

**Extended Hamilton-Lagrange formalism and its application
to Feynman's path integral for relativistic quantum physics**

Jürgen Struckmeier

*Gesellschaft für Schwerionenforschung (GSI), Planckstrasse 1, 64291 Darmstadt, Germany
Goethe University, Max-von-Laue-Str. 1, 60438 Frankfurt am Main, Germany
j.struckmeier@gsi.de*

Received 10 October 2008

We present a consistent and comprehensive treatise on the foundations of the *extended Hamilton-Lagrange formalism*—where the dynamical system is parameterized along a general system evolution parameter s , and the time t is treated as a *dependent* variable $t(s)$ on equal footing with all other configuration space variables $q^i(s)$. In the action principle, the conventional classical action $L dt$ is then replaced by the generalized action $L_1 ds$, with L and L_1 denoting the conventional and the extended Lagrangian, respectively. It is shown that a unique correlation of L_1 and L exists if we refrain from performing simultaneously a transformation of the dynamical variables. With the appropriate correlation of L_1 and L in place, the extension of the formalism preserves its *canonical form*.

In the extended formalism, the dynamical system is described as a *constrained* motion within an *extended* space. We show that the value of the constraint and the parameter s constitutes an *additional pair* of canonically conjugate variables. In the corresponding quantum system, we thus encounter an additional *uncertainty relation*.

As a consequence of the formal similarity of conventional and extended Hamilton-Lagrange formalisms, Feynman's *non-relativistic* path integral approach can be converted *on a general level* into a form appropriate for *relativistic* quantum physics. In the emerging parameterized quantum description, the additional uncertainty relation serves as the means to incorporate the constraint and hence to finally eliminate the parameterization.

We derive the extended Lagrangian L_1 of a classical relativistic point particle in an external electromagnetic field and show that the generalized path integral approach yields the Klein-Gordon equation as the corresponding quantum description. We furthermore derive the space-time propagator for a free relativistic particle from its extended Lagrangian L_1 . These results can be regarded as the proof of principle of the *relativistic generalization* of Feynman's path integral approach to quantum physics.

PACS numbers: 04.20.Fy, 03.65.-w, 03.65.Pm

1. Introduction

Even more than hundred years after the emerging of Einstein's special theory of relativity, the presentation of classical dynamics in terms of the Lagrangian and the Hamiltonian formalism is still usually based in literature on the Newtonian absolute time as the system evolution parameter^{1,2,3,4,5,6,7}. The idea how the Hamilton-Lagrange formalism is to be generalized in order to be compatible with relativity is obvious and well-established. It consists of introducing a system evolution parameter, s , as the new independent variable,

2 *J. Struckmeier*

and of subsequently treating the time $t = t(s)$ as a *dependent* variable of s , in parallel to all configuration space variables $q^i(s)$. This idea has been pursued in numerous publications, only a few of them being cited here. To mention only one, Duru and Kleinert⁸ succeeded in solving the quantum mechanical Coulomb problem in terms of a parameterized Feynman path integral⁹ that is based on a particular, not explicitly time-dependent extended Lagrangian.

Despite this unambiguity in the foundations and the huge pile of publications on the matter—dating back to P. Dirac¹⁰ and C. Lanczos¹¹—there is up to date no consensus in literature how this extension of the Hamilton-Lagrange formalism is actually to be devised. The reason is that on the basis of the action principle, there is *apparently* some freedom in defining how the conventional Hamiltonian H relates to the extended Hamiltonian H_1 . On one hand, we often find in literature approaches based on the *trivial* extended Hamiltonian^{12,13,14} that simply reduces the extended formalism to the conventional one by *identifying* the parameter s with the time t . On the other hand, we encounter quite general *ad-hoc* definitions of extended Hamiltonians H_1 that contain additional functions of the dynamical variables whose physical meaning and compatibility with the action principle is unclear^{8,15}.

Thus, the key issue for casting the extended Hamilton-Lagrange formalism into its *canonical form* is to clarify how the Lagrangians L_1 and L , as well as the Hamiltonians H_1 and H should be correlated. To this end, we must *separate* the task of relating L_1 with L , and H_1 with H , from the task of performing a transformation of the dynamical variables. With these different matters clearly distinguished, we show that consistent and *unique* representations of both the extended Lagrangian L_1 as well as the extended Hamiltonian H_1 exist. With our relation of H_1 and H in place, we find the subsequent extended set of canonical equations to perfectly coincide in its *form* with the conventional one, which means that no additional functions are involved. This is also true for the theory of extended canonical transformations. The connection of the extended with the conventional Hamiltonian description is established by the *trivial extended Hamiltonian* H_1 , whose canonical equations coincide with those of a conventional Hamiltonian H . Correspondingly, the *trivial extended generating function* F_2 generates exactly the subgroup of conventional canonical transformations within the group of extended canonical transformations. This subgroup consists of exactly those canonical mappings that leave the time variable unchanged.

On grounds of the *formal similarity* of conventional and extended Hamilton-Lagrange formalisms, it is possible to formally convert non-relativistic approaches that are based on conventional Lagrangians into relativistic approaches in terms of extended Lagrangians. This idea is worked out exemplarily for Feynman's path integral approach to quantum physics¹⁶.

The paper is organized as follows. We start in Sect. 2.1 with the Lagrangian description and derive from the extended form of the action integral the extended Lagrangian L_1 , together with its relation to the conventional Lagrangian L . It is shown that this relation reduces to the factor dt/ds . More “general” correlations are shown to correspond to an *additional* transformation of the dynamical variables. The extended set of Euler-Lagrange

equations then follows trivially from the dependencies of the extended Lagrangian. It is shown that the extended Lagrangian description of dynamics consists in a *constrained motion* in an *extended space*, namely in the tangent bundle $T(\mathbb{M} \times \mathbb{R})$ over the space-time configuration manifold $\mathbb{M} \times \mathbb{R}$.

To provide a simple example, we derive in Sect. 3.1 the extended Lagrangian L_1 for a free relativistic point particle. This Lorentz-invariant Lagrangian L_1 has the remarkable feature to be *quadratic* in the velocities. This contrasts to the conventional Lorentz-invariant Lagrangian L that describes the identical dynamics. For this system, the constraint depicts the constant square of the four-velocity vector.

We show in Sect. 3.2 that the extended Lagrangian L_1 of a relativistic particle in an external electromagnetic field agrees *in its form* with the corresponding non-relativistic conventional Lagrangian L . The difference between both is that the derivatives in the extended Lagrangian L_1 are being defined with respect to the particle's *proper time*, which are converted into derivatives with respect to the Newtonian *absolute time* in the non-relativistic limit.

In Sect. 2.2, we switch to the extended Hamiltonian description. As the extended Hamiltonian H_1 springs up from the extended Lagrangian L_1 by means of a Legendre transformation, both functions have equally the total information content on the dynamical system in question. The Hamiltonian counterparts of the Lagrangian description, namely, the extended set of canonical equations, the constraint function, and the correlation of the extended Hamiltonian H_1 to the conventional Hamiltonian H are presented. On this basis, the theory of extended canonical transformations and the extended version of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation are worked out as straightforward generalizations of the conventional theory. As a mapping of the time t is incorporated in an extended canonical transformation, not only the transformed coordinates emerging from the Hamilton-Jacobi equation are constants, as usual, but also the transformed time T . The extended Hamilton-Jacobi equation may thus be interpreted as defining the mapping of the entire dynamical system into its state at a *fixed instant of time*, i.e., for instance, into its initial state. In the extended formulation, the Hamilton-Jacobi equation thus reappears in a new perspective.

We furthermore show that the *value* of the extended Hamiltonian H_1 and the system evolution parameter s yield an additional pair of canonically conjugate variables. For the corresponding quantum system, we thus encounter an additional *uncertainty relation*. Based on both the extended Lagrangian L_1 and the additional uncertainty relation, we present in Sect. 2.5 the path integral formalism in a form appropriate for *relativistic quantum systems*. An extension of Feynman's approach was worked out earlier⁸ for a particular system. Nevertheless, the most general form of the extended path integral formalism that applies for any extended Lagrangian L_1 is presented here for the first time. By consistently treating space and time variables on equal footing, the generalized path integral formalism is shown to apply as well for Lagrangians that *explicitly* depend on time. In particular, the transition of a wave function is presented here as a space-time integral over a space-time propagator. In this context, we address the physical meaning of the additional integration over t . The uncertainty relation is exhibited as the *quantum physics' means* to incorporate the constraint in order to finally eliminate the parameterization.

On grounds of a generalized understanding of the action principle, Feynman showed that the Schrödinger equation emerges as the non-relativistic *quantum description* of a dynamical system if the corresponding *classical* system is described by the non-relativistic Lagrangian L of a point particle in an external potential. Parallel to this beautiful approach, we derive in Section 3.6 the Klein-Gordon equation as the *relativistic quantum description* of a system, whose classical counterpart is described by the *extended Lagrangian* L_1 of a relativistic point particle in an external electromagnetic field. The reason for this to work is twofold. Since the extended Lagrangian L_1 agrees in its form with the conventional non-relativistic Lagrangian L , the generalized path integral formalism can be worked out similarly to the non-relativistic case. Furthermore, as we proceed in our derivation an infinitesimal proper time step Δs only and consider the limit $\Delta s \rightarrow 0$, the constraint disappears by virtue of the uncertainty relation.

We finally derive in Sect. 3.7 the space-time propagator for the wave function of a free particle with spin zero from the extended Lagrangian of a free relativistic point particle. The constraint function, as the companion of the classical extended description, is taken into account in the quantum description by integrating over all possible parameterizations of the system's variables. This integration is now explained in terms of the uncertainty relation. We regard these results as the ultimate confirmation of the relativistic generalization of Feynman's path integral formalism.

2. Extended Hamilton-Lagrange formalism

2.1. Extended set of Euler-Lagrange equations

The conventional formulation of the principle of least action is based on the action functional $S[\mathbf{q}(t)]$, defined by

$$S[\mathbf{q}(t)] = \int_{t_a}^{t_b} L\left(\mathbf{q}, \frac{d\mathbf{q}}{dt}, t\right) dt, \quad (1)$$

with $L(\mathbf{q}, \dot{\mathbf{q}}, t)$ denoting the system's conventional Lagrangian, and $\mathbf{q}(t) = (q^1(t), \dots, q^n(t))$ the vector of configuration space variables as a function of time. In this formulation, the independent variable time t plays the role of the Newtonian *absolute time*. The clearest reformulation of the least action principle for relativistic physics is accomplished by treating the time $t(s) = q^0(s)/c$ —like the vector $\mathbf{q}(s)$ of configuration space variables—as a *dependent* variable of a newly introduced independent variable, s ^{11,17,18,19}. The action functional then writes in terms of an *extended Lagrangian* L_1

$$S_1[\mathbf{q}(s), t(s)] = \int_{s_a}^{s_b} L_1\left(\mathbf{q}, \frac{d\mathbf{q}}{ds}, t, \frac{dt}{ds}\right) ds. \quad (2)$$

As the action functional (2) has the form of (1), the subsequent Euler-Lagrange equations that determine the particular path $(\bar{\mathbf{q}}(s), \bar{t}(s))$ on which the value of the functional $S[\bar{\mathbf{q}}(s), \bar{t}(s)]$ takes on an extremum, adopt the customary form,

$$\frac{d}{ds} \left(\frac{\partial L_1}{\partial \left(\frac{dq^\mu}{ds} \right)} \right) - \frac{\partial L_1}{\partial q^\mu} = 0. \quad (3)$$

Here, the index $\mu = 0, \dots, n$ spans the entire range of extended configuration space variables. In particular, the Euler-Lagrange equation for $t(s)$ writes

$$\frac{d}{ds} \left(\frac{\partial L_1}{\partial \left(\frac{dt}{ds} \right)} \right) - \frac{\partial L_1}{\partial t} = 0.$$

The equations of motion for both $\mathbf{q}(s)$ and $t(s)$ are thus determined by the extended Lagrangian L_1 . The solution $\mathbf{q}(t)$ of the Euler-Lagrange equations that equivalently emerges from the corresponding conventional Lagrangian L may then be constructed by eliminating the evolution parameter s .

As the actions, S and S_1 , are supposed to be alternative characterizations of the *same* underlying physical system, the action principles $\delta S = 0$ and $\delta S_1 = 0$ must hold simultaneously. This means that

$$\delta \int_{s_a}^{s_b} L \frac{dt}{ds} ds = \delta \int_{s_a}^{s_b} L_1 ds,$$

which, in turn, is assured if both integrands differ at most by the s -derivative of an arbitrary differentiable function $F(\mathbf{q}, t)$

$$L \frac{dt}{ds} = L_1 + \frac{dF}{ds}.$$

Functions $F(\mathbf{q}, t)$ define a particular class of point transformations of the dynamical variables, namely those ones that preserve the form of the Euler-Lagrange equations. Such a transformation can be applied at any time in the discussion of a given Lagrangian system and should be distinguished from correlating L_1 and L . We may thus restrict ourselves without loss of generality to those correlations of L and L_1 , where $F \equiv 0$. In other words, we correlate L and L_1 *without* performing simultaneously a transformation of the dynamical variables. We will discuss this issue in the more general context of *extended canonical transformations* in Sect. 2.3. The extended Lagrangian L_1 is then related to the conventional Lagrangian, L , by

$$L_1 \left(\mathbf{q}, \frac{d\mathbf{q}}{ds}, t, \frac{dt}{ds} \right) = L \left(\mathbf{q}, \frac{d\mathbf{q}}{dt}, t \right) \frac{dt}{ds}, \quad \frac{d\mathbf{q}}{dt} = \frac{d\mathbf{q}/ds}{dt/ds}. \quad (7)$$

The derivatives of L_1 from Eq. (7) with respect to its arguments can now be expressed in terms of the conventional Lagrangian L as

$$\frac{\partial L_1}{\partial q^\mu} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^\mu} \frac{dt}{ds}, \quad \mu = 1, \dots, n \quad (8)$$

$$\frac{\partial L_1}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial t} \frac{dt}{ds} \quad (9)$$

$$\frac{\partial L_1}{\partial \left(\frac{dq^\mu}{ds} \right)} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \left(\frac{dq^\mu}{dt} \right)}, \quad \mu = 1, \dots, n \quad (10)$$

$$\frac{\partial L_1}{\partial \left(\frac{dt}{ds} \right)} = L - \sum_{\mu=1}^n \frac{\partial L}{\partial \left(\frac{dq^\mu}{dt} \right)} \frac{dq^\mu}{dt}. \quad (11)$$

6 *J. Struckmeier*

Equations (10) and (11) yield for the following sum over the extended range $\mu = 0, \dots, n$ of dynamical variables

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\mu=0}^n \frac{\partial L_1}{\partial \left(\frac{dq^\mu}{ds}\right)} \frac{dq^\mu}{ds} &= L \frac{dt}{ds} - \sum_{\mu=1}^n \frac{\partial L}{\partial \left(\frac{dq^\mu}{dt}\right)} \frac{dq^\mu}{dt} \frac{dt}{ds} + \sum_{\mu=1}^n \frac{\partial L}{\partial \left(\frac{dq^\mu}{dt}\right)} \frac{dq^\mu}{ds} \\ &= L_1. \end{aligned}$$

The extended Lagrangian L_1 thus satisfies the constraint

$$L_1 - \sum_{\mu=0}^n \frac{\partial L_1}{\partial \left(\frac{dq^\mu}{ds}\right)} \frac{dq^\mu}{ds} = 0. \quad (12)$$

The correlation (7) and the pertaining condition (12) allows two interpretations, depending on which Lagrangian is primarily given, and which one is derived. If the conventional Lagrangian L is the given function to describe the dynamical system in question and L_1 is derived from L according to Eq. (7), then L_1 is a homogeneous form of first order in the $n + 1$ variables $dq^0/ds, \dots, dq^n/ds$. This may be seen by replacing all derivatives dq^μ/ds with $a \times dq^\mu/ds$, $a \in \mathbb{R}$ in Eq. (7). Consequently, Euler's theorem on homogeneous functions states that Eq. (12) constitutes an *identity* for L_1 ¹¹. The Euler-Lagrange equation involving dt/ds then also yields an *identity*, hence, we do not obtain a substantial equation of motion for $t(s)$. In this case, the parameterizations of time $t(s)$ is left undetermined—which reflects the fact that a conventional Lagrangian does not provide any information on a parameterization of time.

In the opposite case, if an extended Lagrangian L_1 is the primary function to describe our system, then Eq. (12) furnishes a constraint function for the system. Furthermore, the Euler-Lagrange equation involving dt/ds then yields a non-trivial equation of motion for $t(s)$. The conventional Lagrangian L may then be deduced from (7) by means of the constraint function (12).

To summarize, by switching from the conventional variational principle (1) to the extended representation (2), we have introduced an extended Lagrangian L_1 that additionally depends on $dt(s)/ds$. Due to the emerging constraint function (12), the actual number of degrees of freedom is unchanged. Geometrically, the system's motion now takes place on a hypersurface, defined by Eq. (12), within the tangent bundle $T(\mathbb{M} \times \mathbb{R})$ over the space-time configuration manifold $\mathbb{M} \times \mathbb{R}$. This contrasts with the conventional, unconstrained Lagrangian description on the time-dependent tangent bundle $(T\mathbb{M}) \times \mathbb{R}$.

2.2. Extended set of canonical equations

The Lagrangian formulation of particle dynamics can *equivalently* be expressed as a Hamiltonian description. The complete information on the given dynamical system is then contained in a Hamiltonian H , which carries the same information content as the corresponding Lagrangian L . It is defined by the Legendre transformation

$$H(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, t) = \sum_{\mu=1}^n p_\mu \frac{dq^\mu}{dt} - L\left(\mathbf{q}, \frac{d\mathbf{q}}{dt}, t\right), \quad (13)$$

with the covariant momentum vector components p_μ being defined by

$$p_\mu = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \left(\frac{dq^\mu}{dt} \right)}.$$

Correspondingly, the *extended* Hamiltonian H_1 is defined as the extended Legendre transform of the extended Lagrangian L_1 as

$$H_1(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, t, e) = \sum_{\mu=0}^n p_\mu \frac{dq^\mu}{ds} - L_1 \left(\mathbf{q}, \frac{d\mathbf{q}}{ds}, t, \frac{dt}{ds} \right). \quad (15)$$

We know from Eq. (10) that for $\mu = 1, \dots, n$ the momentum variable p_μ is equally obtained from the extended Lagrangian L_1 ,

$$p_\mu = \frac{\partial L_1}{\partial \left(\frac{dq^\mu}{ds} \right)}. \quad (16)$$

This fact ensures the Legendre transformations (13) and (15) to be compatible. For the index $\mu = 0$, i.e., for $q^0 = ct$ we must take some care as the derivative of L_1 with respect to dt/ds evaluates to

$$\frac{\partial L_1}{\partial \left(\frac{dt}{ds} \right)} = L - \sum_{\mu=1}^n \frac{dq^\mu}{dt} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \left(\frac{dq^\mu}{dt} \right)} = -H(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, t).$$

The momentum coordinate p_0 that is conjugate to $q^0 = ct$ must therefore be defined as

$$p_0(s) = -\frac{e(s)}{c}, \quad e(s) \stackrel{\neq}{=} H(\mathbf{q}(s), \mathbf{p}(s), t(s)), \quad (18)$$

with $e(s)$ representing the instantaneous *value* of the Hamiltonian H at s , but *not* the Hamilton function itself. This distinction is essential as the canonical coordinate p_0 must be defined—like all other canonical coordinates—as a function of the independent variable only. The reason is that the q^μ, p_μ with $\mu = 0, \dots, n$ depict the *coordinates* pertaining to the base vectors that span the (symplectic) extended phase space. We may express this fact by means of the comprehensible notation

$$p_0(s) = \left. \frac{\partial L_1}{\partial \left(\frac{dq^0}{ds} \right)} \right|_s \iff e(s) = - \left. \frac{\partial L_1}{\partial \left(\frac{dt}{ds} \right)} \right|_s. \quad (19)$$

The constraint function from Eq. (12) translates in the extended Hamiltonian description simply into

$$H_1(\mathbf{q}(s), \mathbf{p}(s), t(s), e(s)) = 0. \quad (20)$$

This means that the extended Hamiltonian H_1 directly defines the hypersurface on which the classical motion of the system takes place. The hypersurface lies within the cotangent bundle $T^*(\mathbb{M} \times \mathbb{R})$ over the same extended configuration manifold $\mathbb{M} \times \mathbb{R}$ as in the case

8 *J. Struckmeier*

of the Lagrangian description. Inserting Eqs. (16) and (19) into the extended set of Euler-Lagrange equations (3) yields the extended set of canonical equations,

$$\frac{dp_\mu}{ds} = -\frac{\partial H_1}{\partial q^\mu}, \quad \frac{dq^\mu}{ds} = \frac{\partial H_1}{\partial p_\mu}. \quad (21)$$

The right-hand sides of these equations follow directly from the Legendre transformation (15) since the Lagrangian L_1 does not depend on the momenta p_μ and has, up to the sign, the same space-time dependence as the Hamiltonian H_1 . The extended set is characterized by the additional pair of canonical equations for the index $\mu = 0$, which reads in terms of $t(s)$ and $e(s)$

$$\frac{de}{ds} = \frac{\partial H_1}{\partial t}, \quad \frac{dt}{ds} = -\frac{\partial H_1}{\partial e}. \quad (22)$$

By virtue of the Legendre transformations (13) and (15), the correlation from Eq. (7) of extended and conventional Lagrangians is finally converted into

$$H_1(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, t, e) = \left(H(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, t) - e \right) \frac{dt}{ds}, \quad (23)$$

as only the term for the index $\mu = 0$ does not cancel after inserting Eqs. (13) and (15) into (7).

The conventional Hamiltonian H is defined as the particular function whose *value* coincides with the extended phase-space variable e . In accordance with Eqs. (18) and (20), we thus determine H for any given extended Hamiltonian H_1 by solving $H_1 = 0$ for e . Then, H emerges as the right-hand side of the equation $e = H$.

In the converse case, if merely a conventional Hamiltonian H is given, and H_1 is set up according to Eq. (23), then the canonical equation for dt/ds yields an *identity*, hence allows arbitrary parameterizations of time. This is not astonishing as a conventional Hamiltonian H generally does not provide the information for an equation of motion for $t(s)$.

Corresponding to Eq. (18), we may introduce the variable e_1 as the *value* of the extended Hamiltonian H_1 . We can formally define H_1 to be *in addition* a function of s ,

$$e_1 \stackrel{\neq}{=} H_1(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, t, e, s). \quad (24)$$

By virtue of the extended set of canonical equations (21), we find that e_1 is a constant of motion if and only if H_1 does *not* explicitly depend on s ,

$$e_1(s) = \text{const.} \quad \iff \quad H_1 = H_1(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, t, e).$$

In this case, s can be regarded as a *cyclic variable*, with e_1 the pertaining constant of motion, and hence its conjugate. Thus, in the same way as (e, t) constitutes a pair of canonically conjugate variables, so does the pair (e_1, s) , i.e., the *value* e_1 of the extended Hamiltonian H_1 and the parameterization of the system's variables in terms of s . In the context of a corresponding quantum description, this additional pair of canonically conjugate variables gives rise to the additional uncertainty relation

$$\Delta e_1 \Delta s \geq \frac{1}{2} \hbar. \quad (26)$$

Thus, in a quantum system whose classical limit is described by an extended Hamiltonian H_1 , we cannot simultaneously measure exactly a deviation Δe_1 from the constraint condition $e_1(s) = 0$ from Eqs. (20), (24) and the actual value of the system parameter s . For the particular extended Hamiltonian H_1 of a relativistic particle in an external electromagnetic field, to be discussed in Sect. 3.3, the constraint reflects the *relativistic energy-momentum correlation*, whereas the parameter s represents the particle's *proper time*. For this particular system, the uncertainty relation (26) thus states that we cannot have simultaneous knowledge on a deviation from the relativistic energy-momentum correlation (76) and the particle's proper time. The extended Lagrangian L_1 and the uncertainty relation (26) constitute together the cornerstones for deriving the *relativistic generalization* of Feynman's path integral approach to non-relativistic quantum physics, to be presented in Sect. 2.5.

To end this section, we remark that the extended Hamiltonian H_1 most frequently found in literature is given by (cf, for instance, Refs. ^{11,12,14,13,15,20})

$$H_1(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, t, e) = H(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, t) - e. \quad (27)$$

According to Eqs. (22), the canonical equation for dt/ds is obtained as

$$\frac{dt}{ds} = -\frac{\partial H_1}{\partial e} = 1.$$

Up to arbitrary shifts of the origin of our time scale, we thus *identify* $t(s)$ with s . As all other partial derivatives of H_1 coincide with those of H , so do the respective canonical equations. The system description in terms of H_1 from Eq. (27) is thus *identical* to the conventional description and does not provide any additional information. The extended Hamiltonian (27) thus constitutes the *trivial extended Hamiltonian*.

2.3. Extended canonical transformations

The conventional theory of canonical transformations is built upon the conventional action integral from Eq. (1). In this theory, the Newtonian absolute time t plays the role of *the common independent variable* of both original and destination system. Similarly to the conventional theory, we may build the *extended theory of canonical equations* on the basis of the extended action integral from Eq. (2). With the time $t = q^0/c$ and the configuration space variables q^i treated on equal footing, we are enabled to correlate two Hamiltonian systems, H and H' , with different time scales, $t(s)$ and $T(s)$, hence to canonically map the system's time t and its conjugate quantity e in addition to the mapping of generalized coordinates \mathbf{q} and momenta \mathbf{p} . The system evolution parameter s is then the common independent variable of both systems, H and H' . A general mapping of all dependent variables may be formally expressed as

$$Q^\mu = Q^\mu(q^\nu, p_\nu), \quad P_\mu = P_\mu(q^\nu, p_\nu), \quad \mu = 0, \dots, n \quad (29)$$

Completely parallel to the conventional theory, the subgroup of general transformations (29) that preserve the action principle $\delta S_1 = 0$ of the system is referred to as "canonical". The action integral (2) may be expressed equivalently in terms of an extended Hamil-

tonian by means of the Legendre transformation (15). We thus get the following condition for a transformation (29) to be canonical

$$\delta \int_{s_a}^{s_b} \left[\sum_{\mu=0}^n p_\mu \frac{dq^\mu}{ds} - H_1(q^\nu, p_\nu) \right] ds = \delta \int_{s_a}^{s_b} \left[\sum_{\mu=0}^n P_\mu \frac{dQ^\mu}{ds} - H'_1(Q^\nu, P_\nu) \right] ds. \quad (30)$$

As we are operating with *functionals*, the condition (30) holds if the *integrands* differ at most by the derivative dF_1/ds of an arbitrary differentiable function $F_1(q^\nu, Q^\nu)$

$$\sum_{\mu=0}^n p_\mu \frac{dq^\mu}{ds} - H_1 = \sum_{\mu=0}^n P_\mu \frac{dQ^\mu}{ds} - H'_1 + \frac{dF_1}{ds}. \quad (31)$$

We restrict ourselves to functions $F_1(q^\nu, Q^\nu)$ of the old and the new extended configuration space variables, hence to a function of those variables, whose derivatives are contained in Eq. (31). Calculating the s -derivative of F_1 ,

$$\frac{dF_1}{ds} = \sum_{\mu=0}^n \left[\frac{\partial F_1}{\partial q^\mu} \frac{dq^\mu}{ds} + \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial Q^\mu} \frac{dQ^\mu}{ds} \right], \quad (32)$$

we then get *unique* transformation rules by comparing the coefficients of Eq. (32) with those of (31)

$$p_\mu = \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial q^\mu}, \quad P_\mu = -\frac{\partial F_1}{\partial Q^\mu}, \quad H'_1 = H_1. \quad (33)$$

F_1 is referred to as the *extended generating function* of the—now generalized—canonical transformation. The extended Hamiltonian has the important property to be conserved under these transformations. Corresponding to the extended set of canonical equations, the additional transformation rule is given for the index $\mu = 0$. This transformation rule may be expressed equivalently in terms of $t(s)$, $e(s)$, and $T(s)$, $E(s)$ as

$$e = -\frac{\partial F_1}{\partial t}, \quad E = \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial T}, \quad (34)$$

with E , correspondingly to Eq. (18), the value of the transformed Hamiltonian H'

$$P_0(s) = -\frac{E(s)}{c}, \quad E(s) \stackrel{\neq}{=} H'(\mathbf{Q}(s), \mathbf{P}(s), T(s)). \quad (35)$$

The addressed transformed Hamiltonian H' is finally obtained from the general correlation of conventional and extended Hamiltonians from Eq. (23), and the transformation rule $H'_1 = H_1$ for the extended Hamiltonian from Eq. (33)

$$\left[H'(\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{P}, T) - E \right] \frac{dT}{ds} = \left[H(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, t) - e \right] \frac{dt}{ds}.$$

Eliminating the evolution parameter s , we arrive at the following two equivalent transformation rules for the conventional Hamiltonians under extended canonical transformations

$$\begin{aligned} \left[H'(\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{P}, T) - E \right] \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} &= H(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, t) - e \\ \left[H(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, t) - e \right] \frac{\partial t}{\partial T} &= H'(\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{P}, T) - E. \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

The transformation rules (37) are generalizations of the rule for conventional canonical transformations as now cases with $T \neq t$ are included. We will see at the end of this section that the rules (37) merge for the particular case $T = t$ into the corresponding rules of conventional canonical transformation theory.

By means of the Legendre transformation

$$F_2(q^\nu, P_\nu) = F_1(q^\nu, Q^\nu) + \sum_{\mu=0}^n Q^\mu P_\mu, \quad P_\mu = -\frac{\partial F_1}{\partial Q^\mu}, \quad (38)$$

we may express the extended generating function of a generalized canonical transformation equivalently as a function of the original extended configuration space variables q^ν and the extended set of transformed canonical momenta P_ν . As, by definition, the functions F_1 and F_2 agree in their dependence on the q^μ , so do the corresponding transformation rules

$$\frac{\partial F_1}{\partial q^\mu} = \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial q^\mu} = p_\mu.$$

This means that all q^μ do not take part in the transformation defined by (38). Hence, for the Legendre transformation, we may regard the functional dependence of the generating functions to be reduced to $F_1 = F_1(Q^\nu)$ and $F_2 = F_2(P_\nu)$. The new transformation rule pertaining to F_2 thus follows from the P_ν -dependence of F_2

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial P_\nu} &= \sum_{\mu=0}^n \left[\frac{\partial F_1}{\partial Q^\mu} \frac{\partial Q^\mu}{\partial P_\nu} + P_\mu \frac{\partial Q^\mu}{\partial P_\nu} + Q^\mu \frac{\partial P_\mu}{\partial P_\nu} \right] \\ &= \sum_{\mu=0}^n \left[-P_\mu \frac{\partial Q^\mu}{\partial P_\nu} + P_\mu \frac{\partial Q^\mu}{\partial P_\nu} + Q^\mu \delta_\mu^\nu \right] \\ &= Q^\nu. \end{aligned}$$

The new set of transformation rules, which is, of course, equivalent to the previous set from Eq. (33), is thus

$$p_\mu = \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial q^\mu}, \quad Q^\mu = \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial P_\mu}, \quad H'_1 = H_1. \quad (40)$$

Expressed in terms of the variables \mathbf{q} , \mathbf{p} , t , e , and \mathbf{Q} , \mathbf{P} , T , E the new set of coordinate transformation rules takes on the more elaborate form

$$p_i = \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial q^i}, \quad Q^i = \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial P_i}, \quad e = -\frac{\partial F_2}{\partial t}, \quad T = -\frac{\partial F_2}{\partial E}. \quad (41)$$

Similarly to the conventional theory of canonical transformations, there are two more possibilities to define a generating function of an extended canonical transformation. By means of the Legendre transformation

$$F_3(p_\nu, Q^\nu) = F_1(q^\nu, Q^\nu) - \sum_{\mu=0}^n q^\mu p_\mu, \quad p_\mu = -\frac{\partial F_1}{\partial q^\mu},$$

we find in the same manner as above the transformation rules

$$q^\mu = -\frac{\partial F_3}{\partial p_\mu}, \quad P_\mu = -\frac{\partial F_3}{\partial Q^\mu}, \quad H'_1 = H_1. \quad (43)$$

12 *J. Struckmeier*

Finally, applying the Legendre transformation, defined by

$$F_4(p_\nu, P_\nu) = F_3(p_\nu, Q^\nu) + \sum_{\mu=0}^n Q^\mu P_\mu, \quad P_\mu = -\frac{\partial F_3}{\partial Q^\mu},$$

the following equivalent version of transformation rules emerges

$$q^\mu = -\frac{\partial F_4}{\partial p_\mu}, \quad Q^\mu = \frac{\partial F_4}{\partial P_\mu}, \quad H'_1 = H_1.$$

Calculating the second derivatives of the generating functions, we conclude that the following correlations for the derivatives of the general mapping from Eq. (29) must hold for the entire set of extended phase-space variables,

$$\frac{\partial Q^\mu}{\partial q^\nu} = \frac{\partial p_\nu}{\partial P_\mu}, \quad \frac{\partial Q^\mu}{\partial p_\nu} = -\frac{\partial q^\nu}{\partial P_\mu}, \quad \frac{\partial P_\mu}{\partial q^\nu} = -\frac{\partial p_\nu}{\partial Q^\mu}, \quad \frac{\partial P_\mu}{\partial p_\nu} = \frac{\partial q^\nu}{\partial Q^\mu}.$$

Exactly if these conditions are fulfilled for all $\mu, \nu = 0, \dots, n$, then the extended coordinate transformation (29) is canonical and preserves the form of the extended set of canonical equations (21). Otherwise, we are dealing with a general, non-canonical coordinate transformation that does *not* preserve the form of the canonical equations.

The connection of the extended canonical transformation theory with the conventional one is furnished by the particular extended generating function

$$F_2(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{P}, t, E) = f_2(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{P}, t) - tE, \quad (47)$$

with $f_2(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{P}, t)$ denoting a conventional generating function. According to Eqs. (41), the coordinate transformation rules following from (47) are

$$p_i = \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial q^i}, \quad Q^i = \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial P_i}, \quad e = -\frac{\partial f_2}{\partial t} + E, \quad T = t.$$

Together with the general transformation rule (37) for conventional Hamiltonians, we find the well-known rule for Hamiltonians under conventional canonical transformations,

$$H'(\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{P}, t) = H(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, t) + E - e = H(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, t) + \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial t}.$$

Canonical transformations that are defined by extended generating functions of the form of Eq. (47) leave the time variable unchanged and thus define the subgroup of conventional canonical transformations within the general group of extended canonical transformations. Corresponding to the trivial extended Hamiltonian from Eq. (27), we may refer to (47) as the *trivial extended generating function*.

2.4. *Extended Hamilton-Jacobi equation*

In the context of the extended canonical transformation theory, we may derive an extended version of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation. We are looking for a generating function $F_2(q^\nu, P_\nu)$ of an extended canonical transformation that maps a given extended Hamiltonian $H_1 = 0$ into a transformed extended Hamiltonian that vanishes *identically*, $H'_1 \equiv 0$, in the sense that *all* partial derivatives of $H'_1(Q^\nu, P_\nu)$ vanish. Then, according to the extended

set of canonical equations (21), the derivatives of all canonical variables $Q^\mu(s), P_\mu(s)$ with respect to the system's evolution parameter s must vanish as well

$$\frac{\partial H'_1}{\partial P_\mu} = 0 = \frac{dQ^\mu}{ds}, \quad -\frac{\partial H'_1}{\partial Q^\mu} = 0 = \frac{dP_\mu}{ds}, \quad \mu = 0, \dots, n. \quad (50)$$

This means that *all* transformed canonical variables Q^μ, P_μ must be constants of motion. Writing the variables for the index $\mu = 0$ separately, we thus have

$$T = \alpha^0 = \text{const.}, \quad Q^i = \alpha^i = \text{const.}, \quad E = -\beta_0 = \text{const.}, \quad P_i = \beta_i = \text{const.}$$

Thus, corresponding to the conventional Hamilton-Jacobi formalism, the vectors of the transformed canonical variables, \mathbf{Q} and \mathbf{P} , are constant. Yet, in the extended formalism, the transformed time T is also a constant. The particular generating function $F_2(q^\nu, P_\nu)$ that defines transformation rules for the extended set of canonical variables such that Eqs. (50) hold for the transformed variables thus defines a mapping of the entire system into its state at a fixed instant of time, hence—up to trivial shifts in the origin of the time scale—into its initial state at $T = t(0)$

$$T = t(0), \quad Q^i = q^i(0), \quad P_i = p_i(0), \quad E = H(\mathbf{q}(0), \mathbf{p}(0), t(0)).$$

We may refer to this particular generating function as the *extended Hamiltonian action function* $F_2 \equiv S_1(q^\nu, P_\nu)$. According to the transformation rule $H'_1 = H_1$ for extended Hamiltonians from Eq. (33), we obtain the transformed extended Hamiltonian $H'_1 \equiv 0$ simply by expressing the original extended Hamiltonian $H_1 = 0$ in terms of the transformed variables. This means for the conventional Hamiltonian $H(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, t)$ according to Eq. (23) in conjunction with the transformation rules from Eqs. (41),

$$\left[H \left(\mathbf{q}, \frac{\partial S_1}{\partial \mathbf{q}}, t \right) + \frac{\partial S_1}{\partial t} \right] \frac{dt}{ds} = 0.$$

As we have $ds/dt \neq 0$ in general, we finally get the generalized form of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation,

$$H \left(q^1, \dots, q^n, \frac{\partial S_1}{\partial q^1}, \dots, \frac{\partial S_1}{\partial q^n}, t \right) + \frac{\partial S_1}{\partial t} = 0. \quad (54)$$

Equation (54) has exactly the *form* of the conventional Hamilton-Jacobi equation. Yet, it is actually a *generalization* as the extended action function S_1 represents an *extended* generating function of type F_2 , as defined by Eq. (38). This means that S_1 is also a function of the (constant) transformed energy $E = -P(0) = -\beta_0$.

Summarizing, the extended Hamilton-Jacobi equation may be interpreted as defining the mapping of all canonical coordinates $\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, t$, and e of the actual system into constants $\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{P}, T$, and E . In other words, it defines the mapping of the entire dynamical system from its actual state at time t into its state at a *fixed instant of time*, T , which could be the initial conditions.

2.5. Generalized path integral with extended Lagrangians

In Feynman's path integral approach to quantum mechanics, the space and time evolution of a wave function $\psi(\mathbf{q}, t)$ is formulated in terms of a transition amplitude density $K(b, a)$, also referred to as a *kernel*, or, a *propagator*. Its space-time generalization writes

$$\psi(\mathbf{q}_b, t_b) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(\mathbf{q}_b, t_b; \mathbf{q}_a, t_a) \psi(\mathbf{q}_a, t_a) d\mathbf{q}_a dt_a. \quad (55)$$

Obviously, this propagator $K(b, a)$ has the dimension of a space-time density. The justification for integrating over all times is that in relativistic quantum physics we must allow the laboratory time t to take any value—negative and even positive ones—if we regard t from the viewpoint of a particle with its proper time s . We thus additionally integrate over all *histories* of the particle. The integration over all *futures* can then be interpreted as integration over all histories of the *anti-particle*, whose proper time scale runs backwards in terms of the particle's proper time scale²¹.

The kernel $K_\sigma(b, a)$ for a parameterized action S_1 is given by the multiple path integral²²

$$K_\sigma(b, a) = \iint \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} S_1[\mathbf{q}(s), t(s)] \right\} \mathcal{D}\mathbf{q}(s) \mathcal{D}t(s). \quad (56)$$

Herein, the integrals are to be taken over all paths that go from (\mathbf{q}_a, t_a) at s_a to (\mathbf{q}_b, t_b) at s_b . The action functional S_1 stands for the s -integral over the extended Lagrangian L_1 , as defined by Eq. (2).

In classical dynamics, the parameterization of space and time variables can be eliminated by means of the constraint function (12). For the corresponding quantum description, the uncertainty principle from Eq. (26) applies. It tells us that an *accurate* fulfillment of the constraint is related to a *complete uncertainty* about the parameterization of the system's variables in terms of s . Therefore, in the context of the path integral approach, the constraint is incorporated by *integrating* the parameterized kernel $K_\sigma(b, a)$ over all possible parameterizations $\sigma = s_b - s_a > 0$ of coordinates $\mathbf{q}(s)$ and time $t(s)$. The transition amplitude density is thus given by

$$K(b, a) = \frac{1}{N} \int_0^\infty K_\sigma(b, a) d\sigma. \quad (57)$$

This means that *all* parameterized kernels $K_\sigma(b, a)$ contribute with *equal weight* to the total transition amplitude $K(b, a)$. The normalization factor N is determined by the requirement that the integration (55) should preserve the norm of the wave function ψ . As an example, we calculate in Sect. 3.7 the explicit form of the space-time propagator for the wave function of a relativistic free particle from the extended Lagrangian L_1 of the pertaining classical system.

For an infinitesimal step $\epsilon = s_b - s_a$, we may approximate the action functional S_1 from Eq. (2) by

$$S_{1,\epsilon}[q^\mu(s)] = \epsilon L_1 \left(\frac{q_b^\mu + q_a^\mu}{2}, \frac{q_b^\mu - q_a^\mu}{\epsilon} \right).$$

For $s_b = s_a + \epsilon$, the kernel $K_\sigma(s_a + \epsilon, s_a)$ from Eq. (56) that yields the transition amplitude density for a particle along this infinitesimal interval $s_b - s_a$ is accordingly given by

$$K(b, a) = \frac{1}{M} \exp \left[\frac{i}{\hbar} S_{1, \epsilon} \right].$$

As we proceed an infinitesimal step ϵ only, and then take the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, the integration (57) over all possible parameterizations of this step must be omitted. For, conversely to the situation discussed beforehand, a small $\epsilon = \Delta s$ is related to a large uncertainty with respect to satisfying the constraint, so that in the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ the constraint ceases to exist.

The yet to be determined normalization factor M represents the integration measure for one step of the multiple path integral (56). Clearly, this measure must depend on the step size ϵ . The transition of a given wave function $\psi(q_a^\mu)$ at the particle's proper time s_a to the wave function $\psi(q_b^\mu)$ that is separated by an infinitesimal proper time interval $\epsilon = s_b - s_a$ can now be formulated according to Eq. (55) as

$$\psi(q_b^\mu) = \frac{1}{M} \int \exp \left[\frac{i}{\hbar} S_{1, \epsilon} \right] \psi(q_a^\mu) d^4 q_a. \quad (60)$$

Note that we integrate here over the entire space-time. To serve as test for this approach, we derive in Sect. 3.6 the Klein-Gordon equation on the basis of the extended Lagrangian L_1 for a relativistic particle in an external electromagnetic field.

3. Examples of extended Hamilton-Lagrange systems

3.1. Extended Lagrangian for a relativistic free particle

As only expressions of the form $\mathbf{q}^2 - c^2 t^2$ are preserved under the Lorentz group, the conventional Lagrangian for a *free point particle* of mass m , given by

$$L_{\text{nr}} \left(\mathbf{q}, \frac{d\mathbf{q}}{dt}, t \right) = T - V = \frac{1}{2} m \left(\frac{d\mathbf{q}}{dt} \right)^2 - mc^2, \quad (61)$$

is obviously not Lorentz-invariant. Yet, in the extended description, a corresponding Lorentz-invariant Lagrangian L_1 can be constructed by introducing s as the new independent variable, and by treating the space and time variables, $\mathbf{q}(s)$ and $q^0 = ct(s)$ equally. This is achieved by adding the corresponding derivative of the time variable $t(s)$,

$$L_1 \left(\mathbf{q}, \frac{d\mathbf{q}}{ds}, t, \frac{dt}{ds} \right) = \frac{1}{2} mc^2 \left[\frac{1}{c^2} \left(\frac{d\mathbf{q}}{ds} \right)^2 - \left(\frac{dt}{ds} \right)^2 - 1 \right]. \quad (62)$$

The constant third term has been defined accordingly to ensure that L_1 converges to L_{nr} in the limit $dt/ds \rightarrow 1$. Of course, the dynamics following from (61) and (62) are *different*—which reflects the modification our dynamics encounters if we switch from a non-relativistic to a relativistic description. With the Lagrangian (62), we obtain from Eq. (12) the constraint

$$\left(\frac{dt}{ds} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{c^2} \left(\frac{d\mathbf{q}}{ds} \right)^2 - 1 = 0. \quad (63)$$

As usual for constrained Lagrangian systems, we *must not* insert back the constraint function into the Lagrangian prior to setting up the Euler-Lagrange equations. Physically, the constraint (63) reflects the fact that the square of the four-velocity vector is constant. It equals $-c^2$ if the sign convention of the Minkowski metric is defined as $\eta^{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(-1, +1, +1, +1)$. We thus find that in the case of the Lagrangian (62) the system evolution parameter s is physically nothing else than the particle's proper time. In contrast to the non-relativistic description, the constant rest energy term $-\frac{1}{2}mc^2$ in the extended Lagrangian (62) is essential. The constraint can alternatively be expressed as

$$\frac{ds}{dt} = \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{c^2} \left(\frac{d\mathbf{q}}{dt} \right)^2} = \gamma^{-1},$$

which yields the usual relativistic scale factor, γ . The *conventional* Lagrangian L that describes the *same dynamics* as the extended Lagrangian L_1 from Eq. (62) is derived according to Eq. (7)

$$\begin{aligned} L \left(\mathbf{q}, \frac{d\mathbf{q}}{dt}, t \right) &= L_1 \left(\mathbf{q}, \frac{d\mathbf{q}}{ds}, t, \frac{dt}{ds} \right) \frac{ds}{dt} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}mc^2 \left[\frac{1}{c^2} \left(\frac{d\mathbf{q}}{dt} \right)^2 \frac{dt}{ds} - \frac{dt}{ds} - \frac{ds}{dt} \right] \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}mc^2 \left\{ \frac{ds}{dt} + \frac{dt}{ds} \left[1 - \frac{1}{c^2} \left(\frac{d\mathbf{q}}{dt} \right)^2 \right] \right\} \\ &= -mc^2 \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{c^2} \left(\frac{d\mathbf{q}}{dt} \right)^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (65)$$

We thus encounter the well-known conventional Lagrangian of a relativistic free particle. In contrast to the equivalent extended Lagrangian from Eq. (62), the Lagrangian (65) is *not* quadratic in the derivatives of the dependent variables, $\mathbf{q}(t)$. The loss of the quadratic form originates from the *projection* of the constrained description on the tangent bundle $T(\mathbb{M} \times \mathbb{R})$ to the unconstrained description on $(T\mathbb{M}) \times \mathbb{R}$. The quadratic form is recovered in the non-relativistic limit by expanding the square root, which yields the Lagrangian L_{nr} from Eq. (61).

3.2. *Extended Lagrangian for a relativistic particle in an external electromagnetic field*

The extended Lagrangian L_1 of a point particle of mass m and charge ζ in an external electromagnetic field that is described by the potentials (ϕ, \mathbf{A}) is given by

$$L_1 \left(\mathbf{q}, \frac{d\mathbf{q}}{ds}, t, \frac{dt}{ds} \right) = \frac{1}{2}mc^2 \left[\frac{1}{c^2} \left(\frac{d\mathbf{q}}{ds} \right)^2 - \left(\frac{dt}{ds} \right)^2 - 1 \right] + \frac{\zeta}{c} \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{q}, t) \frac{d\mathbf{q}}{ds} - \zeta \phi(\mathbf{q}, t) \frac{dt}{ds}. \quad (66)$$

The associated constraint function coincides with that for the free-particle Lagrangian from Eq. (63) as all terms linear in the velocities drop out calculating the difference in Eq. (12).

Similar to the free particle case from Eq. (65), the extended Lagrangian (66) may be projected into $(TM) \times \mathbb{R}$ to yield the well-known conventional relativistic Lagrangian L

$$L\left(\mathbf{q}, \frac{d\mathbf{q}}{dt}, t\right) = -mc^2 \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{c^2} \left(\frac{d\mathbf{q}}{dt}\right)^2} + \frac{\zeta}{c} \mathbf{A} \frac{d\mathbf{q}}{dt} - \zeta \phi. \quad (67)$$

Again, the quadratic form of the velocity terms is lost owing to the projection.

For small velocity $d\mathbf{q}/dt$, the quadratic form is regained as the square root in (67) may be expanded to yield the conventional non-relativistic Lagrangian for a point particle in an external electromagnetic field,

$$L_{\text{nr}}\left(\mathbf{q}, \frac{d\mathbf{q}}{dt}, t\right) = \frac{1}{2}m \left(\frac{d\mathbf{q}}{dt}\right)^2 + \frac{\zeta}{c} \mathbf{A} \frac{d\mathbf{q}}{dt} - \zeta \phi - mc^2. \quad (68)$$

Significantly, this Lagrangian can be derived *directly*, hence without the detour over the projected Lagrangian (67), from the extended Lagrangian (66) by letting $dt/ds \rightarrow 1$.

It is instructive to review the Lagrangian (66) and its non-relativistic limit (68) in covariant notation. Denoting by q^μ the components of the contravariant four-vector of space-time variables $(q^0, \dots, q^3) = (ct, x, y, z)$, the corresponding covariant vector is then $(q_0, \dots, q_3) = (-ct, x, y, z)$ for the metric $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ used here. With Einstein's summation convention and the notation $A_0(q^\mu) = -\phi(q^\mu)$, the extended Lagrangian (66) then writes

$$L_1\left(q^\mu, \frac{dq^\mu}{ds}\right) = \frac{1}{2}m \frac{dq^\alpha}{ds} \frac{dq_\alpha}{ds} + \frac{\zeta}{c} A_\alpha \frac{dq^\alpha}{ds} - \frac{1}{2}mc^2. \quad (69)$$

Correspondingly, the non-relativistic Lagrangian (68) has the equivalent representation

$$L_{\text{nr}}\left(q^\mu, \frac{dq^\mu}{dt}\right) = \frac{1}{2}m \frac{dq^\alpha}{dt} \frac{dq_\alpha}{dt} + \frac{\zeta}{c} A_\alpha \frac{dq^\alpha}{dt} - \frac{1}{2}mc^2. \quad (70)$$

Note that $(dq^0/dt)(dq_0/dt) = -c^2$, which yields the second half of the rest energy term, so that (70) indeed agrees with (68). Comparing the Lagrangian(70) with the extended Lagrangian from Eq. (69)—and correspondingly the Lagrangians (66) and (68)—we notice that the transition to the non-relativistic description is made by identifying the proper time s with the laboratory time $t = q^0/c$. The remarkable formal similarity of the Lorentz-invariant extended Lagrangian (69) with the non-invariant conventional Lagrangian (70) suggests that approaches based on non-relativistic Lagrangians L_{nr} may be transposed to a relativistic description by (i) introducing the proper time s as the new system evolution parameter, (ii) treating the time $t(s)$ as an *additional dependent variable* on equal footing with the configuration space variables $\mathbf{q}(s)$ —commonly referred to as the “principle of homogeneity in space-time”—and (iii) by replacing the conventional non-relativistic Lagrangian L_{nr} with the corresponding Lorentz-invariant extended Lagrangian L_1 , similar to the transition from (70) to (69).

3.3. Extended Hamiltonian for a relativistic particle in an external electromagnetic field

The Hamiltonian counterpart H_1 of the extended Lagrangian (66) for a relativistic point particle in an external electromagnetic field is obtained via the Legendre transformation

prescription from Eqs. (15) and (16). The transition to the extended Hamiltonian H_1 is easiest calculated by starting from the covariant form (69) of L_1 and afterwards converting the results to 3-vector notation. According to Eqs. (16) and (19), the canonical momenta p_μ are introduced by

$$p_\mu = \frac{\partial L_1}{\partial \left(\frac{dq^\mu}{ds} \right)} = m \frac{dq_\mu}{ds} + \frac{\zeta}{c} A_\mu = p_{\mu,k} + \frac{\zeta}{c} A_\mu. \quad (71)$$

We notice that the *kinetic* momentum $p_{\mu,k} = m dq_\mu/ds$ differs from the *canonical* momentum p_μ in the case of a non-vanishing external potential $A_\mu \neq 0$. The condition for the Legendre transform of L_1 to exist is that its Hessian matrix $\partial^2 L_1 / [\partial(dq^\mu/ds) \partial(dq_\nu/ds)]$ must be non-singular, hence that the determinant of this matrix does not vanish. For the Lagrangian L_1 from Eq. (69), this is actually the case as

$$\det \left(\frac{\partial^2 L_1}{\partial \left(\frac{dq^\mu}{ds} \right) \partial \left(\frac{dq_\nu}{ds} \right)} \right) = m^n \neq 0.$$

This falsifies claims made in literature²³ that the Hesse matrix associated with an extended Lagrangian L_1 be *generally singular*, and that for this reason an extended Hamiltonian H_1 *generally* could not be obtained by a Legendre transformation of an extended Lagrangian L_1 .

With the Hessian condition being actually satisfied, the extended Hamiltonian H_1 that follows as the Legendre transform (15) of L_1 reads

$$\begin{aligned} H_1(q^\mu, p_\mu) &= \frac{dq^\alpha}{ds} \left(m \frac{dq_\alpha}{ds} + \frac{\zeta}{c} A_\alpha \right) - \frac{1}{2} m \frac{dq^\alpha}{ds} \frac{dq_\alpha}{ds} - \frac{\zeta}{c} A_\alpha \frac{dq^\alpha}{ds} + \frac{1}{2} mc^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} m \frac{dq^\alpha}{ds} \frac{dq_\alpha}{ds} + \frac{1}{2} mc^2. \end{aligned}$$

As any Hamiltonian must be expressed in terms of the canonical momenta rather than through velocities, H_1 takes on the more elaborate final form

$$H_1(q^\mu, p_\mu) = \frac{1}{2m} \left(p_\alpha - \frac{\zeta}{c} A_\alpha \right) \left(p^\alpha - \frac{\zeta}{c} A^\alpha \right) + \frac{1}{2} mc^2. \quad (73)$$

In covariant notation, the constraint $H_1 = 0$ thus follows as

$$\left(p_\alpha - \frac{\zeta}{c} A_\alpha \right) \left(p^\alpha - \frac{\zeta}{c} A^\alpha \right) + m^2 c^2 = 0.$$

In terms of the conventional 3-vectors for the canonical momentum \mathbf{p} and vector potential \mathbf{A} , and the scalars, energy e and electric potential ϕ , the extended Hamiltonian H_1 is equivalently expressed as

$$H_1(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, t, e) = \frac{1}{2m} \left[\left(\mathbf{p} - \frac{\zeta}{c} \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{q}, t) \right)^2 - \left(\frac{e - \zeta \phi(\mathbf{q}, t)}{c} \right)^2 \right] + \frac{1}{2} mc^2, \quad (75)$$

and the constraint $H_1 = 0$ furnishes the usual relativistic energy relation

$$(e - \zeta \phi(\mathbf{q}, t))^2 = c^2 \left(\mathbf{p} - \frac{\zeta}{c} \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{q}, t) \right)^2 + m^2 c^4. \quad (76)$$

The *conventional* Hamiltonian $H(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, t)$ that describes the same dynamics is determined according to Eq. (18) as the particular *function*, whose *value* coincides with e . Solving $H_1 = 0$ from Eq. (75) for e , we directly find H as the left-hand side of the equation $H = e$,

$$H = \sqrt{c^2 \left(\mathbf{p} - \frac{\zeta}{c} \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{q}, t) \right)^2 + m^2 c^4} + \zeta \phi(\mathbf{q}, t) = e. \quad (77)$$

The Hamiltonian $H_{\text{nr}}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, t)$ that describes the particle dynamics in the non-relativistic limit is obtained from the Lorentz-invariant Hamiltonian (77) by expanding the square root

$$H_{\text{nr}} = \frac{1}{2m} \left(\mathbf{p} - \frac{\zeta}{c} \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{q}, t) \right)^2 + \zeta \phi(\mathbf{q}, t) + mc^2.$$

In contrast to the extended Lagrangian description, a *direct* way to transpose the relativistic extended Hamiltonian from Eq. (75) into the non-relativistic Hamiltonian H_{nr} does not exist. We conclude that the Lagrangian approach is more appropriate if we want to “translate” a given non-relativistic Hamilton-Lagrange system into the corresponding Lorentz-invariant description.

In order to show that the extended Hamiltonian (75) and the well-known conventional Hamiltonian (77) indeed yield the same dynamics, we now set up the extended set of canonical equations (21) for the covariant extended Hamiltonian (73)

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{\partial H_1}{\partial q^\mu} &= \frac{dp_\mu}{ds} = \frac{\zeta}{mc} \left(p_\alpha - \frac{\zeta}{c} A_\alpha \right) \frac{\partial A^\alpha}{\partial q^\mu} \\ \frac{\partial H_1}{\partial p_\mu} &= \frac{dq^\mu}{ds} = \frac{1}{m} \left(p^\mu - \frac{\zeta}{c} A^\mu \right). \end{aligned} \quad (79)$$

In the notation of scalars and 3-vectors, the pair of equations (79) separates into the following equivalent set of four equations

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dp_i}{ds} &= \frac{\zeta}{mc} \left(\mathbf{p} - \frac{\zeta}{c} \mathbf{A} \right) \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial q^i} - \frac{\zeta}{mc^2} (e - \zeta \phi) \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial q^i} \\ \frac{de}{ds} &= -\frac{\zeta}{mc} \left(\mathbf{p} - \frac{\zeta}{c} \mathbf{A} \right) \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t} + \frac{\zeta}{mc^2} (e - \zeta \phi) \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} \\ \frac{dq^i}{ds} &= \frac{1}{m} \left(p^i - \frac{\zeta}{c} A^i \right) \\ \frac{dt}{ds} &= \frac{1}{mc^2} (e - \zeta \phi). \end{aligned} \quad (80)$$

From the last equation, we deduce the derivative of the inverse function $s = s(t)$ and insert the constraint from Eq. (76)

$$\frac{ds}{dt} = \frac{mc^2}{e - \zeta \phi} = \frac{mc^2}{\sqrt{c^2 \left(\mathbf{p} - \frac{\zeta}{c} \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{q}, t) \right)^2 + m^2 c^4}}. \quad (81)$$

20 *J. Struckmeier*

The canonical equations (80) can now be expressed equivalently with the time t as the independent variable

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{dp_i}{dt} &= -\frac{dp_i}{ds} \frac{ds}{dt} = -\frac{\zeta c}{\sqrt{c^2 \left(\mathbf{p} - \frac{\zeta}{c} \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{q}, t)\right)^2 + m^2 c^4}} \left(\mathbf{p} - \frac{\zeta}{c} \mathbf{A}\right) \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial q^i} + \zeta \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial q^i} \\ \frac{de}{dt} &= \frac{de}{ds} \frac{ds}{dt} = -\frac{\zeta c}{\sqrt{c^2 \left(\mathbf{p} - \frac{\zeta}{c} \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{q}, t)\right)^2 + m^2 c^4}} \left(\mathbf{p} - \frac{\zeta}{c} \mathbf{A}\right) \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t} + \zeta \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} \\ \frac{dq^i}{dt} &= \frac{dq^i}{ds} \frac{ds}{dt} = \frac{c^2}{\sqrt{c^2 \left(\mathbf{p} - \frac{\zeta}{c} \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{q}, t)\right)^2 + m^2 c^4}} \left(p^i - \frac{\zeta}{c} A^i\right). \end{aligned} \quad (82)$$

The right-hand sides of Eqs. (82) are exactly the partial derivatives $\partial H/\partial q^i$, $\partial H/\partial t$, and $\partial H/\partial p_i$ of the Hamiltonian (77)—and hence its canonical equations, which was to be shown.

The physical meaning of the dt/ds is worked out by casting it to the equivalent form

$$\frac{dt}{ds} = \sqrt{1 + \frac{\left(\mathbf{p} - \frac{\zeta}{c} \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{q}, t)\right)^2}{m^2 c^2}} = \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{\mathbf{p}_k(s)}{mc}\right)^2} = \gamma(s),$$

with $\mathbf{p}_k(s)$ the instantaneous *kinetic* momentum of the particle. The dimensionless quantity dt/ds thus represents the instantaneous value of the relativistic scale factor γ .

3.4. Lorentz transformation as an extended canonical transformation

We know that the Lorentz transformation provides the rules according to which a physical system is transformed from one inertial reference system into another. On the other hand, a mapping of one Hamiltonian into another is constituted by a canonical transformation. Consequently, the Lorentz transformation must be a particular canonical transformation. As the Lorentz transformation *always* involves a transformation of the time scales $t \mapsto T$, this transformation can only be represented by an *extended* canonical transformation. Its generating function F_2 is given by

$$F_2(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{P}_k, t, E_k) = \mathbf{P}_k \mathbf{q} - \gamma \left[E_k t + \boldsymbol{\beta} \left(\mathbf{P}_k c t - \frac{E_k}{c} \mathbf{q} \right) \right] + \frac{\gamma - 1}{\beta^2} (\boldsymbol{\beta} \mathbf{P}_k) (\boldsymbol{\beta} \mathbf{q}), \quad (84)$$

with $\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{v}/c$ the constant vector that delineates the scaled relative velocity \mathbf{v} of both reference systems, and γ the dimensionless relativistic scale factor $\gamma = 1/\sqrt{1 - \beta^2}$. In order to also cover cases where the particle moves within an external potential, the index “k” indicates that the momenta and the energy are to be understood as the “kinetic” quantities, as defined in Eq. (71). The generating function (84) generalizes the free-particle generator presented earlier in Ref. ¹⁹. The general transformation rules (41) for extended generating

functions of type F_2 yield for the particular generator from Eq. (84)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{p}_k &= \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial \mathbf{q}} = \mathbf{P}_k + \frac{\gamma\beta}{c} E_k + \frac{\gamma-1}{\beta^2} \beta(\beta\mathbf{P}_k), & e_k &= -\frac{\partial F_2}{\partial t} = \gamma E_k + c\gamma\beta\mathbf{P}_k, \\ \mathbf{Q} &= \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial \mathbf{P}_k} = \mathbf{q} - \gamma\beta ct + \frac{\gamma-1}{\beta^2} \beta(\beta\mathbf{q}), & T &= -\frac{\partial F_2}{\partial E_k} = \gamma t - \frac{\gamma}{c} \beta\mathbf{q}. \end{aligned}$$

In matrix form, the transformation rules for the space-time coordinates, \mathbf{Q} and T , are

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Q} \\ cT \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \left(\frac{\gamma-1}{\beta^2}\beta\right)\beta & -\gamma\beta \\ -\gamma\beta & \gamma \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{q} \\ ct \end{pmatrix}. \quad (85)$$

The corresponding linear relation for the kinetic momentum vector \mathbf{p}_k and the kinetic energy e_k is

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{p}_k \\ e_k/c \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \left(\frac{\gamma-1}{\beta^2}\beta\right)\beta & \gamma\beta \\ \gamma\beta & \gamma \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{P}_k \\ E_k/c \end{pmatrix}. \quad (86)$$

If we replace the kinetic momenta with the canonical momenta according to Eq. (71), it is not astonishing to find that the external potentials obey the same transformation rule as the momenta,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} \\ \phi \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \left(\frac{\gamma-1}{\beta^2}\beta\right)\beta & \gamma\beta \\ \gamma\beta & \gamma \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A}' \\ \phi' \end{pmatrix}.$$

We easily convince ourselves that the transformation (85) preserves the constraint (63) that equally applies for a particle in an external potential. Correspondingly, the transformation (86) preserves the constraints (76). As a consequence, we have established the important result that the extended Hamiltonian H_1 from Eq. (75) is also preserved under Lorentz transformations

$$H'_1(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q}, T, E) = H_1(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}, t, e).$$

This is in agreement with the general canonical transformation rule for extended Hamiltonians from Eq. (33)

According to the subsequent rule for the conventional Hamiltonians, H and H' , from Eq. (37), and $\partial T/\partial t = \gamma$, we find

$$(H' - E_k)\gamma = H - e_k. \quad (89)$$

In conjunction with the energy transformation rule from Eq. (86), $e_k = \gamma E_k + \beta\gamma\mathbf{P}_k c$, we get from Eq. (89) the transformation rule for a Hamiltonian H under Lorentz transformations

$$H = \gamma(H' + \beta c\mathbf{P}_k).$$

As expected, the Hamiltonians, H and H' , transform equally as their respective values, e_k and E_k .

3.5. Canonical quantization in the extended Hamiltonian formalism

The transition from classical dynamics to the corresponding quantum description is most easily made in terms of the “canonical quantization prescription.” The quantum description of a dynamical system whose classical limit is represented by a Hamiltonian H is accordingly obtained by reinterpreting our dynamical variables $q^\mu(s)$ and $p_\mu(s)$ as *operators* $\hat{q}^\mu(s)$ and $\hat{p}_\mu(s)$ that act on a *wave function* ψ . In the space representation, the quantum mechanical operators are

$$\hat{q}^\mu = q^\mu, \quad \hat{p}_\mu = -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial q^\mu}. \quad (91)$$

In the *extended formalism*, an additional pair of operators is given for the index $\mu = 0$. Because of $q^0 \equiv ct$, $p_0 \equiv -e/c$, these operators are expressed equivalently as

$$\hat{t} = t, \quad \hat{e} = i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t}.$$

With e_1 denoting the *value* of the extended Hamiltonian H_1 , we encountered in Sect. 2.2 another additional pair of canonically conjugate variables, (e_1, s) . The corresponding operators are

$$\hat{s} = s, \quad \hat{e}_1 = i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial s}.$$

For explicitly s -dependent extended Hamiltonians H_1 and wave functions $\psi(q^\mu, s)$, the classical equation $H_1 = e_1$ from Eq. (24) thus translates into the equation of motion for the wave function $\psi(q^\mu, s)$,

$$\hat{H}_1 \psi = i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial s}.$$

This equation was postulated earlier by Feynman²⁴. The usual cases with no s -dependence of H_1 and ψ are then *directly* obtained from the constraint $H_1 = 0$ for the classical extended Hamiltonian (20)

$$\hat{H}_1 \psi = 0.$$

For the extended Hamiltonian of a point particle in an external electromagnetic field from Eq. (73), we immediately find the Klein-Gordon equation, inserting Eqs. (91)

$$\left[\left(i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial q^\alpha} + \frac{\zeta}{c} A_\alpha \right) \left(i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial q^\alpha} + \frac{\zeta}{c} A^\alpha \right) + m^2 c^2 \right] \psi(q^\mu) = 0. \quad (96)$$

In the same manner, the trivial extended Hamiltonian $H_1 = H - e = 0$ from Eq. (27) yields the associated wave equation

$$\hat{H} \psi = i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t},$$

which is referred to as the Schrödinger equation.

3.6. Path integral derivation of the Klein-Gordon equation for a relativistic point particle in an electromagnetic field

Apart from the important additional rest energy term $-\frac{1}{2}mc^2$, the extended Lagrangian (69) for a relativistic classical point particle in an external electromagnetic field agrees with the Lagrangian proposed by Feynman⁹ on the basis of a formal reasoning. We have seen that this Lagrangian L_1 is actually *not* a mere formal construction, but has the physical meaning to describe the *same dynamics* as the corresponding conventional Lorentz-invariant Lagrangian from Eq. (67). As the extended Lagrangian (69) is thus identified as *physically significant*, it can be concluded that the path integral erected on this Lagrangian yields the correct quantum description of a relativistic point particle in an external electromagnetic field.

For an infinitesimal proper time step $\epsilon \equiv \Delta s$, the action $S_{1,\epsilon}$ for the extended Lagrangian (69) writes to first order in ϵ

$$S_{1,\epsilon} = \epsilon L_1 = \frac{1}{2}m \frac{\eta_{\alpha\beta}(q_b^\alpha - q_a^\alpha)(q_b^\beta - q_a^\beta)}{\epsilon} + \frac{\zeta}{c}(q_b^\alpha - q_a^\alpha) A_\alpha(q_c^\mu) - \frac{1}{2}mc^2\epsilon. \quad (98)$$

The potentials A_α are to be taken at the space-time location $q_c^\mu = (q_b^\mu + q_a^\mu)/2$. We insert this particular action function into Eq. (60) and perform a transformation of the integration variables q_a^μ ,

$$q_b^\mu - q_a^\mu = \xi^\mu \quad \Rightarrow \quad d^4q_a = d^4\xi.$$

The integral (60) has now the equivalent representation

$$\psi(q_b^\mu) = \frac{1}{M} \int \exp\left[\frac{i}{\hbar}S_{1,\epsilon}\right] \psi(q_b^\mu - \xi^\mu) d^4\xi, \quad (100)$$

while the action $S_{1,\epsilon}$ from Eq. (98) takes on the form

$$S_{1,\epsilon} = \frac{m}{2} \frac{\eta_{\alpha\beta}\xi^\alpha\xi^\beta}{\epsilon} + \frac{\zeta}{c}\xi^\alpha \left[A_\alpha(q_b^\mu) - \frac{1}{2}\xi^\beta \frac{\partial A_\alpha(q_b^\mu)}{\partial q^\beta} \right] - \epsilon \frac{mc^2}{2}.$$

Here, we expressed the potentials $A_\alpha(q_c^\mu)$ to first order in terms of their values at q_b^μ . In the following, we skip the index “b” in the coordinate vector since all q^μ refer to that particular space-time event from this point of our derivation.

The wave function under the integral (100) can be expanded,

$$\psi(q^\mu - \xi^\mu) = \psi(q^\mu) - \xi^\alpha \frac{\partial \psi(q^\mu)}{\partial q^\alpha} + \frac{1}{2}\xi^\alpha\xi^\beta \frac{\partial^2 \psi(q^\mu)}{\partial q^\alpha \partial q^\beta} - \dots$$

The rest energy term in $S_{1,\epsilon}$ depends only on ϵ . It can, therefore, be taken as a factor in front of the integral and expanded up to first order in ϵ . The total expression (100) for the transition of the wave function ψ thus follows as

$$\begin{aligned} \psi = \frac{1}{M} \left(1 - \epsilon \frac{imc^2}{2\hbar} \right) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} \left[\frac{m}{2\epsilon} \eta_{\alpha\beta} \xi^\alpha \xi^\beta + \frac{\zeta}{c} A_\alpha \xi^\alpha - \frac{\zeta}{2c} \frac{\partial A_\alpha}{\partial q^\beta} \xi^\alpha \xi^\beta \right] \right\} \\ \times \left[\psi - \xi^\alpha \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial q^\alpha} + \frac{1}{2} \xi^\alpha \xi^\beta \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial q^\alpha \partial q^\beta} \right] d^4\xi. \end{aligned} \quad (103)$$

Prior to actually calculating the Gaussian type integrals, we may simplify the integrand in (103) by taking into account that the third term in the exponential function is of order of ϵ smaller than the first one. We may thus factor out this term and expand it up to first order

$$\exp \left[-\frac{i\zeta}{2\hbar c} \frac{\partial A_\alpha}{\partial q^\beta} \xi^\alpha \xi^\beta \right] = 1 - \frac{i\zeta}{2\hbar c} \frac{\partial A_\alpha}{\partial q^\beta} \xi^\alpha \xi^\beta + \dots$$

Omitting terms of higher order than quadratic in the ξ^μ , the integral becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \psi = \frac{1}{M} \left(1 - \epsilon \frac{imc^2}{2\hbar} \right) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} \left[\frac{m}{2\epsilon} \eta_{\alpha\beta} \xi^\alpha \xi^\beta + \frac{\zeta}{c} A_\alpha \xi^\alpha \right] \right\} \\ \times \left[\psi - \xi^\alpha \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial q^\alpha} + \frac{1}{2} \xi^\alpha \xi^\beta \left(\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial q^\alpha \partial q^\beta} - \frac{i\zeta}{\hbar c} \frac{\partial A_\alpha}{\partial q^\beta} \psi \right) \right] d^4 \xi. \end{aligned}$$

The integral over the entire space-time can now easily be solved to yield

$$\begin{aligned} \psi = \frac{1}{M} \left(\frac{2\pi\hbar\epsilon}{im} \right)^2 \left(1 - \epsilon \frac{imc^2}{2\hbar} \right) \exp \left\{ -\epsilon \frac{i\zeta^2}{2\hbar mc^2} A^\alpha A_\alpha \right\} \\ \times \left[\psi + \epsilon \frac{\zeta}{mc} A^\alpha \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial q^\alpha} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \left(\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial q^\alpha \partial q^\beta} - \frac{i\zeta}{\hbar c} \frac{\partial A_\alpha}{\partial q^\beta} \psi \right) \left(\frac{\epsilon\zeta^2}{m^2 c^2} A^\alpha A^\beta + \frac{i\hbar}{m} \delta^{\alpha\beta} \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

We may omit the term quadratic in ϵ that is contained in the rightmost factor and finally expand the exponential function up to first order in ϵ

$$\begin{aligned} \psi = \frac{1}{M} \left(\frac{2\pi\hbar\epsilon}{im} \right)^2 \left(1 - \epsilon \frac{imc^2}{2\hbar} \right) \left(1 - \epsilon \frac{i\zeta^2}{2\hbar mc^2} A^\alpha A_\alpha \right) \\ \times \left[\psi + \epsilon \frac{\zeta}{mc} A^\alpha \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial q^\alpha} + \epsilon \frac{i\hbar}{2m} \left(\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial q^\alpha \partial q_\alpha} - \frac{i\zeta}{\hbar c} \frac{\partial A^\alpha}{\partial q^\alpha} \psi \right) \right]. \quad (105) \end{aligned}$$

The normalization factor M is now obvious. Since the equation must hold to zero order in ϵ , we directly conclude that $M = (2\pi\hbar\epsilon/im)^2$. This means, furthermore, that the sum over all terms proportional to ϵ must vanish. The five terms in (105) that are linear in ϵ thus establish the equation

$$\frac{m^2 c^2}{\hbar^2} \psi = \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial q^\alpha \partial q_\alpha} - \frac{\zeta^2 A^\alpha A_\alpha}{\hbar^2 c^2} \psi + \frac{2\zeta A^\alpha}{i\hbar c} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial q^\alpha} + \frac{\zeta}{i\hbar c} \frac{\partial A^\alpha}{\partial q^\alpha} \psi.$$

This equation has the equivalent product form

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial q^\alpha} - \frac{i\zeta}{\hbar c} A_\alpha \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial q_\alpha} - \frac{i\zeta}{\hbar c} A^\alpha \right) \psi = \left(\frac{mc}{\hbar} \right)^2 \psi, \quad (107)$$

which constitutes exactly the Klein-Gordon equation for our metric $\eta_{\mu\nu}$. It coincides with the wave equation (96) that emerged from the canonical quantization formalism.

We remark that Feynman²⁴ went the procedure developed here in the opposite direction. He started with the Klein-Gordon equation and deduced from analogies with the non-relativistic case a classical Lagrangian similar to that of Eq. (69), but without its rest energy term $-\frac{1}{2}mc^2$. The obtained Lagrangian was *not* identified as *physically significant*, i.e., as exactly the extended Lagrangian L_1 that describes the corresponding classical system, but rated as “purely formal”⁹.

3.7. Space-time propagator for the free relativistic point particle

As the constraint function (63) is to be disregarded setting up the parameterized kernel (56), the components of the extended free-particle Lagrangian (62) can be treated as *independent*. The corresponding action functional S from Eq. (2) thus splits into a sum of independent action functionals,

$$S_1[q^\nu(s)] = \frac{1}{2}m \int_{s_a}^{s_b} \left(\frac{dq^\mu}{ds} \frac{dq_\mu}{ds} - c^2 \right) ds = \sum_{\mu} S[q^\mu(s)]. \quad (108)$$

Hence, the parameterized space-time kernel (56) separates into a product of path integrals. For the free particle, the individual path integrals can be solved analytically^{16,7}. Expressed in terms of s as the independent variable, the result for one degree of freedom q^k is

$$K_{\sigma, q^k}(b, a) = \sqrt{\frac{m}{2\pi i \hbar (s_b - s_a)}} \exp \left[\frac{i}{\hbar} \frac{m}{2} \frac{(q_b^k - q_a^k)^2}{s_b - s_a} \right].$$

The total parameterized space-time kernel $K_\sigma(b, a)$ is then obtained for S_1 from Eq. (108) as

$$K_\sigma(b, a) = -\frac{m^2 c}{4\pi^2 \hbar^2 (s_b - s_a)^2} \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} \frac{m}{2} \left[\frac{(q_b^\mu - q_a^\mu)(q_{\mu, b} - q_{\mu, a})}{s_b - s_a} - c^2 (s_b - s_a) \right] \right\}.$$

Herein, the summation over μ is to be carried out. The term proportional to $(s_b - s_a)$ in the exponential function originates from the rest energy term $-\frac{1}{2}mc^2$ in the extended Lagrangian (62) and, correspondingly, in the action integral (108). With the time differences $\sigma = s_b - s_a$ and τ , defined by

$$\tau^2 = -\frac{(q_b^\mu - q_a^\mu)(q_{\mu, b} - q_{\mu, a})}{c^2} = (t_b - t_a)^2 - \frac{(\mathbf{q}_b - \mathbf{q}_a)^2}{c^2},$$

the parameterized space-time kernel $K_\sigma(b, a)$ takes on the equivalent form

$$K_\sigma(b, a) = -\frac{m^2 c}{4\pi^2 \hbar^2} \sigma^{-2} \exp \left[-\frac{i}{\hbar} \frac{m c^2}{2} \left(\frac{\tau^2}{\sigma} + \sigma \right) \right].$$

According to Eq. (57), the space-time propagator $K(b, a)$ for a free relativistic wave packet is finally acquired by integrating $K_\sigma(b, a)$ over all possible parameterizations $\sigma = s_b - s_a > 0$,

$$K(b, a) = -\frac{m^2 c}{4\pi^2 \hbar^2 N} \int_0^\infty \sigma^{-2} \exp \left[-\frac{i}{\hbar} \frac{m c^2}{2} \left(\frac{\tau^2}{\sigma} + \sigma \right) \right] d\sigma. \quad (113)$$

Up to a preceding factor, the integral is exactly the integral representation of the Hankel function $H_1^{(1)}$, also referred to as the Bessel function of third kind and order one²⁵,

$$\int_0^\infty \sigma^{-2} \exp \left[-\frac{iz}{2} \left(\frac{\tau^2}{\sigma} + \sigma \right) \right] d\sigma = -\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\tau^2}} H_1^{(1)} \left(-z\sqrt{\tau^2} \right). \quad (114)$$

For the general case of complex coefficients z, τ , the improper integral converges for $\text{Im } z < 0, \text{Im } (\tau^2 z) < 0$. Thus, for the particular choices

$$z = \frac{m c^2}{\hbar} - \epsilon i, \quad \epsilon > 0, \quad \tau^2 \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \tau^2 > 0,$$

the integral representation of $H_1^{(1)}$ from Eq. (114) exists. A positive τ^2 means to restrict ourselves to *time-like* connections of the events a and b . The kernel $K(b, a)$ from Eq. (113) is then given by the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} K(b, a) &= \frac{m^2 c}{4\pi^2 \hbar^2 N} \frac{\pi}{\tau} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} H_1^{(1)} \left(- \left[\frac{mc^2}{\hbar} - \epsilon i \right] \tau \right) \\ &= \frac{m^2 c}{4\hbar^2 N} \tau^{-1} H_1^{(1)} \left(- \frac{mc^2}{\hbar} \tau \right). \end{aligned}$$

A Hankel function $H_\nu^{(1)}(z)$ with $\arg z = -\pi$ does not lie on the principle branch. It can be reduced to the principle branch value by means of the relation²⁵

$$H_\nu^{(1)}(z e^{im\pi}) = - \frac{\sin[(m-1)\pi\nu]}{\sin(\pi\nu)} H_\nu^{(1)}(z) - e^{-i\pi\nu} \frac{\sin(m\pi\nu)}{\sin(\pi\nu)} H_\nu^{(2)}(z).$$

For $m = 1$, $\nu = 1$, and time-like distances τ in Minkowski space, the kernel is thus finally obtained as

$$K(b, a) = \frac{m^2 c}{4\pi \hbar^2 N} \tau^{-1} H_1^{(2)} \left(\frac{mc^2}{\hbar} \tau \right). \quad (117)$$

The kernel is thus represented by the analytic continuation to $\text{Im } z = 0$, $\text{Im}(\tau^2 z) = 0$ of the holomorphic function of z that is defined by the integral in Eq. (114). We may convince ourselves by direct substitution that the kernel $K(b, a)$ satisfies the zero-potential case ($A_\mu = 0$) of the general Klein-Gordon equation (107). As a consequence, so does a free-particle wave function $\psi(\mathbf{q}, t)$ if its space-time propagation is calculated according to Eq. (55). The functional form of Eq. (117) agrees with the homogeneous part of the Feynman propagator²⁶.

4. Conclusions

Starting from the space-time formulation of the action principle, we have demonstrated that the Lagrangian as well as the Hamiltonian description of classical dynamics can consistently be reformulated in order to be compatible with relativity. In the emerging *extended* version of the Hamilton-Lagrange formalism, the dynamics is described as a *constrained* motion in an *extended* phase space. With the specific correlations of extended Lagrangian L_1 and extended Hamiltonian H_1 to their conventional counterparts L and H given in this paper, the extended formalism retains the *form* of the long-established conventional Hamilton-Lagrange formalism. The extended Hamilton-Lagrange formalism thus provides an *equivalent physical description* of dynamical systems that is particularly appropriate for relativity.

The physical significance of the Lorentz invariant extended Hamiltonian H_1 of a point particle in an external electromagnetic field was demonstrated by showing that the subsequent *extended* set of canonical equations, in conjunction with the constraint, is *equivalent* to the set of canonical equations that follows from the well-known conventional Hamiltonian H for this system. The corresponding Lagrangian L_1 was shown to be *quadratic* in

its velocity terms, hence similar in its *form* with the conventional Lagrangian L that describes the non-relativistic limit. This makes the extended formalism particularly suited for analytical approaches that depend on the Lagrangian to be quadratic in the velocities—like Feynman’s path integral formalism. Devising the “quantum version” of the action principle, one of Feynman’s achievements was to derive—by means of his path integral approach to quantum physics—the Schrödinger equation as the quantum description of a physical system whose classical limit is described by the non-relativistic Lagrangian L for a point particle in an external potential. This is generally regarded as the *proof of principle* for the path integral formalism.

Similar to the extension of the conventional Hamilton-Lagrange formalism in the realm of classical physics, the general form of the relativistic extension of Feynman’s path integral approach is obtained by consistently treating space and time variables on equal footing. We have shown that the constraint from the classical extended formalism appears in the context of the extended path integral formalism as an *additional uncertainty relation*.

On the basis of the extended Lagrangian L_1 of a classical relativistic point particle in an external electromagnetic field, we could derive the Klein-Gordon equation as the corresponding quantum description by means of the space-time version of the path integral formalism. Correspondingly, we can regard the emerging of the Klein-Gordon equation as the proof of principle of the *relativistic generalization* of Feynman’s path integral approach that is based on Lorentz invariant *extended Lagrangians* L_1 in conjunction with the additional *uncertainty relation*.

Acknowledgment

The author is indebted to Prof. Dr. Walter Greiner from the *Frankfurt Institute of Advanced Studies* (FIAS) for his critical comments and encouragement.

References

1. R. Abraham and J.E. Marsden, *Foundations of Mechanics* (Westview Press, Boulder, CO, 1978).
2. W. Greiner, *Classical Mechanics: Systems of Particles and Hamiltonian Dynamics* (Springer, Berlin, 2002).
3. V.I. Arnold, *Mathematical Methods of Classical Mechanics* (Springer, New York, 1989).
4. Th. Frankel, *The Geometry of Physics* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2001).
5. J.V. José and E.J. Saletan, *Classical Dynamics* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998).
6. J.E. Marsden and T.S. Ratiu, *Introduction to Mechanics and Symmetry* (Springer, New York, 1999).
7. H. Kleinert, *Path Integrals in Quantum Mechanics, Statistics, Polymer Physics, and Financial Markets*, (World Scientific, Singapore, 2006).
8. I.H. Duru and H. Kleinert, *Fortschritte der Physik*, **30**, 401 (1980).
9. R.P. Feynman, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **20**, 367 (1948).
10. P.A.M. Dirac, *Can. J. Phys.* **2**, 129 (1950).
11. C. Lanczos, *The Variational Principles of Mechanics* (University of Toronto Press, Toronto, Ontario, 1949), Reprint 4th edn (Dover Publications, New York, 1986).
12. C.L. Siegel and J.K. Moser, *Lectures on Celestial Mechanics* (Springer, Berlin, 1971).
13. E.L. Stiefel and G. Scheifele, *Linear and Regular Celestial Mechanics* (Springer, Berlin, 1971).

28 *J. Struckmeier*

14. W. Thirring, *Lehrbuch der Mathematischen Physik* (Springer, Wien, 1977).
15. A.V. Tsiganov, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **33**, 4169 (2000).
16. R.P. Feynman and A.R. Hibbs, *Quantum Mechanics and Path Integrals* (McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York, 1965).
17. J.R. Fanchi, *Parametrized Relativistic Quantum Theory* (Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 1993).
18. F. Rohrlich, *Ann. Phys. (N.Y.)* **117**, 292 (1979).
19. J. Struckmeier, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **38**, 1257 (2005).
20. J.L. Synge, *Encyclopedia of Physics* Vol 3/1 ed. S. Flügge (Springer, Berlin, 1960).
21. E.C.G. Stückelberg, *Helv. Phys. Acta* **14**, 322(1941); **15**, 23 (1942).
22. C. Morette, *Phys. Rev.* **81**, 848 (1951).
23. O.D. Johns, *Analytical Mechanics for Relativity and Quantum Mechanics* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2005).
24. R.P. Feynman, *Phys. Rev.* **80**, 440 (1950).
25. W. Magnus, F. Oberhettinger, *Formulas and Theorems for the Special Functions of Mathematical Physics* (Springer, New York, 1966)
26. R.P. Feynman, *Phys. Rev.* **76**, 749 (1949).