

# Atomic entanglement sudden death in a strongly driven cavity QED system

Ying-Jie Zhang\*

*Department of Physics, Qufu Normal University, Qufu, Shandong, 273165, China*

(Dated: April 15, 2019)

## Abstract

We study the entanglement dynamics of strongly driven atoms off-resonantly coupled with cavity fields. We consider conditions characterized not only by the atom-field coupling but also by the atom-field detuning. By studying two different models within the framework of cavity QED, we show that the so-called atomic entanglement sudden death (ESD) always occurs if the atom-field coupling larger than the atom-field detuning, and is independent of the type of initial atomic state.

PACS numbers: 03.65.Ud

Keywords: entanglement sudden death

arXiv:0811.1079v1 [quant-ph] 7 Nov 2008

---

\* E-mail: yingjiezhang2007@163.com

## I. INTRODUCTION

Entanglement plays a crucial role in quantum information processing[1]. Quantum algorithms (particularly, in Shor's algorithm, to find the prime factors of an  $n$ -bit integer) exploit entanglement to speed up computation. Entanglement dynamics has been a difficult subject and has attracted extensive interest recently ranging from two-qubit systems[2 – 5], to continuous variables[6, 7], spin systems[8, 9, 10], and multi-partite systems[11 – 14]. Moreover, in[15, 16], proposals have been made for the direct measurement of finite-time disentanglement in cavity QED, and real-time detection of entanglement sudden death (ESD) has been reported very recently[17, 18, 19].

The interaction of a two-level atom with a quantized single mode of a harmonic oscillator, called the Jaynes-Cummings (JC) model[20]. The (JC) model has found its natural playground in the field of cavity quantum electrodynamics (CQED), and extensions of the (JC) model to more atoms and more modes, externally driven or not, have been developed and, presently, we enjoy a vast number of theoretical and experimental developments. In [17], the authors dealt with a double (JC) model in which two initially entangled two-level atoms  $A$  and  $B$  are independently coupled with separate cavity fields  $a$  and  $b$  respectively, but there are no interactions at all between the subsystems  $Aa$  and  $Bb$ . Focusing on the atomic subsystem they found that depending on the type of initial state of atoms  $AB$ , their entanglement may or may not exhibit ESD. From [17], we can acquire that the authors have taken pure Bell-like states as initial state of atoms and assumed initial cavity field to be in vacuum. As a consequence, ESD was found to be sensitive to the initial atomic state, that to say, ESD may occur for a certain type of initial atomic state but does not appear for another type.

In this paper, we consider a system consisting of a two-level atom trapped inside a single mode cavity. The atom is driven by a strongly classical field additionally. The experimental implementation seems to be feasible due to the recent advances in deterministic trapping of atoms in the optical cavities[21, 22]. We show that under taking atomic pure Bell-like states  $|\Phi_{AB}\rangle = \cos\theta|e_A, e_B\rangle + \sin\theta|g_A, g_B\rangle$  or  $|\Psi_{AB}\rangle = \cos\theta|e_A, g_B\rangle + \sin\theta|g_A, e_B\rangle$  as initial state of atoms and assuming initial cavity field to be in vacuum, ESD can occur for two types of initial atomic state. In order to study entanglement we will use the *negativity* ( $N$ ) which can be defined for two qubits as two times the modulus of the negative eigenvalue of the partial transposition of the state  $\rho$ ,  $\rho^{TA}$ [23], if it exists. For short

$$N(\rho) = 2 \max\{0, -\lambda_{min}\}, \tag{1}$$

where  $\lambda_{min}$  is the lowest eigenvalue of  $\rho^{TA}$ . Our choice is motivated by the facts that the negativity is easy to calculate and provides full entanglement information for a two-qubit system. To figure out general conditions for the possible negativity of atomic ESD, we shall study two different atom-cavity models, which we refer to Model 1, and Model 2. In Model 1 one of two atoms is trapped in a single cavity, coupled to this cavity and driven by a classic strong coherent field, while the other remains outside the cavity and has no environment. Model 2 deals with a double driven JCM: each of the two strongly driven atoms interacts with its own cavity in the absence of any coupling between the atom-field subsystems. A generic result we have found out is that the atomic ESD always occurs in the conditions of the atom-field coupling lager than the atom-field detuning.

## II. MODEL 1

By Model 1 we show a model in which an strongly driven atom  $A$  is off-resonantly coupled to a single-mode cavity field  $a$ , while the other atom  $B$  is isolated from all environment. The Hamiltonian of the system can be described by

$$H = \frac{\hbar\omega_a}{2}\sigma_A^z + \hbar\omega_f a^\dagger a + \hbar\Omega(e^{-i\omega_D t}\sigma_A^+ + e^{i\omega_D t}\sigma_A) + \hbar g(\sigma_A^+ a + \sigma_A a^\dagger), \quad (2)$$

where  $\Omega$  is the Rabi frequency associated with the coherent driving field amplitude,  $g$  is the atom-cavity mode coupling constant,  $a(a^\dagger)$  the field annihilation (creation) operator,  $\sigma_A = |g_A\rangle\langle e_A|$  ( $\sigma_A^+ = |e_A\rangle\langle g_A|$ ) the atomic lowering (raising) operator, and  $\sigma_A^z = |e_A\rangle\langle e_A| - |g_A\rangle\langle g_A|$  the inversion operator. Considering the strong-driving regime for the interaction between the atoms and the external coherent field  $\Omega \gg g$ , we can use the rotating-wave approximation (RWA) obtaining the effective Hamiltonian[24]

$$H_{eff} = \frac{\hbar g}{2}(\sigma_A^+ + \sigma_A)(ae^{i\delta t} + a^\dagger e^{-i\delta t}). \quad (3)$$

where  $\delta = \omega_f - \omega_a$  is the atom-cavity detuning.

We first choose the state  $|\Psi_{AB}\rangle$  as an initial state of atoms  $A$  and  $B$  and assume the initial cavity field to be in vacuum ( $|Vacuum\rangle$  means no photon in the single-mode cavity field). Thus the total system state at  $t = 0$  is

$$|\Psi(0)\rangle_{ABa} = (\cos\theta|e_A, g_B\rangle + \sin\theta|g_A, e_B\rangle) \otimes |Vacuum\rangle_a. \quad (4)$$

Then the evolved state in time  $t$  will be  $|\Psi(t)\rangle = U(t)|\Psi(0)\rangle$ , and  $U(t) = \exp(-iH_{eff}t)$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} |\Psi(t)\rangle_{ABa} = & \frac{\cos\theta + \sin\theta}{2}|\alpha\rangle|+A, +B\rangle + \frac{\cos\theta - \sin\theta}{2}|\alpha\rangle|+A, -B\rangle \\ & - \frac{\cos\theta - \sin\theta}{2}|-\alpha\rangle|-A, +B\rangle - \frac{\cos\theta + \sin\theta}{2}|-\alpha\rangle|-A, -B\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

with  $\alpha = \frac{g}{2\delta}(1 - e^{i\delta t})$  and  $|\pm_X\rangle = (|g_X\rangle \pm |e_X\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$ , where  $X = A, B$  and  $\{|i\rangle\}_{i=1}^4 = \{|+A +B\rangle, |+A -B\rangle, |-A +B\rangle, |-A -B\rangle\}$  is the rotated basis of the atomic Hilbert space. We now try to estimate the atomic entanglement of the state Equation(5), we notice that the cavity field in the general nonorthogonal coherent state  $|\alpha\rangle$  and  $|-\alpha\rangle$ . So we can define  $|0\rangle = |\alpha\rangle$ ,  $|1\rangle = (|-\alpha\rangle - P|\alpha\rangle)/\sqrt{1 - P^2}$  with  $P = \exp(\frac{g^2}{\delta^2}(\cos\delta t - 1))$  for the cavity field subsystem. Then the Equation(5) can be written

$$\begin{aligned} |\Psi(t)\rangle = & \left( \frac{\cos\theta + \sin\theta}{2}|+A, +B\rangle + \frac{\cos\theta - \sin\theta}{2}|+A, -B\rangle \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{\cos\theta - \sin\theta}{2}P| -A +B\rangle - \frac{\cos\theta + \sin\theta}{2}P| -A -B\rangle \right) |0\rangle \\ & - \left( \frac{\cos\theta - \sin\theta}{2}\sqrt{1 - P^2}| -A +B\rangle + \frac{\cos\theta + \sin\theta}{2}\sqrt{1 - P^2}| -A -B\rangle \right) |1\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

In order to calculate the negativity of atoms, this requires that we trace out the mode  $a$ , the expression for  $\rho_{AB}^\Psi(t)$  in the rotated basis of the atomic Hilbert space:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{11} = \rho_{44} = & \left( \frac{\cos\theta + \sin\theta}{2} \right)^2, \rho_{22} = \rho_{33} = \left( \frac{\cos\theta - \sin\theta}{2} \right)^2, \\ \rho_{14} = \rho_{41} = & - \left( \frac{\cos\theta + \sin\theta}{2} \right)^2 P, \rho_{23} = \rho_{32} = - \left( \frac{\cos\theta - \sin\theta}{2} \right)^2 P, \\ \rho_{12} = \rho_{21} = \rho_{34} = \rho_{43} = & \frac{\cos^2\theta - \sin^2\theta}{4}, \\ \rho_{13} = \rho_{31} = \rho_{42} = \rho_{24} = & - \frac{\cos^2\theta - \sin^2\theta}{4} P. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

The negativity of  $\rho_{AB}^\Psi(t)$  is

$$N(\rho_{AB}^\Psi(t)) = 2 \max\left\{0, \frac{1}{4}(1 - P - \sqrt{1 + P^2 - 2P \cos 4\theta})\right\}. \quad (8)$$

The negativity  $N(\rho_{AB}^\Psi(t))$  has been calculated, as shown in Fig.1 and Fig.2, for the different  $g$  and  $\delta$ . Obviously the negativity is fluctuating with the rescaled time  $t$ , the mixing angle  $\theta$  and can be zero in a finite time (the so-called entanglement sudden death). An important point is that ESD is sensitive to the value of  $g/\delta$  as displayed in Fig.1 and Fig.2. For  $g/\delta > 1$ , the ESD happens readily(Fig.1 and Fig.2), and the larger value of  $g/\delta$  is, the easier the ESD appears. When  $g/\delta < 1$ , the ESD will not appear at any time. This phenomenon shows that ESD is related to  $g/\delta$

completely in this model.

The character revealed by Fig.3 is that  $\delta$  influence the period of the ESD and  $g$  is related to the velocity of the  $AB$  subsystem's disentanglement. If we want to acquire a long time ESD, then we can choose a smaller atom-field detuning (Fig.3(a)). While  $\delta$  is a constant, the  $AB$  subsystem can rapidly disengages with the larger  $g$  (Fig.3(b)), a physical interpretation of the result is that the more coupled the atom are to the cavity mode, the more becomes the decay of the initial entanglement. At last, considering the atom and the cavity under resonance condition ( $\delta = 0$ ), the negativity of  $\rho_{AB}^\Psi(t)$  decreases at the beginning, and then vanishes for any time (Fig.4). So this resonance regime can be used as a information eraser.

Now we move to the negativity  $N(\rho_{Aa}^\Psi(t))$  and  $N(\rho_{Ba}^\Psi(t))$  and the three-tangle  $\tau(\rho_{ABa}^\Psi(t))$ , then we acquired that

$$N(\rho_{Aa}^\Psi(t)) = 2 \max\{0, Q_{Aa}\}, \quad (9)$$

$$N(\rho_{Ba}^\Psi(t)) = 2 \max\{0, Q_{Ba}\}, \quad (10)$$

$$\tau(\rho_{ABa}^\Psi(t)) = \frac{1}{2}(1 - P^2)(1 - \cos 4\theta), \quad (11)$$

if  $0 < \cos 2\theta < 1$ ,  $Q_{Aa} = -\frac{1}{8}(2 - 2\cos 2\theta - \sqrt{2}\sqrt{3 + (4 - 8P^2)\cos 2\theta + \cos 4\theta})$  and  $Q_{Ba} = -\frac{1}{2}(1 - P\cos 2\theta)$ , and if  $-1 < \cos 2\theta < 0$ ,  $Q_{Aa} = -\frac{1}{8}(2 + 2\cos 2\theta - \sqrt{2}\sqrt{3 + (8P^2 - 4)\cos 2\theta + \cos 4\theta})$  and  $Q_{Ba} = -\frac{1}{2}(1 + P\cos 2\theta)$ . Due to  $0 < P < 1$ , then  $Q_{Ba} < 0$ , the negativity  $N(\rho_{Ba}^\Psi(t)) = 0$ . In the strongly driven regime,  $B$  is isolated from all environment, and the strong classical field that drives the atom  $A$  can be used to enhance the atom( $A$ )-cavity field( $a$ ) interaction. The entanglement between  $A$  and cavity  $a$  can appear during the system evolution, but  $B$  and cavity  $a$  can not entangle with each other in the strongly driven regime(that is different from the standard J-C Model). It is interesting to find that while  $AB$  subsystem is appearing the long-time ESD, the entanglement of the  $Aa$  subsystem and the three-tangle of the whole system are all on the long-time invariable entanglement(as shown in Fig.5(a)). It is clearly shown that the three-tangle  $\tau_{ABa}$  can influenced by the initial state  $|\Psi_{AB}(0)\rangle$  in Fig.5(b). On the condition  $\theta = \pi/4$  (the initial state is on the maximum entanglement), when  $AB$  subsystem's entanglement vanishes for a long time, the three-tangle of the whole system can achieve the maximum value 1 for a long time and the entanglement between  $A$  and  $a$  can not appear for any time. That is to say, the  $AB$  subsystem's entanglement are transferred to the whole system's entanglement thoroughly (Fig.5(c)). So, we can acquire the three-partite system's long-time maximum entanglement in the strongly driven regime (In Model 1).

Next, we choose  $|\Phi_{AB}\rangle$  to be the initial atomic state. Then the total system density matrix at  $t = 0$  is

$$\rho_{ABa}^\Phi(0) = |\Phi_{AB}\rangle\langle\Phi_{AB}| \otimes |Vacuum\rangle_a\langle Vacuum|, \quad (12)$$

and for  $\rho_{ABa}^\Phi(t)$  we have the expression as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{11} &= \rho_{44} = \left(\frac{\cos\theta + \sin\theta}{2}\right)^2, \rho_{22} = \rho_{33} = \left(\frac{\cos\theta - \sin\theta}{2}\right)^2, \\ \rho_{14} &= \rho_{41} = \left(\frac{\cos\theta + \sin\theta}{2}\right)^2 P, \rho_{23} = \rho_{32} = \left(\frac{\cos\theta - \sin\theta}{2}\right)^2 P, \\ \rho_{12} &= \rho_{21} = \rho_{34} = \rho_{43} = -\frac{\cos\theta^2 - \sin\theta^2}{4}, \\ \rho_{13} &= \rho_{31} = \rho_{42} = \rho_{24} = -\frac{\cos\theta^2 - \sin\theta^2}{4} P. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

The negativity of  $\rho_{AB}^\Phi(t)$  is satisfied with

$$N(\rho_{AB}^\Phi(t)) = N(\rho_{AB}^\Psi(t)). \quad (14)$$

Equation(14) reveals that the behavior of  $N(\rho_{AB}^\Phi(t))$  dependent on  $g$  and  $\delta$  is equal to that of  $N(\rho_{AB}^\Psi(t))$ . We also calculate the negativity  $N(\rho_{Aa}^\Phi(t))$  and  $N(\rho_{Ba}^\Phi(t))$  and the three-tangle  $\tau(\rho_{ABa}^\Phi(t))$ , and find that they are all equal to  $N(\rho_{Aa}^\Psi(t))$ ,  $N(\rho_{Ba}^\Psi(t))$  and  $\tau(\rho_{ABa}^\Psi(t))$ .

Consequently, for the Model 1, where only one driven atom interacts with its environment, if initially the atom is not driven and the cavity field is in the vacuum, ESD does not occur either for  $\rho_{AB}^\Phi(0)$  or  $\rho_{AB}^\Psi(0)$ . In contrast, however, if the atom is driven by a strong classical field and the atom-cavity coupling and the atom-cavity detuning satisfy  $g/\delta > 1$ , the atomic subsystem  $AB$  always evolves via ESD, independent of the type of initial atomic state(i.e. it never matters if it is  $\rho_{AB}^\Phi(0)$  or  $\rho_{AB}^\Psi(0)$ ).

### III. MODEL 2

The double JCM, which has intensively been investigate recently[17], was shown that, if initially atoms  $A$  and  $B$  are prepared in the  $|\Phi_{AB}\rangle$ -type Bell-like pure state, then the atomic entanglement dies in a finite time and remains dead for some time before reviving itself again, i.e., ESD occurs, whereas the  $|\Psi_{AB}\rangle$ -type Bell-like pure state dose not exhibit ESD at all. However, these investigation are confined to the atom-field under full resonance conditions. Our purpose here is to focus on the differences that may appear for the atoms  $A$  and  $B$  independently driven by strongly external classical field respectively and the atom-cavity off resonance.

So we consider the Model 2, in this model two remote two-level atoms  $A$  and  $B$  are first prepared to be in an entangled state and then let each atom coupled with a single-mode cavity respectively, and during the interaction with the single-mode cavity, the two atoms are independently driven by strongly classical field. In the strong-driving regime, The effective Hamiltonian governing Model 2 is of the form

$$H_{eff} = \sum_{k=A,B} H_{eff}^k, \quad (15)$$

where

$$H_{eff}^k = \frac{\hbar g_k}{2} (\sigma_k^+ + \sigma_k) (a_k e^{i\delta_k t} + a_k^\dagger e^{-i\delta_k t}). \quad (16)$$

Let us consider the total system state at  $t = 0$  in the form

$$|\Psi(0)\rangle_{ABab} = (\cos \theta |e_A, g_B\rangle + \sin \theta |g_A, e_B\rangle) \otimes |Vacuum\rangle_a |Vacuum\rangle_b. \quad (17)$$

Then the evolved state in time  $t$  will be

$$\begin{aligned} |\Psi(t)\rangle_{ABab} &= \exp(-iH_{eff}t) |\Psi(0)\rangle_{ABab} \\ &= \frac{\cos \theta + \sin \theta}{2} |\alpha_a, \beta_b\rangle |+_A, +_B\rangle + \frac{\cos \theta - \sin \theta}{2} |\alpha_a, -\beta_b\rangle |+_A, -_B\rangle \\ &\quad - \frac{\cos \theta - \sin \theta}{2} |-\alpha_a, \beta_b\rangle |-_A, +_B\rangle - \frac{\cos \theta + \sin \theta}{2} |-\alpha_a, -\beta_b\rangle |-_A, -_B\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

with  $\{|i\rangle\}_{i=1}^4 = \{|+_A +_B\rangle, |+_A -_B\rangle, |-_A +_B\rangle, |-_A -_B\rangle\}$  is the rotated basis of the atomic Hilbert space. Similar to the Equation(5), we also define

$$|0_a\rangle = |\alpha_a\rangle, |1_a\rangle = (|-\alpha_a\rangle - P_A |\alpha_a\rangle) / \sqrt{1 - P_A^2}, \quad (19)$$

$$|0_b\rangle = |-\beta_b\rangle, |1_b\rangle = (|\beta_b\rangle - P_B |-\beta_b\rangle) / \sqrt{1 - P_B^2}, \quad (20)$$

where  $P_A = \exp(\frac{g_A^2}{\delta_A^2} (\cos \delta_A t - 1))$ ,  $P_B = \exp(\frac{g_B^2}{\delta_B^2} (\cos \delta_B t - 1))$ . The reduced density matrix  $\rho_{AB}^\Psi(t)$  is in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{11} &= \rho_{44} = \left(\frac{\cos \theta + \sin \theta}{2}\right)^2, \rho_{22} = \rho_{33} = \left(\frac{\cos \theta - \sin \theta}{2}\right)^2, \\ \rho_{14} &= \rho_{41} = -\left(\frac{\cos \theta + \sin \theta}{2}\right)^2 P_A P_B, \rho_{23} = \rho_{32} = -\left(\frac{\cos \theta - \sin \theta}{2}\right)^2 P_A P_B, \\ \rho_{12} &= \rho_{21} = \rho_{34} = \rho_{43} = \frac{\cos \theta^2 - \sin \theta^2}{4} P_B, \\ \rho_{13} &= \rho_{31} = \rho_{42} = \rho_{24} = -\frac{\cos \theta^2 - \sin \theta^2}{4} P_A. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

The negativity of  $\rho_{AB}^\Psi(t)$  is

$$N(\rho_{AB}^\Psi(t)) = 2 \max\{0, -\frac{1}{8}(2-2P_AP_B-\sqrt{2}\sqrt{(1+P_A^2)(1+P_B^2)+(P_A^2+P_B^2-1-4P_AP_B-P_A^2P_B^2)\cos 4\theta})\}. \quad (22)$$

The negativity of  $\rho_{AB}^\Psi(t)$  is given by the Equation(22), in full similarity with Model 1, ESD always occurs for  $g_A/\delta_A > 1$  and  $g_B/\delta_B > 1$ . The physical interpretation of the result is that  $g_A/\delta_A > 1$  (or  $g_B/\delta_B > 1$ ) means the strong coupling regime. Because the atom  $A$  (or  $B$ ) and cavity  $a$  (or  $b$ ) strongly couple with each other, the entanglement of the  $AB$  subsystem can appear the ESD phenomenon.  $g_A$  and  $g_B$  are related to the velocity of the initial state's disentanglement, and  $\delta_A, \delta_B$  influence the ESD's period. In addition, the ESD also appears on the condition  $g_A/\delta_A \geq 1, \delta_B \geq g_B$  or  $g_B/\delta_B \geq 1, \delta_A \geq g_A$ , even on  $\delta_A > g_A, \delta_B > g_B$  (but when satisfy  $\delta_A > g_A$  or  $\delta_B > g_B, \delta_A$  and  $\delta_B$  can not be correspond to the large detuning regime), and is shown as Fig.6 and Fig.7. From Fig.6 and Fig.7, we can see that the ESD phenomenon also can occur in the case  $\delta_A$  and  $\delta_B$  are larger than  $g_A$  and  $g_B$  (but not too much). As we all known, the larger atom-cavity detuning means that the interaction between the atom and cavity becomes smaller, for example, in the large detuning regime, the cavity and the atom can not exist energy exchange. So when  $\delta_A$  and  $\delta_B$  are much larger than  $g_A$  and  $g_B$ , the ESD will disappear. If we consider the driven atoms and the cavities under resonance condition ( $\delta_A = \delta_B = 0$ ), the negativity of  $\rho_{AB}^\Psi(t)$  decreases at the beginning, and then vanishes for any time (the same as Fig.4). So the Model 2 under resonance regime can also be used as a information eraser.

For another type of atomic initial state  $|\Phi_{AB}\rangle$ , it can be verified that the negativity  $N(\rho_{AB}^\Phi(t))$  is also equal to  $N(\rho_{AB}^\Psi(t))$ . Through calculating the negativity  $N_{Aa}, N_{Bb}, N_{Ab}$  and  $N_{Ba}$  in Model 2, we find that the entanglement of  $Aa$  and  $Bb$  can occur during the system evolution and can be on a long-time invariable entanglement when  $AB$  subsystem is occurring the ESD. Because of no existing any interaction in the  $Ba$  subsystem (or  $Ab$  subsystem), it is not possible to entangle  $Ab$  (or  $Ba$ ) in the strongly driven regime (this results are not similar to the standard double J-C Model[17]). Hence, our conclusion regarding Model 2 is that the driven atomic subsystem  $AB$  always suffers ESD if the atom-cavity coupling and the atom-detuning are satisfied with the condition  $g_A/\delta_A > 1, g_B/\delta_B > 1$  or  $g_A/\delta_A \geq 1, \delta_B \geq g_B$  or  $g_B/\delta_B \geq 1, \delta_A \geq g_A$ , even on  $\delta_A > g_A, \delta_B > g_B$  (but  $\delta_A$  and  $\delta_B$  can not be correspond to the large detuning regime), independent of the type of the atomic initial state which may be either  $\rho_{AB}^\Phi(0)$  or  $\rho_{AB}^\Psi(0)$ .



## IV. CONCLUSION

We have described the entanglement evolution of two two-level atoms off-resonantly coupled to cavity fields. (In model 1, one of the two atoms is strongly driven by an external coherent field, and in Model 2, the two atoms are independently driven by strong coherent fields, respectively.) There are different available or forthcoming routes to the implementation of our model. In the microwave regime of cavity QED pairs of atoms excited to Rydberg levels cross a high-Q superconductive cavity with negligible spontaneous emission during the interaction [25]. In the optical regime the application of cooling and trapping techniques in cavity QED [21] allows the deterministic loading of single atoms in a high-finesse cavity, with accurate position control and trapping times of many seconds [22]. In this regime laser-assisted three-level atoms can behave as effective two-level atoms [26]. On the other hand, trapped atomic ions can remain in an optical cavity for an indefinite time in a fixed position, where they can couple to a single mode without coupling rate fluctuations [27]. These systems are quite promising for our purposes and could become almost ideal in case of achievement of the strong coupling regime.

Under off-resonance conditions and starting from the vacuum state of the cavity fields, and for negligible the atomic decays and the cavity leakage, we solved exactly the system dynamics for two types initial preparation of the atom pairs ( $|\Phi_{AB}\rangle$  and  $|\Psi_{AB}\rangle$ ). Thus we found conditions for the negativity of the so-called atomic ESD. Namely, the initial entanglement of atoms, if any, will suffer a sudden death, sooner or later, if the atom-cavity coupling and the atom-cavity detuning are satisfied with  $g/\delta > 1$  (in Model 1), while in Model 2 the system is accordance to  $g_A/\delta_A \geq 1$ ,  $g_B/\delta_B \geq 1$  or  $g_A/\delta_A > 1$ ,  $\delta_B > g_B$  and/or  $g_B/\delta_B > 1$ ,  $\delta_A > g_A$  (but  $\delta_A$  and  $\delta_B$  can not be correspond to the large detuning regime). Furthermore, it is interesting to note that such conditions for ESD do not depend on the concrete type of the initial state of atoms, the atom-cavity detuning  $\delta$  influence the period of the ESD and the atom-cavity coupling  $g$  is related to the velocity of the  $AB$  subsystem's disentanglement.

In the atom-cavity resonance regime, the negativity of the atomic subsystem decreases at the beginning, and then vanishes for any time, so this strongly driven model (Model 1 and Model 2) under resonance regime can also be used as a information eraser. However, entangled stats are generally very fragile against interaction with environments, but in our present scheme, the energy exchange between atoms and cavities do not exist under the atom-cavity large detuning regime, so the initial entanglement of atoms is preserved during system evolution, which is shown as Fig.8(in

Model 1), and in the Model 2 can have the similar figure. That is to say, the strongly driven and large detuning model can be used to preserve the information (entanglement) in the quantum information processing and the quantum computing.

## V. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Supported by the National Science Foundation of China under Grant No.10774088, the Key Program of National Science Foundation of China under Grant No.10534030 and Funds from Qufu Normal University under Grant No.XJ0621.

- 
- [1] Nielsen M A and Chuang I L *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information*(Cambridge University Press, New York, 2000).
  - [2] Yu T and Eberly H J 2004 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93** 140404.
  - [3] Jakobczyk L and Jamroz A, 2004 *Phys. Lett. A* **333** 35.
  - [4] Bandyopadhyay S and Lidar D A 2004 *Phys. Rev. A* **70** 010301(R).
  - [5] Yu T and Eberly H J 2006 *Phys. Rev. A* **97** 140403. quant-ph/0405119.
  - [6] Dodd P J and Halliwell J J, 2004 *Phys. Rev. A* **69** 052105.
  - [7] Ban M , 2006 *J. Phys. A.* **39** 1927.
  - [8] Solenov D, Tolkunov D and Privman 2006 *Phys. Lett.* **359** 81.
  - [9] Zyczkowski K, Horodecki P Horodecki M and Horodecki R 2002 *Phys. Rev. A* **65** 012101.
  - [10] Diosi L in *Irreversible Quantum Dynamics* edited by Benati F and Floreanini (Spring, New York, 2003) pp.157-163.
  - [11] Carvalho A R R, Mintert F and Buchleitner A 2004 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93** 230501.
  - [12] Carvalho A R R, Mintert F and Buchleitner A 2007 *Euro. Phys. J. D* **41** 425.
  - [13] Derkacz L and Jakobczyk L 2006 *Phys. Rev. A* **74** 032313.
  - [14] Gordon G and Kurizki G 2006 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **97** 110503.
  - [15] Santos M F, Milman P and Davidovich et al 2006 *Phys. Rev. A.* **73** 022313.
  - [16] Walborn S P, Ribeiro P H S, Davidovich L, Mintert F and Bchleitner A 2006 *Nature* **440** 1022.
  - [17] Yönaç M, Yu T and Eberly J H 2007 *J. Phys.B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys.* **36** S621.
  - [18] Almeida M P, Melo F, Salles A, et al 2006 *Quantum Optics Conference, Pucon, Chile* .

- [19] Man Z X, Xia Y J and Nguyen B A 2008 *J. Phys.B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys.* **41** 085503.
- [20] Jaynes E T and Cummings F W 1963 *Proc. IEEE* **51** 89.
- [21] Boozer A D, Boca A, Miller R, Northup T E and Kimble H J 2006 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **97** 083602.
- [22] Fortier K M, Kim S Y, Gibbons M J, Ahmadi and Chapman M S 2007 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98** 233601.
- [23] Solano E , Agarwal G S, and Walther H 2003 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90** 027903.
- [24] Vidal G and Werner R F 2002 *Phys. Rev. A* **65** 032314; Audenaert K, Plenio M B and Eisert J 2003 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90** 027901.
- [25] Haroche S and Raimond J M 2006 *Exploring the Quantum (Oxford University Press, Oxford)*.
- [26] Lougovski P, Casagrande F, Lulli A and Solano E 2007 *Phys. Rev. A* **76** 033802.
- [27] Mundt A B, Kreuter A, Becher C, Leibfried D, Eschner J, Schmidt-Kaler F and Blatt R 2002 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **89** 103001.




FIG. 1:  $N(\rho_{AB}^\Psi(t))$  as a function of  $\theta$  and the dimensions time  $t$  for  $g/\delta = 2$ , with  $g = 2, \delta = 1$ .




FIG. 2:  $N(\rho_{AB}^\Psi(t))$  as a function of  $\theta$  and the dimensions time  $t$  for  $g/\delta = 3$ , with  $g = 6, \delta = 2$ .




FIG. 3: (a)  $N(\rho_{AB}^{\Psi}(t))$  as a function of the dimensions time  $t$  for  $g = 4$ ,  $\theta = \pi/4$ , (b)  $N(\rho_{AB}^{\Psi}(t))$  as a function of the dimensions time  $t$  for  $\delta = 1$ ,  $\theta = \pi/4$ .




FIG. 4:  $N(\rho_{AB}^{\Psi}(t))$  as a function of  $\theta$  and the dimensions time  $t$  for  $g = 1$ ,  $\delta = 0$ .




FIG. 5:  $N(\rho_{AB}^\Psi(t))/N(\rho_{Aa}^\Psi(t))/\tau(\rho_{ABa}^\Psi(t))$  as a function of the dimensions time  $t$ , (a)  $g = 2, \delta = 1, \theta = \frac{\pi}{6}$ , (b)  $g = 2, \delta = 1$ , (c)  $g = 2, \delta = 1, \theta = \frac{\pi}{4}$ .




FIG. 6:  $N(\rho_{AB}^\Psi(t))$  as a function of  $\theta$  and the dimensions time  $t$  for  $g_A = g_B = 1, \delta_A = 0.5, \delta_B = 2$ .




FIG. 7:  $N(\rho_{AB}^{\Psi}(t))$  as a function of  $\theta$  and the dimensions time  $t$  for  $g_A = g_B = 1$ ,  $\delta_A = \delta_B = 1.5$ .




FIG. 8:  $N(\rho_{AB}^{\Psi}(t))$  as a function of  $\theta$  and the dimensions time  $t$  for  $g = 1$ ,  $\delta = 10$ , in the large detuning regime.