

## SUBNORMAL SUBALGEBRAS OF LEIBNIZ ALGEBRAS

DONALD W. BARNES

ABSTRACT. Zassenhaus has proved that if  $U$  is a subnormal subalgebra of a finite-dimensional Lie algebra  $L$  and  $V$  is a finite-dimensional irreducible  $L$ -module, then all  $U$ -module composition factors of  $V$  are isomorphic. Schenkman has proved that if  $U$  is a subnormal subalgebra of a finite-dimensional Lie algebra  $L$ , then the nilpotent residual of  $U$  is an ideal of  $L$ . These useful results generalise to Leibniz algebras.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Zassenhaus has proved [5, Lemma 1] that if  $U$  is a subnormal subalgebra of a finite-dimensional Lie algebra  $L$  and  $V$  is a finite-dimensional irreducible  $L$ -module, then all  $U$ -module composition factors of  $V$  are isomorphic. Zassenhaus's proof does not extend to Leibniz algebras. However, the result for Leibniz algebras is easily deduced from the Lie algebra result. Schenkman [4, Theorem 3] has proved that, for a subnormal subalgebra  $U$  of a Lie algebra  $L$ , the nilpotent residual  $U_{\mathfrak{N}} = U^{\omega} = \bigcap_r U^r$  of  $U$  is an ideal of  $L$ . I show that this holds for Leibniz algebras. Schenkman's proof shows for a subnormal subalgebra  $U$  of a Leibniz algebra  $L$ , that  $U_{\mathfrak{N}}$  is a right ideal of  $L$ , but a new argument is required to show that it is also a left ideal.

In the following,  $L$  is a finite-dimensional (left) Leibniz algebra over an arbitrary field, that is, an algebra whose left multiplication operators  $\lambda_a: L \rightarrow L$  given by  $\lambda_a(x) = ax$  for  $a, x \in L$ , are derivations. Thus  $a(xy) = (ax)y + x(ay)$ . The basic properties of Leibniz algebras and their bimodules may be found in Ayupov and Omirov [1], Patsourakos [3] or Barnes [2].

The left centre of a Leibniz algebra  $L$  is  $\mathcal{Z}^l(L) = \{x \in L \mid xa = 0 \text{ for all } a \in L\}$ . It is a two-sided ideal of  $L$ . For all  $x \in L$ ,  $x^2 \in \mathcal{Z}^l(L)$  and so  $L/\mathcal{Z}^l(L)$  is a Lie algebra. If  $V$  is an  $L$ -bimodule, the centraliser of  $V$  in  $L$  is the two-sided ideal  $\mathcal{C}_L(V) = \{x \in L \mid xv = vx = 0 \text{ for all } v \in V\}$ . I write  $U \triangleleft_r L$  for “ $U$  is a right ideal of  $L$ ” and  $U \triangleleft L$  for “ $U$  is an ideal of  $L$ ”. A subalgebra  $U$  of  $L$  is called subnormal, written  $U \triangleleft\triangleleft L$ , if there exists a chain of subalgebras  $U_0 = L > U_1 > \dots > U_n = U$  with each  $U_i \triangleleft U_{i-1}$ .

## 2. THE PROOFS

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $L$  be a finite-dimensional Leibniz algebra and let  $V$  be a finite-dimensional irreducible  $L$ -bimodule. Then  $L/\mathcal{C}_L(V)$  is a Lie algebra and either  $VL = 0$  or  $vx = -xv$  for all  $x \in L$  and  $v \in V$ .*

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, we may suppose  $\mathcal{C}_L(V) = 0$ . Form the split extension  $X$  of  $V$  by  $L$  and let  $K = \mathcal{Z}^l(X)$ . Since  $V$  is a minimal ideal of  $X$ , either  $K \geq V$  or  $K \cap V = 0$ . As  $K$  is an abelian ideal, in either case, we have  $K \leq \mathcal{C}_X(V)$ . For all  $x \in L$ ,  $x^2 \in K$ , so  $x^2 = 0$ . Thus  $L$  is a Lie algebra. As  $X/V \simeq L$  is a Lie

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 17A32.

*Key words and phrases.* Leibniz algebras, subnormal.

This work was done while the author was an Honorary Associate of the School of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Sydney.

algebra,  $K \leq V$ . Thus  $K = V$  or  $K = 0$ . If  $K = V$ , then  $VX = 0$ . If  $K = 0$ , then  $X$  is a Lie algebra, so  $vx = -xv$  for all  $x \in L$  and  $v \in V$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $L$  be a finite-dimensional Leibniz algebra and let  $U \ll L$ . Let  $V$  be a finite-dimensional irreducible  $L$ -bimodule. Then all  $U$ -bimodule composition factors of  $V$  are isomorphic.*

*Proof.* We can work with  $L/\mathcal{C}_L(V)$ , so we may suppose that  $\mathcal{C}_L(V) = 0$ . By Lemma 2.1,  $L$  is a Lie algebra and either  $VL = 0$  or  $vx = -xv$  for all  $x \in L$  and  $v \in V$ . In either case, any left  $U$ -submodule is a  $U$ -sub-bimodule. All left  $U$ -module composition factors of  $V$  are isomorphic by Zassenhaus [5, Lemma 1] and the result follows.  $\square$

I write  $\lambda_U$  for the operator on the set of subspaces of  $L$  given by  $\lambda_U V = UV$ , the space of products  $uv$  of  $u \in U$  and  $v \in V$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** *For any subspace  $V \subseteq L$ ,  $U^k V \subseteq \lambda_U^k V$ .*

*Proof.* We use induction over  $k$ . The result holds trivially for  $k = 1$ . We have

$$U^{k+1}V = (UU^k)V \subseteq U(U^kV) + U^k(UV) \subseteq U(\lambda_U^k V) + \lambda_U^k(UV) = \lambda_U^{k+1}V,$$

by induction.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.4.** *Suppose  $U \ll L$ . Then  $U_{\mathfrak{N}} \triangleleft_r L$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $U_0 = L > U_1 > \dots > U_r = U$  is a chain of subalgebras with each  $U_i \triangleleft U_{i-1}$ . For some  $s$  we have  $U_{\mathfrak{N}} = U^s$ . We have

$$U_{\mathfrak{N}}L = U^{r+s}L \subseteq \lambda_U^{r+s}L \subseteq U^s = U_{\mathfrak{N}}$$

and  $U_{\mathfrak{N}} \triangleleft_r L$  as asserted.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.5.** *Suppose  $U \ll L$ . Then  $U_{\mathfrak{N}} \triangleleft L$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $U_0 = L > U_1 > \dots > U_r = U$  is a chain of subalgebras with each  $U_i \triangleleft U_{i-1}$  and that  $U_{\mathfrak{N}} = U^s$ . We use induction over  $r$  to prove that  $U_{\mathfrak{N}}$  is a left ideal. This holds trivially for  $r = 0$ . Suppose  $r > 0$ . We use induction over  $t$  to show that  $LU_{\mathfrak{N}} \subseteq \lambda_U^t L + U_{\mathfrak{N}}$ . This holds trivially for  $t = 0$ . We have

$$LU_{\mathfrak{N}} = L(UU^s) \subseteq (LU)U^s + U(LU^s) \subseteq U_1U_{\mathfrak{N}} + U(\lambda_U^{t-1}L + U_{\mathfrak{N}}) \subseteq U_{\mathfrak{N}} + \lambda_U^t L$$

as  $U_1U_{\mathfrak{N}} \subseteq U_{\mathfrak{N}}$  by induction over  $r$ . For  $t = r + s$ ,  $\lambda_U^t L \subseteq U_{\mathfrak{N}}$ .  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] Sh. A. Ayupov and B. A. Omirov, *On Leibniz algebras*, Algebra and Operators Theory, Proceedings of the Colloquium in Tashkent, Kluwer, (1998) 1–13.
- [2] D. W. Barnes, *Engel subalgebras of Leibniz algebras*, arXiv:0810.2849.
- [3] A. Patsourakos, *On nilpotent properties of Leibniz algebras* Comm. in Algebra **35** (2007) 3828–3834.
- [4] E. Schenkman, *A theory of subinvariant Lie algebras*, Amer. J. Math. **73** (1951), 453–474.
- [5] H. Zassenhaus, *On trace bilinear forms on Lie-algebras*, Proc. Glasgow Math. Assoc. **4** (1959), 62–72.