

# Convergence rate for numerical computation of the lattice Green's function

M. Ghazisaeidi<sup>1</sup> and D. R. Trinkle<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Mechanical Science and Engineering,  
University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, Illinois 61801, USA*

<sup>2</sup>*Department of Materials Science and Engineering,  
University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, Illinois 61801, USA*

## Abstract

Flexible boundary condition methods couple an isolated defect to bulk through the bulk lattice Green's function. The inversion of the force-constant matrix for the lattice Green's function requires Fourier techniques to project out the singular subspace, corresponding to uniform displacements and forces for the infinite lattice. Three different techniques—relative displacement, elastic Green's function, and discontinuity correction—have different computational complexity for a specified numerical error. We calculate the convergence rates for elastically isotropic and anisotropic cases and compare them to analytic results. Our results confirm that the discontinuity correction is the most computationally efficient method to compute the lattice Green's function.

PACS numbers:

## I. INTRODUCTION

Atomic-scale simulation of isolated defects with a computationally tractable number of atoms requires careful choice of boundary conditions. Periodic or fixed boundary conditions introduce fictitious forces when relaxing the geometry of defects; reducing the error requires increasing the number of atoms. Flexible boundary condition methods avoid these errors by instead using harmonic lattice response for atoms away from the defect. In particular, the bulk lattice Green's function (LGF) gives the short- and long-range displacements in response to a point or line force. Sinclair et al.[1] introduced flexible boundary conditions for studying defects such as cracks[2, 3], dislocations[4, 5, 6, 7], vacancies and free surfaces[8] with classical potentials and isolated screw or edge dislocations with density-functional theory[9, 10, 11, 12]. Evaluation of the LGF in real space involves the inverse Fourier transform of a function with a singularity at the  $\Gamma$ -point ( $k = 0$ ), which requires algorithmic approaches to evaluate numerically. Relative displacement method[2, 13], elastic Green's function (EGF) correction[14] and discontinuity correction[15] are three techniques to numerically evaluating the bulk LGF. We compare all three methods to determine the most computationally efficient approach. Section II defines the harmonic response functions, the relative displacement method, elastic Green's function correction and discontinuity correction, and the convergence evaluation methodology. Section III follows with the convergence results and discussion. We find that the discontinuity correction has the fastest convergence rate over the relative displacement and elastic Green's function correction and verify our predicted convergence rates with a simple model and density functional theory results for Al.

## II. BACKGROUND

The lattice Green's function  $\underline{G}^L(\vec{R} - \vec{R}')$  relates the displacements  $\vec{u}(\vec{R})$  of atom  $\vec{R}$  to the internal forces  $\vec{f}(\vec{R}')$  on another atom  $\vec{R}'$  of the lattice through

$$\vec{u}(\vec{R}) = \sum_{\vec{R}'} \underline{G}^L(\vec{R} - \vec{R}') \vec{f}(\vec{R}'). \quad (1)$$

Conversely, the forces on an atom can be expressed in terms of displacements through the force constant matrix  $\underline{D}(\vec{R} - \vec{R}')$  by

$$\vec{f}(\vec{R}) = - \sum_{\vec{R}'} \underline{D}(\vec{R} - \vec{R}') \vec{u}(\vec{R}'). \quad (2)$$

Translational invariance of an infinite lattice makes  $\underline{G}^L$  a function of the relative positions of two atoms. Substituting eqn. (2) into eqn. (1) gives  $\sum_{\vec{R}'} \underline{G}^L(\vec{R} - \vec{R}') \underline{D}(\vec{R}') = -\mathbf{1}\delta(\vec{R})$ , where  $\delta(\vec{R})$  is the Kronecker delta function. A constant shift in the atoms positions does not produce internal forces, giving the sum rule  $\sum_{\vec{R}} \underline{D}(\vec{R}) = 0$  and making  $\underline{G}^L(\vec{R})$  the pseudoinverse of  $\underline{D}(\vec{R})$  in the subspace without uniform displacements or forces. Fourier transform of the lattice functions are defined as

$$\underline{G}^L(\vec{k}) = \sum_{\vec{R}} e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{R}} \underline{G}^L(\vec{R}), \quad \underline{G}^L(\vec{R}) = \int_{BZ} \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} e^{-i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{R}} \underline{G}^L(\vec{k}).$$

for  $\vec{k}$  in the Brillouin zone (BZ). The integral can be approximated by a discrete sum of  $N_k$  points as  $\underline{G}^L(\vec{R}) = \frac{1}{N_k} \sum_{\vec{k}} e^{-i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{R}} \underline{G}^L(\vec{k})$ . In reciprocal space, the matrix inverse relation and the sum rule are  $\underline{G}^L(\vec{k}) \underline{D}(\vec{k}) = 1$  and  $\underline{D}(\vec{0}) = 0$  respectively. For a single atom crystal basis,  $\underline{D}(\vec{k})$  expands as for small  $\vec{k}$  as


$$\underline{D}(\vec{k}) = \sum_{\vec{R}} \underline{D}(\vec{R}) [1 - \frac{(\vec{k}\cdot\vec{R})^2}{2!} + \dots] \simeq -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\vec{R}} (\vec{k}\cdot\vec{R})^2 \underline{D}(\vec{R}), \quad (3)$$

due to the inversion symmetry of  $\underline{D}(\vec{R})$ . At the  $\Gamma$ -point,  $\underline{D}(\vec{k})$  is of the order  $k^2$ , so  $\underline{G}^L(\vec{k})$  has a second order pole. The discrete inverse Fourier transform of  $\underline{G}^L(\vec{k})$  does not converge due to this singularity.

Fig. 1 shows the relative displacement method, elastic Green's function correction and discontinuity correction which are used to avoid the singularity in LGF. **Relative displacement.**[2, 13] Rigid body translations leave the potential energy of the lattice unchanged, so it is enough to calculate only the relative displacements of atoms. Choosing an arbitrary atom as an undisplaced origin requires calculation of  $\underline{G}^L(\vec{R}) - \underline{G}^L(\vec{0}) = \int \frac{d\vec{k}}{(2\pi)^3} \underline{G}^L(\vec{k}) e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{R}} - \int \frac{d\vec{k}}{(2\pi)^3} \underline{G}^L(\vec{k}) e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{0}}$  which reduces to

$$\underline{G}^L(\vec{R}) - \underline{G}^L(\vec{0}) = \int \underline{G}^L(\vec{k}) (\cos(\vec{k}\cdot\vec{R}) - 1) d\vec{k}, \quad (4)$$

due to the sum rule and inversion symmetry. For small  $k$ ,  $\cos(\vec{k}\cdot\vec{R}) - 1$  is of the order  $k^2$  which cancels out the second order pole in  $\underline{G}^L(\vec{k})$  leaving a  $\vec{k}$ -direction dependent discontinuity at



Lattice Green's function      Relative displacement      EGF correction      Discontinuity correction

FIG. 1: Integrand of the inverse Fourier transform for LGF, relative displacement method, EGF correction and discontinuity correction at  $\vec{R} = (1, 1)$ .  $G^L(\vec{k})$  has a second order pole at the  $\Gamma$ -point. The relative displacement method avoids the pole by considering only the displacements relative to a fixed point. The EGF correction removes the second order pole by subtracting a cutoff elastic Green's function. Removal of the pole creates a discontinuity independent of  $|\vec{k}|$  at the  $\Gamma$ -point. The discontinuity correction removes the discontinuity created by EGF correction. The remaining part of the integrand is smooth in the entire Brillouin Zone. The bottom row shows the variation of the integrand as a function of  $|\vec{k}|$  when the origin is approached from different angles  $\theta = \tan^{-1}(k_y/k_x)$ . A discontinuity at the  $\Gamma$ -point is created by the relative displacement method and EGF correction where the integrand is independent of  $|\vec{k}|$  but depends on the direction of approaching the origin. Notice the difference in vertical scale for the LGF—which has a pole—from relative displacement and EGF correction—which have a discontinuity—and the discontinuity correction—which approaches zero quadratically.

the  $\Gamma$ -point. The discretized version of eqn. (4) is  $\frac{1}{N_k} \sum_{\vec{k}} (\cos(\vec{k} \cdot \vec{R}) - 1) G^L(\vec{k})$ . **Elastic Green's function correction.** Following the procedure and notations of [12] and using

eqn. (3),  $\mathcal{G}^L(\vec{k})$  for small  $k$  expands as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{G}^L(\vec{k}) &= [\mathcal{D}(\vec{k})]^{-1} \\
&= [k^2 \tilde{\Lambda}^{(2)}(\hat{k}) - k^4 \tilde{\Lambda}^{(4)}(\hat{k}) + O(k^6)]^{-1} \\
&= k^{-2} [\tilde{\Lambda}^{(2)}(\hat{k})]^{-1} + [\tilde{\Lambda}^{(2)}(\hat{k})]^{-1} \tilde{\Lambda}^{(4)}(\hat{k}) [\tilde{\Lambda}^{(2)}(\hat{k})]^{-1} + O(k^{-2}) \\
&= \mathcal{G}^E(\vec{k}) + \mathcal{G}^{dc}(\vec{k}) + O(k^2),
\end{aligned}$$

where  $k^2 \tilde{\Lambda}^{(2)}(\hat{k})$  and  $k^4 \tilde{\Lambda}^{(4)}(\hat{k})$  are the second and fourth order terms in a small  $k$  expansion of  $\mathcal{D}(\vec{k})$ . The Fourier transform of the elastic Green's function  $\mathcal{G}^E(\vec{k})$  is the second order pole and  $\mathcal{G}^{dc}(\vec{k})$  is a  $\vec{k}$ -direction dependent discontinuity [15]. The lattice Green's function can be separated into an elastic part  $\mathcal{G}^E(\vec{k})$  which should be inverse Fourier transformed analytically and the rest which no longer has a pole (it still has a discontinuity). The remaining part of the LGF can be inverse transformed numerically by  $\frac{1}{N_k} \sum_k \cos(\vec{k} \cdot \vec{R}) (\mathcal{G}^L(\vec{k}) - \mathcal{G}^E(\vec{k}) f_{cut}(\vec{k}))$  where  $f_{cut}$  is a cutoff function that smoothly vanishes on the Brillouin zone edges. Removal of the second order pole by subtraction of a cutoff version of elastic Green's function is used in the semicontinuum method of Tewary[14]. **Discontinuity correction.** To further improve convergence, the discontinuity correction treats the  $\mathcal{G}^{dc}(\vec{k})$  part analytically[15]. In this case, the remaining portion of  $\mathcal{G}^L(\vec{k})$  given by  $\frac{1}{N_k} \sum_k \cos(\vec{k} \cdot \vec{R}) (\mathcal{G}^L(\vec{k}) - (\mathcal{G}^E(\vec{k}) + \mathcal{G}^{dc}(\vec{k})) f_{cut}(\vec{k}))$  is smooth and can be integrated numerically more efficiently.

We expect the convergence rate of the discontinuity correction method to be consistent with the results for integration of smooth periodic functions, while the convergence of relative displacement and elastic Green function correction methods should be dominated by the discontinuity. With  $N_{div}$  denoting the number of partitions in each direction, mid-point rule gives a  $N_{div}^{-4}$  scale for convergence rate of such integrals in all dimensions[16, 17]. The number of  $k$  points  $N_k$  is  $N_{div}^d$  for dimensionality  $d = 1, 2, 3$ ; therefore, the convergence rate of the mid point rule scales as  $N_k^{-4/d}$ . However the EGF correction and relative displacement method should have a poorer convergence compared to discontinuity correction due to the discontinuity that they create at the  $\Gamma$ -point. Since the integrand is smooth elsewhere, we expect the error to be dominated by the area/volume around  $\Gamma$ -point and therefore be of the order of  $N_k^{-1}$  or  $N_{div}^{-d}$ .

We check the predictions of convergence for the three methods using (1) a simple cubic nearest neighbor model and (2) fcc Al. First, as a simplified case we consider a square (cubic in 3D) elastically isotropic lattice with nearest neighbor interactions and lattice constant

$a_0 = \pi$ . We consider only one component of the full matrix:  $G^L(k_x, k_y) = [\sin^2(\pi k_x/2) + \sin^2(\pi k_y/2)]^{-1}$ . The second order pole is given by the elastic Green's function  $G^E(k_x, k_y) = \frac{4}{\pi |\vec{k}|^2}$  which is multiplied by a cutoff function to vanish smoothly at the BZ edges. The discontinuity correction is given by  $G^{dc}(k_x, k_y) = \frac{k_x^4 + k_y^4}{3 |\vec{k}|^4}$  which is also multiplied by the cutoff function. In three dimensions we have

$$\begin{aligned} G^L(k_x, k_y, k_z) &= [\sin^2(\pi k_x/2) + \sin^2(\pi k_y/2) + \sin^2(\pi k_z/2)]^{-1} \\ G^E(k_x, k_y, k_z) &= \frac{4}{\pi |\vec{k}|^2} \\ G^{dc}(k_x, k_y, k_z) &= \frac{k_x^4 + k_y^4 + k_z^4}{3 |\vec{k}|^4}. \end{aligned}$$

For our elastically anisotropic Al lattice, we obtain the force constant matrix  $\underline{D}(\vec{R})$  from DFT using ultrasoft pseudopotentials with the generalized gradient approximation[12]. The numerical integration over the BZ is done with a uniform mesh evaluating the integrand at the mid points. Even and odd values of  $N_{\text{div}}$  give meshes that include or avoid the  $\Gamma$ -point—what we call  $\Gamma$  and non- $\Gamma$  centered meshes respectively. When applying the relative displacement method and EGF correction, the value of the integrand—which is discontinuous at  $k = 0$ —is assigned zero at the  $\Gamma$ -point. We calculate the numerical error as a function of  $N_k$  and  $N_{\text{div}}$  to compare the efficiency of the three methods.

### III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Fig. 2 shows the convergence rates of relative displacement method, EGF correction and discontinuity correction in the square lattice case. The discontinuity correction and EGF correction scale as  $N_k^{-2}$  and  $N_k^{-1}$  respectively as expected. The value of  $\vec{R}$  does not affect the power law scalings of the convergence. The prefactors on the other hand, are changed in the relative displacement method and are of the same order in EGF and discontinuity corrections. While the  $N_k^{-1}$  convergence for relative displacement method obtained by a  $\Gamma$  centered mesh is in accordance with the analytical predictions, use of a non- $\Gamma$  centered mesh produces a convergence faster than expected for this method. This is an artifact of the isotropy of the EGF.

The integrand in the relative displacement method is  $I(\vec{k}) = (\cos(\vec{k} \cdot \vec{R}) - 1)G^L(\vec{k})$ . Near




FIG. 2: Convergence rate with number of k-points of the relative displacement method, EGF correction and discontinuity correction in a 2D square lattice. We expect  $N_k^{-2}$  convergence for discontinuity correction and poorer  $N_k^{-1}$  convergence for EGF correction and relative displacement method. Using a non- $\Gamma$  centered mesh (left) causes an unusually fast convergence for the relative displacement method in elastically isotropic materials. The exponents in the power law scalings are not affected by the value of  $\vec{R}$ , while prefactors are changed in relative displacement method and are of the same order in EGF and discontinuity corrections.

the  $\Gamma$ -point,  $\mathcal{Q}^L(\vec{k})$  matches  $\mathcal{Q}^E(\vec{k})$  and the leading term in the integrand is

$$I^{(\Gamma)}(\vec{k}) = -k^2 R^2 (\hat{k} \cdot \hat{R})^2 \frac{\mathcal{Q}^E(\hat{k})}{2k^2}.$$

For an isotropic EGF,  $\mathcal{Q}^E(\hat{k})$  is constant, so

$$I_{\text{iso}}^{(\Gamma)}(\vec{k}) = -\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{Q}^E \cdot R^2 \cos^2(\theta_{\hat{k}, \hat{R}})$$

where  $\theta_{\hat{k}, \hat{R}}$  is the angle between vectors  $\hat{k}$  and  $\hat{R}$ . The value of the integral over a square  $k_0 \times k_0$  region around  $k = 0$ , for small  $k$  is

$$\int_{k_0^2} I_{\text{iso}}^{(\Gamma)}(\vec{k}) d^2k = \int_{-k_0/2}^{k_0/2} \int_{-k_0/2}^{k_0/2} \left(-\frac{G^E}{2} R^2 \cos^2(\theta)\right) dk_x dk_y = -\frac{k_0^2}{4} G^E R^2. \quad (5)$$

The midpoint rule integration of the same region with a non- $\Gamma$  centered mesh uses the  $k$  points  $\vec{k}_1 = (k_0/2, k_0/2)$ ,  $\vec{k}_2 = (-k_0/2, k_0/2)$ ,  $\vec{k}_3 = (-k_0/2, -k_0/2)$  and  $\vec{k}_4 = (k_0/2, -k_0/2)$  each contributing area  $k_0^2/4$ . The angle between each  $\vec{k}_i$  and  $\hat{R}$ , are  $\theta_1$ ,  $\theta_2 = \theta_1 + \pi/2$ ,  $\theta_3 = \theta_1 + \pi$  and  $\theta_4 = \theta_1 + 3\pi/2$ . Therefore, the numerical approximation for the integral around the  $\Gamma$ -point is

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{I}_{\text{iso}} &= \frac{k_0^2}{4} \left[ I_{\text{iso}}^{(\Gamma)}(\vec{k}_1) + I_{\text{iso}}^{(\Gamma)}(\vec{k}_2) + I_{\text{iso}}^{(\Gamma)}(\vec{k}_3) + I_{\text{iso}}^{(\Gamma)}(\vec{k}_4) \right] \\ &= -\frac{k_0^2}{8} G^E R^2 \left[ \cos^2 \theta_1 + \sin^2 \theta_1 + \cos^2 \theta_1 + \sin^2 \theta_1 \right] = -\frac{k_0^2}{4} G^E R^2. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

which is equal to the exact value of the integral around  $\Gamma$ -point given by eqn. (5). To avoid the effect of the discontinuity at the origin using a  $\Gamma$  centered mesh, the  $\Gamma$ -point contribution to the integral is considered zero while its actual value is given by eqn. (5). This is the source of the dominant error in relative displacement method on a  $\Gamma$  centered mesh which according to eqn. (5) accounts for the  $R$  dependence of the error. The  $R$  dependence of the error is verified by comparing the ratio of prefactors of the relative displacement convergence laws for different  $R$  values and the corresponding  $R^2$  in Fig. 2 which are both approximately 27. On the other hand, the non- $\Gamma$  centered mesh automatically gives the exact value of the integral around the origin based on eqn. (6) and thus produces a faster convergence limited only by the convergence of smooth periodic functions. Note that if  $G^E(\hat{k})$  depends on  $\hat{k}$ —which is the case for anisotropic elastic response—the numerical approximation of the integral around  $\Gamma$  will not be equal to its exact value. Therefore, in general anisotropic problems the non- $\Gamma$  mesh is not special.

Fig. 3 shows that the 3D results follow the same trend as the 2D ones in accordance with the expected values. Both  $\Gamma$  centered and non- $\Gamma$  centered meshes give  $N_k^{-4/d}$  ( $d = 3$ ) and  $N_k^{-1}$  scale for the convergence rate of discontinuity correction and EGF correction respectively. Similar to the trend observed in 2D case the  $\Gamma$  centered mesh produces the expected  $N_k^{-1}$  scale for the convergence of relative displacement method and the non- $\Gamma$  centered mesh produces faster convergence due to the isotropy of the elastic Green's function.






FIG. 3: Convergence rate with number of k-points of the relative displacement method, elastic GF correction and discontinuity correction in a 3D cubic lattice. The error for discontinuity correction method scales as  $N_k^{-4/d}$  where the dimension  $d$  is equal to three here. Note that using a non- $\Gamma$  centered mesh creates a faster convergence for the relative displacement method as observed in the 2D case.




FIG. 4: Convergence rate with number of k-points of the relative displacement method, elastic Green's function correction and discontinuity correction in computation of the  $G_{11}$  component of a 2D LGF in Al. The Al lattice constant  $a_0$  is  $4.04\text{\AA}$ . The convergence trend of LGF calculations in a FCC lattice is the same as the one observed in the simplified problem which is also consistent with the expected values. Note that use of the non- $\Gamma$  centered mesh does not cause a fast convergence for relative displacement method due to the anisotropy of the elastic Green's function.

TABLE I: Effect of dimension on the convergence rate with number of  $k$ -points and number of divisions for the relative displacement method, EGF correction and discontinuity correction.  $N_{\text{div}}$  is proportional to  $1/h$ , the inverse grid spacing and  $N_k = N_{\text{div}}^d$ . The discontinuity correction scales as  $N_{\text{div}}^{-4}$  (or  $N_k^{-4/d}$ ) while the EGF correction and relative displacement method scale as  $N_k^{-1}$  (or  $N_{\text{div}}^{-d}$ ).

<u>Power law scaling of error with</u>	2D		3D	
	$N_k$	$N_{\text{div}}$	$N_k$	$N_{\text{div}}$
Disc correction	-2	-4	-4/3	-4
EGF correction	-1	-2	-1	-3
Rel. displacement	-1	-2	-1	-3

Fig. 4 shows that the convergence trends are not changed for an anisotropic long range interaction—fcc Al—except for relative displacement method. The convergence rates of the three methods for the two dimensional LGF are shown. This is a relevant case that occurs in modeling dislocations. The lattice is periodic in the threading direction [110] which is appropriate for studying screw dislocations. With a non- $\Gamma$  centered mesh, the anisotropy of the elastic Green’s function eliminates the fast convergence of the relative displacement method. The convergence trends of the three methods show that these trends are not specific to the simplifying assumptions of isotropy or short range interactions and therefore can be trusted in realistic calculations.

Table I summarizes the convergence results for the three methods. The expected convergence rate for a numerical integral of a smooth periodic function evaluated by mid-point rule is  $N_{\text{div}}^{-4}$ . When expressed in terms of the number of  $k$ -points used in evaluating the integral  $N_k$ , the convergence rate would be proportional to  $N_k^{-4/d}$ . Since the discontinuity correction leaves a smooth periodic part of the integrand, it follows the above convergence rate. The EGF correction and relative displacement method also converge with the scale of  $N_k^{-1}$  or  $N_{\text{div}}^{-d}$ . Therefore the discontinuity correction method has the fastest convergence rate. The convergence rates imply that a certain amount of error is achieved with less  $N_k$  by discontinuity correction method compared to EGF correction or relative displacement method which means that the discontinuity correction requires the least computational ef-

fort. Although the EGF correction and relative displacement method require comparable computational effort, the  $R$  dependence of the prefactors suggests that the relative displacement method takes even more  $k$ -points than the EGF correction. Also note that there is a trade-off between less computational effort and more complex algorithms. EGF and discontinuity corrections calculate the elastic Green's function and discontinuity correction parts of the LGF analytically while relative displacement method does not require additional analytic evaluations.

#### IV. CONCLUSION

We find the most efficient method to compute the lattice Green's function to be the discontinuity correction. The relative displacement method, elastic Green's function correction and discontinuity correction have all been used in different calculations; we applied and compared the three methods to calculate LGF for a square nearest neighbor lattice and long range fcc Al. The convergence trends match the analytical values with an unusual exception for lattices with isotropic elastic Green's function. It is shown that the discontinuity correction improves the convergence rate to quadratic convergence for 2D calculations compared to linear convergence for the relative displacement and elastic Green's function correction.

- 
- [1] J. E. Sinclair, P. C. Gehlen, R. G. Hoagland, and J. P. Hirth, *J. Appl. Phys.* **49**, 3890 (1978).
  - [2] R. Thomson, S. J. Zhou, A. E. Carlsson, and V. K. Tewary, *Phys. Rev. B* **46**, 10613 (1992).
  - [3] L. M. Canel, A. E. Carlsson, and R. Thomson, *Phys. Rev. B* **52**, 158 (1995).
  - [4] S. Rao, C. Hernandez, J. P. Simmons, T. A. Parthasarathy, and C. Woodward, *Phil. Mag. A* **77**, 231 (1998).
  - [5] S. I. Rao and C. Woodward, *Phil. Mag. A* **81**, 1317 (2001).
  - [6] L. H. Yang, P. Soderlind, and J. Moriarty, *Phil. Mag. A* **81**, 1355 (2001).
  - [7] S. Rao, T. A. Parthasarathy, and C. Woodward, *Phil. Mag. A* **79**, 1167 (1999).
  - [8] V. K. Tewary, *Phys. Rev. B* **69**, 0914109 (2004).
  - [9] C. Woodward and S. I. Rao, *Phil. Mag. A* **81**, 1305 (2001).
  - [10] C. Woodward and S. I. Rao, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88**, 216402 (2002).

- [11] C. Woodward and S. I. Rao, *Phil. Mag.* **84**, 401 (2004).
- [12] C. Woodward, D. R. Trinkle, L. G. Hector, and D. L. Olmsted, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, 045507 (2008).
- [13] K. Ohsawa, E. Kuramoto, and T. Suzuki, *Phil. Mag. A* **74**, 431 (1996).
- [14] V. K. Tewary and R. Bullough, *J. Phys. F* **1**, 554 (1971).
- [15] D. R. Trinkle, *Phys. Rev. B* **78**, 014110 (2008).
- [16] P. J. Davis and P. Rabinowitz, *Methods of Numerical Integration*, Academic Press, 1975.
- [17] W. Gautschi, *Numerical Analysis*, Birkhauser, 1997.