## Gauge coupling constant, compositeness and supersymmetry

KAZUNARI SHIMA  $^{*}\,$  and Motomu Tsuda  $^{\dagger}\,$ 

Laboratory of Physics, Saitama Institute of Technology Fukaya, Saitama 369-0293, Japan

## Abstract

We point out the possibility that the magnitude of the bare gauge coupling constant is determined theoretically in a composite model of all particles in SUSY theories. We show explicitly in two space-time dimensions that the NL/L SUSY relation (i.e., a SUSY compositeness condition for all particles) between N = 2 LSUSY QED and N = 2 NLSUSY determines the magnitude of the bare electromagnetic coupling constant (i.e., the fine structure constant) of N = 2 LSUSY QED.

PACS: 11.30.Pb, 12.60.Jv, 12.60.Rc, 12.10.-g

Keywords: supersymmetry, Nambu-Goldstone fermion, nonlinear/linear SUSY relation, composite unified theory

<sup>\*</sup>e-mail: shima@sit.ac.jp

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>e-mail: tsuda@sit.ac.jp

Supersymmetry (SUSY) [1, 2] and the spontaneous SUSY breaking (SSB) are crucial notions for the unified description of space-time and matter.

Based upon the nonlinear (NL) representation [2] of SUSY and the general relativity (GR) principle the nonlinear supersymmetric general relativity (NLSUSY) GR) theory [3] is constructed, which proposes a new paradigm (called SGM scenario [3]-[7]) for describing the unity of nature. The NLSUSY invariant GR action  $L_{\rm NLSUSYGR}(w)$  (in terms of a unified vierbein  $w^a{}_{\mu}$ ) desribes geometrically the basic principle, i.e. the ultimate shape of nature is empty unstable space-time with the constant energy density (cosmological term)  $\Lambda > 0$  and decays to (creates) spontaneously (quantum mechanically) or dinary Riemann space-time and  ${\rm spin-}\frac{1}{2}$  massless Nambu-Goldstone (NG) fermion (superon) matter with the potential (cosmological term)  $V = \Lambda > 0$  depicted by the SGM action  $L_{\text{SGM}}(e, \psi)$  (in terms of the ordinary vierbein  $e^{a}{}_{\mu}$  and the NG fermions  $\psi^{i}$ ). We showed explicitly in our previous works in asymptotic Riemann-flat  $(e^a{}_\mu \rightarrow \delta^a{}_\mu)$  space-time that the vacuum (true minimum) in SGM scenario is V = 0 due to the dynamics of/among the linear (L) SUSY states, which is achieved when the NG fermions constitute the LSUSY representation, i.e. all local fields of the LSUSY multiplet of the familiar LSUSY gauge theory  $L_{\text{LSUSY}}(A, \lambda, v_a, \ldots)$  emerge as the composites of NG fermions dictated by the spacetime N-extended NLSUSY symmetry, i.e. LSUSY is realized on the true vacuum as the composite states. In the SGM scenario all (observed) particles are assigned uniquely into a single irreducible representation of SO(N) (SO(10)) super-Poincaré (SP) group as an on-shell supermultiplet of N LSUSY. As mentioned above, they are considered to be realized as (massless) eigenstates of SO(N) (SO(10)) SP composed of NG fermions (superon) which correspond to the coset space coordinates for  $\frac{super-GL(4,R)}{GL(4,R)}$  of NLSUSY describing the SSB by itself.

In order to extract the low energy physical contents of NLSUSY GR and to examine the SGM scenario, we investigated the NLSUSY GR model in asymptotic Riemann-flat space-time, where the NLSUSY model appears from the cosmological term of NLSUSY GR. The NLSUSY model [2] are recasted (related) to various LSUSY (*free*) theories with the SSB [8]-[15] and also to *interacting* N = 2 LSUSY (Yukawa-interaction and QED) theories in two dimensional space-time (d = 2) for simplicity [16]-[19] under the adoption of the simplest SUSY invariant constraints and the subsequent SUSY invariant relations in NL/L SUSY relation. (Note that for N = 2 SUSY in SGM scenario  $J^P = 1^-$  vector field appears [13]. Therefore N = 2 SUSY is minimally viable case.) The SUSY invariant relations, which are obtained systematically from the SUSY invariant constraints [8, 9, 11] in the superfield formulation [20], describe all component fields in the LSUSY multiplets as the composites of the NG-fermion superons and connect actions between the NLSUSY model and the LSUSY theories with the SSB. Through the NL/L SUSY relation, the scale of the SSB in NLSUSY which is related naturally to the cosmological constant of NLSUSY GR gives a simple explanation of the mysterious (observed) numerical relation between the neutrino mass and the four dimensional dark energy density of the universe [7, 21] in the vacuum of the N = 2 SUSY QED theory [22].

In this letter we study further the NL/L SUSY relation under more general SUSY invariant constraints by considering the d = 2, N = 2 SUSY QED theory [17, 19]. We find an intimate relation between the bare gauge coupling constant and a generalization of SUSY invariant constraints on a general gauge superfield. We show explicitly that the magnitude of the bare electromagnetic coupling constant is determined in NL/L SUSY relation (i.e. the over-all compositness condition) from vacuum expectation values (vevs) (constant terms in SUSY invariant relations) of auxiliary scalar fields, which is obtained from the generalized SUSY invariant constraints.

For the purpose of the brief review of the SUSY invariant constraints in NL/L SUSY relation for the N = 2 SUSY QED in d = 2, let us begin with a d = 2, N = 2 general gauge superfield [23, 24] on specific supertranslations of superspace coordinates [8, 9, 11] denoted by  $(x^{\prime a}, \theta^{\prime i}_{\alpha})$  (i = 1, 2) which depend on (Majorana) NG fermions  $\psi^{i}_{\alpha}(x)$  in the NLSUSY model [2], i.e.

$$\mathcal{V}(x',\theta') \equiv \tilde{\mathcal{V}}(x,\theta),\tag{1}$$

where

$$x^{\prime a} = x^{a} + i\kappa\bar{\theta}^{i}\gamma^{a}\psi^{i},$$
  
$$\theta^{\prime i} = \theta^{i} - \kappa\psi^{i},$$
 (2)

with a constant  $\kappa$  whose dimension is (mass)<sup>-1</sup>. In Eq.(1),  $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}(x, \theta)$  may be expanded as

$$\tilde{\mathcal{V}}(x,\theta) = \tilde{C}(x) + \bar{\theta}^{i}\tilde{\Lambda}^{i}(x) + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\theta}^{i}\theta^{j}\tilde{M}^{ij}(x) - \frac{1}{2}\bar{\theta}^{i}\theta^{i}\tilde{M}^{jj}(x) + \frac{1}{4}\epsilon^{ij}\bar{\theta}^{i}\gamma_{5}\theta^{j}\tilde{\phi}(x) - \frac{i}{4}\epsilon^{ij}\bar{\theta}^{i}\gamma_{a}\theta^{j}\tilde{v}^{a}(x) - \frac{1}{2}\bar{\theta}^{i}\theta^{i}\bar{\theta}^{j}\tilde{\lambda}^{j}(x) - \frac{1}{8}\bar{\theta}^{i}\theta^{i}\bar{\theta}^{j}\theta^{j}\tilde{D}(x),$$
(3)

where initial component fields in the general gauge superfield  $\mathcal{V}(x,\theta)$ , i.e.  $\varphi_{\mathcal{V}}^{I}(x) = \{C(x), \Lambda^{i}(x), M^{ij}(x), \cdots\}$ , are denoted by (C, D) for two scalar fields,  $(\Lambda^{i}_{\alpha}, \lambda^{i}_{\alpha})$  for

two doublet (Majorana) spinor fields,  $\phi$  for a pseudo scalar field,  $v^a$  for a vector field, and  $M^{ij} = M^{(ij)} \left(=\frac{1}{2}(M^{ij} + M^{ji})\right)$  for three scalar fields  $(M^{ii} = \delta^{ij}M^{ij})$ , respectively. The component fields  $\tilde{\varphi}^I_{\mathcal{V}}(x) = \{\tilde{C}(x), \tilde{\Lambda}^i(x), \tilde{M}^{ij}(x), \cdots\}$  in Eq.(3) are expressed in terms of the initial  $\varphi^I_{\mathcal{V}}(x)$  and  $\psi^i(x)$  [15] by using Eq.(1).

In Eq.(3) (the SUSY invariant) constraints,  $\tilde{\varphi}_{\mathcal{V}}^{I}(x) = \text{constant}$ , which eliminate the other degrees of freedom (d.o.f.) than  $\varphi_{\mathcal{V}}^{I}(x)$  and  $\psi^{i}(x)$ , can be imposed, since the superfield (1) transforms homogeneously [8, 9, 11] as  $\delta_{\zeta}\tilde{\mathcal{V}}(x,\theta) = \xi^{a}\partial_{a}\tilde{\mathcal{V}}(x,\theta)$  with  $\xi^{a} = i\kappa\bar{\psi}^{i}\gamma^{a}\zeta^{i}$  under supertranslations of  $(x^{a},\theta^{i})$  and NLSUSY transformations of  $\psi^{i}(x)$  [2],

$$\delta_{\zeta}\psi^{i} = \frac{1}{\kappa}\zeta^{i} - i\kappa\bar{\zeta}^{j}\gamma^{a}\psi^{j}\partial_{a}\psi^{i},\tag{4}$$

parametrized by constant (Majorana) spinor parameters  $\zeta^i$ . Then, the simplest (and nontrivial) SUSY invariant constraints are given by [15, 18, 19]

$$\tilde{C} = \tilde{\Lambda}^i = \tilde{M}^{ij} = \tilde{\phi} = \tilde{v}^a = \tilde{\lambda}^i = 0, \quad \tilde{D} = \frac{\xi}{\kappa}$$
(5)

with an arbitrary real parameter  $\xi$ , which give systematically the SUSY invariant relations expressing the component fields  $\varphi_{\mathcal{V}}^{I}(x)$  as SUSY composites of  $\psi^{i}(x)$  by solving Eq.(5); namely,  $\varphi_{\mathcal{V}}^{I} = \varphi_{\mathcal{V}}^{I}(\psi;\xi)$ .

As for the component fields of the matter sector of the N = 2 SUSY QED, we perform the similar arguments for two d = 2, N = 2 scalar superfields on  $(x^{\prime a}, \theta^{\prime i})$ defined by

$$\Phi^{i}(x',\theta') \equiv \tilde{\Phi}^{i}(x,\theta), \tag{6}$$

and

$$\tilde{\Phi}^{i}(x,\theta) = \tilde{B}^{i}(x) + \bar{\theta}^{i}\tilde{\chi}(x) - \epsilon^{ij}\bar{\theta}^{j}\tilde{\nu}(x) - \frac{1}{2}\bar{\theta}^{j}\theta^{j}\tilde{F}^{i}(x) + \bar{\theta}^{i}\theta^{j}\tilde{F}^{j}(x) + \cdots,$$
(7)

where initial component fields in the scalar superfields  $\Phi^i(x,\theta)$ , i.e.  $\varphi^I_{\Phi}(x) = \{B^i(x), \chi(x), \nu(x), F^i(x)\}$ , are denoted by  $B^i$  for doublet scalar fields,  $(\chi_{\alpha}, \nu_{\alpha})$  for two (Majorana) spinor fields and  $F^i$  for auxiliary scalar fields. The superfields (7) also satisfy the same supertransformation property  $\delta_{\zeta} \tilde{\Phi}^i(x,\theta) = \xi^a \partial_a \tilde{\Phi}^i(x,\theta)$  and constraints,  $\tilde{\varphi}^I_{\Phi}(x) = \text{constant}$ , are invariant (conserved quantities) under the supertransformations. The simplest SUSY invariant constraints are [19]

$$\tilde{B}^{i} = \tilde{\chi} = \tilde{\nu} = 0, \quad \tilde{F}^{i} = \frac{\xi^{i}}{\kappa}$$
(8)

with arbitrary real parameters  $\xi^i$ , which give SUSY invariant relations for the all component fields  $\varphi^I_{\Phi}(x)$ ; namely,  $\varphi^I_{\Phi} = \varphi^I_{\Phi}(\psi;\xi^i)$ .

Here we consider the simplest generalization of the SUSY invariant constraints (5) by taking the following particular (non-zero) constant value for the auxiliary scalar field  $\tilde{C}(x)$  as

$$\tilde{C} = \xi_c,\tag{9}$$

where  $\xi_c$  is a constant whose dimension is  $(\text{mass})^{-1}$  in d = 2 (a dimensionless constant in d = 4). This generalization of the SUSY invariant constraints (5) means that the SUSY invariant relation,  $C = C(\psi; \xi)$ , is shifted by  $\xi_c$  as,

$$C = \xi_c - \frac{1}{8} \xi \kappa^3 \bar{\psi}^i \psi^i \bar{\psi}^j \psi^j, \qquad (10)$$

which is obtained by solving Eq.(9) together with the other SUSY invariant constraints in Eq.(5),

$$\tilde{\Lambda}^{i} = \tilde{M}^{ij} = \tilde{\phi} = \tilde{v}^{a} = \tilde{\lambda}^{i} = 0, \quad \tilde{D} = \frac{\xi}{\kappa}.$$
(11)

Note that the generalized constraint (9) does not alter the other SUSY invariant relations  $\varphi_{\mathcal{V}}^{I} = \varphi_{\mathcal{V}}^{I}(\psi;\xi)$  than Eq.(10).

Let us show below the relation between a N = 2 NLSUSY action and a general N = 2 SUSY QED action under the adoption of the generalized SUSY invariant constraint (9) in addition to Eqs.(8) and (11). The N = 2 NLSUSY action [2] which is invariant under the NLSUSY transformations (4) is given by

$$L_{N=2\text{NLSUSY}} = -\frac{1}{2\kappa^2} |w|, \qquad (12)$$

where |w| is the determinant describing the dynamics of  $\psi^i(x)$ , i.e. in d=2,

$$|w| = \det(w^{a}_{\ b}) = \det(\delta^{a}_{b} + t^{a}_{\ b}) = 1 + t^{a}_{\ a} + \frac{1}{2!}(t^{a}_{\ a}t^{b}_{\ b} - t^{a}_{\ b}t^{b}_{\ a})$$
(13)

with  $t^a{}_b = -i\kappa^2 \bar{\psi}^i \gamma^a \partial_b \psi^i$ . In the NLSUSY action (12) the second term,  $-\frac{1}{2\kappa^2} t^a{}_a = \frac{i}{2} \bar{\psi}^i \partial \psi^i$ , is the kinetic term for  $\psi^i$ .

On the other hand, the general N = 2 SUSY QED action [19] in d = 2 is constructed from the N = 2 general gauge and N = 2 scalar superfields,  $\mathcal{V}(x, \theta)$  and  $\Phi^i(x, \theta)$ , as

$$L_{N=2\text{SUSYQED}}^{\text{gen.}} = L_{\mathcal{V}\text{kin}} + L_{\mathcal{V}\text{FI}} + L_{\Phi\text{kin}} + L_e \tag{14}$$

with

$$L_{\mathcal{V}\mathrm{kin}} = \frac{1}{32} \left\{ \int d^2 \theta^i \left( \overline{D^i \mathcal{W}^{jk}} D^i \mathcal{W}^{jk} + \overline{D^i \mathcal{W}_5^{jk}} D^i \mathcal{W}_5^{jk} \right) \right\}_{\theta^i = 0}, \qquad (15)$$

$$L_{\mathcal{V}\mathrm{FI}} = \frac{\xi}{2\kappa} \int d^4 \theta^i \ \mathcal{V},\tag{16}$$

$$L_{\Phi \rm kin} + L_e = -\frac{1}{16} \int d^4 \theta^i \ e^{-4e\mathcal{V}} (\Phi^j)^2, \tag{17}$$

where we denote the kinetic terms for the vector supermultiplet by  $L_{\mathcal{V}kin}$  with  $\mathcal{W}^{ij} = \bar{D}^i D^j \mathcal{V}, \ \mathcal{W}_5^{ij} = \bar{D}^i \gamma_5 D^j \mathcal{V}, \ D^i = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^i} - i \ \partial \theta^i$ , the Fayet-Iliopoulos (FI) Dterm indicating the SSB by  $L_{\mathcal{V}FI}$ , and the kinetic terms for the matter scalar supermultiplet and the gauge interaction terms by  $L_{\Phi kin} + L_e$ , respectively. The bare gauge coupling constant is defined in the gauge action (17) by e whose dimension is (mass)<sup>1</sup> in d = 2,

Substituting all the generalized SUSY invariant relations by Eq.(10),  $\varphi_{\mathcal{V}}^{I} = \varphi_{\mathcal{V}}^{I}(\psi;\xi,\xi_{c})$  and  $\varphi_{\Phi}^{I} = \varphi_{\Phi}^{I}(\psi;\xi^{i})$ , into the N = 2 SUSY QED action (14), we find the (general) NL/L SUSY relation for the general off-shell supermultiplet:

$$L_{N=2\text{SUSYQED}}^{\text{gen.}} = f(\xi, \xi^i, \xi_c, e) \ L_{N=2\text{NLSUSY}}, \tag{18}$$

where the normalization factor  $f(\xi, \xi^i, \xi_c, e)$  is given by

$$f(\xi,\xi^{i},\xi_{c},e) = \xi^{2} - (\xi^{i})^{2} e^{-4e\xi_{c}}.$$
(19)

In the factor (19)  $\xi_c$ ,  $\xi$  and  $\xi^i$  are the real parameters giving the magnitude of the vevs (constant terms in SUSY invariant relations) for the auxiliary fields,  $(C, D, F^i)$  in  $\mathcal{V}$  and  $\Phi^i$ , respectively. The NL/L SUSY relation (18) for N = 2 is obtained straightforwardly (and systematically) by changing the integration variables [15] in the general N = 2 SUSY QED action (14) from  $(x, \theta^i)$  to  $(x', \theta'^i)$  under the SUSY invariant constraints (8), (9) and (11).

Here we remind ourselves of the NLSUSY GR model in SGM scenario. In the SGM action  $L_{\text{SGM}}(e, \psi)$  the relative scale of the kinetic terms for the NG fermions

 $\psi^i$  to the Einstein GR theory is fixed as  $-\frac{1}{2\kappa^2}t^a{}_a = \frac{i}{2}\bar{\psi}^i\partial\psi^i$ ; namely, the SGM action can be written (in d = 4) as [3]-[7]

$$L_{\rm SGM}(e,\psi) = \frac{c^4}{16\pi G} e(R - |w|\Lambda + \cdots), \qquad (20)$$

and then the dimensional constant  $\kappa$  in the determinant (13) is fixed to  $\kappa^{-2} = \frac{c^4 \Lambda}{8\pi G}$ . In order to realize the correct kinetic terms of  $\psi^i$  (and the cosmological term) in  $L_{\text{SGM}}(e,\psi)$  from the NL/L SUSY relation (18), i.e. since it is essential for the SGM scenario to attribute exactly a LSUSY action with the SSB (for the low energy physics) to the NLSUSY action corresponding to the SGM action for flat space-time, we put

$$f(\xi, \xi^i, \xi_c, e) = 1,$$
 (21)

which establishes the NL/L SUSY relation (in SGM scenario) for N = 2 SUSY. The fact that the condition (21) reproduces correctly the SGM action (20) for flat space-time means that a configration of the vevs for the auxiliary fields satisfying  $f(\xi, \xi^i, \xi_c, e) = 1$  exists based on the fundamental theory, which is natural for the SGM composite scenario. This is different from the situation in string theory where a compactification of extra dimensions contributes essentially to the magnitude of the coupling constant.

Remarkably the condition (21) gives the gauge coupling constant e in terms of  $\xi$ ,  $\xi^i$  and  $\xi_c$  as

$$e = \frac{1}{4\xi_c} \ln X, \quad X = \frac{(\xi^i)^2}{\xi^2 - 1}.$$
 (22)

Now we discuss the NL/L SUSY relation in the d = 2, N = 2 LSUSY QED theory for a *minimal* off-shell vector supermultiplet [17, 19] based on the (generalized) NL/L SUSY relation (18). We ask that a local U(1) gauge invariant and N = 2 LSUSY QED action for the minimal off-shell vector supermultiplet,

$$L_{N=2\text{LSUSYQED}}^{0} = L_{\mathcal{V}\text{kin}}^{0} + L_{\mathcal{V}\text{FI}}^{0} + L_{\Phi\text{kin}}^{0} + L_{e}^{0}, \qquad (23)$$

where

$$L_{\mathcal{V}\mathrm{kin}}^{0} = -\frac{1}{4} (F_{0ab})^{2} + \frac{i}{2} \bar{\lambda}_{0}^{i} \partial \lambda_{0}^{i} + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{a} A_{0})^{2} + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{a} \phi_{0})^{2} + \frac{1}{2} D_{0}^{2}, \qquad (24)$$

$$L_{\mathcal{V}\mathrm{FI}}^0 = -\frac{\xi}{\kappa} D_0,\tag{25}$$

$$L^{0}_{\Phi \rm kin} = \frac{i}{2} \bar{\chi} \partial \!\!\!/ \chi + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_a B^i)^2 + \frac{i}{2} \bar{\nu} \partial \!\!\!/ \nu + \frac{1}{2} (F^i)^2, \tag{26}$$

$$L_{e}^{0} = e \left\{ i v_{0a} \bar{\chi} \gamma^{a} \nu - \epsilon^{ij} v_{0}^{a} B^{i} \partial_{a} B^{j} + \bar{\lambda}_{0}^{i} \chi B^{i} + \epsilon^{ij} \bar{\lambda}_{0}^{i} \nu B^{j} - \frac{1}{2} D_{0} (B^{i})^{2} + \frac{1}{2} A_{0} (\bar{\chi} \chi + \bar{\nu} \nu) - \phi_{0} \bar{\chi} \gamma_{5} \nu \right\} + \frac{1}{2} e^{2} (v_{0a}^{2} - A_{0}^{2} - \phi_{0}^{2}) (B^{i})^{2},$$
(27)

should be reproduced from Eq.(18).

In Eqs.(24), (25) and (27), gauge invariant quantities [18, 20] corresponding to the d.o.f. for the minimal off-shell vector supermultiplet are denoted by

$$\{A_0, \phi_0, F_{0ab}, \lambda_0^i, D_0\} \equiv \{M^{ii}, \phi, F_{ab}, \lambda^i + i \partial \Lambda^i, D + \Box C\},$$
(28)

with  $F_{0ab} = \partial_a v_{0b} - \partial_b v_{0a}$  and  $F_{ab} = \partial_a v_b - \partial_b v_a$ , which are invariant  $(v_{0a} = v_a \text{ trans-forms as an Abelian gauge field)}$  under a SUSY generalized gauge transformation in the LSUSY theory,  $\delta_g \mathcal{V} = \Lambda^1 + \alpha \Lambda^2$  with the real constant  $\alpha$  and the generalized N = 2 scalar superfield gauge parameter  $\Lambda^i$ . The fields  $\{A_0, \phi_0, v_{0a}, \lambda_0^i, D_0\}(\psi; \xi)$  constitute the minimal off-shell vector supermultiplet of N = 2 SUSY QED in NL/L SUSY relation as shown in Refs.[18, 19].

We can see easily that the general N = 2 SUSY QED action (14) related (equivalent) to the N = 2 NLSUSY action (12) reduces to the minimal N = 2 LSUSY QED action (23) with the arbitrary  $\xi_c$  under the bare gauge coupling constant (22). Now by adopting the Wess-Zumino gauge for LSUSY we can gauge away all auxiliary fields except  $D_0$  in the vector supermultiplet and by rescaling the whole scalar supermultiplet  $\Phi^i$  in the gauge action (17) by the constant numerical factor  $e^{-2e\xi_c}$ we obtain ordinary N = 2 SUSY QED action for the minimal off-shell vector supermultiplet [18, 19] with Eq.(22), which completes the NL/L SUSY relation between the N = 2 NLSUSY model and the N = 2 LSUSY QED theory for the minimal off-shell vector supermultiplet:

$$L_{N=2\text{NLSUSY}} = L_{N=2\text{SUSYQED}}^{\text{gen.}} = L_{N=2\text{LSUSYQED}}^{0} + [\text{tot. der. terms}].$$
(29)

Interestingly e defined by the action (17) depends upon the vevs of the auxiliary fields, i.e. the vacuum structures. (Note that the bare e is a free independent parameter, provided  $\xi_c = 0$  as in the case of adopting the Wess-Zumino gauge throughout the arguments.)

Now we summarize the results as follows. We have considered the simplest generalization of the SUSY invariant constraints as Eq.(9) and found the (generalized) NL/L SUSY relation (18) between the N = 2 NLSUSY action and the general N = 2SUSY QED action in d = 2, which produces the factor (19) giving the interesting relation between the gauge coupling constant and the vevs (constant terms) of the auxiliary fields in Eqs.(21) and (22). Our study may indicate that the structure of the auxiliary fields for the general (gauge) superfield plays a crucial role in SUSY theory by determining not only the true vacuum through the SSB due to D term but also the magnitude of the (bare) gauge coupling constant through NL/L SUSY relations (i.e. the SUSY compositeness condition for all particles and the auxiliary fields as well), which is favorable to the SGM scenario for unity of nature. The strength of the bare gauge coupling constant may ought to be predicted, provided the fundamental theory is that of everything. The similar arguments in d = 4for more general SUSY invariant constraints and for the large N SUSY, especially N = 4, 5 are interesting and crucial.

## References

- [1] J. Wess and B. Zumino, *Phys. Lett. B* **49** (1974) 52.
- [2] D.V. Volkov and V.P. Akulov, *Phys. Lett. B* 46 (1973) 109.
- [3] K. Shima, *Phys. Lett. B* **501** (2001) 237.
- [4] K. Shima, European Phys. J. C 7 (1999) 341.
- [5] K. Shima and M. Tsuda, *Phys. Lett. B* **507** (2001) 260.
- [6] K. Shima and M. Tsuda, Class. Quant. Grav. 19 (2002) 5101.
- [7] K. Shima and M. Tsuda, *PoS HEP2005* (2006) 011.
- [8] E.A. Ivanov and A.A. Kapustnikov, J. Phys. A 11 (1978) 2375.
- [9] E.A. Ivanov and A.A. Kapustnikov, J. Phys. G 8 (1982) 167.
- [10] M. Roček, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **41** (1978) 451.
- [11] T. Uematsu and C.K. Zachos, Nucl. Phys. B **201** (1982) 250.
- [12] K. Shima, Y. Tanii and M. Tsuda, *Phys. Lett. B* **525** (2002) 183.
- [13] K. Shima, Y. Tanii and M. Tsuda, *Phys. Lett. B* **546** (2002) 162.
- [14] K. Shima and M. Tsuda, *Phys. Lett. B* **641** (2006) 101.
- [15] K. Shima and M. Tsuda, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 23 (2008) 3149.
- [16] K. Shima and M. Tsuda, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 22 (2007) 1085.
- [17] K. Shima and M. Tsuda, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 22 (2007) 3027.
- [18] K. Shima and M. Tsuda, *Phys. Lett. B* 666 (2008) 410.
- [19] K. Shima and M. Tsuda, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 24 (2009) 185
- [20] J. Wess and J. Bagger, Supersymmetry and Supergravity (Second Edition, Revised and Expanded) (Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1992).
- [21] K. Shima and M. Tsuda, *Phys. Lett. B* **645** (2007) 455.

- [22] K. Shima, M. Tsuda and W. Lang, Phys. Lett. B 659 (2008) 741.
- [23] P. Di Vecchia and S. Ferrara, Nucl. Phys. B 130 (1977) 93.
- [24] K. Shima and M. Tsuda, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 23 (2008) 1167.