

Quantum recurrence theorem for quantum walks

C. M. Chandrashekar

*Institute for Quantum Computing, University of Waterloo, Ontario N2L 3G1, Canada and
Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics, Waterloo, ON, N2J 2W9, Canada*

It is known that the quantum recurrence theorem holds for the quantum system with discrete energy eigenvalues and fails to hold in general for systems with continuous energy. We show that the wave packet which spreads simultaneously exploring multiple possible paths with the amplitude corresponding to different paths interfering during quantum walk process also fails to satisfy the complete quantum recurrence theorem. However due to the revival of the fractional wave packet a fractional recurrence which can be characterized using quantum Pólya number can be seen during the quantum walk process.

I. INTRODUCTION

In the dynamics of physical systems, from free particle to the stellar dynamics, the recurrence phenomenon has significantly contributed for the better understanding of the systems dynamics [1]. For a classical conservative system, whether discrete or continuous in time, the Poincaré recurrence theorem states that any phase-space configuration (q, p) of a system enclosed in a finite volume will be repeated as accurately as one wishes after a finite interval of time (with no restriction on the interval)[2]. A similar recurrence theorem is shown to hold in quantum theory as well. In a system with discrete energy eigenvalue E_n ; if $\Psi(t_0)$ is its state vector at the time t_0 and ϵ is any positive number, at least one time T will exist such that the norm $|\Psi(T) - \Psi(t_0)|$ of the vector $\Psi(T) - \Psi(t_0)$ is smaller than ϵ [3]. Recurrence in quantum system has also been extensively studied [4].

The quantum walks (QWs) are the quantum analog of the dynamics of the classical random walks (CRWs) [5, 6, 7]. The QW involves superposition of states and moves simultaneously exploring multiple possible paths with the amplitudes corresponding to different paths interfering. This makes the variance of the QW on a line to grow quadratically with the number of steps compared to the linear growth for the CRW. Due to the speedup, the QW has emerged as a powerful approach to quantum algorithm design [8, 9, 10, 11]. The dynamics of QW seems to serve as a potential tool to understand and explain various phenomenon in physical systems beyond quantum computation. It has been used to demonstrate the coherent quantum control over atoms, the quantum phase transition [12], to explain the phenomenon such as, the breakdown of an electric-field driven system [13] and the direct experimental evidence for wavelike energy transfer within photosynthetic systems[14, 15].

Several behavior of the dynamics of the CRW has been very well understood. Various behavior in the quantum domain is also reported and one such behavior is of the recurrence probability of the QW [16]. For CRW we can consider the recurrence probability $p_0(t)$, i.e., the probability of the periodicity of the dynamics that the particle returns to the origin during the time evolution (t steps).

It is characterized by the Pólya number

$$P_{crw} \equiv 1 - \frac{1}{\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} p_0(t)}. \quad (1)$$

If the Pólya number equals one, the CRW is recurrent, otherwise the walk is transient, i.e., with nonzero probability the particle never returns to the origin. For a CRW to be transient the series $\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} p_0(t)$ must converge [17]. Pólya proved that the one- and two- dimensional CRW are recurrent [18], and for each higher dimension a unique Pólya number is associated while the CRWs are transient.

In standard quantum mechanics, initially localized wave packet in state $|\Psi(t_0)\rangle$ which can spread significantly in a closed system can also reform later in the form of a quantum revival in which the spreading reverses itself and the wave packet relocalizes [4]. The relocalized wave packet can again spread and the periodicity in the dynamics can be seen validating the quantum recurrence theorem. The time evolution of a state $|\Psi(t)\rangle$, or a wave function $\Psi(x, t)$ is given by a deterministic unitary transformation associated with the Hamiltonian. During the evolution we deal with the amplitudes and the probability density $p(x, t) = |\Psi(x, t)|^2$ at position x after time t appears only when we collapse the wave packet to perform observation.

Unlike standard wave packet spreading, the QW spreads the wave packet in multiple possible paths with the amplitudes corresponding to different paths interfering. In this paper we show that due to the entanglement between the particle and the position space the wave packet delocalizes over the position space as a small copies of the initial wave packet and due to the interference effect the QW fails to satisfy the complete quantum recurrence theorem. However, due to the revival of the fractional wave packets, a fractional recurrence can be seen in QW. The probabilistic characterization of the QW using quantum Pólya number defined in Ref.[19] shows the fractional recurrence nature of the QW. We also show that an exceptional cases of QW can be constructed which minimizes the interference effect and get close to the complete recurrence.

In Sec. II we briefly describe the standard variants of the discrete-time QW (DTQW) and the continuous-time QW (CTQW). In Sec. III we revisit the quantum

recurrence theorem. In Sec. IV we discuss the quantum recurrence theorem for quantum walks and show the fractional recurrence nature of the QW before concluding in Sec. V.

II. QUANTUM WALK

The study of QWs has been largely divided into two standard variants, the discrete-time QW (DTQW)[7, 20] and the continuous-time QW (CTQW) [21]. Considering the limiting value of the DTQW the connection between the two variants was established [22] and recently the present author has presented a generic model of the QW which can be conveniently used to retrieve both the standard DTQW and CTQW variants [23].

The DTQW is defined on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_c \otimes \mathcal{H}_p$ where, \mathcal{H}_c is the *coin* Hilbert space and the \mathcal{H}_p is the *position* Hilbert space. For a QW in one dimension, the \mathcal{H}_c is spanned by the basis state of the particle $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$ and the \mathcal{H}_p is spanned by the basis state of the position $|x\rangle$, $x \in \mathbb{Z}$. To implement the DTQW, the quantum coin toss operation, an arbitrary three parameter $SU(2)$ operator $C_{\xi,\theta,\zeta}$ of the form

$$C_{\xi,\theta,\zeta} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\xi} \cos(\theta) & e^{i\zeta} \sin(\theta) \\ e^{-i\zeta} \sin(\theta) & -e^{-i\xi} \cos(\theta) \end{pmatrix} \quad (2)$$

is applied on the particle at origin in state

$$|\Psi_0\rangle = (\cos(\delta)|0\rangle + e^{i\eta} \sin(\delta)|1\rangle) \otimes |\psi_0\rangle. \quad (3)$$

The quantum coin operation on the particle is followed by the conditional unitary shift operation U ,

$$U = \exp(-2iS_z \otimes Pl), \quad (4)$$

P being the momentum operator and S_z , the operator corresponding to step of length l depending on the state of the particle respectively. The eigenstates of S_z are denoted by $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$. Therefore, U which delocalizes the wave packet over the position $(x-1)$ and $(x+1)$ can also be written as,

$$U = |0\rangle\langle 0| \otimes \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} |\psi_{x-1}\rangle\langle \psi_x| + |1\rangle\langle 1| \otimes \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} |\psi_{x+1}\rangle\langle \psi_x|. \quad (5)$$

The process of

$$W_{\xi,\theta,\zeta} = U(C_{\xi,\theta,\zeta} \otimes \mathbb{1}) \quad (6)$$

is iterated without resorting to the intermediate measurement to realize large number of steps of the QW. δ and η in Eq. (3) can be varied to get different initial state of the particle. The three variable parameters of the quantum coin, ξ , θ and ζ in Eq. (2) can be varied to change the probability amplitude distribution in the position space. By varying the parameter θ the variance can be increased or decreased as a functional form,

$\sigma^2 \approx (1 - \sin(\theta))N^2$. For a particle with symmetric superposition as initial state the parameter ξ and ζ introduces asymmetry in the probability distribution and their effect on the variance is very small [24].

In the CTQW [21], the walk is defined on the *position* Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_p spanned by the basis state $|\psi_x\rangle$, $x \in \mathbb{Z}$. To implement the CTQW the Hamiltonian H is defined such that

$$H|\psi_x\rangle = -|\psi_{x-1}\rangle + 2|\psi_x\rangle - |\psi_{x+1}\rangle \quad (7)$$

and is made to evolve with time t by applying the transformation

$$U(t) = \exp(iHt). \quad (8)$$

The Hamiltonian H of the process acts as the generator matrix which will transform the probability amplitude at the rate of γ to the neighboring sites. γ is a fixed, time-independent, constant.

III. QUANTUM RECURRENCE THEOREM

Quantum recurrence theorem in the dynamics of the closed system states that there exist a time T when

$$|\Psi(T) - \Psi(t_0)| < \epsilon, \quad (9)$$

where $\Psi(T) = |\Psi_T\rangle$ is the state of the system after time T , $\Psi(t_0) = |\Psi_0\rangle$ is the initial state of the system and ϵ is any positive number [3]. The recurrence of complete property of the system or the exact revival happens when all the expectation values of observables A of the two states $|\Psi_T\rangle$ and $|\Psi_0\rangle$ are said to be close to each other, that is,

$$\langle \Psi_0 | A | \Psi_0 \rangle \approx \langle \Psi_T | A | \Psi_T \rangle. \quad (10)$$

Characterization of the recurrence nature of the classical dynamics can be conveniently done using probabilistic measures. Obtaining probabilistic values in quantum dynamics involves measurement on the system which will collapse the wave function. Therefore probabilistic analysis of the quantum system dynamics limits the accessible information of the state of the system during the evolution process. To analyze this further, we will consider a comparative analysis of the evolution of the two identically prepared quantum system with the initial states $|\Psi_0\rangle$ at position x_0 . One of the particle wave packet initially at position x_0 is first evolved to spread in position space and then reverse the spreading till it relocalizes completely at position x_0 after a time T . The measurement performed on this particle will collapse the wave packet at the relocalized position with an expectation value $\langle \Psi_T | x_0 | \Psi_T \rangle = \langle \Psi_0 | x_0 | \Psi_0 \rangle \approx 1$. The system is further evolved for an additional unit time after the measurement and the corresponding state can be given by $|\Psi_{T_{M+1}}\rangle$, the subscript M stands for the measurement being performed after time T .

The second identically prepared particle wave packet is evolved for time $(T + 1)$ without any measurement being performed at time T and the state can be given by $|\Psi_{T+1}\rangle$. Since the wave packet completely relocalizes at position x_0 after the evolution for the time period T irrespective of the measurement being performed, the expectation value for both the particle after time $(T + 1)$ would be very close to one another,

$$\langle \Psi_{T_{M+1}} | x | \Psi_{T_{M+1}} \rangle \approx \langle \Psi_{T+1} | x | \Psi_{T+1} \rangle \quad (11)$$

with x spanning over all position space.

If the particle wave packet evolves such that at time T only a fractional revival of the wave packet happens, that is the wave packet does not relocalize completely at position x_0 (which will happen if the system is not closed) then the measurement will collapse the wave packet and return the expectation value

$$\langle \Psi_T | x_0 | \Psi_T \rangle = \delta < 1. \quad (12)$$

Then if the two identically prepared particle are evolved to time $(T+1)$, one with a measurement being performed at time T and an other without any measurement being performed, will not return the same expectation value

$$\langle \Psi_{T_{M+1}} | x | \Psi_{T_{M+1}} \rangle \neq \langle \Psi_{T+1} | x | \Psi_{T+1} \rangle \quad (13)$$

with x spanning over all position space. The two expectation value being different from one other in this case itself would be sufficient to conclude that the complete revival does not happen during the evolution. Inequality between the two expectation values can act as a signature of the fractional revival. From this we can conclude that, If the system is completely recurrent irrespective of the measurement at time T then the two states $|\Psi_{T_{M+1}}\rangle$ and $|\Psi_{T+1}\rangle$ will be close to each other.

IV. FRACTIONAL RECURRENCE OF THE QUANTUM WALK

The state of the particle wave packet after implementing the DTQW of t steps with unit time required to implement each step can be written as

$$|\Psi_t\rangle = W_{\xi, \theta, \zeta}^t |\Psi_0\rangle = \sum_x a_x |\Phi_{x,t}\rangle, \quad (14)$$

$|\Phi_{x,t}\rangle$ is the state of the delocalized wave packet at each position x in \mathcal{H}_p of any dimension and $|\Psi_0\rangle$ is the state of the wave packet before implementing the QW, Eq.(3). In the QW process which involves a deterministic unitary evolution, the particle wave packet spreads over the position space forming a mini wave packets. During this delocalization process the mini wave packets interfere and entangle the \mathcal{H}_p and \mathcal{H}_c . Irrespective of the dimensionality of the \mathcal{H}_p , the interference and the entanglement between the \mathcal{H}_p and \mathcal{H}_c does not permit for the complete relocalization of the wave packet to initial position




FIG. 1: (color online) The plot of $[1 - p_0(t)]$, where $p_0(t)$ is the probability of particle at the origin with t being the number of steps in the DTQW evolution. The plot for QW on a line using different coin operation parameter $\theta = 15^\circ, 45^\circ, \text{ and } 75^\circ$ is shown. With increase in θ , the quantum Pólya number which characterizes the fractional recurrence nature of the QW also increases. The plot with $\theta = 0^\circ$ is for a walk on an n -cycle with a completely suppressed interference effect, here $n = 51$. For a walk to be completely recurrent their should exist a $t = T$ where $[1 - p_0(T)] = 0$, any non-zero value can be used to characterize the fractional recurrence nature of the QW and an absolute 1 for all t would show that the QW is completely transient.

after any given number of steps t . Therefore the argument leading to Eq.(12) and Eq.(13) holds to show that the complete recurrence does not occur during the evolution of the QW process. That is,

Their exist no time T in QW evolution involving interference effect where the system revive completely and repeat the complete revival at regular interval of time.

The proof of this can also be simply show in the following way: The DTQW evolution operator $W_{\xi, \theta, \zeta}^t$ after $t = T$ steps, Eq. (6) or CTQW evolution operator $U(t)$ after time $t = T$, Eq.(8) should return an identity matrix for the complete recurrence of the QW to happen. The evolution operators are defined for QW such that except for an extreme choice of evolution parameters, which we will discuss later for a DTQW case, their exist no time T where the evolution operators return an identity matrix.

By suppressing the interference effect during the evolution in a closed path one can get closer to the complete relocalization, revival at initial position x_0 . For example, the wave packet can be completely relocalized to x_0 and make the QW recurrent on a n -cycle by choosing an extreme value of coin parameters $(\xi, \theta, \zeta) = (0^\circ, 0^\circ, 0^\circ)$ in the Eq.(2) after $T = n$, where n is the number of position in the \mathcal{H}_p . However $\theta = \delta$, δ being very small and close to 0° would also return a near complete recurrence on the n -cycle.

For CRW each step is associated with the *randomness* and the *probability* of the entire particle whereas, for the QW process the *randomness* and the *probability* comes into consideration only when the wave packet is collapsed. As we have discussed earlier, any probability based characterization of the dynamic behavior of the QW process disturbs the evolution of the quantum system. However, recently a probability based characterization of the recurrence nature of the QW, quantum Pólya number was defined for an ensemble of identically prepared QW systems by the expression

$$P_{qw} = 1 - \prod_{t=1}^{\infty} [1 - p_0(t)] \quad (15)$$

where $p_0(t)$ is the post measurement recurrence probability of the particle. Each identically prepared particle is subjected to different number of steps of QW from 1 to ∞ and the probability of the particle at the origin is measured and discarded [19]. The probability that the particle is found at the origin in a single series of such measurement records is the quantum Pólya number. The quantum Pólya number was calculated for various coined QWs and it is shown that in the higher dimension it depends both on the initial state and the parameters in the coin operator whereas, for CRW the Pólya number is uniquely determined by its dimensionality [25]. The non-classical features such as constructing a QW in an arbitrary dimension which is recurrent (we will call as fractional recurrent) was also exhibited.

To show the QW to be completely recurrent adopting a probability based characterization given by Eq. (15) needs to have at least one of the many particle, each evolved to different steps t from 1 to ∞ to return $p_0(t) = 1$. In Fig. (1) the plot of $[1 - p_0(t)]$ is shown for a particular case where the QW shows the complete recurrence nature, walk on an n -cycle with $\theta = 0^\circ$ where the interference effect is completely suppressed. If $0 < p_0(t) > 1$ for any t , then only with certain probability $p_0(t)$ the wave packet collapses at x_0 , that is, prior to

measurement the particle existed in superposition of position space. If $0 < p_0(t) > 1$ for all the particles evolved to different steps from 1 to ∞ then the fractional recurrence of the QW is characterized by the P_{qw} . In Fig. (1) the plot of $[1 - p_0(t)]$ is shown for a DTQW on a line where the different coin operation parameter $\theta = 15^\circ, 45^\circ, 75^\circ$. With increase in θ the quantum Pólya number (fractional recurrence number) P_{qw} also increases. For the QW to be completely transient, for all t the $p_0(t)$ should return 0. We also note that localization effect found in 2D [27] or found in QWs using multi quantum coins to diminish the interference effect [26] can result in increasing the fractional recurrence number P_{qw} .

Even if the particle wave packet is evolved in a *position* Hilbert space with the edges that permits the wave packet to escape, the fractional recurrence nature of the QW does not allow the QW to be completely transient. However a fractional transient nature of the QW is seen to complement the fractional recurrence nature.

V. CONCLUSION

In summary we show that, as long as the wave packet spread in position space interfering, forming mini wave packets during the evolution of the QW process fails to satisfy the complete recurrence theorem. We show this by analyzing the dynamics of quantum walk process without collapsing the wave function. However due to the revival of the fractional wave packet a fractional recurrence can be seen during the QW process and the fractional recurrence can be characterized using the quantum Pólya number.

Acknowledgement:

I thank Raymond Laflamme for his encouragement and support. I also acknowledge Mike and Ophelia Lezaridis for the financial support at IQC.

-
- [1] S. Chandrasekhar, Rev. Mod. Phys. **15**, 1 (1943).
 - [2] Luis Barreira, *Poincaré recurrence: old and new*, IVth International Congress on Mathematical Physics, World Scientific, pp. 415-422 (2006) ; U. Krengel, *Ergodic Theorems*, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, New York, 1985.
 - [3] P. Bocchieri and A. Loinger, Phys. Rev. **107**, 2 (1957) ; L.S. Schulman, Phys. Rev. A **18** 5 (1978).
 - [4] R.W. Robinett, Phys. Rep. **392**, 1 (2004).
 - [5] G.V. Riazanov, Sov. Phys. JETP **6** 1107 (1958).
 - [6] R. P. Feynman and A.R. Hibbs, *Quantum Mechanics and Path Integrals* (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1965).
 - [7] Y. Aharonov, L. Davidovich and N. Zagury, Phys. Rev. A **48**, 1687, (1993).
 - [8] A.M. Childs, R. Cleve, E. Deotto, E. Farhi, S. Gutmann and D.A. Spielman, in *Proceedings of the 35th ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing* (ACM Press, New York, 2003), p.59.
 - [9] N. Shenvi, J. Kempe and K. Birgitta Whaley, Phys. Rev. A **67**, 052307, (2003).
 - [10] A.M. Childs, J. Goldstone, Phys. Rev. A **70**, 022314, (2004).
 - [11] A. Ambainis, J. Kempe, and A. Rivosh, *Proceedings of ACM-SIAM Symp. on Discrete Algorithms (SODA)*, (AMC Press, New York, 2005), pp.1099-1108.
 - [12] C.M. Chandrashekar and R. Laflamme, Phys. Rev. A **78** 022314 (2008).
 - [13] Takashi Oka, Norio Konno, Ryotaro Arita, and Hideo Aoki, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94** 100602 (2005).
 - [14] Gregory S. Engel *et. al.*, Nature, **446**, 782-786 (2007).
 - [15] M. Mohseni, P. Rebentrost, S. Lloyd, A. Aspuru-Guzik,

- arXiv:0805.2741.
- [16] A. Ambainis, E. Bach, A. Nayak, A. Vishwanath and J. Watrous, *Proceeding of the 33rd ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing* (ACM Press, New York, 2001), p.60.
 - [17] P. Révész. *Random walk in Random and non-random Environments* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1990).
 - [18] G. Pólya, *Math. Ann.* **84** 149 (1921).
 - [19] M. Stefanak, I. Jex, and T. Kiss, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100** 020501 (2008).
 - [20] David A. Meyer, *J. Stat. Phys.* **85**, 551 (1996).
 - [21] E. Farhi and S. Gutmann, *Phys.Rev. A* **58**, 915 (1998).
 - [22] Fredrick Strauch, *Phys. Rev. A* **74**, 030310 (2006)
 - [23] C.M. Chandrashekar, *Phys. Rev. A* ; arXiv : 08101556
 - [24] C.M. Chandrashekar, R. Srikanth, and R. Laflamme, *Phys. Rev. A* **77** 032326 (2008).
 - [25] M. Stefanak, I. Jex, and T. Kiss, *Phys. Rev. A* **100** 020501 (2008).
 - [26] T.A. Brun, H.A. Carteret, and A. Ambainis, *Phys. Rev. A* **67** 052317 (2003).
 - [27] N. Inui, Y. Konishi, N. Konno, *Phys. Rev. A* **69** 052323 (2004).