Many-Quark Model with $su(4)$ Algebraic Structure

An Example of Analytically Soluble Many-Fermion System

Yasuhiko $Ts\textsc{ue}, ^1$ Constança Providência, 2 João da Providência² and Masatoshi Yamamura³

¹Physics Division, Faculty of Science, Kochi University, Kochi 780-8520, Japan

 2 Departamento de Física, Universidade de Coimbra, 3004-516 Coimbra, Portugal ³Department of Pure and Applied Physics, Faculty of Engineering Science, Kansai University, Suita 564-8680, Japan

(Received August 13, 2018)

With the use of a certain type of the Schwinger boson representation of the $su(4)$ algebra, the Bonn model for many-quark systems and an extension of the model, in which the energies of the various exact states are controled, namely, the energies are enhanced or de-enhanced, are investigated. Especially, the triplet formation and the pairing correlation in many-quark states are discussed. In the boson space, all the results are analytically expressed in the exact forms.

§1. Introduction

The description of multi-nucleon systems in terms of their QCD constituents is a topic of great current interest. Concerning that viewpoint, a model worthy mentioning is the many-quark model proposed by Petry et al., also known as the Bonn model, which describes the nucleus as a system of interacting quarks.¹⁾ An important ingredient in the Bonn model is an attractive pairing force, acting between quarks of different colors, that suppresses physically undesirable degeneracies of the many-quark system. This is very similar to the case of many nucleons. This model was originally devised as a model for the formation of color neutral triplets. This is quite remarkable since the involved interaction is a two-body force, which is naturally associated with two-body correlations, but not with three-body correlations. Under the name of the quark shell model, this model has accounted qualitatively for some features of nuclear physics and helped to understand certain properties of hadron physics connected with its symmetries. However, through the investigation of nuclear magnetic moments, it has been pointed out that the Bonn model in its most simple form is incompatible with the traditional treatment due to the lack of clustering effects and these effects are induced by configuration mixing in the $j-i$ coupling quark shell model.^{2), 3)} But, this statement does not mean that by this investigation, the framework of the Bonn model was rejected. In fact, Ref.2) mentions that, in any case, the quark shell model may give us a convenient and practical basis for treating the nucleus as a relativistic many-quark system.

The above argument makes us recognize that the original framework of the Bonn model does not disappear. However, we must mention that Petry et al. gave only the wave functions of the color neutral triplets and their energies. Therefore, two

typeset using \mathcal{PTP} TEX.cls \langle Ver.0.9 \rangle

questions should be noted. Does the Bonn model generate the colored states or not ? If it generates them, how can the energies and the wave functions be expressed ? This is the first question. In QCD, there are no colored states. Therefore, this question is quite important. The second is related to the pairing correlation. The Bonn model was inspired by the famous seniority model of nuclear physics and, as was already mentioned, the quarks interact by a certain type of the pairing force. It indicates that the triplet formation appears as certain complicated superposition of the pairing correlation, and then, there may exist the pairing correlation which does not belong to the triplet formation. Especially, if the treatment for the Bonn model is limited to the triplets, we describe only the system in which the quark number is multiplet of 3. But, there is no necessity for the Bonn model to be restricted to such a system. By this reason, it is natural to investigate what types of the pairing correlations are generated by the Bonn model. Conventional seniority model is related to the $su(2)$ algebra and, as will be discussed in §2, the Bonn model is related to the $su(4)$ algebra. Therefore, it may be indispensable for the Bonn model to clarify in which aspects both pairing schemes resemble or are different from each other. This is the second question. In the study of the Bonn model, the interest lies in the triplet formation, but the pairing correlation, which does not belong to the triplet formation, for example, such as the quark-pair, is also interesting.

In addition to the Bonn model, we know that there exist at least three kinds of $su(4)$ algebraic models for many-fermion system: (1) Isospin vector and scalar pairing model for many-nucleons,⁴⁾ (2) $su(4)$ -algebraic model for high temperature superconductivity⁵⁾ and (3) four-single particle level Lipkin model for many identical nucleons.⁶⁾ In these three, (1) and (2) are the models extended from the so(5)-models for the isospin vector pairing correlation⁷⁾ and for the high temperature superconductivity, 8 respectively. The models (1) and (2) consist of four kinds of fermion-pair operators which are relevant to constructing the orthogonal set. For example, in the model (1), we have four kinds of nucleon-pairs (isospin $= 1$ with z-component -1 , 0, 1 and isospin = 0). Therefore, mathematically, both are equivalent to each other. The model (3) is extended from the Lipkin model consisting of two-single particle levels.9) In this model, particle-hole pair-operators play a central role for constructing the orthogonal set and the number of the pair-operators depends on the choice of free vacuum, i.e., the lowest single-particle level is occupied or the lowest and the next one are occupied. The numbers of the pair-operators are 3 and 4, respectively.⁶⁾ On the contrary, the Bonn model treats three kinds of the pairoperators composed of the quarks occupying colors 2 and 3, 3 and 1, and 1 and 2. Therefore, the treatment becomes different from the above three.

It is well known that with the aid of boson operators, we can describe various phenomena of nuclear and hadron physics successfully and in many cases the boson operators are introduced through the idea of boson realization of Lie algebra if the original many-fermion system obeys a Lie algebra.10) The simplest one may be the Schwinger boson representation for the $su(2)$ algebra,¹¹ which governs the seniority model. The $su(2)$ generators can be expressed in the bilinear forms for two kinds of bosons. The boson Hamiltonian obtained by the boson realization can be easily diagonalized and the energy eigenvalues and the eigenstates are obtained in analytically exact form. But, in the process of the boson realization, an unforgettable point is how to express the number of total available single-particle states and the fermion number in the boson space. We know that the obtained energy eigenvalues with their eigenstates serve us for understanding of physics of the seniority model, i.e., the $su(2)$ pairing model. Of course, they are used widely for testing certain classes of approximation technique.

For the boson realization of more complicated algebras, the present authors (J. da P. and M. Y.) with Kuriyama proposed the Schwinger boson representation for the $su(M + 1)$ algebra in the frame of $(M + 1)(N + 1)$ kinds of boson operators.¹²⁾ With the use of these bosons, we can construct the Schwinger boson representation of the su(N, 1) algebra and any su(N, 1) generator commutes with any su(M + 1) generator. Further, the present authors (M. Y.) with Kuriyama and Kunihiro proposed the Schwinger boson representation of the $su(4)$ algebra which are suitable for treating the so(5), the so(4) and the su(2)⊗su(2) algebras.¹³⁾ This representation corresponds to the case $(M = 3, N = 1)$. The explicit form can be found in the relation (2·17) of Ref.13). With the aid of this representation, we will perform the boson realization of the Bonn model. Through the discussion in $\S 2.3$, the reason why we adopt this representation may be clear. Of course, in order to respond to the two questions already mentioned, we must give analytically exact solutions. The reason is simple: The energy eigenvalues of the color neutral triplets obtained by Petry et al. are analytically given and, further, we know the various expressions of the seniority model in analytical forms. We must compare our results with the above two cases. Through the process for obtaining analytical expressions, the Schwinger boson representation of the $su(1,1)$ algebra will play also a central role. The $su(1,1)$ algebraic model has been investigated as a typical example of quantum dissipative systems.^{14), 15)} This model has been also extensively investigated by the present authors $(Y, T, J, da P, and M, Y)$ with Kuriyama.¹⁶⁾

As was already mentioned, the Bonn model obeys the $su(4)$ algebra, which is composed of fifteen generators. They are three kinds of quark-pair creation operators, their annihilation operators and nine bilinear forms of single quark creation and annihilation. This algebra contains a sub-algebra: the $su(3)$ algebra. The Hamiltonian of the Bonn model is expressed in terms of simple sum of the products of the quark-pair creation and annihilation operators. It is characterized by the following points: The Hamiltonian consists of a kind of two-body force and any generator of the $su(3)$ (sub)algebra commutes with the Hamiltonian. In this paper, we treat not only the Bonn model but also a modified one. The modified Hamiltonian is expressed in the form of the Bonn model Hamiltonian plus the Casimir operator of the $su(3)$ algebra with arbitrary force strength. Even if this modification is done, the abovementioned two charcteristic point are not altered. Through a boson realization of the $su(4)$ algebra shown in Eq.(2.17) of Ref.13), we obtain a boson Hamiltonian. By this realization, we can describe the case of full and partial symmetric representation for the $su(4)$ algebra. Including asymmetric representation, these two are defined in §2.1. Of course, this realization is of the Schwinger type. In addition to this realization, we also give the expressions for the number of total available single-particle states and the fermion number in the boson space. Furthermore, we make two reformulations for the present formalism. One is a re-formulation from the side that all single-particle states are occupied by the quarks and the other is a rewriting of the present $su(4)$ algebraic system in terms of the Schwinger boson representation of the $su(1,1)$ algebras. With the aid of the form, we analyze the present system for the two cases: the triplet formation and the pairing correlation which does not belong to the triplet formation. Hereafter, we will call the second case simply as the pairing correlation. All the results are obtained in the analytically exact form.

Concerning the triplet formation, our main results are as follows: In our treatment, not only color neutral but also colored states appear, even if the new force is switched off and in certain conditions, energetically the colored states are lower than the color neutral ones. However, if the new force is switched on, the situations change. Energetically, the positions of the color neutral states do not change, but the colored states are influenced by the new force. Therefore, the position of the colored states are controlled by the force strength. This is interesting in relation to QCD. For the case of pairing correlation, also, the colored eigenstates often have the lower energies than those of the color neutral ones in the original Bonn model. However, the situation is similar to the case of the triplet formation as is mentioned above. Under a certain condition, the energy of the state, which is identical to the energy of the color neutral triplet one, is unchanged even if the new force is switched on. Then, the energy of colored states raises together with the new positive force strength. Thus, the color neutrality is retained energetically in our modified Bonn model. Furthermore, it is shown that the structure of the ground state in the case of pairing correlation is changed with respect to the particle number N . It seems that these phenomena indicate the phase change or phase transition.

This paper is organized as follows: In $\S2$, a general framework of many-quark model with the $su(4)$ algebraic structure and its boson realization are given with some supplementary arguments. In §3, the triplet formation is treated with the help of the $su(1,1)$ algebraic framework. In §4, the case of the pairing correlation is discussed. In §5, various features obtained in §§3 and 4 are presented with some numerical results. As a final remark, in §6, it is discussed that the asymmetric representation of the $su(4)$ algebra is meaningless in the case of the Bonn model and its modification. Finally, §7, future problem is mentioned.

§2. The $su(4)$ algebra for many-quark system

2.1. Basic framework

As was mentioned in $\S1$, there exist at least four forms of the $su(4)$ algebraic model for many-fermion systems. In this section, we formulate the $su(4)$ algebra in a form suitable for the description of a many-quark system with $su(3)$ color symmetry. More precisely, we will investigate the Bonn model by Petry et al. that describes the nucleus as a MIT bag¹⁾ and its possible modification.

This model is formulated in terms of the generators of the $su(4)$ algebra and is essentially equivalent to a three-level shell-model under a certain interaction among the constituents. The levels are specified as $i = 1, 2, 3$, which denote colors 1,2,3.

Each level has the degeneracy 2Ω (here, $2\Omega = 2j_s + 1$ and j_s is a half integer). An arbitrary single-particle state is specified as (i, m) , with $i = 1, 2, 3$ and $m =$ $-j_s, -j_s + 1, \dots, j_s - 1, j_s$, and is created and annihilated by the fermion operators c_{im}^* , c_{im} . For simplicity, we neglect the degrees of freedom related to the isospin. We define the following bilinear forms:

$$
\tilde{S}^{1} = \sum_{m} c_{2m}^{*} c_{3\tilde{m}}^{*}, \quad \tilde{S}^{2} = \sum_{m} c_{3m}^{*} c_{1\tilde{m}}^{*}, \quad \tilde{S}^{3} = \sum_{m} c_{m}^{*} c_{2\tilde{m}}^{*},
$$

\n
$$
\tilde{S}_{1}^{2} = \sum_{m} c_{2m}^{*} c_{1m}, \quad \tilde{S}_{2}^{3} = \sum_{m} c_{3m}^{*} c_{2m}, \quad \tilde{S}_{3}^{1} = \sum_{m} c_{1m}^{*} c_{3m},
$$

\n
$$
\tilde{S}_{1}^{1} = \sum_{m} (c_{2m}^{*} c_{2m} + c_{3m}^{*} c_{3m}) - 2\Omega, \quad \tilde{S}_{2}^{2} = \sum_{m} (c_{3m}^{*} c_{3m} + c_{1m}^{*} c_{1m}) - 2\Omega,
$$

\n
$$
\tilde{S}_{3}^{3} = \sum_{m} (c_{1m}^{*} c_{1m} + c_{2m}^{*} c_{2m}) - 2\Omega, \quad \tilde{S}_{1} = (\tilde{S}^{1})^{*}, \quad \tilde{S}_{2} = (\tilde{S}^{2})^{*}, \quad \tilde{S}_{3} = (\tilde{S}^{3})^{*},
$$

\n
$$
\tilde{S}_{2}^{1} = (\tilde{S}_{1}^{2})^{*}, \quad \tilde{S}_{3}^{2} = (\tilde{S}_{2}^{3})^{*}, \quad \tilde{S}_{3}^{3} = (\tilde{S}_{3}^{1})^{*}.
$$

\n(2.1)

Here, $c_{i\tilde{m}}^* = (-1)^{j_s - m} c_{i,-m}^*$. The operators in the definition (2.1) are generators of the $su(4)$ algebra:

$$
\tilde{S}_i^* = \tilde{S}^i, \quad (\tilde{S}_j^i)^* = \tilde{S}_i^j, \quad [\tilde{S}^i, \tilde{S}^j] = 0, \quad [\tilde{S}^i, \tilde{S}_j] = \tilde{S}_i^j [\tilde{S}_i^j, \tilde{S}^k] = \delta_{ij} \tilde{S}^k + \delta_{jk} \tilde{S}^i, \quad [\tilde{S}_i^j, \tilde{S}_l^k] = \delta_{jl} \tilde{S}_i^k - \delta_{ik} \tilde{S}_l^j.
$$
\n(2.2)

The Casimir operator $\tilde{\boldsymbol{P}}^2$ for the $su(4)$ algebra reads

$$
\widetilde{P}^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{3} (\widetilde{S}^{i} \widetilde{S}_{i} + \widetilde{S}_{i} \widetilde{S}^{i}) + \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} \widetilde{S}_{j}^{i} \widetilde{S}_{i}^{j} - \frac{1}{4} (\sum_{i=1}^{3} \widetilde{S}_{i}^{i})^{2}
$$

= $2(\widetilde{S}^{1} \widetilde{S}_{1} + \widetilde{S}^{2} \widetilde{S}_{2} + \widetilde{S}^{3} \widetilde{S}_{3}) + 2(\widetilde{S}_{1}^{2} \widetilde{S}_{2}^{1} + \widetilde{S}_{1}^{3} \widetilde{S}_{3}^{1} + \widetilde{S}_{2}^{3} \widetilde{S}_{3}^{2})$
+ $(\widetilde{S}_{1}^{1})^{2} + (\widetilde{S}_{2}^{2})^{2} + (\widetilde{S}_{3}^{3})^{2} - \frac{1}{4} (\widetilde{S}_{1}^{1} + \widetilde{S}_{2}^{2} + \widetilde{S}_{3}^{3})^{2} + (-3\widetilde{S}_{1}^{1} - \widetilde{S}_{2}^{2} + \widetilde{S}_{3}^{3})$ (2.3)

The fermion number operators with $i = 1, 2, 3$ read, respectively,

$$
\widetilde{N}_1 = \Omega - \frac{1}{2} \left(\widetilde{S}_1^1 - \widetilde{S}_2^2 - \widetilde{S}_3^3 \right), \quad \widetilde{N}_2 = \Omega - \frac{1}{2} \left(\widetilde{S}_2^2 - \widetilde{S}_3^3 - \widetilde{S}_1^1 \right),
$$

\n
$$
\widetilde{N}_3 = \Omega - \frac{1}{2} \left(\widetilde{S}_3^3 - \widetilde{S}_1^1 - \widetilde{S}_2^2 \right)
$$
\n(2.4a)

and the total quark number is

$$
\widetilde{N} = \widetilde{N}_1 + \widetilde{N}_2 + \widetilde{N}_3 = 3\Omega + \frac{1}{2} \left(\widetilde{S}_1^1 + \widetilde{S}_2^2 + \widetilde{S}_3^3 \right). \tag{2-4b}
$$

Conversely, the generators \widetilde{S}_i^i are expressed as

$$
\widetilde{S}_1^1 = \widetilde{N}_2 + \widetilde{N}_3 - 2\Omega, \quad \widetilde{S}_2^2 = \widetilde{N}_3 + \widetilde{N}_1 - 2\Omega, \quad \widetilde{S}_3^3 = \widetilde{N}_1 + \widetilde{N}_2 - 2\Omega. \tag{2.5}
$$

As a sub-algebra, the $su(4)$ algebra contains the $su(3)$ algebra which is generated by

$$
\tilde{S}_1^2
$$
, \tilde{S}_2^1 , \tilde{S}_2^3 , \tilde{S}_3^2 , \tilde{S}_3^1 , \tilde{S}_1^3 , $\frac{1}{2}(\tilde{S}_2^2 - \tilde{S}_3^3)$, $\tilde{S}_1^1 - \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{S}_2^2 + \tilde{S}_3^3)$. (2.6)

The Casimir operator \tilde{Q}^2 for the $su(3)$ algebra reads

$$
\widetilde{Q}^{2} = \sum_{i \neq j} \widetilde{S}_{j}^{i} \widetilde{S}_{i}^{j} + 2 \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\widetilde{S}_{2}^{2} - \widetilde{S}_{3}^{3} \right) \right)^{2} + \frac{2}{3} \left(\widetilde{S}_{1}^{1} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\widetilde{S}_{2}^{2} + \widetilde{S}_{3}^{3} \right) \right)^{2}
$$

$$
= 2(\widetilde{S}_{1}^{2} \widetilde{S}_{2}^{1} + \widetilde{S}_{1}^{3} \widetilde{S}_{3}^{1} + \widetilde{S}_{2}^{3} \widetilde{S}_{3}^{2}) + 2 \left(\left(\frac{1}{2} (\widetilde{S}_{2}^{2} - \widetilde{S}_{3}^{3}) \right)^{2} - \frac{1}{2} (\widetilde{S}_{2}^{2} - \widetilde{S}_{3}^{3}) \right)
$$

$$
+ \frac{2}{3} \left(\widetilde{S}_{1}^{1} - \frac{1}{2} (\widetilde{S}_{2}^{2} + \widetilde{S}_{3}^{3}) \right)^{2} - 2 \left(\widetilde{S}_{1}^{1} - \frac{1}{2} (\widetilde{S}_{2}^{2} + \widetilde{S}_{3}^{3}) \right) .
$$
 (2.7)

The Bonn model is defined by the Hamiltonian

$$
\widetilde{H} = -\left(\widetilde{S}^1 \widetilde{S}_1 + \widetilde{S}^2 \widetilde{S}_2 + \widetilde{S}^3 \widetilde{S}_3\right) ,\qquad (2.8)
$$

where the coupling constant has been omitted. Usually one consider $G\widetilde{H}$, $(G< 0)$. We observe that H is color neutral:

$$
[\tilde{H}, \tilde{S}_j^i] = 0, \qquad i, j = 1, 2, 3. \tag{2.9}
$$

In this paper, by modifying \widetilde{H} , we also treat the following form:

$$
\widetilde{H}_m = \widetilde{H} + \chi \widetilde{\mathbf{Q}}^2 \ . \qquad (\chi \; : \; \text{a real parameter}) \tag{2-10}
$$

This modification conserves the characteristics of the Bonn model: (1) It obeys the $su(4)$ algebra. (2) The interaction is of the two body force with the pairing plus the particle-hole type. (3) It satisfies the same condition as that shown in the relation (2.9) :

$$
[\widetilde{H}_m \ , \ \widetilde{S}_j^i] = 0 \ , \qquad i, j = 1, 2, 3. \tag{2.11}
$$

The investigation of the present model requires the construction of an orthogonal set of states. For this aim, let us assume that there exists, in the fermion space, a unique state $|m|$ such that

$$
\widetilde{S}_i|m) = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \qquad \widetilde{S}_2^1|m) = \widetilde{S}_3^1|m) = \widetilde{S}_3^2|m) = 0.
$$
 (2.12)

The state $|m$) is called a minimum weight state. By acting with \tilde{S}_i^i on both sides of $Eq.(2.12)$ and keeping in mind the relation (2.2) we find

$$
\widetilde{S}_k \cdot \widetilde{S}_i^i|m\rangle = 0 \;, \quad \widetilde{S}_k^l \cdot \widetilde{S}_i^i|m\rangle = 0, \quad \text{for} \ \ l < k \; . \tag{2.13}
$$

From the relation (2.13) and the assumption that $|m\rangle$ is unique, we find

$$
\widetilde{S}_i^i|m) = -2\sigma_i|m), \quad i = 1, 2, 3,
$$
\n(2.14)

where σ_i denotes a *c*-number, so that $|m)$ is an eigenstate of \tilde{S}_i^i . Clearly, $|m)$ satisfies $Eq. (2.12).$

We observe that

$$
(m|\widetilde{S}_i \cdot \widetilde{S}^i|m) = (m|[\widetilde{S}_i, \widetilde{S}^i]|m) = -(m|\widetilde{S}_i^i|m) = 2\sigma_i \ge 0,
$$
\n
$$
(2.15)
$$

$$
(m|\widetilde{S}_i^j \cdot \widetilde{S}_j^i|m) = (m|[\widetilde{S}_i^j, \widetilde{S}_j^i]|m) = (m|\widetilde{S}_i^i - \widetilde{S}_j^j|m) = 2(\sigma_i - \sigma_j) \ge 0,
$$

for $i > j$. (2.16)

It follows that

$$
\sigma_1 \ge \sigma_2 \ge \sigma_3 \ge 0 \tag{2.17}
$$

Obviously, $\sigma_i = 0$ if $\tilde{S}_i^i|m$ = 0 and $\sigma_1 > \sigma_2 = \sigma_3$ if $\tilde{S}_2^3|m$ = 0. Moreover, $\sigma_1 =$ $\sigma_2 = \sigma_3$ if $\tilde{S}_1^2|m) = \tilde{S}_1^3|m) = \tilde{S}_2^3|m) = 0$. From the relation (2.4a), it follows that the number n_i of quarks with color i in $|m|$ reads

$$
n_1 = \Omega + \sigma_1 - \sigma_2 - \sigma_3, \quad n_2 = \Omega + \sigma_2 - \sigma_3 - \sigma_1, \quad n_3 = \Omega + \sigma_3 - \sigma_1 - \sigma_2. \tag{2.18}
$$

Conversely, it follows that

$$
\sigma_1 = \Omega - \frac{1}{2}(n_2 + n_3), \quad \sigma_2 = \Omega - \frac{1}{2}(n_3 + n_1), \quad \sigma_3 = \Omega - \frac{1}{2}(n_1 + n_2). \tag{2.19}
$$

From the relations (2.17) and (2.18) we obtain

$$
n_1 \ge n_2 \ge n_3, \qquad \frac{1}{2}(n_1 + n_2) \le \Omega. \tag{2.20}
$$

It is well known that the case $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = \sigma_3$ $(n_1 = n_2 = n_3)$ is associated with the full symmetric representation of the $su(4)$ algebra. In this paper, besides the case $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = \sigma_3$, we will discuss the case $\sigma_1 > \sigma_2 = \sigma_3$ $(n_1 > n_2 = n_3)$, which we call partial symmetric representation. At several occasions, we will call these two the symmetric representation collectively. The case $\sigma_1 > \sigma_2 > \sigma_3$ will be called the asymmetric representation. The reason why we restrict ourselves to the symmetric representation will be mentioned in $\S 2.3$. The following notation is introduced:

$$
|m| = |m_1|, \text{ if } \sigma_2 = \sigma_3 = \sigma_0, \text{ i.e., } n_2 = n_3 = n_0,
$$
 (2.21)

$$
|m\rangle = |m_0\rangle, \text{ if } \sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = \sigma_3 = \sigma_0, \text{ i.e., } n_1 = n_2 = n_3 = n_0. \quad (2.22)
$$

The states $|m_0\rangle$ and $|m_1\rangle$ satisfy

$$
\widetilde{S}_1|m_1\rangle = \widetilde{S}_2|m_1\rangle = \widetilde{S}_3|m_1\rangle = 0, \quad \widetilde{S}_2^1|m_1\rangle = \widetilde{S}_3^2|m_1\rangle = \widetilde{S}_3^2|m_1\rangle = \widetilde{S}_2^3|m_1\rangle = 0,
$$
\n
$$
\widetilde{S}_1^1|m_1\rangle = -2\sigma_1|m_1\rangle, \qquad \widetilde{S}_2^2|m_1\rangle = \widetilde{S}_3^3|m_1\rangle = -2\sigma_0|m_1\rangle, \qquad (2.23)
$$
\n
$$
\widetilde{S}_1|m_0\rangle = \widetilde{S}_2|m_0\rangle = \widetilde{S}_3|m_0\rangle = 0, \quad \widetilde{S}_2^1|m_0\rangle = \widetilde{S}_3^1|m_0\rangle = \widetilde{S}_3^2|m_0\rangle = 0,
$$
\n
$$
\widetilde{S}_1^2|m_0\rangle = \widetilde{S}_1^3|m_0\rangle = \widetilde{S}_2^3|m_0\rangle = 0, \quad \widetilde{S}_1^1|m_0\rangle = \widetilde{S}_2^2|m_0\rangle = \widetilde{S}_3^3|m_0\rangle = -2\sigma_0|m_0\rangle. \qquad (2.24)
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\widetilde{\boldsymbol{P}}^2|m_1\rangle = (3\sigma_1^2 - 4\sigma_1\sigma_0 + 4\sigma_0^2 + 6\sigma_1)|m_1\rangle ,
$$
\n(2.25)

$$
\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}^2|m_1\rangle = \frac{4}{3}(\sigma_1 - \sigma_0)(2(\sigma_1 - \sigma_0) + 3)|m_1\rangle.
$$
 (2.26)

In the next section, following Ref.4), we will introduce a boson realization of the $su(4)$ algebra, of the Schwinger type. Correspondingly, operators and state vectors will be denoted as \hat{O} and $|\phi\rangle$, instead of the notation previously adopted, \tilde{O} and $|\phi\rangle$.

2.2. A Schwinger boson realization

We can prove that the following set of operators obeys the $su(4)$ algebra:

$$
\hat{S}^i = \hat{a}_i^* \hat{b} - \hat{a}^* \hat{b}_i, \quad \hat{S}_i = \hat{b}^* \hat{a}_i - \hat{b}_i^* \hat{a}, \quad \hat{S}_i^j = (\hat{a}_i^* \hat{a}_j - \hat{b}_j^* \hat{b}_i) + \delta_{ij} (\hat{a}^* \hat{a} - \hat{b}^* \hat{b}), \quad (2.27)
$$

where $\hat{a}_i, \hat{a}_i^*, \hat{b}_i, \hat{b}_i^*, \hat{a}, \hat{a}^*, \hat{b}, \hat{b}^*$ $(i = 1, 2, 3)$ denote boson operators. The form $(2\cdot 27)$ is obtained by replacing (\hat{a}, \hat{a}^*) in the relation (2.17) of Ref.13) with $(-\hat{a}, -\hat{a}^*)$. In §2.3, the reason why we adopt this form will be clear. As will be shown in the relations (2.31) and (2.32) , the form (2.27) enables us to describe the case of the symmetric representation. Associated with the form (2.27) , we define the $su(1,1)$ algebra:

$$
\hat{T}_{+} = \hat{b}^* \hat{a}^* + \sum_{i=1}^{3} \hat{b}_i^* \hat{a}_i^*, \quad \hat{T}_{-} = \hat{a}\hat{b} + \sum_{i=1}^{3} \hat{a}_i \hat{b}_i,
$$

$$
\hat{T}_{0} = \frac{1}{2} (\hat{b}^* \hat{b} + \hat{a}^* \hat{a}) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{3} (\hat{b}_i^* \hat{b}_i + \hat{a}_i^* \hat{a}_i) + 2,
$$
 (2.28)

which satisfy

$$
[\hat{T}_{+}, \hat{T}_{-}] = -2\hat{T}_{0}, \quad [\hat{T}_{0}, \hat{T}_{\pm}] = \hat{T}_{\pm}.
$$
\n(2.29)

We have

$$
[\hat{T}_{\mu}, \hat{S}_{i}] = [\hat{T}_{\mu}, \hat{S}^{i}] = [\hat{T}_{\mu}, \hat{S}_{i}^{j}] = 0, \quad \mu = \pm, 0.
$$
 (2.30)

This representation belongs to a special class of the Schwinger representation for the su(M + 1) and the su(N, 1) algebra $(M = 3, N = 1).^{12}$ In the Schwinger representation, the counterpart of the state $|m_1\rangle$ shown in the relation (2.21) is the state

$$
|m_1\rangle = (\hat{b}_1^*)^{2(\sigma_1 - \sigma_0)}(\hat{b}^*)^{2\sigma_0}|0\rangle
$$
\n(2.31)

and the counterpart of the state $|m_0\rangle$ in the relation (2.22) is the state

$$
|m_0\rangle = (\hat{b}^*)^{2\sigma_0}|0\rangle.
$$
\n(2.32)

These states are minimum weight states of the $su(4)$ and of the $su(1, 1)$ algebras:

$$
\hat{T}_{-}|m_{1}\rangle = 0, \qquad \hat{T}_{0}|m_{1}\rangle = (\sigma_{1} + 2)|m_{1}\rangle, \qquad (2.33)
$$

$$
\hat{T}_{-}|m_{0}\rangle = 0, \qquad \hat{T}_{0}|m_{0}\rangle = (\sigma_{0} + 2)|m_{0}\rangle. \tag{2.34}
$$

In this paper, we will omit any numerical factor such as normalization constant for any state.

In connection with the application of the Schwinger representation to the description of a many-fermion system such as the Bonn model, the problem arises of the identification of the level degeneracy Ω and the fermion number N. Here, Ω is related to the number of the total available single-particle states. This point has

been stressed in §1. The case of the $su(2)$ algebra is instructive. The Schwinger representation of the $su(2)$ algebra reads

$$
\hat{S}_{+} = \hat{a}^{*}\hat{b}, \qquad \hat{S}_{-} = \hat{b}^{*}\hat{a}, \qquad \hat{S}_{0} = \frac{1}{2}(\hat{a}^{*}\hat{a} - \hat{b}^{*}\hat{b}).
$$
\n(2.35)

The minimum weight state may be expressed as

$$
\hat{S}_{-}|m\rangle = 0, \qquad \hat{S}_{0}|m\rangle = -S|m\rangle, \qquad |m\rangle = (\hat{b}^{*})^{2S}|0\rangle. \tag{2.36}
$$

The fermion pairing model is expressed in terms of the $su(2)$ generators

$$
\widetilde{S}_{+} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m} c_{m}^{*} c_{m}^{*}, \qquad \widetilde{S}_{-} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m} c_{\tilde{m}} c_{m}, \qquad \widetilde{S}_{0} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m} (c_{m}^{*} c_{m} - \Omega), \qquad (2.37)
$$

and its minimum weight state satisfies

$$
\widetilde{S}_{-}|m\rangle = 0, \qquad \widetilde{S}_{0}|m\rangle = -S|m\rangle. \tag{2.38}
$$

From the form (2.37) it follows that the fermion number operator reads

$$
\widetilde{N} = \Omega + 2\widetilde{S}_0,\tag{2-39}
$$

so that

$$
\widetilde{N}|m) = n_0|m) = (\Omega - 2S)|m). \tag{2-40}
$$

From Eq. (2.40) we have

$$
n_0 = \Omega - 2S, \qquad S = \frac{1}{2}(\Omega - n_0), \qquad (n_0 \le \Omega), \tag{2.41}
$$

where n_0 is the seniority number of the pairing model.

In the fermion space, the level degeneracy Ω is a parameter of the model. However, in the boson space it is associated with an operator denoted $\hat{\Omega}$ which should commute with \hat{S}_+ , \hat{S}_- , \hat{S}_0 . It is natural to postulate

$$
\hat{\Omega} = x + y(\hat{a}^*\hat{a} + \hat{b}^*\hat{b}),\tag{2-42}
$$

where x, y are c-numbers. From the expression (2.39) it is natural to write the fermion number operator as

$$
\hat{N} = \hat{Q} + 2\hat{S}_0 = x + (y+1)\hat{a}^*\hat{a} + (y-1)\hat{b}^*\hat{b}.
$$
\n(2.43)

Acting with $\hat{N}, \hat{\Omega}$ on $|m\rangle$ we find

$$
\hat{\Omega}|m\rangle = \Omega|m\rangle = (x + 2yS)|m\rangle, \qquad \hat{N}|m\rangle = n_0|m\rangle = (x + 2(y - 1)S)|m\rangle, \quad (2.44)
$$

which implies

$$
S = \frac{1}{2}(\Omega - n_0), \qquad x = (1 - y)\Omega + yn_0,
$$
\n(2.45)

which recovers the relation (2.41) . Thus, the form (2.42) reduces

$$
\hat{\Omega} = (1 - y)\Omega + y(n_0 + \hat{a}^*\hat{a} + \hat{b}^*\hat{b}).
$$
\n(2.46)

It is not natural that the operator $\hat{\Omega}$ should depend on its eigenvalue. Therefore, we set $y = 1$, $x = n_0$, which leads to

$$
\hat{\Omega} = n_0 + \hat{a}^* \hat{a} + \hat{b}^* \hat{b}, \qquad \hat{N} = n_0 + 2\hat{a}^* \hat{a}.
$$
 (2.47)

We return now to the Bonn model, searching for the operators associated with the level degeneracy and the fermion number. Operating with N_i in the expression (2.4a) on $|m_1|$, we find $n_1 = \Omega + (\sigma_1 - 2\sigma_0)$, $n_0 = \Omega - \sigma_1$, so that

$$
\sigma_1 = \Omega - n_0, \qquad \sigma_0 = \Omega - \frac{1}{2}(n_0 + n_1). \tag{2.48}
$$

In the boson space, it may be natural to postulate

$$
\hat{\Omega} = x + \frac{y}{2} \left(\sum_{i} (\hat{a}_{i}^{*} \hat{a}_{i} + \hat{b}_{i}^{*} \hat{b}_{i}) + \hat{a}^{*} \hat{a} + \hat{b}^{*} \hat{b} \right).
$$
 (2.49)

This operator commutes with all generators in the form (2.27) . By analogy with the form $(2.4a)$, we write

$$
\hat{N}_i = \hat{Q} - \hat{S}_i^i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^3 \hat{S}_j^j, \qquad i = 1, 2, 3.
$$
\n(2.50)

Thus, we have

$$
\hat{N}_i = x + \frac{y}{2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^3 (\hat{a}_j^* \hat{a}_j + \hat{b}_i^* \hat{b}_i) + \hat{a}^* \hat{a} + \hat{b}^* \hat{b} \right)
$$

-(\hat{a}_i^* \hat{a}_i - \hat{b}_i^* \hat{b}_i) + \frac{1}{2} (\hat{a}^* \hat{a} - \hat{b}^* \hat{b}) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^3 (\hat{a}_j^* \hat{a}_j - \hat{b}_j^* \hat{b}_j), \quad i = 1, 2, 3. (2.51)

Acting with $\hat{\Omega}$, \hat{N}_i on the state $|m_1\rangle$ we obtain $\Omega = x + \sigma_1$, $n_1 = x + (y + 1)\sigma_1$ $2\sigma_0$, $n_0 = x + (y - 1)\sigma_1$, so that

$$
\sigma_1 = \Omega - n_0, \qquad \sigma_0 = \Omega - \frac{1}{2}(n_0 + n_1), \qquad x = (1 - y)\Omega + yn_0. \tag{2.52}
$$

In order that $\hat{\Omega}$ does not depend on its eigenvalue Ω , we set $y = 1$, thus obtaining,

$$
\hat{\Omega} = n_0 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^3 (\hat{a}_i^* \hat{a}_i + \hat{b}_i^* \hat{b}_i) + \hat{a}^* \hat{a} + \hat{b}^* \hat{b} \right).
$$
 (2.53)

The number of color *i* quarks and the total quark number read

$$
\hat{N}_i = n_0 + \hat{a}^* \hat{a} + (\hat{b}_i^* \hat{b}_i - \hat{a}_i^* \hat{a}_i) + \sum_{j=1}^3 \hat{a}_j^* \hat{a}_j,
$$
\n(2.54)

$$
\hat{N} = 3n_0 + 3\hat{a}^*\hat{a} + 2\sum_{j=1}^3 \hat{a}_j^*\hat{a}_j + \sum_{j=1}^3 \hat{b}_j^*\hat{b}_j.
$$
\n(2.55)

We observe that the bosons \hat{a}^* , \hat{a}^*_i and \hat{b}^*_i carry the fermion numbers 3, 2 and 1, respectively, while the boson \hat{b}^* carries fermion number 0. Then, we may classify the present system into two cases. In case (i), $\sum_i \hat{b}_i^* \hat{a}_i^*$ and $\hat{b}^* \hat{a}^*$ carry the fermion number 3. In case (ii), $\hat{S}^i = \hat{a}_i^* \hat{b} - \hat{a}^* \hat{b}_i$ carries the fermion number 2. Therefore, the frameworks given in the cases (i) and (ii) may be convenient for the descriptions of the triplet formation and the pairing correlation, respectively.

2.3. Reformulation of the su(4) algebra: hole picture

Let us consider the operators

$$
\breve{S}^{i} = -\tilde{S}_{i}, \quad \breve{S}_{i}^{j} = -\tilde{S}_{j}^{i}, \qquad i, j = 1, 2, 3. \tag{2.56}
$$

It may be easily seen that the set $\check{S}_i, \check{S}^i, \check{S}_i^j$, also generates a $su(4)$ algebra. We also introduce the operators

$$
\breve{N}_i = \Omega - \breve{S}_i^i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^3 \breve{S}_j^j, \qquad i = 1, 2, 3. \tag{2.57}
$$

From the relation (2.56), it follows that

$$
\breve{N}_i = 2\Omega - \widetilde{N}_i, \qquad i = 1, 2, 3.
$$
\n(2.58)

Here, \breve{N}_i is identical to the hole number operator with color index *i*. Clearly, the total hole number operator reads

$$
\breve{N} = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \breve{N}_i = 3\Omega + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \breve{S}_i^i.
$$
 (2.59)

The Hamiltonian H_m satisfies

$$
\widetilde{H}_m = \breve{H}_m + \breve{K}, \qquad \breve{H}_m = -\sum_{i=1}^3 \breve{S}^i \breve{S}_i + \chi \breve{Q}^2, \qquad \breve{K} = \sum_{i=1}^3 \breve{S}_i^i = 2(\breve{N} - 3\Omega). \tag{2.60}
$$

The reformulated $su(4)$ generators allow the description of the present model in terms of the hole picture.

It is instructive to discuss the $su(2)$ pairing model defined by the relation (2.37) , in the hole picture. In this case, we have

$$
\breve{S}_{+} = -\widetilde{S}_{-}, \quad \breve{S}_{-} = -\widetilde{S}_{+}, \quad \breve{S}_{0} = -\widetilde{S}_{0} = \frac{1}{2}(\breve{N} - \Omega)
$$
\n(2.61)

and

$$
\breve{N} = 2\Omega - \widetilde{N}.\tag{2-62}
$$

The Hamiltonian \widetilde{H} reads

$$
\widetilde{H} = \breve{H} + \breve{K} = -\widetilde{S}_{+}\widetilde{S}_{-}, \qquad \breve{H} = -\breve{S}_{+}\breve{S}_{-}, \qquad \breve{K} = 2\breve{S}_{0} = \breve{N}_{0} - \Omega.
$$
 (2.63)

The eigenvalues and eigenstates of \tilde{H} read

$$
E_{N,n_0} = -\frac{1}{2}(N - n_0)\left(\Omega - \frac{1}{2}n_0 + 1 - \frac{1}{2}N\right) ,
$$

\n
$$
|N, n_0) = (\widetilde{S}_+)^{(N - n_0)/2} |n_0) ,
$$
\n(2.64)

where $|n_0\rangle = |m\rangle$, for $|m\rangle$ the minimum weight state defined in the form (2.38). Here, N and n_0 denote, respectively, the particle and seniority numbers. In the hole picture, the analogous result reads

$$
|N', n_0)\rangle = (\breve{S}_+)^{(N'-n_0)/2} |n_0\rangle), \quad \breve{S}_-|n_0\rangle = 0, \quad \breve{S}_0|n_0\rangle = \frac{1}{2}(\Omega - n_0)|n_0\rangle),
$$

$$
\mathcal{E}_{N', n_0} = -\frac{1}{2}(N' - n_0)\left(\Omega - \frac{1}{2}n_0 + 1 - \frac{1}{2}N'\right) + (N' - \Omega).
$$
 (2.65)

Here, N' denotes the hole number, $N' = 2\Omega - N$. It follows that

$$
\mathcal{E}_{N',n_0} = E_{N,n_0}.\tag{2.66}
$$

The above result is not surprising because $|n_0\rangle$ plays the role of the maximum weight state of the $su(2)$ algebra, since $\tilde{S}_+(n_0) = 0$, $\tilde{S}_0(n_0) = \frac{1}{2}(\Omega - n_0)(n_0) = 0$. One might conjecture that an analogous result holds for the present $su(4)$ model. Starting from the $N = 0$ side or from the $N = 6\Omega$ side one would arrive at equivalent results. In §§3 and 4 we will show that this is not true.

The present reformulation has been performed under the replacement

$$
c_{im}^* = d_{im} = (-1)^{j_s - m} d_{i - m}, \qquad (2.67)
$$

where $d_{im}, d_{i\tilde{m}}^*$ denote hole operators. The Schwinger boson representation reads

$$
\check{S}^{i} = -\hat{S}_{i} = \hat{b}_{i}^{*}\hat{a} - \hat{b}^{*}\hat{a}_{i}, \n\check{S}_{i}^{j} = -\hat{S}_{j}^{i} = \hat{b}_{i}^{*}\hat{b}_{j} - \hat{a}_{j}^{*}\hat{a}_{i} + \delta_{ij}(\hat{b}^{*}\hat{b} - \hat{a}^{*}\hat{a}).
$$
\n(2.68)

It is found that in the Schwinger boson representation the role of the \hat{a} -type and b -type bosons is reversed. The reason why we adopt the form (2.27) comes from the property (2.68). Of course, the form (2.27) corresponds to the case $(M = 3, N = 1)$ in Ref.12). The hole number operators read

$$
\check{N}_i = \hat{Q} - \check{S}_i^i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^3 \check{S}_j^j, \qquad i = 1, 2, 3 \tag{2.69}
$$

or, using the relation (2.53),

$$
\check{N}_i = n_0 + \hat{b}^* \hat{b} + (\hat{a}_i^* \hat{a}_i - \hat{b}_i^* \hat{b}_i) + \sum_{j=1}^3 \hat{b}_j^* \hat{b}_j, \qquad i = 1, 2, 3.
$$
 (2.70)

The total hole number operator reads

$$
\check{N} = 3n_0 + 3\hat{b}^*\hat{b} + \sum_{j=1}^3 \hat{a}_j^*\hat{a}_j + 2\sum_{j=1}^3 \hat{b}_j^*\hat{b}_j, \qquad i = 1, 2, 3.
$$
 (2.71)

Again, the reversion of the role of the \hat{a} -type and \hat{b} -type bosons is found.

The reformulated version of

$$
\hat{H}_m = -\sum_{j=1}^3 \hat{S}^j \hat{S}_j + \chi \hat{Q}^2
$$

reads

$$
\hat{H}_m = \check{H}_m + \check{K}, \qquad \check{H}_m = -\sum_{j=1}^3 \check{S}^j \check{S}_j + \chi \check{Q}^2, \qquad \check{K} = \sum_{j=1}^3 \check{S}_j^j = 2(\check{N} - 3\hat{N}). \tag{2.72}
$$

We observe that in the relations (2.71) and (2.55) , the roles of the boson operators \hat{a}, \hat{b} are reversed. It is easily verified that \check{Q}^2 is equal to \hat{Q}^2 .

2.4. Two $su(1,1)$ algebras

We pay attention to the relation $(2.11)((2.9))$. This relation suggests that \hat{H}_m (\hat{H}) may be a function of certain sets of operators which commute with \hat{S}_j^i . As for these operators, we introduce

$$
\hat{t}_{+} = \hat{b}^* \hat{a}^*, \quad \hat{t}_{-} = \hat{a}\hat{b}, \quad \hat{t}_{0} = \frac{1}{2}(\hat{b}^*\hat{b} + \hat{a}^*\hat{a}) + \frac{1}{2},
$$
\n(2.73)

$$
\hat{\tau}_{+} = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \hat{b}_{i}^{*} \hat{a}_{i}^{*} , \quad \hat{\tau}_{-} = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \hat{a}_{i} \hat{b}_{i} , \quad \hat{\tau}_{0} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{3} (\hat{b}_{i}^{*} \hat{b}_{i} + \hat{a}_{i}^{*} \hat{a}_{i}) + \frac{3}{2} . \tag{2.74}
$$

In association with the above, further, we introduce

$$
\hat{t}^0 = \frac{1}{2} (\hat{b}^* \hat{b} - \hat{a}^* \hat{a}) + \frac{1}{2} , \qquad (2.75)
$$

$$
\hat{\tau}^0 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^3 (\hat{b}_i^* \hat{b}_i - \hat{a}_i^* \hat{a}_i) + \frac{3}{2} \,. \tag{2.76}
$$

It is easily verified that the above operators commute with \hat{S}^i_j defined in the relation (2.27), and further, it may be interesting to see that \hat{t}^0 and $\hat{\tau}^0$ commute with \hat{t}_{μ} and $\hat{\tau}_{\mu}, \mu = \pm, 0$. Since $\{\hat{t}_{\mu}\}\$ and $\{\hat{\tau}_{\mu}\}\$ satisfy the commutation relations as those shown in the relation (2.29), they form $su(1,1)$ algebras and $\{\hat{T}_{\mu}\}\$ defined in the relation (2.28) is expressed as

$$
\hat{T}_{\mu} = \hat{t}_{\mu} + \hat{\tau}_{\mu} , \qquad \mu = \pm, 0 . \qquad (2.77)
$$

The Casimir operators for these $su(1,1)$ generators are given as

$$
\hat{\boldsymbol{t}}^2 = \hat{t}_0^2 - \hat{t}_0 - \hat{t}_+ \hat{t}_-, \qquad (2.78)
$$

$$
\hat{\tau}^2 = \hat{\tau}_0^2 - \hat{\tau}_0 - \hat{\tau}_+ \hat{\tau}_-, \qquad (2.79)
$$

$$
\hat{T}^2 = \hat{T}_0^2 - \hat{T}_0 - \hat{T}_+ \hat{T}_- \tag{2.80}
$$

With the use of the above $su(1,1)$ -generators, \hat{H} and \hat{Q}^2 can be expressed in the form

$$
\hat{H} = -(\hat{T}^2 - \hat{t}^2 - \hat{\tau}^2) + 2\hat{t}^0\hat{\tau}^0 , \qquad (2.81)
$$

$$
\hat{\mathbf{Q}}^2 = 2\hat{\tau}^2 + \frac{2}{3}(\hat{\tau}^0)^2 - 2\hat{\tau}^0. \tag{2.82}
$$

Certainly, \hat{H}_m (\hat{H}) are expressed in terms of the operators which commute with \hat{S}_j^i . From the above argument, our problem reduces to the eigenvalue problem of the $su(1,1)$ algebras. Of course, the $su(3)$ algebra plays also a central role in our problem.

Now, let us determine the minimum weight state $|m_2\rangle$. The state $|m_2\rangle$, at least, should satisfy the conditions

$$
\hat{S}_2^1 |m_2\rangle = \hat{S}_3^1 |m_2\rangle = \hat{S}_3^2 |m_2\rangle = 0 , \qquad \hat{\tau}_- |m_2\rangle = 0 ,
$$
 (2.83)

$$
\hat{t}_{-}|m_{2}\rangle = 0. \tag{2.84}
$$

As a possible choice, we adopt the following form :

$$
|m_2\rangle = (\hat{a}_3^*)^{2\lambda} (\hat{b}_1^*)^{2\tau - 3 - 2\lambda} (\hat{b}^*)^{2t-1} |0\rangle . \qquad (2.85)
$$

Then, we have

$$
\frac{1}{2}(\hat{S}_2^2 - \hat{S}_3^3)|m_2\rangle = -\lambda|m_2\rangle ,
$$
\n
$$
(\hat{S}_1^1 - \frac{1}{2}(\hat{S}_2^2 + \hat{S}_3^3)) |m_2\rangle = -((2\tau - 3) - \lambda)|m_2\rangle ,
$$
\n
$$
\hat{\tau}_0|m_2\rangle = \tau|m_2\rangle ,
$$
\n
$$
\hat{t}_0|m_2\rangle = t|m_2\rangle .
$$
\n(2.86)

Certainly, $|m_2\rangle$ is an eigenstate of $(\hat{S}_2^2 - \hat{S}_3^3)/2$, $\hat{S}_1^1 - (\hat{S}_2^2 + \hat{S}_3^3)/2$, $\hat{\tau}_0$ and \hat{t}_0 . Here, t, τ and λ take the values

$$
t = \frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{3}{2}, \cdots,
$$

\n
$$
\tau = \frac{3}{2}, 2, \frac{5}{2}, \cdots,
$$

\n
$$
\lambda = 0, \frac{1}{2}, 1, \cdots, \tau - \frac{3}{2}.
$$
 (2.88)

Further, we have

$$
\hat{\tau}^0|m_2\rangle = (\tau - 2\lambda)|m_2\rangle , \qquad (2.89)
$$

$$
\hat{t}^0|m_2\rangle = t|m_2\rangle . \tag{2.90}
$$

However, we should note that there exists another possibility for the choice of $|m_2\rangle$:

$$
|m_2\rangle = (\hat{a}_3^*)^{2\lambda} (\hat{b}_1^*)^{2\tau - 3 - 2\lambda} (\hat{a}^*)^{2t-1} |0\rangle . \qquad (2.91)
$$

This form also satisfies the condition (2.83) and (2.84) . The condition discriminating from the form (2.85) is as follows:

$$
\hat{t}^0|m_2\rangle = (1-t)|m_2\rangle . \qquad (2.92)
$$

In the case $t = 1/2$, both coincide with each other. Then, hereafter, we use the notations $|m_2; b\rangle$ and $|m_2; a\rangle$ for the forms (2.85) and (2.91), respectively, if necessary. As is mentioned above, there are two minimum weight states, $|m_2; b\rangle$ and $|m_2; a\rangle$. This situation is originated from that of the $su(1,1)$ algebraic structure in the Schwinger representation, which will be investigated in the next section (see, §§3.1 and 3.3). It was pointed out, at the first time, that the two minimum weight states exist and both are necessary to describe the system under consideration completely in our previous paper.15)

For $|m_2\rangle$, the quark numbers in the color $i = 1, 2, 3$ are given as

$$
n_1 = n_0 + (2\tau - 3) , \qquad n_2 = n_0 + 2\lambda , \qquad n_3 = n_0 . \tag{2.93}
$$

The relation (2.88) gives us $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq n_3$.

§3. Triplet formation

3.1. Reformulation in terms of the $su(1,1)$ algebra

In this section, we will investigate the case in which the fermion numbers change by units of 3 (triplet type). To reach this goal, the Schwinger boson representation is essential, and its reformulation developed in $\S 2.4$ plays a relevant role. The reason is as follows: As was already mentioned in §2.2, the expression of \hat{N} (the relation (2.55)) suggests us that $\hat{t}_+ = \hat{b}^* \hat{a}^*$ and $\hat{\tau}_+ = \sum_i \hat{b}_i^* \hat{a}_i^*$ carry the fermion number 3. In §2.4, we presented a possible form of the minimum weight state $|m_2\rangle$ shown in the expression (2.85). This state can be decomposed to

$$
|m_2;b\rangle = |\lambda,\tau\rangle \otimes |t;b\rangle \rangle , \qquad (3.1)
$$

$$
\|\lambda,\tau\rangle = (\hat{a}_3^*)^{2\lambda}(\hat{b}_1^*)^{2\tau - 3 - 2\lambda}|0\rangle = (\hat{a}_3^*)^{2\lambda}\|\tau - \lambda\rangle ,\qquad(3.2)
$$

$$
|t;b\rangle\rangle = |t\rangle\rangle = (\hat{b}^*)^{2t-1}|0\rangle . \tag{3.3}
$$

We can treat the whole space as the tensor product of two separate spaces, the tspace, constructed from $\hat{b}^*, \hat{a}^*,$ and the τ -space, constructed from $\hat{b}_1^*, \hat{a}_1^*, \hat{b}_2^*, \hat{a}_2^*, \hat{b}_3^*,$ \hat{a}_3^* . We call the whole space T-space.

The orthogonal set in *t*-space is easily obtained as the eigenstates of \hat{t}^2 and \hat{t}_0 constructed on $|t\rangle$, which reads

$$
|tt_0\rangle = (\hat{t}_+)^{t_0 - t}|t\rangle\rangle \ , \qquad \hat{t}_-|t\rangle\rangle = 0 \ , \qquad \hat{t}_0|t\rangle\rangle = t|t\rangle\rangle \ , \tag{3.4}
$$

$$
\hat{t}^{2}|tt_{0}\rangle\!\rangle = t(t-1)|tt_{0}\rangle\!\rangle , \qquad \hat{t}_{0}|tt_{0}\rangle\!\rangle = t_{0}|tt_{0}\rangle\!\rangle , \qquad (3.5)
$$

$$
\hat{t}^0 |tt_0\rangle\!\rangle = t |tt_0\rangle\!\rangle \ . \tag{3.6}
$$

Here,

$$
t = \frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{3}{2}, \cdots, \qquad t_0 = t, t + 1, t + 2, \cdots.
$$
 (3.7)

The above treats the eigenvalue problem in t-space.

The eigenvalue problem in τ -space is much more complicated because it involves six kinds of bosons. Then, for its description, six mutually commuting hermitian operators are needed. The operators $\hat{\tau}^2$, $\hat{\tau}_0$ and $\hat{\tau}^0$ are natural candidates. Further, we may include $\hat{\boldsymbol{R}}^2$, \hat{R}_0 and \hat{Q}_0 defined in Appendix A. The Casimir operator $\hat{\boldsymbol{Q}}^2$ is not needed because of relation (2.82) . Noting the state $(A.7)$, we introduce the state

$$
\|\lambda\mu\nu\nu_0, \tau\tau_0\rangle = \hat{Q}_+(\lambda\mu\nu\nu_0)\|\lambda, \tau\tau_0\rangle , \qquad (3.8)
$$

$$
\|\lambda,\tau\tau_0\rangle = (\hat{\tau}_+)^{\tau_0-\tau}\|\lambda,\tau\rangle . \quad (\tau_0 = \tau, \ \tau + 1, \cdots)
$$
 (3.9)

The state $|\lambda \mu \nu v_0, \tau \tau_0 \rangle$ (= $|\tilde{\tau} \rangle$) satisfies

$$
\hat{\tau}^2 \| \tilde{\tau} \rangle = \tau (\tau - 1) \| \tilde{\tau} \rangle , \qquad \hat{\tau}_0 \| \tilde{\tau} \rangle = \tau_0 \| \tilde{\tau} \rangle , \qquad (3.10)
$$

$$
\hat{\tau}^0 \| \tilde{\tau} \rangle = (\tau - 2\lambda) \| \tilde{\tau} \rangle , \qquad (3.11)
$$

$$
\hat{\boldsymbol{R}}^2 \|\tilde{\tau}\rangle = \nu(\nu + 1) \|\tilde{\tau}\rangle \ , \qquad \hat{R}_0 \|\tilde{\tau}\rangle = \nu_0 \|\tilde{\tau}\rangle \ , \tag{3.12}
$$

$$
\hat{Q}^0 \|\tilde{\tau}\rangle = [3\mu - ((2\tau - 3) - \lambda)]\|\tilde{\tau}\rangle , \qquad (3.13)
$$

Comparison with the relation $(A-12)$ gives us

$$
\kappa = (2\tau - 3) - \lambda \tag{3.14}
$$

The extra quantum number α is in the present case $\alpha = \tau_0$. Operation of $\hat{\boldsymbol{Q}}^2$ on $\|\tilde{\tau}\rangle$ is as follows:

$$
\hat{Q}^2 \|\tilde{\tau}\rangle = \left[2\tau(\tau - 1) + \frac{2}{3}(\tau - 2\lambda)(\tau - 2\lambda - 3)\right] \|\tilde{\tau}\rangle
$$

$$
= \left[2\lambda(\lambda + 1) + \frac{2}{3}\kappa(\kappa + 3)\right] \|\tilde{\tau}\rangle .
$$
(3.15)

We enumerate conditions which the quantum numbers specifying $\lambda \mu \nu \nu_0, \tau \tau_0$ obey. The Clebsch-Gordan coefficient $\langle \lambda \lambda_0 \mu \mu_0 | \nu \nu_0 \rangle$ gives

$$
|\lambda - \mu| \le \nu \le \lambda + \mu , \qquad -\nu \le \nu_0 \le \nu . \tag{3.16}
$$

Further, we have

$$
2(\lambda + \mu) \le 2\tau - 3. \tag{3.17}
$$

In addition to the former shown already in the relation (2.88), the later is also necessary. The proof is given in Appendix B. Concerning τ and τ_0 , the conditions are shown in the relations (2.88) and (3.7).

Finally, we consider the orthogonal set in T-space. Following Ref.17), we investigate the eigenvalue problem for \hat{T}_+ , \hat{T}_- , \hat{T}_0 , which reduces essentially to the addition of two $su(1,1)$ spins. Let us assume that we know the minimum weight state $\Vert \lambda \mu \nu_0: t \tau T \rangle$. Then, we have

$$
\|\lambda\mu\nu\nu_0; \, t\tau TT_0\rangle\rangle = (\hat{T}_+)^{T_0 - T} \|\lambda\mu\nu\nu_0; \, t\tau T\rangle\rangle \;, \tag{3.18}
$$

$$
\hat{T}^{2} \| \lambda \mu \nu v_{0}; t \tau T T_{0} \rangle = T(T - 1) \| \lambda \mu \nu v_{0}; t \tau T T_{0} \rangle , \qquad (3.19)
$$

$$
\hat{T}_0 \| \lambda \mu \nu \nu_0; \, t \tau T T_0 \rangle = T_0 \| \lambda \mu \nu \nu_0; \, t \tau T T_0 \rangle \rangle \tag{3.20}
$$

$$
T = t + \tau, \ t + \tau + 1, \ t + \tau + 2, \cdots, \quad T_0 = T, \ T + 1, \ T + 2, \cdots. \ (3.21)
$$

Many-Quark Model with su(4) Algebraic Structure 17

The minimum weight state for \hat{T}_+ , \hat{T}_- , \hat{T}_0 may be expressed as

$$
\|\lambda\mu\nu\sigma_0; t\tau T\rangle = (\hat{O}_+(t\tau))^{T-(t+\tau)}\hat{Q}_+(\lambda\mu\nu\sigma_0)\|\lambda,\tau\rangle \otimes |t\rangle, \n\hat{O}_+(t\tau) = \hat{t}_+(\hat{t}_0 + t + \epsilon)^{-1} - \hat{\tau}_+(\hat{\tau}_0 + \tau + \epsilon)^{-1},
$$
\n(3.22)

where ϵ is an infinitesimal parameter such that $(\hat{t}_0 + t + \epsilon)^{-1}$ and $(\hat{\tau}_0 + \tau + \epsilon)^{-1}$ exist. By expanding $(\hat{O}_+(t\tau))^{T-(t+\tau)}$, we obtain

$$
= \sum_{t_0\tau_0} \frac{(-1)^{\tau_0-\tau} \Gamma(T - (t+\tau) + 1) \Gamma(2t) \Gamma(2\tau)}{\Gamma(t_0 - t + 1) \Gamma(\tau_0 - \tau + 1) \Gamma(t_0 + t) \Gamma(\tau_0 + \tau)} \|\lambda \mu \nu \nu_0, \tau \tau_0\rangle \otimes |tt_0\rangle \quad (3.23)
$$

where $\sum_{t_0\tau_0}$ means that the sum is restricted to $t_0 = t$, $t+1$, \cdots and $\tau_0 = \tau$, $\tau+1$, \cdots under the condition $t_0 + \tau_0 = T$ for a given T.

The state (3.8) can be rewritten down as

$$
\|\lambda\mu\nu\nu_0; t\tau TT_0\rangle\ = (\hat{T}_+)^{T_0 - T} \hat{Q}_+ (\lambda\mu\nu\nu_0) \|\lambda\tau TT\rangle \ , \qquad (3.24)
$$

$$
\|\lambda \tau t T\rangle = (\hat{O}_+(t\tau))^{T-(t+\tau)} \|\lambda, \tau\rangle \otimes |t\rangle \tag{3.25}
$$

As is clear from the forms (2.81) and (2.82), \hat{H}_m can be expressed in terms of \hat{T}^2 , \hat{t}^2 , $\hat{\tau}^2$, \hat{t}^0 and $\hat{\tau}^0$, which commute with \hat{T}_+ and $\hat{Q}_+(\lambda\mu\nu\nu_0)$. Therefore, in order to get the eigenvalues of H_m , it may be enough to take into account only $\left|\lambda \tau t \right\rangle$. However, the following point should be pointed out: We are interested in the operators which have their counterparts in the original fermion space. Such operators commute with ${\lbrace \hat{T}_{\mu} \rbrace}$. Then, the orthogonal set, which treats such operators, is closed in the subspace, for example, with the condition $T_0 = T$. This means that it may be enough to investigate the present system in the frame of $\{\|\lambda\mu\nu v_0;t\tau T\}\}=Q_+(\lambda\mu\nu v_0)\|\lambda\tau tT\}\.$ Since \hat{H}_m commutes with $\hat{Q}_+(\lambda\mu\nu\nu_0)$, the eigenvalue of \hat{H}_m is treated in the frame of $\{\|\lambda \tau tT\|\}.$

3.2. Energy eigenvalue

Following the procedure discussed in \S 3.1, we investigate the energy eigenvalue obtained by \hat{H}_m . First, we note that the eigenvalues of \hat{T}^2 , \hat{t}^2 , \hat{t}^0 , $\hat{\tau}^2$ and $\hat{\tau}^0$ for $\left\|\lambda \tau tT\right\|$ are given as

$$
\hat{\boldsymbol{T}}^2: T(T-1), \quad \hat{\boldsymbol{t}}^2: t(t-1), \quad \hat{t}^0: t, \quad \hat{\boldsymbol{\tau}}^2: \tau(\tau-1), \quad \hat{\tau}^0: \tau-2\lambda. \tag{3.26}
$$

Therefore, the eigenvalue of \hat{H}_m , $E^{(m)}_{Tt\tau\lambda}$, is given in the form

$$
E_{Tt\tau\lambda}^{(m)} = E_{Tt\tau\lambda} + \chi F_{\tau\lambda}^{(t)}, \qquad (3.27)
$$

$$
\hat{H} \| T t \tau \lambda \rangle = E_{T t \tau \lambda} \| T t \tau \lambda \rangle \quad (3.28a)
$$

$$
\hat{\mathbf{Q}}^2 \| \boldsymbol{T} t \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \rangle = F_{\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{(t)} \| \boldsymbol{T} t \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \rangle \rangle \,, \tag{3.28b}
$$

18 Y. Tsue, C. Providência, J da Providência and M. Yamamura

$$
E_{Tt\tau\lambda} = -(T(T-1) - t(t-1) - \tau(\tau - 1)) + 2t(\tau - 2\lambda)
$$

= -(T - t - \tau)(T + t + \tau - 1) - 4t\lambda , (3.29a)

$$
F_{\tau\lambda}^{(t)} = 2\tau(\tau - 1) + \frac{2}{3}(\tau - 2\lambda)(\tau - 2\lambda - 3)
$$

= 2\lambda(\lambda + 1) + \frac{2}{3}((2\tau - 3) - \lambda)((2\tau - 3) - \lambda + 3) . (3.29b)

Here, we used the relations (2.81) , (2.82) , (3.25) and (3.26) .

Next, we rewrite $E_{Tt\tau\lambda}$ in terms of Ω and N. From the relations (2.53) and (2.55) with (2.28) , (2.75) and (2.76) , we find the following relations:

$$
\hat{\Omega} = n_0 - 2 + \hat{T}_0 , \qquad (3.30)
$$

$$
\hat{N} = 3n_0 - 3 + 3\hat{T}_0 - 3\hat{t}^0 - \hat{\tau}^0 , \qquad (3.31)
$$

so that

$$
\hat{T}_0 = \hat{\Omega} + 2 - n_0 , \qquad (3.32)
$$

$$
\hat{t}^0 = \hat{\Omega} + 1 - \frac{1}{3}\hat{\tau}^0 - \frac{1}{3}\hat{N} \tag{3.33}
$$

Operation of $\|\lambda \tau t T\|$ on $\hat{\Omega}$ and \hat{N} leads us to

$$
T = \Omega + 2 - n_0 \tag{3.34}
$$

$$
t = \Omega + 1 - \frac{1}{3}(\tau - 2\lambda) - \frac{1}{3}N
$$
 (3.35)

By substituting the relations (3.34) and (3.35) into the expression (3.29a), $E_{T\tau\lambda}$ can be rewritten as

$$
E_{Tt\tau\lambda} = -\left(\frac{1}{3}N - n_0 - \frac{1}{3}(2\tau - 3) - \frac{2}{3}\lambda\right)
$$

$$
\times \left(2\Omega + 3 - \frac{1}{3}N - n_0 + \frac{1}{3}(2\tau - 3) + \frac{2}{3}\lambda\right)
$$

$$
-4\lambda \left(\Omega + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{3}N - \frac{1}{6}(2\tau - 3) + \frac{2}{3}\lambda\right).
$$
 (3.36)

With the use of the relations (3.27), (3.29b) and (3.36), the energy eigenvalue $E_{Tt\tau}^{(m)}$ $T t \tau \lambda$ is obtained.

In the quantum numbers specifying $E_{Nn_0\tau\lambda}^{(m)}$, we know that λ can take the values shown in the relation (2.88) , but, we do not know which values are permitted in the cases N, n_0 and τ . We will discuss this problem. The relation (3.34) shows that T is an integer, because Ω and n_0 are integers, and, further the relation (3.21) teaches the relation $T - (t + \tau)$ =integer. Therefore, for t and τ , the following two cases are permitted: (1) both are half-integers and (2) both are integers. Since $T \geq t + \tau$, the relations (3.34) and (3.35) give us

$$
N \ge N_{\min} , \qquad N_{\min} = 3n_0 + (2\tau - 3) + 2\lambda . \tag{3.37}
$$

The term $(2\tau - 3)$ is integer, and then, N_{min} is an integer. From the relations (3.34) and (3.35), we can derive

$$
N = N_{\min} + 3k , \qquad k = \Omega - n_0 - (t + \tau) + 2 . \tag{3.38}
$$

Since $t + \tau$ =integer, certainly, k is integer. The relation (3.38) indicates that N increases from N_{min} in unit 3. This suggests us that the Hamiltonian H_m generates the triplet formation. There exists the upper limit of N, which we denote N_{max} (k_{max}) . The reason why we use N_{max} (k_{max}) instead of N_{max} (k_{max}) will be later clarified. In the case (1), the condition $t \geq 1/2$ and the relation (3.35) give us

$$
N \le N_{\text{max}'}, \qquad N_{\text{max}'} = 3\Omega - \left(\tau - \frac{3}{2}\right) + 2\lambda , \qquad (3.39a)
$$

$$
k \le k_{\text{max}'}
$$
, $k_{\text{max}'} = \Omega - n_0 - \left(\tau - \frac{3}{2}\right)$. (3.39b)

In the case (2), the condition $t \geq 1$ and the relation (3.35) lead us to the relations

$$
N \le N_{\text{max}'}, \qquad N_{\text{max}'} = 3\Omega - \tau + 2\lambda , \qquad (3.40a)
$$

$$
k \le k_{\text{max}'}
$$
, $k_{\text{max}'} = \Omega - n_0 - (\tau - 1)$. (3-40b)

Since $k_{\text{max'}} \geq 0$, τ is restricted to

(1)
$$
\frac{3}{2} \le \tau \le \tau_{\text{max}'}
$$
, $\tau_{\text{max}'} = \Omega - n_0 + \frac{3}{2}$, (3.41a)

(2)
$$
2 \le \tau \le \tau_{\text{max}'}
$$
, $\tau_{\text{max}'} = \Omega - n_0 + 1$. (3.41b)

Of course, (1) $3/2 \leq \tau_{\text{max}}$ and (2) $2 \leq \tau_{\text{max}}$ and we have

$$
(1) \quad n_0 \le \Omega \;, \tag{3-42a}
$$

$$
(2) \ \ n_0 \le \Omega - 1 \ . \tag{3.42b}
$$

3.3. The energy eigenvalue: supplementary development

We observe that the state (3.23) depends on the bosons (\hat{a}, \hat{a}^*) and (\hat{b}, \hat{b}^*) only through $|tt_0\rangle$, which has been introduced through the relations (3.4)∼(3.7). By interchanging (\hat{a}, \hat{a}^*) with (\hat{b}, \hat{b}^*) , we are led to introduce the state

$$
|t; a\rangle = |1 - t\rangle = (\hat{a}^*)^{2t-1} |0\rangle.
$$
 (3.43)

The reason for the notation $|1-t\rangle$ will become clear later. Let us consider the state

$$
|1 - t \, t_0\rangle = (\hat{t}_+)^{t_0 - t} |1 - t\rangle\rangle \;, \qquad \hat{t}_- |1 - t\rangle\rangle = 0 \;, \qquad \hat{t}_0 |1 - t\rangle\rangle = t |1 - t\rangle\rangle \;, \quad (3.44)
$$

which satisfies

$$
\hat{t}^{2}|1-t\ t_{0}\rangle\!\rangle = t(t-1)|1-t\ t_{0}\rangle\!\rangle \ , \qquad \hat{t}_{0}|1-t\ t_{0}\rangle\!\rangle = t_{0}|1-t\ t_{0}\rangle\!\rangle \ , \qquad (3\cdot 45)
$$

$$
\hat{t}^{0}|1-t\ t_{0}\rangle\!\rangle = (1-t)|1-t\ t_{0}\rangle\!\rangle . \tag{3.46}
$$

We observe that

$$
t(t-1) = (1-t)((1-t)-1) , \t |1-t\rangle\|_{t=\frac{1}{2}} = |0\rangle\rangle . \t (3.47)
$$

Since $\hat{t}^0 |t t_0 \rangle = t |t t_0 \rangle$, we have $\langle \langle t t_0 | 1 - t t_0 \rangle \rangle = 0$ for $t > 1/2$. We present now a reformulation of the $su(4)$ many fermion algebra based on $|1 - t t_0\rangle$. The new development is obtained from the one of the previous section through the replacement of t by $(1-t)$. We observe, moreover, that (\hat{a}, \hat{a}^*) participates in the formalism on an equal footing with (\hat{b}, \hat{b}^*) , so that, any new result obtained from a previous one by interchanging (\hat{a}, \hat{a}^*) with (\hat{b}, \hat{b}^*) , is also valid.

Replacing t by $(1-t)$ in $E_{Tt\tau\lambda}$ (Eq.(3.29a)), we find

$$
E_{T1-t\tau\lambda} = -(T+t-\tau-1)(T-t+\tau) - 4(1-t)\lambda.
$$
 (3.48)

Clearly, Eq.(3.34) remains unchanged, while, if in Eq.(3.35) we replace t by $(1-t)$, we find

$$
t = -\Omega + \frac{1}{3}(\tau - 2\lambda) + \frac{1}{3}N.
$$
 (3.49)

Using the relations (3.34) and (3.44) to replace T and t in the result (3.48) , an expression is obtained for $E_{T1-t\tau\lambda}$, in terms of N, n_0 , λ and τ . The result is the same as $E_{Tt\tau\lambda}$ shown in the relation (3.36). Therefore, the energy eigenvalue $E_{T1-}^{(m)}$ $T1-t\tau\lambda$ is the same as $E_{Tt\tau\lambda}^{(m)}$.

Our next task is to rewrite $E_{T1-t\tau\lambda}$ in terms of Ω and N. The idea is the same as the previous one. The cases (1) and (2) are unchanged for t and τ : (1) both are half-integers and (2) both are integers. From the condition $T \geq t + \tau$, we have

$$
N \le N_{\rm max} \ , \qquad N_{\rm max} = 6 \Omega - 3 n_0 - 2 (2 \tau - 3) + 2 \lambda \ . \eqno(3.50)
$$

With the use of the integer k given in the relation (3.38) , N can be expressed as

$$
N = N_{\text{max}} - 3k \tag{3.51}
$$

The quantity N_{max} is an integer and N decreases from N_{max} in unit 3, which suggests the triplet formation. In this case, there exists the lower limit of N , which we denote N_{min} . In the case (1), N_{min} is determined by the condition $t \geq 1/2$:

$$
N \ge N_{\min'}, \qquad N_{\min'} = 3\Omega - \left(\tau - \frac{3}{2}\right) + 2\lambda \tag{3.52}
$$

It should be noted that we have $N_{\text{max}'} = N_{\text{min'}}$ and k, which gives $N_{\text{min'}}$, is equal to k_{max} shown in the relation (3.39b). The relation $N_{\text{min}} = N_{\text{max}}$ gives us the reason why we used the notations $N_{\text{max'}}$ and $N_{\text{min'}}$. At the point giving $N_{\text{min'}} = N_{\text{max'}}$, $|1/2; b\rangle = |1/2; a\rangle = |0\rangle$ and we can observe that at this point $|m_2\rangle$ defined in the form (2.85) changes to $|m_2\rangle$ defined in the form (2.91). In the case (2), the condition $t \geq 1$ gives us

$$
N \ge N_{\min'} , \qquad N_{\min'} = 3\Omega - \tau + 2\lambda + 3 . \tag{3.53}
$$

In this case, also, it should be noted that $N_{\text{max}'} = N_{\text{min}'} - 3$ and k, which gives $N_{\text{min'}}$, is equal to $k_{\text{max'}}$ shown in the relation (3.40b). At the points $N = N_{\text{max'}}$ and

 $N = N_{\min'}$, we have $|1; b\rangle = \hat{b}^* |0\rangle$ and $|1; a\rangle = \hat{a}^* |0\rangle$, respectively. In this case, also, we can see the change of $|m_2\rangle$.

Since both ranges intersect, it follows that the energy eigenvalue is valid in the whole range

$$
3n_0 + (2\tau - 3) + 2\lambda \le N \le 6\Omega - 3n_0 - 2(2\tau - 3) + 2\lambda .
$$
 (3.54)

The energy eigenvalue $E_{Nn_0\tau\lambda}^{(m)} = E_{Nn_0\tau\lambda} + \chi F_{\tau\lambda}^{(t)}$ is given as

$$
E_{Nn_0\tau\lambda} = -\left(\frac{1}{3}N - n_0 - \frac{1}{3}(2\tau - 3) - \frac{2}{3}\lambda\right)
$$

$$
\times \left(2\Omega + 3 - \frac{1}{3}N - n_0 + \frac{1}{3}(2\tau - 3) + \frac{2}{3}\lambda\right)
$$

$$
-4\lambda \left(\Omega + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{3}N - \frac{1}{6}(2\tau - 3) + \frac{2}{3}\lambda\right),
$$
 (3.55a)

$$
F_{\tau\lambda}^{(t)} = 2\lambda(\lambda + 1) + \frac{2}{3}((2\tau - 3) - \lambda)((2\tau - 3) - \lambda + 3) .
$$
 (3.55b)

However, the whole story is not yet finished, since the range (3.54) does not cover all possible N values for given n_0 , τ and λ . Let us study our system starting from the side $N = 6\Omega$, as is presented in §2.3. The reformulation is straightforward. The energy eigenvalue reads

$$
\mathcal{E}_{N'n_0\tau\lambda}^{(m)} = E_{N'n_0\tau\lambda}^{(m)} + 2(N'-3\Omega) , \qquad N' = 6\Omega - N . \tag{3.56}
$$

Therefore, $\mathcal{E}_{N'n_0\tau\lambda}^{(m)} = E_{6\Omega - Nn_0\tau\lambda}^{(m)} + 2(3\Omega - N)$ is also an eigenvalue of the N quark system. Replacing in the range (3.54) N by N', we find

$$
3n_0 + (2\tau - 3) + 2\lambda \le N' = 6\Omega - N \le 6\Omega - 3n_0 - 2(2\tau - 3) + 2\lambda \tag{3.57}
$$

Thus, the eigenvalue of the type $\mathcal{E}_{6\Omega-Nn_0\tau\lambda}^{(m)}$ occurs for

$$
3n_0 + 2(2\tau - 3) - 2\lambda \le N \le 6\Omega - 3n_0 - (2\tau - 3) - 2\lambda .
$$
 (3.58)

The quantities appearing in the relations (3.54) and (3.58) obey the same conditions as those shown in the relations (3.41) and (3.42) :

$$
0 \le \lambda \le \tau - \frac{3}{2},
$$

\n
$$
\frac{3}{2} \le \tau \le \Omega - n_0 + \frac{3}{2}, \qquad n_0 \le \Omega, \qquad (\tau \text{ inalf-integer})
$$

\n
$$
2 \le \tau \le \Omega - n_0 + 1, \qquad n_0 \le \Omega - 1. \qquad (\tau \text{ integer})
$$
 (3.59)

Both types of the eigenvalues occur for

$$
3n_0 + 2(2\tau - 3) - 2\lambda \le N \le 6\Omega - 3n_0 - 2(2\tau - 3) + 2\lambda , \quad \left(0 \le 2\lambda < \tau - \frac{3}{2}\right)
$$

22 Y. Tsue, C. Providência, J da Providência and M. Yamamura

$$
3n_0 + \frac{3}{2}(2\tau - 3) \le N \le 6\Omega - 3n_0 - \frac{3}{2}(2\tau - 3), \quad \left(2\lambda = \tau - \frac{3}{2}\right)
$$

\n
$$
3n_0 + (2\tau - 3) + 2\lambda \le N \le 6\Omega - 3n_0 - (2\tau - 3) - 2\lambda.
$$

\n
$$
\left(\tau - \frac{3}{2} < 2\lambda \le 2\left(\tau - \frac{3}{2}\right)\right) (3.60)
$$

Finally, we will give a short comment. The state $|\lambda \tau T \rangle$ and $|\lambda \tau 1 - tT \rangle$ are obtained by operating $\ddot{O}_+(t\tau)$ defined in the relation (3.22) on the states $|\lambda, \tau\rangle \otimes |t; b\rangle$ and $|\lambda, \tau\rangle \otimes |t; a\rangle$ for $(T-(t+\tau))$ times, respectively. Therefore, we can call $\hat{O}_+(t\tau)$ as the triplet generating operator. Here, $T - (t + \tau) = (N - N_{\text{min}})/3$ and $(N_{\text{max}} - N)/3$ for $|t; b\rangle$ and $|t; a\rangle$, respectively. On the other hand, $\|\lambda, \tau\rangle \otimes |t; b\rangle$ can be rewritten as

$$
|\lambda,\tau\rangle \otimes |t;b\rangle\rangle = (\hat{S}^3)^{2\lambda} \cdot (\hat{b}_1^*)^{2\tau - 3 - 2\lambda} (\hat{b}^*)^{2(t+\tau)-4} |0\rangle . \tag{3.61}
$$

The form (3.61) indicates that \hat{S}^3 plays a role similar to \hat{S}_+ in the $su(2)$ -pairing model. By exchange \hat{a} and \hat{b} , we obtain the same form as the above in the case of $|\lambda, \tau\rangle \otimes |t; a\rangle$. The details of the results obtained in this section will be discussed in $\S5$, especially, the comparison with the results by Petry et. al¹⁾ will be performed.

§4. Pairing correlation

4.1. Orthogonal set for diagonalizing the Hamiltonian

In §3, we presented a form of the orthogonal set which enables us to describe the triplet formation. For making preparation for describing the case of pairing correlation, first, we summarize the orthogonal set given in §3 in a slightly general form. Let the orthogonal set under investigation specified by the quantum numbers $(\lambda, \mu, \nu, \nu_0, x, y, T, T_0)$: $\lambda \mu \nu \nu_0$; $xyTT_0$. Here, $(\lambda, \mu, \nu, \nu_0, T, T_0)$ are identical to those used in §3. As for x and y, in §3, $x = \tau - 2\lambda$ and $y = t$ or $(1 - t)$ are used. In this section, we will search another set of (x, y) . With the use of the notations defined in Appendix A, the state $|\lambda \mu \nu \nu_0; xyTT_0\rangle$ is expressed as

$$
|\lambda \mu \nu \nu_0; xyTT_0\rangle = (\hat{T}_+)^{T_0 - T} \hat{Q}_+ (\lambda \mu \nu \nu_0) |\lambda xyT\rangle . \qquad (4.1)
$$

Here, $\langle \lambda xyT \rangle$ is the minimum weight state of the $su(3) \otimes su(1,1)$ algebra:

$$
\hat{Q}_{-\frac{1}{2}}|\lambda xyT\rangle = \hat{Q}_{\frac{1}{2}}|\lambda xyT\rangle = 0 , \qquad \hat{R}_{-}|\lambda xyT\rangle = \hat{T}_{-}|\lambda xyT\rangle = 0 , \qquad (4.2)
$$

$$
\hat{R}_0|\lambda xyT\rangle = -\lambda|\lambda xyT\rangle , \qquad \hat{T}_0|\lambda xyT\rangle = T|\lambda xyT\rangle , \qquad (4.3)
$$

In the case of the triplet formation, $\hat{\tau}^0$ and \hat{t}^0 were used for specifying τ and t:

$$
\hat{\tau}^0|\lambda xyT\rangle = (\tau - 2\lambda)|\lambda xyT\rangle , \qquad \hat{t}^0|\lambda xyT\rangle = (t \text{ or } 1-t)|\lambda xyT\rangle . \tag{4.4}
$$

As was already mentioned, our aim is to find a possible orthogonal set suitable for describing the pairing correlation, which makes the quark number change in unit 2. According to the discussion performed immediately after the relation (2.55), in the present case, the use of the operator \hat{S}^i is necessary for constructing the orthogonal

set. Further, in §3, the minimum weight state $|m_2\rangle$ plays a central role. In the present case, $|m_1\rangle$ given in the form (2.31) may be useful.

We start from the state $|m_1\rangle$. It can be written as

$$
|m_1\rangle = (\hat{b}_1^*)^{2(\sigma_1 - \sigma_0)}(\hat{b}^*)^{2\sigma_0}|0\rangle = |\sigma_0, \sigma_1\rangle = |\sigma_0, T\rangle.
$$
 (4.5)

In the case where we make comparison with the state discussed in §3, we use the quantum number T instead of σ_1 . The quantum number T is the eigenvalue of \hat{T}_0 :

$$
\hat{T}_0|\sigma_0,T\rangle = T|\sigma_0,T\rangle , \qquad T = \sigma_1 + 2 . \qquad (4.6)
$$

The state $|\sigma_0, T\rangle$ satisfies

$$
\hat{R}_{\pm}|\sigma_0,T\rangle = 0 , \qquad \hat{R}_0|\sigma_0,T\rangle = 0 , \qquad (4.7)
$$

which means that $|\sigma_0, T\rangle$ is a state with R-spin= 0. Further, we have the relation

$$
[\hat{R}_{-}, \hat{S}^3] = 0 , [\hat{R}_0, \hat{S}^3] = -\frac{1}{2}\hat{S}^3 , \qquad (4.8)
$$

which leads us to

$$
[\hat{R}_{-}, (\hat{S}^3)^{2\lambda}] = 0 , \qquad [\hat{R}_0 , (\hat{S}^3)^{2\lambda}] = -\lambda (\hat{S}^3)^{2\lambda} . \qquad (4.9)
$$

Of course, \hat{S}^3 commutes with $\{\hat{T}_\mu\}$. Next, we note that \hat{S}^1 , \hat{S}_1^1 , $(\hat{S}_2^2 + \hat{S}_3^3)/2$ and $(\hat{S}^2 \hat{S}_1^2 + \hat{S}^3 \hat{S}_1^3)$ are scalar for $\{\hat{R}_\mu\}$, that is, they commute with $\{\hat{R}_\mu\}$. With the use of these scalar operators and real parameters ξ and η , we define the operator \hat{S}^4 in the following form:

$$
\hat{S}^4 = \hat{S}^1 \left(\hat{S}_1^1 - \frac{\xi}{2} (\hat{S}_2^2 + \hat{S}_3^3) \right) + \eta (\hat{S}^2 \hat{S}_1^2 + \hat{S}^3 \hat{S}_1^3) . \tag{4.10}
$$

Of course, \hat{S}^4 commutes with $\{\hat{R}_{\mu}\}\$ and $\{\hat{T}_{\mu}\}\$. With the use of \hat{S}^3 and \hat{S}^4 , we set up the state $|\lambda \rho \sigma_0 T\rangle$ in the form

$$
|\lambda \rho \sigma_0 T \rangle = (\hat{S}^3)^{2\lambda} (\hat{S}^4)^{2\rho} |\sigma_0, T \rangle . \tag{4.11}
$$

Clearly, $|\lambda \rho \sigma_0 T \rangle$ satisfies

$$
\hat{R}_{-}|\lambda\rho\sigma_{0}T\rangle = \hat{T}_{-}|\lambda\rho\sigma_{0}T\rangle = 0 , \qquad (4.12)
$$

$$
\hat{R}_0|\lambda\rho\sigma_0T\rangle = -\lambda|\lambda\rho\sigma_0T\rangle , \qquad \hat{T}_0|\lambda\rho\sigma_0T\rangle = T|\lambda\rho\sigma_0T\rangle . \qquad (4.13)
$$

The quantum numbers ρ and σ_0 are related to the operators \hat{S}_1^1 and $(\hat{S}_2^2 + \hat{S}_3^3)/2$ through the relation

$$
\hat{S}_1^1 | \lambda \rho \sigma_0 T \rangle = -2(\sigma_1 - 2\rho - \lambda) | \lambda \rho \sigma_0 T \rangle ,
$$

\n
$$
\frac{1}{2} (\hat{S}_2^2 + \hat{S}_3^3) | \lambda \rho \sigma_0 T \rangle = -2 \left(\sigma_0 - \rho - \frac{3}{2} \lambda \right) | \lambda \rho \sigma_0 T \rangle .
$$
 (4.14)

24 Y. Tsue, C. Providência, J da Providência and M. Yamamura

For deriving the relation (4.14), the following formula is useful:

$$
\left[\hat{S}_1^1 \ , \ \hat{S}^4\right] = 2\hat{S}^4 \ , \qquad \left[\frac{1}{2}(\hat{S}_2^2 + \hat{S}_3^3) \ , \ \hat{S}^4\right] = \hat{S}^4 \ . \tag{4.15}
$$

The relations $(4.12) \sim (4.14)$ correspond to the latter of the relation (4.2) and the relations (4.3) and (4.4). Of course, its correspondence is independent of the values of ξ and η contained in \hat{S}^4 . In order to obtain complete correspondence, further, we must require the former of the relation:

$$
\hat{Q}_{-\frac{1}{2}}|\lambda\rho\sigma_0 T\rangle = \hat{Q}_{\frac{1}{2}}|\lambda\rho\sigma_0 T\rangle = 0.
$$
\n(4.16)

We determine the values of ξ and η so as to fulfill this requirement. Since $[\hat{Q}_m,\hat{S}^3]=0$ $(m = \pm 1/2)$, the requirement (4.16) is equivalent to

$$
\hat{Q}_{-\frac{1}{2}}(\hat{S}^4)^{2\rho}|\sigma_0, T\rangle = \hat{Q}_{\frac{1}{2}}(\hat{S}^4)^{2\rho}|\sigma_0, T\rangle = 0.
$$
\n(4.17)

For the understanding of the requirement (4.17), the following relation is useful:

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\left[\ \hat{Q}_{-\frac{1}{2}} \ ,\ \hat{S}^{4}\ \right] &= -\hat{S}^{2}\hat{D} + \left(1 + \frac{\xi}{2}\right)\hat{S}^{1}\hat{Q}_{-\frac{1}{2}} - \eta(\hat{S}^{2}\hat{R}_{0} + \hat{S}^{3}\hat{R}_{+})\ ,\\
\left[\ \hat{Q}_{\frac{1}{2}} \ ,\ \hat{S}^{4}\ \right] &= \hat{S}^{3}\hat{D} + \left(1 + \frac{\xi}{2}\right)\hat{S}^{1}\hat{Q}_{\frac{1}{2}} - \eta(\hat{S}^{3}\hat{R}_{0} - \hat{S}^{2}\hat{R}_{-})\ ,\n\end{aligned} \tag{4-18}
$$

$$
\hat{D} = (1 - \eta)\hat{S}_1^1 - \frac{\xi - \eta}{2}(\hat{S}_2^2 + \hat{S}_3^3) . \tag{4.19}
$$

Let \hat{D} be the null operator. Then, if noting $[\hat{R}_{\mu}, \hat{S}^4] = 0$ and $\hat{Q}_m | \sigma_0, T \rangle$ $=\hat{R}_{\mu}|\sigma_0,T\rangle = 0$, the relation (4.18) leads us to

$$
\hat{Q}_m(\hat{S}^4)^{2\rho}|\sigma_0, T\rangle = \left(1 + \frac{\xi}{2}\right) \sum_{r=0}^{2\rho - 1} (\hat{S}^4)^{2\rho - r} \hat{S}^1 \cdot \hat{Q}_m(\hat{S}^4)^r |\sigma_0, T\rangle . \tag{4.20}
$$

By mathematical induction, the relation (4.20) leads us to the relation (4.17) , i.e., (4.16) . The condition that \hat{D} is null operator gives us

$$
\xi = \eta = 1 \tag{4.21}
$$

Then, the form (4.10) is reduced to

$$
\hat{S}^4 = \hat{S}^1 \left(\hat{S}_1^1 - \frac{1}{2} (\hat{S}_2^2 + \hat{S}_3^3) \right) + (\hat{S}^2 \hat{S}_1^2 + \hat{S}^3 \hat{S}_1^3) . \tag{4.22}
$$

Thus, we obtain the orthogonal set for the present aim:

$$
|\lambda\mu\nu\nu_0;\rho\sigma_0TT_0\rangle = (\hat{T}_+)^{T_0-T}\hat{Q}_+(\lambda\mu\nu\nu_0)|\lambda\rho\sigma_0T\rangle , \qquad (4.23)
$$

$$
|\lambda \rho \sigma_0 T \rangle = (\hat{S}^3)^{2\lambda} (\hat{S}^4)^{2\rho} |\sigma_0, T \rangle . \tag{4.24}
$$

In order to obtain the energy eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_m = \hat{H} + \chi \hat{\mathbf{Q}}^2$, only $|\lambda \rho \sigma_0 T \rangle$ is necessary.

4.2. Properties of the state $|\lambda \rho \sigma_0 \sigma_1 \rangle$

In this subsection, we will discuss two points: (1) to find the conditions governing the quantum numbers λ and ρ appearing in $|\lambda \rho \sigma_0 \sigma_1|$ (T = $\sigma_1 + 2$) and (2) to investigate the relevance to the symmetric representation ($\sigma_1 = \sigma_0$). Let us start with (1). For completing the point (1), we will provide a representation of $\langle \lambda \rho \sigma_0 \sigma_1 \rangle$ in the framework of the su(1, 1) algebra. First, we identify $|m_1\rangle$ with the state (3.1):

$$
|m_1\rangle = (\hat{b}_1^*)^{2(\sigma_1 - \sigma_0)}(\hat{b}^*)^{2\sigma_0}|0\rangle = |\tau\rangle \otimes |t\rangle \,,\tag{4.25}
$$

$$
\|\tau\rangle = (\hat{b}_1^*)^{2\tau - 3}|0\rangle , \qquad |t\rangle\!\rangle = (\hat{b}^*)^{2t-1}|0\rangle , \qquad (4.26a)
$$

$$
2\sigma_0 = 2t - 1 , \qquad 2(\sigma_1 - \sigma_0) = 2\tau - 3 . \qquad (4.26b)
$$

A straightforward calculation gives us

$$
(\hat{S}^4)^{2\rho}|m_1\rangle = (\hat{S}^4)^{2\rho}|\tau\rangle \otimes |t\rangle
$$

=
$$
\frac{(2t-1)!}{(2(t-\rho)-1)!} \cdot \frac{(2\tau-1)!}{(2(\tau-\rho)-1)!} \cdot \frac{(2\tau-3)!}{(2(\tau-\rho)-3)!}
$$

$$
\times \left(\hat{O}_+(t-\rho,\tau-\rho)\right)^{(t+\tau)-((t-\rho)+(\tau-\rho))}|\tau-\rho\rangle \otimes |t-\rho\rangle \cdot (4\cdot 27)
$$

Here, $\hat{O}_+(t-\rho,\tau-\rho)$ is defined in the relation (3.22) with $t \to t-\rho$ and $\tau \to \tau-\rho$. The expression (4.27) is quite natural. We have

$$
\hat{T}_{-}(\hat{S}^{4})^{2\rho}|m_{1}\rangle = (\hat{S}^{4})^{2\rho}\hat{T}_{-}|m_{1}\rangle = 0 ,\n\hat{T}_{0}(\hat{S}^{4})^{2\rho}|m_{1}\rangle = (\hat{S}^{4})^{2\rho}\hat{T}_{0}|m_{1}\rangle = (t+\tau)(\hat{S}^{4})^{2\rho}|m_{1}\rangle .
$$
\n(4.28)

The relation (4.28) shows that $(\hat{S}^4)^{2\rho}|m_1\rangle$ is the minimum weight state for $\{\hat{T}_{\mu}\}\$ specified by $T = t + \tau$. Further, we have

$$
\hat{t}_{-}|t-\rho\rangle\rangle = 0 , \qquad \hat{t}_{0}|t-\rho\rangle\rangle = (t-\rho)|t-\rho\rangle\rangle , \qquad 2(t-\rho)-1 \ge 0 ,
$$

$$
\hat{\tau}_{-}||\tau-\rho\rangle = 0 , \qquad \hat{\tau}_{0}||\tau-\rho\rangle = (\tau-\rho)||\tau-\rho\rangle , \qquad 2(\tau-\rho)-3 \ge 0 . \tag{4.29}
$$

The relation (4.29) shows that $|\tau - \rho \rangle \otimes |t - \rho \rangle$ is the minimum weight state for $\{\hat{\tau}_{\mu}\}$ and $\{\hat{t}_{\mu}\}$ specified by $(\tau - \rho)$ and $(t - \rho)$, respectively. The operator $\hat{O}_+(t - \rho, \tau - \rho)$ plays the same role as the one in the relation (3.22) . This being why the form (4.27) is quite reasonable.

The state (4.27) can be expressed in the form

$$
(\hat{S}^4)^{2\rho}|m_1\rangle = \sum_{r=0}^{2\rho} (-)^{2\rho-r} D_{t\tau\rho}(r) \times (\hat{b}^*)^{2t-1-r} (\hat{a}^*)^{2\rho-r} (\hat{\tau}_+)^r (\hat{b}_1^*)^{2\tau-3-2\rho}|0\rangle , \qquad (4.30)
$$

where $D_{t\tau\rho}(r)$ denotes an appropriate expansion coefficient, its explicit expression being omitted. The operator $(\hat{S}^3)^{2\lambda}$ is expressed as

$$
(\hat{S}^3)^{2\lambda} = (\hat{a}_3^* \hat{b} - \hat{a}^* \hat{b}_3)^{2\lambda}
$$

=
$$
\sum_{\kappa=0}^{2\lambda} (-)^\kappa \binom{2\lambda}{\kappa} (\hat{a}_3^*)^{2\lambda-\kappa} (\hat{a}^*)^\kappa (\hat{b})^{2\lambda-\kappa} (\hat{b}_3)^\kappa .
$$
 (4.31)

Then, we find

$$
\begin{split}\n&(\hat{S}^3)^{2\lambda}(\hat{S}^4)^{2\rho}|m_1\rangle \\
&= \left[\sum_{\kappa=0}^{2\lambda} \sum_{r=0}^{2\rho} (-)^{\rho-(r-\kappa)} D_{t\tau\rho}(r) \begin{pmatrix} 2t-1-r\\ 2\lambda-\kappa \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} r\\ \kappa \end{pmatrix} \right. \\
&\times (\hat{b}^*)^{2t-1-2\lambda-(r-\kappa)} (\hat{a}^*)^{2\rho-(r-\kappa)} (\hat{\tau}_+)^{r-\kappa} \right] (\hat{a}_3^*)^{2\lambda} (\hat{b}_1^*)^{2\tau-3-2\rho}|0\rangle . \tag{4.32}\n\end{split}
$$

Since $r - \kappa \geq 0$, the state (4.12) vanishes if $2t - 1 - 2\lambda < 0$ and also if $2\tau - 3 - 2\rho < 0$. Therefore, combining with the inequality (4.29), the state (4.32) is defined for

if
$$
2t - 1 \ge 2\tau - 3
$$
, $2\lambda \le 2t - 1$, $2\rho \le 2\tau - 3$, $(4.33a)$

if
$$
2t - 1 \leq 2\tau - 3
$$
, $2\lambda \leq 2t - 1$, $2\rho \leq 2t - 1$. (4.33b)

The above is the condition governing λ and ρ and, in the next subsection, this condition will be used.

Next, we discuss the point (2). In the case $\sigma_1 = \sigma_0$, we have

$$
\hat{S}^4|m_0\rangle = 0 \t{,} \t(4.34)
$$

so that the orthogonal set constructed on the minimum weight state $|m_0\rangle$ is only valid if $\rho = 0$. In order to obtain further conditions, we change the quantum numbers as follows:

$$
\lambda = \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3
$$
, $\lambda_0 = \nu_2 - \nu_3 + l$, $\mu = \nu_1$, $\mu_0 = -l$. (4.35)

Then, the angular momentum coupling rule leads us to

$$
\nu = \nu_2 + \nu_3 \, , \, \nu_2 + \nu_3 + 1 \, , \cdots \, , \, 2\nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 \, , \qquad \nu_0 = \nu_2 - \nu_3 \, . \tag{4.36}
$$

In the new notations, the state (4.23) with $T_0 = T$ is replaced by

$$
|\nu_1 \nu_2 \nu_3 \nu \rho; \sigma_0 \sigma_1 \rangle = \sum_l \langle \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3, \nu_2 - \nu_3 + l, \nu_1, -l | \nu, \nu_2 - \nu_3 \rangle
$$

$$
\times (-)^{\nu_1 + \mu} \sqrt{\frac{(2\nu_1)!(2\nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3)!}{(\nu_1 - l)!(\nu_1 + l)!(\nu_1 + 2\nu_2 + l)!(\nu_1 + 2\nu_2 - l)!}}
$$

$$
\times (\hat{S}_1^3)^{\nu_1 - l} (\hat{S}_1^2)^{\nu_1 + l} (\hat{S}^2)^{\nu_1 + 2\nu_2 + l} (\hat{S}^3)^{\nu_1 + 2\nu_2 - l} (\hat{S}^4)^{2\rho} |\sigma_0, \sigma_1 \rangle , (4.37)
$$

where $|\sigma_0, \sigma_1\rangle = |m_1\rangle$. For the discussion of the case $\sigma_1 = \sigma_0$, the following relation is useful:

$$
(\hat{S}_1^i)^r (\hat{S}^i)^n = \frac{n!}{(n-r)!} (\hat{S}^1)^r (\hat{S}^i)^{n-r} + \sum_{p=1}^r \frac{r!}{p!(r-p)!} \cdot \frac{n!}{(n-r+p)!} (\hat{S}^1)^{r-p} (\hat{S}^i)^{n-r+p} (\hat{S}_1^i)^p.
$$

(*i* = 2,3) (4.38)

Since $\hat{S}_1^i|m_0\rangle = 0$ for $i = 2,3$, we have

$$
(\hat{S}_1^i)^r (\hat{S}^i)^n |m_0\rangle = \frac{n!}{(n-r)!} (\hat{S}^1)^r (\hat{S}^i)^{n-r} |m_0\rangle . \tag{4.39}
$$

Thus, after straightforward calculation, we have

$$
|\nu_1 \nu_2 \nu_3 r \rho; \sigma_0 \sigma_1 \rangle|_{\rho=0, \sigma_1=\sigma_0}
$$

= $\delta_{\nu_1, \nu_2+\nu_3} \frac{\sqrt{(2\nu_1)!(2\nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3)!}}{(2\nu_2)!(2\nu_3)!} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{2(\nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3) + 1}{2(\nu_2 + \nu_3) + 1}}$
 $\times (\hat{S}^1)^{2\nu_1} (\hat{S}^2)^{2\nu_2} (\hat{S}^3)^{2\nu_3} |m_0 \rangle$. (4.40)

The state (4.40) describes the symmetric representation specified by ν_1 , ν_2 , ν_3 and σ_0 .

4.3. Energy eigenvalue

We are now able to determine the energy eigenvalue of the Hamiltonian \hat{H}_m = $\hat{H} + \chi \hat{Q}^2$. First, we note that \hat{H} can be expressed in the form

$$
\hat{H} = -\frac{1}{2}(\hat{P}^2 - \hat{Q}^2 - \hat{\Sigma}) \ . \tag{4.41}
$$

Here, $\hat{\boldsymbol{P}}^2$ and $\hat{\boldsymbol{Q}}^2$ denote the Casimir operators of the $su(4)$ and the $su(3)$ algebras shown in the relations (2.3) and (2.7), respectively. The operator $\hat{\Sigma}$ is defined as

$$
\hat{\Sigma} = \frac{1}{12}(\hat{S}_1^1 + \hat{S}_2^2 + \hat{S}_3^3)^2 - (\hat{S}_1^1 + \hat{S}_2^2 + \hat{S}_3^3) . \tag{4.42}
$$

Therefore, the eigenstate of \hat{H} is given by the state (4.11). The eigenvalue of $\hat{\mathbf{P}}^2$ is given in the relation (2.25). Noting the relations (4.13) and (4.14) , the eigenvalues of $\hat{\boldsymbol{Q}}^2$ and $\hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}$ are expressed as

$$
\hat{\mathbf{Q}}^2 |\lambda \rho \sigma_0 \sigma_1 \rangle = F^{(p)}_{\sigma_1 \sigma_0 \rho \lambda} |\lambda \rho \sigma_0 \sigma_1 \rangle , \qquad (4.43a)
$$

$$
F_{\sigma_1 \sigma_0 \rho \lambda}^{(p)} = 2\lambda(\lambda + 1) + \frac{2}{3} \Big(2(\sigma_1 - \sigma_0) - 2\rho + \lambda \Big) \Big(2(\sigma_1 - \sigma_0) - 2\rho + \lambda + 3 \Big) , (4.43b)
$$

$$
\hat{\Sigma}|\lambda\rho\sigma_0\sigma_1\rangle = \Sigma_{\sigma_1\sigma_0\rho\lambda}|\lambda\rho\sigma_0\sigma_1\rangle \;, \tag{4.44a}
$$

$$
\Sigma_{\sigma_1 \sigma_0 \rho \lambda} = \frac{1}{3} (\sigma_1 + 2\sigma_0 - 4\rho - 4\lambda)(\sigma_1 + 2\sigma_0 - 4\rho - 4\lambda + 6) . \tag{4.44b}
$$

With the use of the form (4.41), the eigenvalue of \hat{H} is given as

$$
E_{\sigma_1 \sigma_0 \rho \lambda} = -\left(2\lambda(2\sigma_0 + 1 - 2\rho - 2\lambda) + 2\rho(2\sigma_1 + 3 - 2\rho)\right)
$$
 (4.45)

Therefore, the eigenvalue of \hat{H}_m is obtained in the form

$$
E_{\sigma_1 \sigma_0 \rho \lambda}^{(m)} = E_{\sigma_1 \sigma_0 \rho \lambda} + \chi F_{\sigma_1 \sigma_0 \rho \lambda}^{(p)} . \tag{4.46}
$$

28 Y. Tsue, C. Providência, J da Providência and M. Yamamura

In the same idea as that in §3, we rewrite $E_{\sigma_1\sigma_0\rho\lambda}$ and $F_{\sigma_1\sigma_0\rho\lambda}^{(p)}$ in terms of the fermion number N. The relations (2.53) and (2.55) can be rewritten as

$$
\hat{\Omega} = n_0 - 2 + \hat{T}_0 , \qquad (4.47)
$$

$$
\hat{N} = 3n_0 - 6 + 3\hat{T}_0 + \frac{1}{2}(\hat{S}_1^1 + \hat{S}_2^2 + \hat{S}_3^3) .
$$
\n(4.48)

The relation (4.47) was already used in the relations (3.32) and (3.35). Operation of $\hat{\Omega}$ and \hat{N} on $|\lambda \rho \sigma_0 \sigma_1 \rangle$ leads us to

$$
\Omega = n_0 - 2 + T = n_0 + \sigma_1 , \qquad (4.49)
$$

$$
N = 3n_0 + 4(\lambda + \rho) + 2\sigma_1 - 2\sigma_0 = 2n_0 + n_1 + 4(\lambda + \rho) . \tag{4.50}
$$

Substituting the forms (4.49) and (4.50) to the relations (4.45) and $(4.43b)$, we can express the energy eigenvalue in terms of N :

$$
E_{Nn_0n_1\rho} = -\left(\frac{1}{2}N - \frac{1}{2}(2n_0 + n_1) - 2\rho\right)\left(2\Omega + 1 - \frac{1}{2}n_1 - \frac{1}{2}N\right)
$$

$$
-2\rho(2\Omega + 3 - 2n_0 - 2\rho) ,
$$

$$
F_{Nn_0n_1\rho}^{(p)} = G_{Nn_0n_1} + 2E_{Nn_0n_1\rho} ,
$$
 (4.51)

$$
G_{Nn_0n_1} = 2(\Omega - n_0)(\Omega - n_0 + 3) + (\Omega - n_1)^2 - \frac{1}{3}(3\Omega - N)(3\Omega - N + 6).
$$
\n(4.52)

The case $(n_1 = n_0, \ \rho = 0)$ gives the expression for the symmetric representation. From the relation (4.50), it follows that $N - (2n_0 + n_1)$ must be a positive even integer, the reason being that $4(\lambda + \rho)$ is positive even integer. This means that the change in the fermion number relatively to that of the minimum weight state is restricted to even number, i.e., it is of the pairing-type.

In \S 3, we knew that there exists a range where N can change. In the present case, we will discuss this problem. The relation (2.20) gives us

$$
n_0 \le n_1 \le 2\Omega - n_0 \tag{4.53}
$$

From the condition $2\Omega - n_0 \geq n_0$, we have

$$
n_0 \le \Omega \tag{4.54}
$$

In the present case, the relation (4.26b) gives us $2t - 1 = 2\Omega - (n_0 + n_1)$ and $2\tau - 3 = n_1 - n_0$. The condition $2t - 1 \geq 2\tau - 3$ in the relation (4.33a) is equivalent to

$$
n_1 \le \Omega \tag{4.55}
$$

In this case, $2\lambda \leq 2\Omega - (n_0 + n_1)$ and $2\rho \leq n_1 - n_0$. Then, we have

$$
4(\lambda + \rho) \le 4(\Omega - n_0). \tag{4.56}
$$

From the expression (4.50) for N, we obtain

$$
2n_0 + n_1 \le N \le 4\Omega - 2n_0 + n_1. \tag{4.57}
$$

The condition $2t - 1 \leq 2\tau - 3$ is equivalent to

$$
\Omega \le n_1
$$
, i.e., $\Omega \le n_1 \le 2\Omega - n_0$. (4.58)

In this case, the relation (4.33b) gives us $2\lambda \leq 2\Omega - (n_0 + n_1)$, $2\rho \leq 2\Omega - (n_0 + n_1)$, which leads to

$$
4(\lambda + \rho) \le 8\Omega - 4(n_0 + n_1) \tag{4.59}
$$

The expression (4.50) gives us

$$
2n_0 + n_1 \le N \le 8\Omega - 2n_0 - 3n_1 . \tag{4.60}
$$

Next, we investigate the range where ρ can change for a given N. In the case where $n_0 \leq \Omega$ and $n_1 \leq \Omega$, in addition to $2\rho \leq n_1 - n_0$, we have $2\lambda \leq 2\Omega - (n_0 + n_1)$, which gives

$$
0 \le 2\lambda = \frac{1}{2}(N - (2n_0 + n_1)) - 2\rho \le 2\Omega - (n_0 + n_1),
$$

i.e.,
$$
\frac{1}{2}(N - 4\Omega + n_1) \le 2\rho \le \frac{1}{2}(N - (2n_0 + n_1)).
$$
 (4.61)

Then, by comparing $(n_1 - n_0)$ with $(N - (2n_0 + n_1))/2$, we have

$$
\frac{1}{2}(N - 4\Omega + n_1) \le 2\rho \le n_1 - n_0 , \qquad (3n_1 \le N) \tag{4-62a}
$$

$$
\frac{1}{2}(N - 4\Omega + n_1) \le 2\rho \le \frac{1}{2}(N - (2n_0 + n_1)) \quad (3n_1 \ge N) \quad (4.62b)
$$

Further, we notice the sign of $(N - 4\Omega + n_1)/2$. Then, from the condition (4.62), we obtain

if
$$
2n_0 + n_1 \le N \le 3n_1
$$
, $0 \le 2\rho \le \frac{1}{2}(N - (2n_0 + n_1))$,
$$
(4.63a)
$$

if
$$
3n_1 \le N \le 4\Omega - n_1
$$
, $0 \le 2\rho \le n_1 - n_0$,
$$
(4.63b)
$$

if
$$
4\Omega - n_1 \le N \le 4\Omega - 2n_0 + n_1
$$
, $\frac{1}{2}(N - 4\Omega + n_1) \le 2\rho \le n_1 - n_0$. (4.63c)

Of course, we can prove $4\Omega - n_1 \geq 3n_1 \geq 2n_0 + n_1$ and $4\Omega - 2n_0 + n_1 \geq 4\Omega - n_1$. The case where $n_0 \leq \Omega$ and $\Omega \leq n_1 \leq 2\Omega - n_0$ is also treated in the same idea as that in the previous case. Instead of the relation $2\rho \leq n_1 - n_0$, we use $2\rho \leq 2\Omega - (n_0 + n_1)$ and compare $(2\Omega - (n_0 + n_1))$ with $(N - 4\Omega + n_1)/2$. The result is as follows:

if
$$
2n_0 + n_1 \le N \le 4\Omega - n_1
$$
, $0 \le 2\rho \le \frac{1}{2}(N - (2n_0 + n_1))$,
$$
(4.64a)
$$

if
$$
4\Omega - n_1 \le N \le 8\Omega - 2n_0 - 3n_1
$$
, $\frac{1}{2}(N - 4\Omega + n_1) \le 2\rho \le \frac{1}{2}(N - (2n_0 + n_1))$. (4.64b)

Of course, we have $4\Omega - n_1 \geq 2n_0 + n_1$ and $8\Omega - 2n_0 - 3n_1 \geq 4\Omega - n_1$.

Finally, we should contact with the treatment from the side of $N = 6\Omega$. This case can be treated by replacing N with $(6\Omega - N)$. The detail will be given in §5.

§5. Discussion

5.1. The triplet formation

The most important and interesting result of the Bonn model may be to describe the triplet formation quite naturally in spite of two-body interaction. In this subsection, first, we will analyze our result for the case of triplet formation, which is obtained in the framework of the original Bonn model Hamiltonian (2.8) ($\chi = 0$).

The energy eigenvalue (3.55a), $E_{Nn_0\tau\lambda}$, and the associated form $\mathcal{E}_{6\Omega-Nn_0\tau\lambda}$ (= $E_{6\Omega-Nn_0\tau\lambda} + 2(3\Omega - N)$ may be written as

$$
E_{Nn_0\tau\lambda} = E_0(N, n_0) + \mathcal{E}(N, \tau, \lambda) , \qquad (5.1a)
$$

$$
\mathcal{E}_{6\Omega - Nn_0\tau\lambda} = E_0(N, n_0) + \mathcal{E}(6\Omega - N, \tau, \lambda) , \qquad (5.1b)
$$

where

$$
E_0(N, n_0) = -\frac{1}{3}N\left(2\Omega + 3 - \frac{1}{3}N\right) + n_0(2\Omega + 3 - n_0), \qquad (5.2)
$$

$$
\mathcal{E}(N,\tau,\lambda) = \begin{cases}\n-\frac{4}{9} \left(\tau - \frac{3}{2} - 2\lambda\right) (N - N_c), & \left(2\lambda \neq \tau - \frac{3}{2}\right) \\
\left(\tau - \frac{3}{2}\right) \left(\tau + \frac{1}{2}\right) & \left(2\lambda = \tau - \frac{3}{2}\right)\n\end{cases}\n\tag{5-3}
$$

$$
N_c = 3\Omega - \frac{5}{4} \left(\tau - \frac{3}{2} - 2\lambda \right) + \frac{9}{4} \cdot \frac{\left(\tau - \frac{3}{2} \right) \left(\tau + \frac{1}{2} \right)}{\tau - \frac{3}{2} - 2\lambda} \,. \tag{5.4}
$$

We observe that $E_0(N, n_0)$ is of the form of the expression given by Petry et al.¹⁾ In this sense, our results contain the cases which Petry et al. did not discuss. Moreover, in the case $0 \leq 2\lambda < \tau - 3/2$, for $N < 3\Omega$, $N = 3\Omega$ and $N > 3\Omega$, we have, respectively, $E_{Nn_0\tau\lambda} > \mathcal{E}_{6\Omega-Nn_0\tau\lambda}$, $E_{Nn_0\tau\lambda} = \mathcal{E}_{6\Omega-Nn_0\tau\lambda}$ and $E_{Nn_0\tau\lambda} < \mathcal{E}_{6\Omega-Nn_0\tau\lambda}$. In the case $\tau - 3/2 < 2\lambda \leq 2(\tau - 3/2)$, vice versa. In the case $2\lambda = \tau - 3/2$, $E_{Nn_0\tau\lambda} =$ $\mathcal{E}_{6\Omega-Nn_0\tau\lambda}$. Of course, N in these cases should obey the condition (3.60). For the case $\tau = 3/2$, only $\lambda = 0$ is permitted and $\mathcal{E}(N, \tau, \lambda)$ vanishes, that is, $E_{Nn_0\tau\lambda} >$ $\mathcal{E}_{6\Omega-Nn_0\tau\lambda} = E_0(N,n)$. Therefore, the case $\tau = 3/2$ is in a special position, and later, its physical meaning will be discussed. From the above consideration, it is interesting to investigate the deviation from $E_0(N, n_0)$ for $\tau > 3/2$.

We are led to study a set of the inequalities

$$
\mathcal{E}(N,\tau,\lambda) < 0 \;, \qquad \mathcal{E}(6\Omega - N,\tau,\lambda) < 0 \;, \tag{5.5}
$$

which imply $E_{Nn_0\tau\lambda} < E_0(N,n_0)$ and $\mathcal{E}_{6\Omega-Nn_0\tau\lambda} < E_0(N,n_0)$, respectively. We discuss the case $\mathcal{E}(N,\tau,\lambda) < 0$ in rather detail. The relation (5.3) shows that in the case $2\lambda = \tau - 3/2$, $\mathcal{E}(N, \tau, \lambda) > 0$ and in the case $2\lambda \neq \tau - 3/2$, we have the inequality

$$
\left(\tau - \frac{3}{2} - 2\lambda\right)(N - N_c) > 0.
$$
\n^(5.6)

Therefore, we have

$$
N_c < N \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \le 2\lambda < \tau - \frac{3}{2} \,,\tag{5.7}
$$

Many-Quark Model with su(4) Algebraic Structure 31

$$
N_c > N \quad \text{for} \quad \tau - \frac{3}{2} < 2\lambda \le 2\left(\tau - \frac{3}{2}\right) \tag{5.8}
$$

For the cases (5.7) and (5.8) , N should satisfy, respectively,

$$
N_c < N_{\text{max}}
$$
, $N_{\text{max}} = 6\Omega - 3n_0 - 4\left(\tau - \frac{3}{2}\right) + 2\lambda$, (5.9)

$$
N_c > N_{\min}
$$
, $N_{\min} = 3n_0 + 2\left(\tau - \frac{3}{2}\right) + 2\lambda$. (5.10)

The conditions (5.7) and (5.8) should be compatible with the relations (5.9) and (5.10), respectively. We search condition which satisfies the above-mentioned compatibility. After a rather lengthy consideration, we have the following: The inequality $\mathcal{E}(N,\tau,\lambda)$ < 0 realizes in the set (N,τ,λ) satisfying

$$
N_c < N \le N_{\text{max}} \tag{5.11}
$$

$$
0 < \tau - \frac{3}{2} < \frac{3}{5} \left(\Omega - n_0 - \frac{3}{2} \right) \tag{5.12}
$$

$$
0 \le 2\lambda < 2\lambda_c \tag{5.13}
$$

where N_c and N_{max} are given in the relations (5.4) and (5.9), respectively, and $2\lambda_c$ is defined as

$$
2\lambda_c = \left(\tau - \frac{3}{2}\right)(1 - \delta_c),
$$

$$
\delta_c = \frac{3}{2} \cdot \frac{\tau + \frac{1}{2}}{(\Omega - n_0) - (\tau - \frac{3}{2}) + \sqrt{((\Omega - n_0) - (\tau - \frac{3}{2}))^2 + \frac{1}{4}(\tau - \frac{3}{2})(\tau + \frac{1}{2})}}.
$$
(5.14)

The case $\mathcal{E}(6\Omega - N, \tau, \lambda) < 0$ is treated by replacing N in $\mathcal{E}(N, \tau, \lambda)$ with $(6\Omega - N)$. Then, only the relation (5.11) changes to

$$
6\Omega - N_{\text{max}} \le N < 6\Omega - N_c \,,\tag{5.15}
$$

where

 \mathcal{L}

$$
6\Omega - N_{\text{max}} = 3n_0 + 4\left(\tau - \frac{3}{2}\right) - 2\lambda ,
$$

$$
6\Omega - N_c = 3\Omega + \frac{5}{4}\left(\tau - \frac{3}{2} - 2\lambda\right) - \frac{9}{4} \cdot \frac{\left(\tau - \frac{3}{2}\right)\left(\tau + \frac{1}{2}\right)}{\tau - \frac{3}{2} - 2\lambda} .
$$
 (5.16)

The above is the condition which leads us to the inequality (5.5).

Now, we will investigate the case $\tau = 3/2$ in more detail and through this discussion, the physical meaning of this case will be clarified. The relations (3.16) and (3.17) give us

$$
\lambda = \mu = \nu = \nu_0 = 0
$$
 for $\tau = \frac{3}{2}$. (5.17)

The states with these quantum numbers can be expressed as

$$
\|tT;b\rangle = \sum_{t_0+\tau_0=T} C_{tt_0\frac{3}{2}\tau_0}(T)(\hat{\tau}_+)^{\tau_0-\frac{3}{2}}|0\rangle \otimes (\hat{t}_+)^{t_0-t}(\hat{b}^*)^{2t-1}|0\rangle ,\qquad(5.18)
$$

$$
\|tT;a\}\ = \sum_{t_0+\tau_0=T} C_{tt_0\frac{3}{2}\tau_0}(T)(\hat{\tau}_+)^{\tau_0-\frac{3}{2}}|0\rangle \otimes (\hat{t}_+)^{t_0-t}(\hat{a}^*)^{2t-1}|0\rangle \;, \tag{5.19}
$$

The state (5.18) is of the abbreviated form of the state (3.23) and the state (5.19) is obtained by replacing \hat{b}^* with \hat{a}^* in the state (5.18). The energy eigenvalue is $E_0(N, n_0)$ (cf. Eq.(5.2)). Of course, N is a multiplet of 3 and satisfies

$$
3n_0 \le N \le 6\Omega - 3n_0 \tag{5.20}
$$

The states (5.18) and (5.19) are constructed from the operators \hat{t}_+ , $\hat{\tau}_-$, \hat{b}^* and \hat{a}^* . They are color-neutral in the sense that they are invariant under the group $SU(3)$, since the operators $\hat{b}^*, \hat{a}^*, \{\hat{t}_\mu\}$ and $\{\hat{\tau}_\mu\}$ commute with the $su(3)$ generators (2.6) . The states with $\tau > 3/2$ are no longer invariant under the group $SU(3)$ and so they are not color-neutral. As was already discussed, in the original Bonn model Hamiltonian, colored states are lower in energy than the color-neutral ones if N , τ and λ satisfy the conditions (5.11)∼(5.13) and (5.15). This result is characteristic of the original Bonn model.

However, position of the colored state can be controlled by adopting the Hamiltonian modified from that in the original Bonn model, that is, $\hat{H}_m = \hat{H} + \chi \hat{\bar{Q}}^2$ given in the relation (2.10). As was already shown, the eigenstates of \hat{H}_m does not change from those of \hat{H} . The eigenvalue of \hat{Q}^2 is given in the relation (3.55b), i.e., $F_{\tau\lambda}^{(t)}$ τλ $(= F^{(t)}(\tau, \lambda))$. We can observe the following relation in $F^{(t)}(\tau, \lambda)$:

$$
F^{(t)}(\tau, \lambda) = 0
$$
, if $\tau = \frac{3}{2} (\lambda = 0)$, (5.21a)

$$
F^{(t)}(\tau, \lambda) > 0
$$
, if $\tau > \frac{3}{2} \quad (0 < 2\lambda \le 2\tau - 3)$. (5.21b)

Therefore, the energy eigenvalue of \hat{H}_m , $E_{Nn_0\tau\lambda}^{(m)}$, is changed from the form (5.1a). (Later, we will discuss the case $(5.1b)$):

$$
E_{Nn_0\frac{3}{2}0}^{(m)} = E_0(N, n_0) \tag{5.22}
$$

$$
E_{Nn_0\tau\lambda}^{(m)} = E_0(N, n_0) + \mathcal{E}^{(m)}(N, \tau, \lambda) , \quad \left(\tau > \frac{3}{2}\right)
$$
 (5.23)

$$
\mathcal{E}^{(m)}(N,\tau,\lambda) = \mathcal{E}(N,\tau,\lambda) + \chi F^{(t)}(\tau,\lambda) . \tag{5.24}
$$

The relation (5.22) tells us that even if $\chi \hat{\mathbf{Q}}^2$ is switched on, the energy of the colorneutral states does not change from $E_0(N, n_0)$ obtained in the original Bonn model Hamiltonian. On the other hand, as is shown in the form (5.23), the energy of the colored state $(\tau > 3/2)$ is influenced by $\chi \mathbf{Q}^2$. Therefore, even if $\mathcal{E}(N, \tau, \lambda) < 0$, by choosing χ appropriately, we can make

$$
\mathcal{E}^{(m)}(N,\tau,\lambda) > 0 , \qquad \text{i.e.,} \qquad \chi > \frac{-\mathcal{E}(N,\tau,\lambda)}{F^{(t)}(\tau,\lambda)} . \tag{5.25}
$$

In the case (5.1b), even if $\mathcal{E}(6\Omega - N, \tau, \lambda) < 0$, under an appropriate choice of χ , $\mathcal{E}^{(m)}(6\Omega-N,\tau,\lambda)$ (= $\mathcal{E}(6\Omega-N,\tau,\lambda)+\chi F^{(t)}(\tau,\lambda)$) becomes

$$
\mathcal{E}^{(m)}(6\Omega - N, \tau, \lambda) > 0 , \qquad \text{i.e.,} \qquad \chi > \frac{-\mathcal{E}(6\Omega - N, \tau, \lambda)}{F^{(t)}(\tau, \lambda)} . \tag{5.26}
$$

Of course, in the cases $\mathcal{E}(N,\tau,\lambda) > 0$ and $\mathcal{E}(6\Omega - N,\tau,\lambda) > 0$, the signs of the inequalities (5.25) and (5.26) are inverted. The above is a new feature which cannot be observed in the original Bonn model.

5.2. The pairing correlation

Before investigating the effect of $\chi \hat{\mathbf{Q}}^2$ in the present case, we discuss the case $\chi = 0$. In this case, the most interesting discussion may be related to the comparison of the symmetric and the partial symmetric representation with each other. The former representation leads to $n_1 = n_0$ and $\rho = 0$. The energy eigenvalue (4.51) reduces to

$$
E^{(s)}(N,n_0) = -\left(\frac{1}{2}N - \frac{3}{2}n_0\right)\left(2\Omega + 1 - \frac{1}{2}n_0 - \frac{1}{2}N\right) .
$$
 (5.27)

Further, the relation (4.57) gives us

$$
3n_0 \le N \le 4\Omega - n_0 \tag{5.28}
$$

By replacing N in the relations (5.27) and (5.28) with $(6\Omega - N)$ and by adding $2(3\Omega - N)$, we have

$$
\mathcal{E}^{(s)}(6\Omega - N, n_0) = -\left(\frac{1}{2}N - \Omega - \frac{1}{2}n_0\right)\left(3\Omega + 3 - \frac{3}{2}n_0 - \frac{1}{2}N\right) ,
$$

2\Omega + n_0 \le N \le 6\Omega - 3n_0 . (5.29)

The relation (4.54) gives us

$$
0 \le n_0 \le \Omega \tag{5.30}
$$

Next, we show the results for the case $n_1 > n_0$, in which new notation δ is used:

$$
\delta = n_1 - n_0 \tag{5.31}
$$

Then, the relation (4.51) is rewritten as

$$
E^{(p)}(N, n_0, \delta, \rho) = E^{(s)}(N, n_0) + \Delta E(N, n_0, \delta, \rho) ,
$$

\n
$$
\Delta E(N, n_0, \delta, \rho) = \delta \cdot \left(\Omega + \frac{1}{2} - n_0 \right) - \frac{1}{4} \delta^2
$$

\n
$$
-2\rho \left(\frac{1}{2} N - \frac{3}{2} n_0 + 2 + \frac{\delta}{2} \right) + (2\rho)^2 .
$$
 (5.32)

The quantities n_0 , δ , N and 2ρ take their values in the following ranges:

(1) $0 \le n_0 < \Omega$, $0 < \delta \le \Omega - n_0$,

34 Y. Tsue, C. Providência, J da Providência and M. Yamamura

(i)
$$
3n_0 + \delta \le N \le 3n_0 + 3\delta
$$
, $0 \le 2\rho \le \frac{1}{2}(N - 3n_0 - \delta)$, $(5.33a)$

(ii)
$$
3n_0 + 3\delta \le N \le 4\Omega - n_0 - \delta
$$
, $0 \le 2\rho \le \delta$, $(5.33b)$

(iii)
$$
4\Omega - n_0 - \delta \le N \le 4\Omega - n_0 + \delta
$$
, $\frac{1}{2}(N - 4\Omega + n_0 + \delta) \le 2\rho \le \delta$, (5.33c)

(2)
$$
0 \le n_0 < \Omega
$$
, $\Omega - n_0 \le \delta \le 2\Omega - 2n_0$,

(iv)
$$
3n_0 + \delta \le N \le 4\Omega - n_0 - \delta
$$
, $0 \le 2\rho \le \frac{1}{2}(N - 3n_0 - \delta)$, (5.34a)

(v)
$$
4\Omega - n_0 - \delta \le N \le 8\Omega - 5n_0 - 3\delta
$$
,
\n
$$
\frac{1}{2}(N - 4\Omega + n_0 + \delta) \le 2\rho \le \frac{1}{2}(N - 3n_0 - \delta).
$$
\n(5.34b)

The relations (5.33) and (5.34) come from the conditions (4.54) , (4.55) and (4.63) and the conditions (4.54), (4.58) and (4.64), respectively. By replacing N with $(6\Omega-N)$, we obtain the expressions calculated from the side $N = 6\Omega$:

$$
\mathcal{E}^{(p)}(6\Omega - N, n_0, \delta, \rho) = \mathcal{E}^{(s)}(6\Omega - N, n_0) + \Delta E(6\Omega - N, n_0, \delta, \rho) ,
$$

\n
$$
\Delta E(6\Omega - N, n_0, \delta, \rho) = \delta \cdot \left(\Omega + \frac{1}{2} - n_0\right) - \frac{1}{4}\delta^2
$$

\n
$$
-2\rho \left(3\Omega - \frac{1}{2}N - \frac{3}{2}n_0 + 2 + \frac{\delta}{2}\right) + (2\rho)^2 .
$$
 (5.35)

(1)'
$$
0 \le n_0 < \Omega
$$
, $0 < \delta \le \Omega - n_0$,
\n(i)' $6\Omega - 3n_0 - 3\delta \le N \le 6\Omega - 3n_0 - \delta$, $0 \le 2\rho \le \frac{1}{2}(6\Omega - N - 3n_0 - \delta)$,
\n(i)' 2Ω is 6 N 620, 20 s 620 s 65 s (60 s 65 s) (5.36a)

(ii)'
$$
2\Omega + n_0 + \delta \le N \le 6\Omega - 3n_0 - \delta
$$
, $0 \le 2\rho \le \delta$, (5.36b)

(iii)'
$$
2\Omega + n_0 - \delta \le N \le 2\Omega + n_0 + \delta
$$
, $\frac{1}{2}(2\Omega - N + n_0 + \delta) \le 2\rho \le \delta$, (5.36c)

$$
(2)' \quad 0 \le n_0 < \Omega \;, \quad \Omega - n_0 \le \delta \le 2\Omega - 2n_0 \;,
$$
\n
$$
(iv)' \quad 2\Omega + n_0 + \delta \le N \le 6\Omega - 3n_0 - \delta \;, \quad 0 \le 2\rho \le \frac{1}{2}(6\Omega - N - 3n_0 - \delta) \;,
$$
\n
$$
(v)' \quad -2\Omega + 5n_0 + 3\delta \le N \le 2\Omega + n_0 + \delta \;,
$$
\n
$$
(5.37a)
$$

$$
\frac{1}{2}(2\Omega - N + n_0 + \delta) \le 2\rho \le \frac{1}{2}(6\Omega - N - 3n_0 - \delta) \tag{5.37b}
$$

We can choose the minimum energy state. In the case $\chi = 0$, the total energy and the quantity n_1 in the energy minimum states are depicted as functions of particle number N in the lower and upper panels, respectively, in Fig.1, in which we fix $n_0 = 0$. The parameter Ω is taken as 6 and in this numerical calculation, we treat N as a continuous variable. Of course, N is meaningful when N is integer. In

this case, it should be noted that n_1 changes continuously, and then, the behavior of energy as a function of N corresponds to the change of n_1 .

Our next task is to investigate the effect of $\chi \hat{Q}^2$. In §5.1, we learned that in the case of the triplet formation there exist the color-neutral states, which are not influenced by this effect. In the present case, we can show also that there exist the states which are not influenced by $\chi \hat{\mathbf{Q}}^2$ and they are identical to the states (5.18) and (5.19). First, we set up the condition that $\hat{Q}_+(\lambda \mu \nu \nu_0)$ defined in the relation (A.8) should be a unit operator. This can be realized in the case

$$
\lambda = \mu = \nu = \nu_0 = 0.
$$
 (5.38)

This condition is analogous to the condition (5.17) . Under this condition, the state (4.24) is reduced to $|0\rho\sigma_1\sigma_0\rangle = (\hat{S}^4)^{2\rho}|\sigma_0,\sigma_1\rangle$. From the set $\{|0\rho\sigma_1\sigma_0\rangle\}$, we select the states in which the energy eigenvalues are not influenced by $\chi \hat{\mathbf{Q}}^2$. If we notice $F^{(p)}_{\sigma_1\sigma_0\rho\lambda}$ given in the relation (4.43b), the condition for selecting the states with our aim is given as

$$
F_{\sigma_1 \sigma_0 \rho 0}^{(p)} = \frac{2}{3} \left(2(\sigma_1 - \sigma_0) - 2\rho \right) \left(2(\sigma_1 - \sigma_0) - 2\rho + 3 \right) = 0 \tag{5.39}
$$

Noting $2(\sigma_1 - \sigma_0) = n_1 - n_0$, the relation (5.39) gives us

$$
2\rho = n_1 - n_0 \,. \tag{5.40}
$$

Then, the total quark number N shown in the relation (4.50) is given as

$$
N = 2n_0 + n_1 + 2(n_1 - n_0) = 3n_1 , \text{ i.e., } n_1 = \frac{N}{3} .
$$
 (5.41)

Fig. 1. The total energy (lower panel) and n_1 (upper panel) are shown in a function of N. The parameters are taken as $\Omega = 6$ and $\chi = 0$.

Fig. 2. The total energy (lower panel) and n_1 (upper panel) are shown in a function of N. The parameters are taken as $\Omega = 6$ and $\chi = -1/4$. In the lower panel, the dashed curve represents the energy in the case $\chi = 0$.

The energy eigenvalue (4.51) is reduced to

$$
E_{Nn_0n_1\rho} = -\left(\frac{N}{3} - n_0\right)\left(2\Omega + 3 - \frac{N}{3} - n_0\right) . \tag{5.42}
$$

This form is identical to the energy eigenvalue for the color-neutral triplet state (5.2). With the use of the expression (4.30), the eigenstates are obtained in the form

$$
|0\rho\sigma_{1}\sigma_{0}\rangle = \left(\sum_{r=0}^{2\rho}(-)^{2\rho-r}D_{t\tau\rho}(r)(\hat{\tau}_{+})^{r}(\hat{t}_{+})^{n_{1}-n_{0}-r}\right)(\hat{b}^{*})^{2(\Omega-n_{1})}|0\rangle ,
$$
\n
$$
(n_{1} \leq \Omega) \quad (5.43)
$$
\n
$$
|0\rho\sigma_{1}\sigma_{0}\rangle = \left(\sum_{r=0}^{2\rho}(-)^{2\rho-r}D_{t\tau\rho}(r)(\hat{\tau}_{+})^{r}(\hat{t}_{+})^{2\Omega-n_{0}-n_{1}-r}\right)(\hat{a}^{*})^{2(n_{1}-\Omega)}|0\rangle .
$$
\n
$$
(n_{1} \geq \Omega) \quad (5.44)
$$

We can show that the states (5.43) and (5.44) are reduced to the states (5.18) and (5.19), respectively, through the relations $\tau_0 = 3/2 + r$, $t_0 = \Omega + 1/2 - n_0 - r$, $t = \Omega - n_1 + 1/2$ $(n_1 \leq \Omega)$ and $t = n_1 - \Omega + 1/2$ $(n_1 \geq \Omega)$. Thus, in the framework of the pair correlation, we could derive the color-neutral triplet states.

The state $|0\rho\sigma_1\sigma_0\rangle$ shown in the forms (5.43) and (5.44) can be rewritten as

$$
|0\rho\sigma_1\sigma_0\rangle = (\hat{S}^4)^{n_1 - n_0}(\hat{b}_1^*\hat{b})^{n_1 - n_0}|\Omega(n_0)\rangle ,
$$
\n(5.45a)

$$
|\Omega(n_0)\rangle = (\hat{b}^*)^{2(\Omega - n_0)}|0\rangle. \tag{5.45b}
$$

Fig. 3. The total energy (lower panel) and n_1 (upper panel) are shown in a function of N. The parameters are taken as $\Omega = 6$ and $\chi = -1/5$. In the lower panel, the dashed curve represents the energy in the case $\chi = 0$.

The state $|\Omega(n_0)\rangle$ is the eigenstate of $\hat{\Omega}$ and \hat{N}_i defined in the relations (2.53) and (2.54) with the eigenvalues Ω and n_0 , respectively. Further, we have $\hat{b}^*\hat{b}_1| \Omega(n_0)\rangle =$ $\hat{S}_4| \Omega(n_0) \rangle = 0.$ Therefore, the color-neutral triplet state $|0\rho\sigma_1\sigma_0 \rangle$ is generated by operating $(\hat{S}^4)^{n_1-n_0}(\hat{b}_1^*\hat{b})^{n_1-n_0}$ on $|\Omega(n_0)\rangle$, which is also color-neutral. The above argument teaches us that the operator $(\hat{S}^4)^{n_1-n_0}(\hat{b}_1^*\hat{b})^{n_1-n_0}$ plays a role of generating (n_1-n_0) color-neutral triplets on $|\Omega(n_0)\rangle$, i.e., (n_1-n_0) nucleons. Then, n_0 nucleons may be in the ∆-excitation. The above is nothing but the picture presented in the original Bonn model.¹⁾ The above argument can be generalized to the case $\lambda \neq 0$. The state $|\lambda \rho \sigma_1 \sigma_0 \rangle$ is rewritten as

$$
|\lambda \rho \sigma_1 \sigma_0 \rangle = (\hat{S}^3)^{2\lambda} \cdot (\hat{S}^4)^{2\rho} (\hat{b}_1^* \hat{b})^{2\rho} \cdot (\hat{b}_1^*)^{2(\sigma_1 - \sigma_0 - \rho)} (\hat{b}^*)^{2(\sigma_0 - \rho)} |0\rangle. \tag{5.46}
$$

By operating $(\hat{S}^4)^{2\rho}(\hat{b}_1^*\hat{b})^{2\rho}$ on the state $(\hat{b}_1^*)^{2(\sigma_1-\sigma_0-\rho)}(\hat{b}^*)^{2(\sigma_0-\rho|0\rangle}$, the triplet state is formed, and further, by operating $(\hat{S}^3)^{2\lambda}$ on this state, we obtain $|\lambda \rho \sigma_1 \sigma_0 \rangle$. The above is very similar to the case of the $su(2)$ -pairing model.

Under the above circumstance, we analyze the effect of $\chi \hat{\mathbf{Q}}^2$. The eigenvalue of $\hat{\mathbf{Q}}^2$ is given in the relation (4.52) and it is positive-definite. Therefore, if $\chi > 0$ or χ < 0, the energy eigenvalue becomes larger or smaller than the original value $E_{Nn_0n_1\rho}$, respectively, and its value $E_{Nn_0}^{(m)}$ $\binom{n}{Nn_0n_1\rho}$ can be expressed as

$$
E_{Nn_0n_1\rho}^{(m)} = E_{Nn_0n_1\rho} + \chi F_{Nn_0n_1\rho}^{(p)}
$$

= $(1 + 2\chi)E_{Nn_0n_1\rho} + \chi G_{Nn_0n_1}$. (5.47)

Fig. 4. The total energy (lower panel) and n_1 (upper panel) are shown in a function of N. The parameters are taken as $\Omega = 6$ and $\chi = -1/8$. In the lower panel, the dashed curve represents the energy in the case $\chi = 0$.

Of course, we have also

$$
\mathcal{E}_{6\Omega-Nn_0n_1\rho}^{(m)} = (1+2\chi)\mathcal{E}_{6\Omega-Nn_0n_1\rho} + \chi G_{6\Omega-Nn_0n_1} . \tag{5.48}
$$

In the relations (5.47) and (5.48), we can see interesting features. The effects of $\chi \hat{\mathbf{Q}}^2$ are divided to two types. First is related to $\chi G_{Nn_0n_1}$. In this case, $F_{Nn_0n_1\rho}^{(p)} > 0$ and $E_{Nn_0n_1\rho} < 0$, and then, $G_{Nn_0n_1} > 0$. Therefore, depending the sign of χ , all the energy eigenvalues specified by (N, n_0, n_1) are raised or lowered. Second is related to $(1+2\chi)E_{Nn_0n_1\rho}$. By the factor $(1+2\chi)$, $E_{Nn_0n_1\rho}$ is scaled up or down. In the triplet formation, all the energy eigenvalues specified by (t, λ) are raised or lowered, but, $E_{Nn_0\tau\lambda}$ itself does not change.

The effects of $\chi \hat{Q}^2$ are investigated numerically. As is mentioned in §5.1., there is the situation in which the energy of colored state is lower than that of the color neutral one in the original Bonn model with $\chi = 0$. However, if the $\chi \hat{\boldsymbol{Q}}^2$ term, which also retains the color $su(3)$ symmetry, is switched on, then the energy of colored state is influenced while the energy of color neutral one has no effect. If χ is positive, the energy of colored state raises in comparison with that of color neutral one. Thus, the color neutrality of the physical state is not broken. The same situation occurs because the color neutral state has no effect for the $\chi \hat{Q}^2$ term due to the honor of Eq.(5.40) in the pairing correlation. Thus, we should take χ being positive in order to retain the color neutrality of the physical state. However, for the sake of the instruction, first, we take χ as negative values.

In Fig.2, the total energy (lower panel) and n_1 (upper panel) are shown for the

Fig. 5. The total energy (lower panel) and n_1 (upper panel) are shown in a function of N. The parameters are taken as $\Omega = 6$ and $\chi = 1$. In the lower panel, the dashed curve represents the energy in the case $\chi = 0$.

energy minimum state in the case $\chi = -1/4$ and $\Omega = 6$. We fix the same value $n_0 = 0$ as the case $\chi = 0$ in Fig.1. The dashed curve represents the minimum energy in the case $\chi = 0$ for the comparison. For all the regions of $N (= 0 \sim 6\Omega)$, the quantity n_1 is zero and the total energy with $\chi = -1/4$ is lower than that with $\chi = 0$. Figure 3 shows the same quantities (total energy and n_1) in the case $\chi = -1/5$. It should be noted that the structure for n_1 appears, that is, the quantity n_1 shows discontinuity. Namely, the n_1 has gap. In the regions $N \leq 16$ and $N \geq 20$, the quantity n_1 is zero. In these regions, the total energy is lower than that in the color neutral case, $\chi = 0$. However, in the region $16 < N < 20$, n_1 is equal to $N/3$ or $2\Omega - N/3$. In this region, the minimum energy is equivalent to that of the color neutral case, $\chi = 0$. Thus, according to $n_1 = 0$ or $n_1 \neq 0$, the structure of the minimum energy states changes from that of the colored state to the color neutral one.

Contrarily, in the case $\chi = -1/8$, the quantity n_1 has no gap and changes continuously as is shown in Fig.4. But, the situation is similar to the case $\chi = -1/5$. In the regions $0 \leq N \leq 13$ and $23 \leq N \leq 36$, n_1 is zero. On the other hand, in the regions $14 \leq N \leq 18$ and $18 \leq N \leq 22$, the quantity n_1 is $N/3$ and $2\Omega - N/3$, respectively. In the regions $13 \leq N \leq 14$ and $22 \leq N \leq 23$, n_1 has the value where all regions are connected continuously. In correspondence with the change of n_1 , the state with minimum energy also changes.

The above facts pointed out in Figs.2∼4, which show the total energy and n_1 with negative values for χ , indicate that the quantity n_1 can be regarded as a order parameter of the phase transition. In the case $\chi = -1/5$, n_1 has gap, and then, the transition may be regarded as the first order. On the contrary, in the case $\chi = -1/8$,

Fig. 6. The total energy (lower panel) and n_1 (upper panel) are shown in a function of N. The parameters are taken as $\Omega = 6$ and $\chi = 3/2$. In the lower panel, the dashed curve represents the energy in the case $\chi = 0$.

 n_1 has no gap, and then, the transition may be regarded as the second order in terms of the usual phase transition. We can show that, in these parameterizations $\Omega = 6$ and $n_0 = 0$, $\chi = -1/6$ gives a critical point, that is, $\chi \leq -1/6$ gives the first order, and $\chi > -1/6$ gives the second order.

Let us return to the physical situation, that is, $\chi > 0$. In Figs.5 and 6 with $\Omega = 6$ and $n_0 = 0$, the same physical quantities as those previously presented in Figs.2∼4 are shown in the case $\chi = 1$ and $\chi = 3/2$, respectively. In the case $\chi > 0$, the energy is pushed up in comparison with that in the case $\chi = 0$. This situation is the same as that of the triplet formation. In the case $\chi = 3/2$, there is no structure as for n_1 . Namely, n_1 increases monotonically in the region $0 \leq N \leq 18 (=3\Omega)$ with $n_1 = N/3$ and decreases monotonically in the region $18 \le N \le 36 (= 6\Omega)$ with $n_1 = 2\Omega - N/3$. On the other hand, in the case $\chi = 1$, the structure appears as for n_1 . If we take N as a continuous variable, for example, n_1 is equal to 0, $3(N-1)/7$ and $N/3$ in the regions $0 \le N \le 1$, $1 \le N \le 4.5$ and $4.5 \le N \le 18$, respectively.

§6. Final remark — Possibility of the asymmetric representation

Until the present, we have described the Bonn model and its modification in the framework of the Schwinger boson representation of the $su(4)$ algebra. The basic idea is the use of the relation (2.27) and its associated relation (2.28) , and we could treat many-quark system with the $su(4)$ algebraic structure in the case of the symmetric representation. However, one open question still remains to be answered. It is the description of the present many-quark system in the framework of the asymmetric

representation. In this section, we will sketch this problem.

As was already shown, the form (2.27) which comes from the case $(M = 3, N = 1)$ 1) in Ref.12) cannot generate any asymmetric representation. Then, as a next step, we consider the case $(M = 3, N = 2)$:

$$
\hat{S}^{i} = (\hat{a}_{i}^{*}\hat{b} - \hat{a}^{*}\hat{b}_{i}) - \hat{\alpha}^{*}\hat{\beta}_{i} , \qquad \hat{S}_{i} = (\hat{b}^{*}\hat{a}_{i} - \hat{b}_{i}^{*}\hat{a}) - \hat{\beta}_{i}^{*}\hat{\alpha} , \n\hat{S}_{i}^{j} = (\hat{a}_{i}^{*}\hat{a}_{j} - \hat{b}_{j}^{*}\hat{b}_{i} + \delta_{ij}(\hat{a}^{*}\hat{a} - \hat{b}^{*}\hat{b})) - \hat{\beta}_{j}^{*}\hat{\beta}_{i} + \delta_{ij}\hat{\alpha}^{*}\hat{\alpha} .
$$
\n(6.1)

Here, $\hat{\alpha}$, $\hat{\alpha}^*$, $\hat{\beta}_i$, $\hat{\beta}_i^*$ (*i* = 1, 2, 3) denote newly added boson operators. The terms in the brackets on the right-hand sides are nothing but the terms in the form (2.27). The expression (2.27) is associated with the $su(1,1)$ algebra which is shown in the relation (2.28). The form (6.1) is associated with the $su(2,1)$ algebra shown in the relation

$$
\hat{T}^{1} = \hat{b}^{*}\hat{a}^{*} + \sum_{i} \hat{b}_{i}^{*}\hat{a}_{i}^{*} , \qquad \hat{T}_{1} = \hat{a}\hat{b} + \sum_{i} \hat{a}_{i}\hat{b}_{i} ,
$$

$$
\hat{T}^{2} = \hat{b}^{*}\hat{\alpha}^{*} + \sum_{i} \hat{\beta}_{i}^{*}\hat{a}_{i}^{*} , \qquad \hat{T}_{2} = \hat{\alpha}\hat{b} + \sum_{i} \hat{a}_{i}\hat{\beta}_{i} ,
$$

$$
\hat{T}_{2}^{1} = \hat{a}^{*}\hat{\alpha} + \sum_{i} \hat{b}_{i}^{*}\hat{\beta}_{i} , \qquad \hat{T}_{1}^{2} = \hat{\alpha}^{*}\hat{a} + \sum_{i} \hat{\beta}_{i}^{*}\hat{b}_{i} ,
$$

$$
\hat{T}_{1}^{1} = \hat{b}^{*}\hat{b} + \hat{a}^{*}\hat{a} + \sum_{i} (\hat{b}_{i}^{*}\hat{b}_{i} + \hat{a}_{i}^{*}\hat{a}_{i}) + 4 ,
$$

$$
\hat{T}_{2}^{2} = \hat{b}^{*}\hat{b} + \hat{\alpha}^{*}\hat{\alpha} + \sum_{i} (\hat{\beta}_{i}^{*}\hat{\beta}_{i} + \hat{a}_{i}^{*}\hat{a}_{i}) + 4 .
$$
 (6.2)

In the same sense as the relation (2.30), we have

[any of the generators (6.2) , any of the generators (6.1)] = 0. (6.3)

The minimum weight state $|m\rangle$ which satisfies the conditions corresponding to the relations (2.12) and (2.14) is given in the form

$$
|m\rangle = (\hat{b}_1^*)^{2(\sigma_1 - \sigma_2)} (\hat{b}_1^* \hat{\beta}_2^* - \hat{b}_2^* \hat{\beta}_1^*)^{2(\sigma_2 - \sigma_3)} (\hat{b}^*)^{2\sigma_3} |0\rangle. \tag{6.4}
$$

This form was already discussed in Ref.6) for the case of the Lipkin model. Certainly, $|m\rangle$ is in the asymmetric representation and if $\sigma_2 = \sigma_3 (= \sigma_0)$, $|m\rangle$ reduces to $|m_1\rangle$ or $|m_0\rangle$. The state $|m\rangle$ satisfies

$$
\hat{T}_1|m\rangle = \hat{T}_2|m\rangle = \hat{T}_2^1|m\rangle = 0 ,
$$

\n
$$
\hat{T}_1^1|m\rangle = (2\sigma_1 + 4)|m\rangle , \qquad \hat{T}_2^2|m\rangle = (2\sigma_2 + 4)|m\rangle .
$$
 (6.5)

The above indicates that $|m\rangle$ is also the minimum weight state of the $su(2, 1)$ algebra. Combining this fact with the relation (6.3), we can conclude that in the present Schwinger boson representation the orthogonal set for the $su(4) \otimes su(2,1)$ algebra is given by appropriate operation of six generators $(\hat{S}^1, \hat{S}^2, \hat{S}^3, \hat{S}_1^2, \hat{S}_3^3, \hat{S}_2^3)$ and three generators $(\hat{T}^1, \hat{T}^2, \hat{T}_1^2)$. As a general argument, we recognize that the minimum weight state for the $su(4)$ and the $su(2,1)$ algebra is specified by three quantum numbers and except them, six and three quantum numbers for the $su(4)$ and the $su(2,1)$ algebra are necessary, respectively, to specify the orthogonal set for the $su(4) \otimes su(2,1)$ algebra. Our present Schwinger boson representation is composed of twelve kinds of bosons, and then, the orthogonal set of the present boson space is specified by twelve quantum numbers. For the above argument, we can conjecture that the form (6.1) can present any of the orthogonal set for the $su(4)$ algebra. This conjecture seems for us to arrive at a conclusion that our Schwinger boson representation generates the asymmetric representation for the Bonn model.

However, the above argument lacks an important factor of the Bonn model, which was taken up in §2.3. We must investigate this factor. In parallel with the relation (2.12), we set up the following relation under the hole picture:

$$
\check{S}^{i}|\tilde{m}\rangle = 0 \; , \quad \check{S}_{2}^{1}|\tilde{m}\rangle = \check{S}_{3}^{1}|\tilde{m}\rangle = \check{S}_{3}^{2}|\tilde{m}\rangle = 0 \; , \tag{6.6}
$$

$$
\breve{S}_i^i|\tilde{m}) = -2\sigma_i|\tilde{m})\ .\tag{6.7}
$$

Here, $|\tilde{m}\rangle$ denotes the minimum weight state in the hole picture and \check{S}_i etc. are defined in the relation (2.56) . With the use of this relation, Eqs. (6.6) and (6.7) can be rewritten as

$$
\widetilde{S}^{i}|\tilde{m}\rangle = 0 \; , \quad \widetilde{S}_{1}^{2}|\tilde{m}\rangle = \widetilde{S}_{1}^{3}|\tilde{m}\rangle = \widetilde{S}_{2}^{3}|\tilde{m}\rangle = 0 \; , \tag{6-8}
$$

$$
\widetilde{S}_i^i|\tilde{m}) = 2\sigma_i|\tilde{m}.
$$
\n(6.9)

We investigate the asymmetric representation related to the counterparts of the relations (6.8) and (6.9) in the frame of the Schwinger boson representation (6.1) . In parallel with $|m\rangle$ shown in the relation (6.4), we obtain the following form:

$$
|\tilde{m}\rangle = (\hat{a}_1^*)^{2(\sigma_1 - \sigma_0)} (\hat{\alpha}^*)^{2\sigma'_0} (\hat{a}^*)^{2(\sigma_0 - \sigma'_0)} |0\rangle ,
$$
\n(6.10)

$$
\sigma_2 = \sigma_3 = \sigma_0 , \qquad \sigma'_0 \; : \; \text{arbitrary in the range } 0 \le \sigma'_0 \le \sigma_0 . \quad (6.11)
$$

The state $|\tilde{m}\rangle$ belongs to the symmetric representation. If $\sigma'_0 = 0$, $|\tilde{m}\rangle$ reduces to the form replaced \hat{b}_1^* and \hat{b}^* in $|m_1\rangle$ or $|m_0\rangle$ by \hat{a}_1^* and \hat{a}^* . The above teaches us that the Schwinger boson representation cannot generate the asymmetric representation. Thus, we have two conclusions which are contradictory to each other. The reason why such contradiction occurs is simple: The expression (6.1) does not adapt to the property such as shown in the relation (2.68). If our argument is based on the conjecture mentioned in the last paragraph, we have to conclude that for the Bonn model the treatment by the asymmetric representation may be meaningless.

If it is permitted to introduce further new boson operators $(\hat{\alpha}_i, \hat{\alpha}_i^*, \hat{\beta}, \hat{\beta}^*)$, we can give the property such as shown in the relation (2.68) to the present system. It is performed through the process that the terms $\hat{\alpha}_i^* \hat{\beta}, \hat{\beta}^* \hat{\alpha}_i$ and $(\hat{\alpha}_i^* \hat{\alpha}_j - \delta_{ij} \hat{\beta}^* \hat{\beta})$ are added to \hat{S}^i , \hat{S}_i and \hat{S}_i^j shown in the relation (6.1). The result is as follows:

$$
\hat{S}^{i} = (\hat{a}_{i}^{*}\hat{b} - \hat{a}^{*}\hat{b}_{i}) + (\hat{\alpha}_{i}^{*}\hat{\beta} - \hat{\alpha}^{*}\hat{\beta}_{i}), \n\hat{S}_{i} = (\hat{b}^{*}\hat{a}_{i} - \hat{b}_{i}^{*}\hat{a}) + (\hat{\beta}^{*}\hat{\alpha}_{i} - \hat{\beta}_{i}^{*}\hat{\alpha}), \n\hat{S}_{i}^{j} = (\hat{a}_{i}^{*}\hat{a}_{j} - \hat{b}_{j}^{*}\hat{b}_{i} + \delta_{ij}(\hat{a}^{*}\hat{a} - \hat{b}^{*}\hat{b})) \n+ (\hat{\alpha}_{i}^{*}\hat{\alpha}_{j} - \hat{\beta}_{j}^{*}\hat{\beta}_{i} + \delta_{ij}(\hat{\alpha}^{*}\hat{\alpha} - \hat{\beta}^{*}\hat{\beta})).
$$
\n(6.12)

The above is merely a simple sum of two independent bags and each bag can be treated by the method presented in this paper. This consideration also supports that in the Bonn model obeying the $su(4)$ algebra, the asymmetric representation may be meaningless.

§7. Concluding remarks

In this paper, we described the Bonn model and its modification for many-quark system in the framework of the Schwinger boson representation of the $su(4)$ algebra in the full and the partial symmetric representation. The asymmetric representation may be meaningless. All the results are given analytically in the exact form. Further, we could show up various features hidden in this model. However, we must point out that there is an unsolved problem. In this paper, we discussed the Bonn model by separating into two cases under the name of the triplet formation and the pairing correlation. Both descriptions seem to be apparently very different from each other. Are both essentially equivalent to each other or not ? This is our open problem and it is our future problem.

As the concluding remarks, we will mention another future problem. For the present development, we found it most convenient to consider the Schwinger boson representation of the $su(4)$ algebra. It is well known that the dynamics of manyfermion system may be described in terms of bosons. As an example in old time, in the collective model of Bohr and Mottelson, bosons were introduced through the quantization of the oscillations of a liquid drop to describe excitations of nuclei. In the theory of plasma oscillation, excited states of the electron gas are described by the so-called random phase approximation which is nothing else but the mapping of the particle-hole pairs onto bosons. In either cases, the physical meaning of the boson operators is quite clear. However, the bosons used in the present investigation seem to give us an impression to be no more than the tools for describing many-fermion system in spite of the success. This statement tells us that the physical interpretation of the bosons in the Schwinger representation remains a challenging question. In relation to the above-mentioned question, inevitably, we must investigate the present form in the original fermion space. This is also our future problem.

Acknowledgements

One of the authors (Y.T.) would like to express his sincere thanks to Professor J. da Providência, one of co-authors of this paper, for his warm hospitality during his visit to Coimbra in spring of 2008. The author (M.Y.) would like to express sincere thanks to Professor T. Kunihiro for suggesting him the importance of the $so(5)$ - and the $su(4)$ -algebra in the description of many-fermion dynamics, which was presented in Refs.4) and 13). Further, he would like to acknowledge to the members of the Department of Pure and Applied Physics of Kansai University opened in 2007, especially, to Professors N. Ohigashi, M. Sugihara-Seki and T. Wada for their cordial encouragements. He is also indebted to Dr. T. Urade of Osaka Prefectural University for helping him to exchange the research information between J. da P. and M. Y. One of the authors (Y,T) is partially supported by the Grants-in-Aid of the Scientific Research No.18540278 from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology in Japan.

Appendix A

 $\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{1$

In this Appendix, we will summarize the orthogonal set for the $su(3)$ algebra in the form suitable in the treatment of \S §3 and 4. The present $su(3)$ generators and its Casimir operator are shown in the relations (2.6) and (2.7), respectively. In order to clarify the tensor properties of these generators, we introduce new notations:

$$
\hat{Q}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{*} = -\hat{S}_{1}^{2}, \qquad \hat{Q}_{\frac{1}{2}}^{*} = \hat{S}_{1}^{3}, \qquad \hat{Q}_{-\frac{1}{2}} = -\hat{S}_{2}^{1}, \qquad \hat{Q}_{\frac{1}{2}} = \hat{S}_{3}^{1},
$$
\n
$$
\hat{R}_{+} = \hat{S}_{2}^{3}, \qquad \hat{R}_{-} = \hat{S}_{3}^{2}, \qquad \hat{R}_{0} = \frac{1}{2}(\hat{S}_{2}^{2} - \hat{S}_{3}^{3}),
$$
\n
$$
\hat{Q}_{0} = \hat{S}_{1}^{1} - \frac{1}{2}(\hat{S}_{2}^{2} + \hat{S}_{3}^{3}).
$$
\n(A.1)

It should be noted that $(\hat{R}_+$, \hat{R}_- , $\hat{R}_0)$ forms the $su(2)$ algebra and $(\hat{Q}^*_{-\frac{1}{2}}, \hat{Q}^*_{\frac{1}{2}})$ indicates the tensor operator with rank being $1/2$ and z-component $(-1/2, 1/2)$.

The minimum weight state $|\lambda,\kappa,\alpha\rangle$ obeys the conditions

$$
\hat{Q}_{-\frac{1}{2}}|\lambda,\kappa,\alpha\rangle = \hat{Q}_{\frac{1}{2}}|\lambda,\kappa,\alpha\rangle = \hat{R}_{-}|\lambda,\kappa,\alpha\rangle = 0, \qquad (A\cdot 2)
$$

$$
\hat{R}_{0}|\lambda,\kappa,\alpha\rangle = -\lambda|\lambda,\kappa,\alpha\rangle, \qquad \hat{Q}_{0}|\lambda,\kappa,\alpha\rangle = -\kappa|\lambda,\kappa,\alpha\rangle, \qquad \lambda = 0, \frac{1}{2}, 1, \cdots, \qquad \alpha : \text{a set of extra quantum numbers.} \qquad (A\cdot 3)
$$

The eigenvalue $-\kappa$ is given in individual case.

The state $|\lambda,\kappa,\alpha\rangle$ gives us

$$
|\lambda\lambda_0,\kappa,\alpha\rangle = \sqrt{\frac{(\lambda-\lambda_0)!}{(2\lambda)!(\lambda+\lambda_0)!}}(\hat{R}_+)^{\lambda+\lambda_0}|\lambda,\kappa,\alpha\rangle ,
$$

$$
\hat{R}_0|\lambda\lambda_0,\kappa,\alpha\rangle = \lambda_0|\lambda\lambda_0,\kappa,\alpha\rangle , \qquad \lambda_0 = -\lambda, -\lambda+1,\cdots,\lambda-1, \lambda .
$$
 (A-4)

With the use of the relations $[\hat{Q}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\dagger}, \ \hat{R}_{+}] = 0$ and $[\hat{Q}_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\dagger}, \ \hat{R}_{+}] = \hat{Q}_{-\frac{1}{2}}$ and the condition $(A-2)$, we have

$$
\hat{Q}_{-\frac{1}{2}}|\lambda\lambda_0,\kappa,\alpha\rangle = \hat{Q}_{\frac{1}{2}}|\lambda\lambda_0,\kappa,\alpha\rangle = 0.
$$
 (A-5)

The relation (A.5) teaches us that the state $|\lambda\lambda_0,\kappa,\alpha\rangle$ plays a role of the vacuum for $(\hat{Q}^*_{-\frac{1}{2}}, \hat{Q}^*_{\frac{1}{2}})$. We can construct the tensor operator with rank being μ in the form

$$
\hat{Q}^*_{\mu\mu_0} = \sqrt{\frac{(2\mu)!}{(\mu + \mu_0)!(\mu - \mu_0)!}} (\hat{Q}^*_{\frac{1}{2}})^{\mu + \mu_0} (\hat{Q}^*_{-\frac{1}{2}})^{\mu - \mu_0} . \tag{A-6}
$$

Of course, $\hat{Q}_{\mu\mu}$ $|\lambda\lambda_0,\kappa,\alpha\rangle=0$. With the use of the operator $(A.6)$, we define the state

$$
|\lambda\mu\nu\nu_0,\kappa,\alpha\rangle = \hat{Q}_+(\lambda\mu\nu\nu_0)|\lambda,\kappa,\alpha\rangle ,\qquad (A.7)
$$

$$
\hat{Q}_{+}(\lambda\mu\nu\nu_{0}) = \sum_{\lambda_{0}\mu_{0}} \langle \lambda \lambda_{0}\mu\mu_{0} | \nu\nu_{0} \rangle \sqrt{\frac{(\lambda - \lambda_{0})!}{(2\lambda)!(\lambda + \lambda_{0})!}} \hat{Q}^{*}_{\mu\mu_{0}}(\hat{R}_{+})^{\lambda+\lambda_{0}} . \tag{A-8}
$$

The state $(A-7)$ satisfies

$$
\hat{\boldsymbol{R}}^2 |\lambda \mu \nu \nu_0, \kappa, \alpha \rangle = \nu(\nu + 1) |\lambda \mu \nu \nu_0, \kappa, \alpha \rangle , \qquad (A.9)
$$

$$
\hat{R}_0|\lambda\mu\nu\nu_0,\kappa,\alpha\rangle = \nu_0|\lambda\mu\nu\nu_0,\kappa,\alpha\rangle ,\qquad (A.10)
$$

$$
\hat{\mathbf{Q}}^2|\lambda\mu\nu\nu_0,\kappa,\alpha\rangle = \left(2\lambda(\lambda+1) + \frac{2}{3}\kappa(\kappa+3)\right)|\lambda\mu\nu\nu_0,\kappa,\alpha\rangle ,\qquad\text{(A-11)}
$$

$$
\hat{Q}_0|\lambda\mu\nu\nu_0,\kappa,\alpha\rangle=(3\mu-\kappa)|\lambda\mu\nu\nu_0,\kappa,\alpha\rangle. \tag{A-12}
$$

Here, $\hat{\boldsymbol{R}}^2$ and $\hat{\boldsymbol{Q}}^2$ denote the Casimir operators of the $su(2)$ and the $su(3)$ algebras. The relations $(A·9)∼(A·11)$ may be trivial and for obtaining the relation $(A·12)$, the following formula is useful:

$$
[\hat{Q}_0 \, , \, \hat{Q}_{\mu}^*] = \frac{3}{2} \hat{Q}_{\mu}^* \, , \quad (\mu = \pm \frac{1}{2}) \, , \qquad [\hat{Q}_0 \, , \, \hat{R}_+] = 0 \, . \tag{A-13}
$$

The quantities κ and α depend on the individual case. If the Hamiltonian under consideration commutes with \hat{S}_j^i , the energy eigenvalue problem may be enough to consider in the frame of the state $|\lambda, \kappa, \alpha\rangle$.

Appendix B

 $\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{1$

The state $|\lambda \mu \nu \nu_0, \tau \tau_0 \rangle$ can be rewritten in the form

$$
\|\lambda\mu\nu\nu_{0},\tau\tau_{0}\rangle = (\hat{\tau}_{+})^{\tau_{0}-\tau}\hat{Q}_{+}(\lambda\mu\nu\nu_{0})\|\lambda,\tau\rangle, \qquad (B\cdot 1)
$$
\n
$$
\hat{Q}_{+}(\lambda\mu\nu\nu_{0})\|\lambda,\tau\rangle
$$
\n
$$
= \sum_{\lambda_{0}\mu_{0}}(-)^{\mu+\mu_{0}}\langle\lambda\lambda_{0}\mu\mu_{0}|\nu\nu_{0}\rangle\sqrt{\frac{(2\lambda)!}{(\lambda+\lambda_{0})!(\lambda-\lambda_{0})!}}\sqrt{\frac{(2\mu)!}{(\mu+\mu_{0})!(\mu-\mu_{0})!}}\times\sum_{kl}(-)^{k+l}k!l!\left(\lambda+\lambda_{0}\right)\left(\lambda-\lambda_{0}\right)\left(\mu+\mu_{0}\right)\left(\mu-\mu_{0}\right)\times(\hat{a}_{1}^{*})^{k+l}(\hat{a}_{2}^{*})^{\lambda+\lambda_{0}-l}(\hat{a}_{3}^{*})^{\lambda-\lambda_{0}-k}(\hat{b}_{3}^{*})^{\mu+\mu_{0}-k}(\hat{b}_{2}^{*})^{\mu-\mu_{0}-l}(\hat{b}_{1}^{*})^{(2\tau-3)-2(\lambda+\mu)+(k+l)}|0\rangle.
$$
\n(B-2)

In the above expression, the exponents of \hat{a}_2^* , etc. should be positive. From this condition, we have the following inequalities:

$$
k \leq \lambda - \lambda_0
$$
, $l \leq \mu - \mu_0$, $k \leq \mu + \mu_0$, $l \leq \lambda + \lambda_0$, (B-3)

$$
2(\lambda + \mu) - (2\tau - 3) \le k + l. \tag{B-4}
$$

The relation $(B-3)$ leads us to

$$
k + l \le (\lambda + \mu) - |\nu_0|
$$
. $(\nu_0 = \lambda_0 + \mu_0)$ (B-5)

Therefore, the term $(2(\lambda + \mu) - (2\tau - 3))$ in the relation (B·4) is smaller than $((\lambda +$ μ) – $|\nu_0|$):

$$
2(\lambda + \mu) - (2\tau - 3) \le (\lambda + \mu) - |\nu_0|, \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad \lambda + \mu + |\nu_0| \le 2\tau - 3. \tag{B-6}
$$

The maximum value of $|\nu_0|$ is equal to $(\lambda + \mu)$, and then, by replacing $|\nu_0|$ in the relation (B.6) with $(\lambda + \mu)$, we obtain

$$
2(\lambda + \mu) \le 2\tau - 3. \tag{B-7}
$$

References

1) K. Bleuler, H. Hofestädt, S. Merk and H. R. Petry, Z. Naturforsch. **38a** (1983), 705. H. R. Petry, in Lecture Notes in Physics, Vol. 197, ed. K. Bleuler (Springer, Berlin, 1984), p.236.

H. R. Petry, H. Hofestädt, S. Merk, K. Bleuler, H. Bohr and K. S. Narain, Phys. Lett. B 159 (1985), 363.

- 2) A. Arima, K. Yazaki and H. Bohr, Phys. Lett. B 183 (1987), 131.
- 3) M. Katô, W. Bentz, K. Shimizu and A. Arima, Phys. Rev. C 42 (1990), 2672.
- 4) M. Yamamura, A. Kuriyama and T. Kunihiro, Prog. Theor. Phys. 104 (2000), 401.
- 5) M. Guidry, L.-A. Wu, Y. Sun and C.-L. Wu, Phys. Rev. B 63 (2001), 134516. L.-A. Wu, M. Guidry, Y. Sun and C.-L. Wu, Phys. Rev. B 67 (2003), 014515.
- 6) C. Providência, J. da Providência, Y. Tsue and M. Yamamura, Prog. Theor. Phys. 114 (2005), 57.
- 7) J. P. Elliott, in Proceedings of International School of Physics $\langle\langle Enrico\ Fermi\rangle\rangle$ Course XXXVI, ed. C. Bloch (Academic Press, New York and London, 1966), p.128.
- 8) S. C. Zhang, Science 275 (1997), 1089.
- 9) H. J. Lipkin, N. Meshkov and V. Glick, Nucl. Phys. 62 (1965), 188.
- 10) A. Klein and E. R. Marshalek, Rev. Mod. Phys. 63 (1991), 375.
- 11) J. Schwinger, in Quantum Theory of Angular Momentum, ed. L. Biedenharn and H. Van Dam (Academic Press, New York, 1955), p.229.
- 12) A. Kuriyama, J. da Providência and M. Yamamura, Prog. Theor. Phys. 103 (2000), 285.
- 13) M. Yamamura, A. Kuriyama and T. Kunihiro, Prog. Theor. Phys. 104 (2000), 385.
- 14) E. Celeghini, M. Rasetti, M. Tarlini and G. Vitiello, Mod. Phys. Lett. B 3 (1992), 719.
- E. Celeghini, M. Rasetti and G. Vitiello, Ann. of Phys. 215 (1992), 156. 15) Y. Tsue, A. Kuriyama and M. Yamamura, Prog. Theor. Phys. 91 (1994), 49; 91 (1994), 469.
- 16) A. Kuriyama, J. da Providência, Y. Tsue and M. Yamamura, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. 141 (2001), 113.
- 17) S. Nishiyama, C. Providência, J. da Providência, Y. Tsue and M. Yamamura, Prog. Theor. Phys. 113 (2005), 153.