

# Phantom without phantom or how the PT symmetry saves us from the Big Rip

Alexander A. Andrianov

*Departament d'Estructura i Constituents de la Matèria and Institut de Ciències del Cosmos (ICCUB)  
Universitat de Barcelona, 08028, Barcelona, Spain*

*V.A. Fock Department of Theoretical Physics, Saint Petersburg State University, 198904, S.-Petersburg, Russia*

Francesco Cannata

*INFN, sezione di Bologna, Via Irnerio 46,40126 Bologna, Italy*

Alexander Y. Kamenshchik

*Dipartimento di Fisica and INFN, Via Irnerio 46,40126 Bologna, Italy*

*L.D. Landau Institute for Theoretical Physics of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Kosygin str. 2, 119334 Moscow, Russia*

Daniele Regoli

*Dipartimento di Fisica and INFN, Via Irnerio 46,40126 Bologna, Italy*

We consider the PT symmetric flat Friedmann model of two scalar fields with positive kinetic terms. While the potential of one (“normal”) field is taken real, that of the other field is complex. We study a complex classical solution of the system of the two Klein-Gordon equations together with the Friedmann equation. The solution for the normal field is real while the solution for the second field is purely imaginary, realizing classically the “phantom” behavior. The energy density and pressure are real and the corresponding geometry is well-defined. The Lagrangian for the linear perturbations has the correct potential signs for both the fields, so that the problem of stability does not arise. The background dynamics is determined by an effective action including two real fields one normal and one “phantom”. Remarkably, the phantom phase in the cosmological evolution is transient and the Big Rip never occurs.

PACS numbers: 98.80.Cq, 98.80.Jk, 11.30.Er, 02.60.Lj

## I. INTRODUCTION

The discovery of cosmic acceleration [1] has stimulated an intensive study of models of dark energy [2] responsible for the origin of this phenomenon. Dark energy is characterized by a negative pressure whose relation to the energy density  $w = p/\varepsilon$  should be less than  $-1/3$ . If it is less than  $-1$  such kind of dark energy is usually called “phantom” dark energy [3]. It implies the so called superacceleration effect, which in some models culminates approaching a new type of cosmological singularity called Big Rip [4]. While the cosmological constant ( $w = -1$ ) is still a possible candidate for the role of dark energy, there are observations that give some indications in favor of the models where the equation of state parameter  $w$  changes with time and moreover is less than  $-1$  now [5]. A way to introduce the phantom energy is to consider a scalar field with the negative sign of the kinetic energy term. However such a model has been reasonably criticized insofar as it is unstable with respect to linear perturbations [6].

Here we propose alternatively a cosmological model inspired by PT symmetric theory [7] of scalar fields, selecting potentials which possess classical phantom solutions for homogeneous and isotropic universe. Meanwhile quantum fluctuations have positive energy density and this ensures the stability around a classical background configuration. Thus we study potentials providing the real energy spectrum bounded from below [7, 8, 9, 10].

The PT symmetric approach to the extension of quantum physics consists in the weakening of the requirement of Hermiticity, while keeping all the physical observables real. It was shown that the axioms of quantum theory are maintained if the complex extension preserves (C)PT symmetry [10]. Some attempts to apply the PT symmetric formalism to cosmology were also discussed [11].

We shall consider the complex extension of matter Lagrangians requiring the reality of all the physically measurable quantities and the well-definiteness of geometrical characteristics. We start with the flat Friedmann model of two scalar fields with positive kinetic terms. The potential of the model is additive. While the potential of one (normal) field is real, that of the other field is complex. We find a classical complex solution of the system of the two Klein-Gordon equations together with the Friedmann equation. The solution for the “normal” field is real while the solution for the second field is purely imaginary realizing classically the “phantom” behavior. The geometry is well-defined. Moreover, the effective Lagrangian for the linear perturbations has the correct potential signs for both the fields, so that the problem of the stability does not arise. However, the background (homogeneous Friedmann) dynamics is determined by an effective action including two real fields one normal and one phantom. Remarkably, the phantom phase in the cosmological evolution is inevitably transient. The number of phantom divide line (PDL) crossings, (i.e. events

such that the ratio  $w$  between pressure and energy density passes through the value  $-1$ ) can be only even and the Big Rip never occurs.

The structure of the letter is the following: in Sec. II we describe the model; in Sec. III we present the results of the qualitative analysis and of the numerical simulations for the dynamical system under consideration; the last section is devoted to concluding remarks.

## II. THE MODEL: PHANTOM AND STABILITY

We consider the two-field scalar Lagrangian with the complex potential

$$L = \frac{\dot{\phi}^2}{2} + \frac{\dot{\chi}^2}{2} - Ae^{\alpha\phi} + Be^{i\beta\chi}, \quad (1)$$

where  $A$  and  $B$  are real positive constants. This Lagrangian is the sum of two terms. The term representing the scalar field  $\phi$  is a standard one, and it can generate a power-law cosmological expansion [12]. The kinetic term of the scalar field  $\chi$  is also standard, but its potential is complex. In quantum mechanics dynamical systems like the latter have been studied in the framework of PT symmetric quantum mechanics [7] and, in particular, the exponential potential has been analyzed in great detail in [9]. The most important feature of this potential is that the spectrum of the corresponding Hamiltonian is real and bounded from below, provided correct boundary conditions are assigned.

Inspired by this fact we shall look for a classical complex solution of the system, including two Klein-Gordon equations for the fields  $\phi$  and  $\chi$ :

$$\ddot{\phi} + 3h\dot{\phi} + A\alpha e^{\alpha\phi} = 0, \quad (2)$$

$$\ddot{\chi} + 3h\dot{\chi} - iB\beta e^{i\beta\chi} = 0, \quad (3)$$

and the Friedmann equation

$$h^2 = \frac{\dot{\phi}^2}{2} + \frac{\dot{\chi}^2}{2} + Ae^{\alpha\phi} - Be^{i\beta\chi}, \quad (4)$$

where the Hubble parameter  $h \equiv \dot{a}/a$ , is related to the cosmological radius of a flat Friedmann universe with the metric  $ds^2 = dt^2 - a^2(t)dl^2$ . The classical solution which we are looking for should provide the reality and positivity of the right-hand side of the Friedmann equation (4). The solution where the scalar field  $\phi$  is real, while the scalar field  $\chi$  is purely imaginary  $\chi = i\xi$ , uniquely satisfies this condition. Accordingly, the Lagrangian (1) evaluated on this solution is real as well. This is remarkable because on homogeneous solutions the Lagrangian coincides with the pressure, which indeed should be real.

Substituting  $\chi = i\xi$  into the Friedmann equation (4) we shall have

$$h^2 = \frac{\dot{\phi}^2}{2} - \frac{\dot{\xi}^2}{2} + Ae^{\alpha\phi} - Be^{-\beta\xi}. \quad (5)$$

Hence, effectively we have the Friedmann equation with two fields: one normal and one phantom. In the next section we shall study the cosmological dynamics of the system, including (5), (2) and

$$\ddot{\xi} + 3h\dot{\xi} - B\beta e^{-\beta\xi} = 0. \quad (6)$$

The distinguishing feature of such an approach to the construction of effective phantom Lagrangians becomes clear when one calculates the linear perturbations around the classical solutions. Indeed the second variation of the action for the field  $\chi$  gives the quadratic part of the effective Lagrangian of perturbations:

$$L_{eff} = \frac{1}{2}\delta\dot{\chi}^2 - B\beta^2 e^{i\beta\chi_0}(\delta\chi)^2, \quad (7)$$

where  $\chi_0$  is a homogeneous purely imaginary solution of the dynamical system under consideration. It is easy to see that on this solution, the effective Lagrangian (7) will be real and its potential term has a sign providing the stability of the the background solution with respect to linear perturbations.

Let us list the main differences between our Lagrangian and corresponding solutions and some similar models, using two fields (normal scalar and phantom) and exponential potentials [13]. First, we begin with two normal (non-phantom) scalar fields, with normal kinetic terms, but one of these fields is associated to a complex exponential potential. Second, the sign of the real constant multiplying this exponential potential is negative. Third, the background classical solution of the dynamical system, including two Klein-Gordon equations and the Friedmann equation is such that the second field is purely imaginary, while all the geometric characteristics are well-defined. Fourth, the interplay between transition to the purely imaginary solution of the equation for the field  $\chi$  and the negative sign of the corresponding potential provides us with the effective Lagrangian for the linear perturbations of this field which have correct sign for both the kinetic and potential terms and in such a way the problem of stability of the our effective phantom field is resolved.

In the next section we shall describe the cosmological solutions for our system of equations.

## III. COSMOLOGICAL EVOLUTION WITHOUT BIG RIP

First of all notice that our dynamical system permits the existence of cosmological trajectories which cross PDL. Indeed, the crossing point is such that the time derivative of the Hubble parameter

$$\dot{h} = -\frac{3}{2}(\dot{\phi}^2 - \dot{\xi}^2) \quad (8)$$

is equal to zero. We always can choose  $\dot{\phi} = \pm\dot{\xi}$ , at  $t = t_{PDL}$  provided the values of the fields  $\phi(t_{PDL})$  and

$\xi(t_{PDL})$  are chosen in such a way, that the general potential energy  $Ae^{\alpha\phi} - Be^{-\beta\xi}$  is non-negative. Obviously,  $t_{PDL}$  is the moment of PDL crossing. However, the event of the PDL crossing cannot happen only once. Indeed, the fact that the universe has crossed phantom divide line means that it was in effectively phantom state before or after such an event, i.e. the effective phantom field  $\xi$  dominated over the normal field  $\phi$ . However, if this dominance lasts for a long time it implies that non only the kinetic term  $-\dot{\xi}^2/2$  dominates over the kinetic term  $\dot{\phi}^2/2$  but also the potential term  $-B\exp(-\beta\xi)$  should dominate over  $A\exp(\alpha\phi)$ ; but it is impossible, because contradicts to the Friedmann equation (5). Hence, the period of the phantom dominance should finish and one shall have another point of PDL crossing. Generally speaking, only the regimes with even number of PDL crossing events are possible. Numerically, we have found only the cosmological trajectories with the double PDL crossing. Naturally, the trajectories which do not experience PDL crossing at all also exist and correspond to the permanent domination of the normal scalar field. Obviously there is no place for the Big Rip singularity in this picture, because such a singularity is connected with the drastically dominant behavior of the effective phantom field, which is impossible as was explained above. In other words, the impossibility of approaching the Big Rip singularity can be argued as follows. Approaching the Big Rip, one has a growing behavior of the scale factor  $a(t)$  of the type  $a(t) \sim (t_{BR} - t)^{-q}$ , where  $q > 0$ . Then the Hubble parameter is

$$h(t) = \frac{q}{t_{BR} - t} \quad (9)$$

and its time derivative is  $\dot{h}(t) = q(t_{BR} - t)^{-2}$ . Then according to Eq. (8)

$$\frac{\dot{\xi}^2}{2} - \frac{\dot{\phi}^2}{2} = \frac{q}{3(t_{BR} - t)^2}. \quad (10)$$

Substituting Eq. (10) into the Friedmann equation (5), we notice that the potential of the scalar field  $\phi$  should behave as  $1/(t_{BR} - t)^2$ . Hence the field  $\phi$  should be

$$\phi = \phi_0 - \frac{2}{\alpha} \ln(t_{BR} - t), \quad (11)$$

where  $\phi_0$  is an arbitrary constant. Now substituting Eqs. (9) and (11) into the Klein-Gordon equation for the scalar field  $\phi$  (2), the condition of the cancellation of the most singular terms in this equation which are proportional to  $1/(t_{BR} - t)^2$  reads

$$2 + 6q + A\alpha^2 \exp(\alpha\phi_0) = 0. \quad (12)$$

This condition cannot be satisfied because all the terms in the left-hand side of Eq. (12) are positive. This contradiction demonstrates that it is impossible to reach the Big Rip.

Now we describe briefly some cosmologies contained in our model. In Fig. 1 a double crossing of PDL is

present. The evolution starts from a Big Bang-type singularity and goes through a transient phase of superaccelerated expansion (“phantom era”), which lies between two crossings of PDL. Then the universe undergoes an endless expansion. The evolution presented in




FIG. 1: The evolution starts from a Big Bang-type singularity and goes through a transient phase of superaccelerated expansion (“phantom era”), which lies between two crossings of PDL (points A and B). Then the universe expands infinitely.

Fig. 2 starts with a contraction in the infinitely remote past. Then the contraction becomes superdecelerated and turns later in a superaccelerated expansion. With the second PDL crossing the “phantom era” ends; the decelerated expansion continues till the universe begins contracting. After a finite time a Big Crunch-type singularity is encountered. In Fig. 3 the cosmological evolution




FIG. 2: The evolution starts with a contraction in the infinitely remote past. At the point A the contraction becomes superdecelerated and turns in a superaccelerated expansion (B). In C the second PDL crossing ends the “phantom era”; the decelerated expansion continues till the universe begins contracting. In a finite time a Big Crunch-type singularity is reached.

again begins with a contraction in the infinitely remote past. Then the universe crosses PDL: the contraction becomes superdecelerated until the universe stops and starts expanding. Then the “phantom era” ends and the expansion is endless. In Fig. 4 the evolution from a Big Bang-type singularity to an eternal expansion is shown. The phantom phase is absent.




FIG. 3: The cosmological evolution begins with a contraction in the infinitely remote past. At point  $A$  the model crosses PDL: the contraction becomes superdecelerated until the universe stops ( $h = 0$ ) and starts expanding. At  $B$  the “phantom era” ends and the expansion continues infinitely.




FIG. 4: Evolution from a Big Bang-type singularity to an infinite expansion, without any crossing of PDL. This evolution is thus guided by the “normal” field  $\phi$ .

#### IV. CONCLUSION

As is known the data are compatible with the presence of the phantom energy, which can be in a most natural

way realized by the phantom scalar field with a negative kinetic term. Such a field suffers from the instability problem, which makes it vulnerable. Inspired by the development of PT symmetric quantum theory [10] we introduced the PT symmetric two-field cosmological model where both the kinetic terms are positive, but the potential of one of the fields is complex. We studied a classical background solution of two Klein-Gordon equations together with the Friedmann equation, when one of this fields (normal) is real while the other is purely imaginary. The scale factor in this case is real and positive just like the energy density and the pressure. The background dynamics of the universe is determined by two effective fields - one normal and one phantom, while the Lagrangian of the linear perturbations has the standard sign of the mass term. Thus, the problem of instability is absent. As a by product of the structure of the model, the phantom dominance era is transient, the number of the phantom divide line crossings is even and the Big Rip singularity is excluded.

#### Acknowledgments

This work was partially supported by Grants RFBR 08-02-00923 and LSS-4899.2008.2. The work of A.A. was supported by grants FPA2007-66665, 2005SGR00564, 2007PIV10046, by the Consolider-Ingenio 2010 Program CPAN (CSD2007-00042), by Grant RFBR and Program RNP 2.1.1.

- 
- [1] A. Riess et al., *Astron. J.* **116**, 1009 (1998); S.J. Perlmutter et al., *Astroph. J.* **517**, 565 (1999).
- [2] V. Sahni and A.A. Starobinsky, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **9**, 373 (2000); **15**, 2105 (2006); T. Padmanabhan, *Phys. Rep.* **380**, 235 (2003); P.J.E. Peebles and B. Ratra, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **75**, 559 (2003); E.J. Copeland, M. Sami and S. Tsujikawa, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **15**, 1753 (2006).
- [3] R.R. Caldwell, *Phys. Lett. B* **545**, 23 (2002).
- [4] A.A. Starobinsky, *Grav. Cosmol.* **6**, 157 (2000); R.R. Caldwell, M. Kamionkowski and N.N. Weinberg, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91**, 071301 (2003).
- [5] U. Alam, V. Sahni, T.D. Saini and A.A. Starobinsky, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **354**, 275 (2004).
- [6] S. M. Carroll, M. Hoffman and M. Trodden, *Phys. Rev. D* **68**, 023509 (2003); S. Sergienko and V. Rubakov, arXiv:0803.3163 [hep-th].
- [7] C.M. Bender, S. Boettcher, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80**, 5243 (1998); C.M. Bender, S. Boettcher and P. Meisinger, *J. Math. Phys.* **40**, 2201 (1999); C.M. Bender, D.C. Brody and H.F. Jones, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **89**, 270401 (2002); Erratum-ibid. **92**, 119902 (2004); C. Bender, J. Brod, A. Refig, M. Reuter, *J. Phys. A* **37** 10139 (2004); C. M. Bender, J.-H.Chen, K. A. Milton, *J. Phys. A* **39** 1657 (2006); C. M. Bender, D. C. Brody, J.-H. Chen, H. F. Jones, K. A. Milton and M. C. Ogilvie, *Phys. Rev. D* **74**, 025016 (2006).
- [8] A.A. Andrianov, *Ann. Phys.* **140**, 82 (1982); F.G. Scholtz, H.B. Geyer and F.J.H. Hahne, *Ann. Phys.* **213**, 74 (1992); P. Dorey, C. Dunning, R. Tateo, *J. Phys. A* **34**, L391 (2001).
- [9] F. Cannata, G. Junker and J. Trost, *Phys. Lett. A* **246**, 219 (1998); T. Curtright and L. Mezincescu, *J. Math. Phys.* **48**, 092106 (2007) (and reference therein).
- [10] C.M. Bender, *Rept. Prog. Phys.* **70**, 947 (2007).
- [11] Z. Ahmed, C. M. Bender and M. V. Berry, *J. Phys. A* **38**, L627 (2005); A.A. Andrianov, F. Cannata and A.Yu. Kamenshchik, *J. Phys. A* **39**, 9975 (2006); *Int. J. Mod.Phys. D* **15**, 1299 (2006).
- [12] F. Lucchin and S. Matarrese, *Phys. Rev. D* **32**, 1316 (1985); A.B. Burd and J. D. Barrow, *Nucl. Phys. B* **308**, 929 (1988); V. Gorini, A.Yu. Kamenshchik, U. Moschella and V. Pasquier, *Phys. Rev. D* **69**, 123512 (2004).
- [13] Z.K. Guo, Y.S. Piao, X.M. Zhang and Y.Z. Zhang, *Phys. Lett. B* **608**, 177 (2005); B. Feng, X. L. Wang and X. M. Zhang, *Phys. Lett. B* **607**, 35 (2005); L. Perivolaropoulos, *Phys. Rev. D* **71**, 063503 (2005).