

Effective thermal dynamics following a quantum quench in a spin chain.

Davide Rossini,¹ Alessandro Silva,² Giuseppe Mussardo,^{1,2,3} and Giuseppe Santoro^{1,2}

¹*International School for Advanced Studies (SISSA), Via Beirut 2-4, I-34014 Trieste, Italy*

²*International Centre for Theoretical Physics (ICTP), I-34014 Trieste, Italy*

³*Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Trieste.*

We study the nonequilibrium dynamics of the Quantum Ising Model following an abrupt quench of the transverse field. We focus on the onsite autocorrelation function of the order parameter. In particular, we extract the phase coherence time τ_Q^φ from the asymptotic behavior of this correlator and study its dependence on the initial state. We show that the initial state determines τ_Q^φ only through an effective temperature set by its energy and the final Hamiltonian. Moreover, we observe that the dependence of τ_Q^φ on the effective temperature agrees with that obtained in thermal equilibrium as a function of the equilibrium temperature.

PACS numbers: 75.40.Gb, 75.10.Pq, 73.43.Nq, 03.65.Sq

A recent series of beautiful experiments with cold atomic gases [1, 2, 3] has triggered a great deal of interest in some fundamental aspects of the non-equilibrium dynamics of correlated quantum systems. The peculiarity of the dynamics of cold atomic gases is its phase coherence on long time scales. This was clearly demonstrated by the cycles of collapse and revival of the order parameter observed in Ref. [2]. The interplay between phase coherence, strong interactions, and low dimensionality may result in surprising effects: an example is the lack of thermalization recently observed in quasi-one dimensional condensates [3]. The attribution of this phenomenon to the closeness of these systems to integrability spurred an intense discussion on the general relation between *quantum* integrability and thermalization in the long-time dynamics of strongly correlated quantum systems [4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15].

The simplest nonequilibrium process to be considered in order to study the long-time dynamics of a quantum system is the *quantum quench*: an abrupt change in time of one parameter of the system or of its boundary conditions. Recent studies of quench dynamics in various strongly correlated models [4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15] have demonstrated that the behavior of integrable and non-integrable systems can be quite different. Thermalization can be observed, under specific circumstances, in nonintegrable systems [8, 9, 10]: the asymptotic value of significant observables, such as the momentum distribution function, does not depend on the fine details of the initial state, but only on its energy [8]. The mechanism of such thermalization was conjectured to be analogous to the one proposed by Srednicki [16] for systems with a classically chaotic counterpart. On the other hand, for integrable systems thermalization does not occur [5, 6, 7, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15]: a larger amount of information on the initial state seems to be necessary for predicting the asymptotic state. It has been conjectured that this information consists in the expectation value of an infinite number of constants of motion entering a generalized Gibbs ensemble as Lagrange multipliers [7]. For

a special quench in a 1D Bose-Hubbard model [11] and for integrable systems with free quasiparticles [12], the local reduced density matrix was indeed proven to asymptotically tend to such generalized ensemble. Moreover, the generalized Gibbs ensemble was shown to correctly predict the asymptotic momentum distribution functions for a variety of models and quenches [6, 7, 13, 14]. However, it should be pointed out that neglect of correlations of the occupation of different quasi-particle modes generally leads to incorrect predictions for the noise and higher order correlators [15].

In this Letter, instead of focusing on the asymptotics of observables, we take a different perspective, and study the dependence on the initial state $|\psi_0\rangle$ of the intrinsic time-scale of the dynamics after the quench. We do this by considering the transverse field Quantum Ising chain, a prototypical example of exactly solvable model with a quantum phase transition [17]. We study the autocorrelation function of the order parameter after a quench of the transverse field, extracting the phase coherence time τ_Q^φ from its asymptotic exponential decay. We will show that, regardless of the integrability of the model, the only information on the quench needed to predict τ_Q^φ is the final gap Δ and an *effective temperature* T_{eff} , determined by the energy of the initial state after the quench. We will also show that the dependence of τ_Q^φ on T_{eff} is in very good agreement with that obtained, at equilibrium, for the same quantity τ_T^φ as a function of the equilibrium temperature T .

We consider a spin-1/2 Quantum Ising chain in a (dimensionless) transverse magnetic field Γ with periodic boundary conditions:

$$\mathcal{H}(\Gamma) = -J \sum_j \left[\sigma_j^x \sigma_{j+1}^x + \Gamma \sigma_j^z \right], \quad (1)$$

where σ_j^α ($\alpha = x, y, z$) are spin operators, J is the interaction strength. Hereafter, unless explicitly written, we set $J = 1$. The Quantum Ising chain has a quantum critical point at $\Gamma_c = 1$ separating two mutually dual gapped phases, a quantum paramagnetic one




FIG. 1: (color online). Time dependence of $|\rho_Q^{xx}(t)|$ for a quench to a final ferromagnetic $\Gamma = 0.5$. Different curves, obtained numerically for a finite chain of $L = 600$ sites, correspond to different initial Γ_0 's. Inset: $|\rho_Q^{xx}(t)|\sqrt{t}$ for $\Gamma = 1.25$ and different initial Γ_0 's.

($\Gamma > 1$) and a ferromagnetic one ($\Gamma < 1$), with energy gap $\Delta \equiv 2|1 - \Gamma|$. At equilibrium, the presence of a quantum critical point dramatically influences the temperature dependence of the basic time-scale characterizing the dynamics of the system, the phase coherence time τ_T^φ [17]. The latter is usually extracted from the asymptotic behavior of the on-site spin autocorrelation function $\rho_T^{xx}(t) \equiv \langle \sigma_j^x(t) \sigma_j^x(0) \rangle$, which decays to zero exponentially, [18, 19] $\rho_T^{xx}(t) \sim e^{-t/\tau_T^\varphi}$, at any finite temperature $T > 0$, both at criticality ($\Delta = 0$), and in the off-critical region ($T \ll \Delta$). At criticality [18], for $T \ll J$ one finds

$$\tau_T^\varphi \simeq \frac{8}{\pi T}, \quad (2)$$

while τ_T^φ is exponentially larger [19, 20] in the off-critical region with $T \ll \Delta$:

$$\tau_T^\varphi \simeq \frac{\pi}{2T} e^{\Delta/T}. \quad (3)$$

Consider now the case of a quantum quench, which consists in preparing the system in the ground state corresponding to a transverse field Γ_0 , $|\psi_0\rangle = |\psi(\Gamma_0)\rangle$, and then abruptly quenching, at $t = 0$, the transverse field to some $\Gamma \neq \Gamma_0$. For $t > 0$, the state evolves unitarily under $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma)$, according to $|\psi_t\rangle = \exp[-i\mathcal{H}(\Gamma)t]|\psi(\Gamma_0)\rangle$. We define the zero-temperature on-site autocorrelation function describing the spin dynamics after the quench:

$$\rho_Q^{xx}(t) \equiv \langle \psi(\Gamma_0) | e^{i\mathcal{H}(\Gamma)t} \sigma_j^x e^{-i\mathcal{H}(\Gamma)t} \sigma_j^x(0) | \psi(\Gamma_0) \rangle. \quad (4)$$

Before entering the details of the discussion let us summarize the results obtained analyzing the asymptotics

of $\rho_Q^{xx}(t)$. We find that $\rho_Q^{xx}(t)$ always decays exponentially to zero (see Fig. 1), $\rho_Q^{xx}(t) \sim e^{-t/\tau_Q^\varphi}$, as in the finite-temperature equilibrium case. This allows us to extract a time-scale τ_Q^φ characterizing the dynamics after the quench. This phase-coherence time τ_Q^φ depends in principle on the initial state $|\psi(\Gamma_0)\rangle$ and on the final Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma)$. However, and this is the main result of this Letter, all the information needed to characterize τ_Q^φ is encoded in two variables only: the final gap $\Delta(\Gamma)$, and an *effective temperature* T_{eff} . The latter is obtained by comparing the energy associated to the initial state $|\psi(\Gamma_0)\rangle$ with respect to the after-quench Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma)$ to the average energy of a *fictitious* thermal state at temperature T_{eff} :

$$\langle \psi(\Gamma_0) | \mathcal{H}(\Gamma) | \psi(\Gamma_0) \rangle = \langle \mathcal{H}(\Gamma) \rangle_{T_{\text{eff}}}. \quad (5)$$

Most importantly, we will find that $\tau_Q^\varphi = \tau_{T=T_{\text{eff}}}^\varphi$ both for quenches at criticality and away from it, where τ_T^φ is the equilibrium phase-coherence time given by Eqs. (2)-(3).

To calculate $\rho_Q^{xx}(t)$ in Eq. (4) we exploit the complete integrability of the Quantum Ising chain [21, 22]. The essential steps are the following [23]: first, one represents spins in terms of Jordan-Wigner fermions

$$c_l \equiv \sigma_l^- \exp\left(i\pi \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} \sigma_j^+ \sigma_j^-\right). \quad (6)$$

Since the system ground state has always an even number of fermions, one can focus on the even c -fermionic Hilbert space sector. Switching then to momentum representation, the Hamiltonian can be diagonalized by using a Bogoliubov rotation, which leads to [21, 22]:

$$\mathcal{H}(\Gamma) = \sum_{k>0} \epsilon_k^\Gamma (\gamma_k^\dagger \gamma_k + \gamma_{-k}^\dagger \gamma_{-k} - 1), \quad (7)$$

where γ_k are fermionic quasi-particle operators, $\epsilon_k^\Gamma = 2\sqrt{\Gamma^2 - 2\Gamma \cos k + 1}$ is their dispersion, and $k = \pm\pi(2n+1)/L$ with $n = 0, \dots, L/2 - 1$.

The second step consists in describing the dynamics after a quench. This can be easily done in the Heisenberg picture [24], by solving the closed set of equations of motion for the c -fermions in momentum space, with the initial conditions associated to the quench. Finally, $\rho_Q^{xx}(t)$ can be computed using a trick developed in Ref. [23]. The operator $\sigma_j^x(t) \sigma_j^x(0)$ connects states with different c -fermion parity, and it cannot be simply evaluated using Jordan-Wigner fermions in the even Hamiltonian sector. This problem can be however circumvented [23] by considering a four-spin correlation function on a chain of length L , $C^x(t; L) = \langle \sigma_1^x(t) \sigma_1^x(0) \sigma_{\frac{L}{2}+1}^x(t) \sigma_{\frac{L}{2}+1}^x(0) \rangle$. The correlator $C^x(t; L)$ conserves the c -fermion parity, and can be explicitly written as the square root of a Pfaffian [23], using the techniques of Ref. [21]. One finally recovers $\rho_Q^{xx}(t)$ using the cluster property $[\rho_Q^{xx}(t)]^2 =$




FIG. 2: (color online). Phase coherence time τ_Q^φ as a function of the initial transverse field Γ_0 . The different curves refer to a ferromagnetic ($\Gamma = 0.5$, red circles), a critical ($\Gamma = 1$, blue triangles) and a paramagnetic ($\Gamma = 1.25$, green squares) quench dynamics. Inset: The effective temperature T_{eff} vs. Γ_0 , as extracted from Eq. (5), for the same values of Γ .

$\lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} C^x(t; L)$, by taking the square root of $C^x(t)$ in the limit of a large number L of spins.

As anticipated above, the zero-temperature quench autocorrelation $\rho_Q^{xx}(t)$ always relaxes exponentially to zero (see Fig. 1), irrespective of the initial state $|\psi(\Gamma_0)\rangle$ and of the final transverse field $\Gamma \neq \Gamma_0$: $\rho^{xx}(t) \sim e^{-t/\tau_Q^\varphi}$. Notice that this is in sharp contrast, for instance, with the behavior of the zero-temperature equilibrium autocorrelation $\rho_{T=0}^{xx}(t)$, which decays as $M_x^2 + C/t$ for $\Gamma < 1$, $M_x = (1 - \Gamma^2)^{1/8}$ being the spontaneous magnetization [23]. When quenching to the paramagnetic phase ($\Gamma > 1$), the exponential decay is superimposed to an oscillatory power-law decay. This is once again reminiscent of the finite-temperature equilibrium case, where $\rho_T^{xx}(t) \sim K(t)e^{-t/\tau_T^\varphi}$, $K(t)$ being the quantum zero-temperature correlator [19], which oscillates and decays as $t^{-1/2}$. Indeed, for a quench to $\Gamma > 1$, rescaling $\rho_Q^{xx}(t)$ with the zero-temperature power-law factor $t^{-1/2}$ leads again to exponential relaxation, see inset of Fig. 1.

Let us now analyze the coherence time τ_Q^φ as a function of the different initial and final conditions. In Fig. 2 we plot τ_Q^φ as a function of the initial Γ_0 for several fixed final Γ 's. A dramatic increase of τ_Q^φ as $\Gamma_0 \rightarrow \Gamma$ is observed: the less the system goes out-of-equilibrium, the slower is the relaxation. Eventually, if $\Gamma_0 = \Gamma$, the exponential decay turns into a power-law, as in the zero-temperature equilibrium case, and $\tau_Q^\varphi \rightarrow \infty$. The analogy with the equilibrium finite-temperature behavior, where the lower is the temperature T the longer is τ_T^φ , is evident. It is therefore tempting to relate the two cases, by introducing an effective temperature T_{eff} for the sys-




FIG. 3: (color online). Phase coherence time τ_Q^φ as a function of the effective temperature. Different symbols are for various values of the transverse field Γ in the ferromagnetic, critical and paramagnetic phase. Empty symbols correspond to values of the initial field $\Gamma_0 < \Gamma$ while filled ones are for $\Gamma_0 > \Gamma$. Straight lines denote the finite-temperature equilibrium values of $\tau_{T=T_{\text{eff}}}^\varphi$, as predicted by Eqs. (2)-(3). The inset shows the finite size scaling of τ_Q^φ at criticality. Data in the main panel are for $L = 600$ (except for $\Gamma = 1$ and $T_{\text{eff}} < 0.07$, where $L = 800$).

tem out of equilibrium. We define T_{eff} by comparing the energy of the initial state $|\psi(\Gamma_0)\rangle$ with that of a fictitious thermal state as in Eq. (5), with a thermal energy $\langle \mathcal{H}(\Gamma) \rangle_{T_{\text{eff}}} = \sum_{k>0} \epsilon_k^\Gamma (n_k(T_{\text{eff}}) + n_{-k}(T_{\text{eff}}) - 1)$ determined by an effective Fermi distribution function $n_k(T_{\text{eff}}) = (1 + e^{\epsilon_k^\Gamma/T_{\text{eff}}})^{-1}$ of the quasiparticles γ_k . A plot of T_{eff} as a function of Γ_0 , for different values of Γ , is shown in the inset of Fig. 2. Notice that, for each value of Γ , there are *two* values of Γ_0 for which T_{eff} is the same, one for $\Gamma_0 < \Gamma$ and one for $\Gamma_0 > \Gamma$.

The effective temperature T_{eff} , together with the quasiparticle gap Δ at the final Γ , determines univocally the phase coherence time τ_Q^φ . Numerical evidence for this is shown in Fig. 3, where τ_Q^φ is plotted as a function of T_{eff} . We observe that points with equal Γ (hence equal gap $\Delta(\Gamma)$) but different Γ_0 have the same τ_Q^φ if the effective temperatures are the same (cfr. filled and empty data points in Fig. 3). Moreover, the value of τ_Q^φ is fully characterized by $\Delta(\Gamma)$ and by T_{eff} , and *not* by the initial energy itself. Indeed, two quenches having different initial state energy, but equal final gap $\Delta(\Gamma)$ (e.g., for $\Gamma = 1 \pm \delta\Gamma$) and equal T_{eff} , will show the same τ_Q^φ (see data for $\Gamma = 1.25$ and 0.75 in Fig. 3). For example, the two quenches $1.21 \rightarrow 1.25$ and $0.715 \rightarrow 0.75$ have equal $T_{\text{eff}} \simeq 0.113$ within 0.7%, (corresponding to $\tau_Q^\varphi \simeq 1170$ within 0.3%), although their energies differ by 25%. Strikingly, we also obtain that, with an excellent accuracy, τ_Q^φ is still given by the equilibrium expres-




FIG. 4: (color online). Phase coherence time at $\Gamma = 0.5$ computed according to the quasiparticle distribution f_k ($\tilde{\tau}_Q^\varphi$, blue squares) and to the effective quasiparticle distribution $n_k(T_{\text{eff}})$ ($\tilde{\tau}_T^\varphi$, red squares).

sions, Eqs. (2)-(3), with the replacement $T \rightarrow T_{\text{eff}}$, i.e., $\tau_Q^\varphi \simeq \tau_{T=T_{\text{eff}}}^\varphi$ both at criticality and out of criticality. A picture based on semiclassical arguments [19] justifying some of these findings will be presented below.

The time dependence of correlators is influenced by quasiparticle propagation, as shown exemplarily in a series of studies [4, 5, 6] focusing on the asymptotics of *equal time* correlators at different space-points, $\rho^{xx}(r, t) = \langle \sigma_{i+r}^x(t) \sigma_i^x(t) \rangle$. The most striking feature that emerged in this context is the so-called light-cone effect [4, 6]: correlations of two spins at distance r peak at time $t \simeq r/2v$, i.e., at the time when the first pair of quasiparticles reaches them, travelling at speed v and originating from points placed between the two spins.

A similar quasiparticle picture, elucidating some of the results so far obtained and applicable in the off-critical regimes ($T_{\text{eff}} \ll \Delta$), can be formulated in the spirit of Ref. [19]. When the transverse field is quenched, the initial condition for the time evolution consists of a state with a finite density of quasiparticles (relative to $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma)$), characterized by a dispersion ϵ_k^Γ . For $\Gamma < 1$, these quasiparticles can be seen as kinks propagating with momentum k and velocity $v_k = \partial \epsilon_k^\Gamma / \partial k$. The correlator $\rho_Q^{xx}(t)$ is then determined by the number of kinks passing through a single site in the interval $[0, t]$. A simple combinatorial analysis [19], together with an average over momenta, leads to the result $\rho_Q^{xx}(t) \simeq \exp[-t/\tilde{\tau}_Q^\varphi]$, with

$$(\tilde{\tau}_Q^\varphi)^{-1} = \frac{2}{L} \sum_k |v_k| f_k, \quad (8)$$

where $f_k = \langle \psi_0 | \gamma_k^\dagger \gamma_k | \psi_0 \rangle$ is the occupation of the quasiparticle modes. An analogous argument can be presented for $\Gamma > 1$, with the result $\rho_Q^{xx}(t) \simeq K(t) \exp(-t/\tilde{\tau}_Q^\varphi)$, where $K(t) \approx t^{-1/2}$ is the equilibrium, zero-temperature

correlator. While this picture explains the exponential decay towards zero, to understand the behavior of τ_Q^φ is important to notice the following: even though the quasiparticle distribution function f_k determined by the initial state and the *effective* thermal quasiparticle distribution function $n_k(T_{\text{eff}})$ are typically very different, the phase coherence time computed according either to Eq. (8) or to $(\tilde{\tau}_T^\varphi)^{-1} = 2/L \sum_k |v_k| n_k(T_{\text{eff}})$ are instead very close (see Fig. 4). In other words, we have explicitly checked that, fixing the effective temperature through Eq. (5) or using the equation $\tilde{\tau}_Q^\varphi = \tilde{\tau}_T^\varphi$, leads to results that, in the off critical region $\Delta \gg T_{\text{eff}}$, are similar both qualitatively and quantitatively (to a few percent accuracy). In particular, imposing the identity $\tilde{\tau}_Q^\varphi = \tilde{\tau}_T^\varphi$, an explicit computation gives $\tilde{T}_{\text{eff}} \sim 2\Delta / \ln[\Delta/(\Gamma - \Gamma_0)^2]$ far from criticality and at low temperatures, in agreement with the cusp singularity observed in Fig. 2.

In conclusion, we have studied the dependence of the phase coherence time τ_Q^φ after an abrupt quench of the transverse field in a Quantum Ising chain. We have shown that τ_Q^φ depends on only two variables, the quasiparticle gap Δ and the quasiparticle effective temperature T_{eff} . We have provided numerical evidence of the fact that the dependence of τ_Q^φ on T_{eff} is close to the one obtained at equilibrium as a function of the equilibrium temperature. The understanding of some of these findings in terms of a semiclassical picture suggests that, in analogy with the equilibrium case [19], the results presented in this Letter pertain to the Ising universality class while the integrability of the model is not crucial.

We would like to thank N. Andrei, E. Altman, R. Fazio, V. Oganesyan, V. Kravtsov, and A. Polkovnikov for discussions and comments on the manuscript. G.M. acknowledges the hospitality at the Galileo Galilei Institute where this work was completed, and the grants INSTANS (from ESF) and 2007JHLPEZ (from MIUR).

-
- [1] I. Bloch, J. Dalibard, and W. Zwerger, Rev. Mod. Phys. **80**, 885 (2008).
 - [2] M. Greiner *et al.*, Nature **415**, 39 (2002); L. E. Sadler *et al.*, Nature **443**, 312 (2006).
 - [3] T. Kinoshita, T. Wenger, and D. S. Weiss, Nature **440**, 900 (2006).
 - [4] F. Iglói and H. Rieger, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 3233 (2000).
 - [5] K. Sengupta, S. Powell, and S. Sachdev, Phys. Rev. A **69**, 053616 (2004).
 - [6] P. Calabrese and J. Cardy, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 136801 (2006); J. Stat. Mech. 0706 P008 (2007).
 - [7] M. Rigol, V. Dunjko, V. Yurovsky, and M. Olshanii, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 050405 (2007); M. Rigol, A. Muramatsu, and M. Olshanii, Phys. Rev. A **74**, 053616 (2006).
 - [8] M. Rigol, V. Dunjko, and M. Olshanii, Nature **452**, 854 (2008).
 - [9] C. Kollath, A. M. Läuchli, and E. Altman, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 180601 (2007).

- [10] S. R. Manmana, S. Wessel, R. M. Noack, and A. Muramatsu, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 210405 (2007).
- [11] M. Cramer, C. M. Dawson, J. Eisert, and T. J. Osborne, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 030602 (2008).
- [12] T. Barthel and U. Schollwöck, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 100601 (2008).
- [13] M. Eckstein and M. Kollar, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 120404 (2008); M. Kollar and M. Eckstein, Phys. Rev. A **78**, 013626 (2008).
- [14] M.A. Cazalilla, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 156403 (2006).
- [15] D. M. Gangardt and M. Pustilnik, Phys. Rev. A **77**, 041604(R) (2008).
- [16] M. Srednicki, Phys. Rev. E **50**, 888 (1994).
- [17] S. Sachdev, *Quantum Phase Transitions*, (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000).
- [18] P. Deift and X. Zhou, in *Singular limits of dispersive waves (Lyon, 1991)*, 183, NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. B Phys. 320, Plenum, New York, 1994.
- [19] S. Sachdev and A. P. Young, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 2220 (1997).
- [20] Given the bandwidth of the excitation $W = 2J(|1 + \Gamma| - |1 - \Gamma|)$ we assume $T, T_{\text{eff}} \ll W$.
- [21] E. Lieb, T. Schultz, and D. Mattis, Ann. Phys. **16**, 407 (1961).
- [22] P. Pfeuty, Ann. Phys. **57**, 79 (1970).
- [23] B. M. McCoy, E. Barouch, and D. B. Abraham, Phys. Rev. A **4**, 2331 (1971).
- [24] E. Barouch, B. M. McCoy, and M. Dresden, Phys. Rev. A **2**, 1075 (1970).