

CALCULATION OF COEFFICIENTS OF THE OPTIMAL QUADRATURE FORMULAS IN THE $W_2^{m,m-1}(0,1)$ SPACE

Kh.M.Shadimetov, A.R.Hayotov

Abstract. In this paper problem of construction of optimal quadrature formulas in $W_2^{(m,m-1)}(0,1)$ space is considered. Here by using Sobolev's algorithm when $m = 1, 2$ we find optimal coefficients of quadrature formulas of the form

$$\int_0^1 \varphi(x) dx \cong \sum_{\beta=0}^N C_\beta \varphi(x_\beta).$$

MSC 2000: 65D32.

Key words: optimal quadrature formula, error functional, optimal coefficients.

Many problems of science and engineering are reduced to a integral and a differential equations or a system of such type equations. Solutions of such equations is often expressed with the help of defined integrals. But in many cases it is impossible to calculate these integrals exactly. Therefore approximate evaluation such integrals with possible high accuracy and less expenditure is one of the *actual problem* of computational mathematics. This is well demonstrated in example of theory of quadrature formulas. The task of finding of numerical value of one dimensional integral, in view of its well-known geometrical meaning, is often said the quadrature. There exist various methods of quadrature, which allow to calculate defined integral with the help of finite number values of integrand.

Present work also is devoted to one of such methods, i.e. to construction of optimal quadrature formulas for approximate calculation of defined integrals in the $W_2^{(m,m-1)}(0,1)$ space.

We consider following quadrature formula

$$\int_0^1 p(x)\varphi(x)dx \cong \sum_{\beta=0}^N C_\beta \varphi(x_\beta) \quad (1)$$

with error functional

$$\ell_N(x) = p(x)\varepsilon_{[0,1]}(x) - \sum_{\beta=0}^N C_\beta \delta(x - x_\beta) \quad (2)$$

on $W_2^{(m,m-1)}(0,1)$ space. Here C_β are the coefficients and x_β are the nodes of the quadrature formula (1), $\varepsilon_{[0,1]}(x)$ is the indicator of the interval $[0,1]$, $p(x)$ is a weight function, $\delta(x)$ is Dirac delta-function, $\varphi(x)$ is a element of the Hilbert space $W_2^{(m,m-1)}(0,1)$, norm of a function in which is given by formula

$$\|\varphi(x)|W_2^{(m,m-1)}(0,1)\| = \left\{ \int_0^1 (\varphi^{(m)}(x) + \varphi^{(m-1)}(x))^2 dx \right\}^{1/2}.$$

Difference

$$(\ell_N(x), \varphi(x)) = \int_0^1 p(x)\varphi(x)dx - \sum_{\beta=0}^N C_\beta \varphi(x_\beta) = \int \ell_N(x)\varphi(x)dx.$$

is called by error of the quadrature formula (1).

Quality of the quadrature formula (1) is estimated by norm of the error functional

$$\|\ell_N(x)|W_2^{(m,m-1)*}(0,1)\| = \sup_{\|\varphi(x)|W_2^{(m,m-1)}(0,1)\|=1} |(\ell_N(x), \varphi(x))|.$$

The norm of the error functional $\ell_N(x)$ depends on the coefficients C_β and the nodes x_β . Choice of coefficients in fixed nodes is linear problem. Therefore we will fix the nodes x_β and the norm of the error functional will minimize by the coefficients, i.e. we will find

$$\|\overset{\circ}{\ell}_N(x)|W_2^{(m,m-1)*}(0,1)\| = \inf_{C_\beta} \|\ell_N(x)|W_2^{(m,m-1)*}(0,1)\|. \quad (3)$$

If it is found $\|\overset{\circ}{\ell}_N(x)\|$, then said, that the functional $\overset{\circ}{\ell}_N(x)$ correspond to the optimal quadrature formula in $W_2^{(m,m-1)}(0,1)$. Thus, we will get following problems.

Problem 1. Find norm of the error functional $\ell_N(x)$ of the quadrature formula of the form (1) in $W_2^{(m,m-1)*}(0,1)$ space.

Problem 2. Find such values of the coefficients C_β , which satisfy the equality (3).

Problem 1 was solved in [1] and for square of the norm of the error functional (2) was obtained

$$\begin{aligned} \|\ell_N(x)\|^2 = & (-1)^m \left[\sum_{\beta=0}^N \sum_{\beta'=0}^N C_\beta C_{\beta'} \psi_m(x_\beta - x_{\beta'}) - \right. \\ & \left. -2 \sum_{\beta=0}^N C_\beta \int_0^1 p(x)\psi_m(x - x_\beta)dx + \int_0^1 \int_0^1 p(x)p(y)\psi_m(x - y)dxdy \right], \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where

$$\psi_m(x) = \frac{\text{sign}x}{2} \left(\frac{e^x - e^{-x}}{2} - \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \frac{x^{2k-1}}{(2k-1)!} \right). \quad (5)$$

Furthermore, the error functional (2), as shown in [1], satisfies following conditions

$$(\ell_N(x), x^\alpha) = 0, \quad \alpha = \overline{0, m-2}, \quad (6)$$

$$(\ell_N(x), e^{-x}) = 0. \quad (7)$$

The norm of the error functional is multidimensional function of the coefficients C_β ($\beta = \overline{0, N}$). For finding point of condition minimum of the norm square of the error functional (2) in

conditions (6) and (7) in [1] applying the method of Lagrange undetermined factors following system was obtained

$$\sum_{\gamma=0}^N C_{\gamma} \psi_m(x_{\beta} - x_{\gamma}) + P_{m-2}(x_{\beta}) + de^{-x_{\beta}} = \int_0^1 p(x) \psi_m(x - x_{\beta}) dx, \quad \beta = \overline{0, N}, \quad (8)$$

$$\sum_{\gamma=0}^N C_{\gamma} x_{\gamma}^{\alpha} = \int_0^1 p(x) x^{\alpha} dx, \quad \alpha = \overline{0, m-2}, \quad (9)$$

$$\sum_{\gamma=0}^N C_{\gamma} e^{-x_{\gamma}} = \int_0^1 p(x) e^{-x} dx, \quad (10)$$

where $\psi_m(x)$ is determined by formula (5), $P_{m-2}(x)$ is unknown polynomial of degree $m-2$, d is a constant.

In [1] also was proved existence and uniqueness of solution of the system (8)-(10).

In present paper we will solve the system (8) - (10), i.e. we will solve the problem 2.

Here interestingly that if system (8) - (10) is solved and the optimal coefficients C_{β} are found, then obtained quadrature formula will be exact not only for polynomials of degree $m-2$, it also will be exact for function e^{-x} .

Direct solution of the system (8)-(10) is very hard. Therefore we will use Sobolev's algorithm [2], which is applied in solution such systems.

Further, we briefly present this algorithm for the system (8) - (10). For this we will determine C_{β} for all integer values of β , assume that for $\beta < 0$ and $\beta > N$ coefficients C_{β} are equal to zero. Let, furthermore, $x_{\beta} = h\beta$, $h = \frac{1}{N}$, $N = 1, 2, \dots$

The system (8)-(10) by using the definition of convolution of discrete functions we reduce to the following form

$$\psi_m(h\beta) * C_{\beta} + P_{m-2}(h\beta) + de^{-h\beta} = f_m(h\beta), \quad h\beta \in [0, 1], \quad (11)$$

$$C_{\beta} = 0 \text{ when } h\beta \notin [0, 1], \quad (12)$$

$$\sum_{\beta=0}^N C_{\beta} (h\beta)^{\alpha} = q_{\alpha}, \quad \alpha = \overline{0, m-2}, \quad (13)$$

$$\sum_{\beta=0}^N C_{\beta} e^{-h\beta} = q_{m-1}, \quad (14)$$

where $P_{m-2}(h\beta)$ is unknown polynomial of degree $m-2$, d is a constant,

$$f_m(h\beta) = \int_0^1 p(x) \psi_m(x - h\beta) dx, \quad (15)$$

$$q_\alpha = \int_0^1 p(x)x^\alpha dx, (\alpha = \overline{0, m-2}), q_{m-1} = \int_0^1 p(x)e^{-x} dx.$$

Consider following problem.

Problem A. Find function C_β and polynomial $P_{m-2}(h\beta)$, unknown coefficient d , which satisfy the system (11) - (14) in given $f_m(h\beta)$ and q_α ($\alpha = \overline{0, m-1}$).

Idea of solution of the system (11) - (14), as in [2], consists on replace unknown function C_β . Namely, instead of C_β we will introduce into consideration functions

$$v(h\beta) = \psi_m(h\beta) * C_\beta$$

and

$$u(h\beta) = v(h\beta) + P_{m-2}(h\beta) + de^{-h\beta}.$$

In such substitution we need only express C_β with the help of $u(h\beta)$. For this we must construct such operator $D_m(h\beta)$, which satisfies following equality

$$D_m(h\beta) * \psi_m(h\beta) = \delta(h\beta), \quad (16)$$

where $\delta(h\beta)$ is equal to zero when $h\beta \neq 0$ and is equal to 1 when $h\beta = 0$. In works [3,4] discrete operator $D_m(h\beta)$ was constructed, its properties were investigated and following theorems were proved

Theorem 1. Discrete analogue of the differential operator $\frac{d^{2m}}{dx^{2m}} - \frac{d^{2m-2}}{dx^{2m-2}}$, which satisfies equality (16) have following view:

$$D_m(h\beta) = \frac{1}{p_{2m-2}^{(2m-2)}} \begin{cases} \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} A_k \lambda_k^{|\beta|-1}, & |\beta| \geq 2; \\ -2e^h + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} A_k, & |\beta| = 1; \\ 2C + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \frac{A_k}{\lambda_k}, & \beta = 0, \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

where

$$C = 1 + (2m-2)e^h + e^{2h} + \frac{e^h \cdot p_{2m-3}^{(2m-2)}}{p_{2m-2}^{(2m-2)}}, \quad (18)$$

$$A_k = \frac{2(1-\lambda_k)^{2m-2} [\lambda_k(e^{2h}+1) - e^h(\lambda_k^2+1)] p_{2m-2}^{(2m-2)}}{\lambda_k P'_{2m-2}(\lambda_k)}, \quad (19)$$

$$P_{2m-2}(\lambda) = \sum_{s=0}^{2m-2} p_s^{(2m-2)} \lambda^s = (1-e^{2h})(1-\lambda)^{2m-2} - 2(\lambda(e^{2h}+1) - e^h(\lambda^2+1)) \times \\ \times \left[h(1-\lambda)^{2m-4} + \frac{h^3(1-\lambda)^{2m-6}}{3!} E_2(\lambda) + \dots + \frac{h^{2m-3} E_{2m-4}(\lambda)}{(2m-3)!} \right], \quad (20)$$

$p_{2m-2}^{(2m-2)}$, $p_{2m-3}^{(2m-2)}$ are coefficients of the polynomial $P_{2m-2}(\lambda)$, λ_k is a root of the polynomial $P_{2m-2}(\lambda)$, $|\lambda_k| < 1$, $E_k(\lambda)$ is Euler polynomial [5].

Theorem 2. The discrete analogue $D_m(h\beta)$ of the differential operator $\frac{d^{2m}}{dx^{2m}} - \frac{d^{2m-2}}{dx^{2m-2}}$ when $m = 1, 2, 3$ satisfies following equalities

- 1) $D_m(h\beta) * e^{h\beta} = 0$;
- 2) $D_m(h\beta) * e^{-h\beta} = 0$;
- 3) $D_m(h\beta) * (h\beta)^n = 0$, as $n \leq 2m - 3$, i.e. convolution of $D_m(h\beta)$ with polynomial of degree $\leq 2m - 3$ when $m = 2, 3$ is equal to zero;
- 4) $D_m(h\beta) * \psi_m(h\beta) = \delta(h\beta)$, where $\psi_m(h\beta)$ is determined by formula (5).

Further, taking account of (16), we get

$$C_\beta = D_m(h\beta) * u(h\beta). \quad (21)$$

So, if we find function $u(h\beta)$, then the optimal coefficients are found from equality (21).

Now we will find explicit form of the function $u(h\beta)$. From equality (11) we get, that $u(h\beta) = f_m(h\beta)$ when $h\beta \in [0, 1]$. Since $C_\beta = 0$ when $h\beta \notin [0, 1]$, then

$$C_\beta = D_m(h\beta) * u(h\beta) = 0 \text{ when } h\beta \notin [0, 1]. \quad (22)$$

We will calculate convolution $v(h\beta) = \psi_m(h\beta) * C_\beta$ when $h\beta \notin [0, 1]$.

Taking into account (12), (13), (14), we have

$$v(h\beta) = \begin{cases} -\frac{e^{h\beta}}{4}q_{m-1} + De^{-h\beta} + Q^{(2m-3)}(h\beta) + Q_{m-2}(h\beta), & \beta < 0; \\ \frac{e^{h\beta}}{4}q_{m-1} - De^{-h\beta} - Q^{(2m-3)}(h\beta) - Q_{m-2}(h\beta), & \beta > N, \end{cases} \quad (23)$$

where

$$Q^{(2m-3)}(h\beta) = \frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{m+1}{2} \rfloor - 1} \sum_{\alpha=0}^{2k-1} \frac{(h\beta)^{2k-1-\alpha} (-1)^\alpha}{(2k-1-\alpha)! \cdot \alpha!} q_\alpha + \sum_{k=\lfloor \frac{m+1}{2} \rfloor}^{m-1} \sum_{\alpha=0}^{m-2} \frac{(h\beta)^{2k-1-\alpha} (-1)^\alpha q_\alpha}{(2k-1-\alpha)! \cdot \alpha!} \right], \quad (24)$$

$$Q_{m-2}(h\beta) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=\lfloor \frac{m+1}{2} \rfloor}^{m-1} \sum_{\alpha=m-1}^{2k-1} \frac{(h\beta)^{2k-1-\alpha} (-1)^\alpha}{(2k-1-\alpha)! \cdot \alpha!} \sum_{\gamma=0}^N C_\gamma (h\gamma)^\alpha, \quad (25)$$

$$D = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\gamma=0}^N C_\gamma e^{h\gamma}. \quad (26)$$

We denote

$$Q_{m-2}^{(-)}(h\beta) = P_{m-2}(h\beta) + Q_{m-2}(h\beta), \quad a^- = d + D, \quad (27)$$

$$Q_{m-2}^{(+)}(h\beta) = P_{m-2}(h\beta) - Q_{m-2}(h\beta), \quad a^+ = d - D. \quad (28)$$

Then, taking into account (11), (16), (23), (27), (28), we obtain following problem

Problem B. Find solution of the equation

$$D_m(h\beta) * u(h\beta) = 0 \text{ when } h\beta \notin [0, 1]$$

which has view:

$$u(h\beta) = \begin{cases} -\frac{e^{h\beta}}{4}q_{m-1} + Q^{(2m-3)}(h\beta) + Q_{m-2}^{(-)}(h\beta) + a^- e^{-h\beta}, & \beta < 0; \\ f_m(h\beta), & 0 \leq \beta \leq N; \\ \frac{e^{h\beta}}{4}q_{m-1} - Q^{(2m-3)}(h\beta) + Q_{m-2}^{(+)}(h\beta) + a^+ e^{-h\beta}, & \beta > N. \end{cases} \quad (29)$$

Here polynomials $Q_{m-2}^{(-)}(h\beta)$, $Q_{m-2}^{(+)}(h\beta)$ and constants a^- , a^+ are unknowns. If we will find them, then from (27), (28) we have

$$\begin{aligned} P_{m-2}(h\beta) &= \frac{1}{2}(Q_{m-2}^{(-)}(h\beta) + Q_{m-2}^{(+)}(h\beta)), \quad d = \frac{1}{2}[a^- + a^+], \\ Q_{m-2}(h\beta) &= \frac{1}{2}(Q_{m-2}^{(-)}(h\beta) - Q_{m-2}^{(+)}(h\beta)), \quad D = \frac{1}{2}[a^- - a^+]. \end{aligned}$$

Unknowns $Q_{m-2}^{(-)}(h\beta)$, $Q_{m-2}^{(+)}(h\beta)$, a^- and a^+ are found from conditions (22). Thus, problem B and respectively problem A are simultaneously solved.

We introduce following designations

$$a_k = \frac{A_k}{\lambda_k p} \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k^\gamma \left[-\frac{e^{-h\gamma}}{4}q_{m-1} + Q^{2m-3}(-h\gamma) + Q_{m-2}^{(-)}(-h\gamma) + a^- e^{h\gamma} - f_m(-h\gamma) \right], \quad (30)$$

$$\begin{aligned} b_k &= \frac{A_k}{\lambda_k p} \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k^\gamma \left[-\frac{e^{h\gamma+1}}{4}q_{m-1} - Q^{2m-3}(1+h\gamma) + Q_{m-2}^{(+)}(1+h\gamma) + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + a^+ e^{-1-h\gamma} - f_m(1+h\gamma) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

$$a = -\frac{2e^h}{p} \left[-\frac{e^{-h}}{4}q_{m-1} + Q^{(2m-3)}(-h) + Q_{m-2}^{(-)}(-h) + a^- e^h - f_m(-h) \right], \quad (32)$$

$$b = -\frac{2e^h}{p} \left[\frac{e^{1+h}}{4}q_{m-1} - Q^{(2m-3)}(1+h) + Q_{m-2}^{(+)}(1+h) + a^+ e^{-h-1} - f_m(1+h) \right]. \quad (33)$$

In present paper, using above mentioned algorithm [2], following theorems are proved.

Theorem 3. The coefficients of the weight optimal quadrature formulas of the form (1) in the $W_2^{(m,m-1)}(0,1)$ space have view:

$$C_\beta = \begin{cases} D_m(h\beta) * f_m(h\beta)|_{\beta=0} + a + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} (a_k + b_k \lambda_k^N), & \beta = 0; \\ D_m(h\beta) * f_m(h\beta) + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} (a_k \lambda_k^\beta + b_k \lambda_k^{N-\beta}), & \beta = \overline{1, N-1}; \\ D_m(h\beta) * f_m(h\beta)|_{\beta=N} + b + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} (a_k \lambda_k^N + b_k), & \beta = N. \end{cases} \quad (34)$$

where $D_m(h\beta)$ is defined by (17), $f_m(h\beta)$ is defined by (15), a_k, b_k, a, b are determined by (30)-(33), λ_k are roots of the polynomial $P_{2m-2}(\lambda)$, which given by equality (19) and $|\lambda_k| < 1$.

Theorem 4. *The optimal coefficients of the quadrature formulas of the form (1) in the $W_2^{(1,0)}(0,1)$ space when $p(x) = 1$ have following view:*

$$C_\beta = \begin{cases} \frac{e^h - 1}{e^h + 1}, & \beta = 0, N; \\ \frac{2(e^h - 1)}{e^h + 1}, & \beta = \overline{1, N-1}, \end{cases}$$

where $h = \frac{1}{N}, N = 1, 2, \dots$

Theorem 5. *The optimal coefficients of the quadrature formulas of the form (1) in the $W_2^{(2,1)}(0,1)$ space when $p(x) = 1$ have following view:*

$$C_\beta = \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{h}{e^h - 1} - K(h)(\lambda_1 - \lambda_1^N), & \beta = 0 \\ h + K(h) \left((e^h - \lambda_1)\lambda_1^\beta + (1 - \lambda_1 e^h)\lambda_1^{N-\beta} \right), & \beta = \overline{1, N-1} \\ -1 + e^h \left(\frac{h}{e^h - 1} - K(h)(\lambda_1 - \lambda_1^N) \right), & \beta = N. \end{cases}$$

where

$$K(h) = \frac{(2e^h - 2 - he^h - h)(\lambda_1 - 1)}{2(e^h - 1)^2(\lambda_1 + \lambda_1^{N+1})}$$

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{h(e^{2h} + 1) - e^{2h} + 1 - (e^h - 1)\sqrt{h^2(e^h + 1)^2 + 2h(1 - e^h)}}{1 - e^{2h} + 2he^h}, \quad |\lambda_1| < 1,$$

$h = \frac{1}{N}, N = 1, 2, \dots$

Proofs of theorems

Proof of theorem 3. We obtained, that

$$C_\beta = D_m(h\beta) * u(h\beta).$$

Hence, taking into account (17), (29) and properties of the operator $D_m(h\beta)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} C_\beta &= D_m(h\beta) * u(h\beta) = \sum_{\gamma=-\infty}^{\infty} D_m(h\beta - h\gamma)u(h\gamma) = \\ &= \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\infty} D_m(h\beta + h\gamma) \left[-\frac{e^{-h\gamma}}{4}q_{m-1} + Q^{(2m-3)}(-h\gamma) + Q_{m-2}^{(-)}(-h\gamma) + a^- e^{h\gamma} \right] + \\ &+ \sum_{\gamma=0}^N D_m(h\beta - h\gamma)f_m(h\gamma) + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\infty} D_m(h(\gamma + N) - h\beta) \left[\frac{e^{h\gamma+1}}{4}q_{m-1} - Q^{(2m-3)}(1 + h\gamma) + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + Q_{m-2}^{(+)}(1 + h\gamma) + a^+ e^{-1-h\gamma} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

According to the definition of convolution of discrete functions we get

$$\begin{aligned}
C_\beta &= \sum_{\gamma=-\infty}^{\infty} D_m(h\beta - h\gamma) f_m(h\gamma) + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\infty} D_m(h\beta + h\gamma) \left[-\frac{e^{-h\gamma}}{4} q_{m-1} + \right. \\
&+ Q^{(2m-3)}(-h\gamma) + Q_{m-2}^{(-)}(-h\gamma) + a^- e^{h\gamma} - f_m(-h\gamma) \left. \right] + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\infty} D_m(h(\gamma + N) - h\beta) \times \\
&\times \left[\frac{e^{h\beta}}{4} q_{m-1} - Q^{(2m-3)}(1 + h\gamma) + Q_{m-2}^{(+)}(h\gamma + 1) + a^+ e^{-h\gamma-1} - f_m(h\gamma + 1) \right] = \\
&= D_m(h\beta) * f_m(h\beta) + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\infty} D_m(h\beta + h\gamma) \left[-\frac{e^{-h\gamma}}{4} q_{m-1} + Q^{(2m-3)}(-h\gamma) + Q_{m-2}^{(-)}(-h\gamma) + \right. \\
&+ a^- e^{h\gamma} - f_m(-h\gamma) \left. \right] + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\infty} D_m(h(N + \gamma) - h\beta) \left[\frac{e^{h\gamma+1}}{4} q_{m-1} - Q^{(2m-3)}(1 + h\gamma) + \right. \\
&\left. + Q_{m-2}^{(+)}(1 + h\gamma) + a^+ e^{-h\gamma} - f_m(1 + h\gamma) \right]. \tag{35}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence when $\beta = 0$ using equality (17) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
C_0 &= D_m(h\beta) * f_m(h\beta)|_{\beta=0} + \frac{-2e^h}{p} \left[-\frac{e^{-h}}{4} q_{m-1} + Q^{(2m-3)}(-h) + Q_{m-2}^{(-)}(-h) + \right. \\
&+ a^- e^h - f_m(-h) \left. \right] + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \frac{A_k}{\lambda_k p} \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k^\gamma \left[-\frac{e^{-h\gamma}}{4} q_{m-1} + Q^{(2m-3)}(-h\gamma) + \right. \\
&+ Q_{m-2}^{(-)}(-h\gamma) + a^- e^{h\gamma} - f_m(-h\gamma) \left. \right] + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \frac{A_k \lambda_k^N}{\lambda_k p} \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{e^{1+h\gamma}}{4} q_{m-1} - \right. \\
&\left. - Q^{(2m-3)}(1 + h\gamma) + Q^{(+)}(1 + h\gamma) + a^+ e^{-1-h\gamma} - f_m(1 + h\gamma) \right]. \tag{36}
\end{aligned}$$

From (36), taking account of (30), (31) and (32) we have

$$C_0 = D_m(h\beta) * f_m(h\beta)|_{\beta=0} + a + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} (a_k + b_k \lambda_k^N).$$

Let now $1 \leq \beta \leq N - 1$, then from (35) and (17), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
C_\beta &= D_m(h\beta) * f_m(h\beta) + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \frac{A_k}{p} \lambda_k^{\beta+\gamma-1} \left[-\frac{e^{-h\gamma}}{4} q_{m-1} + Q^{(2m-3)}(-h\gamma) + \right. \\
&+ Q_{m-2}^{(-)}(-h\gamma) + a^- e^{h\gamma} - f_m(-h\gamma) \left. \right] + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \frac{A_k}{p} \lambda_k^{N+\gamma-\beta} \left[\frac{e^{h\gamma}}{4} q_{m-1} - \right. \\
&\left. - Q^{(2m-3)}(1 + h\gamma) + Q_{m-2}^{(+)}(1 + h\gamma) + a^{(+)} e^{-1-h\gamma} - f_m(1 + h\gamma) \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Hence keeping in mind (30) and (31) we obtain

$$C_\beta = D_m(h\beta) * f_m(h\beta) + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} (a_k \lambda_k^\beta + b_k \lambda_k^{N-\beta}).$$

Now consider case when $\beta = N$. From (35), taking account of equality (17) and designations (30), (31), (33), we get

$$\begin{aligned} C_N &= D_m(h\beta) * f_m(h\beta)|_{\beta=N} + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \frac{A_k}{\lambda_k p} \lambda_k^{N+\gamma} \left[-\frac{e^{-h\gamma}}{4} q_{m-1} + Q^{(2m-3)}(-h\gamma) + \right. \\ &+ Q_{m-2}^{(-)}(-h\gamma) + a^- e^{h\gamma} - f_m(-h\gamma) \left. \right] + \frac{-2e^h}{p} \left[\frac{e^{1+h}}{4} q_{m-1} - Q^{(2m-3)}(1+h) + \right. \\ &+ Q_{m-2}^{(+)}(1+h) + a^+ e^{-h-1} - f_m(1+h) \left. \right] + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \frac{A_k}{\lambda_k p} \lambda_k^\gamma \left[-\frac{e^{1+h\gamma}}{4} q_{m-1} - \right. \\ &- Q^{(2m-3)}(1+h\gamma) + Q_{m-2}^{(+)}(1+h\gamma) + a^+ e^{-1-h\gamma} - f_m(1+h\gamma) \left. \right] = \\ &= D_m(h\beta) * f_m(h\beta)|_{\beta=N} + b + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} (a_k \lambda_k^N + b_k). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we get the statement of theorem. Theorem 3 is proved.

Consider case when $p(x) = 1$. Then system (11)-(14) of Wiener -Hopf type has following view

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \sum_{\gamma=0}^N C_\gamma \psi_m(h\beta - h\gamma) + P_{m-2}(h\beta) + d e^{-h\beta} = f_m(h\beta), \quad \beta = \overline{0, N}; \\ \sum_{\gamma=0}^N C_\gamma (h\gamma)^\alpha = \frac{1}{\alpha+1}, \quad \alpha = \overline{0, m-2}; \\ \sum_{\gamma=0}^N C_\gamma e^{-h\gamma} = 1 - e^{-1}. \end{array} \right. \quad (37)$$

where $\psi_m(x)$ is defined by equality (5), $P_{m-2}(h\beta)$ is polynomial of degree $m-2$ of $h\beta$, d is unknown coefficient

$$f_m(h\beta) = \frac{e^{h\beta} + e^{-h\beta} + e^{1-h\beta} + e^{h\beta-1} - 4}{4} - \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \frac{(h\beta)^{2k} + (1-h\beta)^{2k}}{2 \cdot (2k)!}. \quad (38)$$

Proof of theorem 4. Let $m = 1$, then from (37) we have

$$\sum_{\gamma=0}^N C_\gamma \frac{\text{sign}(h\beta - h\gamma)}{4} (e^{h\beta-h\gamma} - e^{h\gamma-h\beta}) + d e^{-h\beta} = f_1(h\beta), \quad \beta = \overline{0, N}, \quad (39)$$

$$\sum_{\gamma=0}^N C_\gamma e^{-h\gamma} = 1 - e^{-1}. \quad (40)$$

According to (34) in this case the optimal coefficients have following form

$$C_\beta = \begin{cases} D_1(h\beta) * f_1(h\beta)|_{\beta=0} + a, & \beta = 0; \\ D_1(h\beta) * f_1(h\beta), & \beta = \overline{1, N-1}; \\ D_1(h\beta) * f_1(h\beta)|_{\beta=N} + b, & \beta = N. \end{cases} \quad (41)$$

To find optimal coefficients (41) we need to calculate the convolution $D_1(h\beta) * f_1(h\beta)$. For this using equalities (17), (38) and theorem 2 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} D_1(h\beta) * f_1(h\beta) &= D_1(h\beta) * \left(\frac{e^{h\beta} + e^{-h\beta} + e^{1-h\beta} + e^{h\beta-1}}{4} - 1 \right) = \\ &= \frac{1}{4} (D_1(h\beta) * e^{h\beta} + D_1(h\beta) * e^{-h\beta} + D_1(h\beta) * e^{1-h\beta} + D_1(h\beta) * e^{h\beta-1}) - D_1(h\beta) * 1 = \\ &= -D_1(h\beta) * 1 = - \sum_{\gamma=-\infty}^{\infty} D_1(h\gamma) = -2D_1(h) - D_1(0) = \\ &= -2 \cdot \frac{1}{p} (-2e^h) - \frac{1}{p} \cdot 2C = -\frac{1}{p} (-4e^h + 2(1 + e^{2h})) = \frac{2(e^h - 1)}{e^h + 1}. \end{aligned}$$

Then from (41) we have

$$C_\beta = \begin{cases} \frac{2(e^h-1)}{e^h+1} + a, & \beta = 0 \\ \frac{2(e^h-1)}{e^h+1}, & \beta = \overline{1, N-1} \\ \frac{2(e^h-1)}{e^h+1} + b, & \beta = N. \end{cases} \quad (42)$$

Hence one can see, that for finding of coefficients we need to determine a and b . For this directly using (42), (40), from (39) taking account of $\beta = \overline{1, N-1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \sum_{\gamma=0}^N C_\gamma \frac{\text{sign}(h\beta - h\gamma)}{4} (e^{h\beta-h\gamma} - e^{h\gamma-h\beta}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\gamma=0}^{\beta} C_\gamma (e^{h\beta-h\gamma} - e^{h\gamma-h\beta}) - \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\gamma=0}^N C_\gamma (e^{h\beta-h\gamma} - e^{h\gamma-h\beta}) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{\gamma=0}^{\beta} C_\gamma e^{h\beta-h\gamma} - \sum_{\gamma=0}^{\beta} C_\gamma e^{h\gamma-h\beta} \right) - \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \left(e^{h\beta}(1 - e^{-1}) - e^{-h\beta} \sum_{\gamma=0}^N C_\gamma e^{h\gamma} \right) = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(a(e^{h\beta} - e^{-h\beta}) + \sum_{\gamma=0}^{\beta} \frac{2(e^h - 1)}{e^h + 1} (e^{h\beta-h\gamma} - e^{h\gamma-h\beta}) \right) - \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \left(e^h \beta (1 - e^{-1}) - e^{-h\beta} (a + be + \sum_{\gamma=0}^N \frac{2(e^h - 1)}{e^h + 1} e^{h\gamma}) \right) = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(a(e^{h\beta} - e^{-h\beta}) + \frac{2(e^h - 1)}{e^h + 1} \left(e^{h\beta} \frac{1 - (e^{-h})^{\beta+1}}{1 - e^{-h}} - e^{-h\beta} \frac{1 - (e^h)^{\beta+1}}{1 - e^h} \right) \right) - \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{1}{4} \left(e^{h\beta}(1 - e^{-1}) - e^{-h\beta}(a + be + \frac{2(e^h - 1)1 - (e^h)^{N+1}}{e^h + 1}) \right) = \\
& = \frac{1}{2} \left(a(e^{h\beta} - e^{-h\beta}) + \frac{2(e^h - 1)}{e^h + 1} \left(e^{h\beta} \frac{e^h - e^{-h\beta}}{e^h - 1} + e^{-h\beta} \frac{1 - e^{h\beta+h}}{e^h - 1} \right) \right) - \\
& \quad - \frac{1}{4} \left(e^{h\beta}(1 - e^{-1}) - e^{-h\beta} \left(a + be + \frac{2(e^{h+1} - 1)}{e^h + 1} \right) \right) = \\
& = e^{h\beta} \left(\frac{a}{2} + \frac{2e^h}{e^h + 1} - \frac{1 - e^{-1}}{4} \right) + e^{-h\beta} \left(-\frac{a}{2} + \frac{1}{e^h + 1} + \frac{a + be}{4} + \frac{e^{h+1} - 1}{2(e^h + 1)} \right) - 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting obtained expression for S into (39) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& e^{h\beta} \left(\frac{a}{2} + \frac{e^h}{e^h + 1} - \frac{e - 1}{4e} \right) + e^{-h\beta} \left(\frac{be - a}{4} + \frac{e^{h+1} + 1}{2(e^h + 1)} \right) - 1 + de^{-h\beta} = \\
& = e^{h\beta} \left(\frac{1 + e^{-1}}{4} \right) + e^{-h\beta} \frac{1 - e}{4} - 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence and from (40) we obtain

$$\begin{cases} \frac{a}{2} + \frac{e^h}{e^h + 1} - \frac{e - 1}{4e} = \frac{e + 1}{4e} \\ \frac{be - a}{4} + \frac{e^{h+1} + 1}{2(e^h + 1)} + d = \frac{1 + e}{4} \\ ae + b + \frac{2(e^{h+1} - 1)}{e^h + 1} = e - 1 \end{cases}$$

Solving this system, we will find unknowns a, b, d , i.e.

$$a = \frac{1 - e^h}{e^h + 1}, \quad b = \frac{1 - e^h}{e^h + 1}, \quad d = 0. \quad (43)$$

Thus, substituting (43) into (42), we obtain the assertion of theorem. Theorem 4 is proved.

Proof of theorem 5. In $m = 2$ from (34) we obtain

$$C_\beta = \begin{cases} D_2(h\beta) * f_2(h\beta)|_{\beta=0} + a + a_1 + b_1\lambda_1^N, & \beta = 0 \\ D_2(h\beta) * f_2(h\beta) + a_1\lambda_1^\beta + b_1\lambda_1^{N-\beta}, & 1 \leq \beta \leq N - 1 \\ D_2(h\beta) * f_2(h\beta)|_{\beta=N} + b + a_1\lambda_1^N + b_1, & \beta = N \end{cases} \quad (44)$$

First we will calculate the convolution $D_2(h\beta) * f_2(h\beta)$. Using equalities (17), (38) and theorem 2 we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& D_2(h\beta) * f_2(h\beta) = D_2(h\beta) * \left(\frac{e^{h\beta} + e^{-h\beta} + e^{1-h\beta} + e^{h\beta-1} - 4}{4} - \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \frac{(h\beta)^2 + (1 - h\beta)^2}{4} \right) = -D_2(h\beta) * \left(\frac{2(h\beta)^2}{4} \right) = -\frac{1}{2} D_2(h\beta) * (h\beta)^2. \quad (45)
\end{aligned}$$

From equality (20) we obtain, that polynomial

$$P_2(\lambda) = \lambda^2(1 - e^{2h} + 2he^h) + (2(e^{2h} - 1) - 2h(e^{2h} + 1))\lambda + (1 - e^{2h} + 2he^h)$$

has two roots λ_1 and λ_2 , $\lambda_1\lambda_2 = 1$ and $|\lambda_1| < 1$

$$\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \frac{2h(e^{2h} + 1) - 2(e^{2h} - 1)}{(1 - e^{2h} + 2he^h)}, \quad p = 1 - e^{2h} + 2he^h \quad (46)$$

Further,

$$\begin{aligned} D_2(h\beta) * (h\beta)^2 &= \sum_{\gamma=-\infty}^{\infty} D_2(h\gamma)(h\beta - h\gamma)^2 = \sum_{\gamma=-\infty}^{\infty} D_2(h\gamma)(h\gamma)^2 = \\ &= 2D_2(h)h^2 + 2 \sum_{\gamma=2}^{\infty} D_2(h\gamma)(h\gamma)^2 = 2h^2 \left(\frac{1}{p}(-2e^h + A_1) \right) + \\ &+ 2h^2 \sum_{\gamma=2}^{\infty} \frac{A_1}{p\lambda_1} \lambda_1^\gamma \gamma^2 = \frac{2h^2}{p} \left[-2e^h + \frac{A_1}{\lambda_1} \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\infty} \lambda_1^\gamma \gamma^2 \right] = \\ &= \frac{2h^2}{p} \left[-2e^h + \frac{A_1}{\lambda_1} \frac{1}{1 - \lambda_1} \sum_{i=0}^2 \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{1 - \lambda_1} \right)^i \Delta^i 0^2 \right] = \\ &= \frac{2h^2}{p} \left[-2e^h + \frac{A_1}{\lambda_1} \frac{1}{1 - \lambda_1} \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{1 - \lambda_1} + \frac{2\lambda_1^2}{(1 - \lambda_1)^2} \right) \right] = \\ &= \frac{2h^2}{p} \left[-2e^h + \frac{A_1}{\lambda_1} \frac{1}{1 - \lambda_1} \frac{\lambda_1 + \lambda_1^2}{(1 - \lambda_1)^2} \right] = \\ &= \frac{2h^2}{p} \left[-2e^h + \frac{A_1 \lambda_1 (1 + \lambda_1)}{\lambda_1 (1 - \lambda_1)^3} \right] = \frac{2h^2}{p} \left[-2e^h + \frac{A_1 (1 + \lambda_1)}{(1 - \lambda_1)^3} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, taking into account equalities (19) and (46), we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_2(h\beta) * (h\beta)^2 &= \frac{2h^2}{p} \left[-2e^h - \frac{2(\lambda_1)^2(\lambda_1(e^{2h} + 1) - e^h(\lambda_1^2 + 1))(1 + \lambda_1)}{(\lambda_1^2 - 1)(1 - \lambda_1)^3} \right] = \\ &= \frac{2h^2}{p} \left[-2e^h - \frac{2(\lambda_1(e^{2h} + 1) - e^h(\lambda_1^2 + 1))}{(1 - \lambda_1)^2} \right] = \\ &= -\frac{4h^2}{p} \left[e^h + \frac{\lambda_1(e^{2h} + 1) - e^h(\lambda_1^2 + 1)}{(1 - \lambda_1)^2} \right] = \\ &= -\frac{4h^2}{p} \left[\frac{e^h - 2\lambda_1 e^h + e^h \lambda_1^2 + \lambda_1(e^{2h} + 1) - e^h(\lambda_1^2 + 1)}{(1 - \lambda_1)^2} \right] = \\ &= -\frac{4h^2}{p} \frac{(e^h - 1)^2 \lambda_1}{(1 - \lambda_1)^2} = -\frac{4h^2(e^h - 1)^2}{p} \frac{1}{\lambda_2(1 - 2\lambda_1 + \lambda_1^2)} = \\ &= -\frac{4h^2(e^h - 1)^2}{p} \frac{1}{\lambda_2 - 2 + \lambda_1} = -\frac{4h^2(e^h - 1)^2}{p} \frac{1}{\frac{2h(e^{2h} + 1) - 2(e^{2h} - 1)}{1 - e^{2h} + 2he^h} - 2} = \\ &= -\frac{4h^2(e^h - 1)^2}{p} \frac{p}{2h(e^{2h} + 1) - 2(e^{2h} - 1) - 2(1 - 2e^{2h}) - 4he^h} = \\ &= -\frac{4h^2(e^h - 1)^2}{2h(e^{2h} - 2e^h + 1)} = -\frac{4h^2(e^h - 1)^2}{2h(e^h - 1)^2} = -2h. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$D_2(h\beta) * (h\beta)^2 = -2h. \quad (47)$$

If we substitute equality (47) into (45) we obtain

$$D_2(h\beta) * f_2(h\beta) = -\frac{1}{2}D_2(h\beta) * (h\beta)^2 = -\frac{1}{2}(-2h) = h. \quad (48)$$

Taking into account (48) from (44) we get

$$C_\beta = \begin{cases} h + a + a_1 + b_1\lambda_1^N, & \beta = 0, \\ h + a_1\lambda_1^\beta + b_1\lambda_1^{N-\beta}, & 1 \leq \beta \leq N-1, \\ h + b + a_1\lambda_1^N + b_1, & \beta = N. \end{cases} \quad (49)$$

Here a_1, b_1, a, b are unknown constants. If we find these constants, then we obtain explicit form of optimal coefficients.

When $m = 2$ the system (8)-(10) of Wiener-Hopf type for optimal coefficients have following view

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\gamma=0}^N \frac{\text{sign}(h\beta - h\gamma)}{2} \left(\frac{e^{h\beta-h\gamma} - e^{h\gamma-h\beta}}{2} - (h\beta - h\gamma) \right) + de^{-h\beta} = \\ = \frac{e^{h\beta} + e^{-h\beta} + e^{1-h\beta} + e^{h\beta-1} - 4}{4} - \frac{(h\beta)^2 + (1-h\beta)^2}{4}, \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

$$\sum_{\gamma=0}^N C_\gamma = 1, \quad (51)$$

$$\sum_{\gamma=0}^N C_\gamma e^{-h\gamma} = 1 - e^{-1}. \quad (52)$$

To find explicit form of optimal coefficients, substituting equality (49) into (50), we obtain identity by variable $h\beta$. Equating to zero coefficients of $e^{h\beta}$, $e^{-h\beta}$, $h\beta$, $(h\beta)^0$, we get system for unknowns a_1, b_1 and solving it we will find a_1, b_1 .

First from orthogonality conditions (51), (52) coefficients C_0 and C_N we will express by C_γ , $\gamma = \overline{1, N-1}$

$$\begin{cases} C_0 + C_N = 1 - \sum_{\gamma=1}^{N-1} C_\gamma, \\ C_0 + C_N e^{-1} = 1 - e^{-1} - \sum_{\gamma=1}^{N-1} C_\gamma e^{-h\gamma}. \end{cases}$$

Hence

$$C_0 = \frac{e-2}{e-1} + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{N-1} C_\gamma \frac{1-e^{1-h\gamma}}{e-1}, \quad (53)$$

$$C_N = \frac{1}{e-1} + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{N-1} C_\gamma \frac{e^{1-h\gamma} - e}{e-1}. \quad (54)$$

Now consider first sum of the equality (50) and taking into account definition of $\text{sign}(x)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} g(h\beta) &= \sum_{\gamma=0}^N C_\gamma \frac{\text{sign}(h\beta - h\gamma)}{2} \left(\frac{e^{h\beta-h\gamma} - e^{h\gamma-h\beta}}{2} - (h\beta - h\gamma) \right) = \\ &= \sum_{\gamma=0}^{\beta} C_\gamma \left(\frac{e^{h\beta-h\gamma} - e^{h\gamma-h\beta}}{2} - (h\beta - h\gamma) \right) - \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\gamma=0}^N C_\gamma \frac{\text{sign}(h\beta - h\gamma)}{2} \left(\frac{e^{h\beta-h\gamma} - e^{h\gamma-h\beta}}{2} - (h\beta - h\gamma) \right) = \\ &= C_0 \left(\frac{e^{h\beta} - e^{-h\beta}}{2} - h\beta \right) + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\beta} C_\gamma \left(\frac{e^{h\beta-h\gamma} - e^{h\gamma-h\beta}}{2} - (h\beta - h\gamma) \right) - \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{e^{h\beta}}{2} \sum_{\gamma=0}^N C_\gamma e^{-h\gamma} - \frac{e^{-h\beta}}{2} \sum_{\gamma=0}^N C_\gamma e^{h\gamma} - \sum_{\gamma=0}^N C_\gamma h\beta + \sum_{\gamma=0}^N C_\gamma h\gamma \right) = \\ &= C_0 \left(\frac{e^{h\beta} - e^{-h\beta}}{2} - h\beta \right) + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\beta} C_\gamma \left(\frac{e^{h\beta-h\gamma} - e^{h\gamma-h\beta}}{2} - (h\beta - h\gamma) \right) - \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{e^{h\beta}}{2} (1 - e^{-1}) - \frac{e^{-h\beta}}{2} \sum_{\gamma=0}^N C_\gamma e^{h\gamma} - h\beta + \sum_{\gamma=0}^N C_\gamma h\gamma \right). \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

Using (49) - form of optimal coefficients C_γ , $\gamma = \overline{1, N-1}$, we calculate following sums

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= \sum_{\gamma=1}^{N-1} C_\gamma \frac{1 - e^{1-h\gamma}}{e-1} = \frac{1}{e-1} \left(1 - h + a_1 \frac{\lambda_1 - \lambda_1^N}{1 - \lambda_1} + b_1 \frac{\lambda_1 - \lambda_1^N}{1 - \lambda_1} \right) - \\ &\quad - \frac{e}{e-1} \left(h \frac{1 - e^{h-1}}{e^h - 1} + a_1 \frac{\lambda_1 - \lambda_1^N e^{h-1}}{e^h - \lambda_1} + b_1 \frac{\lambda_1^N - \lambda_1 e^{h-1}}{\lambda_1 e^h - 1} \right), \\ S_2 &= \sum_{\gamma=1}^{N-1} C_\gamma \frac{e^{1-h\gamma} - e}{e-1} = \frac{e}{e-1} \left(h \frac{1 - e^{h-1}}{e^h - 1} + a_1 \frac{\lambda_1 - \lambda_1^N e^{h-1}}{e^h - \lambda_1} + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + b_1 \frac{\lambda_1^N - \lambda_1 e^{h-1}}{\lambda_1 e^h - 1} \right) - \frac{e}{e-1} \left(1 - h + a_1 \frac{\lambda_1 - \lambda_1^N}{1 - \lambda_1} + b_1 \frac{\lambda_1^N - \lambda_1}{\lambda_1 - 1} \right), \\ S_3 &= \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\beta} C_\gamma \left(\frac{e^{h\beta-h\gamma} - e^{h\gamma-h\beta}}{2} - (h\beta - h\gamma) \right) = \\ &= \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\beta} (h + a_1 \lambda_1^\gamma + b_1 \lambda_1^{N-\gamma}) \left(\frac{e^{h\beta-h\gamma} - e^{h\gamma-h\beta}}{2} - (h\beta - h\gamma) \right) = \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{e^{h\beta}}{2} \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\beta} (h + a_1 \lambda_1^\gamma + b_1 \lambda_1^{N-\gamma}) e^{-h\gamma} - \frac{e^{-h\beta}}{2} \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\beta} (h + a_1 \lambda_1^\gamma + b_1 \lambda_1^{N-\gamma}) e^{h\gamma} - \\
&\quad - h\beta \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\beta} (h + a_1 \lambda_1^\gamma + b_1 \lambda_1^{N-\gamma}) + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\beta} (h + a_1 \lambda_1^\gamma + b_1 \lambda_1^{N-\gamma}) h\gamma.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence using properties of geometric progression we get

$$\begin{aligned}
S_3 &= \frac{e^{h\beta}}{2} \left(h \frac{1 - e^{-h\beta}}{e^h - 1} + a_1 \frac{\lambda_1 - \lambda_1^{\beta+1} e^{-h\beta}}{e^h - \lambda_1} + b_1 \frac{\lambda_1^N - \lambda_1^{N-\beta} e^{-h\beta}}{\lambda_1 e^h - 1} \right) - \\
&\quad - \frac{e^{-h\beta}}{2} \left(h \frac{e^h - e^{h+h\beta}}{1 - e^h} + a_1 \frac{\lambda_1 e^h - \lambda_1^{\beta+1} e^{h\beta+h}}{1 - \lambda_1 e^h} + b_1 \frac{\lambda_1^N e^h - \lambda_1^{N-\beta} e^{h+h\beta}}{\lambda_1 - e^h} \right) - \\
&\quad - h\beta \left(h\beta + a_1 \frac{\lambda_1 - \lambda_1^{\beta+1}}{1 - \lambda_1} + b_1 \frac{\lambda_1^N - \lambda_1^{N-\beta}}{\lambda_1 - 1} \right) + h^2 \frac{(\beta + 1)\beta}{2} + \\
&\quad + a_1 h \frac{\beta(\lambda_1^{\beta+2} - \lambda_1^{\beta+1}) + \lambda_1 - \lambda_1^{\beta+1}}{(1 - \lambda_1)^2} + b_1 h \frac{\lambda_1^{N+1} - \lambda_1^{N+1-\beta} + \beta(\lambda_1^{N-\beta} - \lambda_1^{N+1-\beta})}{(\lambda_1 - 1)^2} = \\
&= \frac{e^{h\beta}}{2} \left(\frac{h}{e^h - 1} + \frac{a_1 \lambda_1}{e^h - \lambda_1} + \frac{b_1 \lambda_1^N}{\lambda_1 e^h - 1} \right) - \frac{e^{-h\beta}}{2} \left(\frac{h e^h}{1 - e^h} + \right. \\
&\quad \left. + a_1 \frac{\lambda_1 e^h}{1 - \lambda_1 e^h} + b_1 \frac{\lambda_1^N e^h}{\lambda_1 - e^h} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{h}{1 - e^h} + \frac{a_1 \lambda_1^{\beta+1}}{\lambda_1 - e^h} + \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{b_1 \lambda_1^{N-\beta}}{1 - \lambda_1 e^h} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{h e^h}{1 - e^h} + \frac{a_1 \lambda_1^{\beta+1} e^h}{1 - \lambda_1 e^h} + \frac{b_1 \lambda_1^{N-\beta} e^h}{\lambda_1 - e^h} \right) - \\
&\quad - h\beta \left(h\beta + a_1 \frac{\lambda_1 - \lambda_1^{\beta+1}}{1 - \lambda_1} + b_1 \frac{\lambda_1^N - \lambda_1^{N-\beta}}{\lambda_1 - 1} \right) + h^2 \frac{(\beta + 1)\beta}{2} + \\
&\quad + a_1 h \frac{\beta(\lambda_1^{\beta+2} - \lambda_1^{\beta+1}) + \lambda_1 - \lambda_1^{\beta+1}}{(1 - \lambda_1)^2} + b_1 h \frac{\lambda_1^{N+1} - \lambda_1^{N+1-\beta} + \beta(\lambda_1^{N-\beta} - \lambda_1^{N+1-\beta})}{(\lambda_1 - 1)^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S_4 &= \sum_{\gamma=1}^{N-1} C_\gamma e^{h\gamma} = \sum_{\gamma=1}^{N-1} (h + a_1 \lambda_1^\gamma + b_1 \lambda_1^{N-\gamma}) e^{h\gamma} = \\
&= h \frac{e^h - e}{1 - e^h} + a_1 \frac{\lambda_1 e^h - \lambda_1^N e}{1 - \lambda_1 e^h} + b_1 \frac{\lambda_1^N e^h - \lambda_1 e}{\lambda_1 - e^h}.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S_5 &= h \sum_{\gamma=1}^{N-1} C_\gamma \gamma = h \sum_{\gamma=1}^{N-1} (h + a_1 \lambda_1^\gamma + b_1 \lambda_1^{N-\gamma}) \gamma = \frac{h(N-1)}{2} + \\
&\quad + a_1 h \frac{\lambda_1 - \lambda_1^{N+1} - N\lambda_1^N(1 - \lambda_1)}{(\lambda_1 - 1)^2} + b_1 h \frac{\lambda_1^{N+1} - \lambda_1 - N(\lambda_1^2 - \lambda_1)}{(\lambda_1 - 1)^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now by using equalities (53), (54), (55) and sums S_1, S_2, S_3, S_4, S_5 , equating coefficients in front of $e^{h\beta}$ and $h\beta$ in left and right sides of equality (50), respectively, after some calculations we obtain following linear system for a_1 and b_1 .

$$\begin{cases} a_1 \frac{(e^h-1)(\lambda_1^{N+1}-\lambda_1 e)}{(1-\lambda_1)(e-1)(e^h-\lambda_1)} + b_1 \frac{(e^h-1)(\lambda_1-\lambda_1^{N+1}e)}{(e-1)(\lambda_1-1)(\lambda_1 e^h-1)} = \frac{2-h}{2} - \frac{h}{e^h-1}, \\ a_1 \frac{1}{e^h-\lambda_1} + b_1 \frac{1}{\lambda_1 e^h-1} = 0. \end{cases}$$

Hence, solving system, we have

$$a_1 = \frac{(2e^h - 2 - he^h - h)(\lambda_1 - 1)}{2(e^h - 1)^2(\lambda_1 + \lambda_1^{N+1})}(e^h - \lambda_1), \quad (56)$$

$$b_1 = \frac{(2e^h - 2 - he^h - h)(\lambda_1 - 1)}{2(e^h - 1)^2(\lambda_1 + \lambda_1^{N+1})}(1 - e^h \lambda_1). \quad (57)$$

From (53), taking account of S_1 and a_1, b_1 for C_0 we get

$$C_0 = \frac{e^h - 1 - h}{e^h - 1} - \frac{(2e^h - 2 - he^h - h)(\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_1^N - \lambda_1 - \lambda_1^{N+1})}{2(e^h - 1)^2(\lambda_1 + \lambda_1^{N+1})}. \quad (58)$$

And from equality (54), taking into account S_2 , (56), (57) we obtain optimal coefficient C_N

$$C_N = \frac{he^h - e^h + 1}{e^h - 1} - \frac{e^h(2e^h - 2 - he^h - h)(\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_1^N - \lambda_1 - \lambda_1^{N+1})}{2(e^h - 1)^2(\lambda_1 + \lambda_1^{N+1})}. \quad (59)$$

We denote by

$$K(h) = \frac{(2e^h - 2 - he^h - h)(\lambda_1 - 1)}{2(e^h - 1)^2(\lambda_1 + \lambda_1^{N+1})}$$

and taking into account equalities (56), (57), (58) and (59) we obtain assertion of theorem.

Theorem 5 is proved.

References

1. Shadimetov Kh.M., Hayotov A.R. Weight optimal quadrature formulas in $W_2^{(m,m-1)}(0, 1)$ space. Uzbek Mathematical Journal, 2002, №.3-4. pp.92-103. (in Russian)
2. Sobolev S.L. Introduction to the Theory of Cubature Formulas. M.: Nauka, 1974. - 808 p.
3. Shadimetov Kh.M., Hayotov A.R. Construction of Discrete Analog of the Differential Operator $\frac{d^{2m}}{dx^{2m}} - \frac{d^{2m-2}}{dx^{2m-2}}$. Uzbek Mathematical Journal, 2004. №2 pp.85-95. (in Russian)
4. Shadimetov Kh.M., Hayotov A.R. Properties of the Discrete Analogue of the Differential operator $\frac{d^{2m}}{dx^{2m}} - \frac{d^{2m-2}}{dx^{2m-2}}$. Kh.M.Shadimetov, A.R.Hayotov, 2004. pp.72-83. (in Russian)

5. Sobolev S.L., Vaskevich V.L. Cubature Formulas. -Novosibirsk: Institute of Mathematics SB of RAS, 1996, -484 p. (in Russian)

Kholmat Makhkambaevich Shadimetov
Institute of Mathematics and Information Technologies
Uzbek Academy of Sciences
Tashkent, 100125
Uzbekistan

Abdullo Rakhmonovich Hayotov
Institute of Mathematics and Information Technologies
Uzbek Academy of Sciences
Tashkent, 100125
Uzbekistan
E-mail: abdullo_hayotov@mail.ru, hayotov@mail.ru.