### Vector product algebras

Erik Darpö

Matematiska Institutionen, Uppsala Universitet, Box 480, S-75106 Uppsala, Sweden.

erik.darpo@math.uu.se

#### Abstract

Vector products can be defined on spaces of dimensions 0, 1, 3 and 7 only, and their isomorphism types are determined entirely by their adherent symmetric bilinear forms. We present a short and elementary proof for this classical result.

Keywords: Vector product algebra, composition algebra. MSC 2000: 17A30 (17A75)

### 1 Introduction

Throughout this article, k denotes a field of characteristic different from 2.

A vector product algebra over k is a vector space V over k equipped with an anti-symmetric bilinear map

$$V\times V\to V,\;(u,v)\mapsto uv$$

and a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form  $\langle \rangle : V \times V \to k$  such that

- 1.  $\langle uv, w \rangle = \langle u, vw \rangle$  and
- 2.  $\langle uv, uv \rangle = \langle u, u \rangle \langle v, v \rangle \langle u, v \rangle^2$

for all  $u, v, w \in V$ . A morphism between vector product algebras V and W is an orthogonal map  $\varphi : V \to W$  such that  $\varphi(uv) = \varphi(u)\varphi(v)$  for all  $u, v \in V$ . Two vector product algebras V and W are isomorphic if there exists a bijective morphism  $\varphi : V \to W$ .

A related concept is the one of a *composition algebra*. A composition algebra is a non-zero vector space A together with a bilinear multiplication map  $A \times A \to A$ ,  $(x, y) \mapsto xy$  and a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form  $\langle \rangle$  such that  $\langle xy, xy \rangle = \langle x, x \rangle \langle y, y \rangle$  for all  $x, y \in A$ . A morphism between composition algebras A and B is an orthogonal map  $\varphi : A \to B$  satisfying  $\varphi(xy) = \varphi(x)\varphi(y)$  for all  $x, y \in A$ . Given a vector product algebra V over k, the algebra  $\mathcal{H}(V)=k\times V$  with multiplication

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ v \end{pmatrix} (w) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{\Xi} \langle v, w \rangle \\ \alpha w + \underline{v} + v w \end{pmatrix}$$

and bilinear form  $\langle \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ v \end{pmatrix}, \lfloor w \rangle \rangle = \alpha \pm \langle v, w \rangle$  is a composition algebra with identity element  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \in k \times V$ . Conversely, every composition algebra with identity element is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{H}(V)$  for some vector product algebra V. On the other hand, taking V to be the orthogonal complement (with respect to  $\langle \rangle$ ) of the identity element in a unital composition algebra A, the product

$$u \times v = \frac{1}{2}(uv - vu) \in V$$
 for  $u, v \in V$  (1)

makes V a vector product algebra, with the bilinear form induced from A. These construction actually determine an equivalence between the respective categories of vector product algebras and composition algebras with identity element (c.f. [2]).

Unital composition algebras have been extensively studied (some examples are [1, 4, 6, 7]). They occur only in dimensions 1, 2, 4 and 8 (so in particular they are all finite-dimensional), and can be constructed from the ground field via application of the Cayley-Dickson process. Two unital composition algebras are isomorphic if and only if their respective bilinear forms are equivalent. See e.g. [6] for details. The above immediately yields the following statement for vector product algebras.

# **Theorem 1.** 1. The dimension of any vector product algebra is either 0, 1, 3 or 7.

## 2. Two vector product algebras are isomorphic if and only if their respective bilinear forms are equivalent.

Theorem 1 first appears in the article [2] by Brown and Gray (1967). The authors use the equivalence between vector product algebras and unital composition algebras (which they prove) to reach the result. Rost [11] has studied vector product algebras directly, without using the relation with composition algebras. His result tells, that if d is the dimension of a vector product algebra, then the identity d(d-1)(d-3)(d-7) = 0 holds in the ground field. An alternative proof for this identity (which is weaker than Theorem 1) has also been given by Meyberg [10].

Over the real ground field, with  $\langle \rangle$  being a scalar product, vector products were first considered, and classified, by Eckmann [5] in 1942, using topological methods. Other treatments are found in for example [9] and [8]. The technique of the present article is used in [3] for a comprehensive proof of the classification theorem in this special case.

In this article, we present a direct proof of Theorem 1, avoiding the detour via composition algebras. In spite of its simplicity, the proof idea seems to have gone unnoticed in the literature so far. The basic line of reason is the following. If  $W \subsetneq V$  is a subalgebra of a vector product algebra V, then by adjoining an additional element, say e, to W, one gets a subalgebra  $\langle W \cup \{e\} \rangle \subset V$  of dimension  $2 \dim W + 1$ . Hence, starting with the trivial subalgebra  $V_0 = 0 \subset V$ , one builds inductively a chain  $V_0 \subset V_1 \subset \ldots$  of subalgebras of V with dim  $V_i = 2^i - 1$ . The process breaks down in the fourth step, where the algebra  $V_4$  fails to satisfy the axioms of a vector product algebra. Therefrom one concludes, that  $V = V_i$  for  $i \leq 3$ , and thus dim  $V \in \{0, 1, 3, 7\}$ . Also the isomorphism statement follows readily from this argument.

The following notation and definitions are used. The quadratic form corresponding to a symmetric bilinear form  $\langle \rangle$  is denoted N, i.e.,  $N(v) = \langle v, v \rangle$ . Conversely,

$$\langle u, v \rangle = \frac{1}{2} (N(u+v) - N(u) - N(v))$$
 (2)

holds. Given spaces V and W with bilinear forms  $\langle \rangle_V$  and  $\langle \rangle_W$  respectively, denote by  $V \perp W$  their orthogonal direct sum, that is  $V \oplus W$  with bilinear form  $\langle u + v, w + x \rangle = \langle u, w \rangle_V + \langle v, x \rangle_W$  for  $u, w \in V$ ,  $v, x \in W$ . A non-zero element  $v \in V$  is called *isotropic* if N(v) = 0 and anisotropic otherwise. If  $U \subset V$  is a subspace then  $U^{\perp}$  denotes its orthogonal complement in V with respect to  $\langle \rangle$ .

### 2 Proof of Theorem 1

In this section, V and W always denote vector product algebras over the ground field k. The following lemma lists some properties of, and provides means to control the multiplication in the vector product algebra V.

**Lemma 2.** For all  $u, v, w \in V$ , the following identities hold.

1.  $\langle u, uv \rangle = 0$ 2.  $\langle uv, uw \rangle = N(u) \langle v, w \rangle - \langle u, v \rangle \langle u, w \rangle$ 3.  $u(vu) = N(u)v - \langle u, v \rangle u$ 

If  $u, v, w \in V$  are pairwise orthogonal with respect to  $\langle \rangle$ , then

4. u(vw) = -(uv)w = (vu)w

*Proof.* The identity 1 in the definition gives  $\langle u, uv \rangle = \langle u^2, v \rangle = \langle 0, v \rangle = 0$ . For 2, we use the second identity in the definition together with Equation (2) and compute

$$\begin{aligned} 2\langle uv, uw \rangle &= N(uv + uw) - N(uv) - N(uw) \\ &= N(u)N(v + w) - \langle u, v + w \rangle^2 - (N(u)N(v) - \langle u, v \rangle^2) - (N(u)N(w) - \langle u, w \rangle^2) \\ &= N(u)(N(v + w) - N(v) - N(w)) - \langle u, v + w \rangle^2 + \langle u, v \rangle^2 + \langle u, w \rangle^2 \\ &= 2N(u)\langle v, w \rangle - 2\langle u, v \rangle \langle u, w \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

If  $x \in V$  is an arbitrary vector, then

$$\langle x, u(vu) \rangle = \langle xu, vu \rangle = \langle ux, uv \rangle = N(u) \langle x, v \rangle - \langle u, x \rangle \langle u, v \rangle$$
$$= \langle x, N(u)v - \langle u, v \rangle u \rangle$$

which implies

$$\langle x, u(uv) - (N(u)v - \langle u, v \rangle u) \rangle = 0.$$

Since  $\langle \rangle$  is non-degenerate, it follows that  $u(vu) = N(u)v - \langle u, v \rangle u$ . Finally, using clause 3 and the orthogonality of u, v, w we get

$$\begin{split} N(u+w)v &= (u+w)(v(u+w)) = u(vu) + u(vw) + w(vu) + w(vw) \\ &= N(u)v + u(vw) + w(vu) + N(w)v \end{split}$$

and so

$$u(vw) = -w(vu) + (N(u+w) - N(u)v - N(w))v = (vu)w + 2\langle u, w \rangle = (vu)w,$$
  
which concludes the proof of the lemma.

which concludes the proof of the lemma.

Our basic tool of investigation will be *multiplicatively independent sets*. We say that a finite subset  $E \subset V$  is multiplicatively independent if any  $e \in E$ is anisotropic and orthogonal to the subalgebra  $\langle E_e \rangle \subset V$  generated by  $E_e =$  $E \setminus \{e\}$ . Clearly, every subset of a multiplicatively independent set is also multiplicatively independent.

Let  $A = \{a_1, \ldots, a_n\} \subset V$  be a non-empty finite ordered set. We write

$$\Pi(A) = \begin{cases} a_1 & \text{if } n = 1\\ (\Pi(A \setminus \{a_n\}))a_n & \text{if } n > 1 \end{cases},$$

that is,  $\Pi(A)$  is the ordered product of the elements in A with brackets distributed as "far to the left" as possible. It may be remarked that if A is multiplicatively independent, which will be our case of concern, the order of the elements, as well as the distribution of brackets, is essentially unimportant. From Lemma 2 follows, that alterations of these data will at most change the sign of the product.

**Proposition 3.** Let  $E \subset V$  be a multiplicatively independent set and let I be the set of non-empty subsets of E, each subset equipped with some fixed order. Then the following hold.

- 1. The set  $\{\Pi(A)\}_{A \in I}$  is an orthogonal basis for the vector space  $\langle E \rangle$ .
- 2. If  $e \in E$ , then  $\langle E \rangle = \langle E_e \rangle \perp \langle e \rangle \perp \langle E_e \rangle e$ .
- 3. If  $f \in V$  is anisotropic and orthogonal to  $\langle E \rangle$ , then  $E \cup \{f\}$  is a multiplicatively independent set.

*Proof.* We write  $\Sigma = \langle E_e \rangle + \langle e \rangle + \langle E_e \rangle e$ . Clearly,  $\Sigma \subset \langle E \rangle$ . On the other hand, from Lemma 2 follows that  $\Sigma$  is closed under multiplication. As  $E \subset \Sigma$ , we get  $\langle E \rangle \subset \langle \Sigma \rangle = \Sigma$ . If *m* is the number of elements in *E*, it follows by induction that  $\dim \langle E \rangle = \dim \Sigma \leq 2^m - 1$ .

Now suppose that  $A, B \in I$ , with  $A = \{a_i\}_{i=1}^l$  and  $a_j \notin B$  for some  $j \leq l$ . Since the order on A only affects the sign of  $\Pi(A)$ , for our purpose we may assume that j = l. Hence

$$\langle \Pi(A), \Pi(B) \rangle = \langle \Pi(A \setminus \{a_l\})a_l, \Pi(B) \rangle = -\langle a_l, \Pi(A \setminus \{a_l\})\Pi(B) \rangle = 0,$$

where the last equality follows from that  $\Pi(A \setminus \{a_l\})\Pi(B)$  is an element in  $\langle E_{a_l} \rangle$ , and hence orthogonal to  $a_l$ . In addition, for  $A = \{a_1, \ldots, a_l\} \in I$  we have  $N(\Pi(A)) = \prod_{j=1}^l N(a_j) \neq 0$ . Since the vectors  $\Pi(A)$ ,  $A \in I$  are anisotropic and pairwise orthogonal, they are linearly independent, and dim(span{ $\Pi(A)$ }\_{A \in I}) =  $2^m - 1$ . Hence

$$\operatorname{span}\{\Pi(A)\}_{A\in I} = \langle E \rangle = \langle E_e \rangle \perp \langle e \rangle \perp \langle E_e \rangle e$$

and  ${\Pi(A)}_{A \in I}$  is a basis for  $\langle E \rangle$ .

For (3), we need to show that any  $e \in E$  is orthogonal to  $\langle E_e \cup \{f\} \rangle$ . By induction,  $E_e \cup \{f\}$  is multiplicatively independent, and thus  $\langle E_e \cup \{f\} \rangle =$  $\langle E_e \rangle \perp \langle f \rangle \perp \langle E_e \rangle f$ . But  $e \in \langle E_e \rangle^{\perp} \cap \langle f \rangle^{\perp} \cap (\langle E_e \rangle f)^{\perp}$ , which implies  $e \in$  $\langle E_e \cup \{f\} \rangle^{\perp}$ .

As already stated in the proof, Proposition 3 implies that if E is a multiplicatively independent set with m elements, then  $\dim \langle E \rangle = 2^m - 1$ . Moreover, if V is finite-dimensional, from Proposition 3:3 it follows that  $V = \langle E \rangle$  for some multiplicatively independent set  $E \subset V$ . Such a set we call a *multiplicative base* for V. The first statement in the proposition implies the following result for morphisms of vector product algebras.

- **Corollary 4.** 1. Let E be a multiplicative base for V and  $\sigma : E \to W$  a map such that  $\sigma(E)$  is multiplicatively independent and  $N(\sigma(e)) = N(e)$  for all  $e \in E$ . Then  $\sigma$  extends uniquely to a morphism  $V \to W$ .
  - 2. The vector product algebras V and W are isomorphic if and only if there exist multiplicative bases  $E \subset V$ , and  $F \subset W$  and a bijection  $\sigma : E \to F$  such that  $N(\sigma(e)) = N(e)$  for all  $e \in E$ .

We are now ready to conclude the proof of Theorem 1. Suppose that  $E = \{u, v, w, z\} \subset V$  is a multiplicatively independent set. Evaluating u(v(wz)) we get

$$u(v(wz)) = u((wv)z) = ((wv)u)z = -((vw)u)z$$

but also

$$u(v(wz)) = (vu)(wz) = (w(vu))z = ((vw)u)z$$

This means that u(v(wz)) = 0, which contradicts the multiplicative independence of E. Hence any multiplicatively independent set contains at most 3 elements. This rules out the existence of infinite-dimensional vector product algebras, since in such algebras we would be able to find multiplicatively independent sets of any finite cardinality. It further tells that every vector product algebra has dimension 0, 1, 3 or 7.

Suppose V and W are vector product algebras with equivalent bilinear forms. Further suppose that  $E \subset V$  and  $F \subset W$  are multiplicatively independent sets and that  $\sigma : E \to F$  is a bijection preserving the quadratic form N. By Corollary 4,  $\langle E \rangle$  and  $\langle F \rangle$  are isomorphic, and thus in particular isometric. Witt's theorem for quadratic forms now implies that  $\langle E \rangle^{\perp}$  and  $\langle F \rangle^{\perp}$  also are isometric. Hence there exist  $e \in \langle E \rangle^{\perp}$ ,  $f \in \langle F \rangle^{\perp}$  such that  $N(e) = N(f) \neq 0$ . Proposition 3 implies, that  $E \cup \{e\}$  and  $F \cup \{f\}$  are multiplicatively independent, and  $\langle E \cup \{e\} \rangle = \langle E \rangle \perp \langle e \rangle \perp \langle E \rangle e$ . We extend  $\sigma$  to a bijection  $E \cup \{e\} \to F \cup \{f\}$ by setting  $\sigma(e) = f$ . Proceeding inductively, we get a bijection between multiplicative bases of V and W, preserving N. By Corollary 4, the algebras V and W then are isomorphic.

### **3** Acknowledgements

The author is indebted to José Antonio Cuenca Mira, who suggested to generalise the note [3] to general ground fields, and gave valuable advice for this generalisation.

#### References

- A. A. Albert. Quadratic forms permitting composition. Ann. of Math. (2), 43:161–177, 1942.
- [2] Robert B. Brown and Alfred Gray. Vector cross products. Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici, 42:222–236, 1967.
- [3] E. Darpö. Reella vektorprodukter. Normat, 55:157–165, 2007.
- [4] L. E. Dickson. On quaternions and their generalization and the history of the eight square theorem. Ann. of Math. (2), 20(3):155–171, 1919.
- [5] B. Eckmann. Stetige Lösungen linearer Gleichungssysteme. Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici, 15:318–339, 1942–43.
- [6] N. Jacobson. Composition algebras and their automorphisms. *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo*, 7(2):55–80, 1958.
- [7] I. Kaplansky. Infinite-dimensional quadratic forms admitting composition. Proceedings of the American mathematical society, 4(6):956–960, 1953.

- [8] M. Koecher and R. Remmert. Composition algebras. Hurwitz's theorem— Vector-product algebras. In *Numbers*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, pages 265–280. Springer, 1991.
- [9] W.S. Massey. Cross products of vectors in higher dimensional spaces. American mathematical monthly, 90(10):697-701, 1983.
- [10] K. Meyberg. Trace formulas in vector product algebras. Communications in algebra, 30(6):2933–2940, 2002.
- [11] M. Rost. On the dimension of a composition algebra. Documenta Mathematica, 1:209-214, 1996.