

Time Evolution of Entropy in Gravitational Collapse

Eric Greenwood

HEPCOS, Department of Physics, SUNY at Buffalo, Buffalo, NY 14260-1500

We study the time evolution of the entropy of a collapsing spherical domain wall, from the point of view of an asymptotic observer, by investigating the entropy of the entire system (shell-radiation system) and radiation alone during the collapse. By taking the difference, we find the entropy of the collapsing shell, which will collapse and form a black hole. We find that the late time entropy of the collapsing shell is a constant, which is of the same order as the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy.

I. INTRODUCTION

Hawking's 1974 paper, Refs. [1, 2], sparked great interest in both the existence of the radiation given off during the loss of mass for a pre-existing static black hole and speculations as to what his result implied for the validity of quantum field theory in a black hole background. Calculations showed that the radiation given off was in fact thermal, which only increased the interest.

In 1972 Bekenstein argued that a black hole of mass M has an entropy proportional to its area, see Refs. [11]. Further calculations by Gibbons and Hawking showed that the entropy of a black hole is always a constant, despite the type of metric which is used, Refs. [3]. They showed that the expression for the entropy is given by

$$S_{BH} = \frac{A_{hor}}{4} = \pi R_s^2 \quad (1)$$

where A_{hor} is the surface area of the horizon and R_s is the Schwarzschild radius.

Recently, subsequent calculations have been performed in theories of quantum gravity to reproduce this result, Refs. [4, 5]. So far, most of these calculations have involved only static or pre-existing black holes. The typical method for calculating the entropy of the black hole is to first calculate the temperature of the black hole using the Bogolyubov method. Here, one considers that the system starts in an asymptotically flat metric, then the system evolves to a new asymptotically flat metric. Then the mismatch of these two metrics gives the number of particles produced during the collapse.

However, recently developed Functional Schrödinger equation allows one to study the time evolution of gravitational collapse, Refs [7, 8]. Therefore, one can, in principle, study the time evolution of the thermodynamical processes of the system. Of current interest is the time-evolution of the entropy of a collapsing gravitational object.

In this paper we will investigate the time-evolution of a spherically symmetric infinitely thin shell of collapsing matter. The details about the collapse will depend on the particular foliation of space-time used to study the system. From the point of view an infalling observer, in order to calculate the backreaction and local effect around the event horizon it is important to choose a state that is non-singular at the horizon. In this region, the vacuum of choice is the Unruh vacuum (see Refs. [13, 14]).

However, for the purposes of the question we are studying, then we are outside asymptotic observers. Thus, we study the collapse of the shell from the view point of a stationary asymptotic observer, since this is the most relevant observer for this question.

II. MODEL

To study a realization of the formation of a black hole, we consider a spherical Nambu-Goto domain wall (representing a shell of matter) that is collapsing. This will be done using the Functional Schrödinger equation, where we will consider a minisuperspace version of the Wheeler-De Witt equation. Since we are interested in the entropy of the collapsing domain wall from the point of view of an asymptotic observer, the relevant degree of freedom for the collapsing spherical domain wall is the radial degree of freedom $R(t)$. The metric of the system is then chosen to be the solution to Einstein's equations for a spherical domain wall. Therefore, outside the wall the metric is Schwarzschild, as follows from the spherical symmetry

$$ds^2 = - \left(1 - \frac{R_s}{r}\right) dt^2 + \left(1 - \frac{R_s}{r}\right)^{-1} dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2 \quad (2)$$

where $R_s = 2GM$ is the Schwarzschild radius in terms of the mass M of the wall and

$$d\Omega^2 = d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2. \quad (3)$$

By Birkhoff's theorem, the metric inside the shell must be flat, hence Minkowski

$$ds^2 = -dT^2 + dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2. \quad (4)$$

where T is the interior time. The interior time is related to the asymptotic observer time t via the proper time τ of the domain wall.

$$\frac{dT}{d\tau} = \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{dR}{d\tau}\right)^2} \quad (5)$$

and

$$\frac{dt}{d\tau} = \frac{1}{B} \sqrt{B + \left(\frac{dR}{d\tau}\right)^2} \quad (6)$$

where

$$B \equiv 1 - \frac{R_s}{R}. \quad (7)$$

By taking the ratio of these equations we then have

$$\frac{dT}{dt} = \sqrt{B - \frac{(1-B)}{B} \dot{R}^2} \quad (8)$$

where $\dot{R} = dR/dt$.

By integrating the equation of motion for a spherically symmetric domain wall, Ipser and Sikivie [6] found that the mass is a constant of motion is given by

$$M = 4\pi\sigma R^2 \left[\sqrt{1 + R_\tau^2} - 2\pi\sigma GR \right] \quad (9)$$

where σ is the surface tension of the domain wall and $R_\tau = dR/d\tau$. Before we proceed we wish to discuss the physical relevance of Eq. (9). First consider the case where $R_\tau = 0$, i.e. for a static domain wall. The first term in the square bracket is just the total rest mass of the shell. When the shell is moving, i.e. $R_\tau \neq 0$, the first term in the square bracket takes the kinetic energy of the domain wall into account. The last term in the square bracket is the self-gravity, or the binding energy of the domain wall. Therefore we can identify Eq. (9) as the total energy of the system, hence the Hamiltonian of the system. Thus, we will refer to Eq. (9) as the Hamiltonian.

Using Eq. (6) the Hamiltonian for the wall, Eq. (9), can be written as (see Refs. [7])

$$H = 4\pi\sigma B^{3/2} R^2 \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{B^2 - \dot{R}^2}} - \frac{2\pi G\sigma R}{B^2 - (1-B)\dot{R}^2} \right] \quad (10)$$

where $\dot{R} = dR/dt$. The canonical momentum near the horizon, the $R \sim R_s$ regime, is given by

$$\Pi_R \approx \frac{4\pi\mu R^2 \dot{R}}{\sqrt{B}\sqrt{B^2 - \dot{R}^2}} \quad (11)$$

where $\mu \equiv \sigma(1 - 2\pi\sigma GR)$. In this region the wall Hamiltonian Eq. (10) in terms of the canonical momentum is then

$$\begin{aligned} H_{wall} &\approx \frac{4\pi\mu B^{3/2} R^2}{\sqrt{B^2 - \dot{R}^2}} \\ &= \sqrt{(B\Pi_R)^2 + B(4\pi\mu R^2)^2} \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

The Hamiltonian has the form of the energy of a relativistic particle, $\sqrt{p^2 + m^2}$, with a position dependent mass.

In the region $R \sim R_s$, Eq. (7) tells us that $B \sim 0$. From Eq. (12) one can see that the mass term can be neglected, thus Eq. (12) reduces to

$$H_{wall} \approx -B\Pi_R \quad (13)$$

where we have chosen the negative sign since the shell is collapsing.

Considering only the classical solution, the near horizon solution of Eq. (10) can be written as

$$\dot{R} \approx -B = -\left(1 - \frac{R_s}{R}\right). \quad (14)$$

Solving Eq. (14) we then have

$$R(t) \approx R_s + (R_0 - R_s)e^{-t/R_s}. \quad (15)$$

Eq. (15) implies that a collapsing shell crosses its own Schwarzschild radius only after an infinite amount of asymptotic observer time t .

III. RADIATION

Here we consider the radiation given off during gravitational collapse. We will consider the radiation given off by the entire system and the particles produced during collapse alone.

To investigate the radiation, we consider a scalar field Φ in the background of the collapsing shell. The scalar field is decomposed into a complete set of basis functions denoted by $\{f_k(r)\}$

$$\Phi = \sum_k a_k(t) f_k(r). \quad (16)$$

The exact form of the functions $f_k(r)$ will not be important for us. We will, however, be interested in the wavefunction for the mode coefficients $\{a_k\}$.

The Hamiltonian for the scalar field modes is found by inserting Eq. (16) and Eq. (2) and Eq. (4) into the action

$$S_\Phi = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \Phi \partial_\nu \Phi. \quad (17)$$

The Hamiltonian for the scalar field modes, arrived at from Eq. (17), takes the form of uncoupled simple harmonic oscillators with R -dependent mass and couplings due to the non-trivial metric. Using the principle axis transformation, the Hamiltonian can be diagonalized and written in terms of eigenmodes denoted by b . It was shown in Refs. [7] that in the regime of $R \sim R_s$, the Hamiltonian for a single mode can be written as

$$H_b = \left(1 - \frac{R_s}{R}\right) \frac{\Pi_b^2}{2m} + \frac{K}{2} b^2 \quad (18)$$

where Π_b is the momentum conjugate to b , and where m and K are constants whose precise values are not important to us.

A. Entire System

From Eq. (13) and Eq. (18) we can write the Hamiltonian of the entire system as

$$H = H_{wall} + H_b = -B\Pi_R + B\frac{\Pi_b^2}{2m} + \frac{K}{2}b^2 \quad (19)$$

where $\Pi_R = -i\partial/\partial R$, $\Pi_b = -i\partial/\partial b$. The wavefunction for the entire system is then a function of b , R , and t , which we can write as

$$\Psi = \Psi(b, R, t). \quad (20)$$

Using Eq. (19) we can then write the Functional Schrödinger equation as

$$iB\frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial R} - \frac{B}{2m}\frac{\partial^2\Psi}{\partial b^2} + \frac{K}{2}b^2\Psi = i\frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial t}. \quad (21)$$

To solve Eq. (21) we choose the classical background for the collapsing shell. Since the distance of the shell only depends on time, see Eq. (14), we can then write

$$iB\frac{dt}{dR}\frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial t} - \frac{B}{2m}\frac{\partial^2\Psi}{\partial b^2} + \frac{K}{2}b^2\Psi = i\frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial t}.$$

Subtracting the first time from both sides and grouping like terms, we can then write Eq. (21) as

$$-\frac{B}{2m}\frac{\partial^2\Psi}{\partial b^2} + \frac{K}{2}b^2\Psi = i\frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial t}\left(1 - B\frac{dt}{dR}\right). \quad (22)$$

Making use of the classical equation of motion, Eq. (14), Eq. (22) becomes

$$-\frac{B}{2m}\frac{\partial^2\Psi}{\partial b^2} + \frac{K}{2}b^2\Psi = 2i\frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial t}. \quad (23)$$

Thus we treat the background classically and the radiation quantum mechanically.

Dividing Eq. (23) through by B , we now rewrite in the standard form of a harmonic oscillator

$$\left[-\frac{1}{2m}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial b^2} + \frac{m}{2}\omega^2(\eta)b^2\right]\psi(b, \eta) = i\frac{\partial\psi(b, \eta)}{\partial\eta} \quad (24)$$

where

$$\eta = \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t dt' \left(1 - \frac{R_s}{R}\right) \quad (25)$$

and

$$\omega^2(\eta) = \frac{K}{m}\frac{1}{1 - R_s/R} \equiv \frac{\omega_0^2}{1 - R_s/R} \quad (26)$$

where $\omega_0^2 \equiv K/m$. Here we have chosen to set $\eta(t=0) = 0$.

The solution to Eq. (24) is given by (see Refs. [9])

$$\Psi_{S,R}(b, \eta) = e^{i\alpha(\eta)} \left(\frac{m}{\pi\rho^2}\right)^{1/4} \exp\left[\frac{im}{2}\left(\frac{\rho_\eta}{\rho} + \frac{i}{\rho^2}\right)b^2\right] \quad (27)$$

where $\rho_\eta = d\rho/d\eta$ is the derivative of the function $\rho(\eta)$ with respect to η , and ρ is the real solution to the non-linear auxiliary equation

$$\rho_{\eta\eta} + \omega^2(\eta)\rho = \frac{1}{\rho^3} \quad (28)$$

and where α is the phase, which is given by

$$\alpha(\eta) = -\frac{1}{2}\int^\eta \frac{d\eta'}{\rho^2(\eta')}. \quad (29)$$

B. Radiation Only

In this section we only consider the particles created during the collapse of the shell. To describe this we use only Eq. (18). In this case the Functional Schrödinger equation becomes

$$-\frac{B}{2m}\frac{\partial^2\Psi}{\partial b^2} + \frac{K}{2}b^2\Psi = i\frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial t}. \quad (30)$$

Dividing through by B and writing in the standard form as

$$-\frac{1}{2m}\frac{\partial^2\Psi}{\partial b^2} + \frac{m}{2}\omega^2(\tilde{\eta})b^2\Psi = i\frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial\tilde{\eta}} \quad (31)$$

where

$$\tilde{\eta} = \int_0^t dt' \left(1 - \frac{R_s}{R}\right) \quad (32)$$

and

$$\omega^2(\tilde{\eta}) = \frac{\omega_0^2}{1 - R_s/R}. \quad (33)$$

where ω_0^2 is defined as before. The solution to Eq. (31) is the same as Eq. (27) with $\eta \rightarrow \tilde{\eta}$, i.e.

$$\Psi_R(b, \tilde{\eta}) = e^{i\alpha(\tilde{\eta})} \left(\frac{m}{\pi\rho^2}\right)^{1/4} \exp\left[\frac{im}{2}\left(\frac{\rho_{\tilde{\eta}}}{\rho} + \frac{i}{\rho^2}\right)b^2\right]. \quad (34)$$

C. Taking the Temperature

For an observer, the complete information about the radiation, in the background of the collapsing shell, is contained in the wavefunction. Consider an observer with detectors that are designed to register particles of different frequencies for the free field Φ . Such an observer will interpret the wave function of a given mode at some late time in terms of simple harmonic oscillator states.

For brevity, we consider the following analysis using the notation for the entire system. However, the analysis for the radiation alone is the same.

The wave function Eq. (27) (Eq. (34)) can be decomposed into suitably chosen basis wave functions, denoted by $\{\phi_n\}$ at the final frequency $\bar{\omega}$. The number of quanta in eigenmode b can be evaluated by decomposing the wavefunction in terms of the states $\{\varphi_n\}$ and by evaluating the occupation number of that mode. We decompose the wavefunction at a time $t > t_f$ in terms of simple harmonic oscillator basis at $t = 0$. The decomposition is

$$\psi(b, t) = \sum_n c_n(t) \varphi(b) \quad (35)$$

where

$$c_n = \int db \varphi_n^*(b) \psi(b, t) \quad (36)$$

which is the overlap of a Gaussian with the simple harmonic oscillator basis functions. The expectation value of the occupation number at given eigenfrequency $\bar{\omega}$ is then given by

$$N = \sum_n n |c_n|^2. \quad (37)$$

As stated previously, the observer will interpret the wavefunction of a given mode in terms of simple harmonic oscillator states. Thus the basis functions ϕ_n are chosen to be simple harmonic oscillator basis states at a frequency $\bar{\omega}$

$$\phi_n(b) = \left(\frac{m\bar{\omega}}{\pi}\right)^{1/4} \frac{e^{-m\bar{\omega}b^2/2}}{\sqrt{2^n n!}} \mathcal{H}_n(\sqrt{m\bar{\omega}}b) \quad (38)$$

where \mathcal{H}_n are the Hermite polynomials. Therefore using Eq. (36) and Eq. (38) we can write the inner product as in Refs. [7, 8], for $n = \text{odd}$ $c_n = 0$ and for $n = \text{even}$

$$c_n = \frac{(-1)^{n/2} e^{-i\alpha}}{(\bar{\omega}\rho^2)^{1/4}} \sqrt{\frac{2}{P}} \left(1 - \frac{2}{P}\right)^{n/2} \frac{(n-1)!!}{\sqrt{n!}} \quad (39)$$

where

$$P \equiv 1 - \frac{i}{\bar{\omega}} \left(\frac{\rho_\eta}{\rho} + \frac{i}{\rho^2}\right). \quad (40)$$

Substituting Eq. (39) and Eq. (40) and performing the sum over $n = \text{even}$ in Eq. (37) we then have

$$N(t, \bar{\omega}) = \frac{\bar{\omega}\rho^2}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\left(1 - \frac{1}{\bar{\omega}\rho^2}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\rho_\eta}{\bar{\omega}\rho}\right)^2 \right]. \quad (41)$$

By fitting the occupation number to that of the Planck distribution,

$$N_P = \frac{1}{e^{\beta\bar{\omega}} - 1} \quad (42)$$

the occupation at eigenmode b can then be used to find the temperature of the radiation. From Eq. (27) and Eq. (34) we see that the occupation number for each of the systems will be different, since both ω and η are different.

IV. ENTROPY FUNCTION

Here we develop the entropy function for studying the time evolution of the collapsing domain wall. Again for brevity we use the notation for the entire system, where again the analysis is the same for the radiation only system.

A. Partition Function

To study the entropy of the system, we will first develop the partition function for the system. Following the procedure used in Refs. [10], we can write the partition function as

$$Z = \text{Tr} [e^{-\beta I}] \quad (43)$$

where I is any operator which satisfies the equation

$$\frac{dI}{dt} = \frac{\partial I}{\partial t} - i [I, H] = 0 \quad (44)$$

and β is a free parameter.

From Refs. [9], we can write the invariant operator I as

$$I = \frac{1}{2} \left[\sqrt{\frac{b}{\rho}} + (\pi_b \rho - m \rho_\eta b)^2 \right]. \quad (45)$$

We can therefore write the partition function as

$$Z = \text{Tr} \exp \left[-\beta \frac{1}{2} \left[\sqrt{\frac{b}{\rho}} + (\pi_b \rho - m \rho_\eta b)^2 \right] \right]. \quad (46)$$

We note that we can rewrite the invariant as

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2 \left[\left(\frac{b}{\rho}\right)^{1/4} - i(\pi_b \rho - m \rho_\eta b) \right] \\ &\quad \times \left[\left(\frac{b}{\rho}\right)^{1/4} + i(\pi_b \rho - m \rho_\eta b) \right] \\ &\equiv n(t) + \frac{1}{2} \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

where

$$n(t) = a^\dagger(t) a(t) \quad (48)$$

and

$$a(t) \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\left(\frac{b}{\rho}\right)^{1/4} + i(\pi_b \rho - m \rho_\eta b) \right]. \quad (49)$$

Here $n(t)$ is the time dependent number of states. At a particular time t , one has in Fock space

$$n(t)|n, t\rangle = n|n, t\rangle. \quad (50)$$

Thus, in this space we can then write the partition function as

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \text{Tr} \exp \left[-\beta \omega_0 \left(n + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2 \sinh \left(\frac{\beta \omega_0}{2} \right)}. \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

In Refs. [7, 8] one can define the occupation number for a frequency $\bar{\omega}$, Eq. (41). Then by fitting the number of particles created as the usual Planck distribution Eq. (42), one can then in principle fit the temperature of the radiation. Here, we then choose to define β as

$$\beta = \frac{\partial \ln(1 + 1/N)}{\partial \bar{\omega}}. \quad (52)$$

This implies that all of the time dependence of the system is encoded into the temperature of the system.

We can see that Eq. (51) is just the standard entropy for a time-independent harmonic oscillator, however, the temperature here is time-dependent. Therefore the entropy is also time-dependent.

B. Entropy

Using Eq. (51) and the thermodynamic definition of entropy, we can then write the entropy of the system as

$$S = -\ln(1 - e^{-\beta \omega_0}) + \beta \frac{e^{-\beta \omega_0}}{1 - e^{-\beta \omega_0}}. \quad (53)$$

Therefore, this is again just the entropy of the usual time-independent harmonic oscillator. From Eq. (52) it follows that the temperature is time-dependent.

First we consider the entropy of the entire system. In Figure 1 we plot the entropy of the entire system as a function of asymptotic time t . Figure 1 shows that the system starts with an initial entropy of zero. This is expected since initially there is only one degree of freedom, meaning that $S = \ln(1) = 0$. As the asymptotic observer time increase, initially the entropy increases rapidly, then settles down to increase approximately linearly. As time then goes to infinity, the entropy will then diverge. This is again expected since as the asymptotic time goes to infinity, the number of particles that are produced diverges, see Refs. [7]. This is a consequence of the fact that we keep the background fixed (i.e. R_s is a constant). In reality, R_s should decrease over time since the radiation is taking away mass and energy from the system. Therefore as the asymptotic time t goes to infinity, the entropy of the entire system as measured by the asymptotic observer diverges as $R \rightarrow R_s$.

This is consistent with the results found in Refs. [12]. Here the authors consider the time-dependent non-equilibrium evolution of a black hole as well as the incorporation of the given off radiation. Here one can see




FIG. 1: We plot the entropy of the entire system as a function of asymptotic observer time t .

that the entropy of the system diverges as the time goes to infinity.

Considering just the particles which are created during the collapse, we can then plot the entropy as a function asymptotic time t , see Figure 2. Figure 2 shows initially the entropy of the system is zero. Again, this is expected since initially the shell is in vacuum, meaning that there are no particles produced. Therefore the only degree of freedom is that of the shell, this then gives that the initial entropy must be zero. As the asymptotic observer time increases, initially there is rapid increase in the entropy, but again, the entropy then increases linearly as the asymptotic observer time increases. As in the case of the entire system, as the time measured by the asymptotic observer goes to infinity, the entropy of the particles created during the time of collapse diverges. This is expected since the number of particles which are created during the time of collapse diverges as $R \rightarrow R_s$, hence as the shell approaches the horizon the number of particles created during the collapse diverges.




FIG. 2: We plot the entropy of the particles created during the collapse as a function of asymptotic time t .

In Figure 3 we plot the entropy as a function of asymp-

otic observer time t of both the entire system and the particles created during the time of collapse. Figure 3 shows that except for the initial increase in the entropy, for later asymptotic observer time, the slopes of the entropy versus time are approximately equal. Therefore, one can expect that the entropy of the shell is approximately constant for late times.




FIG. 3: We plot the entropy as a function of asymptotic observer time t for both the entire system and the particles created during the time of collapse.

What is of interest is the entropy of the collapsing shell, since this will collapse to form a black hole. To find the entropy of the shell, we can take the entropy of the entire system and subtract off the entropy of the particles produced (since these are the only relevant objects which contribute to the entropy). The result is then given in Figure 4. Figure 4 shows that initially the entropy of the shell is zero. As stated above, this is expected since initially there is only one degree of freedom. As asymptotic time increases, the entropy of the shell rapidly increases. However, for late times, the entropy of the shell goes to a constant. As stated above, this is expected since the late time entropies for entire system and for the particles created during collapse are approximately parallel. The entropy is constant since we are assuming that the mass is approximately the Hamiltonian of the system, which is a constant of motion. This means that since we are holding the mass of the shell constant, we need to keep adding energy to the system to counter act the loss of mass from the Hawking radiation. Therefore one can expect that the entropy of the shell must be a constant for late times.

In reality, radiation takes mass away from the system, so the entropy of the shell will go to zero as R_s goes to zero. This means that after the black hole disappears, all the entropy will go into the entropy of the radiation.

From Figure 4, we see that our numerical value for the late time entropy of the shell is

$$S \approx 0.7R_s^2.$$

Comparing with Eq. (1), we can view this discrepancy as a shift in the Schwarzschild radius R_s . In order to get




FIG. 4: We plot the entropy of the shell as a function of asymptotic observer time t .

the theoretical value for the entropy, Eq. (1), we see that we would require $R_s \rightarrow 2.11R_s$. This is an understandable numerical error, which implies that our numerical solution is of the same order as the Hawking-Bekenstein entropy.

V. CONCLUSION

We studied the time evolution of the entropy of a collapsing spherically symmetric domain wall using the Functional Schrödinger equation from the point of view of an asymptotic observer. This was done by investigating the radiation given off during the collapse by the entire system and that of only the particles created during the collapse semi-classically, the background of the collapsing shell was treated classically while the radiation was treated quantum mechanically. By solving the Functional Schrödinger equation, we then have two wavefunctions, one for the shell-radiation system and the other for the radiation only. From these wavefunctions, we can find the number of particles created during the time of collapse for each of the two systems.

The interesting object to study is the entropy evolution of the shell, since this is the object that will collapse to form the black hole. To do so, we evolved the entropy of the entire system and the particles created during the collapse over asymptotic observer time t , then taking the difference in these quantities we found the entropy of the shell.

Here we demonstrated that the late time entropy of the shell does in fact go to a constant as predicted by Bekenstein, see Refs. [3, 11]. We found that the late time entropy of the shell is $S \approx 0.7R_s^2$. By comparing Eq. (1) with our result, we see that our result is consistent with the expected value for the entropy.

To summarize we have arrived at the following results. First, from Figure 1 we see that $dS/dt > 0$, which is in agreement with the second law of Black Hole Thermo-

dynamics. Second, from Figure 2, we see that initially the entropy of the radiation increases at a lower rate than that of the entropy of the entire system, however, at later times the increases of each are approximately the same. Taking the difference of the entropy of the entire system and entropy of the radiation we can find the entropy of the the collapsing shell. Finally, from Figure 4 we demonstrated that the entropy of the shell is constant for late times. This is expected since we are holding the mass of

the shell constant.

Acknowledgements

The author thanks Dejan Stojkovic for useful conversations and discussions.

-
- [1] S. W. Hawking, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **43**, 199 (1975) [Erratum-ibid. **46**, 206 (1976)].
 - [2] J. B. Hartle and S. W. Hawking, *Phys. Rev. D* **13**, 2188 (1976).
 - [3] G. W. Gibbons and S. W. Hawking, *Phys. Rev. D* **15**, 2752 (1977).
 - [4] A. Corichi, J. Diaz-Polo, E. Fernandez-Borja, *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **68** (2007) 012031. S. Kloster, J. Brannlund, A. DeBenedictis, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **25** (2008) 065008. L. Modesto, gr-qc/0612084.
 - [5] S. R. Wadia, arXiv:0809.1036. T. Sarkar, G. Sengupta, B. N. Tiwari, arXiv:0806.3513.
 - [6] J. Ipser and P. Sikivie, *Phys. Rev. D* **30**, 712 (1984).
 - [7] T. Vachaspati, D. Stojkovic and L. M. Krauss, *Phys. Rev. D* **76**, 024005 (2007). T. Vachaspati and D. Stojkovic, gr-qc/0701096 (2007).
 - [8] E. Greenwood and D. Stojkovic. gr-qc/08060628.
 - [9] C. M. A. Dantas, I. A. Pedrosa and B. Baseia, *Phys. Rev. A* **45**, 1320 (1992).
 - [10] S. P. Kim, and C. H. Lee. hep-ph/0005224. S. P. Kim and D. N. Page. quant-ph/0205006. S. P. Kim. cond-mat/9912472.
 - [11] *Lett. Nuovo Cimento* **4**, 737 (1972). J. D. Bekenstein. *Phys. Rev. D* **7** (8): 2333-2346.
 - [12] H. Saida, gr-qc/0505089.
 - [13] P. C. W. Davies, S. A. Fulling and W. G. Unruh, *Phys. Rev. D* **13**: 2720-2723 (1976).
 - [14] G. W. Unruh, *Phys. Rev. D* **14**, 870 (1976).