

**EXTENDED HAMILTON-LAGRANGE FORMALISM AND
ITS APPLICATION TO FEYNMAN'S PATH INTEGRAL
FOR RELATIVISTIC QUANTUM PHYSICS**

JÜRGEN STRUCKMEIER

*Gesellschaft für Schwerionenforschung (GSI), Planckstrasse 1, 64291 Darmstadt, Germany
Goethe University, Max-von-Laue-Str. 1, 60438 Frankfurt am Main, Germany*

j.struckmeier@gsi.de

Received 10 October 2008

We present a consistent and comprehensive treatise on the foundations of the *extended Hamilton-Lagrange formalism* — where the dynamical system is parameterized along a general system evolution parameter s , and the time t is treated as a *dependent* variable $t(s)$ on equal footing with all other configuration space variables $q^i(s)$. In the action principle, the conventional classical action $L dt$ is then replaced by the generalized action $L_1 ds$, with L and L_1 denoting the conventional and the extended Lagrangian, respectively. It is shown that a unique correlation of L_1 and L exists if we refrain from performing simultaneously a transformation of the dynamical variables. With the appropriate correlation of L_1 and L in place, the extension of the formalism preserves its *canonical form*.

In the extended formalism, the dynamical system is described as a *constrained* motion within an *extended* space. We show that the value of the constraint and the parameter s constitutes an *additional pair* of canonically conjugate variables. In the corresponding quantum system, we thus encounter an additional *uncertainty relation*.

As a consequence of the formal similarity of conventional and extended Hamilton-Lagrange formalisms, Feynman's *non-relativistic* path integral approach can be converted *on a general level* into a form appropriate for *relativistic* quantum physics. In the emerging parameterized quantum description, the additional uncertainty relation serves as the means to incorporate the constraint and hence to finally eliminate the parameterization.

We derive the extended Lagrangian L_1 of a classical relativistic point particle in an external electromagnetic field and show that the generalized path integral approach yields the Klein-Gordon equation as the corresponding quantum description. We furthermore derive the space-time propagator for a free relativistic particle from its extended Lagrangian L_1 . These results can be regarded as the proof of principle of the *relativistic generalization* of Feynman's path integral approach to quantum physics.

Keywords: Extended Hamilton-Lagrange formalism, relativity, path integral, relativistic quantum physics

PACS numbers: 04.20.Fy, 03.65.-w, 03.65.Pm

1. Introduction

Even more than hundred years after the emerging of Einstein's special theory of relativity, the presentation of classical dynamics in terms of the Lagrangian and the

Hamiltonian formalism is still usually based in literature on the Newtonian absolute time as the system evolution parameter.^{1,2,3,4,5,6,7} The idea how the Hamilton-Lagrange formalism is to be generalized in order to be compatible with relativity is obvious and well-established. It consists of introducing a system evolution parameter, s , as the new independent variable, and of subsequently treating the time $t = t(s)$ as a *dependent* variable of s , in parallel to all configuration space variables $q^i(s)$. This idea has been pursued in numerous publications, only a few of them being cited here. To mention only one, Duru and Kleinert⁸ succeeded in solving the quantum mechanical Coulomb problem in terms of a parameterized Feynman path integral⁹ that is based on a particular, not explicitly time-dependent extended Lagrangian.

Despite this unambiguity in the foundations and the huge pile of publications on the matter — dating back to P. Dirac¹⁰ and C. Lanczos¹¹ — there is up to date no consensus in literature how this extension of the Hamilton-Lagrange formalism is actually to be devised. The reason is that on the basis of the action principle, there is *apparently* some freedom in defining how the conventional Hamiltonian H relates to the extended Hamiltonian H_1 . On one hand, we often find in literature approaches based on the *trivial* extended Hamiltonian^{12,13,14} that simply reduces the extended formalism to the conventional one by *identifying* the parameter s with the time t . On the other hand, we encounter quite general *ad-hoc* definitions of extended Hamiltonians H_1 that contain additional functions of the dynamical variables whose physical meaning and compatibility with the action principle is unclear.^{8,15}

Thus, the key issue for casting the extended Hamilton-Lagrange formalism into its *canonical form* is to clarify how the Lagrangians L_1 and L , as well as the Hamiltonians H_1 and H should be correlated. To this end, we must *separate* the task of relating L_1 with L , and H_1 with H , from the task of performing a transformation of the dynamical variables. With these different matters clearly distinguished, we show that consistent and *unique* representations of both the extended Lagrangian L_1 as well as the extended Hamiltonian H_1 exist. With our relation of H_1 and H in place, we find the subsequent extended set of canonical equations to perfectly coincide in its *form* with the conventional one, which means that no additional functions are involved. This is also true for the theory of extended canonical transformations. The connection of the extended with the conventional Hamiltonian description is established by the *trivial extended Hamiltonian* H_1 , whose canonical equations coincide with those of a conventional Hamiltonian H . Correspondingly, the *trivial extended generating function* F_2 generates exactly the subgroup of conventional canonical transformations within the group of extended canonical transformations. This subgroup consists of exactly those canonical mappings that leave the time variable unchanged.

On grounds of the *formal similarity* of conventional and extended Hamilton-Lagrange formalisms, it is possible to formally convert non-relativistic approaches that are based on conventional Lagrangians into relativistic approaches in terms

of extended Lagrangians. This idea is worked out exemplarily for Feynman's path integral approach to quantum physics.¹⁶

The paper is organized as follows. We start in Sect. 2.1 with the Lagrangian description and derive from the extended form of the action integral the extended Lagrangian L_1 , together with its relation to the conventional Lagrangian L . It is shown that this relation reduces to the factor dt/ds . More "general" correlations are shown to correspond to an *additional* transformation of the dynamical variables. The extended set of Euler-Lagrange equations then follows trivially from the dependencies of the extended Lagrangian. It is shown that the extended Lagrangian description of dynamics consists in a *constrained motion* in an *extended space*, namely in the tangent bundle $T(\mathbb{M} \times \mathbb{R})$ over the space-time configuration manifold $\mathbb{M} \times \mathbb{R}$.

To provide a simple example, we derive in Sect. 3.1 the extended Lagrangian L_1 for a free relativistic point particle. This Lorentz-invariant Lagrangian L_1 has the remarkable feature to be *quadratic* in the velocities. This contrasts to the conventional Lorentz-invariant Lagrangian L that describes the identical dynamics. For this system, the constraint depicts the constant square of the four-velocity vector.

We show in Sect. 3.2 that the extended Lagrangian L_1 of a relativistic particle in an external electromagnetic field agrees *in its form* with the corresponding non-relativistic conventional Lagrangian L . The difference between both is that the derivatives in the extended Lagrangian L_1 are being defined with respect to the particle's *proper time*, which are converted into derivatives with respect to the Newtonian *absolute time* in the non-relativistic limit.

In Sect. 2.2, we switch to the extended Hamiltonian description. As the extended Hamiltonian H_1 springs up from the extended Lagrangian L_1 by means of a Legendre transformation, both functions have equally the total information content on the dynamical system in question. The Hamiltonian counterparts of the Lagrangian description, namely, the extended set of canonical equations, the constraint function, and the correlation of the extended Hamiltonian H_1 to the conventional Hamiltonian H are presented. On this basis, the theory of extended canonical transformations and the extended version of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation are worked out as straightforward generalizations of the conventional theory. As a mapping of the time t is incorporated in an extended canonical transformation, not only the transformed coordinates emerging from the Hamilton-Jacobi equation are constants, as usual, but also the transformed time T . The extended Hamilton-Jacobi equation may thus be interpreted as defining the mapping of the entire dynamical system into its state at a *fixed instant of time*, i.e., for instance, into its initial state. In the extended formulation, the Hamilton-Jacobi equation thus reappears in a new perspective.

We furthermore show that the *value* of the extended Hamiltonian H_1 and the system evolution parameter s yield an additional pair of canonically conjugate variables. For the corresponding quantum system, we thus encounter an additional *uncertainty relation*. Based on both the extended Lagrangian L_1 and the additional

uncertainty relation, we present in Sect. 2.5 the path integral formalism in a form appropriate for *relativistic quantum systems*. An extension of Feynman's approach was worked out earlier⁸ for a particular system. Nevertheless, the most general form of the extended path integral formalism that applies for any extended Lagrangian L_1 is presented here for the first time. By consistently treating space and time variables on equal footing, the generalized path integral formalism is shown to apply as well for Lagrangians that *explicitly* depend on time. In particular, the transition of a wave function is presented here as a space-time integral over a space-time propagator. In this context, we address the physical meaning of the additional integration over t . The uncertainty relation is exhibited as the *quantum physics' means* to incorporate the constraint in order to finally eliminate the parameterization.

On grounds of a generalized understanding of the action principle, Feynman showed that the Schrödinger equation emerges as the non-relativistic *quantum description* of a dynamical system if the corresponding *classical* system is described by the non-relativistic Lagrangian L of a point particle in an external potential. Parallel to this beautiful approach, we derive in Section 3.8 the Klein-Gordon equation as the *relativistic quantum description* of a system, whose classical counterpart is described by the *extended Lagrangian* L_1 of a relativistic point particle in an external electromagnetic field. The reason for this to work is twofold. Since the extended Lagrangian L_1 agrees in its form with the conventional non-relativistic Lagrangian L , the generalized path integral formalism can be worked out similarly to the non-relativistic case. Furthermore, as we proceed in our derivation an infinitesimal proper time step Δs only and consider the limit $\Delta s \rightarrow 0$, the constraint disappears by virtue of the uncertainty relation.

We finally derive in Sect. 3.9 the space-time propagator for the wave function of a free particle with spin zero from the extended Lagrangian of a free relativistic point particle. The constraint function, as the companion of the classical extended description, is taken into account in the quantum description by integrating over all possible parameterizations of the system's variables. This integration is now explained in terms of the uncertainty relation. We regard these results as the ultimate confirmation of the relativistic generalization of Feynman's path integral formalism.

2. Extended Hamilton-Lagrange formalism

2.1. Extended set of Euler-Lagrange equations

The conventional formulation of the principle of least action is based on the action functional $S[\mathbf{q}(t)]$, defined by

$$S[\mathbf{q}(t)] = \int_{t_a}^{t_b} L\left(\mathbf{q}, \frac{d\mathbf{q}}{dt}, t\right) dt, \quad (1)$$

with $L(\mathbf{q}, \dot{\mathbf{q}}, t)$ denoting the system's conventional Lagrangian, and $\mathbf{q}(t) = (q^1(t), \dots, q^n(t))$ the vector of configuration space variables as a function of time. In this formulation, the independent variable time t plays the role of the Newtonian

absolute time. The actual path $(\bar{\mathbf{q}}(t), \dot{\bar{\mathbf{q}}}(t))$ the physical system “realizes” is given as the *extremum* of the action S , hence for $\delta S = 0$. The path representing this extremum of S is the solution of the set of Euler-Lagrange equations ($i = 1, \dots, n$) for the given initial conditions $\mathbf{q}_0, \dot{\mathbf{q}}_0$,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \left(\frac{dq^i}{dt} \right)} \right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^i} = 0. \quad (2)$$

The reformulation of the least action principle (1) that is eligible for relativistic physics is accomplished by treating the time $t(s) = q^0(s)/c$ — like the vector $\mathbf{q}(s)$ of configuration space variables — as a *dependent* variable of a newly introduced timelike independent variable, s .^{11,17,18,19} The action functional then writes in terms of an *extended Lagrangian* L_1

$$S_1[\mathbf{q}(s), t(s)] = \int_{s_a}^{s_b} L_1 \left(\mathbf{q}, \frac{d\mathbf{q}}{ds}, t, \frac{dt}{ds} \right) ds. \quad (3)$$

As the action functional (3) has the form of (1), the subsequent Euler-Lagrange equations that determine the particular path $(\bar{\mathbf{q}}(s), \bar{t}(s))$ on which the value of the functional $S[\bar{\mathbf{q}}(s), \bar{t}(s)]$ takes an extremum, adopt the customary form of Eq. (2)

$$\frac{d}{ds} \left(\frac{\partial L_1}{\partial \left(\frac{dq^\mu}{ds} \right)} \right) - \frac{\partial L_1}{\partial q^\mu} = 0. \quad (4)$$

Here, the index $\mu = 0, \dots, n$ spans the entire range of extended configuration space variables. In particular, the Euler-Lagrange equation for $t(s)$ writes

$$\frac{d}{ds} \left(\frac{\partial L_1}{\partial \left(\frac{dt}{ds} \right)} \right) - \frac{\partial L_1}{\partial t} = 0.$$

The equations of motion for both $\mathbf{q}(s)$ and $t(s)$ are thus determined by the extended Lagrangian L_1 . The solution $\mathbf{q}(t)$ of the Euler-Lagrange equations that equivalently emerges from the corresponding conventional Lagrangian L may then be constructed by eliminating the evolution parameter s .

As the actions, S and S_1 , are supposed to be alternative characterizations of the *same* underlying physical system, the action principles $\delta S = 0$ and $\delta S_1 = 0$ must hold simultaneously. This means that

$$\delta \int_{s_a}^{s_b} L \frac{dt}{ds} ds = \delta \int_{s_a}^{s_b} L_1 ds,$$

which, in turn, is assured if both integrands differ at most by the s -derivative of an arbitrary differentiable function $F(\mathbf{q}, t)$

$$L \frac{dt}{ds} = L_1 + \frac{dF}{ds}.$$

Functions $F(\mathbf{q}, t)$ define a particular class of point transformations of the dynamical variables, namely those ones that preserve the form of the Euler-Lagrange equations.

6 *J. Struckmeier*

Such a transformation can be applied at any time in the discussion of a given Lagrangian system and should be distinguished from correlating L_1 and L . We may thus restrict ourselves without loss of generality to those correlations of L and L_1 , where $F \equiv 0$. In other words, we correlate L and L_1 *without* performing simultaneously a transformation of the dynamical variables. We will discuss this issue in the more general context of *extended canonical transformations* in Sect. 2.3. The extended Lagrangian L_1 is then related to the conventional Lagrangian, L , by

$$L_1 \left(\mathbf{q}, \frac{d\mathbf{q}}{ds}, t, \frac{dt}{ds} \right) = L \left(\mathbf{q}, \frac{d\mathbf{q}}{dt}, t \right) \frac{dt}{ds}, \quad \frac{d\mathbf{q}}{dt} = \frac{d\mathbf{q}/ds}{dt/ds}. \quad (5)$$

The derivatives of L_1 from Eq. (5) with respect to its arguments can now be expressed in terms of the conventional Lagrangian L as

$$\frac{\partial L_1}{\partial q^\mu} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^\mu} \frac{dt}{ds}, \quad \mu = 1, \dots, n \quad (6)$$

$$\frac{\partial L_1}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial t} \frac{dt}{ds} \quad (7)$$

$$\frac{\partial L_1}{\partial \left(\frac{dq^\mu}{ds} \right)} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \left(\frac{dq^\mu}{dt} \right)}, \quad \mu = 1, \dots, n \quad (8)$$

$$\frac{\partial L_1}{\partial \left(\frac{dt}{ds} \right)} = L - \sum_{\mu=1}^n \frac{\partial L}{\partial \left(\frac{dq^\mu}{dt} \right)} \frac{dq^\mu}{dt}. \quad (9)$$

Equations (8) and (9) yield for the following sum over the extended range $\mu = 0, \dots, n$ of dynamical variables

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\mu=0}^n \frac{\partial L_1}{\partial \left(\frac{dq^\mu}{ds} \right)} \frac{dq^\mu}{ds} &= L \frac{dt}{ds} - \sum_{\mu=1}^n \frac{\partial L}{\partial \left(\frac{dq^\mu}{dt} \right)} \frac{dq^\mu}{dt} \frac{dt}{ds} + \sum_{\mu=1}^n \frac{\partial L}{\partial \left(\frac{dq^\mu}{dt} \right)} \frac{dq^\mu}{ds} \\ &= L_1. \end{aligned}$$

The extended Lagrangian L_1 thus satisfies the equation

$$L_1 - \sum_{\mu=0}^n \frac{\partial L_1}{\partial \left(\frac{dq^\mu}{ds} \right)} \frac{dq^\mu}{ds} \begin{cases} \neq 0 & \text{if } L_1 \text{ not homogeneous} \\ \equiv 0 & \text{if } L_1 \text{ homogeneous} \end{cases} \text{ in } \frac{dq^\mu}{ds}. \quad (10)$$

The correlation (5) and the pertaining condition (10) allows two interpretations, depending on which Lagrangian is primarily given, and which one is derived. If the conventional Lagrangian L is the given function to describe the dynamical system in question, then an extended Lagrangian L_1 can immediately be set up according to Eq. (5) by multiplying L with dt/ds . As a result, L_1 constitutes a homogeneous form of first order in the $n + 1$ variables $dq^0/ds, \dots, dq^n/ds$. This may be seen by

replacing all derivatives dq^μ/ds with $a \cdot dq^\mu/ds$, $a \in \mathbb{R}$ in Eq. (5), which yields

$$\begin{aligned} L_1 \left(\mathbf{q}, a \frac{d\mathbf{q}}{ds}, t, a \frac{dt}{ds} \right) &= L \left(\mathbf{q}, \frac{d\mathbf{q}}{dt}, t \right) a \frac{dt}{ds} \\ &= a L_1 \left(\mathbf{q}, \frac{d\mathbf{q}}{ds}, t, \frac{dt}{ds} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, Euler's theorem on homogeneous functions states that Eq. (10) constitutes an *identity*¹¹ for L_1 . In that case, we may differentiate the identity with respect to the velocity dq^ν/ds to get

$$\sum_{\mu=0}^n \frac{\partial^2 L_1}{\partial \left(\frac{dq^\mu}{ds} \right) \partial \left(\frac{dq^\nu}{ds} \right)} \frac{dq^\mu}{ds} \equiv 0. \quad (11)$$

The Euler-Lagrange equation involving dt/ds then also yields an *identity*, hence, we do not obtain a substantial equation of motion for $t(s)$. In this case, the parameterizations of time $t(s)$ is left undetermined — which reflects the fact that a conventional Lagrangian does not provide any information on a parameterization of time. We refer to a function L_1 that emerges from a given conventional Lagrangian L by multiplication with dt/ds as a *trivial* extended Lagrangian.

In the opposite case, if an extended Lagrangian L_1 is the primary function to describe our system, then L_1 is not necessarily a homogeneous function in the “velocities” dq^μ/ds . In the derivation of Eq. (10), we have tacitly assumed that a corresponding conventional Lagrangian $L = L_1 ds/dt$ exists, hence a Lagrangian that depends on dt/ds only indirectly via the reparameterization condition from Eq. (5) applied to its velocities. Only if such a function L exists, then Eq. (10) is valid and furnishes a *primary constraint* of the system. The notion “primary” means that we do not make use of the Euler-Lagrange equations (4) in order to set up the constraint. In that case, Eq. (10) does *not* represent an identity, which means that Eq. (11) does not hold. On the other hand, as a fundamental consistency requirement, the primary constraint (10) must be *maintained* along the extended system evolution path parameterized by s , hence along the solution of the extended set of Euler-Lagrange equations (4). That this is indeed the case can be seen by calculating the total s -derivative of Eq. (10) and inserting Eqs. (4)

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{ds} L_1 \left(q^\mu, \frac{dq^\mu}{ds} \right) - \sum_{\mu=0}^n \frac{dq^\mu}{ds} \frac{d}{ds} \frac{\partial L_1}{\partial \left(\frac{dq^\mu}{ds} \right)} - \sum_{\mu=0}^n \frac{\partial L_1}{\partial \left(\frac{dq^\mu}{ds} \right)} \frac{d \left(\frac{dq^\mu}{ds} \right)}{ds} \\ &= \frac{dL_1}{ds} - \sum_{\mu=0}^n \frac{\partial L_1}{\partial q^\mu} \frac{dq^\mu}{ds} - \sum_{\mu=0}^n \frac{\partial L_1}{\partial \left(\frac{dq^\mu}{ds} \right)} \frac{d \left(\frac{dq^\mu}{ds} \right)}{ds} \\ &\equiv 0. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

For this reason, Eq. (10) actually does *not* imply any restriction on the system's evolution along s but separates *unphysical states* that do not satisfy Eq. (10) from

8 *J. Struckmeier*

the physical states that are solutions of the Euler-Lagrange equations (4). In this respect, Eq. (10) corresponds to the “mass shell condition” of quantum field theory that separates “virtual particles” from “real particles,” the latter satisfying the relativistic energy-momentum condition.

To summarize, by switching from the conventional variational principle (1) to the extended representation (3), we have introduced an extended Lagrangian L_1 that in addition depends on $dt(s)/ds$. Due to the emerging conserved quantity following from Eq. (12), the actual number of degrees of freedom is unchanged. Geometrically, the system’s motion along s now takes place on the *primary constraint hypersurface*, defined by Eq. (10), within the tangent bundle $T(\mathbb{M} \times \mathbb{R})$ over the space-time configuration manifold $\mathbb{M} \times \mathbb{R}$. This contrasts with the conventional, unconstrained Lagrangian description, where the coordinates q^i and velocities \dot{q}^i reside within the tangent bundle $(T\mathbb{M})$ along the time axis t .

2.2. Extended set of canonical equations

The Lagrangian formulation of particle dynamics can *equivalently* be expressed as a Hamiltonian description. The complete information on the given dynamical system is then contained in a Hamiltonian H , which carries the same information content as the corresponding Lagrangian L . It is defined by the Legendre transformation

$$H(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, t) = \sum_{\mu=1}^n p_{\mu} \frac{dq^{\mu}}{dt} - L\left(\mathbf{q}, \frac{d\mathbf{q}}{dt}, t\right), \quad (13)$$

with the covariant momentum vector components p_{μ} being defined by

$$p_{\mu} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \left(\frac{dq^{\mu}}{dt}\right)}.$$

Correspondingly, the *extended* Hamiltonian H_1 is defined as the extended Legendre transform of the extended Lagrangian L_1 as

$$\begin{aligned} H_1(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, t, e) &= \sum_{\mu=1}^n p_{\mu} \frac{dq^{\mu}}{ds} - e \frac{dt}{ds} - L_1\left(\mathbf{q}, \frac{d\mathbf{q}}{ds}, t, \frac{dt}{ds}\right) \\ &= \sum_{\mu=0}^n p_{\mu} \frac{dq^{\mu}}{ds} - L_1\left(q^{\nu}, \frac{dq^{\nu}}{ds}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

In order for H_1 to take over from L_1 the complete information on the dynamical system, the Hesse matrix must be non-singular

$$\det \left(\frac{\partial^2 L_1}{\partial \left(\frac{dq^{\mu}}{ds}\right) \partial \left(\frac{dq^{\nu}}{ds}\right)} \right) \neq 0.$$

We know from Eq. (8) that for $\mu = 1, \dots, n$ the momentum variable p_μ is equally obtained from the extended Lagrangian L_1 ,

$$p_\mu = \frac{\partial L_1}{\partial \left(\frac{dq^\mu}{ds} \right)}. \quad (15)$$

This fact ensures the Legendre transformations (13) and (14) to be compatible. For the index $\mu = 0$, i.e., for $q^0 = ct$ we must take some care as the derivative of L_1 with respect to dt/ds evaluates to

$$\frac{\partial L_1}{\partial \left(\frac{dt}{ds} \right)} = L - \sum_{\mu=1}^n \frac{\partial L}{\partial \left(\frac{dq^\mu}{dt} \right)} \frac{dq^\mu}{dt} = -H(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, t).$$

The momentum coordinate p_0 that is conjugate to $q^0 = ct$ must therefore be defined as

$$p_0(s) = -\frac{e(s)}{c}, \quad e(s) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} H(\mathbf{q}(s), \mathbf{p}(s), t(s)), \quad (16)$$

with $e(s)$ representing the instantaneous *value* of the Hamiltonian H at s , but *not* the *function* H proper as these functions are different. The canonical coordinate p_0 must be conceived — like all other canonical coordinates — as a function of the independent variable, s , only. Thus, p_0 has solely a derivative with respect to s . In contrast, the Hamiltonian H contains the complete information on the underlying dynamical system — which is provided as the dependence of the value $e(s)$ of H on the *individual* values of the $q^\mu(s)$, $p_\mu(s)$, and $t(s)$ — and thus has derivatives with respect to all these canonical coordinates. We may express the definition of $p_0(s)$, and $e(s)$, by means of the comprehensible notation

$$p_0(s) = \frac{\partial L_1}{\partial \left(\frac{dq^0}{ds} \right)}(s) \iff e(s) = -\frac{\partial L_1}{\partial \left(\frac{dt}{ds} \right)}(s). \quad (17)$$

The constraint function from Eq. (10) translates in the extended Hamiltonian description simply into

$$H_1(\mathbf{q}(s), \mathbf{p}(s), t(s), e(s)) = 0. \quad (18)$$

This means that the extended Hamiltonian H_1 directly defines the hypersurface within the extended phase space the classical particle motion is restricted to. Geometrically, the hypersurface lies in the cotangent bundle $T^*(\mathbb{M} \times \mathbb{R})$ over the same extended configuration manifold $\mathbb{M} \times \mathbb{R}$ as in the case of the Lagrangian description. This is exactly the higher-dimensional analogue of the case of an *autonomous* conventional Hamiltonian system, hence a Hamiltonian with no *explicit* time dependence, $H(\mathbf{q}(t), \mathbf{p}(t)) = e_0$ — where the system's initial energy e_0 embodies a *constant of motion*. In that case, the system's motion again takes place on a hypersurface that is now defined by $H(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) = e_0$ and represents the phase-space surface of constant energy within the cotangent bundle $T^*\mathbb{M}$ over the configuration manifold \mathbb{M} . Both cases, $H_1 = 0$ and $H = e_0$, are referred to as “secondary constraints.”

These constraints are characterized by the property that they must be preserved in the course of the system's evolution in order for the description to be consistent, hence $dH_1(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, t, e)/ds = 0$ and $dH(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})/dt = 0$.

The extended Legendre transformation (14) in conjunction with (15) and the extended set of Euler-Lagrange equations (4) immediately yields the extended set of canonical equations ($\mu = 0, \dots, n$),

$$\frac{\partial H_1}{\partial p_\mu} = \frac{dq^\mu}{ds}, \quad \frac{\partial H_1}{\partial q^\mu} = -\frac{\partial L_1}{\partial q^\mu} = -\frac{dp_\mu}{ds}. \quad (19)$$

The right-hand sides of these equations follow directly from the Legendre transformation (14) since the Lagrangian L_1 does not depend on the momenta p_μ and has, up to the sign, the same space-time dependence as the Hamiltonian H_1 . The extended set is characterized by the additional pair of canonical equations for the index $\mu = 0$, which reads in terms of $t(s)$ and $e(s)$

$$\frac{de}{ds} = \frac{\partial H_1}{\partial t}, \quad \frac{dt}{ds} = -\frac{\partial H_1}{\partial e}. \quad (20)$$

For the total derivative of $H_1(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, t, e)$ we thus find

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dH_1}{ds} &= \frac{\partial H_1}{\partial p_i} \frac{dp_i}{ds} + \frac{\partial H_1}{\partial q^i} \frac{dq^i}{ds} + \frac{\partial H_1}{\partial t} \frac{dt}{ds} + \frac{\partial H_1}{\partial e} \frac{de}{ds} \\ &= \frac{dq^i}{ds} \frac{dp_i}{ds} - \frac{dp_i}{ds} \frac{dq^i}{ds} + \frac{de}{ds} \frac{dt}{ds} - \frac{dt}{ds} \frac{de}{ds} \\ &\equiv 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, if $e(0) = e_0$ is identified with the system's initial energy $e_0 = H(\mathbf{q}_0, \mathbf{p}_0, 0)$ at $t = 0$, then the secondary constraint $H_1(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, t, e) = 0$, $dH_1(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, t, e)/ds = 0$ is *automatically* fulfilled along the system's trajectory that is given by the solution of the extended set of canonical equations (19).

By virtue of the Legendre transformations (13) and (14), the correlation from Eq. (5) of extended and conventional Lagrangians is finally converted into

$$H_1(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, t, e) = (H(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, t) - e) \frac{dt}{ds}, \quad (21)$$

as only the term for the index $\mu = 0$ does not cancel after inserting L from Eq. (13) and L_1 from Eq. (14) into Eq. (5).

The extended phase-space variable $e(s)$ is defined as the particular function of the independent variable, s , that represents the *value* of the conventional Hamiltonian, H . In accordance with Eqs. (16) and (18), we thus determine H for any given extended Hamiltonian H_1 by solving $H_1 = 0$ for e . Then, H emerges as the right-hand side of the equation $e = H$.

In the converse case, if merely a conventional Hamiltonian H is given, and H_1 is set up according to Eq. (21), then the canonical equation for dt/ds yields an *identity*, hence allows arbitrary parameterizations of time. This is not astonishing as a conventional Hamiltonian H generally does not provide the information for an equation of motion for $t(s)$, i.e., for a particular parameterization of time t .

Corresponding to Eq. (16), we may introduce the variable e_1 as the *value* of the extended Hamiltonian H_1 . We can formally imagine H_1 to be also a function of s in addition to its dependence of the extended phase-space variables,

$$e_1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} H_1(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, t, e, s). \quad (22)$$

By virtue of the extended set of canonical equations (19), we find that e_1 is a constant of motion if and only if H_1 does *not* explicitly depend on s ,

$$e_1(s) = \text{const.} \quad \iff \quad H_1 = H_1(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, t, e).$$

In this case, s can be regarded as a *cyclic variable*, with e_1 the pertaining constant of motion, and hence its conjugate. Thus, in the same way as (e, t) constitutes a pair of canonically conjugate variables, so does the pair (e_1, s) , i.e., the *value* e_1 of the extended Hamiltonian H_1 and the parameterization of the system's variables in terms of s . In the context of a corresponding quantum description, this additional pair of canonically conjugate variables gives rise to the additional uncertainty relation

$$\Delta e_1 \Delta s \geq \frac{1}{2} \hbar. \quad (23)$$

Thus, in a quantum system whose classical limit is described by an extended Hamiltonian H_1 , we cannot simultaneously measure exactly both a deviation Δe_1 from the constraint condition $\Delta e_1(s) = 0$ from Eqs. (18), (22) and the actual value of the system evolution parameter s . For the particular extended Hamiltonian H_1 of a relativistic particle in an external electromagnetic field, to be discussed in Sect. 3.3, the constraint reflects the *relativistic energy-momentum correlation*, whereas the parameter s represents the particle's *proper time*. For this particular system, the uncertainty relation (23) thus states that we cannot have simultaneous knowledge on a deviation from the relativistic energy-momentum correlation (59) and the particle's proper time. The extended Lagrangian L_1 and the uncertainty relation (23) constitute together the cornerstones for deriving the *relativistic generalization* of Feynman's path integral approach to non-relativistic quantum physics, to be presented in Sect. 2.5.

To end this section, we remark that the extended Hamiltonian H_1 most frequently found in literature is given by (cf, for instance, Refs. ^{11,12,14,13,15,20})

$$H_1(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, t, e) = H(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, t) - e. \quad (24)$$

According to Eqs. (20), the canonical equation for dt/ds is obtained as

$$\frac{dt}{ds} = -\frac{\partial H_1}{\partial e} = 1.$$

Up to arbitrary shifts of the origin of our time scale, we thus *identify* $t(s)$ with s . As all other partial derivatives of H_1 coincide with those of H , so do the respective canonical equations. The system description in terms of H_1 from Eq. (24) is thus *identical* to the conventional description and does not provide any additional information. The extended Hamiltonian (24) thus constitutes the *trivial extended Hamiltonian*.

2.3. Extended canonical transformations

The conventional theory of canonical transformations is built upon the conventional action integral from Eq. (1). In this theory, the Newtonian absolute time t plays the role of *the common independent variable* of both original and destination system. Similarly to the conventional theory, we may build the *extended theory of canonical equations* on the basis of the extended action integral from Eq. (3). With the time $t = q^0/c$ and the configuration space variables q^i treated on equal footing, we are enabled to correlate two Hamiltonian systems, H and H' , with different time scales, $t(s)$ and $T(s)$, hence to canonically map the system's time t and its conjugate quantity e in addition to the mapping of generalized coordinates \mathbf{q} and momenta \mathbf{p} . The global timelike evolution parameter s then plays the role of the common independent variable of both systems, H and H' . A general mapping of all dependent variables may be formally expressed as

$$Q^\mu = Q^\mu(q^\nu, p_\nu), \quad P_\mu = P_\mu(q^\nu, p_\nu), \quad \mu = 0, \dots, n \quad (25)$$

Completely parallel to the conventional theory, the subgroup of general transformations (25) that satisfy the principle $\delta S_1 = 0$ of the action functional (3) is referred to as “canonical”,

$$\delta \int_{s_a}^{s_b} L_1 \left(q^\nu, \frac{dq^\nu}{ds} \right) ds = \delta \int_{s_a}^{s_b} L'_1 \left(Q^\nu, \frac{dQ^\nu}{ds} \right) ds. \quad (26)$$

The action integrals may be expressed equivalently in terms of an extended Hamiltonian by means of the Legendre transformation (14). We thus get the following condition for a transformation (25) to be canonical

$$\delta \int_{s_a}^{s_b} \left[\sum_{\mu=0}^n p_\mu \frac{dq^\mu}{ds} - H_1(q^\nu, p_\nu) \right] ds = \delta \int_{s_a}^{s_b} \left[\sum_{\mu=0}^n P_\mu \frac{dQ^\mu}{ds} - H'_1(Q^\nu, P_\nu) \right] ds. \quad (27)$$

As we are operating with *functionals*, the conditions (26) and (27) hold if the *integrands* differ at most by the derivative dF_1/ds of an arbitrary differentiable function $F_1(q^\nu, Q^\nu)$

$$L_1 = L'_1 + \frac{dF_1}{ds} \quad (28)$$

$$\sum_{\mu=0}^n p_\mu \frac{dq^\mu}{ds} - H_1 = \sum_{\mu=0}^n P_\mu \frac{dQ^\mu}{ds} - H'_1 + \frac{dF_1}{ds}. \quad (29)$$

Because of

$$\delta \int_{s_a}^{s_b} \frac{dF_1}{ds} ds = \delta (F_1|_{s_b}) - \delta (F_1|_{s_a}) \equiv 0,$$

a term dF_1/ds does not contribute to the variation of the action functional (3). This means that the particular path $(\bar{\mathbf{q}}(s), \bar{t}(s))$ on which the action integral takes on an extremum is maintained.

We restrict ourselves to functions $F_1(q^\nu, Q^\nu)$ of the old and the new extended configuration space variables, hence to a function of those variables, whose derivatives match those of the integrands in Eq. (27). Calculating the s -derivative of F_1 ,

$$\frac{dF_1}{ds} = \sum_{\mu=0}^n \left[\frac{\partial F_1}{\partial q^\mu} \frac{dq^\mu}{ds} + \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial Q^\mu} \frac{dQ^\mu}{ds} \right], \quad (30)$$

we then get *unique* transformation rules by comparing the coefficients of Eq. (30) with those of (29)

$$p_\mu = \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial q^\mu}, \quad P_\mu = -\frac{\partial F_1}{\partial Q^\mu}, \quad H'_1 = H_1. \quad (31)$$

F_1 is referred to as the *extended generating function* of the — now generalized — canonical transformation. The extended Hamiltonian H_1 has the important property that its *value* is conserved under extended canonical transformations. This means that the system's physical evolution is kept being confined to the surface $H'_1 = 0$, hence that the constraint (18) is maintained in the transformed system, as required. Corresponding to the extended set of canonical equations, the additional transformation rule is given for the index $\mu = 0$. This transformation rule may be expressed equivalently in terms of $t(s)$, $e(s)$, and $T(s)$, $E(s)$ as

$$e = -\frac{\partial F_1}{\partial t}, \quad E = \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial T}, \quad (32)$$

with E , correspondingly to Eq. (16), the value of the transformed Hamiltonian H'

$$P_0(s) = -\frac{E(s)}{c}, \quad E(s) \stackrel{\neq}{=} H'(\mathbf{Q}(s), \mathbf{P}(s), T(s)). \quad (33)$$

The addressed transformed Hamiltonian H' is finally obtained from the general correlation of conventional and extended Hamiltonians from Eq. (21), and the transformation rule $H'_1 = H_1$ for the extended Hamiltonian from Eq. (31)

$$\left[H'(\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{P}, T) - E \right] \frac{dT}{ds} = \left[H(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, t) - e \right] \frac{dt}{ds}.$$

Eliminating the evolution parameter s , we arrive at the following two equivalent transformation rules for the conventional Hamiltonians under extended canonical transformations

$$\begin{aligned} \left[H'(\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{P}, T) - E \right] \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} &= H(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, t) - e \\ \left[H(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, t) - e \right] \frac{\partial t}{\partial T} &= H'(\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{P}, T) - E. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

The transformation rules (34) are generalizations of the rule for conventional canonical transformations as now cases with $T \neq t$ are included. We will see at the end of this section that the rules (34) merge for the particular case $T = t$ into the corresponding rules of conventional canonical transformation theory.

By means of the Legendre transformation

$$F_2(q^\nu, P_\nu) = F_1(q^\nu, Q^\nu) + \sum_{\mu=0}^n Q^\mu P_\mu, \quad P_\mu = -\frac{\partial F_1}{\partial Q^\mu}, \quad (35)$$

we may express the extended generating function of a generalized canonical transformation equivalently as a function of the original extended configuration space variables q^ν and the extended set of transformed canonical momenta P_ν . As, by definition, the functions F_1 and F_2 agree in their dependence on the q^μ , so do the corresponding transformation rules

$$\frac{\partial F_1}{\partial q^\mu} = \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial q^\mu} = p_\mu.$$

This means that all q^μ do not take part in the transformation defined by (35). As F_1 does not depend on the P_ν , the new transformation rule pertaining to F_2 thus follows immediately as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial P_\nu} &= \sum_{\mu=0}^n Q^\mu \frac{\partial P_\mu}{\partial P_\nu} = \sum_{\mu=0}^n Q^\mu \delta_\mu^\nu \\ &= Q^\nu. \end{aligned}$$

The new set of transformation rules, which is, of course, equivalent to the previous set from Eq. (31), is thus

$$p_\mu = \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial q^\mu}, \quad Q^\mu = \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial P_\mu}, \quad H'_1 = H_1. \quad (36)$$

Expressed in terms of the variables \mathbf{q} , \mathbf{p} , t , e , and \mathbf{Q} , \mathbf{P} , T , E the new set of coordinate transformation rules takes on the more elaborate form

$$p_i = \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial q^i}, \quad Q^i = \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial P_i}, \quad e = -\frac{\partial F_2}{\partial t}, \quad T = -\frac{\partial F_2}{\partial E}. \quad (37)$$

Similarly to the conventional theory of canonical transformations, there are two more possibilities to define a generating function of an extended canonical transformation. By means of the Legendre transformation

$$F_3(p_\nu, Q^\nu) = F_1(q^\nu, Q^\nu) - \sum_{\mu=0}^n q^\mu p_\mu, \quad p_\mu = -\frac{\partial F_1}{\partial q^\mu},$$

we find in the same manner as above the transformation rules

$$q^\mu = -\frac{\partial F_3}{\partial p_\mu}, \quad P_\mu = -\frac{\partial F_3}{\partial Q^\mu}, \quad H'_1 = H_1. \quad (38)$$

Finally, applying the Legendre transformation, defined by

$$F_4(p_\nu, P_\nu) = F_3(p_\nu, Q^\nu) + \sum_{\mu=0}^n Q^\mu P_\mu, \quad P_\mu = -\frac{\partial F_3}{\partial Q^\mu},$$

the following equivalent version of transformation rules emerges

$$q^\mu = -\frac{\partial F_4}{\partial p_\mu}, \quad Q^\mu = \frac{\partial F_4}{\partial P_\mu}, \quad H'_1 = H_1.$$

Calculating the second derivatives of the generating functions, we conclude that the following correlations for the derivatives of the general mapping from Eq. (25) must hold for the entire set of extended phase-space variables,

$$\frac{\partial Q^\mu}{\partial q^\nu} = \frac{\partial p_\nu}{\partial P_\mu}, \quad \frac{\partial Q^\mu}{\partial p_\nu} = -\frac{\partial q^\nu}{\partial P_\mu}, \quad \frac{\partial P_\mu}{\partial q^\nu} = -\frac{\partial p_\nu}{\partial Q^\mu}, \quad \frac{\partial P_\mu}{\partial p_\nu} = \frac{\partial q^\nu}{\partial Q^\mu}.$$

Exactly if these conditions are fulfilled for all $\mu, \nu = 0, \dots, n$, then the extended coordinate transformation (25) is canonical and preserves the form of the extended set of canonical equations (19). Otherwise, we are dealing with a general, non-canonical coordinate transformation that does *not* preserve the form of the canonical equations.

The connection of the extended canonical transformation theory with the conventional one is furnished by the particular extended generating function

$$F_2(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{P}, t, E) = f_2(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{P}, t) - tE, \quad (39)$$

with $f_2(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{P}, t)$ denoting a conventional generating function. According to Eqs. (37), the coordinate transformation rules following from (39) are

$$p_i = \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial q^i}, \quad Q^i = \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial P_i}, \quad e = -\frac{\partial f_2}{\partial t} + E, \quad T = t.$$

With $\partial T/\partial t = 1$, the general transformation rule (34) for conventional Hamiltonians now yields the well-known rule for Hamiltonians H' under conventional canonical transformations,

$$H'(\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{P}, t) = H(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, t) + E - e = H(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, t) + \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial t}.$$

Canonical transformations that are defined by extended generating functions of the form of Eq. (39) leave the time variable unchanged and thus define the subgroup of conventional canonical transformations within the general group of extended canonical transformations. Corresponding to the trivial extended Hamiltonian from Eq. (24), we may refer to (39) as the *trivial extended generating function*.

2.4. Extended Hamilton-Jacobi equation

In the context of the extended canonical transformation theory, we may derive an extended version of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation. We are looking for a generating function $F_2(q^\nu, P_\nu)$ of an extended canonical transformation that maps a given extended Hamiltonian $H_1 = 0$ into a transformed extended Hamiltonian $H'_1 = 0$ with the property that *all* partial derivatives of $H'_1(Q^\nu, P_\nu)$ vanish. Hence, according to the extended set of canonical equations (19), the derivatives of all canonical

16 *J. Struckmeier*

variables $Q^\mu(s), P_\mu(s)$ with respect to the system's evolution parameter s must vanish

$$\frac{\partial H'_1}{\partial P_\mu} = \frac{dQ^\mu}{ds} = 0, \quad -\frac{\partial H'_1}{\partial Q^\mu} = \frac{dP_\mu}{ds} = 0, \quad \mu = 0, \dots, n. \quad (40)$$

This means that *all* transformed canonical variables Q^μ, P_μ must be constants of motion. Writing the variables for the index $\mu = 0$ separately, we thus have

$$T = \text{const.}, \quad Q^i = \text{const.}, \quad E = \text{const.}, \quad P_i = \text{const.}$$

Thus, corresponding to the conventional Hamilton-Jacobi formalism, the vectors of the transformed canonical variables, \mathbf{Q} and \mathbf{P} , are constant. Yet, in the extended formalism, the transformed time T is also a constant. The particular generating function $F_2(q^\nu, P_\nu)$ that defines transformation rules for the extended set of canonical variables such that Eqs. (40) hold for the transformed variables thus defines a mapping of the entire system into its state at a fixed instant of time, hence — up to trivial shifts in the origin of the time scale — into its initial state at $T = t(0)$

$$T = t(0), \quad Q^i = q^i(0), \quad P_i = p_i(0), \quad E = H(\mathbf{q}(0), \mathbf{p}(0), t(0)).$$

We may refer to this particular generating function as the *extended Hamiltonian action function* $F_2 \equiv S_1(q^\nu, P_\nu)$. According to the transformation rule $H'_1 = H_1$ for extended Hamiltonians from Eq. (31), we obtain the transformed extended Hamiltonian $H'_1 \equiv 0$ simply by expressing the original extended Hamiltonian $H_1 = 0$ in terms of the transformed variables. This means for the conventional Hamiltonian $H(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, t)$ according to Eq. (21) in conjunction with the transformation rules from Eqs. (37),

$$\left[H \left(\mathbf{q}, \frac{\partial S_1}{\partial \mathbf{q}}, t \right) + \frac{\partial S_1}{\partial t} \right] \frac{dt}{ds} = 0.$$

As we have $ds/dt \neq 0$ in general, we finally get the generalized form of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation,

$$H \left(q^1, \dots, q^n, \frac{\partial S_1}{\partial q^1}, \dots, \frac{\partial S_1}{\partial q^n}, t \right) + \frac{\partial S_1}{\partial t} = 0. \quad (41)$$

Equation (41) has exactly the *form* of the conventional Hamilton-Jacobi equation. Yet, it is actually a *generalization* as the extended action function S_1 represents an *extended* generating function of type F_2 , as defined by Eq. (35). This means that S_1 is also a function of the (constant) transformed energy $E = -P(0)$.

Summarizing, the extended Hamilton-Jacobi equation may be interpreted as defining the mapping of all canonical coordinates $\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, t$, and e of the actual system into constants $\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{P}, T$, and E . In other words, it defines the mapping of the entire dynamical system from its actual state at time t into its state at a *fixed instant of time*, T , which could be the initial conditions.

2.5. Generalized path integral with extended Lagrangians

In Feynman's path integral approach to quantum mechanics, the space and time evolution of a wave function $\psi(\mathbf{q}, t)$ is formulated in terms of a transition amplitude density $K(b, a)$, also referred to as a *kernel*, or, a *propagator*. Its space-time generalization writes

$$\psi(\mathbf{q}_b, t_b) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(\mathbf{q}_b, t_b; \mathbf{q}_a, t_a) \psi(\mathbf{q}_a, t_a) d\mathbf{q}_a dt_a. \quad (42)$$

Obviously, this propagator $K(b, a)$ has the dimension of a space-time density. The justification for integrating over all times is that in relativistic physics we must treat space and time on equal footing. Hence, we must allow the laboratory time t to take any value — negative and even positive ones — if we regard t from the viewpoint of a particle with its proper time s . We thus additionally integrate over all *histories* of the particle. The integration over all *futures* can then be interpreted as integration over all histories of the *anti-particle*, whose proper timescale runs backwards in terms of the particle's proper timescale.²¹

The kernel $K_\sigma(b, a)$ for a parameterized action S_1 is given by the multiple path integral²²

$$K_\sigma(b, a) = \iint \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} S_1[\mathbf{q}(s), t(s)] \right\} \mathcal{D}\mathbf{q}(s) \mathcal{D}t(s). \quad (43)$$

Herein, the integrals are to be taken over all paths that go from (\mathbf{q}_a, t_a) at s_a to (\mathbf{q}_b, t_b) at s_b . If the time paths and the spatial paths are taken to be independent of each other, hence if we do not incorporate the constraint condition (10) into the integration boundaries, we also sum over all particles off the mass shell. The action functional S_1 stands for the s -integral over the extended Lagrangian L_1 , as defined by Eq. (3).

In classical dynamics, the parameterization of space and time variables can be eliminated by means of the constraint function (10). For the corresponding quantum description, the uncertainty principle from Eq. (23) applies. It tells us that an *accurate* fulfillment of the constraint $\Delta e_1(s) = 0$ is related to a *complete uncertainty* about the parameterization of the system's variables phase-space in terms of s . Therefore, in the context of the path integral approach, the constraint is incorporated by *integrating* the parameterized kernel $K_\sigma(b, a)$ over all possible parameterizations $\sigma = s_b - s_a > 0$ of coordinates $\mathbf{q}(s)$ and time $t(s)$. The transition amplitude density is thus given by

$$K(b, a) = \frac{1}{N} \int_0^\infty K_\sigma(b, a) d\sigma. \quad (44)$$

This means that *all* parameterized kernels $K_\sigma(b, a)$ contribute with *equal weight* to the total transition amplitude $K(b, a)$. The normalization factor N is determined by the requirement that the integration (42) should preserve the norm of the wave

function ψ . As an example, we calculate in Sect. 3.9 the explicit form of the space-time propagator for the wave function of a relativistic free particle from the extended Lagrangian L_1 of the pertaining classical system.

For an infinitesimal step $\delta\epsilon = s_b - s_a$, we may approximate the action functional S_1 from Eq. (3) by

$$S_{1,\delta\epsilon}[q^\mu(s)] = \delta\epsilon L_1 \left(\frac{q_b^\mu + q_a^\mu}{2}, \frac{q_b^\mu - q_a^\mu}{\delta\epsilon} \right).$$

For $s_b = s_a + \delta\epsilon$, the kernel $K_\sigma(s_a + \delta\epsilon, s_a)$ from Eq. (43) that yields the transition amplitude density for a particle along this infinitesimal interval $s_b - s_a$ is accordingly given by

$$K(b, a) = \frac{1}{M} \exp \left[\frac{i}{\hbar} S_{1,\delta\epsilon} \right].$$

As we proceed an infinitesimal step $\delta\epsilon$ only, and then take the limit $\delta\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, the integration (44) over all possible parameterizations of this step must be omitted. For, conversely to the situation discussed beforehand, a small $\delta\epsilon = \Delta s$ is related to a large uncertainty with respect to satisfying the constraint, so that in the limit $\delta\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ the constraint ceases to exist.

The yet to be determined normalization factor M represents the integration measure for one step of the multiple path integral (43). Clearly, this measure must depend on the step size $\delta\epsilon$. The transition of a given wave function $\psi(q_a^\mu)$ at the particle's proper time s_a to the wave function $\psi(q_b^\mu)$ that is separated by an infinitesimal proper time interval $\delta\epsilon = s_b - s_a$ can now be formulated according to Eq. (42) as

$$\psi(q_b^\mu) = \frac{1}{M} \int \exp \left[\frac{i}{\hbar} S_{1,\delta\epsilon} \right] \psi(q_a^\mu) d^4 q_a. \quad (45)$$

Note that we integrate here over the entire space-time. To serve as test for this approach, we derive in Sect. 3.8 the Klein-Gordon equation on the basis of the extended Lagrangian L_1 for a relativistic particle in an external electromagnetic field.

3. Examples of extended Hamilton-Lagrange systems

3.1. *Extended Lagrangian for a relativistic free particle*

As only expressions of the form $\mathbf{q}^2 - c^2 t^2$ are preserved under the Lorentz group, the conventional Lagrangian for a *free point particle* of mass m , given by

$$L^{\text{nr}} \left(\mathbf{q}, \frac{d\mathbf{q}}{dt}, t \right) = T - V = \frac{1}{2} m \left(\frac{d\mathbf{q}}{dt} \right)^2 - mc^2, \quad (46)$$

is obviously not Lorentz-invariant. Yet, in the extended description, a corresponding Lorentz-invariant Lagrangian L_1 can be constructed by introducing s as the new independent variable, and by treating the space and time variables, $\mathbf{q}(s)$ and $q^0 =$

$ct(s)$ equally. This is achieved by adding the corresponding derivative of the time variable $t(s)$,

$$L_1 \left(\mathbf{q}, \frac{d\mathbf{q}}{ds}, t, \frac{dt}{ds} \right) = \frac{1}{2}mc^2 \left[\frac{1}{c^2} \left(\frac{d\mathbf{q}}{ds} \right)^2 - \left(\frac{dt}{ds} \right)^2 - 1 \right]. \quad (47)$$

The constant third term has been defined accordingly to ensure that L_1 converges to L^{nr} in the limit $dt/ds \rightarrow 1$. Of course, the dynamics following from (46) and (47) are *different* — which reflects the modification our dynamics encounters if we switch from a non-relativistic to a relativistic description. The Lagrangian (47) is no homogeneous form of first order in the velocities $dq^\mu/ds, \mu = 0, \dots, 3$. Therefore, we obtain from Eq. (10) the constraint

$$\left(\frac{dt}{ds} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{c^2} \left(\frac{d\mathbf{q}}{ds} \right)^2 - 1 = 0. \quad (48)$$

Inserting the constraint into the Lagrangian yields the constant *value* of L_1 ,

$$|L_1| = -mc^2.$$

The constraint (48) can equivalently be written as

$$(ds)^2 = (dt)^2 - c^{-2}(d\mathbf{q})^2,$$

which shows that in the case of the Lagrangian (47) the system evolution parameter s is physically nothing else than the particle's proper time. In contrast to the non-relativistic description, the constant rest energy term $-\frac{1}{2}mc^2$ in the extended Lagrangian (47) is essential. We may solve Eq. (48) for ds/dt

$$\frac{ds}{dt} = \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{c^2} \left(\frac{d\mathbf{q}}{dt} \right)^2} = \gamma^{-1}, \quad (49)$$

to encounter the reciprocal value of the relativistic scale factor, γ . As the extended Lagrangian (47) is no homogeneous form of first order in the velocities $dq^\mu/ds, \mu = 0, \dots, 3$, the condition (10) is not satisfied *identically*. Yet, in the derivation of (10), we have assumed that a corresponding conventional Lagrangian L exists, hence a Lagrangian that depends on dt/ds only indirectly via the reparameterization condition

$$\frac{d\mathbf{q}}{dt} = \frac{d\mathbf{q}/ds}{dt/ds}$$

from Eq. (5) applied to its velocities. We must, therefore, make sure that such a corresponding *conventional* Lagrangian L exists, hence a function $L = L_1 ds/dt$ that does not depend anymore on ds/dt in order for Eq. (48) to be valid. For the extended Lagrangian L_1 from Eq. (47), a corresponding conventional Lagrangian

20 *J. Struckmeier*

L indeed exists. Inserting Eq. (48) into Eq. (47), we find with Eq. (49)

$$\begin{aligned} L\left(\mathbf{q}, \frac{d\mathbf{q}}{dt}, t\right) &= L_1\left(\mathbf{q}, \frac{d\mathbf{q}}{ds}, t, \frac{dt}{ds}\right) \frac{ds}{dt} \\ &= -mc^2 \frac{ds}{dt} \\ &= -mc^2 \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{c^2} \left(\frac{d\mathbf{q}}{dt}\right)^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

We thus encounter the well-known conventional Lagrangian of a relativistic free particle. In contrast to the equivalent extended Lagrangian from Eq. (47), the Lagrangian (50) is *not* quadratic in the derivatives of the dependent variables, $\mathbf{q}(t)$. The loss of the quadratic form originates from the *projection* of the constrained description on the tangent bundle $T(\mathbb{M} \times \mathbb{R})$ to the unconstrained description on $(T\mathbb{M}) \times \mathbb{R}$. The quadratic form is recovered in the non-relativistic limit by expanding the square root, which yields the Lagrangian L^{nr} from Eq. (46).

Given the conventional Lagrangian (50), we may immediately set up the corresponding *trivial* extended Lagrangian according to Eq. (5) by multiplying L with dt/ds . With the ‘‘summation convention’’ meaning to sum over all quantities with pairs of identical covariant and contravariant indices, this reads

$$L_1^{\text{triv}}\left(\mathbf{q}, \frac{d\mathbf{q}}{ds}, t, \frac{dt}{ds}\right) = -mc \sqrt{\left(\frac{dct}{ds}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{d\mathbf{q}}{ds}\right)^2} = -mc \sqrt{\frac{dq^\alpha}{ds} \frac{dq_\alpha}{ds}}.$$

We easily convince ourselves that the trivial extended Lagrangian satisfies Eq. (10) *identically* and thus fulfills Eq. (11),

$$\frac{\partial^2 L_1^{\text{triv}}}{\partial \left(\frac{dq^\mu}{ds}\right) \partial \left(\frac{dq_\nu}{ds}\right)} = \frac{mc}{\sqrt{\frac{dq^\alpha}{ds} \frac{dq_\alpha}{ds}}} \left(\frac{\frac{dq^\nu}{ds} \frac{dq_\mu}{ds}}{\frac{dq^\beta}{ds} \frac{dq_\beta}{ds}} - \delta_\mu^\nu \right),$$

hence

$$\frac{\partial^2 L_1^{\text{triv}}}{\partial \left(\frac{dq^\mu}{ds}\right) \partial \left(\frac{dq_\nu}{ds}\right)} \frac{dq^\mu}{ds} = \frac{mc}{\sqrt{\frac{dq^\alpha}{ds} \frac{dq_\alpha}{ds}}} \left(\frac{\frac{dq^\nu}{ds} \frac{dq_\mu}{ds}}{\frac{dq^\beta}{ds} \frac{dq_\beta}{ds}} - \delta_\mu^\nu \right) \frac{dq^\mu}{ds} \equiv 0.$$

The subsequent equation of motion for $t(s)$ does *not* determine a parameterization of time t but rather allows for arbitrary parameterizations.

In contrast, with L_1 from Eq. (47), we have found a *non-trivial* extended Lagrangian L_1 , i.e. an extended Lagrangian that is *non-homogeneous* in its velocities and possesses a corresponding conventional Lagrangian $L = L_1 ds/dt$, with ds/dt determined by Eq. (10) that now embodies a secondary constraint function rather than an identity. In addition to the equations of motion for $\mathbf{q}(s)$, this L_1 determines uniquely the correlation $t(s)$ of the laboratory time t to the particle’s proper time, s .

3.2. Extended Lagrangian for a relativistic particle in an external electromagnetic field

The extended Lagrangian L_1 of a point particle of mass m and charge ζ in an external electromagnetic field that is described by the potentials (ϕ, \mathbf{A}) is given by

$$L_1\left(\mathbf{q}, \frac{d\mathbf{q}}{ds}, t, \frac{dt}{ds}\right) = \frac{1}{2}mc^2 \left[\frac{1}{c^2} \left(\frac{d\mathbf{q}}{ds} \right)^2 - \left(\frac{dt}{ds} \right)^2 - 1 \right] + \frac{\zeta}{c} \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{q}, t) \frac{d\mathbf{q}}{ds} - \zeta \phi(\mathbf{q}, t) \frac{dt}{ds}. \quad (51)$$

The associated constraint function coincides with that for the free-particle Lagrangian from Eq. (48) as all terms linear in the velocities drop out calculating the difference in Eq. (10). Similar to the free particle case from Eq. (50), the extended Lagrangian (51) may be projected into $(TM) \times \mathbb{R}$ to yield the well-known conventional relativistic Lagrangian L

$$L\left(\mathbf{q}, \frac{d\mathbf{q}}{dt}, t\right) = -mc^2 \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{c^2} \left(\frac{d\mathbf{q}}{dt} \right)^2} + \frac{\zeta}{c} \mathbf{A} \frac{d\mathbf{q}}{dt} - \zeta \phi. \quad (52)$$

Again, the quadratic form of the velocity terms is lost owing to the projection.

For small velocity $d\mathbf{q}/dt$, the quadratic form is regained as the square root in (52) may be expanded to yield the conventional non-relativistic Lagrangian for a point particle in an external electromagnetic field,

$$L^{\text{nr}}\left(\mathbf{q}, \frac{d\mathbf{q}}{dt}, t\right) = \frac{1}{2}m \left(\frac{d\mathbf{q}}{dt} \right)^2 + \frac{\zeta}{c} \mathbf{A} \frac{d\mathbf{q}}{dt} - \zeta \phi - mc^2. \quad (53)$$

Significantly, this Lagrangian can be derived *directly*, hence without the detour over the projected Lagrangian (52), from the extended Lagrangian (51) by letting $dt/ds \rightarrow 1$.

It is instructive to review the Lagrangian (51) and its non-relativistic limit (53) in covariant notation. Denoting by q^μ the components of the contravariant four-vector of space-time variables $(q^0, \dots, q^3) = (ct, x, y, z)$, the corresponding covariant vector is then $(q_0, \dots, q_3) = (-ct, x, y, z)$ for the metric $\eta_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(-1, 1, 1, 1)$ used here. With Einstein's summation convention and the notation $A_0(q^\mu) = -\phi(q^\mu)$, the extended Lagrangian (51) then writes

$$L_1\left(q^\mu, \frac{dq^\mu}{ds}\right) = \frac{1}{2}m \frac{dq^\alpha}{ds} \frac{dq_\alpha}{ds} + \frac{\zeta}{c} A_\alpha \frac{dq^\alpha}{ds} - \frac{1}{2}mc^2. \quad (54)$$

Correspondingly, the non-relativistic Lagrangian (53) has the equivalent representation

$$L^{\text{nr}}\left(q^\mu, \frac{dq^\mu}{dt}\right) = \frac{1}{2}m \frac{dq^\alpha}{dt} \frac{dq_\alpha}{dt} + \frac{\zeta}{c} A_\alpha \frac{dq^\alpha}{dt} - \frac{1}{2}mc^2. \quad (55)$$

Note that $(dq^0/dt)(dq_0/dt) = -c^2$, which yields the second half of the rest energy term, so that (55) indeed agrees with (53). Comparing the Lagrangian (55) with the extended Lagrangian from Eq. (54) — and correspondingly the Lagrangians

(51) and (53) — we notice that the transition to the non-relativistic description is made by identifying the proper time s with the laboratory time $t = q^0/c$. The remarkable formal similarity of the Lorentz-invariant extended Lagrangian (54) with the non-invariant conventional Lagrangian (55) suggests that approaches based on non-relativistic Lagrangians L^{nr} may be transposed to a relativistic description by (i) introducing the proper time s as the new system evolution parameter, (ii) treating the time $t(s)$ as an *additional dependent variable* on equal footing with the configuration space variables $\mathbf{q}(s)$ — commonly referred to as the “principle of homogeneity in space-time” — and (iii) by replacing the conventional non-relativistic Lagrangian L^{nr} with the corresponding Lorentz-invariant extended Lagrangian L_1 , similar to the transition from (55) to (54).

3.3. *Extended Hamiltonian for a relativistic particle in an external electromagnetic field*

The Hamiltonian counterpart H_1 of the extended Lagrangian (51) for a relativistic point particle in an external electromagnetic field is obtained via the Legendre transformation prescription from Eqs. (14) and (15). The transition to the extended Hamiltonian H_1 is easiest calculated by starting from the covariant form (54) of L_1 and afterwards converting the results to 3-vector notation. According to Eqs. (15) and (17), the canonical momenta p_μ are introduced by

$$p_\mu = \frac{\partial L_1}{\partial \left(\frac{dq^\mu}{ds} \right)} = m \frac{dq_\mu}{ds} + \frac{\zeta}{c} A_\mu = p_{\mu,k} + \frac{\zeta}{c} A_\mu. \quad (56)$$

We notice that the *kinetic* momentum $p_{\mu,k} = m dq_\mu/ds$ differs from the *canonical* momentum p_μ in the case of a non-vanishing external potential $A_\mu \neq 0$. The condition for the Legendre transform of L_1 to exist is that its Hessian matrix $\partial^2 L_1 / [\partial(dq^\mu/ds) \partial(dq_\nu/ds)]$ must be non-singular, hence that the determinant of this matrix does not vanish. For the Lagrangian L_1 from Eq. (54), this is actually the case as

$$\det \left(\frac{\partial^2 L_1}{\partial \left(\frac{dq^\mu}{ds} \right) \partial \left(\frac{dq_\nu}{ds} \right)} \right) = m^n \neq 0.$$

This falsifies claims made in literature²³ that the Hesse matrix associated with an extended Lagrangian L_1 be *generally singular*, and that for this reason an extended Hamiltonian H_1 *generally* could not be obtained by a Legendre transformation of an extended Lagrangian L_1 .

With the Hessian condition being actually satisfied, the extended Hamiltonian H_1 that follows as the Legendre transform (14) of L_1 reads

$$\begin{aligned} H_1(q^\mu, p_\mu) &= \frac{dq^\alpha}{ds} \left(m \frac{dq_\alpha}{ds} + \frac{\zeta}{c} A_\alpha \right) - \frac{1}{2} m \frac{dq^\alpha}{ds} \frac{dq_\alpha}{ds} - \frac{\zeta}{c} A_\alpha \frac{dq^\alpha}{ds} + \frac{1}{2} mc^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} m \frac{dq^\alpha}{ds} \frac{dq_\alpha}{ds} + \frac{1}{2} mc^2. \end{aligned}$$

As any Hamiltonian must be expressed in terms of the canonical momenta rather than through velocities, H_1 takes on the more elaborate final form according to Eq. (56)

$$H_1(q^\mu, p_\mu) = \frac{1}{2m} \left(p_\alpha - \frac{\zeta}{c} A_\alpha \right) \left(p^\alpha - \frac{\zeta}{c} A^\alpha \right) + \frac{1}{2} m c^2. \quad (57)$$

This extended Hamiltonian coincides with the ‘‘super-Hamiltonian’’ that was postulated by Misner, Thorne, and Wheeler²⁴.

In covariant notation, the constraint $H_1 = 0$ thus follows as

$$\left(p_\alpha - \frac{\zeta}{c} A_\alpha \right) \left(p^\alpha - \frac{\zeta}{c} A^\alpha \right) + m^2 c^2 = 0.$$

In terms of the conventional 3-vectors for the canonical momentum \mathbf{p} and vector potential \mathbf{A} , and the scalars, energy e and electric potential ϕ , the extended Hamiltonian H_1 is equivalently expressed as

$$H_1(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, t, e) = \frac{1}{2m} \left[\left(\mathbf{p} - \frac{\zeta}{c} \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{q}, t) \right)^2 - \left(\frac{e - \zeta \phi(\mathbf{q}, t)}{c} \right)^2 \right] + \frac{1}{2} m c^2, \quad (58)$$

and the constraint $H_1 = 0$ furnishes the usual relativistic energy relation

$$(e - \zeta \phi(\mathbf{q}, t))^2 = c^2 \left(\mathbf{p} - \frac{\zeta}{c} \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{q}, t) \right)^2 + m^2 c^4. \quad (59)$$

The *conventional* Hamiltonian H that describes the same dynamics is determined according to Eq. (16) as the particular *function*, whose *value* coincides with e . Solving $H_1 = 0$ from Eq. (58) for e , we directly find H as the left-hand side of the equation $H = e$,

$$H(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, t) = \sqrt{c^2 \left(\mathbf{p} - \frac{\zeta}{c} \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{q}, t) \right)^2 + m^2 c^4} + \zeta \phi(\mathbf{q}, t) = e. \quad (60)$$

The conventional Hamiltonian H^{nr} that describes the particle dynamics in the non-relativistic limit is obtained from the Lorentz-invariant Hamiltonian (60) by expanding the square root

$$H^{\text{nr}}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, t) = \frac{1}{2m} \left(\mathbf{p} - \frac{\zeta}{c} \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{q}, t) \right)^2 + \zeta \phi(\mathbf{q}, t) + m c^2.$$

In contrast to the extended Lagrangian description, a *direct* way to transpose the relativistic extended Hamiltonian from Eq. (58) into the non-relativistic Hamiltonian H^{nr} does not exist. We conclude that the Lagrangian approach is more appropriate if we want to ‘‘translate’’ a given non-relativistic Hamilton-Lagrange system into the corresponding Lorentz-invariant description.

In order to show that the extended Hamiltonian (58) and the well-known conventional Hamiltonian (60) indeed yield the same dynamics, we now set up the extended set of canonical equations (19) for the covariant extended Hamiltonian (57)

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{\partial H_1}{\partial q^\mu} &= \frac{dp_\mu}{ds} = \frac{\zeta}{mc} \left(p_\alpha - \frac{\zeta}{c} A_\alpha \right) \frac{\partial A^\alpha}{\partial q^\mu} \\ \frac{\partial H_1}{\partial p_\mu} &= \frac{dq^\mu}{ds} = \frac{1}{m} \left(p^\mu - \frac{\zeta}{c} A^\mu \right). \end{aligned} \quad (61)$$

In the notation of scalars and 3-vectors, the pair of equations (61) separates into the following equivalent set of four equations

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dp_i}{ds} &= \frac{\zeta}{mc} \left(\mathbf{p} - \frac{\zeta}{c} \mathbf{A} \right) \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial q^i} - \frac{\zeta}{mc^2} (e - \zeta\phi) \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial q^i} \\ \frac{de}{ds} &= -\frac{\zeta}{mc} \left(\mathbf{p} - \frac{\zeta}{c} \mathbf{A} \right) \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t} + \frac{\zeta}{mc^2} (e - \zeta\phi) \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} \\ \frac{dq^i}{ds} &= \frac{1}{m} \left(p^i - \frac{\zeta}{c} A^i \right) \\ \frac{dt}{ds} &= \frac{1}{mc^2} (e - \zeta\phi). \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

From the last equation, we deduce the derivative of the inverse function $s = s(t)$ and insert the constraint from Eq. (59)

$$\frac{ds}{dt} = \frac{mc^2}{e - \zeta\phi} = \frac{mc^2}{\sqrt{c^2 \left(\mathbf{p} - \frac{\zeta}{c} \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{q}, t) \right)^2 + m^2 c^4}}. \quad (63)$$

The canonical equations (62) can now be expressed equivalently with the time t as the independent variable

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{dp_i}{dt} &= -\frac{dp_i}{ds} \frac{ds}{dt} = -\frac{\zeta c}{\sqrt{c^2 \left(\mathbf{p} - \frac{\zeta}{c} \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{q}, t) \right)^2 + m^2 c^4}} \left(\mathbf{p} - \frac{\zeta}{c} \mathbf{A} \right) \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial q^i} + \zeta \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial q^i} \\ \frac{de}{dt} &= \frac{de}{ds} \frac{ds}{dt} = -\frac{\zeta c}{\sqrt{c^2 \left(\mathbf{p} - \frac{\zeta}{c} \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{q}, t) \right)^2 + m^2 c^4}} \left(\mathbf{p} - \frac{\zeta}{c} \mathbf{A} \right) \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t} + \zeta \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} \\ \frac{dq^i}{dt} &= \frac{dq^i}{ds} \frac{ds}{dt} = \frac{c^2}{\sqrt{c^2 \left(\mathbf{p} - \frac{\zeta}{c} \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{q}, t) \right)^2 + m^2 c^4}} \left(p^i - \frac{\zeta}{c} A^i \right). \end{aligned} \quad (64)$$

The right-hand sides of Eqs. (64) are exactly the partial derivatives $\partial H/\partial q^i$, $\partial H/\partial t$, and $\partial H/\partial p_i$ of the Hamiltonian (60) — and hence its canonical equations, which was to be shown.

The physical meaning of the dt/ds is worked out by casting it to the equivalent form

$$\frac{dt}{ds} = \sqrt{1 + \frac{\left(\mathbf{p} - \frac{\zeta}{c} \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{q}, t)\right)^2}{m^2 c^2}} = \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{\mathbf{p}_k(s)}{mc}\right)^2} = \gamma(s),$$

with $\mathbf{p}_k(s)$ the instantaneous *kinetic* momentum of the particle. The dimensionless quantity dt/ds thus represents the instantaneous value of the relativistic scale factor γ .

3.4. Lorentz transformation as an extended canonical transformation

We know that the Lorentz transformation provides the rules according to which a physical system is transformed from one inertial reference system into another. On the other hand, a mapping of one Hamiltonian into another is constituted by a canonical transformation. Consequently, the Lorentz transformation must be a particular canonical transformation. As the Lorentz transformation *always* involves a transformation of the time scales $t \mapsto T$, this transformation can only be represented by an *extended* canonical transformation. Its generating function F_2 is given by

$$F_2(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{P}_k, t, E_k) = \mathbf{P}_k \mathbf{q} - \gamma \left[E_k t + \boldsymbol{\beta} \left(\mathbf{P}_k c t - \frac{E_k}{c} \mathbf{q} \right) \right] + \frac{\gamma - 1}{\beta^2} (\boldsymbol{\beta} \mathbf{P}_k) (\boldsymbol{\beta} \mathbf{q}), \quad (65)$$

with $\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{v}/c$ the constant vector that delineates the scaled relative velocity \mathbf{v} of both reference systems, and γ the dimensionless relativistic scale factor $\gamma = 1/\sqrt{1 - \beta^2}$. In order to also cover cases where the particle moves within an external potential, the index “k” indicates that the momenta and the energy are to be understood as the “kinetic” quantities, as defined in Eq. (56). The generating function (65) generalizes the free-particle generator presented earlier in Ref. ¹⁹. The general transformation rules (37) for extended generating functions of type F_2 yield for the particular generator from Eq. (65)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{p}_k &= \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial \mathbf{q}} = \mathbf{P}_k + \frac{\gamma \boldsymbol{\beta}}{c} E_k + \frac{\gamma - 1}{\beta^2} \boldsymbol{\beta} (\boldsymbol{\beta} \mathbf{P}_k), & e_k &= -\frac{\partial F_2}{\partial t} = \gamma E_k + c \gamma \boldsymbol{\beta} \mathbf{P}_k, \\ \mathbf{Q} &= \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial \mathbf{P}_k} = \mathbf{q} - \gamma \boldsymbol{\beta} c t + \frac{\gamma - 1}{\beta^2} \boldsymbol{\beta} (\boldsymbol{\beta} \mathbf{q}), & T &= -\frac{\partial F_2}{\partial E_k} = \gamma t - \frac{\gamma}{c} \boldsymbol{\beta} \mathbf{q}. \end{aligned}$$

In matrix form, the transformation rules for the space-time coordinates, \mathbf{Q} and T , are

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Q} \\ cT \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \left(\frac{\gamma-1}{\beta^2}\right) \boldsymbol{\beta} & -\gamma \boldsymbol{\beta} \\ -\gamma \boldsymbol{\beta} & \gamma \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{q} \\ ct \end{pmatrix}. \quad (66)$$

26 *J. Struckmeier*

The corresponding linear relation for the kinetic momentum vector \mathbf{p}_k and the kinetic energy e_k is

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{p}_k \\ e_k/c \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \left(\frac{\gamma-1}{\beta^2}\right)\boldsymbol{\beta} & \gamma\boldsymbol{\beta} \\ \gamma\boldsymbol{\beta} & \gamma \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{P}_k \\ E_k/c \end{pmatrix}. \quad (67)$$

If we replace the kinetic momenta with the canonical momenta according to Eq. (56), it is not astonishing to find that the external potentials obey the same transformation rule as the momenta,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} \\ \phi \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \left(\frac{\gamma-1}{\beta^2}\right)\boldsymbol{\beta} & \gamma\boldsymbol{\beta} \\ \gamma\boldsymbol{\beta} & \gamma \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A}' \\ \phi' \end{pmatrix}.$$

We easily convince ourselves that the transformation (66) preserves the constraint (48) that equally applies for a particle in an external potential. Correspondingly, the transformation (67) preserves the constraints (59). As a consequence, we have established the important result that the extended Hamiltonian H_1 from Eq. (58) is also preserved under Lorentz transformations

$$H'_1(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q}, T, E) = H_1(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}, t, e).$$

This is in agreement with the general canonical transformation rule for extended Hamiltonians from Eq. (31)

According to the subsequent rule for the conventional Hamiltonians, H and H' , from Eq. (34), and $\partial T/\partial t = \gamma$, we find

$$(H' - E_k)\gamma = H - e_k. \quad (68)$$

In conjunction with the energy transformation rule from Eq. (67), $e_k = \gamma E_k + \boldsymbol{\beta}\gamma\mathbf{P}_k c$, we get from Eq. (68) the transformation rule for a Hamiltonian H under Lorentz transformations

$$H = \gamma(H' + \boldsymbol{\beta}c\mathbf{P}_k).$$

As expected, the Hamiltonians, H and H' , transform equally as their respective values, e_k and E_k .

3.5. *Infinitesimal canonical transformations, generalized Noether theorem*

A general infinitesimal extended transformation is generated by

$$F_2(q^\nu, P_\nu) = \sum_{\alpha=0}^n q^\alpha P_\alpha + \delta\epsilon I(q^\nu, p_\nu). \quad (69)$$

In this generating function, $\delta\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ denotes an infinitesimal parameter, whereas the differentiable function $I(q^\nu, p_\nu)$ quantifies the deviation of the actual infinitesimal

transformation from the *identity*. We first derive the coordinate transformation rules for the particular generating function (69) according to the general rules (36),

$$\begin{aligned} p_\mu &= \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial q^\mu} = P_\mu + \delta\epsilon \frac{\partial I}{\partial q^\mu}, \\ Q^\mu &= \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial P_\mu} = q^\mu + \delta\epsilon \frac{\partial I}{\partial P_\mu}, \\ H'_1 &= H_1. \end{aligned} \quad (70)$$

To first order in $\delta\epsilon$, the variations δp_μ , δq^μ , and δH_1 are obtained from the transformation rules (70) as

$$\begin{aligned} \delta p_\mu &\equiv P_\mu - p_\mu = -\delta\epsilon \frac{\partial I}{\partial q^\mu}, \\ \delta q^\mu &\equiv Q^\mu - q^\mu = \delta\epsilon \frac{\partial I}{\partial p_\mu}, \\ \delta H_1 &\equiv H'_1 - H_1 = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (71)$$

Obviously, any function $I(q^\nu, p_\nu)$ is *invariant* under the infinitesimal transformation it defines,

$$\delta I = \sum_{\alpha=0}^n \left(\frac{\partial I}{\partial q^\alpha} \delta q^\alpha + \frac{\partial I}{\partial p_\alpha} \delta p_\alpha \right) = \delta\epsilon \sum_{\alpha=0}^n \left(\frac{\partial I}{\partial q^\alpha} \frac{\partial I}{\partial p_\alpha} - \frac{\partial I}{\partial p_\alpha} \frac{\partial I}{\partial q^\alpha} \right) \equiv 0.$$

This is *not* necessarily true for the extended Hamiltonian H_1 . The constraint $H_1 = 0$ from Eq. (18) enters into the extended canonical transformation theory in the way that we must *explicitly verify* that $H'_1 = H_1$ actually holds under the transformation rules of the canonical variables that are defined by the generating function. Only then the physical motion of the transformed system keeps being confined to the phase-space surface $H'_1 = 0$, as required for the system to be *physical*. In the case of the *infinitesimal* transformation (71), the transformation rule for the extended Hamiltonian H_1 is satisfied exactly if $\delta H_1 = 0$ under the infinitesimal variations of the canonical variables. For the transformation rules (71), the variation of H_1 due to the variations δq^ν and δp_ν of the canonical variables is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \delta H_1 &= \sum_{\alpha=0}^n \left(\frac{\partial H_1}{\partial q^\alpha} \delta q^\alpha + \frac{\partial H_1}{\partial p_\alpha} \delta p_\alpha \right) \\ &= \delta\epsilon \sum_{\alpha=0}^n \left(\frac{\partial H_1}{\partial q^\alpha} \frac{\partial I}{\partial p_\alpha} - \frac{\partial H_1}{\partial p_\alpha} \frac{\partial I}{\partial q^\alpha} \right) \\ &= \delta\epsilon [H_1, I]_{\text{ext}}, \end{aligned}$$

with the last expression defining the extended Poisson bracket. Thus, the canonical transformation rule $\delta H_1 = 0$ from Eqs. (71) is actually fulfilled if and only if the characteristic function $I(q^\nu, p_\nu)$ in (69) satisfies

$$\sum_{\alpha=0}^n \left(\frac{\partial I}{\partial q^\alpha} \frac{\partial H_1}{\partial p_\alpha} - \frac{\partial I}{\partial p_\alpha} \frac{\partial H_1}{\partial q^\alpha} \right) = [I, H_1]_{\text{ext}} = 0. \quad (72)$$

Along the system trajectory, the canonical equations (19) apply. As a consequence, the partial derivatives of H_1 in (72) may be replaced accordingly to yield

$$\sum_{\alpha=0}^n \left(\frac{\partial I}{\partial q^\alpha} \frac{dq^\alpha}{ds} + \frac{\partial I}{\partial p_\alpha} \frac{dp_\alpha}{ds} \right) = \frac{dI}{ds} = 0. \quad (73)$$

Thus, $I(q^\nu, p_\nu)$ must “commute” with the extended Hamiltonian H_1 , hence must be *invariant* along the system’s phase-space trajectory in order for the transformation (69) to comply with the requirement $\delta H_1 = 0$ for an extended canonical transformation. Then and only then the generating function (69) defines an extended *canonical* transformation and thus ensures the action functional (26) to be preserved. The correlation (73) of a system invariant I to a transformation that preserves the action functional — hence to a *canonical* transformation — establishes the most general form of Noether’s theorem in the realm of the extended Hamilton-Lagrange formulation of point mechanics,

$$[I, H_1]_{\text{ext}} = 0 \iff \frac{dI}{ds} = 0 \iff \delta H_1 = 0. \quad (74)$$

We may rewrite the condition (72) in terms of a conventional Hamiltonian H if we distinguish the space coordinates q^i , $i = 1, \dots, n$ from the time coordinate t . With the replacements $q^0 = ct$, $p_0 = -e/c$, e denoting the instantaneous *value* of the conventional Hamiltonian H , and

$$\frac{\partial H_1}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} \frac{dt}{ds}, \quad \frac{\partial H_1}{\partial e} = -\frac{dt}{ds}, \quad \frac{\partial H_1}{\partial q^i} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial q^i} \frac{dt}{ds}, \quad \frac{\partial H_1}{\partial p_i} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i} \frac{dt}{ds},$$

according to the correlation (21) of extended and conventional Hamiltonians, we find for $I = I(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}, t, e)$

$$\frac{\partial I}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial I}{\partial e} \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} + \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial I}{\partial q^i} \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i} - \frac{\partial I}{\partial p_i} \frac{\partial H}{\partial q^i} \right) = 0. \quad (75)$$

Due to the conventional canonical equations

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial t} = \frac{de}{dt}, \quad \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i} = \frac{dq^i}{dt}, \quad \frac{\partial H}{\partial q^i} = -\frac{dp_i}{dt},$$

Eq. (75) is thus equivalent to

$$\frac{dI}{dt} = 0. \quad (76)$$

In this notation, the symmetry transformation rules (71) pertaining to the invariant (76) assume the equivalent form

$$\delta p_i = -\delta\epsilon \frac{\partial I}{\partial q^i}, \quad \delta q^i = \delta\epsilon \frac{\partial I}{\partial p_i}, \quad \delta e = \delta\epsilon \frac{\partial I}{\partial t}, \quad \delta t = -\delta\epsilon \frac{\partial I}{\partial e}. \quad (77)$$

We can always eliminate or induce an e -dependence of I by inserting the conventional Hamiltonian according to $e = H$. A representation $I = I(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}, t)$ of the invariant I does *not* depend on e , which means that $\delta t = 0$. Then, the resulting

symmetry transformation does not involve a transformation of time. In contrast, if $I = I(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}, t, e)$, then the invariant defines a symmetry transformation that includes a transformation of time, $\delta t \neq 0$. Equivalent representations $I = I(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}, t, e)$ and $I = I(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}, t)$ of the invariant I reflect the same underlying system symmetry, yet depicted at different instants of time t .

A trivial example of an invariant I is furnished by the extended Hamiltonian H_1 itself

$$\delta H_1 = \delta \epsilon [H_1, H_1]_{\text{ext}} = 0, \quad \frac{dH_1}{ds} = 0.$$

The infinitesimal transformation rules (71) thus define a *canonical* transformation. With $\delta \epsilon = \delta s$, their explicit form is

$$\delta p_\mu = -\delta \epsilon \frac{\partial H_1}{\partial q^\mu} = \frac{dp_\mu}{ds} \delta s, \quad \delta q^\mu = \delta \epsilon \frac{\partial H_1}{\partial p_\mu} = \frac{dq^\mu}{ds} \delta s.$$

This is obviously the infinitesimal transformation that shifts the extended set of canonical coordinates one step δs along the system's extended phase-space trajectory, which always resides on the surface $H_1(q^\nu, p_\nu) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 0$.

Summarizing, the set of extended canonical transformations covers *all* transformations that leave the action functional in the generalized form of Eq. (27) invariant. As each canonical transformation can be defined in terms of an infinitesimal generating function F_2 from Eq. (69), the characteristic function $I(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}, t, e)$ that is contained in F_2 then constitutes the corresponding constant of motion. Conversely, *each* invariant I of a dynamical system can be inserted into the generating function F_2 of the infinitesimal canonical transformation. The subsequent canonical transformation rules then define the corresponding infinitesimal symmetry transformation of the respective dynamical system. With the extended canonical transformation approach, we thus encounter a *generalization* of Noether's theorem in the realm of Hamiltonian point dynamics.

3.5.1. Example: Symmetry of the time-dependent harmonic oscillator at $\delta t = 0$

The time-dependent harmonic oscillator is a simple one-degree-of-freedom example of a non-autonomous dynamical system, i.e., a system whose Hamiltonian depends explicitly on the independent variable, t ,

$$H(q, p, t) = \frac{1}{2}p^2 + \frac{1}{2}\omega^2(t)q^2. \quad (78)$$

Herein, $\omega(t)$ denotes the system's time-dependent circular frequency. The value e of the Hamiltonian H is thus not a conserved quantity. The canonical equations and the equation of motion immediately follow as

$$\dot{q} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p} = p, \quad \dot{p} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q} = -\omega^2(t)q, \quad \ddot{q} + \omega^2(t)q = 0.$$

30 *J. Struckmeier*

A conserved quantity I for this system is constituted by the quadratic form

$$I = \beta_e(t) p^2 + 2\alpha_e(t) pq + \gamma_e(t) q^2, \quad (79)$$

provided that the time functions $\beta_e(t)$, $\alpha_e(t)$, and $\gamma_e(t)$ satisfy the equations

$$\frac{1}{2}\beta_e\ddot{\beta}_e - \frac{1}{4}\dot{\beta}_e^2 + \omega^2(t)\beta_e^2 = 1, \quad \dot{\beta}_e = -2\alpha_e, \quad \beta_e\gamma_e - \alpha_e^2 = 1. \quad (80)$$

We easily prove the invariance of I directly by calculating its total time derivative and inserting the canonical equations and the conditions (80).

Geometrically, the quadratic form (79) represents an ellipse centered at the origin of the (q, p) -phase space with the actual coordinates q, p defining its boundary, which varies its shape but retains its area πI . Thus, the invariant I represents the conserved area of an ellipse with time-dependent parameters $\beta_e(t)$, $\alpha_e(t)$, and $\gamma_e(t)$ that passes through $(q(t), p(t))$.

The symmetry transformation corresponding to the invariant (79) follows from Eqs. (77)

$$\delta p = -\delta\epsilon \frac{\partial I}{\partial q} = \delta\sigma (\gamma_e q + \alpha_e p), \quad \delta q = \delta\epsilon \frac{\partial I}{\partial p} = -\delta\sigma (\alpha_e q + \beta_e p), \quad \delta t = -\delta\epsilon \frac{\partial I}{\partial e} = 0,$$

introducing the abbreviation $-2\delta\epsilon \equiv \delta\sigma$. In matrix notation, this infinitesimal canonical transformation of coordinate q and momentum p reads

$$\begin{pmatrix} Q \\ P \end{pmatrix} = [\mathbb{1} + \mathbb{A}_{\delta\sigma}] \begin{pmatrix} q \\ p \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbb{A}_{\delta\sigma} = \delta\sigma \begin{pmatrix} -\alpha_e & -\beta_e \\ \gamma_e & \alpha_e \end{pmatrix}, \quad (81)$$

with $\mathbb{1}$ denoting the 2×2 unit matrix. As the coefficients of $\mathbb{A}_{\delta\sigma}$ do not depend on the canonical variables q, p , we may directly set up the pertaining *finite* transformation. Equation (81) may be regarded as a Taylor expansion that could be truncated after the linear term because of very small $\delta\sigma$. The finite transformation for arbitrary $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$ is then given by the exponential of \mathbb{A}_σ , hence

$$\begin{pmatrix} Q \\ P \end{pmatrix} = \mathbb{M} \begin{pmatrix} q \\ p \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbb{M} = \exp(\mathbb{A}_\sigma).$$

The general scheme for deriving the matrix exponential $\exp(\mathbb{A})$ for a 2×2 matrix $\mathbb{A} = (a_{ij})$, $i, j = 1, 2$ is expressed in terms of the expression D ,

$$D = \sqrt{\frac{1}{4}(a_{11} - a_{22})^2 + a_{12} a_{21}}$$

as

$$\mathbb{M} = \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}(a_{11} + a_{22})\right) \begin{pmatrix} \cosh D + \frac{1}{2}(a_{11} - a_{22})D^{-1} \sinh D & a_{12}D^{-1} \sinh D \\ a_{21}D^{-1} \sinh D & \cosh D - \frac{1}{2}(a_{11} - a_{22})D^{-1} \sinh D \end{pmatrix}. \quad (82)$$

For the particular matrix \mathbb{A}_σ from Eq. (81), we find $a_{11} + a_{22} = 0$ and $D = i\sigma$. Due to the purely imaginary D , the hyperbolic sine and cosine functions in matrix

exponential are thus converted into trigonometric sines and cosines, which finally yields

$$\begin{pmatrix} Q \\ P \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \sigma - \alpha_e \sin \sigma & -\beta_e \sin \sigma \\ \gamma_e \sin \sigma & \cos \sigma + \alpha_e \sin \sigma \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} q \\ p \end{pmatrix}. \quad (83)$$

Note that (Q, P) and (q, p) as well as the ellipse parameters α_e , β_e , and γ_e refer to the same instant of time since the actual symmetry transformation is associated with $\delta t = 0$. The inverse transformation is then obtained as

$$\begin{pmatrix} q \\ p \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \sigma + \alpha_e \sin \sigma & \beta_e \sin \sigma \\ -\gamma_e \sin \sigma & \cos \sigma - \alpha_e \sin \sigma \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Q \\ P \end{pmatrix}.$$

Inserting q and p as functions of Q and P into the invariant (79), we find that the representation of I retains its form in the transformed variables

$$I = \beta_e(t) P^2 + 2\alpha_e(t) PQ + \gamma_e(t) Q^2.$$

Thus, (Q, P) and (q, p) both lie on the same ellipse, but shifted with respect to each other on the ellipse's perimeter. The geometric meaning of the one-parameter symmetry transformation \mathbb{M} from Eq. (83) that is associated with the invariant I from Eq. (79) is thus to map any point on this ellipse into another point on the *same* ellipse. The free parameter σ of the transformation group then specifies the particular destination point (Q, P) with respect to the source point, (q, p) . This can be seen from the parametric representation of the ellipse (79)

$$q = \sqrt{\frac{I}{\gamma_e}} (\cos \phi - \alpha_e \sin \phi), \quad p = \sqrt{I\gamma_e} \sin \phi. \quad (84)$$

Letting ϕ run along the interval $0 \leq \phi \leq 2\pi$, we perform one turn on the ellipse's perimeter. The symmetry transformation (83) then acts on (q, p) according to

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} Q \\ P \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} \cos \sigma - \alpha_e \sin \sigma & -\beta_e \sin \sigma \\ \gamma_e \sin \sigma & \cos \sigma + \alpha_e \sin \sigma \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{I/\gamma_e} (\cos \phi - \alpha_e \sin \phi) \\ \sqrt{I\gamma_e} \sin \phi \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{I/\gamma_e} (\cos(\phi + \sigma) - \alpha_e \sin(\phi + \sigma)) \\ \sqrt{I\gamma_e} \sin(\phi + \sigma) \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, (Q, P) is shifted counterclockwise with respect to (q, p) on the ellipse's perimeter exactly by the phase angle σ in the parameter representation (84). This accounts for σ being referred to as a "phase advance". The integral over the closed curve C comprising the shaded region A_σ of Fig. 1 measures the enclosed area

$$\begin{aligned} A_\sigma &= \frac{1}{2} \oint_C q dp - p dq = \frac{1}{2} \int_\phi^{\phi+\sigma} \left(q \frac{dp}{d\phi} - p \frac{dq}{d\phi} \right) d\phi \\ &= \frac{1}{2} I \int_\phi^{\phi+\sigma} (\cos^2 \phi - \alpha_e \sin \phi \cos \phi + \sin^2 \phi + \alpha_e \sin \phi \cos \phi) d\phi \\ &= \frac{1}{2} I \sigma. \end{aligned}$$




Fig. 1. Visualization of the finite symmetry transformation (83) pertaining to the invariant I from Eq. (79) of the time-dependent harmonic oscillator.

Note that the phase advance σ does *not* depict the polar angle from vectors (q, p) to (Q, P) . Instead, σ is proportional to the shaded area A_σ .

3.5.2. Example: Symmetry of the time-dependent harmonic oscillator at $\delta t \neq 0$

Replacing the quadratic p -dependence in the invariant (79) of the time-dependent harmonic oscillator (78) according to

$$e = \frac{1}{2}p^2 + \frac{1}{2}\omega^2(t)q^2,$$

we arrive at an *equivalent* representation of the invariant that now depends on the energy variable, e

$$I = 2\beta_e(t)e - \dot{\beta}_e(t)pq + \frac{1}{2}\ddot{\beta}_e(t)q^2. \quad (85)$$

Of course, the function $\beta_e(t)$ must again satisfy the second-order equation from Eq. (80) in order for I to actually establish an invariant. The particular infinitesimal rules for the corresponding symmetry transformation from Eq. (77) are

$$\begin{pmatrix} Q \\ P \end{pmatrix} \Big|_T = [\mathbb{1} + \mathbb{A}_{\delta\epsilon}] \begin{pmatrix} q \\ p \end{pmatrix} \Big|_t, \quad \mathbb{A}_{\delta\epsilon} = \delta\epsilon \begin{pmatrix} -\dot{\beta}_e & 0 \\ -\ddot{\beta}_e & \dot{\beta}_e \end{pmatrix}, \quad T = t - 2\delta\epsilon\beta_e(t). \quad (86)$$

As the coefficients of $\mathbb{A}_{\delta\epsilon}$ do not explicitly depend on ϵ , we can set up the matrix exponential $\mathbb{M} = \exp(\mathbb{A}_{\delta\epsilon})$ according to the general scheme (82) in order to finally derive the *finite* transformation matrix that corresponds to the infinitesimal mapping (86),

$$\mathbb{M} = \begin{pmatrix} \exp(-\delta\epsilon\dot{\beta}_e) & 0 \\ -(\delta\epsilon\ddot{\beta}_e/\delta\epsilon\dot{\beta}_e)\sinh(\delta\epsilon\dot{\beta}_e) & \exp(\delta\epsilon\dot{\beta}_e) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \delta\epsilon = -\frac{\delta t}{2\beta_e}.$$

Here, $\delta\epsilon$ still denotes an infinitesimal ϵ interval. The actual one-parameter symmetry transformation (86) is associated with a transformation of time $t \mapsto T$. As the coefficients of $\mathbb{A}_{\delta\epsilon}$ are time-derivatives of the ellipse function $\beta_e(t)$ and thus generally depend on time t , we must substitute $\delta\epsilon = -\delta t/2\beta_e(t)$ and *integrate* all terms in \mathbb{M} that are proportional to δt over the finite interval $T-t$ that corresponds to a *finite* interval $\Delta\epsilon = \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} m_{11} = \exp(-\delta\epsilon \dot{\beta}_e) &\rightarrow m_{11} = \exp\left(\int_t^T \frac{\dot{\beta}_e(\tau)}{2\beta_e(\tau)} d\tau\right) \\ &= \exp\left(\int_t^T \frac{d}{d\tau} \ln \sqrt{\beta_e} d\tau\right) = \sqrt{\frac{\beta_e(T)}{\beta_e(t)}} = \frac{1}{m_{22}}. \end{aligned}$$

With the identity $\sinh \ln x = (x - x^{-1})/2$, the matrix element m_{21} follows as

$$\begin{aligned} m_{21} = -\frac{\delta t \ddot{\beta}_e}{\delta t \dot{\beta}_e} \sinh(\delta\epsilon \dot{\beta}_e) &\rightarrow m_{21} = -\frac{\dot{\beta}_e(T) - \dot{\beta}_e(t)}{\beta_e(T) - \beta_e(t)} \sinh \ln \sqrt{\frac{\beta_e(t)}{\beta_e(T)}} \\ &= \frac{\dot{\beta}_e(T) - \dot{\beta}_e(t)}{2\sqrt{\beta_e(T)\beta_e(t)}}. \end{aligned}$$

The *finite* symmetry mapping $(q, p)_t \mapsto (Q, P)_T$ is thus finally obtained as

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} Q \\ P \end{pmatrix} \Big|_T &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta_e(T)\beta_e(t)}} \begin{pmatrix} \beta_e(T) & 0 \\ \alpha_e(t) - \alpha_e(T) & \beta_e(t) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} q \\ p \end{pmatrix} \Big|_t \quad (87) \\ \Delta\sigma = -2\Delta\epsilon &= \int_t^T \frac{d\tau}{\beta_e(\tau)}. \end{aligned}$$

The symmetry mapping (87) is referred to as the *Floquet transformation*.

3.5.3. Example: Rotational symmetry of the Kepler system

The classical Kepler system is a two-body problem with the mutual interaction following an inverse square force law. In Cartesian coordinates, where no distinction between covariant and contravariant coordinates is needed (all indexes lowered), this system is described by a Hamiltonian

$$H(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, t) = \frac{1}{2}p_1^2 + \frac{1}{2}p_2^2 + V(\mathbf{q}, t) \quad (88)$$

containing the interaction potential

$$V(\mathbf{q}, t) = -\frac{\mu(t)}{\sqrt{q_1^2 + q_2^2}} = -\frac{\mu(t)}{r}, \quad (89)$$

with $\mu(t) = G[m_1(t) + m_2(t)]$ the possibly time-dependent gravitational coupling strength that is induced by possibly time-dependent masses m_1 and m_2 of the

interacting bodies. As the potential (89) spatially depends on r only, it is obviously invariant with respect to rotations in configuration space (q_1, q_2) ,

$$\begin{pmatrix} Q_1 \\ Q_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \epsilon & \sin \epsilon \\ -\sin \epsilon & \cos \epsilon \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} q_1 \\ q_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (90)$$

where ϵ denotes the counterclockwise rotation angle. This symmetry is not affected if we choose $\epsilon \equiv \delta\epsilon$ to be very small. We may then restrict ourselves in Eq. (90) to first-order terms in $\delta\epsilon$ and insert the replacements $\cos \delta\epsilon \approx 1$, $\sin \delta\epsilon \approx \delta\epsilon$. This yields the infinitesimal transformation rules

$$Q_1 = q_1 + \delta\epsilon q_2, \quad Q_2 = q_2 - \delta\epsilon q_1. \quad (91)$$

This transformation can be regarded as being defined by a generating function of the form of Eq. (69), namely

$$F_2(q_1, q_2, P_1, P_2, t, E) = -tE + q_1 P_1 + q_2 P_2 + \delta\epsilon (p_1 q_2 - p_2 q_1). \quad (92)$$

The transformation rules for the canonical momenta, energy, and time emerge from the generating function (92) by applying the general canonical rules from Eqs. (37),

$$p_1 = \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial q_1} = P_1 - \delta\epsilon p_2, \quad p_2 = \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial q_2} = P_2 + \delta\epsilon p_1, \quad T = -\frac{\partial F_2}{\partial E} = t, \quad e = -\frac{\partial F_2}{\partial t} = E.$$

The rules from Eqs. (91) are indeed reproduced since to first order in $\delta\epsilon$, we find the configuration space transformation rules

$$Q_1 = \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial P_1} = q_1 + \delta\epsilon q_2, \quad Q_2 = \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial P_2} = q_2 - \delta\epsilon q_1.$$

According to Eq. (76), the expression proportional to $\delta\epsilon$ in Eq. (92) must be a constant of motion in order for the infinitesimal generating function F_2 to define a *canonical* transformation, hence to comply with the finite symmetry transformation (90) that preserves the physical system. Thus

$$I = p_1 q_2 - p_2 q_1, \quad \frac{dI}{dt} = 0,$$

which establishes the well-known conservation law of angular momentum in — possibly time-dependent — central-force fields. As the transformation rules (90) only depend on the parameter ϵ and *not* on the canonical variables, the transformation is referred to as a *global* symmetry transformation.

As with any generating function of a canonical transformation, we can derive from Eq. (92) the rules of both the configuration space coordinates and the respective canonical momenta. In matrix form, the infinitesimal rules for the momenta can be rewritten as

$$\begin{pmatrix} P_1 \\ P_2 \end{pmatrix} = [\mathbb{1} + \mathbb{A}_{\delta\epsilon}] \begin{pmatrix} p_1 \\ p_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbb{A}_{\delta\epsilon} = \delta\epsilon \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

with $\mathbb{1}$ denoting the 2×2 unit matrix. The corresponding *finite* transformation is then

$$\begin{pmatrix} P_1 \\ P_2 \end{pmatrix} = \exp(\mathbb{A}_\epsilon) \begin{pmatrix} p_1 \\ p_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \exp(\mathbb{A}_\epsilon) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \epsilon & \sin \epsilon \\ -\sin \epsilon & \cos \epsilon \end{pmatrix},$$

which coincides with the rules of the configuration space variables from Eq. (90). This reflects the fact that the Hamiltonian (88) is equally invariant under rotations in momentum space.

3.5.4. Example: Symmetry associated with the Runge-Lenz invariant of the time-independent Kepler system

As Noether's theorem associates the constants of motion of a dynamical system with system symmetries, it can be applied in both directions. In Sect. 3.5.3, the constant of motion was determined for a system symmetry that could be deduced directly from the form of the Hamiltonian. Conversely, if a constant of motion is known to exist, then we can then derive the related system symmetry. For the time-independent Kepler system (88), (89) with $\mu = \text{const.}$, one component of the Runge-Lenz vector is given by

$$I_1 = -q_1 p_2^2 + q_2 p_1 p_2 + \mu \frac{q_1}{\sqrt{q_1^2 + q_2^2}}. \quad (93)$$

We easily convince ourselves that I_1 commutes with the Hamiltonian H from (88) with (89). Along the system's phase-space trajectory, we then have

$$[I_1, H] = 0 \quad \iff \quad \frac{dI_1}{dt} = 0.$$

Using the invariant I_1 as the characteristic function I in the generating function (69), the subsequent transformation rules (71) then define the corresponding infinitesimal symmetry transformation that preserves the action functional (26). The so obtained transformation is not particularly enlightening. Yet, a better representation of the symmetry that is associated with the Runge-Lenz invariant can be derived in the extended Hamiltonian formalism. In this context, we may express the invariant I_1 equivalently as a function of \mathbf{q} , \mathbf{p} , and e , with e being defined as the *value* of the Hamiltonian H from Eq. (88),

$$e = \frac{1}{2}p_1^2 + \frac{1}{2}p_2^2 - \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{q_1^2 + q_2^2}}.$$

The μ -dependent term of the invariant I_1 can thus be replaced by an e -term according to

$$\mu \frac{q_1}{\sqrt{q_1^2 + q_2^2}} = \frac{1}{2}q_1 p_1^2 + \frac{1}{2}q_1 p_2^2 - q_1 e,$$

which yields an equivalent extended phase-space representation of the Runge-Lenz invariant $I_1 = I_1(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, e)$ as a *symmetric* quadratic form in the canonical momenta,

$$I_1 = \frac{1}{2}q_1 p_1^2 + q_2 p_1 p_2 - \frac{1}{2}q_1 p_2^2 - q_1 e. \quad (94)$$

36 *J. Struckmeier*

As expected, the invariant I_1 commutes with the Hamiltonian of the time-independent Kepler system ($\mu = \text{const.}$)

$$[I_1, H]_{\text{ext}} = p_1(H - e) = 0,$$

hence establishes an invariant along the system's phase-space trajectory since $H = e$ by definition. Due to the e -dependence of the invariant I_1 , the corresponding symmetry transformation now includes a transformation of time according to rules (77). Explicitly, the infinitesimal transformation rules are obtained as

$$\begin{aligned} \delta p_1 &= -\delta\epsilon \frac{\partial I_1}{\partial q_1} = \delta\epsilon \left(\frac{1}{2}p_2^2 - \frac{1}{2}p_1^2 + e \right) & \delta p_2 &= -\delta\epsilon \frac{\partial I_1}{\partial q_2} = \delta\epsilon p_1 p_2 \\ \delta q_1 &= \delta\epsilon \frac{\partial I_1}{\partial p_1} = \delta\epsilon (q_1 p_1 + q_2 p_2) & \delta q_2 &= \delta\epsilon \frac{\partial I_1}{\partial p_2} = \delta\epsilon (p_1 q_2 - p_2 q_1) \\ \delta e &= \delta\epsilon \frac{\partial I_1}{\partial t} = 0 & \delta t &= -\delta\epsilon \frac{\partial I_1}{\partial e} = \delta\epsilon q_1. \end{aligned} \quad (95)$$

The transformation rules for the new configuration space Q_1, Q_2 variables depend *linearly* on the original ones, q_1, q_2 . We may thus rewrite the infinitesimal configuration space transformation $Q_i = q_i + \delta q_i$, $i = 1, 2$ in matrix form as

$$\begin{pmatrix} Q_1 \\ Q_2 \end{pmatrix} \Big|_{t+q_1\delta\epsilon} = [\mathbb{1} + \mathbb{A}_{\delta\epsilon}] \begin{pmatrix} q_1 \\ q_2 \end{pmatrix} \Big|_t, \quad \mathbb{A}_{\delta\epsilon}(p_1, p_2) = \delta\epsilon \begin{pmatrix} p_1 & p_2 \\ -p_2 & p_1 \end{pmatrix} \Big|_t, \quad (96)$$

with $\mathbb{1}$ denoting the 2×2 unit matrix. The form of the 2×2 matrix $\mathbb{A}_{\delta\epsilon} = (a_{ij})$ from Eq. (96) with $a_{11} = a_{22}$ and $a_{12} = -a_{21}$ results from the particular representation (94) of the Runge-Lenz invariant I_1 . With $\delta\epsilon$ still an *infinitesimal* variation of the parameter ϵ , the transformation (96) can be expressed equivalently in terms of the matrix exponential $\exp(\mathbb{A}_{\delta\epsilon})$. Then, the *infinitesimal* symmetry transformation then takes on the exceptionally simple form

$$\begin{pmatrix} Q_1 \\ Q_2 \end{pmatrix} \Big|_{t+q_1\delta\epsilon} = \exp(p_1 \delta\epsilon) \begin{pmatrix} \cos(p_2 \delta\epsilon) & \sin(p_2 \delta\epsilon) \\ -\sin(p_2 \delta\epsilon) & \cos(p_2 \delta\epsilon) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} q_1 \\ q_2 \end{pmatrix} \Big|_t, \quad (97)$$

The system symmetry that corresponds to the Runge-Lenz invariant from Eq. (94) is thus given by a *local scaled rotation* of the configuration space variables. In contrast to the example of Sect. 3.5.3, the transformation (97) depends on the actual coordinates q_1, p_1, p_2 . It is, therefore, referred to as a *local* symmetry transformation.

Owing to the fact that the Hamiltonian (88) with potential (89) is invariant under swappings $q_1 \leftrightarrow q_2$ and $p_1 \leftrightarrow p_2$, the second component I_2 of the invariant Runge-Lenz vector is obtained by flipping all indexes of I_1 ,

$$I_2 = \frac{1}{2}q_2 p_2^2 + q_1 p_1 p_2 - \frac{1}{2}q_2 p_1^2 - q_2 e.$$

The infinitesimal transformation of the configuration space coordinates follows as

$$\begin{pmatrix} Q_1 \\ Q_2 \end{pmatrix} \Big|_{t+q_2\delta\epsilon} = [\mathbb{1} + \mathbb{B}_{\delta\epsilon}] \begin{pmatrix} q_1 \\ q_2 \end{pmatrix} \Big|_t, \quad \mathbb{B}_{\delta\epsilon}(p_1, p_2) = \delta\epsilon \begin{pmatrix} p_2 & -p_1 \\ p_1 & p_2 \end{pmatrix} \Big|_t.$$

Again, the transformation can be expressed equivalently in terms of the matrix exponential $\exp(\mathbb{B}_{\delta\epsilon})$, where $\delta\epsilon$ denotes an infinitesimal shift of the symmetry transformation's parameter

$$\begin{pmatrix} Q_1 \\ Q_2 \end{pmatrix} \Big|_{t+q_2\delta\epsilon} = \exp(p_2 \delta\epsilon) \begin{pmatrix} \cos(p_1 \delta\epsilon) & -\sin(p_1 \delta\epsilon) \\ \sin(p_1 \delta\epsilon) & \cos(p_1 \delta\epsilon) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} q_1 \\ q_2 \end{pmatrix} \Big|_t,$$

3.6. *Extended point transformations, conventional Noether theorem*

The derivation of Noether's theorem in the context of the Lagrangian formalism is restricted to *extended point transformations*, hence canonical transformations for which the new space-time coordinates only depend on the old space-time coordinates and *not* on the set of old momentum coordinates. Yet, the extended canonical transformation approach allows to describe more general possible symmetry mappings as the rules (71) are *not* restricted to point transformations. Consequently, equation (74) in conjunction with the infinitesimal canonical mapping (71) represents a *generalized formulation* of Noether's theorem. In order to derive the *conventional* Noether theorem in the Hamiltonian description, we restrict ourselves to the case of an infinitesimal point transformation, which is defined by a generating function (69) with characteristic function I that is *linear* in the momenta p_ν

$$I(q^\nu, p_\nu) = - \sum_{\alpha=0}^n \eta^\alpha(q^\nu) p_\alpha + f(q^\nu), \quad (98)$$

hence with functions $\eta^\mu = \eta^\mu(q^\nu)$, $f = f(q^\nu)$ that depend on the space-time coordinates only. With this I , the transformation rules for space and time coordinates follow as ($\mu, \nu = 0, \dots, n$, $i = 1, \dots, n$)

$$\delta q^\mu = -\epsilon \eta^\mu(q^\nu) \Leftrightarrow \delta q^i = -\epsilon \eta^i(\mathbf{q}, t), \quad \delta t = -\epsilon \xi(\mathbf{q}, t), \quad \xi = \eta^0/c.$$

The condition (72) for this transformation to preserve the extended Hamiltonian H_1 , hence for the function (98) to represent a conserved quantity along the system's evolution is

$$\sum_{\beta=0}^n \left[\eta^\beta \frac{\partial H_1}{\partial q^\beta} + \frac{\partial H_1}{\partial p_\beta} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial q^\beta} - \sum_{\alpha=0}^n p_\alpha \frac{\partial \eta^\alpha}{\partial q^\beta} \right) \right] = 0. \quad (99)$$

Distinguishing the canonical time and energy variables from the canonical space and momentum coordinates, the Noether function (98) has the equivalent representation

$$I(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, e, t) = \xi(\mathbf{q}, t) e - \sum_{i=1}^n \eta^i(\mathbf{q}, t) p_i + f(\mathbf{q}, t), \quad (100)$$

which represents a conserved quantity if Eq. (75) is satisfied. In the last step, the energy variable e may be replaced by the conventional Hamiltonian H . We thus find the conventional Noether function in the Hamiltonian formulation

$$I(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, t) = \xi(\mathbf{q}, t) H - \sum_{i=1}^n \eta^i(\mathbf{q}, t) p_i + f(\mathbf{q}, t), \quad (101)$$

which is an invariant provided that Eq. (75) holds with $\partial I/\partial e = 0$. Due to their different dependence on the canonical variables, the Noether functions (100) and (101) yield different transformation rules from Eqs. (77). However, these rules are compatible as

$$\delta\bar{p}_i = \delta p_i - \frac{dp_i}{dt}\delta t, \quad \delta\bar{q}^i = \delta q^i - \frac{dq^i}{dt}\delta t, \quad \delta\bar{e} = \delta e - \frac{dH}{dt}\delta t, \quad \delta\bar{t} = 0, \quad (102)$$

if the barred quantities denote the variations derived from Eq. (101) and the unbarred those derived from Eq. (100). As the function $I(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, t)$ does *not depend* on the energy variable, e , the subsequent transformation rules are associated with an *identical* time transformation, $T = t$, $\delta\bar{t} = 0$. In contrast, $I(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, e, t)$ from Eq. (100) accounts for an infinitesimal time shift transformation $T = t - \epsilon\xi$, $\delta t = -\epsilon\xi$. The connection of both equally valid sets of transformation rules is given by Eqs. (102).

With these formulations, we are led to interpreting the conventional Noether theorem in the reverse direction. If we can find functions $f(\mathbf{q}, t)$, $\xi(\mathbf{q}, t)$, and $\eta^i(\mathbf{q}, t)$ such that for a given conventional Hamiltonian H the total time derivative of I vanishes, $dI/dt = 0$, then the invariant I in the forms of Eqs. (100) or (101) defines a corresponding extended canonical point transformation according to Eqs. (77).

3.7. Canonical quantization in the extended Hamiltonian formalism

The transition from classical dynamics to the corresponding quantum description is most easily made in terms of the ‘‘canonical quantization prescription.’’ The quantum description of a dynamical system whose classical limit is represented by a Hamiltonian H is accordingly obtained by reinterpreting our dynamical variables $q^\mu(s)$ and $p_\mu(s)$ as *operators* $\hat{q}^\mu(s)$ and $\hat{p}_\mu(s)$ that act on a *wave function* ψ . In the configuration space representation, the quantum mechanical operators are

$$\hat{q}^\mu = q^\mu \mathbb{1}, \quad \hat{p}_\mu = -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial q^\mu}, \quad (103)$$

with $\mathbb{1}$ denoting the identity operator. In the *extended formalism*, an additional pair of operators is given for the index $\mu = 0$. Because of $q^0 \equiv ct$, $p_0 \equiv -e/c$, these operators are expressed equivalently as

$$\hat{t} = t\mathbb{1}, \quad \hat{e} = i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t}.$$

With e_1 denoting the *value* of the extended Hamiltonian H_1 , we encountered in Sect. 2.2 another additional pair of canonically conjugate variables, (e_1, s) . The corresponding operators are

$$\hat{s} = s\mathbb{1}, \quad \hat{e}_1 = i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial s}.$$

For explicitly s -dependent extended Hamiltonians H_1 and wave functions $\psi(q^\mu, s)$, the classical equation $H_1 = e_1$ from Eq. (22) thus translates into the equation of

motion for the wave function $\psi(q^\mu, s)$,

$$\hat{H}_1\psi = i\hbar\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial s}.$$

This equation was postulated earlier by Feynman.²⁵ The usual cases with no s -dependence of H_1 and ψ are then *directly* obtained from the constraint $H_1 = 0$ for the classical extended Hamiltonian (18)

$$\hat{H}_1\psi = 0.$$

For the extended Hamiltonian of a point particle in an external electromagnetic field from Eq. (57), we immediately find the Klein-Gordon equation, inserting Eqs. (103)

$$\left[\left(i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial q^\alpha} + \frac{\zeta}{c}A_\alpha \right) \left(i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial q_\alpha} + \frac{\zeta}{c}A^\alpha \right) + m^2c^2 \right] \psi(q^\mu) = 0. \quad (104)$$

In the same manner, the trivial extended Hamiltonian $H_1 = H - e = 0$ from Eq. (24) yields the associated wave equation

$$\hat{H}\psi = i\hbar\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t},$$

which is referred to as the Schrödinger equation.

3.8. Path integral derivation of the Klein-Gordon equation for a relativistic point particle in an electromagnetic field

Apart from the important additional rest energy term $-\frac{1}{2}mc^2$, the extended Lagrangian (54) for a relativistic classical point particle in an external electromagnetic field agrees with the Lagrangian proposed by Feynman⁹ on the basis of a formal reasoning. We have seen that this Lagrangian L_1 is actually *not* a mere formal construction, but has the physical meaning to describe the *same dynamics* as the corresponding conventional Lorentz-invariant Lagrangian from Eq. (52). As the extended Lagrangian (54) is thus identified as *physically significant*, it can be concluded that the path integral erected on this Lagrangian yields the correct quantum description of a relativistic point particle in an external electromagnetic field.

For an infinitesimal proper time step $\epsilon \equiv \Delta s$, the action $S_{1,\epsilon}$ for the extended Lagrangian (54) writes to first order in ϵ

$$S_{1,\epsilon} = \epsilon L_1 = \frac{1}{2}m\frac{\eta_{\alpha\beta}(q_b^\alpha - q_a^\alpha)(q_b^\beta - q_a^\beta)}{\epsilon} + \frac{\zeta}{c}(q_b^\alpha - q_a^\alpha)A_\alpha(q_c^\mu) - \frac{1}{2}mc^2\epsilon. \quad (105)$$

The potentials A_α are to be taken at the space-time location $q_c^\mu = (q_b^\mu + q_a^\mu)/2$. We insert this particular action function into Eq. (45) and perform a transformation of the integration variables q_a^μ ,

$$q_b^\mu - q_a^\mu = \xi^\mu \quad \Rightarrow \quad d^4q_a = d^4\xi.$$

The integral (45) has now the equivalent representation

$$\psi(q_b^\mu) = \frac{1}{M} \int \exp \left[\frac{i}{\hbar} S_{1,\epsilon} \right] \psi(q_b^\mu - \xi^\mu) d^4\xi, \quad (106)$$

while the action $S_{1,\epsilon}$ from Eq. (105) takes on the form

$$S_{1,\epsilon} = \frac{m}{2} \frac{\eta_{\alpha\beta} \xi^\alpha \xi^\beta}{\epsilon} + \frac{\zeta}{c} \xi^\alpha \left[A_\alpha(q_b^\mu) - \frac{1}{2} \xi^\beta \frac{\partial A_\alpha(q_b^\mu)}{\partial q^\beta} \right] - \epsilon \frac{mc^2}{2}.$$

Here, we expressed the potentials $A_\alpha(q_c^\mu)$ to first order in terms of their values at q_b^μ . In the following, we skip the index “ b ” in the coordinate vector since all q^μ refer to that particular space-time event from this point of our derivation.

In order to match the quadratic terms in $S_{1,\epsilon}$, the wave function $\psi(q^\mu - \xi^\mu)$ under the integral (106) must be expanded up to second order in the ξ^μ ,

$$\psi(q^\mu - \xi^\mu) = \psi(q^\mu) - \xi^\alpha \frac{\partial \psi(q^\mu)}{\partial q^\alpha} + \frac{1}{2} \xi^\alpha \xi^\beta \frac{\partial^2 \psi(q^\mu)}{\partial q^\alpha \partial q^\beta} - \dots$$

The rest energy term in $S_{1,\epsilon}$ depends only on ϵ . It can, therefore, be taken as a factor in front of the integral and expanded up to first order in ϵ . The total expression (106) for the transition of the wave function ψ thus follows as

$$\begin{aligned} \psi = \frac{1}{M} \left(1 - \epsilon \frac{imc^2}{2\hbar} \right) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar \epsilon} \left[\frac{m}{2} \eta_{\alpha\beta} \xi^\alpha \xi^\beta + \frac{\zeta \epsilon}{c} A_\alpha \xi^\alpha - \frac{\zeta \epsilon}{2c} \frac{\partial A_\alpha}{\partial q^\beta} \xi^\alpha \xi^\beta \right] \right\} \\ \times \left[\psi - \xi^\alpha \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial q^\alpha} + \frac{1}{2} \xi^\alpha \xi^\beta \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial q^\alpha \partial q^\beta} \right] d^4 \xi. \end{aligned} \quad (107)$$

Prior to actually calculating the Gaussian type integrals, we may simplify the integrand in (107) by taking into account that the third term in the exponential function is of order of ϵ smaller than the first one. We may thus factor out this term and expand it up to first order in ϵ

$$\exp \left[-\frac{i\zeta \epsilon}{2\hbar c} \frac{\partial A_\alpha}{\partial q^\beta} \xi^\alpha \xi^\beta \right] = 1 - \frac{i\zeta \epsilon}{2\hbar c} \frac{\partial A_\alpha}{\partial q^\beta} \xi^\alpha \xi^\beta + \dots$$

Omitting terms of higher order than quadratic in the ξ^μ , the integral becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \psi = \frac{1}{M} \left(1 - \epsilon \frac{imc^2}{2\hbar} \right) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} \left[\frac{m}{2\epsilon} \eta_{\alpha\beta} \xi^\alpha \xi^\beta + \frac{\zeta}{c} A_\alpha \xi^\alpha \right] \right\} \\ \times \left[\psi - \xi^\alpha \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial q^\alpha} + \frac{1}{2} \xi^\alpha \xi^\beta \left(\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial q^\alpha \partial q^\beta} - \frac{i\zeta}{\hbar c} \frac{\partial A_\alpha}{\partial q^\beta} \psi \right) \right] d^4 \xi. \end{aligned}$$

The integral over the entire space-time can now be solved analytically to yield

$$\begin{aligned} \psi = \frac{1}{M} \left(\frac{2\pi\hbar\epsilon}{im} \right)^2 \left(1 - \epsilon \frac{imc^2}{2\hbar} \right) \exp \left\{ -\epsilon \frac{i\zeta^2}{2\hbar mc^2} A^\alpha A_\alpha \right\} \\ \times \left[\psi + \epsilon \frac{\zeta}{mc} A^\alpha \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial q^\alpha} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \left(\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial q^\alpha \partial q^\beta} - \frac{i\zeta}{\hbar c} \frac{\partial A_\alpha}{\partial q^\beta} \psi \right) \left(\frac{\epsilon \zeta^2}{m^2 c^2} A^\alpha A^\beta + \frac{i\hbar}{m} \eta^{\alpha\beta} \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

We may omit the term quadratic in ϵ that is contained in the rightmost factor and finally expand the exponential function up to first order in ϵ

$$\begin{aligned} \psi = \frac{1}{M} \left(\frac{2\pi\hbar\epsilon}{im} \right)^2 \left(1 - \epsilon \frac{imc^2}{2\hbar} \right) \left(1 - \epsilon \frac{i\zeta^2}{2\hbar mc^2} A^\alpha A_\alpha \right) \\ \times \left[\psi + \epsilon \frac{\zeta}{mc} A^\alpha \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial q^\alpha} + \epsilon \frac{i\hbar}{2m} \left(\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial q^\alpha \partial q^\alpha} - \frac{i\zeta}{\hbar c} \frac{\partial A^\alpha}{\partial q^\alpha} \psi \right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (108)$$

The normalization factor M is now obvious. Since the equation must hold to zero order in ϵ , we directly conclude that $M = (2\pi\hbar\epsilon/im)^2$. This means, furthermore, that the sum over all terms proportional to ϵ must vanish. The five terms in (108) that are linear in ϵ thus establish the equation

$$\frac{m^2 c^2}{\hbar^2} \psi = \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial q^\alpha \partial q_\alpha} - \frac{\zeta^2 A^\alpha A_\alpha}{\hbar^2 c^2} \psi + \frac{2\zeta A^\alpha}{i\hbar c} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial q^\alpha} + \frac{\zeta}{i\hbar c} \frac{\partial A^\alpha}{\partial q^\alpha} \psi.$$

This equation has the equivalent product form

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial q^\alpha} - \frac{i\zeta}{\hbar c} A_\alpha \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial q_\alpha} - \frac{i\zeta}{\hbar c} A^\alpha \right) \psi = \left(\frac{mc}{\hbar} \right)^2 \psi, \quad (109)$$

which constitutes exactly the Klein-Gordon equation for our metric $\eta_{\mu\nu}$. It coincides with the wave equation (104) that emerged from the canonical quantization formalism.

We remark that Feynman²⁵ went the procedure developed here in the opposite direction. He started with the Klein-Gordon equation and deduced from analogies with the non-relativistic case a classical Lagrangian similar to that of Eq. (54), but without its rest energy term $-\frac{1}{2}mc^2$. The obtained Lagrangian was *not* identified as *physically significant*, i.e., as exactly the extended Lagrangian L_1 that describes the corresponding classical system, but rated as “purely formal.”⁹

3.9. Space-time kernel for the free relativistic point particle

As the constraint function (48) is to be disregarded setting up the parameterized kernel (43), the components of the extended free-particle Lagrangian (47) can be treated as *independent*. The corresponding action functional S from Eq. (3) thus splits into a sum of independent action functionals,

$$S_1[q^\nu(s)] = \frac{1}{2}m \int_{s_a}^{s_b} \left(\frac{dq^\alpha}{ds} \frac{dq_\alpha}{ds} - c^2 \right) ds = \sum_\alpha S[q^\alpha(s)]. \quad (110)$$

Hence, the parameterized space-time kernel (43) separates into a product of path integrals. For the free particle, the individual path integrals can be solved analytically.^{16,7} Expressed in terms of s as the independent variable, the result for one degree of freedom q^k is

$$K_{\sigma, q^k}(b, a) = \sqrt{\frac{m}{2\pi i\hbar(s_b - s_a)}} \exp \left[\frac{i}{\hbar} \frac{m}{2} \frac{(q_b^k - q_a^k)^2}{s_b - s_a} \right]. \quad (111)$$

The total parameterized space-time kernel $K_\sigma(b, a)$ is then obtained for S_1 from Eq. (110) as

$$K_\sigma(b, a) = -\frac{m^2 c}{4\pi^2 \hbar^2 (s_b - s_a)^2} \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} \frac{m}{2} \left[\frac{(q_b^\alpha - q_a^\alpha)(q_{\alpha, b} - q_{\alpha, a})}{s_b - s_a} - c^2 (s_b - s_a) \right] \right\}.$$

The term proportional to $(s_b - s_a)$ in the exponential function originates from the rest energy term $-\frac{1}{2}mc^2$ in the extended Lagrangian (47) and, correspondingly, in

the action integral (110). We perform a Wick rotation of the time coordinate s . The time differences $\sigma = i(s_b - s_a)$ and τ are then defined by

$$\tau^2 = \frac{(q_b^\alpha - q_a^\alpha)(q_{\alpha,b} - q_{\alpha,a})}{c^2}.$$

The parameterized space-time kernel $K_\sigma(b, a)$ takes on the equivalent form

$$K_\sigma(b, a) = \frac{m^2 c}{4\pi^2 \hbar^2} \sigma^{-2} \exp \left[-\frac{mc^2}{2\hbar} \left(\frac{\tau^2}{\sigma} + \sigma \right) \right].$$

According to Eq. (44), the space-time propagator $K(b, a)$ for a free relativistic wave packet is finally acquired by integrating $K_\sigma(b, a)$ over all σ

$$K(b, a) = \frac{m^2 c}{4\pi^2 \hbar^2 N} \int_0^\infty \sigma^{-2} \exp \left[-\frac{mc^2}{2\hbar} \left(\frac{\tau^2}{\sigma} + \sigma \right) \right] d\sigma. \quad (112)$$

The integral is exactly the integral representation of the Bessel function of second kind and order one²⁶, that are also referred to as MacDonald functions,

$$\int_0^\infty \sigma^{-2} \exp \left[-\frac{a}{2} \left(\frac{\tau^2}{\sigma} + \sigma \right) \right] d\sigma = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\tau^2}} K_1 \left(a\sqrt{\tau^2} \right). \quad (113)$$

For our metric $\eta_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(-1, 1, 1, 1)$, a positive τ^2 represents a *space-like* connection of the events a and b . The kernel $K(b, a)$ from Eq. (112) is then given by

$$K(b, a) = \frac{m^3 c^3}{4\pi^2 \hbar^3} \tau^{-1} K_1 \left(\frac{mc^2}{\hbar} \tau \right). \quad (114)$$

We may convince ourselves by direct substitution that the kernel $K(b, a)$ satisfies the zero-potential case ($A_\mu = 0$) of the Klein-Gordon equation (109). As a consequence, so does a free-particle wave function $\psi(\mathbf{q}, t)$ if its space-time propagation is calculated according to Eq. (42).

For the nonrelativistic limit $c \rightarrow \infty$, $s \rightarrow t$, the asymptotic behavior of the Bessel function K_1 is

$$K_1 \left(\frac{mc^2}{\hbar} \tau \right) \rightarrow \sqrt{\frac{\pi \hbar}{2mc^2 \tau}} \exp \left(-\frac{mc^2}{\hbar} \tau \right)$$

hence

$$K(b, a) = \frac{mc^2}{2\hbar} \left(\frac{m}{2\pi \hbar \tau} \right)^{3/2} \exp \left(-\frac{mc^2}{\hbar} \tau \right).$$

With

$$\tau = \sqrt{-(t_b - t_a)^2 + (\mathbf{q}_b - \mathbf{q}_a)^2 / c^2} \stackrel{c \rightarrow \infty}{\cong} i(t_b - t_a)$$

and

$$\exp \left(-\frac{mc^2}{\hbar} \tau \right) \stackrel{c \rightarrow \infty}{\cong} \exp \left[-\frac{i}{\hbar} mc^2 (t_b - t_a) \right] \exp \left[\frac{i}{\hbar} \frac{m}{2} \frac{(\mathbf{q}_b - \mathbf{q}_a)^2}{t_b - t_a} \right],$$

the nonrelativistic kernel becomes

$$K(b, a) = \frac{mc^2}{2\hbar} \exp\left[-\frac{i}{\hbar}mc^2(t_b - t_a)\right] K_{\mathbf{q}}(b, a),$$

with $K_{\mathbf{q}}(b, a)$ the kernel for the spatial degrees of freedom

$$K_{\mathbf{q}}(b, a) = \left[\frac{m}{2\pi i\hbar(t_b - t_a)}\right]^{3/2} \exp\left[\frac{i}{\hbar} \frac{m}{2} \frac{(\mathbf{q}_b - \mathbf{q}_a)^2}{t_b - t_a}\right].$$

This kernel generalizes the one-dimensional case (Eq. 111) and satisfies again the Schrödinger equation^{16,7}.

4. Conclusions

Starting from the space-time formulation of the action principle, we have demonstrated that the Lagrangian as well as the Hamiltonian description of classical dynamics can consistently be reformulated in order to be compatible with relativity. In the emerging *extended* version of the Hamilton-Lagrange formalism, the dynamics is described as a *constrained* motion in an *extended* phase space. With the specific correlations of extended Lagrangian L_1 and extended Hamiltonian H_1 to their conventional counterparts L and H given in this paper, the extended formalism retains the *form* of the long-established conventional Hamilton-Lagrange formalism. The extended Hamilton-Lagrange formalism thus provides an *equivalent physical description* of dynamical systems that is particularly appropriate for relativity.

The physical significance of the Lorentz invariant extended Hamiltonian H_1 of a point particle in an external electromagnetic field was demonstrated by showing that the subsequent *extended* set of canonical equations, in conjunction with the constraint, is *equivalent* to the set of canonical equations that follows from the well-known conventional Hamiltonian H for this system. The corresponding Lagrangian L_1 was shown to be *quadratic* in its velocity terms, hence similar in its *form* with the conventional Lagrangian L that describes the non-relativistic limit. This makes the extended formalism particularly suited for analytical approaches that depend on the Lagrangian to be quadratic in the velocities — like Feynman’s path integral formalism. Devising the “quantum version” of the action principle, one of Feynman’s achievements was to derive — by means of his path integral approach to quantum physics — the Schrödinger equation as the quantum description of a physical system whose classical limit is described by the non-relativistic Lagrangian L for a point particle in an external potential. This is generally regarded as the *proof of principle* for the path integral formalism.

Similar to the extension of the conventional Hamilton-Lagrange formalism in the realm of classical physics, the general form of the relativistic extension of Feynman’s path integral approach is obtained by consistently treating space and time variables on equal footing. We have shown that the constraint from the classical extended

formalism appears in the context of the extended path integral formalism as an *additional uncertainty relation*.

On the basis of the extended Lagrangian L_1 of a classical relativistic point particle in an external electromagnetic field, we could derive the Klein-Gordon equation as the corresponding quantum description by means of the space-time version of the path integral formalism. Correspondingly, we can regard the emerging of the Klein-Gordon equation as the proof of principle of the *relativistic generalization* of Feynman's path integral approach that is based on Lorentz invariant *extended Lagrangians* L_1 in conjunction with the additional *uncertainty relation*.

Acknowledgment

The author is indebted to Prof. Dr. Walter Greiner from the *Frankfurt Institute of Advanced Studies* (FIAS) for his critical comments and encouragement.

References

1. R. Abraham and J.E. Marsden, *Foundations of Mechanics* (Westview Press, Boulder, CO, 1978).
2. W. Greiner, *Classical Mechanics: Systems of Particles and Hamiltonian Dynamics* (Springer, Berlin, 2002).
3. V.I. Arnold, *Mathematical Methods of Classical Mechanics* (Springer, New York, 1989).
4. Th. Frankel, *The Geometry of Physics* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2001).
5. J.V. José and E.J. Saletan, *Classical Dynamics* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998).
6. J.E. Marsden and T.S. Ratiu, *Introduction to Mechanics and Symmetry* (Springer, New York, 1999).
7. H. Kleinert, *Path Integrals in Quantum Mechanics, Statistics, Polymer Physics, and Financial Markets*, (World Scientific, Singapore, 2006).
8. I.H. Duru and H. Kleinert, *Fortschritte der Physik*, **30**, 401 (1980).
9. R.P. Feynman, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **20**, 367 (1948).
10. P.A.M. Dirac, *Can. J. Phys.* **2**, 129 (1950).
11. C. Lanczos, *The Variational Principles of Mechanics* (University of Toronto Press, Toronto, Ontario, 1949), Reprint 4th edn (Dover Publications, New York, 1986).
12. C.L. Siegel and J.K. Moser, *Lectures on Celestial Mechanics* (Springer, Berlin, 1971).
13. E.L. Stiefel and G. Scheifele, *Linear and Regular Celestial Mechanics* (Springer, Berlin, 1971).
14. W. Thirring, *Lehrbuch der Mathematischen Physik* (Springer, Wien, 1977).
15. A.V. Tsiganov, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **33**, 4169 (2000).
16. R.P. Feynman and A.R. Hibbs, *Quantum Mechanics and Path Integrals* (McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York, 1965).
17. J.R. Fanchi, *Parametrized Relativistic Quantum Theory* (Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 1993).
18. F. Rohrlich, *Ann. Phys. (N.Y.)* **117**, 292 (1979).
19. J. Struckmeier, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **38**, 1257 (2005).
20. J.L. Synge, *Encyclopedia of Physics* Vol 3/1 ed. S. Flügge (Springer, Berlin, 1960).

21. E.C.G. Stückelberg, *Helv. Phys. Acta* **14**, 322(1941); **15**, 23 (1942).
22. C. Morette, *Phys. Rev.* **81**, 848 (1951).
23. O.D. Johns, *Analytical Mechanics for Relativity and Quantum Mechanics* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2005).
24. Ch. W. Misner, K. S. Thorne, and J. A. Wheeler, *Gravitation* (W. H. Freeman and Company, New York, 1973), p. 489.
25. R.P. Feynman, *Phys. Rev.* **80**, 440 (1950).
26. W. Magnus, F. Oberhettinger, *Formulas and Theorems for the Special Functions of Mathematical Physics* (Springer, New York, 1966)