

Three-Component Fermi Gas in a one-dimensional Optical Lattice

P. Azaria,¹ S. Capponi,^{2,3} and P. Lecheminant⁴

¹LPTMC, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, CNRS, 75005 Paris, France.

²Université de Toulouse; UPS; Laboratoire de Physique Théorique (IRSAMC); F-31062 Toulouse, France

³CNRS; LPT (IRSAMC); F-31062 Toulouse, France

⁴Laboratoire de Physique Théorique et Modélisation, CNRS UMR 8089, Université de Cergy-Pontoise, 95302 Cergy-Pontoise, France.

(Dated: April 19, 2019)

We investigate the effect of the anisotropy between the s-wave scattering lengths of a three-component atomic Fermi gas loaded into a one-dimensional optical lattice. We find four different phases which support trionic instabilities made of bound states of three fermions. These phases distinguish themselves by the relative phases between the $2k_F$ atomic density waves fluctuations of the three species. At small enough densities or strong anisotropies we give further evidences for a decoupling and the stabilization of more conventional BCS phases. Finally our results are discussed in light of a recent experiment on ⁶Li atoms.

PACS numbers: 03.75.Mm, 71.10.Pm, 71.10.Fd,

Ultracold multicomponent atomic Fermi gases have recently attracted much interest [1]. In particular the existence of several internal degrees of freedom might stabilize some exotic phases. In this respect recent theoretical investigations strongly support the formation of a molecular state made of bound states of N atoms. For instance quartet ($N = 4$) and trionic ($N = 3$) states have been predicted in both three and one dimensions in the context of cold atoms systems [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10]. However, these first studies assumed at least an SU(2) symmetry and even an SU(N) symmetry between the species which may not describe accurately the experimental situation at non-zero magnetic field. Indeed in a most recent experiment, where a stable $N = 3$ component mixture of atoms in three different hyperfine states of ⁶Li has been stabilized at small magnetic field [11], the s-wave scattering lengths a_{mn} between the three species exhibit strong anisotropic behavior as a function of the external magnetic field. In view of the promising perspective to observe trionic bound states in a near future, a careful study of the generic asymmetry between the species is clearly most wanted. It is the purpose of this work to do so. To this end we will study a three-component fermionic gas with equal densities, $\bar{\rho}_{1,2,3} = \bar{\rho}$, loaded into a one-dimensional (1D) optical lattice of wavelength λ and transverse size a_{\perp} . Away from resonance and when the 3D scattering lengths $|a_{mn}| \ll (\lambda, a_{\perp})$, the system is described with a Hubbard-like model with contact interactions [12]:

$$\mathcal{H} = -t \sum_{i,n} \left[c_{i,n}^{\dagger} c_{i+1,n} + \text{H.c.} \right] + \sum_{i,n < m} U_{mn} \rho_{i,n} \rho_{i,m}, \quad (1)$$

where $c_{i,n}^{\dagger}$ is the creation operator for a fermionic atom of color $n = (1, 2, 3)$ at site i and $\rho_{i,n} = c_{i,n}^{\dagger} c_{i,n}$ is the local density of the atomic specy n . The Hamiltonian (1) is an anisotropic deformation of the U(3) Hubbard model, obtained when $U_{mn} = U$, whose phase diagram

has been recently elucidated in Ref. 6. In this case, for an attractive interaction $U < 0$, a spectral gap opens in the SU(3) spin sector and one- and two-particle excitations are gapped for an incommensurate filling. The dominant fluctuations consist into gapless Atomic Density Waves (ADW) and SU(3)-singlet trionic excitations ($T_{0,i}^{\dagger} = c_{i,1}^{\dagger} c_{i,2}^{\dagger} c_{i,3}^{\dagger}$) [6]. When $U_{12} \neq U_{23} \neq U_{31}$, the continuous symmetry of (1) is strongly reduced to U(1)³ and the resulting anisotropy has dramatic consequences. Indeed, on top of the previous symmetrical phase, we find by means of combined low-energy and density matrix renormalization group (DMRG) approaches [13, 14] that there exists for incommensurate filling three different ADW phases supporting trionic instabilities and even decoupled BCS phases.

The (U, V) model. Let us first start with the simplest symmetry breaking pattern, U(3) \rightarrow U(2) \times U(1), when two species, say 1 and 2, play an equivalent role. In this case $U_{12} = U$, $U_{23} = U_{31} = V$ and (1) may be viewed as a two-component fermionic Hubbard model with coupling U between the species (1, 2) which interacts with a third specy 3 with coupling V . As it will be discussed later, this model captures the essential features of the generic case. In the weak-coupling limit, the low-energy effective theory of the 1D (U, V) model can be expressed in terms of the collective fluctuations of the densities of the three species by the bosonization approach [13]. Introducing three bosonic fields $\phi_n(x)$, the density operators for each specy read as follows:

$$\rho_{i,n} \sim \frac{\bar{\rho}}{a} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \partial_x \phi_n(x) - \frac{1}{\pi a} \sin [2k_F x + \sqrt{4\pi} \phi_n(x)], \quad (2)$$

where $x = ia$, $a = \lambda/2$ is the optical lattice spacing, and $k_F = 2\pi\bar{\rho}/\lambda$ is the Fermi wave-vector. In our problem the interaction is best expressed in terms of the collective fluctuations of the total density, described by a bosonic field $\Phi_0 = (\sum_{n=1}^3 \phi_n)/\sqrt{3}$, and of the relative density, de-

scribed by a two-component bosonic field $\vec{\Phi} = (\Phi_{\parallel}, \Phi_{\perp})$ where $\Phi_{\parallel} = (\phi_1 - \phi_2)/\sqrt{2}$ and $\Phi_{\perp} = (\phi_1 + \phi_2 - 2\phi_3)/\sqrt{6}$. In terms of these variables the effective low-energy Hamiltonian of the (U, V) model splits into three parts, $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0 + \mathcal{H}_s + \mathcal{H}_{\text{mix}}$, where:

$$\mathcal{H}_0 = \frac{v_0}{2} \left[\frac{1}{K} (\partial_x \Phi_0)^2 + K (\partial_x \Theta_0)^2 \right] \quad (3)$$

is the Hamiltonian of a Luttinger Liquid (LL) describing the $k = 0$ part of the total density fluctuations. In Eq. (3), $v_0 = v_F/K$ denotes the density velocity ($v_F = 2ta \sin(k_F a)$ being the Fermi velocity), and $K = (1 + 2(U + 2V)a/3\pi v_F)^{-1/2}$ is the Luttinger parameter. The Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_{\text{spin}}$ accounts for the fluctuations in the spin sector and reads as follows:

$$\mathcal{H}_s = \sum_{\mu=\parallel, \perp} \left[\frac{v_F}{2} ((\partial_x \Phi_{\mu})^2 + (\partial_x \Theta_{\mu})^2) + \lambda_{\mu} (\partial_x \Phi_{\mu})^2 \right] - \frac{2g_{\perp}}{\pi a^2} \cos \sqrt{2\pi} \Phi_{\parallel} \cos \sqrt{6\pi} \Phi_{\perp} - \frac{g_{\parallel}}{\pi a^2} \cos \sqrt{8\pi} \Phi_{\parallel}, \quad (4)$$

with $\lambda_{\parallel} = g_{\parallel} = -Ua/2\pi$, $\lambda_{\perp} = (U - 4V)a/6\pi$ and $g_{\perp} = -Va/2\pi$. Finally \mathcal{H}_{mix} couples spin and density fluctuations with $\mathcal{H}_{\text{mix}} = \lambda_{\text{mix}} \partial_x \Phi_0 \partial_x \Phi_{\perp}$ where $\lambda_{\text{mix}} = \sqrt{2}(U - V)a/3\pi$. When $U = V$, i.e. $\lambda_{\text{mix}} = 0$, the spin and density fluctuations separate at low energy, and model (4) is the bosonized version of the SU(3) Gross-Neveu (GN) model studied in Ref. 6. In all other cases, $\lambda_{\text{mix}} \neq 0$, and the spin and total density degrees of freedom *do not* decouple, due to the anisotropy, even though we are considering an incommensurate filling. However, as we will see, at weak-enough couplings, i.e. when $|\lambda_{\text{mix}}/2\pi v_F| \ll 1$, thanks to the opening of a spectral gap in the spin sector (4), the spin-density coupling \mathcal{H}_{mix} has little effect and can be safely neglected. In this regime the low-energy properties of the (U, V) model are captured by those of $\mathcal{H}_{\text{spin}}$ that can be elucidated by means of the Renormalization Group (RG) approach. After a rescaling of the coupling constants by v_F , the one-loop RG equations are given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\lambda}_{\parallel} &= 2g_{\parallel}^2 + g_{\perp}^2 & \dot{g}_{\parallel} &= g_{\perp}^2 + 2g_{\parallel}\lambda_{\parallel} \\ \dot{\lambda}_{\perp} &= 3g_{\perp}^2 & \dot{g}_{\perp} &= g_{\perp} [g_{\parallel} + \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_{\parallel} + 3\lambda_{\perp})], \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

with $\dot{f} = df/dt$, t being the RG ‘‘time’’. For generic values of the couplings (U, V) we find that $(\lambda_{\mu}(t), g_{\mu}(t))$, $\mu = (\parallel, \perp)$, flow to strong couplings and the three species are strongly correlated. At the strong-coupling fixed points, the spin bosonic fields $\vec{\Phi}(x)$ get locked and a spin-gap opens everywhere in the spectrum. We further distinguish between two phases, \mathcal{A}_0 and \mathcal{A}_{π} , depending on the sign of V . The \mathcal{A}_0 phase is obtained for $V < 0$ and $\langle \vec{\Phi}(x) \rangle = (0, 0)$ whereas the \mathcal{A}_{π} phase is stabilized for $V > 0$ and $\langle \vec{\Phi}(x) \rangle = (\sqrt{\pi/2}, 0)$. In both phases the low-energy spectrum is an adiabatic deformation of

that of the SU(3) GN model and consists into three kinks (and anti-kinks) $|\omega_n\rangle$, $n = (1, 2, 3)$ [15]. Under the SU(2) group acting on the species (1, 2), these three kinks decompose into a doublet $(|\omega_1\rangle, |\omega_2\rangle)$ and a singlet $|\omega_3\rangle$ with masses and velocities $(m_{\parallel}, v_{\parallel})$ and (m_{\perp}, v_{\perp}) respectively. Though their wave functions are different in the two phases, they are labelled by the same quantum numbers which are those of the original lattice fermions $c_{i,n}^{\dagger}$. We thus find that the one- and two-particle excitations are fully gapped in $\mathcal{A}_{0,\pi}$ phases. As a consequence the equal-time Green functions, $G_n(x) = \langle c_{i,n}^{\dagger} c_{i+x,n} \rangle$, are short ranged with $G_{1(2)}(x) \sim \sin(k_F x) e^{-m_{\parallel} v_{\parallel} |x|}$, $G_3(x) \sim \sin(k_F x) e^{-m_{\perp} v_{\perp} |x|}$. Furthermore defining $P_{nm}(x) = \langle P_{i,nm}^{\dagger} P_{i+x,nm} \rangle$ with $P_{i,nm}^{\dagger} = c_{i,n}^{\dagger} c_{i,m}^{\dagger}$, we find: $P_{12}(x) \sim e^{-m_{\perp} v_{\perp} |x|}$ and $P_{31(2)}(x) \sim e^{-m_{\parallel} v_{\parallel} |x|}$, so that neither the \mathcal{A}_0 nor the \mathcal{A}_{π} phase support BCS pairing instabilities. The dominant fluctuations rather consist into $2k_F$ ADW with correlations $N_{nm}(x) = \langle \rho_{i,n} \rho_{i+x,m} \rangle$ and trionic excitations made of three fermions.

Atomic density waves. In $\mathcal{A}_{0,\pi}$ phases, upon integrating out the spin degrees of freedom, local density operators simplify as:

$$\rho_{i,n} \sim \frac{\bar{\rho}}{a} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3\pi}} \partial_x \Phi_0(x) + \Delta_n \sin [2k_F x + \sqrt{4\pi/3} \Phi_0(x)], \quad (6)$$

where the amplitudes $\Delta_1 = \Delta_2 = \Delta_{\parallel}$ and $\Delta_3 = \Delta_{\perp}$ are non-universal functions of the couplings (U, V) and are in general different. We thus find in both phases a power-law decay for the ADW equal-time correlations functions: $N_{nm}(x) \sim \bar{\rho}^2 + \Delta_n \Delta_m \cos(2k_F x) |x|^{-2K/3}$. However the two phases \mathcal{A}_0 and \mathcal{A}_{π} distinguish themselves by the relative sign of the amplitudes Δ_n . Indeed, we find that in the \mathcal{A}_0 phase $\Delta_{\parallel} \Delta_{\perp} > 0$ and consequently that the $2k_F$ ADW of the species (1,2) are in phase with that of the specy 3. In contrast, in the \mathcal{A}_{π} phase, we have $\Delta_{\parallel} \Delta_{\perp} < 0$ and the $2k_F$ ADW of the species (1, 2) are *out of phase* from that of the specy 3.

Trions. The trionic excitations can also be distinguished in $\mathcal{A}_{0,\pi}$ phases but in a weaker sense. In \mathcal{A}_0 the dominant trions are characterized by the equal-time correlation function $T_0(x) = \langle T_{0,i}^{\dagger} T_{0,i+x} \rangle \sim T_0 \sin(k_F x) |x|^{-(K+9/K)/6}$ which is quasi-long ranged. In \mathcal{A}_{π} the trionic wave function with maximal k_F amplitude is obtained when two atoms (1, 2) at one lattice site i bind antisymmetrically with the third specy 3 at two neighboring sites $i - 1$ and $i + 1$: $T_{\pi,i}^{\dagger} = c_{i,1}^{\dagger} c_{i,2}^{\dagger} (c_{i-1,3}^{\dagger} - c_{i+1,3}^{\dagger})$. Its equal-time correlation function is given by $T_{\pi}(x) = \langle T_{\pi,i}^{\dagger} T_{\pi,i+x} \rangle \sim T_{\pi} \sin(k_F x) |x|^{-(K+9/K)/6}$ so that both symmetric and antisymmetric trionic correlations functions, $T_{0,\pi}(x)$ always display a power-law decay and only their amplitudes depend on phases: $|T_0| > |T_{\pi}|$ in \mathcal{A}_0 and $|T_{\pi}| > |T_0|$ in \mathcal{A}_{π} . The key quantity that distinguishes between \mathcal{A}_0 and \mathcal{A}_{π} phases is the relative sign of the $2k_F$ amplitudes $\Delta_{\parallel}, \Delta_{\perp}$ of the local ADWs (6).

Quantum phase transitions. When going from the \mathcal{A}_π to the \mathcal{A}_0 phase, a quantum phase transition takes place on the critical line $V = 0$ where both amplitudes Δ_\parallel and Δ_\perp of the $2k_F$ ADW vanish and change their relative sign. The critical theory consists when $U > 0$ (respectively $U < 0$) into three (respectively two) decoupled LLs with different non-universal Luttinger parameters. In the first type (I) of transition, when $U > 0$, all degrees of freedom become massless ($m_\parallel \sim m_\perp \rightarrow 0$) and both amplitudes vanish symmetrically as $\Delta_\parallel \sim \Delta_\perp \sim m_\perp^{2/3}$. In the second type (II), i.e. when $U < 0$, $m_\parallel \neq 0$ and only m_\perp vanishes at the transition. On the critical line, the specy 3 decouples from the two others which form well defined BCS pairs with quasi-long range pairing correlations $P_{12}(x) \sim |x|^{-\alpha}$, α being some non-universal exponent. As a result the $2k_F$ amplitudes of the ADW display strongly anisotropic scaling behaviors with $\Delta_\parallel \sim m_\perp^{1/6} \gg \Delta_\perp \sim m_\perp^{2/3}$.

Strong-couplings and Trionic-BCS transition. So far we have neglected the spin-density coupling \mathcal{H}_{mix} . At weak couplings, when $|\lambda_{\text{mix}}|/2\pi v_F \ll 1$, we find that the only effect of \mathcal{H}_{mix} consists into a small renormalization of the low-energy parameters and do not modify qualitatively the two-phase structure discussed above. At larger couplings, when $|\lambda_{\text{mix}}|/2\pi v_F \gg 1$, the structure of the \mathcal{H}_{mix} term strongly suggests that it may be responsible for a decoupling between the pair (1,2) and the specy 3 leading, on top of $\mathcal{A}_{0,\pi}$ phases, to two additional phases at strong couplings: a BCS phase where atoms (1,2) bind into pairs and even a fully gapless phase of three decoupled LLs. For example it can be shown [16] that in the limit of large attractive $|U|/t \gg 1$ and repulsive $V/t > 0$ a trionic-BCS quantum phase transition occurs from an \mathcal{A}_π phase to a decoupled BCS phase in the (1,2) channel at small enough densities. Apart from this case, the question of how do the four phases, \mathcal{A}_0 , \mathcal{A}_π , BCS and LLs, interpolate in the strong coupling or low density regime is a difficult problem which requires a thorough numerical approach like DMRG calculations.

Numerical simulations. In order to check the above theoretical predictions, we have performed extensive DMRG calculations for various densities $1/12 \leq \bar{\rho} \leq 5/12$ and couplings $-4 \leq U/t, V/t \leq 4$. Simulations are done on open chains (up to 144 sites) keeping up to 1600 states. The complete phase diagram will be published elsewhere [16] and we only report here our main findings. At sufficiently large densities and weak anisotropies the DMRG results strongly support the two phase structure, \mathcal{A}_0 and \mathcal{A}_π , predicted by the weak-coupling approach. As an example Fig. 1 and 2 show our results for $G_n(x)$, $P_{nm}(x)$, $T_{0,\pi}(x)$, as well as the local density profiles $n_n(x) = \langle \rho_{i,n} \rangle$ for a density $\bar{\rho} = 5/12$ and typical values of the couplings in the \mathcal{A}_0 and \mathcal{A}_π phases. At small densities and larger anisotropies we observe a strong tendency toward decoupling. For example, by lowering the




FIG. 1: (Color online) DMRG results for $(U/t, V/t) = (-4, -2)$ and $\bar{\rho} = 5/12$ in the \mathcal{A}_0 phase. Both one-particle and BCS pairing correlations are short range. Symmetric trions dominate with $|T_0| > |T_\pi|$ and local densities of all species are in-phase.




FIG. 2: (Color online) Same as Fig. 1 for $(U/t, V/t) = (-4, 2)$ and $\bar{\rho} = 5/12$ in the \mathcal{A}_π phase. Both one-particle and BCS pairing correlations are short range. Antisymmetric trions dominate with $|T_\pi| > |T_0|$ and local densities of species (1,2) are out of phase with those of the specy 3.

density at fixed couplings $(U/t, V/t) = (-4, 4)$, we find a quantum phase transition toward a decoupled BCS phase in the (1,2) channel at densities $\bar{\rho} < \bar{\rho}_c \sim 1/4$ [17].

General asymmetric model. We are now in a position to discuss the general case where $U_{12} \neq U_{23} \neq U_{31}$. The low-energy effective theory as well as the RG equations may be derived in a similar way as for the (U, V) model. The resulting phase diagram in the space of couplings (U_{12}, U_{23}, U_{31}) is rich and complex and will be presented in details elsewhere [16]. Here, we content ourselves with a qualitative overview of its general properties. First of all, the situation is greatly simplified by the fact that, for generic couplings, a partial $SU(2)$ symmetry restoration occurs at low energies in the RG flow. At length scales much larger than a , the effective theory is then equivalent to the (U, V) model which has been discussed above.




FIG. 3: (Color online) DMRG results for $\bar{\rho} = 5/12$ and various magnetic fields. Both one-particle and BCS pairing correlations are short range (data not shown). Left: $B = 573$ G and U_0 is chosen such that $(U_{12}/t, U_{23}/t, U_{31}/t) \sim (7, -3, 3)$. An $\mathcal{A}_\pi(2, 3)$ phase is clearly stabilized with out of phase local densities and $|T_\pi| \gg |T_0|$. Right: $B = 553$ G and the same U_0 with parameters $(-6, -6, 3.5)$. An \mathcal{A}_0 phase is stabilized with in-phase densities and $|T_0| \gg |T_\pi|$.

Since there are three inequivalent ways to implement the above SU(2) symmetry between pairs of species (n, m) , we find that, on top of the \mathcal{A}_0 phase, *three* inequivalent $\mathcal{A}_\pi(n, m)$ phases can be stabilized. The properties of each of these phases follow from those discussed above for the case $(n, m) = (1, 2)$ by a suitable permutation of the indices in the correlation functions. In the space of couplings (U_{12}, U_{23}, U_{31}) all these phases are separated by critical surfaces where the transition may be of either type (I) or (II). At large couplings and/or small densities, the system decouples and three BCS (n, m) phases can be stabilized as well as a fully gapless decoupled LL phase.

Experimental realization. As stated in the introduction, a stable mixture made of a balanced population of three hyperfine states of ${}^6\text{Li}$ atoms, $|F, m_F\rangle = |1\rangle = |1/2, 1/2\rangle$, $|2\rangle = |1/2, -1/2\rangle$, and $|3\rangle = |3/2, -3/2\rangle$, has been stabilized very recently [11]. When trapped in a 1D optical lattice, we expect that the low-energy physics is captured by the Hubbard model (1) with $U_{mn}(B)/t = U_0 a_{mn}(B)$, where U_0 depends on parameters of the optical lattice and the transverse confinement length [12]. At strong fields, $B \geq 10^3$ G, the 3D scattering lengths $a_{mn}(B)$ are all negative and display an almost isotropic behavior [18, 19]. In this regime close to the SU(3) point, an \mathcal{A}_0 phase should be stabilized with symmetric trions. Using the experimental data for $a_{mn}(B)$ for $B = 1200$ G DMRG calculations made for various values of U_0 and densities fully support this picture. At small magnetic fields $B \leq 600$ G $a_{mn}(B)$ display strongly anisotropic be-

havior [11]. At weak fields $B < B_c$ an \mathcal{A}_0 phase should be stabilized while an $\mathcal{A}_\pi(2, 3)$ phase occurs at larger fields $B > B_c$. The quantum phase transition is of the type (II) and our one-loop RG estimate gives $B_c \sim 563$ G. At large densities, DMRG calculations fully support the above theoretical prediction. As an example we show in Fig. 3 our data for $\bar{\rho} = 5/12$ and two values of the magnetic fields $B = 553$ G and $B = 573$ G at which an \mathcal{A}_0 phase and an $\mathcal{A}_\pi(2, 3)$ are clearly stabilized. Work is in progress to determine the critical field B_c as well as the effect of the density. In summary, we have shown that for *generic* interactions a 1D three-component Fermi gas supports, at large enough densities, four possible phases with trionic bound states. At small densities or large enough anisotropy, we give evidences for a quantum phase transition to occur toward a decoupled BCS phase. We hope that forthcoming experiments with ${}^6\text{Li}$ atoms will reveal some of the phases discussed in this letter.

We thank T. Ottenstein *et al.* for sharing their experimental data. Discussions with E. Boulat, V. Dubois, G. Roux, C. Salomon, G.V. Shlyapnikov, A.M. Tsvelik, and S.R. White are also acknowledged. S.C. thanks CALMIP (Toulouse) and IDRIS (Paris) for allocation of cpu time.

-
- [1] A. G. K. Modawi and A. J. Leggett, J. Low Temp. Phys. **109**, 625 (1997); R. W. Cherng, G. Refael, and E. Demler, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 130406 (2007).
 - [2] P. Lecheminant, E. Boulat, and P. Azaria, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 240402 (2005).
 - [3] C. J. Wu, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 266404 (2005).
 - [4] H. Kamei and K. Miyake, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **74**, 1911 (2005).
 - [5] A. Rapp *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 160405 (2007); A. Rapp, W. Hofstetter, and G. Zaránd, Phys. Rev. B **77**, 144520 (2008).
 - [6] S. Capponi *et al.*, Phys. Rev. A **77**, 013624 (2008).
 - [7] X.-J. Liu, H. Hu, and P. D. Drummond, Phys. Rev. A **77**, 013622 (2008).
 - [8] X. W. Guan *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 200401 (2008).
 - [9] G. Roux *et al.*, arXiv: 0807.0412.
 - [10] R. A. Molina *et al.*, arXiv: 0807.1886.
 - [11] T. B. Ottenstein *et al.*, arXiv:0806.0587.
 - [12] D. Jaksch and P. Zoller, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **315**, 52 (2005).
 - [13] A. O. Gogolin, A. A. Nersisyan, and A. M. Tsvelik, *Bosonization and Strongly Correlated Systems* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1998).
 - [14] S. R. White, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 2863 (1992).
 - [15] N. Andrei and J. H. Lowenstein, Phys. Lett. B **90**, 106 (1980).
 - [16] P. Azaria *et al.*, in preparation.
 - [17] See EPAPS Document No. for supplementary data. For more information on EPAPS, see <http://www.aip.org/pubservs/epaps.html>.
 - [18] M. Bartenstein *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 103201 (2005).
 - [19] J. H. Huckans *et al.*, arXiv:0810.3288.