

Abrupt Emergence of Pressure-Induced Superconductivity of 34 K in SrFe₂As₂: A Resistivity Study under Pressure

Hisashi KOTEGAWA^{1,2*}, Hitoshi SUGAWARA³, and Hideki TOU^{1,2}

¹*Department of Physics, Kobe University, Kobe 658-8530*

²*JST-TRIP*

³*Faculty of Integrated Arts and Science, Tokushima University, Tokushima 770-8502, Japan*

We report resistivity measurement under pressure in single crystals of SrFe₂As₂, which is one of parent materials of the Fe-based superconductors. The structural and antiferromagnetic (AFM) transition of $T_0 = 198$ K at ambient pressure is suppressed under pressure, and the ordered phase disappears above $P_c \sim 3.6 - 3.7$ GPa. Superconductivity with a sharp transition appears accompanied with the suppression of the AFM state. The T_c exhibits the maximum value of 34.1 K, which is realized close to the phase boundary at P_c . This T_c is the highest among the stoichiometric Fe-based superconductors.

KEYWORDS: SrFe₂As₂, superconductivity, pressure, single crystal

After the discovery of superconductivity at 26 K in F-doped system LaFeAsO_{1-x}F_x (ZrCuSiAs-type structure),¹ various Fe-based materials have been reported to show superconductivity.²⁻⁵ Among them, AFe₂As₂ (A = Ca, Sr, and Ba) systems with ThCr₂Si₂ type structure show superconductivity by doping of K or Cs into A site,^{2,3} or by doping of Co into Fe site.^{6,7} Doping is an effective method to induce superconductivity in the Fe-based superconductors. However, this simultaneously induces inhomogeneity of the crystal structure and the electronic state. The inhomogeneity sometimes makes it difficult to observe intrinsic properties of the material.

Instead of doping, an application of pressure for undoped compound is also an effective method to induce superconductivity. Pressure-induced superconductivity in AFe₂As₂ (A = Ca, Sr, and Ba) have been reported.⁸⁻¹⁰ The superconductivity of these stoichiometric compounds is important for the study of the Fe-based superconductors. Concerning CaFe₂As₂, the superconductivity has been reorganized to be intrinsic, because some groups have reported that zero-resistance state is observed in the similar pressure range.^{8,9,11} In the case of BaFe₂As₂ and SrFe₂As₂, Alireza *et al.* have reported that Meissner effects appear between 2.5 – 6.0 GPa for BaFe₂As₂ and between 2.8 – 3.6 GPa for SrFe₂As₂ using magnetization measurements under pressure.¹⁰ However, Fukazawa *et al.* have observed no zero-resistance state under pressure up to 13 GPa in BaFe₂As₂.¹² On the other hand, Kumar *et al.* performed resistivity measurement under pressure up to 3 GPa in SrFe₂As₂ and reported that the onset of superconductivity appears above 2.5 GPa, but no zero-resistance state is observed up to 3 GPa.¹³ Quite recently, Igawa *et al.* reported that zero-resistance state was realized below 10 K at high pressure of 8 GPa in SrFe₂As₂, but the transition was broad.¹⁴ The pressure-induced superconductivity in BaFe₂As₂ and SrFe₂As₂ have not arrived at a consensus yet.

In this paper, we report the results of resistivity mea-

surements in single crystalline samples of SrFe₂As₂ up to 4.3 GPa. This is a first resistivity measurement above 3 GPa using single crystalline samples. In our measurements, zero-resistance state below $T_c = 34$ K with a sharp transition was observed above 3.5 GPa.

Single crystalline samples were prepared by the Sn-flux method as reported in Ref. 15. Electrical resistivity (ρ) measurements under high pressure were carried out using an indenter cell.¹⁶ The ρ was measured by a four-probe method with flowing the current along the *ab* plane. Daphne oil 7373 was used as a pressure-transmitting medium. The applied pressure was estimated from T_c of lead manometer. The resistivity measurements under pressure have been performed for two settings using different samples and the almost same results were obtained between two samples.

Figures 1(a) and (b) show temperature dependences of ρ under several pressures up to 4.3 GPa. A clear anomaly was observed at 198 K at ambient pressure, which is similar to that of Yan *et al.*'s sample.¹⁷ This temperature, denoted as T_0 , corresponds to the structural transition temperature and the simultaneous magnetic transition temperature.¹⁷⁻¹⁹ The magnetic structure of SrFe₂As₂ has been reported to be a collinear antiferromagnetic (AFM) one.¹⁹ The T_0 of our sample is lower than that of Kumar *et al.*'s sample.¹³ The ρ shows a small jump at T_0 in our sample and the jump becomes remarkable under pressure, in contrast to other measurements under pressure.^{13,14} The cause why the jump appears in our sample is unknown at present, but this behavior resembles those of CaFe₂As₂.^{8,9} Thus, we define the temperature at the jump as T_0 as shown in Fig. 1(b) on the analogy of CaFe₂As₂. As shown in the figure, the T_0 decreases with increasing pressure and reaches ~ 100 K at 3.57 GPa. No signature of the transition at T_0 was observed above 3.77 GPa, indicating the disappearance of the AFM state. The critical pressure between the AFM state and the paramagnetic (PM) state is estimated to be $P_c \sim 3.6 - 3.7$ GPa. The inset of Fig. 1(a) displays $\rho(T)$ around T_0 at 3.22 GPa. The small hysteresis was

*E-mail address: kotegawa@crystal.kobe-u.ac.jp




Fig. 1. (color online) Temperature dependence of the in-plane resistivity in SrFe_2As_2 below (a) 300 K and (b) 180 K. The arrows indicate the structural and AFM phase transition temperature T_0 . The transition disappears above $P_c \sim 3.6 - 3.7$ GPa. The inset of figure (a) is hysteresis around T_0 for temperature history under 3.22 GPa. Superconductivity with zero-resistance is observed above approximately P_c . The maximum T_c is 34.1 K at 3.77 GPa as shown in the inset of figure (b).

observed between cooling and warming, indicative of the first order phase transition.

The onset of superconductivity appears above ~ 3 GPa but the transition is quite broad, similar to the experiments by Kumar *et al.*¹³ Zero-resistance state is observed above 3.47 GPa, and the transition becomes sharper above 3.77 GPa where the AFM state is no longer realized. In this paper, T_c is defined by temperature of zero-resistance. The maximum T_c was 34.1 K at 3.77 GPa as shown in the inset of Fig. 1(b). This T_c is close to 37 – 38 K of the doped systems $(\text{Ba}_{0.6}\text{K}_{0.4})\text{Fe}_2\text{As}_2$ and $(\text{K}_{0.4}\text{Sr}_{0.6})\text{Fe}_2\text{As}_2$.^{2,3} Above 3.77 GPa, T_c is almost constant but slightly decreases with increasing pressure.

Figure 2 shows $\rho(T)$ under magnetic fields at 4.15 GPa, when the magnetic field was applied along the ab plane. The T_c decreases from 30 K at 0 T to ~ 27 K at 8 T. The initial slope was estimated to be -0.35 K/T, giving $H_{c2} \sim 86$ T from a linear extrapolation. These values are comparable to those of other Fe-based compounds.

Figure 3 shows the pressure-temperature phase diagram. The initial slope of T_0 was estimated to be $dT_0/dP \sim -13$ K/GPa, which is the same as that of Kumar *et al.*¹³ The ordered phase drastically suppressed above 3 GPa, and no signature of the AFM state




Fig. 2. (color online) Temperature dependence of resistivity under magnetic fields of 0, 2, 4, 6, and 8 T in SrFe_2As_2 under 4.15 GPa. The inset shows field dependence of T_c . The initial slope is estimated to be -0.35 K/T.

was observed at 3.77 GPa. The ordered state up to 3 GPa is confirmed to have an orthorhombic crystal structure.¹³ The superconductivity appears from slightly below $P_c \sim 3.6 - 3.7$ GPa, and exhibits the highest value of $T_c \sim 34.1$ K in the PM state close to P_c .

In CaFe_2As_2 , another structural phase transition from the tetragonal phase to the "collapsed" tetragonal one has been reported under high pressure,²⁰ which can be detected by $\rho(T)$.¹¹ In contrast, there is no corresponding




Fig. 3. (color online) Pressure-temperature phase diagram for SrFe_2As_2 and pressure-dependence of ρ at 35 K. The T_0 decreases as applying pressure, and the slope becomes steeper above ~ 3 GPa. The magnetically ordered phase most likely disappears around $P_c \sim 3.6 - 3.7$ GPa. There is no distinct anomaly in $\rho(35\text{K})$ around P_c . Superconductivity appears above 3.5 GPa accompanied with the suppression of the AFM state. The information of the crystal structure was obtained from Ref. 13.

distinct anomaly above P_c in SrFe_2As_2 . In CaFe_2As_2 , the pressure dependence of the residual resistivity indicates the anomalous behavior of a dome shape.¹¹ We plot the pressure-dependence of ρ at 35 K for SrFe_2As_2 in the upper panel of the figure, but $\rho(35\text{K})$ shows a gradual decrease under pressure, and no anomalous behavior was observed for SrFe_2As_2 .




Fig. 4. (color online) Pressure-temperature phase diagram for SrFe_2As_2 around P_c and pressure dependence of $\Delta T_c = T_c^{\text{onset}} - T_c$. The superconducting transition is sharp above P_c , whereas ΔT_c is wide below P_c . The T_c^{onset} is almost independent of pressure.

Figure 4 is the pressure-temperature phase diagram around the phase boundary. We plotted the onset temperature of superconductivity, T_c^{onset} and the transition width, $\Delta T_c = T_c^{\text{onset}} - T_c$. Zero-resistance state is observed even in the narrow pressure range below P_c . In the Fe-based superconductors, it is one of controversial issues whether superconductivity can coexist with the AFM state or not.^{21–23} Since the resistivity is macroscopic measurement and is sensitive to superconductivity, it is generally difficult to discuss this issue. However, we should note that the ΔT_c is unusually wide below P_c . In contrast, the ΔT_c becomes remarkably sharper above P_c . The minimum ΔT_c is 0.75 K at 3.83 GPa. This indicates that the PM state favors superconductivity and the AFM state prevents the occurrence of superconductivity in SrFe_2As_2 . The superconductivity with the wide ΔT_c below P_c reminisces of non-bulk superconductivity. The transition from the tetragonal structure to the orthorhombic one is of first order.¹⁸ As seen in the inset of Fig. 1(a), the transition at T_0 is of first order even close to P_c . Under high pressure and low temperature, the pressure distribution is inevitable. If the transition at P_c is of first order, the pressure distribution is expected to induce the phase separation. We speculate that the observed superconductivity below P_c originates from the phase-separated PM phase. This is supported by the fact

that T_c^{onset} is almost independent of pressure below P_c . However, if the phase separation is realized around P_c , we expect the enhance of ρ at low temperatures around P_c owing to the scattering at the domain boundary. As shown in Fig. 3, there is no anomalous behavior in $\rho(35\text{K})$ around P_c within experimental error. The phase separation and coexistence of superconductivity and magnetism are still open question, and confirmation by microscopic measurements is required.

The pressure-temperature phase diagram for SrFe_2As_2 has been reported by three groups to our knowledge at present.^{10,13,14} Our phase diagram is almost consistent with that of Kumar *et al.*, although their resistivity measurements have been performed only up to 3 GPa.¹³ On the other hand, the phase diagrams by Alireza *et al.* and Igawa *et al.* are different from ours. Alireza *et al.* have used a single crystalline sample and Daphne oil 7373 as a pressure transmitting medium, which are the same as our measurements. In their phase diagram, superconductivity of $T_c \sim 27$ K appears abruptly at 2.8 GPa and it disappears above 3.6 GPa. The pressure region of superconductivity is quite different. On the other hand, Igawa *et al.* have used a polycrystalline sample and Fluorinert (FC-77:FC-70 = 1:1) and NaCl as a pressure transmitting medium. The onset of superconductivity was observed in a wide pressure range, and zero-resistance below 10 K was realized at high pressure of 8 GPa. The T_c^{onset} around 3–4 GPa is almost the same as our measurements, but zero-resistance state is different. In their phase diagram, the AFM state is drawn to survive up to 8 GPa. The differences between samples and/or pressure-transmitting mediums are considered to induce the inconsistency between the obtained phase diagrams.

To summarize, we have investigated the resistivity under pressure in a single crystalline SrFe_2As_2 up to 4.3 GPa. The magnetically ordered phase most likely disappears abruptly above $P_c \sim 3.6 - 3.7$ GPa, and superconductivity with zero-resistance was observed above approximately P_c . The maximum T_c was 34.1 K for the pressure-induced superconductivity in stoichiometric SrFe_2As_2 , which is close to 37–38 K of the doped systems.^{2,3} The maximum T_c is realized in the PM state close to P_c . This gives us two different scenarios. One is that the instability of the AFM state plays an important role for the superconductivity. Another is that the AFM state obstructs the optimized situation for higher T_c . Systematic investigations are needed to elucidate the relation between superconductivity and magnetism, but the stoichiometric system SrFe_2As_2 is a good candidate for treating this issue.

We thank Y. Hara and T. Kawazoe for experimental supports. This work has been partly supported by Grant-in-Aids for Scientific Research (Nos. 19105006, 19204036, 19014016, and 20045010) from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology (MEXT) of Japan.

- 1) Y. Kamihara, T. Watanabe, M. Hirano, and H. Hosono: J. Am. Chem. Soc. **130** (2008) 3296.
- 2) M. Rotter, M. Tegel, and D. Johrendt: Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**

- (2008) 107006.
- 3) K. Sasmal, B. Lv, B. Lorenz, A. Guloy, F. Chen, Y. Xue, C. W. Chu: *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101** (2008) 107007.
 - 4) X. C. Wang, Q. Q. Liu, Y. X. Lv, W. B. Gao, L. X. Yang, R. C. Yu, F. Y. Li, and C. Q. Jin: arXiv:0806.4688 (2008).
 - 5) F.-C. Hsu, J.-Y. Luo, K.-W. Yeh, T.-K. Chen, T.-W. Huang, P. M. Wu, Y.-C. Lee, Y.-L. Huang, Y.-Y. Chu, D.-C. Yan, and M.-K. Wu: arXiv:0807.2369 (2008).
 - 6) A. S. Sefat, R. Jin, M. M. McGuire, B. C. Sales, D. J. Singh, and D. Mandrus: *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101** 117004 (2008).
 - 7) A. Leithe-Jasper, W. Schnelle, C. Geibel, and H. Rosner: arXiv:0807.2223 (2008).
 - 8) M. S. Torikachvili, S. L. Bud'ko, N. Ni, P. C. Canfield: *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101** (2008) 057006.
 - 9) T. Park, E. Park, H. Lee, T. Klimczuk, E. D. Bauer, F. Ronning, J. D. Thompson: *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **20** (2008) 322204.
 - 10) P. L. Alireza, J. Gillett, Y. T. Chris Ko, S. E. Sebastian, and G. G. Lonzarich: arXiv:0807.1896 (2008); to appear in *Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter*.
 - 11) H. Lee, E. Park, T. Park, F. Ronning, E. D. Bauer, and J. D. Thompson: arXiv:0809.3550 (2008).
 - 12) H. Fukazawa, N. Takeshita, T. Yamazaki, K. Kondo, K. Hirayama, Y. Kohori, K. Miyazawa, H. Kito, H. Eisaki, A. Iyo: *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **77** (2008) 105004.
 - 13) M. Kumar, M. Nicklas, A. Jesche, N. Caroca-Canales, M. Schmitt, M. Hanfland, D. Kasinathan, U. Schwarz, H. Rosner, and C. Geibel: arXiv:0807.4283 (2008).
 - 14) K. Igawa, H. Okada, H. Takahashi, S. Matsuishi, Y. Kamihara, M. Hirano, H. Hosono, K. Matsubayashi, and Y. Uwatoko: arXiv:0810.1377 (2008).
 - 15) N. Ni, S. L. Bud'ko, A. Kreyssig, S. Nandi, G. E. Rustan, A. I. Goldman, S. Gupta, J. D. Corbett, A. Kracher, P. C. Canfield: *Phys. Rev. B* **78** (2008) 014507.
 - 16) T. C. Kobayashi, H. Hidaka, H. Kotegawa, K. Fujiwara and M. I. Eremets: *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **78** (2007) 023909.
 - 17) J.-Q. Yan, A. Kreyssig, S. Nandi, N. Ni, S. L. Bud'ko, A. Kracher, R. J. McQueeney, R. W. McCallum, T. A. Lograsso, A. I. Goldman, and P. C. Canfield: *Phys. Rev. B.* **78** (2008) 024516.
 - 18) C. Krellner, N. Caroca-Canales, A. Jesche, H. Rosner, A. Ormeci, C. Geibel: *Phys. Rev. B* **78** (2008) 100504(R).
 - 19) J. Zhao, W. Ratchiff II, J. W. Lynn, G. F. Chen, J. L. Luo, N. L. Wang, J. Hu, P. Dai: *Phys. Rev. B* **78** (2008) 140504(R).
 - 20) A. Kreyssig, M. A. Green, Y. Lee, G. D. Samolyuk, P. Zajdel, J. W. Lynn, S. L. Bud'ko, M. S. Torikachvili, N. Ni, S. Nandi, J. Leao, S. J. Poulton, D. N. Argyriou, B. N. Harmon, P. C. Canfield, R. J. McQueeney, A. I. Goldman: arXiv:0807.3032 (2008).
 - 21) H. Luetkens, H.-H. Klauss, M. Kraken, F. J. Litterst, T. Dellmann, R. Klingeler, C. Hess, R. Khasanov, A. Amato, C. Baines, J. Hamann-Borrero, N. Leps, A. Kondrat, G. Behr, J. Werner, B. Buechner: arXiv:0806.3533 (2008).
 - 22) H. Chen, Y. Ren, Y. Qiu, Wei Bao, R. H. Liu, G. Wu, T. Wu, Y. L. Xie, X. F. Wang, Q. Huang, X. H. Chen: arXiv:0807.3950 (2008).
 - 23) A. J. Drew, Ch. Niedermayer, P. J. Baker, F. L. Pratt, S. J. Blundell, T. Lancaster, R. H. Liu, G. Wu, X. H. Chen, I. Watanabe, V. K. Malik, A. Dubroka, M. Roessle, K. W. Kim, C. Baines, C. Bernhard: arXiv:0807.4876 (2008).