# Chaplygin electron gas model

I. Radinschi

Department of Physics, "Gh. Asachi" Technical University, Iasi, 700050, Romania [∗](#page-0-0)

F. Rahaman

Dept. of Mathematics, Jadavpur University, Kolkata-700 032, India<sup>[†](#page-0-1)</sup>

M. Kalam

Dept. of Phys., Netaji Nagar College for Women, Regent Estate, Kolkata-700092, India<sup>†</sup>

K. Chakraborty

Dept. of Mathematics, Jadavpur University, Kolkata-700 032, India (Dated: January 10, 2019)

We provide a new electromagnetic mass model admitting Chaplygin gas equation of state. We investigate three specializations, the first characterized by a vanishing effective pressure, the second provided with a constant effective density and the third is described by a constant effective pressure p<sub>0</sub>. For these specializations two particular cases are discussed: (1)  $\sigma e^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} = \sigma_0$ , and (2)  $\sigma e^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} = \sigma_0 r^s$ , where  $\sigma$ ,  $\sigma$ <sub>0</sub>,  $\lambda$  and s represent the charge density of the spherical distribution, charge density at the center of the system, metric potential and a constant, respectively. In addition, for specialization I, case I we found isotropic coordinate as well as Kretschmann scalar, and for specialization III, case II two special scenarios have been studied.

PACS numbers: 04.20-q, 04.20 Jb, 98.80-k

### I. INTRODUCTION

It is well known in literature that electron, modelled as a spherically symmetric charged distribution of matter contains negative energy density. That means electron contains exotic matter. It is still unknown, exotic matter will follow what type of EOS. So scientific community uses several type of EOS ( namely, Phantom energy, Tracker field, Quintessence , Chaplygin gas EOS etc. ). We describe this exotic nature by Chaplygin gas EOS and looking forward for a better model of electron. In this way, we want to extend our previous work [\[1\]](#page-12-0) concerning a electromagnetic mass model admitting conformal motion, and study several toy models of electrons.

Chaplygin gas model [\[2](#page-12-1), [3](#page-12-2), [4](#page-12-3)] is characterized by a negative pressure and is a perfect fluid with the equation of state  $p = -\frac{A}{\rho}$ , with  $A > 0$ , p and  $\rho$  the fluid pressure and matter-energy density, respectively in co-moving reference frame. The cosmological models of the Chaplygin class are able to describe the transition from a decelerated expansion of the universe to the present stage, which is characterized by cosmic acceleration. Moreover, the FRW universe filled with a Chaplygin gas has been studied [\[5\]](#page-12-4), and we notice that some theoretical developments of the model have been performed [\[6,](#page-12-5) [7,](#page-12-6) [8,](#page-12-7) [9\]](#page-12-8). Also, very interesting is the generalized Chaplygin gas [\[8\]](#page-12-7) which is characterized by two free parameters A and

 $\alpha$ , with an equation of state for a barotropic fluid given by  $p = -\frac{A}{\rho^{\alpha}}, 0 < \alpha \leq 1$ , where the case  $\alpha = 1$  turns in the original Chaplygin gas model. Moreover, the generalized Chaplygin gas yields a description of dark matter and dark energy. An extended case is represented by the combination of Chaplygin gas model and the dust-like matter [\[9](#page-12-8)]. Further, the Chaplygin gas is the simplest model within the class of tachyon cosmological models [\[10\]](#page-12-9). A lot of work has been done for comparing the Chaplygin model predictions to the observational data picked up from supernova observations, cosmic microwave background radiation, etc. In recent time, Chaplygin gas EOS has become very popular [\[11,](#page-12-10) [12](#page-12-11), [13,](#page-12-12) [14,](#page-12-13) [15](#page-12-14), [16](#page-12-15), [17,](#page-12-16) [18,](#page-12-17) [19](#page-12-18), [20,](#page-12-19) [21,](#page-12-20) [22](#page-12-21), [23](#page-12-22), [24\]](#page-12-23), and can be consider the initial point for fascinating scenarios of the evolution of the universe.

Our aim is to provide several new toy electron gas models of electron, and in this view we have considered a static spherically symmetric charged perfect fluid and investigated three specializations: (1) effective pressure  $p_r(effective) = 8\pi p - E^2 = 0$  and here we discuss two cases, (I)  $\sigma e^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} = \sigma_0$  and (II)  $\sigma e^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} = \sigma_0 r^s$ ; (2) effective density  $\rho(effective) = 8\pi\rho + E^2 = constant = \rho_0$  with the cases (I)  $\sigma e^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} = \sigma_0$  and (II)  $\sigma e^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} = \sigma_0 r^s$ , and (III) effective pressure  $p_r(effective) = 8\pi p - E^2 = constant =$  $p_0$  with the same two particular cases (I)  $\sigma e^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} = \sigma_0$  and (II)  $\sigma e^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} = \sigma_0 r^s$ . Here p,  $\rho$  and  $\sigma$  represent the fluid pressure, usual matter density and charge density of the spherical distribution. The paper is organized as follows: in Section 2 the basic equations involved in our calculations are presented, in Section 3 the details of the calculations and the three specializations of the model with

<span id="page-0-0"></span><sup>∗</sup>Electronic address: [radinschi@yahoo.com](mailto:radinschi@yahoo.com)

<span id="page-0-1"></span><sup>†</sup>Electronic address: [farook˙rahaman@yahoo.com](mailto:farook_rahaman@yahoo.com)

<span id="page-0-2"></span><sup>‡</sup>Electronic address: [mehedikalam@yahoo.co.in](mailto:mehedikalam@yahoo.co.in)

their particular cases are given. Section 4 is devoted to a summary of the results and concluding remarks.

### II. EINSTEIN-MAXWELL FIELD EQUATIONS AND DETAILS OF THE MODEL

The starting point for performing our investigations are the Einstein-Maxwell field equations and the electromagnetic tensor field for perfect fluid, which combined with an appropriate specific exotic equation of state (EOS) provide the basic equations used for elaborating a new Chaplygin electron gas model.

Let us consider the electromagnetic tensor field (EMT) for perfect fluid which is

$$
T_{ik} = (\rho + p)u_i u_k + pg_{ik}, \qquad (1)
$$

with  $\rho$  the matter density,  $p$  the fluid pressure and  $u_i$  the velocity four-vector of a fluid element  $(u_i u^i = 1)$ .

Further, we also have the static spherically symmetric space-time which is taken as

$$
ds^{2} = -e^{\nu(r)}dt^{2} + e^{\lambda(r)}dr^{2} + r^{2}(d\theta^{2} + sin^{2}\theta d\phi^{2}), \quad (2)
$$

where the functions of radial coordinate r,  $\nu(r)$  and  $\lambda(r)$ represents the metric potentials.

In this context, the Einstein Maxwell field equations are

$$
e^{-\lambda} \left[\frac{\lambda'}{r} - \frac{1}{r^2}\right] + \frac{1}{r^2} = 8\pi\rho + E^2,
$$
 (3)

$$
e^{-\lambda} \left[\frac{1}{r^2} + \frac{\nu'}{r}\right] - \frac{1}{r^2} = 8\pi p - E^2,
$$
 (4)

$$
\frac{e^{-\lambda}}{2} \left[ \frac{(\nu')^2}{2} + \nu'' - \frac{\lambda'\nu'}{2} + \frac{(\nu' - \lambda')}{r} \right] = 8\pi p + E^2.
$$
 (5)

where p,  $\rho$  and  $E(r)$  represent fluid pressure, matterenergy density and electric field, respectively.

From eq. (3) we obtain

$$
e^{-\lambda} = 1 - \frac{2M(r)}{r} \tag{6}
$$

and the electric field can be expressed

$$
(r2E)' = 4\pi r2 \sigma e^{\frac{\lambda}{2}}.
$$
 (7)

Equation (7) can be also equivalently written in the form

$$
E(r) = \frac{1}{r^2} \int_0^r 4\pi r^2 \sigma e^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} dr = \frac{q(r)}{r^2},
$$
 (8)

where  $q(r)$  represents the total charge of the sphere under consideration.

Here the EOS is taken as a perfect fluid described by the equation of state

$$
p = -\frac{A}{\rho},\tag{9}
$$

where  $A > 0$ , with negative pressure, which corresponds to the Chaplygin gas.

## III. CHAPLYGIN GAS MODELS AND SPECIAL CASES

Now we provide several toy models of electrons. Specialization I Case - I:

$$
\sigma e^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} = \sigma_0 \tag{10}
$$

 $\sigma_0$  ia an arbitrary constant). Here we assume:

$$
p_r(effective) = 8\pi p - E^2 = 0,\tag{11}
$$

Now using equations  $(3)-(9)$ , we get the following solutions as

$$
E(r) = \frac{4\pi}{3}\sigma_0 r,\tag{12}
$$

$$
q(r) = \frac{4\pi}{3}\sigma_0 r^3,\tag{13}
$$

$$
p = \frac{2\pi}{9}\sigma_0^2 r^2,
$$
\n(14)

$$
\rho = -\frac{9A}{2\pi\sigma_0^2 r^2},\tag{15}
$$

$$
\nu = 2\ln[-81A + 4\pi^2 \sigma_0^4 r^4],\tag{16}
$$

$$
e^{-\lambda} = 1 - \frac{2M(r)}{r},\tag{17}
$$

where,

$$
M(r) = -\frac{18A}{\sigma_0^2}r + \frac{8\pi^2\sigma_0^2}{45}r^5.
$$
 (18)

Here one can note that,  $p + \rho > 0$  (energy condition, limiting case is for equality with zero) implies

$$
a = r > \left[\frac{81A}{4\pi^2 \sigma_0^4}\right]^{\frac{1}{4}}
$$
 (19)

and

 $p + \rho < 0$  implies

$$
a = r < \left[\frac{81A}{4\pi^2 \sigma_0^4}\right]^{\frac{1}{4}}.\tag{20}
$$

Thus A is restricted due to energy condition. From the expression  $\rho = -\frac{9A}{2\pi\sigma_0^2 r^2}$  we observe that the WEC is violated, only the limiting condition being satisfied for  $r \to \infty$ .

We also see that the Kretschmann scalar  $R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}R^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}$ becomes infinity as  $r \to 0$ .



FIG. 1:

The assumption of constant charge density can lead to some particular cases. The central pressure of the fluid sphere  $p_0$  is zero and  $8\pi p = E^2$ . From eqs. (12)-(17) it results that a vanishing value of the electric charge  $q$ implies a zero value for  $\sigma_0$  ( $\sigma$ ), and moreover the intensity of the electric field and fluid pressure vanish due to a vanishing charge. Also, this means that we get a new value for the metric potential  $\nu$  and  $\sigma_0 = 0$  becomes a singularity point for the matter density  $\rho$ , and for the active gravitational mass  $M(r)$ . We notice that the fluid pressure is positive with  $p \sim r^2$  and the matter density  $\rho$  has got negative values and  $1/r^2$  behaviour. The limit  $r \to \infty$  combined with a non-zero value of  $\sigma_0$  signifies a vanishing value for the matter density  $\rho$ , and infinity values for all physical parameters that appear in eqs.  $(12)-(14)$  and  $(16)-(18)$ . For a radius shrinking to the center  $E(r)$ ,  $q(r)$ , p and  $M(r)$  vanish, and  $\rho$  becomes infinite. In Fig. 1, Fig.2, Fig.3 and Fig.4 we plot p,  $\rho$ ,  $e^{-\lambda}$ and  $M(r)$  against r parameter.

To match interior metric with the exterior (Reissner-Nordström) metric, we impose only the continuity of  $g_{tt}$ ,  $g_{rr}$  and  $\frac{\partial g_{tt}}{\partial r}$ , across a surface, S at  $r = a$ 

$$
1 - \frac{2m}{a} + \frac{Q^2}{a^2} = [-81A + 4\pi^2 \sigma_0^4 a^4]^2, \tag{21}
$$

$$
1 - \frac{2m}{a} + \frac{Q^2}{a^2} = 1 + \frac{36A}{\sigma_0^2} - \frac{16\pi^2 \sigma_0^2 a^4}{45},\qquad(22)
$$

$$
\frac{m}{a^2} - \frac{Q^2}{a^3} = 16\pi^2 \sigma_0^4 a^3 [-81A + 4\pi^2 \sigma_0^4 a^4].
$$
 (23)



FIG. 2:



FIG. 3:

From, the above three equations, one could find the values of the unknowns A and  $\sigma_0$ ,  $p_0$ ,  $\rho_0$  (which of them are occurred in the cases) in terms of mass, charge and radius of the spherically symmetric charged objects i.e. electron.

Now, we rewrite our new interior metric in isotropic



FIG. 4:

coordinate as

$$
ds^{2} = -e^{\nu}dt^{2} + [\chi(R)]^{2} \left[ \frac{dR^{2} +}{+R^{2}(d\theta^{2} + sin^{2}\theta d\phi^{2})} \right]. (24)
$$

Comparing this with our metric (2), one can get

$$
r^2 = \chi^2 R^2,\tag{25}
$$

$$
\frac{dr^2}{\left(1 + \frac{36A}{\sigma_0^2} - \frac{16\pi^2\sigma_0^2}{45}r^4\right)} = \chi^2 dR^2.
$$
 (26)

The above two equations yield

$$
r^2 = \frac{2\alpha R^{-\frac{1}{\beta}}}{1 + R^{-\frac{2}{\beta}}},\tag{27}
$$

$$
\chi^2 = \frac{2\alpha R^{-\frac{1}{\beta} - 2}}{1 + R^{-\frac{2}{\beta}}},\tag{28}
$$

[ here,  $\alpha^2 = \frac{45(\sigma_0^2 + 36A)}{16\pi^2 \sigma_0^4}$  and  $\beta = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{14}}$  $2\sqrt{1+\frac{36A}{\sigma_0^2}}$ ].

Hence, finally, the metric takes the form  
as 
$$
ds^2
$$
 =  $-\left[-81A + 4\pi\sigma_0^2 \left(\frac{2\alpha R^{-\frac{1}{\beta}}}{1+R^{-\frac{2}{\beta}}}\right)^2\right] dt^2 +$   
 $\left(\frac{2\alpha R^{-\frac{1}{\beta}-2}}{1+R^{-\frac{2}{\beta}}}\right) \left[dR^2 + R^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2)\right].$ 

In isotropic coordinate system the coordinate singularity at  $r = ($  $45(1+\frac{36A}{\sigma_0^2})$  $\frac{\left(\frac{1}{6} - \frac{\sigma_0^2}{\sigma_0^2}\right) \frac{1}{4}}{16\pi^2 \sigma_0^2}$  has been avoided. We obtain a new metric with metric potentials depending on  $A$ ,  $\sigma_0$ and R parameter.

Case - II:

$$
\sigma e^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} = \sigma_0 r^s \tag{29}
$$

 $(\sigma_0$  and s are arbitrary constants ) Here we assume,

$$
p_r(effective) = 8\pi p - E^2 = constant = 0.
$$
 (30)

Now using equations  $(3)$ – $(8)$  and  $(17)$  we get the following solutions as

$$
E(r) = \frac{4\pi\sigma_0}{(s+3)} r^{s+1},
$$
\n(31)

$$
q(r) = \frac{4\pi\sigma_0}{(s+3)} r^{s+3},\tag{32}
$$

$$
p = \frac{2\pi\sigma_0^2}{(s+3)^2} r^{2s+2},\tag{33}
$$

$$
\rho = -\frac{A(s+3)^2}{2\pi\sigma_0^2 r^{2+2s}},\tag{34}
$$

$$
\nu = \frac{2}{(s+1)} \ln \left[ -A + \frac{4\pi^2 \sigma_0^4}{(s+3)^4} r^{4s+4} \right],\tag{35}
$$

$$
e^{-\lambda} = 1 - \frac{2M(r)}{r},\tag{36}
$$

where,

$$
M(r) = \frac{2(s+3)^2 A}{(2s-1)\sigma_0^2} r^{-2s+1} + + \frac{8\pi^2 \sigma_0^2}{(s+3)^2 (2s+5)} r^{2s+5}.
$$
 (37)

Here one can note that,  $p + \rho > 0$  (energy condition, limiting case is for equality with zero) implies

$$
a = r > \left[\frac{(s+3)^4 A}{4\pi^2 \sigma_0^4}\right]^{\frac{1}{4s+4}}
$$
(38)

and  $p + \rho < 0$  implies

$$
a = r < \left[ \frac{(s+3)^4 A}{4\pi^2 \sigma_0^4} \right]^{\frac{1}{4s+4}}.\tag{39}
$$

The expression  $\rho = -\frac{A(s+3)^2}{2\pi\sigma_0^2 r^{2+2}}$  $\frac{A(8+3)}{2\pi\sigma_0^2 r^{2+2s}}$  yields only the limiting case of WEC for  $s = -3$  or  $r \to \infty$  (for  $s > -1$ ).

Some remarks are needed. A zero value for  $\sigma_0$  implies vanishing values for the intensity of the electric field, electric charge and fluid pressure, a modified value of the



FIG. 5:

metric potential  $\nu$ , and is a singularity for the matter density  $\rho$ ,  $e^{-\lambda}$  and active gravitational mass  $M(r)$ . For  $s = -3$  we get a vanishing value for  $\rho$  and and infinity values for  $E(r)$ ,  $q(r)$ ,  $p$ ,  $v$ ,  $e^{-\lambda}$  and  $M(r)$ . Concerning the values  $s = -1$ ,  $s = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $s = -\frac{5}{2}$ , these represent singularity points for the metric potential  $\nu$  and for the gravitational mass. We observe that the parameters  $E(r)$ ,  $q(r)$ ,  $p$ ,  $\rho$ ,  $\nu$  and  $M(r)$  have got variations with  $r^{s+1}$ ,  $r^{s+3}$ ,  $r^{2s+2}$ ,  $1/r^{2s+2}$ ,  $\ln(r^{4s+4})$ ,  $r^{-2s+1}$  and  $r^{2s+5}$ , respectively. The value  $s=0$  leads to the case I. At the center of the spherical system  $E(r)$ ,  $q(r)$  and p vanish, the matter density tends to infinity with respect  $s > -1$  and the active gravitational mass becomes infinity for  $s > \frac{1}{2}$ .

The plots in Fig.5, Fig.6, Fig.7 and Fig.8 display  $p, \rho$ ,  $e^{-\lambda}$  and  $M(r)$  against r parameter for  $s = 0.4$ .

Thus A is restricted due to energy condition. To match interior metric with the exterior (Reissner-Nordström) metric, we impose only the continuity of  $g_{tt}$ ,  $g_{rr}$  and  $\frac{\partial g_{tt}}{\partial r}$ , across a surface, S at  $r = a$ 

$$
1 - \frac{2m}{a} + \frac{Q^2}{a^2} = \left[ -A + \frac{4\pi^2 \sigma_0^4}{(s+3)^4} r^{4s+4} \right]^{\frac{2}{(s+1)}}, \quad (40)
$$

$$
1 - \frac{2m}{a} + \frac{Q^2}{a^2} = 1 - \frac{4(s+3)^2 A}{(2s-1)\sigma_0^2} a^{-2s} - \frac{16\pi^2 \sigma_0^2}{(s+3)^2 (2s+5)} a^{2s+4}
$$
 (41)







FIG. 7:

$$
\frac{m}{a^2} - \frac{Q^2}{a^3} = \frac{4\pi^2 \sigma_0^4 (4s+4)}{(s+1)(s+3)^4} a^{4s+3}.
$$

$$
\left[ -A + \frac{4\pi^2 \sigma_0^4}{(s+3)^4} r^{4s+4} \right]^{\frac{1-s}{(s+1)}}.
$$
(42)

These equations yield the values of A and  $\sigma_0$ ,  $p_0$ ,  $\rho_0$  ( which of them are occurred in the cases) in terms of mass, charge and radius of the spherically symmetric charged



FIG. 8:

objects i.e. electron.

Specialization II

Here we assume,

$$
\rho(effective) = 8\pi\rho + E^2 = constant = \rho_0. \tag{43}
$$

Case - I:

$$
\sigma e^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} = \sigma_0 \tag{44}
$$

 $\sigma_0$  ia an arbitrary constant)

Here, the solutions are

$$
\rho = \frac{1}{8\pi} \left[ \rho_0 - \frac{16\pi^2}{9} \sigma_0^2 r^2 \right],
$$
\n(45)

$$
p = \frac{-8\pi A}{\left[\rho_0 - \frac{16\pi^2}{9}\sigma_0^2 r^2\right]},\tag{46}
$$

$$
\nu = 2 \ln \left[ \frac{\left[ \rho_0 - \frac{16\pi^2}{9} \sigma_0^2 r^2 \right]}{\left[ 64A\pi^2 - \left( \rho_0 - \frac{16\pi^2}{9} \sigma_0^2 r^2 \right)^2 \right]^2} \right],\qquad(47)
$$

$$
e^{-\lambda} = 1 - \frac{2M(r)}{r},\tag{48}
$$

where,

$$
M(r) = \frac{\rho_0}{6} r^3.
$$
 (49)

We notice that for  $8\pi\rho = -E^2$  the constant effective density term  $\rho_0$  vanishes. This implies that the matter density  $\rho$  and fluid pressure  $p$  have new expressions



FIG. 9:

 $\rho = -\frac{2\pi}{9}\sigma_0^2 r^2$  and  $p = \frac{9A}{2\pi\sigma_0^2 r^2}$ . The matter density  $\rho$ is negative and the fluid pressure  $p$  takes positive values according to the parameters  $A$ ,  $\sigma_0$  and r, respectively. Further, the metric potential  $\nu$  has a new expression and the gravitational mass  $M(r)$  vanishes. This implies  $e^{-\lambda} = 1$  and determines a changing in the metric given by (2) where the  $g_{rr}$  component becomes constant. For  $8\pi\rho \neq -E^2$  the matter density  $\rho$  is positive and the fluid pressure p is negative with the condition  $\rho_0 > \frac{16\pi^2}{9}$  $rac{\delta \pi^2}{9} \sigma_0^2 r^2$ . The negativity of the gravitational mass  $\rho_0 < -\frac{16\pi^2}{3}\sigma_0^2 a^2$ 3 and energy density ( $\rho < 0$ ,  $\rho_0 < \frac{16\pi^2}{9}$  $\frac{6\pi^2}{9}\sigma_0^2 a^2$ ) in the case of the electron is connected with the Reissner-Nordström repulsion. The case  $r \to 0$  leads to  $\rho = \frac{1}{8\pi}\rho_0$  which is constant,  $\nu = 2 \ln \left[ \frac{\rho_0}{\left[ \frac{c_1}{c_2 + c_3} - \frac{c_2}{c_3 + c_4} \right]} \right]$  and the flu  $\frac{\rho_0}{\left[64A\pi^2-\rho_0^2\right]^2}$  and the fluid pressure takes negative values according to  $p = -\frac{8\pi A}{\rho_0}$ , the same expressions as those obtained for  $\sigma_0 = 0$ . Further, the quantity  $e^{-\lambda} = 1$  and  $M(r)$  is equal to zero.

In Fig.9, Fig.10, Fig.11 and Fig.12 we have the graphs for  $8\pi\rho$ ,  $8\pi\rho$ ,  $e^{-\lambda}$  and  $M(r)$  against r, respectively.

From  $\rho = \frac{1}{8\pi} \left[ \rho_0 - \frac{16\pi^2}{9} \right]$  $\left[\frac{\sin^2 \sigma_0^2 r^2}{9} \right]$  it results that  $\rho_0 \geqslant$  $16\pi^2$  $\frac{6\pi^2}{9}\sigma_0^2r^2$  corresponds to WEC. Also,  $\rho_0 - \frac{16\pi^2}{9}$  $\frac{3\pi^2}{9}\sigma_0^2 r^2 >$  $\sqrt{\frac{9}{64A\pi^2}}$  (energy condition, limiting case is for equality with zero) or  $\langle \sqrt{64A\pi^2} \rangle$ .

Case - II:

$$
\sigma e^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} = \sigma_0 r^s \tag{50}
$$

 $(\sigma_0$  and s are arbitrary constants ) Here we assume,

$$
\rho(effective) = 8\pi\rho + E^2 = constant = \rho_0,\qquad(51)
$$







FIG. 11:

Here, the solutions are

$$
\rho = \frac{1}{8\pi} \left[ \rho_0 - \frac{16\pi^2 \sigma_0^2}{(s+3)^2} r^{2s+2} \right],
$$
\n(52)

$$
p = \frac{-8\pi A}{\left[\rho_0 - \frac{16\pi^2 \sigma_0^2}{(s+3)^2} r^{2s+2}\right]},
$$
\n(53)



FIG. 12:

$$
\nu = 2 \ln \left[ \frac{\left[ \rho_0 - \frac{16\pi^2 \sigma_0^2}{(s+3)^2} r^{2s+2} \right]}{\left[ 64A\pi^2 - \left( \rho_0 - \frac{16\pi^2 \sigma_0^2}{(s+3)^2} r^{2s+2} \right)^2 \right]^2} \right], \quad (54)
$$

$$
e^{-\lambda} = 1 - \frac{2M(r)}{r},\tag{55}
$$

where,

$$
M(r) = \frac{\rho_0}{6}r^3.
$$
\n<sup>(56)</sup>

Here also for  $8\pi\rho = -E^2$  the constant effective density term  $\rho_0$  vanishes and  $\rho$ ,  $p$ ,  $\nu$  and  $e^{-\lambda}$  have finite values and the active gravitational mass is zero. With  $8\pi\rho \neq -E^2$ , as in the case I, for  $r=0$  all the physical parameters given by eqs.  $(52)-(54)$  are nonzero finite quantities (the same as for  $\sigma_0 = 0$ ). For  $s = 0$  we have the physical meaning of Case I. Also,  $e^{-\lambda} = 1$  and  $M(r)$ vanishes. For a non-vanishing  $\rho_0$  the fluid pressure is<br>negative for  $\rho_0 > \frac{16\pi^2 \sigma_0^2}{(s+3)^2} r^{2s+2}$ .<br>In Fig.13, Fig.14, Fig.15 and Fig.16 we plot  $8\pi \rho$ ,  $8\pi p$ ,

 $e^{-\lambda}$  and  $M(r)$  against r for  $s = 0.05$ .<br>From  $\rho = \frac{1}{8\pi} \left[ \rho_0 - \frac{16\pi^2 \sigma_0^2}{(s+3)^2} r^{2s+2} \right]$  we note that for<br> $\rho_0 \ge \frac{16\pi^2 \sigma_0^2}{(s+3)^2} r^{2s+2}$  WEC is satisfied. We have  $\rho_0$  –  $\frac{16\pi^2 \sigma_0^2}{(s+3)^2} r^{2s+2} > \sqrt{64A\pi^2}$  (energy condition, limiting case is for equality with zero) or  $\langle \sqrt{64A\pi^2} \rangle$ .

8



Specialization III Case - I:

$$
\sigma e^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} = \sigma_0 \tag{57}
$$

( $\sigma_0$  ia an arbitrary constant) Here we assume,

$$
p_r(effective) = 8\pi p - E^2 = constant = p_0, \qquad (58)
$$

$$
p = \frac{1}{8\pi} \left[ p_0 + \frac{16\pi^2}{9} \sigma_0^2 r^2 \right],
$$
 (59)

$$
\rho = \frac{-8\pi A}{\left[p_0 + \frac{16\pi^2}{9}\sigma_0^2 r^2\right]},\tag{60}
$$

$$
\nu = 2\ln\left[-5184A\pi^2 + (9p_0 + 16\pi^2\sigma_0^2 r^2)^2\right],\qquad(61)
$$

$$
e^{-\lambda} = 1 - \frac{2M(r)}{r},
$$
 (62) where,  

$$
M(r) = 4\pi \left[ \frac{2\pi\sigma_0^2}{45} r^5 - \frac{9A}{2\pi\sigma_0^2} \left( r - \sqrt{\frac{9p_0}{16\pi^2\sigma_0^2}} tan^{-1} \sqrt{\frac{16\pi^2\sigma_0^2}{9p_0}} r \right) \right].
$$
 (63)

To match interior metric with the exterior (Reissner-Nordström) metric, we impose only the continuity of  $g_{tt}$ ,  $g_{rr}$  and  $\frac{\partial g_{tt}}{\partial r}$ , across a surface, S at  $r = a$ 

$$
1 - \frac{2m}{a} + \frac{Q^2}{a^2} = \left[ -5184A\pi^2 + (9p_0 + 16\pi^2\sigma_0^2 a^2)^2 \right]^2,\tag{64}
$$

$$
1 - \frac{2m}{a} + \frac{Q^2}{a^2} = 1 - \frac{8\pi}{a} \times \left[ \frac{2\pi\sigma_0^2}{45} a^5 - \frac{9A}{2\pi\sigma_0^2} \left( a - \sqrt{\frac{9p_0}{16\pi^2\sigma_0^2}} tan^{-1} \sqrt{\frac{16\pi^2\sigma_0^2}{9p_0}} a \right) \right],
$$
(65)

Г

$$
\frac{m}{a^2} - \frac{Q^2}{a^3} = 64(9p_0 + 16\pi^2\sigma_0^2 a^2)\pi^2\sigma_0^2 a \cdot \left[ -5184A\pi^2 + (9p_0 + 16\pi^2\sigma_0^2 a^2)^2 \right].
$$
\n(66)

With the above three equations, one could determine the values of the unknowns A and  $\sigma_0$ ,  $p_0$ ,  $\rho_0$  expressed in terms of mass, charge and radius of the spherically symmetric charged objects i.e. electron.

At  $r = 0$ , p,  $\rho$ ,  $e^{\nu}$  and  $e^{\lambda}$  are all nonzero finite quantities i.e. there is no singularity at  $r = 0$ . We point out that for  $8\pi p = E^2$  the constant effective pressure  $p_0$  vanishes. We found for the fluid pressure, matter density and  $M(r)$  the same expressions as for specialization I, case I. The metric potential  $\nu$  becomes  $\nu$  =  $2 \ln \left[ -5184A\pi^2 + (16\pi^2\sigma_0^2r^2)^2 \right]$ . In the case  $8\pi p \neq E^2$ there is an upper limit for the value of  $p_0$  imposed by the condition of positivity of the gravitational mass. For  $p_0$  <  $-\frac{16\pi^2}{9}$  $\frac{3\pi^2}{9}\sigma_0^2 r^2$  the fluid pressure takes negative values and the matter density is positive (WEC,  $\rho \neq 0$  no limiting WEC). The fluid pressure vanishes for  $p_0 = -\frac{16\pi^2}{9}$  $rac{3\pi^2}{9}\sigma_0^2r^2$ .

Here,  $p + \rho > \text{or} < 0$ , implies

 $p_0 + \frac{16\pi^2 \sigma_0^2}{9} a^2 > \sqrt{64A\pi^2}$  (energy condition, limiting case is for equality with zero) or  $\lt \sqrt{64A\pi^2}$ . From the expression  $\rho = \frac{-8\pi A}{\left[p_0 + \frac{16\pi^2}{9}\sigma_0^2 r^2\right]}$  it results the violation of the limiting case of WEC, condition which satisfied only for  $r \to \infty$ .

The plots from Fig.17, Fig.18, Fig.19 and Fig.20 display  $8\pi p$ ,  $8\pi \rho$ ,  $e^{-\lambda}$  and  $M(r)$  against r.

Case - II:

$$
\sigma e^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} = \sigma_0 r^s \tag{67}
$$



FIG. 17:

 $(\sigma_0$  and s are arbitrary constants) Here we assume,

$$
p_r(effective) = 8\pi p - E^2 = constant = p_0,\qquad(68)
$$



Here, the solutions are

$$
p = \frac{1}{8\pi} \left[ p_0 + \frac{16\pi^2 \sigma_0^2}{(s+3)^2} r^{2s+2} \right],
$$
 (69)



 ${\rm FIG.}$  20:

$$
\nu = \frac{2}{(s+1)} \ln \left[ \frac{-64A\pi^2 +}{+ (p_0 + \frac{16\pi^2 \sigma_0^2}{(s+3)^2} r^{2s+2})^2} \right],
$$
 (71)

$$
e^{-\lambda} = 1 - \frac{2M(r)}{r},\tag{72}
$$

FIG. 19:

where,

$$
M(r) = \frac{8\pi^2 \sigma_0^2}{(2n+3)(n+2)^2} r^{2n+3} - \frac{2A(n+2)^2}{n\xi^{2n-\eta}\sigma_0^2} \sum_{k=1}^n \sin\frac{(2k-1)p\pi}{2n} \tan^{-1} \left[ \frac{r+\xi \cos\frac{(2k-1)}{2n}\pi}{\xi \sin\frac{(2k-1)}{2n}\pi} \right]
$$

$$
- \frac{1}{2n\xi^{2n-\eta}\sigma_0^2} \sum_{k=1}^n \cos\frac{(2k-1)p\pi}{2n} \cdot \ln \left[ r^2 + 2\xi r \cos\frac{(2k-1)}{2n}\pi + \xi^2 \right].
$$
(73)



FIG. 21:

[here,  $0 < \eta \leq 2n$  and  $n = s + 1 > 0$  positive integer), $\xi = \frac{(n+2)^2 p_0}{16\pi^2 \sigma^2}$  $\frac{n+2j}{16\pi^2\sigma_0^2}, \eta=3$ 

It can be observed that  $8\pi p = E^2$  leads to a vanishing value of the constant effective pressure term  $p_0$ determining the corresponding expressions for  $p, \rho, \nu$ ,  $e^{-\lambda}$  and  $\widetilde{M}(r)$  (Specialization I, case II). Moreover, p and  $\rho$  have got  $r^{2s+2}$  and  $1/r^{2s+2}$  behaviour, respectively. For  $8\pi p \neq E^2$  the fluid pressure is negative for  $p_0 < -\frac{16\pi^2 \sigma_0^2}{(s+3)^2} r^{2s+2}$ . Further, for  $s = -3$  the model exhibits a singularity point for the fluid pressure  $p$  and the metric potential  $\nu$ , and the matter density  $\rho$  tends toward zero. The value  $s = -1$  is a singularity for  $\nu$ . From  $\rho = \frac{-8\pi A}{5.2^2}$  it results that there is  $\nu$ . From  $\rho = \frac{-8\pi A}{\left[p_0 + \frac{16\pi^2 \sigma_0^2}{(s+3)}\right]}$  $\frac{1}{2}$  it results that there is no limiting case for WEC (only for  $r \to \infty$ ), but the

matter density is positive for  $p_0 < -\frac{16\pi^2 \sigma_0^2}{(s+3)^2} r^{2s+2}$ . Also,  $p_0 + \frac{16\pi^2 \sigma_0^2}{(s+3)^2} r^{2s+2} > \sqrt{64A\pi^2}$  (energy condition, limiting case is for equality with zero) or  $\langle \sqrt{64A\pi^2}$ .

In Fig.21, Fig.22, Fig.23 and Fig.24 we plot  $8\pi p$ ,  $8\pi \rho$ ,  $e^{-\lambda}$  and  $M(r)$  against r for  $s = 0.5$ . Subcase I  $s = 1$ 

We obtain

$$
p = \frac{1}{8\pi} \left[ p_0 + \pi^2 \sigma_0^2 r^4 \right],
$$
 (74)

$$
\rho = \frac{-8\pi A}{[p_0 + \pi^2 \sigma_0^2 r^4]},\tag{75}
$$







FIG. 23:

$$
e^{-\lambda} = 1 - \frac{2M(r)}{r},\tag{77}
$$

where

$$
M(r) = \frac{\pi^2 \sigma_0^2}{14} r^7 - \frac{32A}{\sigma_0^2} \left\{ \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}\alpha} \cdot \ln \left( \frac{r^2 - \sqrt{2}\alpha r + \alpha^2}{r^2 + \sqrt{2}\alpha r + \alpha^2} \right) - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}\alpha} \cdot \left[ \tan^{-1} (1 - \frac{r\sqrt{2}}{\alpha}) - \tan^{-1} (1 + \frac{r\sqrt{2}}{\alpha}) \right] \right\},
$$
 (78)



FIG. 24:

with  $\alpha^4 = \frac{p_0}{\pi^2 \sigma_0^2}$ .

For  $8\pi p = E^2$  we get  $p_0 = 0$ ,  $p = \frac{\pi}{8}\sigma_0^2 r^4$  and  $\rho = \frac{-8A}{\pi\sigma_0^2 r^4}$ . In the case  $8\pi p \neq E^2$  we notice that the pressure vanishes for  $p_0 = -\pi^2 \sigma_0^2 r^4$  and is negative for  $p_0 < -\pi^2 \sigma_0^2 r^4$ . Subcase II  $s = \frac{1}{2}$ 

We get

$$
p = \frac{1}{8\pi} \left[ p_0 + \frac{16\pi^2}{(3.5)^2} \sigma_0^2 r^3 \right],
$$
 (79)

$$
\rho = \frac{-8\pi A}{\left[p_0 + \frac{16\pi^2}{(3.5)^2}\sigma_0^2 r^3\right]},
$$
\n(80)

$$
\nu = \frac{4}{3} \ln \left[ -64A\pi^2 + (p_0 + \frac{16\pi^2}{(3.5)^2} \sigma_0^2 r^3)^2 \right],\tag{81}
$$

$$
e^{-\lambda} = 1 - \frac{2M(r)}{r},\tag{82}
$$

where

$$
M(r) = \frac{4\pi^2 \sigma_0^2 r^6}{3(3.5)^2} - \frac{49}{6\sigma_0^2} \ln[\frac{r^3 + \beta^3}{\beta^3}],
$$
 (83)

with  $\beta^3 = \frac{49p_0}{32\pi^2\sigma_0^2}$ .

We notice that for  $8\pi p = E^2$  we obtain  $p = \frac{2\pi}{(3.5)^2} \sigma_0^2 r^3$ and  $\rho = \frac{-8\pi A}{\frac{16\pi^2}{(3.5)^2} \sigma_0^2 r^3}$ . For  $8\pi p \neq E^2$  the fluid pressure is zero for  $p_0 = -\frac{16\pi}{(3.5)}$  $\frac{16\pi^2}{(3.5)^2} \sigma_0^2 r^3$  and takes negative values for  $p_0 < -\frac{16\pi^2}{(3.5)^2} \sigma_0^2 r^3$ . In this case, also, at  $r = 0$ , there is no singularity.

### IV. CONCLUDING REMARKS

We have developed some new toy electron gas models, and for this we have considered a static spherically symmetric charged perfect fluid with the EOS  $p = -\frac{A}{\rho}$ , where  $A > 0$ , corresponding to the Chaplygin gas. For performing the investigation our attention has been focused on three specializations, each of them characterized by two particular cases. In addition, for specialization III, case II the scenarios for the particular values of the arbitrary constant  $s = 1$  and  $s = \frac{1}{2}$  have been analyzed. From our analysis we obtain the results:

Specialization I:

a) Case I: Assuming a vanishing value for the effective pressure  $p_r(effective) = 0$ , we obtain that  $8\pi p = E^2$ . The intensity of the electric field, fluid pressure and charge density  $\sigma_0$  ( $\sigma$ ) vanish due to a vanishing value of electric charge q. We get a new value for the metric potential  $\nu$  and  $\sigma_0 = 0$  is a singularity point for the matter density  $\rho$ , and for the active gravitational mass  $M(r)$ . We point out that fluid pressure varies with respect of  $p \sim r^2$  and the matter density  $\rho$  has got a  $1/r^2$ behaviour. The limit  $r \to \infty$  connected to a non-zero value of  $\sigma_0$  implies a zero value for the matter density  $\rho$ , and infinity values for all the other physical parameters. For this case we found isotropic coordinate as well as Kretschmann scalar, further the Kretschmann scalar  $R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}R^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}$  becomes infinity as  $r \to 0$ .

b) Case II: In this case a vanishing value for  $\sigma_0$  determines zero values for the intensity of the electric field, electric charge and fluid pressure, a modified value of the metric potential  $\nu$ , and represents a singularity point for the matter density  $\rho$ ,  $e^{-\lambda}$  and gravitational mass  $M(r)$ . We observe that for the value  $s = 0$  we obtain the Case I, for  $s = -3$  the matter density  $\rho$  vanishes and we get infinite values for  $E(r)$ ,  $q(r)$ ,  $p$ ,  $\nu$ ,  $M(r)$ , and  $s = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $s = -\frac{5}{2}$  and  $s = -1$  become singularity points for the gravitational mass and metric potential  $\nu$ , respectively. In the limit  $r \to 0$ ,  $E(r)$ ,  $q(r)$  and p vanish, the fluid pressure becomes infinity with respect  $s > -1$  and the active gravitational mass become infinite for  $s > \frac{1}{2}$ .

13

For  $\frac{2(s+3)^2A}{(2s-1)\sigma_0^2}r^{-2s+1} = -\frac{8\pi^2\sigma_0^2}{(s+3)^2(2s+5)}r^{2s+5}$  with the corresponding s we obtain  $m(a) = \frac{q^2}{2a}$  $\frac{q}{2a}$ .

Specialization II:

CaseI: From  $8\pi\rho + E^2 = constant = \rho_0$  it results that for  $8\pi\rho = -E^2$  the constant effective density term  $\rho_0$  is zero. With this condition, we obtain  $\rho = -\frac{2\pi}{9}\sigma_0^2 r^2$ and  $p = \frac{9A}{2\pi\sigma_0^2 r^2}$ , respectively. The gravitational mass  $M(r)$  vanishes, the metric potential  $\nu$  has got a modified expression, and the metric given by (2) contains a constant  $g_{rr}$ . In the case  $8\pi\rho \neq -E^2$  the matter density  $\rho$  becomes positive and the fluid pressure  $p$  is negative with the condition  $\rho_0 > \frac{16\pi^2}{9}$  $\frac{\partial \pi^2}{\partial \theta^2} \sigma_0^2 r^2$  (compatible Chaplygin gas). The total gravitational mass  $m(r = a)$  is  $m(a) = \frac{\rho_0}{6}a^3 + \frac{8\pi^2\sigma_0^2a^5}{9}$  $\frac{\sigma_0 a}{9}$ . The condition for obtaining a vanishing value for  $\rho$  is  $\rho_0 = E^2$ . The negativity of the gravitational mass  $\rho_0 < -\frac{16\pi^2}{3}$  $\frac{\sin^2 \sigma_0^2 r^2}{r^3}$  and energy density  $(\rho < 0, \, \rho_0 < \frac{16\pi^2}{9})$  $\frac{\partial \pi^2}{\partial \theta^2} \sigma_0^2 r^2$ ) in the case of the electron is connected with the Reissner-Nordström repulsion. At the centre of the spherical system we get  $\rho = \frac{1}{8\pi}\rho_0$ , which is constant and  $\nu = 2 \ln \left[ \frac{\rho_0}{\sqrt{\frac{2(1+\rho_0)^2}{c^2}}} \right]$  $\left[\frac{\rho_0}{64A\pi^2-\rho_0^2}\right]$ . The fluid pressure becomes negative according to  $p = -\frac{8\pi A}{\rho_0}$  (compatible Chaplygin gas), and this features is also obtained for  $\sigma_0 = 0.$ 

CaseII: The constant effective density term  $\rho_0$  vanishes with respect of  $8\pi\rho = -E^2$ . The matter-energy density  $ρ$ , pressure *p*, metric potential *v* and  $e^{-λ}$  have finite values and for the active gravitational mass we get a vanishing value. For a radius shrinking to the center all the physical parameters from eqs. (52)-(54) are nonzero finite quantities (the same as for  $\sigma_0 = 0$ ) and the gravitational mass vanishes.

Specialization III:

Case I: In the limit  $r \to 0$  we obtain that p,  $\rho$ ,  $e^{\nu}$  and  $e^{\lambda}$ are all nonzero finite quantities i.e. there is no singularity at the origin of the spherical system. For  $8\pi p = E^2$  the constant effective pressure  $p_0$  vanishes. The case  $8\pi p \neq$  $E^2$  admits an upper limit for the value of  $p_0$  imposed by the condition of positivity of the gravitational mass. The fluid pressure vanishes for  $p_0 = -\frac{16\pi^2}{9}$  $\frac{6\pi^2}{9}\sigma_0^2r^2$  or for the combined conditions  $p_0 = 0$  ( $8\pi p = E^2$ ) and  $\sigma_0 = 0$ . Further, the fluid pressure takes negative values for  $p_0 <$  $-\frac{16\pi^2}{9}$  $\frac{\partial \pi^2}{\partial \theta} \sigma_0^2 r^2$  (compatible Chaplygin model).

Case II: Note that from  $8\pi p = E^2$  we get a vanishing value of  $p_0$ . For  $s = -3$  the model presents a singularity point for the fluid pressure  $p$  and the metric potential  $\nu$ , with a vanishing value for the matter density  $\rho$ . The value  $s = -1$  represents a singularity for the metric potential  $\nu$ . We obtain a negative value for the fluid pressure with respect  $p_0 < -\frac{16\pi^2 \sigma_0^2}{(s+3)^2}$  $2^{2}s+2$  (compatible Chaplygin model). Further, for  $p_0 = -\frac{16\pi^2 \sigma_0^2}{(s+3)^2} r^{2s+2}$  the fluid pressure vanishes. The value  $s = 0$  corresponds to the Case I. Studying the particular cases  $s = 1$  and  $s = \frac{1}{2}$  we found that the fluid pressure vanishes for  $p_0 = -\pi^2 \sigma_0^2 r^2$  and  $p_0 = -\frac{16\pi^2 \sigma_0^2}{(3.5)^2} r^3$ , and take negative values for  $p_0 < -\pi^2 \sigma_0^2 r^2$  and  $p_0 < -\frac{16\pi^2 \sigma_0^2}{(3.5)^2} r^3$ , respectively.

In addition, a study of the WEC and dominant energy condition for all the specializations has been performed.

#### Acknowledgments

FR is thankful to Jadavpur University for financial support. We are also grateful to Dr. V. Varela for several Illuminating discussions.

- <span id="page-12-0"></span>[1] S. Ray, A. Usmani, F. Rahaman, M. Kalam, and K. Chakraborty, arXiv: 0806.3568[gr-qc].
- <span id="page-12-1"></span>[2] S. Chaplygin, Sci. Mem. Moscow Univ. Math. Phys. 1 (1904).
- <span id="page-12-2"></span>[3] H.-S-Tsien, J. Aeron. Sci. 6, 399 (1939).
- <span id="page-12-3"></span>[4] T. von Karman, J. Aeron. Sci. p. 337 (1941).
- <span id="page-12-4"></span>[5] A. Y. Kamenshchik, U. Moschella, and V. Pasquier, Phys. Lett. B511, 265 (2001).
- <span id="page-12-5"></span>[6] J. Fabris, S. Gonsalvez, and P. de Souza, Gen. Rel. Grav. 34, 53 (2002).
- <span id="page-12-6"></span>[7] N. Bilic, G. B. Tupper, and R. Viollier, Phys. Lett. **B535**, 17 (2002).
- <span id="page-12-7"></span>[8] M. Bento, O. Bertolami, and A. Sen, Phys. Rev. D66, 043507 (2002).
- <span id="page-12-8"></span>[9] V. Gorini, A. Kamenshchik, and U. Moschella, Phys. Rev. D67, 063509 (2003).
- <span id="page-12-9"></span>[10] A. Frolov, L. Kofman, and A. Starobinsky, Phys. Lett. B545, 8 (2002).
- <span id="page-12-10"></span>[11] V. Gorini, A. Kamenshchik, U. Moschella, and V. Pasquier, arXiv:gr-qc/0403062.
- <span id="page-12-11"></span>[12] M. Bento, O. Bertolami, M. Rebouças, and P. Silva,

Phys. Rev. D73, 043504 (2006).

- <span id="page-12-12"></span>[13] M. Setare, Phys. Lett. **B648**, 329 (2007).
- <span id="page-12-13"></span>[14] M. Jamil and M. A. Rashid, arXiv: 08021146.
- <span id="page-12-14"></span>[15] M. Bento, O. Bertolami, and A. Sen, Phys. Lett. **B575**, 172 (2003).
- <span id="page-12-15"></span>[16] D. Bazeia and R. Jackiw, Ann. Phys. **270**, 246 (1998).
- <span id="page-12-16"></span>[17] A. Kamenshchik, U. Moschella, and V. Pasquier, Phys. Lett. B487, 7 (2000).
- <span id="page-12-17"></span>[18] V. Gorini, A. Kamenshchik, U. Moschella, V. Pasquier, and A. Starobinsky, arXiv:0807.2740.
- <span id="page-12-18"></span>[19] M. Setare, Phys. Lett. **B654**, 1 (2007).
- <span id="page-12-19"></span>[20] B. Mota, M. Makler, and M. Reboucas, Astron. Astrophys. 446, 805 (2006).
- <span id="page-12-20"></span>[21] V. Gorini, A. Kamenshchik, U. Moschella, O. Piattella, and A. Starobinsky, arXiv:0711.4242.
- <span id="page-12-21"></span>[22] S. Chattopadhyay and U. Debnath, arXiv:08050070.
- <span id="page-12-22"></span>[23] W. Chakraborty, U. Debnath, and S. Chakraborty, arXiv:0711.0079.
- <span id="page-12-23"></span>[24] B. Paul, P. Thakur, and A. Saha, arXiv:0707.4625.