position of the maxima of observables for increasing lattice sizes  $N$  to the infinite size. Our results in the inset of Fig. 2 show that for different lattice sizes  $N$ , the values  $\lambda_m$  for which  $\mathcal{N}$  is maximised are shifted compared to an infinite lattice by  $N^{-1/\nu}$ . By fitting this shift as a function of  $N$  in a doubly logarithmic scale, we can accurately recover the critical exponent  $\nu = 1$  which is characteristic for the Ising universality class [3]. It is then interesting to enquire how  $\mathcal{N}(\rho_{SE})$  depends on different ratios  $\mu$  in the scale free point (once data have properly converged with system size  $N$ ). Examining the graphs in Fig. 3 one recognises a quite generic shape of  $\mathcal{N}(\rho)$  as a function of  $\mu$  for all three models under consideration, its decay exhibiting a polynomial onset and an exponential tail for  $\mu \gtrsim 0.2$ . Now, by virtue of Wick's theorem the density operators  $\rho_{SE}$  can be decomposed into the various two-point correlation functions of the form  $g_{i,j}^{a,b} = \langle \sigma_i^a \sigma_j^b \rangle$  ( $a, b = x, y, z, 0$ ), where  $\sigma^0$  denotes the identity, and given

their polynomial decay with respect to spatial separation  $|i - j|$  it seems reasonable to expect that quantum correlations will inherit signatures thereof. However unlike correlation functions, entanglement is also monogamous [27]: the entanglement of one block with another can only be a fraction of its total entanglement with the rest of the chain. At the limit of individual spins this leads the concurrence to decay very fast with separation. Generalizing this trend, one may expect an exponential decay of the entanglement of blocks with separation. In view of these considerations, we can justify an ansatz of the form

$$\mathcal{N}(\rho) \sim \mu^{-h} e^{-\alpha\mu} \quad (2)$$

with real parameters  $h$  and  $\alpha$ . In the end, this ansatz is vindicated by providing an excellent fit for our data. For  $\mu \lesssim 1$  the data for the two models  $\gamma = 1$  and  $\gamma = 0.5$  match near perfectly, a phenomenon which we attribute to the universal polynomial decay of correlation functions which holds eventually in the limit of large  $N$ . First signatures of a divergence of these two data sets occur towards larger values of the ratio  $\mu$  where the data for  $\gamma = 0.5$  tend to attain smaller values of  $\mathcal{N}$  compared to the Ising model. This mismatch becomes more pronounced and appears at smaller ratio  $\mu$  when the XY anisotropy  $\gamma$  is further decreased (not shown). The latter observation is consistent with an expected overall suppression of quantum correlations with  $\gamma$  approaching zero, since at exactly  $\gamma = 0$  and  $\lambda = \lambda_f = 1$  (where  $\lambda_f$  is the factorising field) the ground state becomes separable, *i.e.* unentangled [25]. In the critical XX model at zero temperature  $g^{x,x}$  and  $g^{y,y}$  asymptotically decay as  $\sim |i - j|^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ , whereas  $g^{z,z}$  is non-zero only for odd separations of spins and decay comparably fast as  $\sim |i - j|^{-2}$  [26]. We find our conjecture that the polynomial contribution to the decay of  $\mathcal{N}(\rho_{SE})$  stems from the critical properties of correlation functions strongly substantiated by an accurate least-squares fit of the data to our ansatz, revealing a parameter  $h = 0.47$  which is indeed very close to the expected value  $1/2$ . Instead, if one fitted the logarithmic negativity, in the present notation defined by  $E_{LN} \equiv \log_2(\mathcal{N} + 1)$  [24], to the same ansatz this would lead to  $h = 0.33 \sim 1/3$ . A similar effect for the critical Ising model is not to be expected, as all three correlators obey a different polynomial decay. The negativity of the critical XX model is also a good estimation for the entanglement of two regions of a scalar bosonic and massless field to which this model maps.

Summarising, we have shown that scale invariant entanglement exists at quantum critical points of a quantum many body system. Earlier studies of other instances of genuine quantum correlations gave rise to the belief that entanglement would defy this distinctive feature of scale invariance which classical correlations do obey at criticality. We anticipate our finding to be crucial contribution to the development of renormalisation techniques, optimised for the treatment of critical

ground state physics, as it is currently being pioneered by the multi-scale entanglement renormalisation ansatz (MERA) [14].

## METHODS

The canonical DMRG representation of the ground state  $|\psi\rangle$  can be depicted by the schematic **S** •• **E**, where the boldface fonts designate a renormalised representation of system and environment block respectively, which contain a large number of physical *sites*, in general. The bold dots represent two neighbouring free sites, in the sense that their representation is that of the product basis of two single spins  $\{|\uparrow, \uparrow\rangle, |\uparrow, \downarrow\rangle, |\downarrow, \uparrow\rangle, |\downarrow, \downarrow\rangle\}$ . The location of this pair, indexed by the connecting bond  $l \in [1, 2, \dots, N - 1]$ , may be shifted to an arbitrary position in the corresponding *real* lattice system representation, in particular for even  $N$  we may choose  $l$  such that **S** and **E** represent blocks of equal number  $\Delta$  of spins, *i.e.* the symmetric configuration. This is the departure point for our study of the entanglement of the blocks **S** and **E** of spins, separated by a number  $x$  of lattice sites, *i.e.* initially  $x = 2$ . In DMRG the representation of **S** arises in the course of a growth procedure from the direct product of a smaller system block **S'** (in our case containing  $\Delta - 1$  spins) and a single free site •. The product **S'**  $\otimes$  • is then transformed into a decimated basis of dimension  $M$ , designated as (**S'**•). **S'** is again a common description of a yet smaller block and a single site. This gives rise to a nested representation **S**  $\leftrightarrow$  ((**S'**•)•) and so forth. In a similar fashion **E** arises from such a nesting procedure, *i.e.* **E**  $\leftrightarrow$  (•(•**E''**)). As the basis transformations are stored, we may also go back to a product representation, *i.e.* (**S'**•)  $\rightarrow$  **S'**  $\otimes$  •. This allows us to successively obtain the reduced density operators of the separated blocks  $\rho_{SE}$ ,  $\rho_{S'E'}$ ,  $\rho_{S''E''}$ , and so on, by averaging in each step over those degrees of freedom belonging to a pair of free sites, which can be done at a modest computational expense.

*Note added:* We have become aware that independently of our work, entanglement between separated segments in the vacuum mode of a scalar massless field has been considered [28], and similar exponents were derived.

The studentship of HW is supported by the EPSRC, UK. JMV acknowledges the supporting of Spanish Office for Science and Technology program “Jose Castillejo” and Fundacion Seneca Murcia. SB acknowledges the EPSRC, UK, the EPSRC sponsored QIPIRC, the Royal Society and the Wolfson Foundation.

---

[1] Osterloh, A., Amico, L., Fazio, R., and Vedral, V. Entanglement in many-body systems. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **80**,

- 517 (2008).
- [2] Sachdev, S. *Quantum Phase Transitions* (Cambridge University Press, New York, 1999).
- [3] Osterloh, A., Amico, L., Falci, G., and Fazio, R. Scaling of entanglement close to a quantum phase transition. *Nature* **416**, 608-610 (2002).
- [4] Osborne, T. J., and Nielsen, M. A. Entanglement in a simple quantum phase transition. *Phys. Rev. A* **66**, 032110 (2002).
- [5] Vidal, G., Latorre, J. I., Rico, E., and Kitaev, A. Entanglement in quantum critical phenomena. *Phys. Rev. Lett* **90**, 227902 (2003).
- [6] Latorre, J. I., Rico, E., Vidal, G. Ground state entanglement in quantum spin chains. *Quant. Inf. Comput.* **4**, 48-92 (2004).
- [7] Jin, B.-Q. and Korepin, V. E. Quantum spin chain, Toeplitz determinants and the Fisher-Hartwig conjecture. *J. Stat. Phys.* **116**, 79-95 (2004).
- [8] Peschel, I. On the entanglement entropy for an XY spin chain. *J. Stat. Mech.*, P12005 (2004).
- [9] Calabrese, P., Cardy, J. Entanglement entropy and quantum field theory. *J. Stat. Mech.*, P06002 (2004).
- [10] Keating, J. P. and Mezzadri, F. Entanglement in quantum spin chains, symmetry classes of random matrices, and conformal field theory. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94**, 050501 (2005)
- [11] Continentino, M. A. *Quantum Scaling in Many-Body Systems*. World Scientific Lecture Notes in Physics **67** (World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Singapore, 2001).
- [12] Vedral, V. Quantifying entanglement in macroscopic systems. *Nature* **453**, 1004-1007 (2008)
- [13] Ghosh, S., Rosenbaum, T. F., Aeppli, G., and Coppersmith, S.N. Entangled quantum state of magnetic dipoles. *Nature* **425**, 48-51 (2003).
- [14] Vidal, G. Entanglement renormalization. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99**, 220405 (2007).
- [15] Barouch, E., McCoy, B. M. Statistical mechanics of the XY model. II. Spin-correlation functions. *Phys. Rev. A* **3**, 786-804 (1971).
- [16] Wootters, W. K. Entanglement of formation of an arbitrary state of two qubits. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80**, 2245-2248 (1998).
- [17] Audenaert, K., Eisert, J., Plenio, M., and Werner, R. F. Entanglement properties of the harmonic chain. *Phys. Rev. A* **66**, 042327 (2002).
- [18] Silman, J., and Reznik, B. Long-range entanglement in the Dirac vacuum. *Phys. Rev. A.* **75**, 052307 (2007).
- [19] Reznik, B., Retzker, A., and Silman, J. Violating Bells's inequalities in vacuum. *Phys. Rev. A.* **71**, 042104 (2005)
- [20] White, S. R. Density matrix formulation for quantum renormalization groups. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **69**, 2863 - 2866 (1992).
- [21] Tagliacozzo, L., de Oliveira, Thiago. R., Iblisdir, S., Latorre, J. I. Scaling of entanglement support for matrix product states. *Phys. Rev. B* **78**, 024410 (2008).
- [22] Kargarian, M., Jafari, R., Langari, A. Renormalization of entanglement in the anisotropic Heisenberg (XXZ) model. *Phys. Rev. A* **77**, 032346 (2008).
- [23] Życzkowski, K., Horodecki, P., Sanpera, A., Lewenstein, M. Volume of the set of separable states. *Phys. Rev. A* **58**, 883 (1998).
- [24] Vidal, G., Werner, R. F., A computable measure of entanglement. *Phys. Rev. A* **65**, 032314 (2002).
- [25] Giampaolo, S. M., Adesso, G., and Illuminati, F., Theory of ground state factorization in quantum cooperative systems. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, 197201 (2008).
- [26] McCoy, B. M. Spin Correlation Functions of the X-Y model. *Phys. Rev.* **173**, 531 (1968)
- [27] Coffman, V., Kundu, J., and Wootters, W. K. Distributed entanglement. *Phys. Rev. A* **61**, 052306 (2000).
- [28] Marcovitch, S., Retzker, A., Plenio, M. B., and Reznik, B. Long range entanglement in one-dimensional Klein-Gordon fields. *preprint arXiv:0811.1288* (2008)