

---

## First exclusive measurements of the $K^-pp$ state populated in the $pp \rightarrow K^+ \Lambda p$ reaction at 2.85 GeV

T. Yamazaki · P. Kienle · K. Suzuki ·  
M. Maggiora on behalf of DISTO  
Collaboration (M. Alexeev · F. Balestra ·  
Y. Bedfer · R. Bertini · L. C. Bland ·  
A. Brenschede · F. Brochard · M. P. Bussa ·  
M. Chiosso · Seonho Choi · M. L. Colantoni ·  
R. Dressler · M. Dzemidzic · J.-Cl. Faivre ·  
L. Ferrero · J. Foryciarz · I. Fröhlich ·  
V. Frolov · R. Garfagnini · A. Grasso ·  
S. Heinz · W. W. Jacobs · W. Kühn ·  
A. Maggiora · D. Panzieri · H.-W. Pfaff ·  
G. Pontecorvo · A. Popov · J. Ritman ·  
P. Salabura · V. Tchalyshov · F. Tosello ·  
S. E. Vigdor · G. Zosi)

Prepared for EXA08: October 27, 2008 / Accepted: date

---

T. Yamazaki  
Department of Physics, University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan and RIKEN Nishina Center,  
Saitama, Japan  
E-mail: yamazaki@nucl.phys.s.u-tokyo.ac.jp

P. Kienle and K. Suzuki  
Stefan Meyer Institute for Subatomic Physics, Austrian Academy of Sciences, Vienna, Austria

P. Kienle  
Excellence Cluster Universe, Technische Universität München, Garching, Germany

M. Alexeev, F. Balestra, R. Bertini, M. P. Bussa, M. Chiosso, M. L. Colantoni, A. Ferrero,  
L. Ferrero, R. Garfagnini, A. Grasso, S. Heinz, A. Maggiora, M. Maggiora, G. Pontecorvo,  
F. Tosello and G. Zosi  
Dipartimento di Fisica Generale "A. Avogadro" and INFN, Torino, Italy

Y. Bedfer, R. Bertini, F. Brochard, J.-Cl. Faivre and S. Heinz  
Laboratoire National Saturne, CEA Saclay, France

L. C. Bland, Seonho Choi, M. Dzemidzic, W. W. Jacobs and S. E. Vigdor  
Indiana University Cyclotron Facility, Bloomington, Indiana, U.S.A.

A. Brenschede, I. Fröhlich, W. Kühn, H.-W. Pfaff and J. Ritman  
II. Physikalisches Institut, Univ. Gießen, Germany

R. Dressler  
Forschungszentrum Rossendorf, Germany

J. Foryciarz and P. Salabura  
M. Smoluchowski Institute of Physics, Jagellonian University, Kraków, Poland

J. Foryciarz  
H. Niewodniczanski Institute of Nuclear Physics, Kraków, Poland

**Abstract** We have analyzed data of the DISTO experiment on the exclusive  $pp \rightarrow K^+ \Lambda p$  process at  $T_p = 2.85$  GeV to search for a  $K^- pp$  ( $\equiv X$ ) nuclear bound state to be formed in the  $pp \rightarrow K^+ + X$  reaction. The deviation spectra of the  $K^+$  missing-mass  $\Delta M(K^+)$  and  $\Lambda p$  invariant-mass  $M(\Lambda p)$  with selection of large-angle proton emission revealed a structure with  $M_X = 2265 \pm 2$  MeV/ $c^2$  and  $\Gamma_X = 118 \pm 8$  MeV.

**Keywords**  $\bar{K}$  nuclei · kaon condensation · super-strong nuclear force · strange dibaryon

## 1 Introduction

The simplest kaonic nuclear bound system,  $K^- pp$ , which was first predicted to be a quasi-stable state with  $M = 2322$  MeV/ $c^2$ ,  $B_K = 48$  MeV and  $\Gamma_{\Sigma\pi p} = 61$  MeV [1,2], has been studied in recent years. A detailed theoretical analysis, based on the ansatz that the  $\Lambda(1405)$  resonance (hereafter called  $\Lambda^*$ ) is an  $I = 0$   $\bar{K}N$  quasi-bound state embedded in the  $\Sigma\pi$  continuum, has shown that  $K^- pp$  has a molecule-like structure in which the  $K^-$  migrates between the two protons, causing a *super-strong nuclear force* [3,4]. The strongly bound nature of  $K^- pp$  is also supported by recent Faddeev calculations [5,6]. On the other hand, various theories based on chiral dynamics lead to a “weak”  $\bar{K}N$  interaction and a shallow bound state [7,8]. Since the issue is pertinent to the problem of kaon condensation, it is of vital importance to distinguish between the “strong binding” and the “weak binding” regimes by studying  $K^- pp$  experimentally, but only little information is known from a FINUDA experiment of  $K^-$  absorption at rest in light nuclei [9]. In the mean time, it was predicted that the strongly bound  $K^- pp$  system with a short  $p - p$  distance can be formed in a  $p + p \rightarrow K^+ + K^- pp$  reaction with an enormously large sticking probability between  $\Lambda^*$  and  $p$ , which is brought about due to the short range and large momentum transfer of the  $pp$  reaction [4]. Here, we report that existing experimental data of  $p + p \rightarrow K^+ + \Lambda + p$  taken by the DISTO spectrometer show an evidence for this exotic formation.

## 2 Dalitz plot of observed $p\Lambda K^+$ events at 2.85 GeV.

The DISTO experiment, originally aimed at comprehensive studies of polarization transfer in strangeness exchange reactions in  $pp$  collisions, was carried out by using a proton beam (up to 2.85 GeV) from the SATURNE accelerator at Saclay [10,11]. Here, we have analyzed the experimental data set of the exclusive reaction channel,


$$p + p \rightarrow p + \Lambda + K^+, \quad (1)$$

at the incident energy of 2.85 GeV. The events in this channel were first selected by the  $\Lambda$  particle identification from the  $p\pi^-$  invariant-mass spectrum, and then from a missing-mass spectrum of  $pK^+$ ,  $\Delta M(pK^+)$ , which shows peaks of  $\Lambda$ ,  $\Sigma^0$  and  $\Sigma^0(1385)/\Lambda(1405)$ . Here, we report on the  $p\Lambda K^+$  data of about 140,000 events.

---

V. Frolov, G. Pontecorvo and A. Popov and V. Tchalyshv  
JINR, Dubna, Russia

D. Panzieri  
Università del Piemonte Orientale and INFN, Torino, Italy




**Fig. 1** An acceptance corrected Dalitz plot,  $M(\Lambda p)^2$  vs  $M(K\Lambda)^2$ , of  $pp \rightarrow p\Lambda K^+$  at 2.85 GeV.

The data at 2.85 GeV cover the kinematical region in which we can look for a candidate of the  $K^-pp$  bound state ( $\equiv X$ ):

$$p + p \rightarrow K^+ + X, \quad X \rightarrow p + \Lambda. \quad (2)$$


The exotic process leading to a two-body final state ( $K^+X$ ) is a part of the whole  $p\Lambda K^+$  process, which consists of the ordinary process (hereafter called *background process*) and the exotic process. We show in Fig. 1 an acceptance-corrected Dalitz plot of all the  $p\Lambda K^+$  events in the plane of  $x = M(\Lambda p)^2$  vs  $y = M(K\Lambda)^2$ . The Dalitz distribution calculated by taking into account the reaction dynamics [12] is different from the "uniform" phase-space distribution, but *is continuous without any local bump structure*. On the other hand, the observed distribution, Fig. 1, reveals some structure that cannot be explained by the ordinary process. Now, we proceed to studies of the angular correlations of the three particles,  $p$ ,  $\Lambda$  and  $K^+$ , which are hidden in the kinematical variables in the Dalitz presentation.



**Fig. 2** Momentum distributions,  $P$ , versus  $\cos \theta$  in c.m. of (a)  $p$  and (b)  $K^+$ . Each block consists of *UNC* (uncorrected), *SIM* (simulated), *COR* (corrected) data. The horizontal dotted lines zone  $-0.6 < \cos \theta_{\text{cm}}(p) < 0.6$  and  $-0.2 < \cos \theta_{\text{cm}}(K) < 0.4$ , to be used for proton-angle and  $K^+$ -angle cuts.

### 3 Angular correlations of $p\Lambda K^+$


Figure 2 shows the uncorrected (*UNC*), simulated (*SIM*) and corrected (*COR*) distributions of the momentum  $P$  vs  $\cos\theta$  in c.m. of  $p$  and  $K^+$ . Since the *SIM* data are calculated by taking into account the detector acceptance for events of uniform phase-space distribution, the corrected data here represent, *not* the spectral intensities, but “*deviation spectra*” *DEV*, which indicate how much the data deviate from the expectation based on the uniformly distributed simulation events, namely,  $COR = UNC/SIM \rightarrow DEV$ . The uncorrected data as well as the simulation data show that the  $p$  distribution is extremely backward, corresponding to the extremely forward  $\Lambda$  distribution, which arises from the large acceptance of the DISTO detector for  $\Lambda \rightarrow p + \pi^-$  in the forward direction. Nevertheless, the corrected distributions of both  $p$  and  $\Lambda$  are remarkably symmetric, as expected from the symmetric  $pp$  collision in c.m., which justifies the present acceptance correction. Since the proton group at extremely backward angles ( $\cos\theta_{cm}(p) < -0.2$ ) is related to low-momentum transfer ( $q < 0.3$  GeV/c) production of  $p\Lambda K^+$ , it is likely to correspond to the background process. On the other hand, the proton group of large-angle emission corresponds to the exotic process involving the decay of  $X$  with a transverse momentum of around 0.5 GeV/c. So, it is extremely interesting to distinguish them by applying proton-angle cuts.



**Fig. 3** (a) Events with large-angle proton cut ( $-0.6 < \cos\theta_{cm}(p) < 0.6$ ) and (b) events with small-angle proton cut ( $|\cos\theta_{cm}(p)| > 0.6$ ). Each frame consists of (upper) deviation spectra of  $M(p\Lambda)^2$  and  $\Delta M(K)^2$  vs  $\cos\theta_{cm}(K)$  and (lower) their projections.

Figure. 2 (b) already shows that the  $P_{cm}(K)$  has a monoenergetic component around 0.4 GeV/c even before applying the proton-angle cut, which must be a signature for two-body exotic final states,  $K^+X$ . It is to be noted that this component is present in the uncorrected data, and the component appearing in the corrected data *cannot be a fake* that might originate from the correction, since *SIM* is smooth in this region. Figure 3 (upper) shows deviation spectra of  $M(p\Lambda)^2$  and  $\Delta M(K)^2$  vs  $\cos\theta_{cm}(K)$  and Fig. 3 (lower) their projections with (a) large-angle protons and (b) small-angle protons.

Clearly, the vertical band in the  $P_{\text{cm}}(K)$  vs  $\cos\theta_{\text{cm}}(K)$  plot (Fig. 2 (b)) is enhanced with a large-angle proton cut, as shown in Fig. 3, where the  $P_{\text{cm}}(K)$  spectra are converted into missing-mass deviation spectra,  $\Delta M(K)^2$ . The deviation spectra of both  $M(p\Lambda)^2$  and  $\Delta M(K)^2$  in Fig. 3 (a) show an enhanced peak at around  $x \sim 5.15$ , corresponding to  $M_X \approx 2.27 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ .



**Fig. 4** (Left) A final deviation spectrum of  $M(p\Lambda)$  after selection of large-angle proton emission:  $-0.6 < \cos\theta_{\text{cm}}(p) < 0.6$  and large-angle  $K^+$  emission,  $-0.2 < \cos\theta_{\text{cm}}(K^+) < 0.4$ . The peak structure with a background (thin line) gives  $M_X$  and  $\Gamma_X$ . The three important masses of  $K^- + p + p = 2.370$ ,  $\Lambda^* + p = 2.345$ , and  $\Sigma^+ + \pi^- + p = 2.267 \text{ GeV}/c^2$  are indicated by vertical dotted lines. The safe zone of acceptance is also shown by two vertical brown broken lines. (Right) Calculated forward cross sections of the  $p(p, K^+)K^-pp$  reaction at 4 GeV for different rms distances  $R(\Lambda^*p)$ . The cases of  $R(\Lambda^*p) = 1.65$  and  $1.45$  fm correspond to the  $\bar{K}N$  interactions of the original Akaishi-Yamazaki version ( $B_K = 48 \text{ MeV}$ ) and the version with 17% enhancement ( $B_K = 86 \text{ MeV}$ ) [4], respectively.

We have demonstrated that the proton-angle cut is very effective in discriminating the  $X$  formation process (monoenergetic emission of  $K^+$ ) from the background process. On the other hand, the small-proton-angle events corresponding to small- $q$  transfer can be attributed to the background process. In fact, the deviation spectra,  $M(p\Lambda)$  and  $\Delta M(K^+)$ , of small proton-angle events, as shown in Fig. 3 (b), are remarkably flat, in great contrast to those of large proton angle events (a). The flat shape of (b) is well accounted for by a  $pp \rightarrow p\Lambda K^+$  mechanism with a collision length of  $\hbar/m_B c$  with  $m_B \sim 0.4 \text{ GeV}/c^2$  [12]. In great contrast, the deviation spectra of large-angle proton emission (a) are far from a flat shape, revealing a large bump.

We also find another important fact from the  $P_{\text{cm}}(K)$  vs  $\cos\theta_{\text{cm}}(K)$  distribution; that is, the monoenergetic  $X$ -events are enhanced in the large-angle  $K^+$  emission. We also notice from Fig. 2 (b) that the zone of  $\cos\theta_{\text{cm}}(K) < -0.2$  in both  $UNC$  and  $SIM$  is very much depleted. So, we applied cuts:  $-0.2 < \cos\theta_{\text{cm}}(K) < 0.4$ , to obtain final deviation spectra, Fig. 4.

#### 4 Concluding Remarks

We have observed a large broad peak, which is associated with protons of large transverse momenta. Such a peak is not seen at all in the deviation spectra of small proton-angle events. Thus, we conclude that this structure is most likely due to a  $K^-pp$  bound state formed in the  $pp \rightarrow K^+ + X$  reaction followed by the decay  $X \rightarrow p + \Lambda$ . We made simple fitting of the deviation spectra and obtained

$$M_X = (2.265 \pm 0.002) \text{ GeV}/c^2, \quad (3)$$

$$\Gamma_X = (0.118 \pm 0.008) \text{ GeV}/c^2, \quad (4)$$

when a Gaussian shape on a linear background was assumed. The best-fit  $\chi^2/ndf$  value is  $34.2/24 = 1.4$ , whereas the  $\chi^2/ndf$  value without Gaussian peak becomes  $946.6/27 = 35.1$ . The peak height amounts to about  $26\sigma$ . The mass of  $X$  corresponds to  $B_K = (105 \pm 2) \text{ MeV}$  for  $X = K^-pp$ . This is close to the mass  $M(\Lambda p) \sim 2.256 \text{ GeV}/c^2$  of the claimed  $K^-pp$  candidate reported in the stopped- $K^-$  experiment by FINUDA [9]. The mass spectrum is compared with some important particle-emission thresholds:  $M(K^- + p + p) = 2.370 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ ,  $M(\Lambda(1405) + p) = 2.345 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ , and  $M(\Sigma^+ + \pi^- + p) = 2.267 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ .

It is to be stressed that the large population of a  $K^-pp$  state observed in the  $pp$  reaction provides a direct evidence for the unusually high-density regime of the kaonic nuclear system, as demonstrated by the comparison between the observed spectrum and the predicted ones in Fig. 4. The observed binding energy is larger than the original prediction, thus suggesting additional effects to be pursued [4, 13]. On the other hands, some theoretical claims for shallow  $\bar{K}$  binding [7, 8] do not seem to be reconciled with the present observation. The observed structure calls for theoretical studies of the decay shape [14] and branch [15] of  $K^-pp$ .

This research was partly supported by the DFG cluster of excellence ‘‘Origin and Structure of the Universe’’ and by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research of Monbu-Kagakushou of Japan. One of us (T.Y.) acknowledges the support by an Award of the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation, Germany.

#### References

1. Y. Akaishi and T. Yamazaki, Phys. Rev. **C 65** (2002) 044005.
2. T. Yamazaki and Y. Akaishi, Phys. Lett. **B 535** (2002) 70.
3. T. Yamazaki and Y. Akaishi, Proc. Jpn Acad. **B 83** (2007) 144; arXiv:0706.3651v2.
4. T. Yamazaki and Y. Akaishi, Phys. Rev. **C 76** (2007) 045201.
5. N.V. Shevchenko, A. Gal and J. Mares, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98** (2007) 082301; N.V. Shevchenko, A. Gal, J. Mares and J. Révai, Phys. Rev. **C 76** (2007) 044004.
6. Y. Ikeda and T. Sato, Phys. Rev. **C 76** (2007) 035203.
7. D. Jido, J.A. Oller, E. Oset, A. Ramos and U.-G. Meissner, Nucl. Phys. **A725** (2003) 181; V.K. Magas, E. Oset and A. Ramos, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95** (2005) 052301.
8. T. Hyodo and W. Weise, Phys. Rev. **77** (2008) 035204; A. Doté, T. Hyodo and W. Weise, arXiv:0806.491.
9. M. Agnello *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94** (2005) 212303.
10. F. Balestra *et al.*, Nucl. Instr. Meth. **426** (1999) 385.
11. M. Maggiora *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. **A691** (2001) 329c.
12. Y. Akaishi and T. Yamazaki, private comm. (2008).
13. S. Wycech and A.M. Green, arXiv:0808.3329 [nucl-th].
14. Y. Akaishi, Khin Swe Myint and T. Yamazaki, Proc. Jpn. Acad., Series B, **84** (2008) 264.
15. A.N. Ivanov, P. Kienle and J. Marton, to be published.